MARINE RESOURCES ASSESSMENT UPDATE FOR THE CHARLESTON/JACKSONVILLE OPERATING AREA

FINAL REPORT



OCTOBER 2008

PREPARED FOR:
Department of the Navy,
U.S. Fleet Forces Command

Contract: N62470-02-D-9997
Task Order: 0056

FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT:
Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Atlantic
Attn: Mr. Joel Bell, Code EV53JB
6506 Hampton Boulevard, Building A
Norfolk, Virginia 23508-1278
Joel.T.Bell@navy.mil
757.322.4854

MARINE RESOURCES ASSESSMENT UPDATE FOR THE CHARLESTON/JACKSONVILLE OPERATING AREA

FINAL REPORT
OCTOBER 2008

For More Information Contact:
Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Atlantic
Attn: Mr. Joel T. Bell
6506 Hampton Boulevard, Building A
Norfolk, Virginia 23508-1278
Joel.T.Bell@navy.mil
757.322.4854

Prepared By:
Geo-Marine, Inc.
2713 Magruder Boulevard, Suite D
Hampton, Virginia 23666-1572

Prepared For:
Department of the Navy,
U.S. Fleet Forces Command
Contract: N62470-02-D-9997
Task Order: 0056

Suggested Citation: Department of the Navy. 2008. Marine Resources Assessment Update for the Charleston/Jacksonville Operating Area. Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Atlantic; Norfolk, Virginia. Contract Number N62470-02-D-9997, Task Order Number 0056. Prepared by Geo-Marine, Inc., Hampton, Virginia.

Cover Photograph: Courtesy of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration/ Department of Commerce. Photographer: Jackie Reid.

Title Page Photograph: Courtesy of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration/ Department of Commerce. Photographer: Steve Gittings.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Department of the Navy (DoN) would like to thank the many people who provided scientific data, literature, and information for this Marine Resources Assessment (MRA). This compilation would not have been possible without the generosity of many, whom we acknowledge below.

The protected species section of this report is a key component and would have been impossible to complete without the many data acquired from researchers. We are grateful for the effort expended by the scientists associated with the National Marine Fisheries Service, who provided protected species data for the study area. In particular, we acknowledge the efforts of Drs. Keith Mullin, Lance Garrison, John Bohnsack, Chris Sasso, Ms. Wendy Teas, Ms. Jenny Litz, and Ms. Blair Mase-Gunthrie of the Southeast Fisheries Science Center and Dr. Debra Paulka of the Northeast Fisheries Science Center. Data for the study area from the NMFS North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium database were furnished by Dr. Robert Kenney. Dr. David Hyrenbach of Duke University provided access to marine mammal and sea turtle sighting data. Bill McLellan provided access to marine mammal sighting data. Michael Coyne of Duke University provided Kemp's ridley sea turtle habitat suitability maps. Matthew Godfrey of the North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission provided sea turtle nesting data. Mike Arendt, Sally Murphy, and DuBose Griffin of the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources and Catherine McClellan of Duke University provided sea turtle tracking data.

No less important to the successful completion of this MRA are those researchers who shared data on a myriad of other subject areas. We thank Dr. Ric Ruebsamen of the NMFS, Southeast Region for his continued assistance and guidance regarding essential fish habitat. Information on the locations of deepwater coral was contributed by Dr. Steve Ross, University of North Carolina at Wilmington. High resolution sea surface temperature data was provided by the Institute of Marine and Coastal Sciences at Rutgers University.

For technical assistance with and review of the protected species material in this MRA, we would like to Dr. Robert Kenney (North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium). We have attempted to acknowledge the contributions of everyone who assisted in the completion of this MRA, but recognize that we may have inadvertently neglected to mention a few names; the oversight was not intentional nor was the assistance any less appreciated.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The DoN is committed to environmental stewardship in the execution of its national defense mission. The Navy is responsible for compliance with a variety of complex federal, environmental, and natural resources laws and regulations that apply to the marine environment. These include the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA), the Endangered Species Act (ESA), the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act/Sustainable Fisheries Act (MSFCMA/SFA), and Executive Order 13089 on Coral Reef Protection among others. The Commander, U.S. Fleet Forces Command (FFC) implemented the Marine Resource Assessment (MRA) program to develop a comprehensive data and literature compilation of protected and managed marine resources within its various operating areas (OPAREAs). The information that this, the Jacksonville and Charleston (JAX/CHASN), MRA Update provides is vital for planning purposes and for supporting various types of environmental documentation, such as biological and environmental assessments, that must be prepared in accordance with the NEPA, MMPA, ESA, and MSFCMA/SFA.

The original MRA for the Charleston/Jacksonville (JAX/CHASN) OPAREA was published in August of 2002. This document provides an update detailing the marine resources within and adjacent to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA adding recent data and relevant research information. An overview of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Figure E-1) marine environment describes the important physical parameters that likely influence the occurrence and distribution of protected and managed marine species and habitats. Characteristics and life histories of protected species, such as marine mammals, sea turtles, and fish that occur in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA are included. Seasonal occurrence patterns of marine mammals and sea turtles are identified, mapped, and described along with likely associated factors (e.g., behavioral, climatic, or oceanographic). Oceanic benthic communities including coral, live/hard bottom, and artificial habitats are investigated and mapped. Overviews of the fish assemblages in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and information on the seasonal distribution of fishing activities, both commercial and recreational, have been completed. Detailed summaries and the associated graphical depiction of essential fish habitat (EFH) and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for those fish and invertebrate species designated in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA are provided, including status, distribution, and EFH/HAPC by lifestage. Additional relevant information includes the locations of federal maritime boundaries, navigable waters, marine managed areas, and recreational SCUBA dive sites relative to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.



Regional map of the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and nearby military installations.

Thorough literature and data searches were conducted to verify and expand upon information previously related in the original JAX/CHASN MRA. Available sighting, stranding, incidental fisheries bycatch, satellite-tracking, and nest data for marine mammals and sea turtles were compiled and analyzed to assess occurrence patterns of these protected species in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Marine mammal and sea turtle seasonal occurrence predictions are based on sightings-per-unit-effort calculations derived from appropriate line-transect survey data.

Geographical representations of marine resource occurrences in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA are a major feature of this MRA. A geographic information system (GIS) was used to enter, store, manipulate, analyze, and visualize the spatial data and information accumulated for the original JAX/CHASN MRA and data collected since. Over 160 GIS-generated map figures are included in this update. Data layers associated with these maps consist of bathymetry, sea-surface temperature, protected and managed species' occurrences, fishing grounds, Navy OPAREAs, and EFH, as well as many others. Metadata, or documentation of GIS data, were also prepared for each GIS figure.

REPORT ORGANIZATION

This MRA consists of nine major chapters and associated appendices:

- **Chapter 1 Introduction**—contains background information on the JAX/CHASN MRA, an explanation of its purposes and need, a review of relevant environmental legislation, and a description of methodologies in the preparation of the assessment;
- **Chapter 2 Physical & Biological Environment**—describes the JAX/CHASN OPAREA physical environment, including climate, marine geology (physiography, bathymetry, and bottom substrate), physical oceanography (circulation and currents), hydrography (water temperature and salinity), and biological oceanography (productivity and plankton);
- **Chapter 3 Protected Species**—discusses the protected marine mammals, sea turtles, and fish found in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, with detailed narratives of their morphology, status, habitat associations, distribution, behavior, life history, acoustics (marine mammals and sea turtles only), and hearing (marine mammals and sea turtles only);
- **Chapter 4 Habitats of Concern**—details the occurrence of *Sargassum*, corals, hard bottom communities, and artificial habitats located in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA;
- **Chapter 5 Fish and Fisheries**—investigates fish assemblages, EFH, and fishing activities (commercial and recreational) that occur within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA;
- **Chapter 6 Additional Considerations**—provides information on maritime boundaries, navigable waters, marine managed areas, recreational diving locations, and light tower and buoys;
- **Chapter 7 Recommendations**—suggests future research activities identified during this project that would supply much needed biological or oceanographic data within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, and prioritizes research needs from a cost/benefit approach;
- **Chapter 8 List of Preparers**—lists all individuals who helped to prepare the JAX/CHASN MRA Update;
- **Chapter 9 Glossary**—defines terms used in this MRA;
- **Appendix A**—provides supporting information for Chapter 1, such as data confidence levels and map projection information, data sources of protected species research efforts, and maps of protected species survey efforts;

- **Appendix B**—provides marine mammal occurrence maps;
- **Appendix C**—describes sea turtle occurrence maps; and
- **Appendix D**—presents EFH maps.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

Page

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

Page

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

Page

APPENDICES

LIST OF TABLES

No. Page

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF FIGURES (cont'd)

No. Page

LIST OF FIGURES (cont'd)

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

3D	Three-dimensional
ºC	Degrees Celsius
ºF	Degrees Fahrenheit
µm	Micrometer(s)
µPa	Micropascal(s)
AA	Aggregation Area
AABW	Antarctic Bottom Water
ABR	Auditory Brainstem Response
ACCSP	Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program
ACCSTR	Archie Carr Center for Sea Turtle Research
AGRRA	Atlantic and Gulf Rapid Reef Assessments
ALWTRP	Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan
AMNH	American Museum of Natural History
ARPA	Advanced Projects Research Agency
ASMFC	Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission
AVHRR	Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BTS	Bureau of Transportation Statistics
C	Carbon
CA	Closed Area
$CaCO_3$	Calcium Carbonate
CBRA	Coastal Barrier Resources Act
CCL	Curved Carapace Length
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CETAP	Cetacean and Turtle Assessment Program
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CHA	Critical Habitat
C-HAPC	Coral Habitat Area of Particular Concern
CHPT	Cherry Point
CITES	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Flora and Fauna
CIA	Central Intelligence Agency
cm	Centimeter(s)
COMLANTFLT	Commander, U.S. Atlantic Fleet
CORMP	Coastal Ocean Research and Monitoring Program
CR	Critically Endangered
CSC	Coastal Services Center
CWA	Clean Water Act
CZCS	Coastal Zone Color Scanner
CZMA	Coastal Zone Management Act
dB	Decibel
dB re 1µPa-m	Decibels at the Reference Level of One Micropascal at One Meter Distance
DCM	Deep Chlorophyll Maximum
DSCS	Deep-Sea Coral and Sponge
DN	Pixel Value (Digital Number)
DNM	Deployed Noise and Measurement
DNR	Department of Natural Resources
DOALOS	Division for Ocean Affairs and the Law of the Sea
DoC	Department of Commerce
DoD	Department of Defense
DoF	Department of Fisheries
DoI	Department of Interior

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (cont'd)

DoN	Department of the Navy
DoS	Department of State
DoT	Department of Transportation
DPS	Distinct Population Segment
DSCS	Deep-Sea Coral and Sponge
DUML	Duke University Marine Laboratory
DWBC	Deep Western Boundary Current
EA	Environmental Assessment
EEZ	Exclusive Economic Zone
EFH	Essential Fish Habitat
EFS	East Florida Shelf
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
ENSO	El Niño/Southern Oscillation
EO	Executive Order
EORR	Experimental *Oculina* Research Reserve
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESRI	Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc.
EWS	Early Warning System
FAD	Fish Aggregating Device
FCMA	Fishery Conservation and Management Act
FDEP	Florida Department of Environmental Protection
FDT	Florida Department of Transportation
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement
FFC	Fleet Forces Command
FFWCC	Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission
FHCZ	Fisheries Habitat Conservation Zone
FKNMS	Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary
FL	Florida
FMNH	Florida Museum of Natural History
FMC	Fishery Management Council
FMP	Fishery Management Plan
FMZ	Fishery Management Zone
FMRI	Florida Marine Research Institute
ft	Feet
FWPCA	Federal Water Pollution Control Act
g	Gram(s)
GA	Georgia
GDAIS	General Dynamics Advanced Information System
GEBCO-SCUFN	General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans-Sub-Committee on Undersea Feature Names
GIS	Geographic Information System
GMFMC	Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council
GMI	Geo-Marine, Inc.
GSMFC	Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission
HAPC	Habitat Areas of Particular Concern
HMS	Highly Migratory Species
HTML	Hyper Text Markup Language
Hz	Hertz
ICAO	International Civil Aviation Organization
ICRAN	International Coral Reef Action Network
IDW	Inverse Distance Weighted
IMaRS	Institute for Marine Remote Sensing
in	Inch

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (cont'd)

IOC	Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission
ISOW	Iceland-Scotland Overflow Water
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
IUCN-WCPA	International Union for Conservation of Nature-World Commission on Protected Areas
IWC	International Whaling Commission
JAX/CHASN	Jacksonville/Charleston
kg	Kilogram(s)
kHz	Kilohertz
km	Kilometer(s)
km^2	Square Kilometers
l	Liter(s)
LC	Location Class
LAT	Latitude
LIW	Labrador Intermediate Water
LON	Longitude
m	Meter(s)
m^2	Square meters
m^3	Cubic Meters
MAB	Mid-Atlantic Bight
MAFMC	Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council
MARAD	U.S. Maritime Administration
MARMAP	Marine Resources Monitoring Assessment and Prediction
MATS	Mid-Atlantic *Tursiops* Surveys
MCALF	Marine Corps Auxiliary Landing Field
MCAS	Marine Corps Air Station
MCB	Marine Corps Base
MCOLF	Marine Corps Outlying Field
MFCMA	Magnuson Fishery Conservation Management Act
mg	Milligram(s)
mi^2	Square Mile(s)
min	Minute(s)
mm	Millimeter(s)
MMA	Marine Managed Area
MMC	Marine Mammal Commission
MML	Mote Marine Laboratory
MMPA	Marine Mammal Protection Act
MMS	Minerals Management Service
MNR	Managed Nature Reserve
MPA	Marine Protected Area
MPH	Mile(s) per hour
MPPRCA	Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act
MPRSA	Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act
MR	Marine Reserve
MRA	Marine Resources Assessment
msec	Millisecond(s)
MSFCMA	Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act
MSY	Maximum Sustainable Yield
MU	Management Unit
NADW	North Atlantic Deep Water
NAO	North Atlantic Oscillation
NARP	National Artificial Reef Plan
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (cont'd)

NAVO	Naval Oceanographic Office
NC	North Carolina
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NERR	National Estuarine Research Reserve
NFEA	National Fishing Enhancement Act
NGDC	National Geophysical Data Center
NM	Nautical Mile(s)
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NMML	National Marine Mammal Laboratory
NMPAC	National Marine Protected Area Center
NMS	National Marine Sanctuary
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOS	National Ocean Service
NP	National Park
NPMS	National Pipeline Mapping System
NPS	National Park Service
NRC	National Research Council
NRFCC	National Recreational Fisheries Coordination Council
NS	National Seashore
NSIP	National Implementation Support Partnerships
NURP	NOAA's Undersea Research Program
NWR	National Wildlife Refuge
NWRA	National Wildlife Refuge Association
OBIS	Ocean Biogeographic Information System
OCS	Outer Continental Shelf
O-HAPC	*Oculina* Habitat Area of Particular Concern
ONR	Office of Naval Research
OPAREA	Operating Area
OPIS	Ocean Planning Information System
PBR	Potential Biological Removal
PDF	Portable Document Format
pH	Power or Potential of Hydrogen
PL	Public Law
PODACC	Physical Oceanography Distributed Active Archive Center
POP	Platforms of Opportunity
psu	Practical Salinity Unit
PTT	Platform Transmitter Terminal
RBF	Reef Ball Foundation
RCMP	Range Complex Management Plan
REEF	Reef Environmental Education Foundation
RFRCP	Recreational Fishery Resources Conservation Plan
rms	Root Mean Squared
SAB	South Atlantic Bight
SAFMC	South Atlantic Fishery Management Council
SAIC	Science Applications International Corporation
SAV	Submerged Aquatic Vegetation
SC	South Carolina
SCDNR	South Carolina Department of Natural Resources
SCUBA	Self-contained Underwater Breathing Apparatus
SEADESC	Southeastern Deep Sea Coral
SEAMAP	Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program
sec	Second(s)
SEFSC	Southeast Fisheries Science Center
SEL	Sound Exposure Level

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (cont'd)

SETS	Southeast Turtle Surveys
SFA	Sustainable Fisheries Act
SL	Standard Length
SOSUS	Sound Surveillance System
sp.	Species
spp.	Species (plural)
SMZ	Special Management Zone
SST	Sea Surface Temperature
STSSN	Sea Turtle Stranding and Salvage Network
SUW	Subtropical Underwater
SV	Sverdrup (1,000,000 m^3/sec)
SWIMA	Surface Water Improvement Management Area
TAC	Total Allowable Catch
TEWG	Turtle Expert Working Group
TIN	Triangular Irregular Network
TL	Total Length
TNC	The Nature Conservancy
TTS	Temporary Threshold Shift
U.N.	United Nations
UNCLOS	United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea
UNEP	United Nations Environmental Progamme
UNEP-WCMC	United Nations Environment Programme-World Conservation Monitoring Centre
U.S.	United States
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
U.S.C.	United States Code
USCG	United States Coast Guard
USF	University of South Florida
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	United States Geological Survey
VA	Virginia
VACAPES	Virginia Capes
VU	Vulnerable
WBUC	Western Boundary Under Current
WCA	Wildlife Conservation Act
WCMC	World Conservation Monitoring Centre
WCTA	Wildlife Conservation and Trade Act
WMR	Wild Marine Reserves
XBT	Expendable Bathythermograph
XML	Extensible Markup Language
YOY	Young-of-the-year

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Marine Resources Assessment (MRA) was contracted by the United States (U.S.) Navy's (Navy) U.S. Fleet Forces (USFF) to update data and information concerning the protected and commercial marine resources found in the Charleston/Jacksonville Operating Area (JAX/CHASN OPAREA; Figure 1-1). This document serves as an update to the original MRA for the JAX/CHASN OPAREA published in August of 2002.

1.1 PURPOSE AND NEED

This MRA updates information that describes and documents the marine resources in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity, including both protected and commercially important marine species, and provides a compilation of the most recent data and information on resource distribution and occurrences. A synopsis of environmental data for the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity and in-depth discussions of the species and habitats of concern found in the region are included. The locations of essential fish habitat (EFH), habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC), and fishing grounds (recreational and commercial) as well as other areas of interest (such as marine managed areas and scuba diving sites), are also addressed. Finally, important data gaps are identified and recommendations for future JAX/CHASN OPAREA research are suggested.

Information provided herein will serve as a baseline from which the Navy can effectively plan future actions and consider adjustments to training exercises or operations to mitigate potential impacts to commercial and protected marine resources. This assessment will contribute to the Navy's Integrated Long-Range Planning Process and represents an important component in ongoing compliance with U.S. federal mandates that aim to protect and manage resources in the marine environment. All species and habitats that are potentially affected by the Navy's maritime exercises and are protected by U.S. federal resource laws or executive orders are considered in this assessment.

Exhaustive searches and reviews of relevant literature and data were conducted to summarize marine features pertinent to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity, protected species occurrence patterns, and distributions of important marine habitats occurring in the region. To describe the physical environment of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity, physiographic, bathymetric, geologic, hydrographic, and oceanographic data are presented. Comprehensive sighting, stranding, incidental fisheries bycatch, tagging, satellite tracking, and sea turtle nest data for protected marine mammals and sea turtles were compiled, analyzed, and interpreted to predict occurrence patterns. Seasonal variations in occurrence patterns are identified, mapped, and described along with associated factors (e.g., behavioral, climatic, or oceanographic). Characteristics of protected species, such as their behaviors and life histories, relevant to the evaluation of potential impacts of Navy operations, are included. Locations of benthic communities (live/hard bottom communities and corals), artificial habitats (artificial reefs and shipwrecks), and EFH/HAPC are also addressed. To supplement these key aspects, information and data regarding fishing activities (recreational and commercial), U.S. maritime boundaries, navigable waters, marine managed areas (MMAs), and scuba diving sites in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity.

1.2 LOCATION OF OPAREA

The JAX/CHASN OPAREA is located in the South Atlantic Bight (SAB) (i.e., the waters of the northwestern Atlantic Ocean off the coasts of southern North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and northeastern Florida) (Figure 1-1). The JAX/CHASN OPAREA consists of two separate OPAREAs: Charleston in the north and Jacksonville to the south. The boundary that separates these OPAREAs from one another is located between 31° and 32°N latitudes.

The JAX/CHASN OPAREA covers 172,249 square kilometers (km^2) (or 66,505 square miles [mi^2]) of ocean area within the SAB. The majority of the western boundary of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is located approximately 3 nautical miles (NM) off the southeast U.S. coast, except for the area off southern



Figure 1-1. The Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA is located along the U.S. Atlantic coast off the states of North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida. Source data: GDT and ESRI (2002) and SRS Technologies (2001).

Georgia and northeastern Florida (surface grid block rows 20 through 25), where the boundary lies from 3 to 7 NM from shore. This shoreward boundary ranges from waters southwest of the New River, North Carolina to waters just north of the Indian and Banana River Complex, Florida. The northernmost point of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is located just north of Wilmington, North Carolina (34°37' N) in waters less than 20 meters (m) deep, while the furthest eastern boundary lies 281 NM from Jacksonville, Florida (77°00' W) in waters with a bottom depth of nearly 2,000 m.

The federally designated North Atlantic right whale critical habitat, which encompasses this highly endangered species' calving grounds off southern Georgia and northeastern Florida, is located in the southwestern region of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Adjacent to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is a long chain of small barrier islands and beaches, which represent some of the northernmost nesting habitats for sea turtles in the U.S. (Hopkins-Murphy et al. 2001). These unconnected islands are separated from each other by narrow tidal inlets and are separated from the mainland by shallow sounds and estuaries. The southeast U.S. coast is also an important habitat for juvenile sea turtles, which use the shallow sounds and estuaries of the SAB as developmental habitats. One dominant coastal feature, Cape Fear, is the separation point between two major bays, Onslow and Long, which are found adjacent to the northern part of the OPAREA.

1.3 Applicable Legislation

The primary environmental laws that govern Navy activities in the marine environment include the National Environmental Policy Act, the Marine Mammal Protection Act, the Endangered Species Act, and the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. The following sections are chronological lists of the many laws and regulations that the Navy must consider when conducting maritime operations in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity.

1.3.1 Federal Resource Laws

- The **National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969** established national policies and aims for environmental protection. The NEPA aims to encourage harmony between people and the environment, to promote efforts to prevent or eliminate damage to the environment and the biosphere, and to enrich the understanding of ecological systems and natural resources important to the U.S. Thus, environmental factors must be given appropriate consideration in all decisions made by federal agencies.

  The NEPA is divided into two sections: Title I outlines a basic national charter for environmental protection, while Title II establishes the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ), which monitors the progress made towards achieving the goals set forth in Section 101 of the NEPA. Other duties of the CEQ include advising the President on environmental issues and providing guidance to other federal agencies on compliance with the NEPA.

  Section 102(2) of the NEPA contains "action-forcing" provisions that require federal agencies to act according to the letter and the spirit of the law. These procedural requirements direct all federal agencies to give appropriate consideration to the environmental effects of their decision-making and to prepare detailed environmental statements on recommendations or reports on proposals for legislation and other major federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the environment.

  Future studies and/or actions that require federal compliance which may utilize data contained in this MRA should be prepared in accordance with Section 102(2)(c) of the NEPA, the CEQ regulations on implementing NEPA procedures (40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] 1500-1508), and the Department of the Navy (DON) regulations on implementing NEPA procedures (32 CFR 775).

- The **Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) of 1972** established a moratorium on marine mammal "takes" in waters or on lands under U.S. jurisdiction. The MMPA defines a "take" as "to harass, hunt, capture, or kill, or attempt to harass, hunt, capture, or kill any marine mammal" (16 U.S. Code [U.S.C.]

1362[13]). It also prohibits the importation into the U.S. of any marine mammal or parts or products thereof, unless it is for the purpose of scientific research or public display, as permitted by the Secretary of the Interior or the Secretary of Commerce. In the 1994 amendments to the MMPA, two levels of "harassment" were defined. Harassment is defined as any act of pursuit, torment, or annoyance that has the potential to injure a marine mammal or marine mammal stock in the wild (Level A), or any act that has the potential to disturb a marine mammal or marine mammal stock in the wild by disrupting behavioral patterns, including, but not limited to migration, breathing, nursing, breeding, feeding, or sheltering (Level B). In 2003, the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2004 altered the MMPA's definition of Levels A and B harassment in regards to military readiness and scientific research activities conducted by or on behalf of the federal government. Under these changes, Level A harassment was redefined as any act that injures or has the significant potential to injure a marine mammal or marine mammal stock in the wild. Level B harassment was redefined as any act that disturbs or is likely to disturb a marine mammal or marine mammal stock in the wild by causing disruption of natural behavioral patterns, including, but not limited to, migration, surfacing, nursing, breeding, feeding, or sheltering, to a point where such behavioral patterns are abandoned or significantly altered.

Section 101(a)(5)(A) of the MMPA directs the Secretary of Commerce, upon request, to authorize the unintentional taking of small numbers of marine mammals incidental to activities (other than commercial fishing). This can only be done when, after notice and opportunity for public comment, the Secretary: (1) determines that total takes during a five-year (or less) period have a negligible impact on the affected species or stock, and (2) prescribes necessary regulations that detail methods of taking and monitoring and requirements for reporting. The MMPA provides that the moratorium on takes may be waived when the affected species or population stock is at its optimum sustainable population and will not be disadvantaged by the authorized takes (i.e., be reduced below its maximum net productivity level). Section 101(a)(5)(A) also specifies that the Secretary has the right to deny marine mammal taking if, after notice and opportunity for public comment, the Secretary finds: (1) that applicable regulations regarding taking, monitoring, and reporting are not being followed, or (2) that takes are, or may be, having more than a negligible impact on the affected species or stock.

- The **Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act (MPRSA)**, often referred to as the "Ocean Dumping Act," was also enacted in 1972, two days after passage of the MMPA. The MPRSA regulates the dumping of toxic materials beyond U.S. territorial waters and provides guidelines for the designation and regulation of marine sanctuaries. MPRSA Titles I and II prohibit persons or vessels subject to U.S. jurisdiction from transporting any material out of the U.S. for the purpose of dumping it into ocean waters without a permit. The term "dumping," however, does not include the intentional placement of devices in ocean waters or on the sea bottom when the placement occurs pursuant to an authorized federal or state program.

- The **Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA) of 1972** established a voluntary national program through which states can develop and implement coastal zone management plans (USFWS 2000a). The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), under the Secretary of Commerce, administers this act. States use coastal zone management plans "to manage and balance competing uses of and impacts to any coastal use or resource" (NOAA 2000). A coastal zone management plan must be given federal approval before the state can implement the plan (USFWS 2000a). The plan must include, among other things, defined boundaries of the coastal zone, identified uses of the area that the state will regulate, a list of mechanisms that will be employed to control the regulated uses, and guidelines for prioritizing the regulated uses. Currently, there are 33 U.S. states and territories with federally approved coastal zone management plans. These states and territories manage 82,880 NM (99.9%) of U.S. shoreline along the Atlantic, Pacific, and Arctic Oceans as well as the Great Lakes (NOAA 2003).

  The CZMA also instituted a Federal Consistency requirement, which provides federal agencies with restrictions concerning their behavior in relation to state managed coastal zones. Federal agency actions that affect any land or water use or natural resource of the coastal zone (e.g., military operations, outer continental shelf lease sales, dredging projects) must be "consistent to the maximum extent practicable" with the enforceable policies of a state's coastal management program (Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments of 1990). The Federal Consistency requirement was enacted as a mechanism to address coastal effects, to ensure adequate federal consideration of state coastal management programs, and to avoid conflicts between states and federal agencies by fostering early consultation and coordination (NOAA 2000). Within each state's coastal management plan is a list of the federal agency activities for which Consistency Determinations must be prepared. Under certain circumstances, the President is authorized to exempt specific activities from the Federal Consistency requirement if they determine that the activities are in the paramount interest of the U.S.

- The **Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973** established protection for and conservation of threatened and endangered species and the ecosystems upon which they depend. An "endangered" species is a species that is in danger of extinction throughout or within a significant portion of its range, while a "threatened" species is one that is likely to become endangered within the foreseeable future throughout or within a significant portion of its range. All federal agencies are required to implement protection programs for threatened and endangered species and to use their authority to further the purposes of the ESA. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) jointly administer the ESA and are also responsible for the listing (i.e., the labeling of a species as either threatened or endangered) of all "candidate" species. A "candidate" species is one that is the subject of either a petition to list or status review, and for which the NMFS or USFWS has determined that listing may be or is warranted (NMFS 2004). The NMFS is further charged with the listing of all "species of concern" that fall under its jurisdiction. A "species of concern" is one about which the NMFS has concerns regarding status and threats but for which insufficient information is available to indicate a need to list the species under the ESA (NMFS 2004).

  A species may be a candidate for threatened or endangered status due to any of five factors: (1) current/imminent destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range; (2) overuse of the species for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes; (3) high levels of disease or predation; (4) inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms; or (5) other natural or human-induced factors affecting its continued existence.

  The major responsibilities of the USFWS and the NMFS under the ESA include: (1) the identification of threatened and endangered species; (2) the identification of critical habitats for these species; (3) the implementation of research programs and recovery plans for these species; and (4) the consultation with other federal agencies concerning measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate the impacts of their activities on these species (Section 7 of the ESA). Further duties of the USFWS and the NMFS include regulating takes of listed species on public or private land (Section 9) and granting incidental take permits to agencies that may unintentionally take listed species during their activities (Section 10a). The ESA allows the designation of geographic areas as critical habitat for threatened or endangered species. The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of a threatened or endangered species are included in the habitat designation. Designation of critical habitat affects only federal agency actions and federally funded or permitted activities.

  There are seven marine mammals, five sea turtles, and one marine fish listed as threatened or endangered with the potential to occurrence in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity (Table 1-1). Of the marine mammals, the NMFS has jurisdiction over cetaceans while the USFWS has jurisdiction over the West Indian manatee in U.S. territorial waters. The NMFS has jurisdiction over sea turtles while they are in the water, and the USFWS has jurisdiction over nesting individuals. The distinct population segment (DPS) of smalltooth sawfish in U.S. waters was designated as endangered by the NMFS and the USFWS.

  The Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976, later renamed the **Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MFCMA)** in 1980, established a 200 NM fishery conservation zone in U.S. waters and a regional network of Fishery Management Councils (FMCs). The FMCs are comprised of federal and state officials, including the USFWS, which oversee fishing activities within

Table 1-1. The Endangered Species Act (ESA) designated species with potential occurrence in the Charleston and Jacksonville OPAREA. Marine mammal taxonomy follows Rice (1998) for the West Indian manatee and the IWC (2005) for cetaceans except for the North Atlantic right whale, which was revised by Rosenbaum et al. (2000). Sea turtle taxonomy follows Pritchard (1997). Fish taxonomy follows Nelson et al. (2004).

Taxon Group	Scientific Name	ESA Status
Marine Mammals		
North Atlantic right whale	*Eubalaena glacialis*	Endangered
Humpback whale	*Megaptera novaeangliae*	Endangered
Sei whale	*Balaenoptera borealis*	Endangered
Fin whale	*Balaenoptera physalus*	Endangered
Blue whale	*Balaenoptera musculus*	Endangered
Sperm whale	*Physeter macrocephalus*	Endangered
West Indian manatee	*Trichechus manatus*	Endangered
Sea Turtles		
Leatherback turtle	*Dermochelys coriacea*	Endangered
Loggerhead turtle	*Caretta caretta*	Threatened
Kemp's ridley turtle	*Lepidochelys kempii*	Endangered
Green turtle	*Chelonia mydas*	Threatened[1]
Hawksbill turtle	*Eretmochelys imbricata*	Endangered
Fishes		
Smalltooth sawfish	*Pristis pectinata*	Endangered

¹ Although these species as a whole is listed as threatened, the Florida and Mexican Pacific nesting stocks of green turtles are listed as endangered.

the fishery management zone. The act and its later amendments through the 1980s established national standards (e.g., scientific information, allocations, efficiency, and cost/benefit) for fishery conservation and management. In 1977, the multifaceted regional management system began allocating harvesting rights, with priority given to domestic enterprises. Since a substantial portion of fishery resources in offshore waters was allocated for foreign harvest, these foreign allocations were eventually reduced as domestic fish harvesting and processing industries expanded under the domestic preference authorized by the MFCMA. At that time, exclusive federal management authority over U.S. domestic fisheries resources was vested in the NMFS.

The authority to place observers on commercial fishing and processing vessels operating in specific geographic areas is also provided by the MFCMA. The data collected by the National Observer Program, which is overseen by the NMFS, is often the best means to obtain current data on the status of many fisheries. Without observers and observer programs, sufficient fisheries data for effective management would not exist. Observer programs also satisfy requirements of the ESA and MMPA by documenting incidental fisheries bycatch of federally protected species, such as marine mammals and sea turtles.

- In 1977, Congress addressed heightened concern over water pollution by amending the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (FWPCA) of 1948. The 1977 amendments, known as the **Clean Water Act (CWA)**, extensively altered the FWPCA. For a synopsis of FWPCA initiatives prior to 1977, consult USFWS (2000b), which documents the history of the FWPCA since its origin.

The CWA established the first step towards a comprehensive solution to the country's serious water pollution problems (EPA 2002). Through standards, technical tools, and financial assistance, the CWA aims to accomplish two goals: (1) to make U.S. waters fishable and swimmable and (2) to eliminate contaminant discharge into such waters. Under the authority of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the act sets water quality standards for all pollutants, requires a permit for the discharge of pollutants from a point source, and funds sewage treatment plant construction (EPA 2002). Section 403 of the CWA establishes permit guidelines specific to the discharge of contaminants into the territorial sea, the contiguous zone, and waters further offshore (USFWS 2000b). The Chief of Engineers and the Secretary of the Army must approve discharges of dredged or fill material into all waters of the U.S., including wetlands. In addition to regulating pollution in offshore waters, the CWA, under the amendment known as the Water Quality Act of 1987, also requires state and federal agencies to devise programs and management plans that aim to maintain the biological and chemical integrity of estuarine waters. In estuaries of national significance (i.e., those designated by the EPA's National Estuary Program), the NOAA is permitted to conduct water quality research in order to evaluate state and federal management efforts. Sensitive estuarine habitats, such as seagrass beds and wetlands, are protected from pollution under this act.

- To protect undeveloped coastal barrier landforms, Congress passed the **Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA)** in 1982. This statute created the John H. Chafee Coastal Barrier Resources System, which consists of various undeveloped coastal barriers, such as barrier islands, barrier spits, sea islands, tombolos, bay barriers (baymouth bars), and fringing mangroves. Any development on these coastal barriers cannot receive new federal financial assistance unless it falls within one of the exceptions, such as fish and wildlife research and military activities essential to national security. The Secretary of the Interior maintains the set of maps that defines the system, which must be reevaluated at least every five years to determine if the coastal barrier boundaries should be altered.

  The most significant amendment to the CBRA was the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990. This act added additional undeveloped coastal barriers to the system, altered the definition of "coastal barrier" to include more areas, such as the Florida Keys, and provided additional exemptions from the funding prohibitions (USFWS 2000c). Local and state governments and nonprofit conservation organizations can now voluntarily add lands in their possession to the system. The system now includes 5,150 km^2 of coastal barriers that cover 1,940 km of shoreline (USFWS 2000c).

- In addition to the CWA, the **Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act (MPPRCA) of 1987** also regulates the discharge of contaminants into the ocean. Under this federal statute, the discharge of any plastic materials (including synthetic ropes, fishing nets, plastic bags, and biodegradable plastics) into the ocean is prohibited. The discharge of other materials, such as floating dunnage, food waste, paper, rags, glass, metal, and crockery, is also regulated by this act. Ships are permitted to discharge these types of refuse into the water, but they may only do so when beyond a set distance from shore, as prescribed by the MPPRCA. An additional component of this act requires that all ocean-going, U.S. flag vessels greater than 12.2 m in length, as well as all manned, fixed, or floating platforms subject to U.S. jurisdiction, keep records of garbage discharges and disposals (NOAA 1998).

- Passage of the **Oil Pollution Act of 1990** further increased the protection of our nation's oceans. In addition to amending the CWA, this act also details new policies relating to oil spill prevention and cleanup methods. Any party that is responsible for a vessel, offshore facility, or deepwater port that could potentially cause an oil spill must maintain proof of financial responsibility for potential damage and removal costs. The act details which parties are liable in a variety of oil spill circumstances and what damage and removal costs must be paid. The President has the authority to use the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund to cover these costs when necessary. Any cost for which the fund is used must be in accordance with the National Contingency Plan, which is an oil and hazardous substance pollution prevention plan established by the CWA (USFWS 2000d). Federal, state, tribal, and foreign trustees must assess the natural resource damages that occur from oil spills in their trusteeships and develop plans to restore the damaged natural resources. The act also establishes the Interagency

Coordinating Committee on Oil Pollution Research, whose purpose is to research and develop plans for natural resource restoration and oil spill prevention.

- During the reauthorization of the MPRSA in 1992, Title III of the MPRSA was designated the **National Marine Sanctuaries Act**. Title III authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to designate and manage areas of the marine environment with nationally significant aesthetic, ecological, historical, or recreational value as national marine sanctuaries (NMS). The primary objective of this law is to protect marine resources, such as coral reefs, sunken historical vessels, or unique habitats while facilitating all compatible public and private uses of these resources. NMS, similar to underwater parks, are managed according to management plans, prepared by the NOAA on a site-by-site basis. The NOAA is the agency responsible for administering the National Marine Sanctuary Program.

- In 1996, the MFCMA was reauthorized and amended as the **Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSFCMA)**, known more popularly as the Sustainable Fisheries Act (SFA). The MSFCMA mandated numerous changes to the existing legislation designed to prevent overfishing, rebuild depleted fish stocks, minimize bycatch, enhance research, improve monitoring, and protect fish habitat. One of the most significant mandates in the MSFCMA is the EFH provision, which provides the means by which to conserve fish habitat. The EFH mandate requires that the regional FMCs, through federal Fishery Management Plans (FMPs), describe and identify EFH for each federally managed species, minimize to the extent practicable adverse effects on such habitat caused by fishing, and identify other actions to encourage the conservation and enhancement of such habitats. Congress defines EFH as "those waters and substrate necessary to fish for spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity" (16 U.S.C. 1802[10]). The term "fish" is defined in the MSFCMA as "finfish, mollusks, crustaceans, and all other forms of marine animals and plant life other than marine mammals and birds." The regulations for implementing EFH clarify that "waters" include all aquatic areas and their biological, chemical, and physical properties, while "substrate" includes the associated biological communities that make these areas suitable fish habitats (CFR 50:600.10). Habitats used at any time during a species' life cycle (i.e., during at least one of its lifestages) must be accounted for when describing and identifying EFH (NMFS 2002a).

  Authority to implement the MSFCMA is given to the Secretary of Commerce through the NMFS. The MSFCMA requires that the EFH be identified and described for each federally managed species. The identification must include descriptive information on the geographic range of the EFH for all lifestages, along with maps of the EFH for lifestages over appropriate time and space scales. Habitat requirements must also be identified, described, and mapped for all lifestages of each species. The NMFS and regional FMCs determine the species distributions by lifestage and characterize associated habitats, including habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC). The MSFCMA requires federal agencies to consult with the NMFS on activities that may adversely affect EFH or when the NMFS independently learns of a federal activity that may adversely affect EFH. The MSFCMA defines an adverse effect as "any impact which reduces quality and/or quantity of EFH [and] may include direct (e.g., contamination or physical disruption), indirect (e.g., loss of prey, reduction in species' fecundity), site-specific or habitat wide impacts, including individual, cumulative, or synergistic consequences of actions" (50 CFR 600.810). For actions that affect a threatened or endangered species, its critical habitat, or its EFH, federal agencies must initiate ESA and EFH consultations.

  Effective January 20, 2002, the EFH Final Rule was authorized, simplifying EFH regulations (NMFS 2002a). Significant changes delineated in the EFH Final Rule included: (1) clearer standards for identifying and describing EFH, including the geographic boundaries and a map of the EFH; (2) guidance for the FMCs regarding distinguishing EFH from other habitats; (3) further guidance for the FMCs on evaluating the impact of fishing activities on EFH; (4) clearer standards for deciding when FMCs should act to minimize adverse impacts on EFH; and (5) clarification and reinforcement of the EFH consultation procedures (NMFS 2002a). NMFS (2002a) describes the process by which federal agencies can integrate MSFCMA EFH consultations with ESA Section 7 consultations.

1.3.2 Executive Orders

- **Executive Order 12114 on Environmental Effects Abroad of Major Federal Actions** was passed in 1979 to further environmental objectives consistent with U.S. foreign and national security policies by extending the principles of the NEPA to the international stage. Under Executive Order 12114, federal agencies that engage in major actions that significantly affect a non-U.S. environment must prepare an environmental assessment of the action's effects on that environment. This is similar to an environmental impact statement (EIS) or environmental assessment (EA) developed under the NEPA for environments in the U.S. Certain actions, such as intelligence activities, disaster and emergency relief actions, and actions that occur in the course of an armed conflict are exempt from this order. Such exemptions do not apply to major federal actions that significantly affect an environment that is not within any nation's jurisdiction, unless permitted by law. The purpose of the order is to force federal agencies to consider the effects their actions have on international environments.

- **Executive Order 12962 on Recreational Fisheries** was enacted in 1995 to ensure that federal agencies strive to improve the "quantity, function, sustainable productivity, and distribution of U.S. aquatic resources" so that recreational fishing opportunities nationwide can increase. The overarching goal of this order is to promote the conservation, restoration, and enhancement of aquatic systems and fish populations by increasing fishing access, education and outreach, and multi-agency partnerships. The National Recreational Fisheries Coordination Council (NRFCC), co-chaired by the Secretaries of the Interior and Commerce, is charged with overseeing federal actions and programs that are mandated by this order. The specific duties of the NRFCC include: (1) ensuring that the social and economic values of healthy aquatic systems, which support recreational fisheries, are fully considered by federal agencies; (2) reducing duplicative and cost-inefficient efforts among federal agencies; and (3) disseminating the latest information and technologies to assist in the conservation and management of recreational fisheries.

  In June 1996, the NRFCC developed a comprehensive Recreational Fishery Resources Conservation Plan (RFRCP) specifying what member agencies would do to achieve the order's goals. In addition to defining federal agency actions, the plan also ensures agency accountability and provides a comprehensive mechanism to evaluate achievements. A major outcome of the RFRCP has been the increased utilization of artificial reefs to better manage recreational fishing stocks in U.S. waters (NMFS 1999a).

- **Executive Order 13089 on Coral Reef Protection** was issued in 1998 "to preserve and protect the biodiversity, health, heritage, and social and economic value of U.S. coral reef ecosystems and the marine environment." The executive order directs all federal agencies to protect coral reef ecosystems to the extent feasible and instructs particular agencies to develop coordinated science-based plans to restore damaged reefs as well as mitigate current and future impacts on reefs, both in the U.S. and around the globe (Agardy 2000). This order also establishes the interagency U.S. Coral Reef Task Force, co-chaired by the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Commerce through the Administrator of the NOAA.

- **Executive Order 13158, Marine Protected Areas**, of 2000 is a furtherance of Executive Order 13089. It created the framework for a national system of marine protected areas (MPAs). MPAs are defined in Executive Order 13158 as "any area of the marine environment that has been reserved by federal, state, territorial, tribal, or local laws or regulations to provide lasting protection for part or all of the natural and cultural resources therein." This executive order strengthened governmental interagency cooperation in protecting the marine environment. It also calls for strengthening management of these existing areas, creating new ones, and preventing harm to marine ecosystems by federally approved, conducted, or funded activities (Agardy 2000). Currently, the NOAA is redefining the criteria used to designate MPAs and has recently reclassified all existing MPAs as "marine managed areas." A more in-depth discussion on the NOAA's process of redefining MPAs is included in Chapter 6.

1.4 METHODOLOGY

1.4.1 Literature and Data Search

Exhaustive and systematic searches for relevant scientific literature and data were conducted. Once information vital to the production of this MRA report was identified, the information, data, or literature were obtained, reviewed, and catalogued. Of the available scientific literature (both published and unpublished), the following types of documents were utilized in the assessment: journals, books, periodicals, bulletins, monographs of scientific and professional societies, theses, dissertations, project reports, endangered species recovery plans, stock assessment reports, EISs, FMPs, and other technical reports published by government agencies, private businesses, or consulting firms. The scientific literature was also consulted during the search for geographic location data (geographic coordinates) on the occurrence of marine resources within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity.

To investigate the physical environment of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity; to summarize the occurrence patterns of marine mammals and sea turtles; to determine the locations of benthic communities, artificial habitats, and EFH/HAPC, as well as recreational and commercial fishing grounds; and to ascertain the distribution of maritime boundaries, shipping routes, marine managed areas, and diving sites, information was collected from the following sources:

- Academic and educational/research institutions: Duke University, Los Angeles County Museum, New England Aquarium, Texas A&M University [TAMU], and Virginia Institute of Marine Science [VIMS];
- University on-line databases: Ingenta;
- Online resources, including various databases and related websites: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)-Coastal Services Center, Ocean Biogeographic Information System (OBIS), U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC), South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (SAFMC), Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC), Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (GMFMC), WhaleNet, Blackwell-Science, FishBase, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission-Fish and Wildlife Research Institute, Food and Agriculture Organization, Federal Register, Marine Turtle Newsletter, Proceedings of the Annual Sea Turtle Symposium, Marine Turtle Research Group, Caretta Research Project. Caribbean Conservation Corporation, and Seaturtle.org;
- Federal agencies: DON, SAFMC, GMFMC, ASMFC, MAFMC, NMFS Highly Migratory Species (HMS) Division, NMFS Southeast Fisheries Science Center (NMFS-SEFSC), NMFS Southwest Fisheries Science Center (NMFS-SWFSC), NMFS Southeast Regional Office, NMFS Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NMFS-NEFSC), NMFS Northeast Regional Office, NMFS Office of Habitat Protection, Office of Protected Resources, NOAA Marine Managed Areas Inventory, USFWS Ecological Services Field Offices, Bureau of Land Management (BLM), and other state/regional agencies (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission [FFWCC]-Fish and Wildlife Research Institute [FWRI; formerly Florida Marine Research Institute], South Carolina Department of Natural Resources);
- Marine resource specialists and subject matter experts.

1.4.2 Spatial Data Representation—Geographic Information System

The geographical representation of marine resource occurrences in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity is a major constituent of this MRA report. The marine resources data and information accumulated for this project were obtained from a wide variety of sources, were in disparate formats, covered a broad range of time periods, and represented differing levels of accuracy and reliability. The spatial or geographical component that was common to all datasets allowed the widely dissimilar data to be synthesized and visualized in a meaningful manner. Without this common data characteristic, graphical display of such disparate data would have been difficult, if not impossible, to achieve.

The ability to display and analyze multiple data themes or layers simultaneously is one of the advantages to using a geographic information system (GIS) rather than other graphic software. A GIS software system was used to store, manipulate, analyze, and display the spatial data and information accumulated for the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity. For this project, Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc.'s (ESRI) ArcView® (versions 8.3 and 9.1) software was chosen due to its widespread use, ease of operation, and sophisticated analytical tools. Customizations were made to the software in ESRI's ArcObjects™ proprietary language to automate the more repetitive map-making tasks and the processing and analysis of large volumes of data.

The geographic locations of important marine resources in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity were derived from four types of sources (in order of reliability): source data, scanned source maps, source information, and information adapted from published maps. The “source data”, containing geographic coordinates or GIS files (shapefiles) were scrutinized to ascertain their data quality. If the data were in coordinate form, they were then converted to decimal degrees, if necessary, and text fields were renamed or added for ease of manipulation. Once standardized, the source data were imported into the GIS software. Some of the data were only available as graphical representations or “source maps.” These data were scanned, imported into ArcView®, and georeferenced, after which significant information was digitized into a shapefile format. Materials acquired as Adobe® portable document format (PDF) files were also treated as scanned source maps (i.e., they were georeferenced and pertinent information was digitized), since they were already in a digital form. A third type of source, “source information,” encompasses information that was neither taken from a scanned map nor was available in coordinate form. For example, maps displaying non-coordinate data, information given via personal communication, or information extracted from a literature description are referenced as source information. In certain cases, source maps and/or information had to be interpreted to be usable in the GIS environment. Maps displaying geographic information that was interpreted or altered from the original source map/information are noted in the figure caption as being “adapted from” with a corresponding source name.

The source type and associated references for all marine resource data presented in the map figures are listed in each figure’s caption (or in a table referenced in the map caption but located elsewhere in the report). The full reference citations for map source data or information may be found in the Literature Cited section of each MRA chapter or section. The two primary types of spatial information used in the JAX/CHASN MRA were coordinate data and scanned maps. These two source types are associated with differing levels of data reliability or confidence (Appendix A-1). Numerical or authentic data are associated with the highest level of reliability while data obtained by scanning source maps are less reliable.

Often source data were not in a standard format, there was no standard naming convention for species names, and some datasets included missing or unlabeled data fields. To mitigate these difficulties, many steps were taken to standardize and ensure the quality of the numerical data, especially for the marine mammal and sea turtle data. Therefore, prior to using the data, a master database was created in Microsoft® Access where the data format was standardized so that the data could be merged and later used in the GIS. To accomplish this, data were manipulated so that records were matched with a set of standard field names. In some cases, the latitude and longitude had to be converted to decimal degrees with accuracy to the fourth decimal place. Species’ common names were added to the database to replace the multiple species codes that often accompanied the original data. The codes or names used to identify species were not always consistent from one dataset to the next. Compiling a comprehensive list of species names increased the chances of plotting all sightings for a given species on the map figures. To maintain integrity of the original data, all fields and records were kept without alteration. When necessary, fields were created to store supplemental information or data that was altered from the original source. No original data fields were deleted and all added fields are signified by the “GMI_” prefix. For example, the field that was added to the main dataset to indicate the origin (source) of the data is indicated by the field name “GMI_source.”

GIS data are displayed as layers for which scale, extent, and display characteristics can be specified. Multiple themes are represented on an individual map figure. Throughout the project, data imported into ArcView® had to be maintained in the most universal, least transformed manner in order to avoid conflict between theme coordinate systems and projections. In the GIS, the most flexible spatial data format is the unprojected geographic coordinate system, which uses decimal-degree latitude and longitude coordinates (Appendix A-2). The decimal-degree format is the only coordinate system format that allows unlimited, temporary, custom projection and re-projection in ArcView® and is therefore the least restrictive spatial data format. The printed maps and electronic GIS map data for this MRA report are unprojected and are therefore not as spatially precise (in terms of distance, area, and shape) as a projected map. Consequently, the maps should not be used for measurement or analysis and an appropriate projection should be selected when using the GIS data.

Once the marine resource data were imported and stored in the GIS, maps were created representing multiple layers of either individual or combined data. The maps in this MRA report are presented in kilometers and nautical miles. The majority of maps in this report are presented in one of four formats: a landscape display that includes a full-page map; a landscape display that includes four seasonal maps on a single page; a set of two portrait displays that show four seasonal maps distributed over two facing pages (two seasonal maps per page); and a set of landscape displays on two facing pages of tabloid sized paper, each page of which includes 4 seasonal maps. Maps of each display type are presented at the same approximate scale; the full-page landscape maps are at the approximate scale of 1:7,788,793, each of the landscape maps shown four to a single page are at the approximate scale of 1:19,096,063, each of the two maps on a portrait display is at the approximate scale of 1:13,749,488, and each map on the tabloid pages is at the approximate scale of 1:13,028,481.

1.4.2.1 Maps of the Physical Environment—Oceanography

- _Bathymetry_—The bathymetry data used in this MRA represent two levels of sampling resolution. Raster depth data, usually shallower than 200 m, from NOAA's (2001a, 2001b) National Geophysical Data Center were sampled at 3-arcsecond resolution. The data were extracted at 15-arcsecond resolution to obtain a smaller and more usable file size. The Smith and Sandwell (1997) data (depths deeper than 200 m) were sampled and extracted at 2-arcminute resolution (Figure 1-2). Highly detailed vector bathymetry (i.e., isobaths) were prepared with contour intervals of 10 m for depths shallower than 200 m and with contour intervals of 100 m for depths greater than 200 m. Selected isobaths from the resulting two-dimensional contours are shown on the bathymetry figures and on various maps throughout the MRA report.

  To illustrate the three-dimensional (3D) bathymetry of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity, triangular irregular networks (TINs), which linearly interpolate intermediate data values between data points, were created in the ArcView® 3D Analyst extension using the combined bathymetry data. For this process, the NOAA bathymetry data were extracted at 30-arcsecond resolution. The NOAA data were then combined with the lower resolution Smith and Sandwell data to create the TIN. The TINs were added to the ArcView® 8.3 ArcScene™ extension to achieve the full 3D display (see Figure 2-1). ArcScene® allows the 3D display to be manipulated (rotated and tilted) and the vertical dimension to be exaggerated so that key physiographic features are emphasized in the 3D image. The most authentic display was exported directly from an ArcScene® view as a graphic file so that the colors and details could be refined in Adobe® Photoshop®. The graphic file was imported into ArcView to prepare the map layout.

- _True Continental Shelf Break_—The shelf break, defined as an abrupt increase in the sea floor gradient marking the transition between the continental shelf and the continental slope, is a feature on nearly every map in this MRA. The method used for mapping the shelf break utilized high-resolution (3 arc-second) bathymetry data available from the NOAA for the U.S. coast, published information on the seaward gradients of the shelf, slope, and the shelf break in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity, and analyses completed in the GIS environment (ArcView® version 8.3) to map the true shelf



Figure 1-2. Spatial coverage of bathymetric datasets used for the SE OPAREAs, the resolution of each dataset, and a scale model example of spatial distribution of the data points associated with each dataset.

break. Thus, the shelf break line presented on the map figures in this report represents the actual geographic area where the seafloor gradient changes. The bottom depths this line represents range from ~20 to 70 m. The gradient at which the shelf break occurs is >1.2° throughout most of the JAX/CHASN and vicinity and >1.5° north of Cape Hatteras. This calculation is based primarily on an analysis of the bathymetry data and is corroborated with published bathymetry maps depicting the shelf break in the region (Emery and Uchupi 1972; Shepard 1973; Jones et al. 1985).

Using ArcView® GIS software, the bathymetry data for the U.S. Atlantic east coast shelf and slope provinces were processed to display gradients in units of degrees instead of the familiar measure of depth in meters. Bathymetry data were overlain onto a grid of cells that covered the shelf and slope provinces of the southeast U.S. coast, including the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Gradient values were calculated for all grid cells with the 3D Analyst extension of ArcView®, which uses a nearest neighbor method and calculates the gradient value for the center cell in each 3 x 3 sub-grid of cells. All areas where gradient values were equal to or greater than the shelf break gradient for each geographic region were highlighted. A continuous line was drawn along the shoreward border of the highlighted regions, ignoring isolated topographic features that were clearly on the shelf. The resulting line was smoothed using the B-spline algorithm in the GIS environment to produce a geographic representation of the true shelf break.

- *Sea Surface Temperature (SST)*—Maps of seasonal SST were created from data available through the Physical Oceanography Distributed Active Archive Center (PODAAC) that is sponsored jointly by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) and the NOAA (PODAAC 2004). SST data were compiled from weekly averaged Advanced Very High-resolution Radiometer (AVHRR), version 5.0, satellite data, which contain multi-channel SST pixel data (NASA 2000).

  Data for the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity were collected from 1985 to 2004; these data were extracted from the global dataset and the pixel values were converted to SST values using the following function:

  $$SST\ (°C) = (0.075 * DN) - 3.0 \qquad \text{(Equation 1)}$$

  where DN is the pixel value. The analysis was performed using a custom application developed with the MATLAB® software package.

  A data quality scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is the most influenced by atmospheric conditions and 7 is the least influenced, is employed by NASA to aid users in the interpretation of the data. Day and night SST values with a quality rating of 4 or greater were averaged to create the seasonal SST images used in this report.

  The data were parsed into seasons by calculating a single mean SST value representing a region comprised of the three southeast U.S. OPAREAs (JAX/CHASN, Cherry Point [CHPT], and Virginia Capes [VACAPES]) and plotting the annual change in the mean SST for the region. A fifth-order polynomial curve was fit to the data, and a slope analysis technique was applied to the polynomial curve to divide the calendar year into four seasons based on changes in the SST. Winter and summer are defined as the time periods when the change in SST is less than the median change. Winter is distinguished from summer by comparing the SST of each sampled point against the median SST of all sampled points (i.e., the SST of days [points] in winter will be less than the median SST, and the SST of days in summer will be greater than the median SST). Spring and fall are defined as the time periods when the change in SST is greater than the median change, and spring is distinguished from fall by comparing the sign of the change between each sampled point on the curve (i.e., in spring the SST is increasing and in fall the SST is decreasing, so the sign of a value in spring is positive and the sign of a value in fall is negative).

  The grid-cell size for the seasonal SST data was 4 x 4 km. In the GIS environment, the range of SST values for the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity were associated with a color gradient ranging from blue to red that represents cooler to warmer surface water temperatures (in °C), respectively. All seasonal SST maps reference the identical color bar to facilitate comparison.

  The resulting seasons used throughout this report are defined as winter (6 December through 5 April), spring (6 April through 13 July), summer (14 July through 16 September), and fall (17 September through 5 December). Although the dates each of the seasons represents may be different than the standard calendar seasonal definitions we are accustomed to, the intuitive meaning for each of the seasons still applies. That is, winter and summer are still the times of year with the lowest and highest temperatures, respectively, while spring and fall represent transitional periods between the two temperature extremes.

  The SST data used to depict surface currents in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity were downloaded, with permission, from Rutgers University (Rutgers University 2006). The data are identical to data used to create the downloadable images posted on the Rutgers University website and are produced from AVHRR data. The SST data were sampled at 1 x 1 km spatial resolution and the pixel values were converted to SST (°C) using the formula:

  $$SST\ (°C) = DN * 0.15 \qquad \text{(Equation 2)}$$

where DN is the pixel value. The converted SST values were plotted on the standard map template depicting the JAX/CHASN OPAREA boundary as well as other map features required to orient the reader. The color bar used with this map differs from the color bar used in the seasonal SST maps and was created based upon the data range of the SST values in the image. Temperature anomalies in the map image are likely due to incomplete removal of the effects of atmospheric interference.

- *Chlorophyll a Concentrations*—Seasonal averages of chlorophyll *a* concentrations were compiled from monthly averaged Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS) project data to provide a proxy for primary productivity in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity (NASA 2003). Pixel data for the OPAREA and vicinity from 1997 to 2005 were extracted and converted to chlorophyll *a* values using MATLAB® and the following function:

$$\text{Chlorophyll } a \text{ (mg/m}^3\text{)} = 10^{(DN * 0.015) - 2.0} \qquad \text{(Equation 3)}$$

where DN is the pixel value.

The chlorophyll data were parsed into seasons, converted to grid cell sizes of 9 x 9 km, and interpolated down to 4 x 4 km grid cell sizes to produce a smoother image. The seasonal range of chlorophyll *a* concentrations (in milligrams per cubic meter [mg/m^3]) is visualized in the MRA map figures as a color spectrum with chlorophyll *a* concentrations increasing from blue to red.

1.4.2.2 Biological Resource Maps—Protected Species

Marine mammal and sea turtle occurrence data were accumulated from available sources. Such data provided comprehensive coverage of protected species in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Appendix A-3). Occurrence data from aerial and shipboard (visual) surveys, opportunistic and historical sighting records, stranding records, incidental fisheries bycatch records, radio- and satellite-tagging programs, nest counts, and other available sources were included (Table A-1). Data incorporated into the marine mammal and sea turtle maps were vital to the determination of seasonal occurrence patterns for protected species known to inhabit the waters of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.

Sighting data from aerial and shipboard sighting surveys were obtained from the NMFS-SEFSC, NMFS-NEFSC, and other sources (Appendix A). In addition to collecting marine mammal and sea turtle data directly from agencies and institutions, miscellaneous sighting data from technical reports and other scientific literature were also amassed and incorporated into this MRA. The marine mammal stranding data used in this report were acquired from the Smithsonian Institution and the Southeast Marine Mammal Stranding Network. Sea turtle nesting and stranding data were obtained for North Carolina, South Carolina, and northeastern Florida. Sea turtle stranding data was also obtained for Georgia. Incidental fisheries bycatch data for marine mammals and sea turtles were obtained from the NMFS.

While working with the marine mammal and sea turtle observation data, several assumptions were made. First, it was assumed that the species identifications given in the original datasets were correct. Since the reliability of species identifications from one dataset to the next was usually not known, it was necessary to make this assumption. The reliability of marine mammal and sea turtle species identification is of greater importance when calculating densities or estimating a species' abundance in a particular area. Although it was assumed that the species identifications were correct, the accuracy of the geographic coordinates given in the dataset could not be assumed. Problems were often encountered when the original data coordinates were plotted and animal's positions were shown to occur in unexpected locations. This was especially true of the marine mammal stranding data. For example, the geographic coordinates of several strandings often indicated that they occurred well out to sea or far inland. In such cases, the stranding record was moved as close to the original geographic description as possible. If no geographic description was available, the stranding was moved to the nearest shoreline at an accuracy scale of 1:250,000. If the stranding record was too far offshore or inland to estimate an accurate shore position, the record was deleted.

For the purposes of this MRA report, most categories of unidentified species were merged into a category called unidentified marine mammals or unidentified turtles, which were plotted on the all marine mammal and all turtle map figures along with the associated identified species (Figures B-1, and C-1, respectively).

Tracklines (line features) and transect coordinates (point features) were plotted for all aerial and shipboard sighting surveys within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity (Appendix A, Figures A-1through A-4). To visualize those areas of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity where no survey effort occurred, a grid was created that covered the entire JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Each grid cell was 0.1667 x 0.1667 decimal degrees (i.e., 10 minute grid cells) in size. The grid was clipped to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA boundary, creating a base of all surveys. The grid was populated with the tracklines and transect-coordinates, one cell at a time; cells that intersected with a trackline or transect coordinate were designated as "present" while those with no tracks or coordinates were designated as "absent". The "absent" grid cells were colorized and used to depict the areas of the OPAREA where no surveys of any type occurred (Figure 7-1). No numerical values are associated with the grid cells for this map.

A 10-minute grid covering the JAX/CHASN OPAREA was also used to depict the amount of line-transect survey effort in km-per-grid cell that occurred throughout the OPAREA. Each grid cell was populated with a numerical value representing the total amount of survey effort that occurred over time in that cell. The resulting values of effort for line-transect surveys were divided into quarters, which were used as the effort level categories.

- Sighting Effort—A common problem with the interpretation of distribution or occurrence patterns based on sighting data is the likelihood of bias introduced by an uneven pattern of survey coverage (or "effort"). It is difficult to know if an observed concentration of sightings is associated with high-use habitat or simply due to a concentration of survey effort in a particular area of the ocean. Conversely, when few or no sightings appear in a geographic area, it can be nearly impossible to understand if that paucity is attributable to the actual rarity of a species or is simply due to sparse or absent survey effort. One method to address this potential bias is to quantify sighting effort and then to correct sighting frequencies for differences in effort, producing an index which can be termed an encounter rate, sighting rate, or sightings-per-unit-effort (SPUE). The unit for the SPUE value used in this report is the number of animals sighted per pre-defined length of survey track. Length was selected as more representative than time for quantifying effort when combining aerial and shipboard surveys that utilize very different platform speeds. To standardize the SPUE data even further, the survey data that were used for SPUE computations are usually limited to only a subset of the available survey tracklines that meet some pre-defined criteria for "acceptability." If the SPUE values are computed for consistent spatial units, they can be mapped to show effort-corrected distribution patterns. SPUE values also can be statistically compared across areas, seasons, and years. Development of this method was begun during CETAP (CETAP 1982), and has been used in a variety of published analyses (Kenney and Winn 1986; Winn et al. 1986; Kenney 1990; Hain et al. 1992; Shoop and Kenney 1992; Kraus et al. 1993; Mitchell et al. 2002).

  Survey data vary widely in the range of data variables that are included in datasets and the rigor with which the data are collected. The most rigorous surveys are line-transect surveys (that are used to estimate densities and abundances of marine mammals and sea turtles). Line-transect survey data must be carefully standardized. Data to be used in density estimation are restricted to sightings collected during defined census tracks (i.e., "on-effort"). Sightings collected during transits to or from a survey area, on cross-legs between census tracks, or while the ship or aircraft has left a census track to investigate a sighting, are considered to be "off-effort", even if the observers were on watch and recording data at the time. For more information concerning each of the surveys used in the SPUE calculations, see Appendix A-3.

For the calculation of effort and SPUE values, all of the line-transect survey data from the JAX/CHASN OPAREA that met minimum standards for available data were pooled. To be included in the SPUE analysis, a dataset had to have data fields allowing assessment of the sighting conditions encountered during each segment of the survey track, including visibility, sea state, and observer watch status, as well as altitude for aerial surveys. There also had to be sufficient records (time and position) for the survey track, in addition to the sighting locations, to adequately reconstruct the platform track. Only track segments completed with at least one observer on watch, clear visibility of at least 2 NM, Beaufort sea state of less than or equal to three, and altitude of less than 366 m were included as acceptable effort. The analysis area was defined as all Atlantic Ocean waters off the southeastern U.S. that were encompassed in the following area: 1) between 39.3563ºN and 28.5ºN and between 71.5ºW and 82.0470ºW. The analysis area was covered with a grid of 10-minute by 10-minute cells (a compromise as smaller cells provide finer resolution while larger cells are more likely to have enough effort to be useful) to provide a geographic unit index for the effort and subsequent SPUE values.

- SPUE Calculation—It is important to note that there are inter-platform differences between shipboard and aerial surveys, specifically in the detectability of marine mammals and sea turtles from each platform. However, information relating to sighting distances, which are necessary to calculate the probability of detection functions for each species, were not available. In the absence of the data necessary to quantify the differences between sighting platforms, the SPUE values were calculated based on the assumption of no inter-platform, inter-species (including group size) differences in detectability. This assumption has been made by other researchers (e.g., Shoop and Kenney 1992) and allowed the pooling of shipboard and aerial data for use in calculating the SPUE values for each species.

Effort was quantified as length of track surveyed. The great-circle distance (*D*, in km) between any two latitude/longitude positions can be calculated by:

$$D = 111.12*\arccos[\sin(LAT1)*\sin(LAT2)+\cos(LAT1)*\cos(LAT2)*\cos(LON2-LON1)] \quad \text{(Equation 4)}$$

where LAT = latitude, LON = longitude, and 1 and 2 identify the two positions.

Great-circle and rhumb-line distances between two points 10 km apart differ by less than 1 m. For a track segment with both ends within the same 10-minute grid cell, the length (i.e., effort) is directly assigned to that cell. When the segment crosses more than one cell, however, the effort must be partitioned across all appropriate cells. The method by which this can be resolved involves simultaneous solution of the equations for the trackline and the cell boundary(ies) to insert new position(s) for the intersection(s), then calculation of the lengths of the sub-segments within each cell.

All acceptable effort within each cell and season was summed across all years (1979 through 2005). Grid cells with less than 5 km of valid effort within a season across all combined years were considered not to have been sampled sufficiently to produce reliable data and were eliminated from the analysis (i.e., treated as Effort = 0). The total valid survey effort in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA between 1979 and 2005 1,318,793 km; there were 1482 cells meeting the 5 km minimum criterion in each season (Table 1-2; Figure A-5). Effort was highest during the winter and lowest in summer.

Only animals sighted (n) during acceptable effort were included and summed within species across all years. Finally, the number of animals sighted was divided by effort to generate the SPUE index, in units of animals sighted per 1,000 km of valid effort:

$$SPUE = 1{,}000 * n / Effort \quad \text{(Equation 5)}$$

Table 1-2. Seasonal summaries of survey effort (km) used to calculate SPUE for the Southeast OPAREAs (VACAPES, CHPT, and JAX/CHASN) per 10-minute grid cell.

Season	N	Mean	Median	Maximum	Total Effort
Winter	955	1,124	90	43,228	1,073,069
Spring	856	80	58	1,085	68,327
Summer	1,175	80	227	931	93,521
Fall	639	131	17	3,861	83,876
All Seasons	3,625	364	49	43,228	1,318,793

The factor of 1,000 was included simply to upwardly scale the SPUE values to avoid very small decimal values. For each cell that was sampled with at least 5 km of effort within a season (i.e., had associated survey effort), there was a corresponding SPUE value calculated for each species (many cells contained a value of zero) (Figure 1-3; Appendix A—Table A-1). For mapping purposes, SPUE values were geographically located in the center of each grid cell. Therefore, the locations of sighting records may not match the location of an associated SPUE value.

- Geostatistical Modeling of Occurrences—The seasonal observations of protected species were modeled by interpolating the SPUE data with Kriging, a geospatial interpolation method using ESRI's Geostatistical Analyst® extension of their GIS software. The only regions of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA modeled with Kriging were those regions where sufficient survey effort had occurred (e.g., Effort ≥ 5 km); the grid cells in the regions of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA where no survey effort occurred were combined and smoothed (splined) to represent a uniform region of "No Survey Effort".

  Kriging is a statistical interpolation method that predicts the values at unsampled locations, creating a model of geospatial data (Johnston et al. 2001). Kriging was chosen for the purpose of creating occurrence models instead of other inverse distance weighted (IDW) interpolation methods because it develops a more accurate model. IDW interpolation methods use a simple algorithm that weights the model based solely on distance while Kriging uses a complex algorithm that develops an interpolation model weighted by several parameters, including the distance between measured points and the prediction location, as well as the overall spatial arrangement among the measured points and their values (Johnston et al. 2001). To create a continuous surface, interpolations or predictions are made for the unsampled locations in the analysis area based on the interpolation function and spatial arrangement of the measured values that are nearby (nearest neighbor analysis).

  - There are several types of Kriging techniques, each of which is based on different data assumptions and criteria. At the onset of the analysis, it was unclear whether any significant trends were present in the data. To account for these potential trends, the universal Kriging technique was selected due to its use of local means as a sum of low order polynomial functions of the spatial coordinates to model the data (Krivoruchko 2002). In contrast, ordinary and simple Kriging techniques both assume a constant mean when fitting the data (Johnston et al. 2001; Krivoruchko 2002). In essence, universal Kriging decomposes the data into a deterministic trend component and an autocorrelated random component and Kriging is then performed on the residuals once the trend has been removed. The trend is reapplied to the output surface prior to calculating the final predictions (Johnston et al. 2001). Universal Kriging, with a prediction map output, was used to interpolate the SPUE data values and create an occurrence model for each season and species for which data were sufficient. As a



Figure 1-3. Example of the grid in 10-minute cells used for survey effort and sightings per unit effort (SPUE) calculations. SPUE data values are assigned to the center point of each grid cell.

result of applying the universal Kriging technique, no trends were found in the SPUE data for the JAX/CHASN MRA. Subsequent comparisons of the cross-validation results between universal and ordinary Kriging revealed no differences in the model results (i.e., the occurrence polygons).

The process of creating the occurrence models using the Kriging method involved numerous steps (Figure 1-4). The primary step was the development of the weighted interpolation function. This empirical weighted function was plotted and a curve was generated to ensure that the function best fit the data. A minimum of two, but optimally five, nearest neighbors (SPUE data points) were required to create an occurrence polygon for any occurrence level. Requiring a minimum of two neighbor data points ensures that the resulting models (polygons) represent the likely occurrence of a marine mammal or sea turtle species in the area.

One of the key parameters in the Kriging method is the selection of a neighborhood search pattern. The neighborhood search pattern affects the level of interpolation and, ultimately, the detail of the model produced. The search pattern selected for these analyses was circular and extended outward from each SPUE value. The circular search pattern was chosen to reduce prediction error and eliminate any bias in search direction or distance. The circular search pattern can be divided equally into one, four, or eight search sectors. The single-sector search pattern (no divisions) produces a very finely detailed model result (polygon), while the eight-sector search pattern produces a much-generalized model result with little detail (Figure 1-5). The four-sector search method was selected as the best compromise, producing occurrence results/polygons that were neither too detailed nor too generalized to limit their usefulness.

In some instances, the minimum number of nearest neighbor criteria may not be met before the search reaches its maximum distance limit, resulting in the creation of no occurrence model (polygon) (Figure 1-4). This often occurs when few SPUE data values are associated with a species or species group or when the SPUE data are sparsely located throughout the analysis area for each quarter level. The result is that for some species, not all occurrence or quarter levels are represented. The last parameter of the model to be enabled is the anisotropy. Anisotropy is a property of a spatial process or data where spatial dependence (autocorrelation) changes with both the distance and the direction between two locations. The cause of the anisotropy (directional influence) in the semivariogram is not usually known, so it is modeled as random error. Anisotropic influences can still be quantified and accounted for if the cause is not known (Johnston et al. 2001).

For classification purposes, the predicted SPUE values obtained from the applied Kriging model were divided into quarters for each individual species and for several pooled species categories (e.g., common dolphins or beaked whales). In some cases, there were insufficient observations for a reliable classification. All SPUE values greater than zero for a particular species (or pooled species category) for all four seasons were compiled into a discrete dataset and then separated into quarters (defined as 1st, 25th, 75th, and 100th percentiles in this analysis) representing the highest, second highest, second lowest, and lowest quarters of the total range of the SPUE values for each species/species category. For the purpose of this analysis, quarters are defined as:

- Highest quarter or 1st Quarter SPUE (between 76% and 100% of the SPUE range);
- Second highest quarter or 2nd Quarter SPUE (between 51% and 75% of the SPUE range);
- Second lowest quarter or 3rd Quarter SPUE (between 26% and 50% of the SPUE range); and
- Lowest quarter or 4th Quarter SPUE (between 1% and 25% of the SPUE range).



Figure 1-4. Example of the SPUE/Kriging process. Sighting data that met specific criteria (1) were used to calculate sightings-per-unit-effort (SPUE) values for each 10-minute by 10-minute grid cell (2). Each SPUE value is located in the center of a grid cell. During the Kriging process, a four-sector search pattern was used to locate a minimum of two nearest neighbors to create the occurrence estimate polygons (3). The final output is the occurrence model of the SPUE data values (4). Note that Kriging can predict the occurrence beyond the limit of the SPUE data due to the numerous weighting functions and presence of nearest neighbor values.



Figure 1-5. **Example of sector search type on the detail of the model produced. The 8-sector search pattern provides the most generalized model, while the 1-sector search pattern provides the most detailed model. The 4-sector search pattern was used from the analysis in this report.**

An additional occurrence level is SPUE = 0, indicative of areas where survey effort occurred (Effort ≥ 5 km) but no sightings were recorded. In all cells with Effort < 5 km (or 0), the occurrence area was defined as 'No Survey Effort'; in these areas the likelihood of a protected species occurring is not known because no adequate surveys have been completed in that area. Since all four seasons were pooled before the quarter classification for each species or category, the occurrence classifications within a species/category are directly comparable and quantitatively equivalent across seasons.

The final step in the creation of occurrence models is their visualization in the GIS environment. If sufficient data were available to calculate SPUE values for a species or species group, then occurrence models were produced. Two map figures have been produced for each season for each species or species group for which there were sufficient data to model occurrences. One map shows all data, including the occurrence records (sighting data points) as well as the model results, while the second map only depicts the occurrence model results (polygons) for clarity. The sighting records depicted on these maps are divided visually into those data used in the computation of effort and SPUE (and thus are the basis for the occurrence model estimates) and those not used in the calculations (such as strandings and bycatch records). The SPUE/kriging methodology is currently being prepared for peer review and publication.

1.4.2.3 Habitat Resource Maps—Habitats of Concern

- Coral Mapping—Mapping shelf coral in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA was depicted through interpreting SEAMAP (2001) and CoRIS (2006) hard bottom data, and using previously scanned benthic habitat maps provided from sources in previous MRAs such as Huntsman and Macintyre (1971), BLM (1976), and Reed et al. (2006). Although this region is important for commercial and recreational fish species, the isolated coral and sponge habitats have not been fully documented and specific coral and sponge data are not readily accessible. This is not the case for deep sea corals (i.e., *Lophelia pertusa*), which are receiving considerable attention from NMFS and the SAFMC due to their significant role of providing habitat for various commercial fish species (i.e., snappers, groupers). The deep sea coral (*Lophelia pertusa*) data depicted in this MRA were derived from data provided by the FFWCC in conjunction with the SAFMC and acquired from various exploration cruises led by Dr. Steve Ross of the University of North Carolina at Wilmington (UNCW). Both shelf coral and deep sea coral are mapped together in this chapter to fully depict the association of hard bottom with coral habitat at various depths.

1.4.2.4 Biological and Habitat Resource Maps—Fisheries and Essential Fish Habitat

- *Commercial Fisheries*—Data illustrating commercial fishing effort in the region were acquired from the Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program (ACCSP 2006). Data were provided by gear type and effort was displayed as average number of trips. Closures relevant to specific commercial fisheries were included with the fishing effort and were mapped using data from various sources, including the Marine Protected Areas (MPA) database (NOAA and DoI 2006).

- *Essential Fish Habitat and Habitat Areas of Particular Concern*—EFH designated outside the JAX/CHASN OPAREA for this MRA were depicted only when data were available in a usable electronic format. Complete EFH text designations are provided in Chapter 5 and should be consulted for areas outside the boundaries of the OPAREA. The EFH species maps do not have any seasonal designations as the FMPs presented the EFH information according to life history stages.

  EFH designations can include the entire water column or a subsection of the water column or the seafloor (e.g., benthic, surface, or from depths of 50 to 250 m). The part of the marine environment where EFH is designated has been included in parentheses after the lifestage category on all EFH map figures. If no environment partition is indicated after the lifestage, then EFH is designated for the entire water column and seafloor.

- *Subtropical-Tropical Species: SAFMC Designations*—The EFH and HAPC designations for the subtropical-tropical species prepared by the SAFMC presented numerous issues. Only written descriptions of EFH/HAPC were available from the SAFMC, so map figures had to be created using only text designations (SAFMC 1998) or information from the NMFS EFH Mandate (NMFS 2002b). Contrary to the rules authorized by the SFA that were in place in 1998, the SAFMC designated EFH and HAPC by management unit (MU) rather than by individual species. It was only with the 2002 EFH Final Rule that FMCs were allowed to designate EFH/HAPC by MU rather than as individual species. As a result of this inconsistency, the NMFS was required to interpret the SAFMC's FMPs and provide guidelines, in the form of a mandate, to the delineation of EFH/HAPC for individual species in order to conduct EFH consultations for federal actions (NMFS 2002b). Due to these difficulties regarding the EFH/HAPC designations by the SAFMC, Dr. Ric Ruebsamen, EFH Coordinator for the NMFS Southeast Region, was repeatedly consulted to provide guidance on the EFH and HAPC interpretations derived for species within the SAFMC jurisdiction.

  Not all SAFMC-managed species have designated EFH. Only those species for which sufficient species-specific information is available have designated EFH. For example, only 18 of the 73 members of the snapper grouper MU have EFH designated (designations result not from the FMP but from the NMFS Mandate [NMFS 2002b]). In many instances, information used to designate EFH for individual species in the NMFS Mandate was obtained from life history information provided in the FMP, as no EFH designations had been derived for the individual species. Since the NMFS Mandate only provided a summary and not specific details of EFH requirements for the 18 designated species in the snapper grouper MU, information from both the NMFS Mandate and the life history sections of the SAFMC's FMPs were used to accurately derive EFH/HAPC text descriptions and map depictions for those species in the snapper grouper MU that, according to the NMFS Mandate, should have individual species EFH designations.

  The following criteria and assumptions were used to accurately map EFH and HAPC for species managed by the SAFMC:

  - *All Lifestages EFH and HAPC*: If the EFH or HAPC designation/interpretation did not specify to which lifestage it applies, then the designation was assumed to apply to all lifestages. Furthermore, for species with either EFH or HAPC designated as "All Lifestages," no specification is given as to which part of the habitat (e.g., part of water column or benthos) this designation encompasses because the lifestages may each utilize different habitats (i.e., eggs maybe pelagic while adults are benthic).

  - *Artificial Reefs:* The National Fishing Enhancement Act of 1984 (Title II of public law 98-623) defines artificial reefs as a structure that is constructed or placed in water for the purpose of enhancing fishery resources and commercial as well as recreational fishing opportunities. Based on this definition, the SAFMC (1998) defines artificial reefs as any area within marine waters in which suitable structures or materials have intentionally been placed for the purpose of creating, restoring, or improving the long-term habitat for the eventual exploitation, conservation, or preservation of the resulting marine ecosystems that are naturally established on these materials. Therefore, no other types of artificial habitats are included as EFH in the map depictions of a species habitat unless they are specifically designated as EFH. Thus, shipwrecks will not be included on a map figure for a species for which the EFH has only been designated for artificial reefs.

    Also, all structures and materials associated with an individual artificial reef are depicted on the map figures. Many artificial reefs consist of multiple groupings of materials, which are mapped by their individual locations as these locations are not always in direct close proximity to one another.

  - *Bathymetry*: In order to depict EFH designations that extend from one depth to another (e.g., from 50 to 155 m), bathymetry data were contoured into isobaths at varying intervals. Water depths less than 200 m were contoured at 10-m intervals while those deeper than 200 m could only be contoured at 100-m intervals due to the lower resolution of the available bathymetry data. Thus, depths used in the depiction of EFH were rounded to the nearest contour interval.

- *Corals*: No lifestages were given in the SAFMC EFH designations for coral, so EFH was assumed to be designated for all lifestages of coral.

- *Exclusive Economic Zone:* EFH and HAPC are only defined in federal waters, so the exclusive economic zone (EEZ) is often used as a boundary for these designations (GDAIS 2005).

- *Floating Debris*: Although designated as EFH for the juvenile lifestage of the greater amberjack, the unpredictable and arbitrary locations where floating debris may be found in the marine environment made this “habitat” impossible to depict on a map figure.

- *Golden Deepsea Crab:* The SAFMC partially based its EFH designation (SAFMC 1998) for the golden deepsea crab on seven continental slope habitats identified by Wenner and Barans (1990). Since the SAFMC’s EFH designations did not specify the areal extent in which these habitats were located on the continental slope and the EFH designation generically encompasses the continental slope, the EFH for all lifestages of this species was depicted as the entire continental slope outward to the EEZ in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity. The areal extent of the continental slope was roughly estimated for mapping purposes, with the seaward boundary of the slope being predicted from 100-m isobath contours.

- *Gulf Stream Current:* The Gulf Stream is designated as EFH for numerous species in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity (e.g., snappers groupers, coastal migratory pelagic species, dolphinfishes, and wahoo). The Gulf Stream is a dynamic oceanographic feature whose path and boundaries vary temporally and spatially.

- *Habitat Areas of Particular Concern:* Since HAPC are not required to be legally designated by individual species or lifestage, these areas can be designated for individual species, an individual species lifestage, or by MU. For the members of the snapper grouper MU, HAPC are designated as a MU, not by individual species. Thus, for some species in this MU, HAPC are located outside the areas designated as EFH on the map figures (Ruebsamen 2005). Furthermore, if HAPC are designated for a MU, the HAPC are relevant only for those species that also have EFH designated.

- *Manganese Outcroppings on the Blake Plateau:* These benthic deposits are designated as HAPC for members of the snapper grouper MU but the locations or geographic extent of the habitat were not provided in any of the SAFMC’s FMPs. To most accurately map these regions, scientific literature and subject area experts were consulted. Based on sidescan sonar surveys, the USGS delineated the only known areas of manganese outcroppings off the southeast U.S. (USGS 1993), and this information was used to depict this habitat area for the relevant species for which this habitat area was designated as EFH. Additional manganese outcropping may occur on the Blake Plateau but have not been mapped.

- *Nearshore Areas:* As defined by the SAFMC, nearshore areas are all state waters extending form estuaries to three nautical miles from shore (Brouwer 2005). These nearshore areas are not within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA boundary and therefore, no EFH or HAPC designations for these areas are included on the map figures integrated in this report.

- *Sargassum:* Although EFH and HAPC were originally designated by the SAFMC for benthic and pelagic *Sargassum* species, the NMFS did not approve the designations due to the potential broad and nonspecific range these species encompass, particularly the pelagic species (NMFS 2003a; Ruebsamen 2005). However, pelagic *Sargassum* was approved as EFH or HAPC for other managed species (e.g., snapper grouper MU) (NMFS 2002b; Ruebsamen 2004). Since the occurrence of *Sargassum* at any single location is essentially unpredictable, pelagic *Sargassum* was mapped in the areas of the FMC jurisdiction where it might occur (i.e., from the EEZ to the shoreline) (Ruebsamen 2005).

- *Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program (SEAMAP) Data:* These data (SEAMAP 2001) were used to depict areas of hard bottom substrate for a variety of subtropical-tropical species in this study. While the SEAMAP data are available as GIS shapefiles that represent polygonal areas from Virginia to Florida, at the scale represented on the maps in this study, the polygons appear to be points.

- *Spawning Adults:* Species in the snapper grouper MU have EFH designated for the spawning adult lifestage as the water column above the adult habitat. These designations are not shown separately on the EFH maps but instead are included as part of the adult depiction.

- *Charleston Bump, Hoyt Hills, and Georgetown Hole:* Prior to the SAFMC FMP for the dolphin and wahoo in 2003, only text designations were provided by the SAFMC for Charleston Bump, Hoyt Hills, and Georgetown Hole as HAPC. The updated 2003 FMP provides coordinates for these areas, which are intended to be applied to all managed species for which these areas were designated as HAPC (i.e., snapper grouper MU, corals, and coastal migratory pelagic MU) (Brouwer 2005).

Information used to map the various habitat types (e.g., bottom substrates and corals) and HAPC were derived from a variety of literature sources or from GIS data (SEAMAP 2001; Sedberry 2005).

➢ _Highly Migratory Species_—The GIS shapefiles of the EFH and HAPC for highly migratory species (tuna, sharks, swordfish, and billfish) obtained from the NMFS required some GIS processing during which the GIS data were clipped to the shoreline of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Therefore, inshore EFH is not graphically depicted and the text narrative should be consulted directly for EFH beyond the shoreline or outside of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Differences exist between the EFH text designations and NMFS GIS data for several species (e.g., neonate lifestage of dusky shark). GIS data either depict more or less EFH than described by in the text designation or a species might have more than one lifestage with identical text designations but the GIS data are different for the lifestages (NMFS 1999b, 2003b). The GIS data are presented unchanged and as received from the NMFS after consultation with the NMFS Highly Migratory Species (HMS) Division. The NMFS-HMS Division is in the process of preparing an EIS, to be finalized in 2006, that will address these and other HMS issues; until this EIS is finalized, neither the EFH GIS data nor the text designations should be altered (Rilling 2005). These discrepancies are noted in the text descriptions in Chapter 5 as well as on the corresponding figures.

1.4.2.5 Maps of Additional Considerations

Information regarding U.S. maritime boundaries, navigable waterways, marine managed areas (MMAs), scuba diving sites, and weather buoys and light towers located in or in the vicinity of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA was gathered from a wide array of sources; however much of the data used to create the maps were available for downloading from U.S. internet websites. Coordinates defining the unresolved disputed area between the U.S. and The Bahamas depicted on the maritime boundaries map were acquired from the General Dynamics Advanced Information Systems (GDAIS) database of maritime boundaries. Support information discussing the area and possible scenarios for resolving the dispute are provided in Turnquest (2005).

For both the federal and state MMA maps, only sites that were listed in the MMA inventory as of 26 May 2006 were included on each map. The MMA inventory is being updated on a nearly daily basis, particularly with new information on state designated MMAs, which necessitated setting a cut-off date for acquiring new data. All state designated MMAs are plotted on the state MMA map; however, not all state designated MMAs are identified on the map by a number corresponding to a label in the inset table, because there were simply too many MMAs to so in an organized and readable format. However all state MMAs discussed in the text are identified on the state MMA map. The MMA inventory (http://www3.mpa.gov/exploreinv/explore.aspx) should be checked frequently for the latest information on MMAs (and ultimately marine protected areas [MPAs]) in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity.

Recreational scuba diving sites in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity were depicted using a variety of sources including geographic data, maps, information acquired from scuba diving websites, and documents and databases listing artificial reefs (e.g., shipwrecks).

1.4.2.6 Metadata

The creation of metadata (or information about the GIS data) documentation files was a large component of the GIS work completed for this MRA. Every GIS file used in the creation of the map figures within this MRA has a metadata file associated with it. When possible, metadata were obtained along with GIS data used in this MRA; those data are included in the metadata documentation. Often documentation information, especially on the accuracy or reliability of the associated data, was not available.

Metadata for geographical data should include the data source, creation date, format, projection, scale, resolution, accuracy, and reliability with regard to some standard. Metadata also consists of properties and process documentation. Properties are derived from the data source, while documentation is entered manually. ESRI ArcCatalog® creates metadata in XML (extensible markup language) format, so the same metadata can be viewed in many different ways using different styles. Metadata created to accompany this MRA report are provided in both XML and HTML formats, so that the metadata can be viewed in many types of viewers and are accessible within the GIS environment by other users.

1.4.3 Marine Sighting Survey Data Bias

Sighting data from shipboard or aerial platforms can provide a powerful indicator of species' occurrence. However, it is necessary to first recognize inherent biases associated with each survey type. A primary drawback of marine surveys is that shipboard and aerial surveys count only the number of animals at or near the water's surface; a region where marine mammals and sea turtles spend relatively little time. As sea turtles spend over 90% of their time underwater, it has been estimated that marine surveys under sample (under estimate) the total number of sea turtles in a given area by as much as an order of magnitude (Shoop and Kenney 1992; Renaud and Carpenter 1994). While scientists have devised mathematical formulas to account for animals not observed at the surface, the diving behavior may vary even within the same species. Even though marine mammals and sea turtles are obligated to breathe at the surface, many individuals will not surface within an observer's field of view. This is of particular concern when attempting to sight species that dive for extended periods of time, do not possess a dorsal fin, or are known to exhibit cryptic behavior, such as beaked whales, *Kogia* spp., and sperm whales (Würsig et al. 1998; Barlow 1999). Beaked whales often occur singly, which makes their sightability much lower than a species that regularly occurs in large groups, such as dolphins in the genus *Stenella* (Scott and Gilbert 1982).

Environmental conditions also affect the sightability of marine mammals and sea turtles. Sighting frequencies vary with sun glare from the water's surface, sea state, weather, and water clarity. Both sea state and glare have statistically significant effects on sighting frequency (Scott and Gilbert 1982; Thompson 1984). When water clarity is low, animals are difficult to sight even close to the water's surface, and only animals at the water's surface that are extremely close to the observer are normally identified.

Survey methods for marine mammals and sea turtles observation are problematic in being dissimilar in sampling efficiency between these groups. Since most sighting surveys target multiple species, the sampling designs, although likely cost- and labor-efficient, cannot be considered optimal for each species (Scott and Gilbert 1982). The altitude at which marine mammal aerial surveys are flown is much higher than is desirable to sight sea turtles (which are typically much smaller than cetaceans). Shipboard surveys designed for sighting marine mammals are adequate for detecting larger sea turtle species but usually not smaller sea turtles. Their relatively small size, diving behavior, and startle responses to vessels and aircraft make smaller sea turtles difficult to observe from a ship. The youngest sea turtle age-classes, which often inhabit waters far from land, are extremely difficult to spot. Other difficulties with marine surveys include weather, time, and logistical constraints. For example, the operating cost for a research vessel is approximately $10,000 per day (Forney 2002).

In addition, marine survey data does not provide adequate information for scientists to accurately describe the seasonal occurrence of marine mammals and sea turtles in expansive areas, such as the Atlantic Ocean. Marine mammal and sea turtle occurrences in an area often changes seasonally in response to changes in water temperature, the movement and availability of prey, or an individual's life history (reproduction). Therefore, the number of sightings on a specific date over a specific trackline may not be representative of the number of individuals occurring in the entire area over the course of an entire season. As a result, sighting frequency is often a direct result of the level of survey effort expended in a given area.

1.4.4 Interpretation of Stranding Data

Marine mammal and sea turtle strandings are not generally considered accurate representations of distribution. Sick animals may strand well beyond their normal range and carcasses may travel long distances before being noticed by observers or coming ashore. Stranding frequency in a given area is as dependent upon current regimes and shoreline monitoring efforts as it is a function of a stranded species' actual pattern of occurrence in that area. Frequency of sea turtle strandings in an area may correlate with seasonal oceanographic and wind patterns (Hart et al. 2006). Since coastal species generally strand more frequently than oceanic species, due to their proximity to coastline, stranding frequencies should not be used when attempting to compare the occurrence of a coastal versus an oceanic stock in a particular area. Comparisons cannot be made between species of differing sizes and social structures, as strandings of large-bodied species and groups of individuals are much more likely to be reported than strandings of small-bodied species or single individuals. Additionally, accurate stranding data depends upon the reporter's competency to properly identify carcasses as a certain species, which can be difficult. For example, only the most experienced marine mammal scientists are likely able to differentiate between the several species of beaked whale in the genus *Mesoplodon*. As a result of these issues and limitations, care should be taken when interpreting the stranding record to support evaluation of distribution and abundance.

1.5 REPORT ORGANIZATION

This report consists of nine major chapters and four associated appendices:

- **Chapter 1 Introduction**—provides background information on this project, an explanation of its purpose and need, a review of relevant environmental legislation, and a description of the methodology used in the assessment;

- **Chapter 2 Physical and Biological Environment**—describes the physical environment of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity, including climate, marine geology (physiography, bathymetry, and bottom sediments), physical oceanography (circulation and currents), hydrography (surface temperature and salinity), and biological oceanography (plankton and primary productivity);

- **Chapter 3 Protected Species**—covers all protected species found in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity, including marine mammals and sea turtles. For these species, detailed narratives of their morphology, status, habitat associations, distribution, behavior, life history, and acoustics and hearing (if known) have been provided;

- **Chapter 4 Habitats of Concern**—describes *Sargassum*, corals, live/hard bottom communities, and artificial habitats occurring in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity;
- **Chapter 5 Fish and Fisheries**—investigates fishes, EFH, and fishing activities (commercial and recreational) that occur within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity;
- **Chapter 6 Additional Considerations**—provides information on U.S. maritime boundaries, navigable waterways and commercial shipping lanes, MMAs and scuba diving sites;
- **Chapter 7 Recommendations**—suggests future avenues of research that may fill the data gaps identified in this project and prioritizes research needs from a cost-benefit approach;
- **Chapter 8 List of Preparers**—lists all individuals who prepared the JAX/CHASN MRA Update;
- **Chapter 9 Glossary**—defines terms used in this MRA;
- **Appendix A**—provides supporting information for Chapter 1, such as data confidence levels and map projection information, data sources of protected species research efforts, and maps of protected species survey efforts;
- **Appendix B**—contains occurrence map figures that are described or referenced in the marine mammal section of Chapter 3 (3.1);
- **Appendix C**—contains occurrence map figures that are described or referenced in the sea turtle section of Chapter 3 (3.2); and
- **Appendix D**—includes maps for all species for which EFH/HAPC has been designated within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity.

This report is written in a format and reference style that follows *The Chicago Manual of Style*, 14th Edition. Cited literature appears at the end of each chapter except in Chapter 3, Protected Species, where the cited literature appears at the end of each subsection.

1.6 LITERATURE CITED

ACCSP (Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program). 2006. Commercial fisheries effort data along the U.S. Atlantic coast; Excel spreadsheet. Data received April 2006 from Geoffrey White. Washington, D.C.: Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program.

Agardy, T. 2000. Key steps taken to preserve the U.S.'s marine heritage. Issues in Science and Technology 17(1):26.

Barlow, J. 1999. Trackline detection probability for long-diving whales. Pages 209-221 in G.W. Garner, S.C. Amstrup, J.L. Laake, B.F.J. Manly, L.L. McDonald, and D.G. Robertson, eds. Marine mammal survey and assessment methods. Brookfield, Vermont: A.A. Balkema.

BLM (Bureau of Land Management). 1976. Final environmental impact statement: Proposed 1978 Outer Continental Shelf oil and gas lease sale, South Atlantic, Outer Continental Shelf sale number 43, visual number 4N & 4S: Undersea features and natural vegetation. New Orleans, Lousiana: Bureau of Land Management.

Brouwer, M. 2005. Personal communication via e-mail between Dr. Myra Brouwer, Fishery Scientist, South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, Charleston, South Carolina and Dr. Amy R. Scholik, Geo-Marine, Inc., Newport News, Virginia, 31 March.

CETAP (Cetacean and Turtle Assessment Program). 1982. Characterization of marine mammals and turtles in the mid- and North Atlantic areas of the U.S. outer continental shelf. Final report to the U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Washington, D.C., from the Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Kingston. NTIS PB83-215855.

CoRIS. 2006. Deep water corals. Access date: 11 August 2008. http://www.coris.noaa.gov /about/deep/deep.html.

Emery, K.O. and E. Uchupi. 1972. Western North Atlantic Ocean: Topography, rocks, structure, water, life, and sediments. Tulsa, Oklahoma: American Association of Petroleum Geologists.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 2002. Clean Water Act. Accessed 15 July 2004. http://www. epa.gov/region5/water/cwa.htm.

Forney, K.A. 2002. Surveys. Pages 1203-1205 in W.F. Perrin, B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego: Academic Press.

GDAIS (General Dynamics Advanced Information Systems). 2005. Global maritime boundaries database. Herndon, Virginia: General Dynamics.

GDT (Geographic Data Technology, Inc.), and ESRI (Environmental Systems Research, Inc.). 2002. U.S. GDT large area landmarks: ESRI data & maps 2002. Redlands, California: ESRI.

Hain, J.H.W., M.J. Ratnaswamy, R.D. Kenney, and H.E. Winn. 1992. The fin whale, Balaenoptera physalus, in waters of the northeastern United States continental shelf. Reports of the International Whaling Commission 42:653-669.

Hart, K.M., P. Mooreside, and L.B. Crowder. 2006. Interpreting the spatio-temporal patterns of sea turtle strandings: Going with the flow. Biological Conservation 129:283-290.

Hopkins-Murphy, S.R., T.M. Murphy, C.P. Hope, J.W. Coker, and M.E. Hoyle. 2001. Population trends and nesting distribution of the loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*) in South Carolina 1980-1997.Columbia: South Carolina Department of Natural Resources, Division of Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries, Wildlife Diversity Section.

Huntsman, G.R., and I.G. Macintyre. 1971. Tropical coral patches in Onslow Bay. Underwater Naturalist 7(2):32-34.

IWC (International Whaling Commission). 2005. Classification of the order Cetacea (whales, dolphins and porpoises). Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 7(1):v-xii.

Jones, A.C., S.A. Berkeley, J.A. Bohnsack, S.A. Bortone, D.K. Camp, G.H. Darcy, J.C. Davis, K.D. Haddad, M.Y. Hedgepeth, E.W. Irby, Jr., W.C. Jaap, F.S. Kennedy, W.G. Lyons, E.L. Nakamura, T.H. Perkins, J.K. Reed, K.A. Steidinger, J.T. Tilmant, and R.O. Williams. 1985. Ocean habitat and fishery resources of Florida. Pages 437-543 in W. Seaman, Jr., ed. Florida aquatic habitat and fishery resources. Gainesville, Florida: Florida Chapter, American Fisheries Society.

Johnston, K., J.M. Ver Hoef, K. Krivoruchko, and N. Lucas. 2001. Using ArcGIS geostatistical analyst. Redlands, California: Environmental Systems Research Institute.

Kenney, R.D. 1990. Bottlenose dolphins off the northeastern United States. Pages 369-386 in S. Leatherwood, and R.R. Reeves. The bottlenose dolphin. San Diego: Academic Press.

Kenney, R.D., and H.E. Winn. 1986. Cetacean high-use habitats of the northeast United States continental shelf. Fishery Bulletin 84:345-357.

Kraus, S.D., R.D. Kenney, A.R. Knowlton, and J.N. Ciano. 1993. Endangered right whales of the southwestern North Atlantic. OCS Study MMS 93-0024. Herndon, Virginia: Minerals Management Service.

Krivoruchko, K. 2002. Introduction to modeling spatial processes using geostatistical analyst. Redlands, California: Environmental Systems Research Institute.

Mitchell, G.H., R.D. Kenney, A.M. Farak, and R.J. Campbell. 2002. Evaluation of occurrence of endangered and threatened marine species in Naval ship trial areas and transit lanes in the Gulf of Maine and offshore of Georges Bank. NUWC-NPT Technical Memorandum 02-121. Newport, Rhode Island: Naval Undersea Warfare Division.

NASA (National Atmospheric and Space Administration). 2000. AVHRR Oceans Pathfinder Global Equal-angle Best weekly SST averages (NOAA/NASA). Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center, Distributed Active Archive Center. Accessed 4 May 2004. ftp://daac.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/avhrr/tile_8km/.

NASA (National Atmospheric and Space Administration). 2003. SeaWiFS detailed description. Accessed 1 May 2006. http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/SeaWiFS/BACKGROUND/SEAWIFS_970_BROCHURE.html

Nelson, J.S., E.J. Crossman, H.Espinosa-Pérez, L.T. Findley, C.R. Gilbert, R.N. Lea, and J.D. Williams. 2004. Common and scientific names of fishes from the U.S., Canada, and Mexico, Sixth Edition. Bethesda, Maryland: American Fisheries Society.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1999a. Accomplishment report under the Recreational Fishery Resources Conservation Plan—1999 report. Accessed 15 July 2004. http://swr.ucsd.edu/ recfish/ sum99.htm.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1999b. Final fishery management plan for Atlantic tuna, swordfish, and sharks. Volumes II and I. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2002a. Magnuson-Stevens Act provisions; Essential fish habitat (EFH). Final rule. Federal Register 67(12):2343-2383.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2002b. Essential fish habitat: a marine fish habitat conservation mandate for federal agencies, South Atlantic Region. St. Petersburg, Florida: National Marine Fisheries Service, Habitat Conservation Division, Southeast Regional Office.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2003a. Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Pelagic *Sargassum* habitat of the South Atlantic region. Federal Register 68(192):57375-57379.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2003b. Highly Migratory Species Essential Fish Habitat; GIS data files. Data received December 2003 from Chris Rilling. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Highly Migratory Division.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2004. Endangered and threatened species; Establishment of species of concern list, addition of species to species of concern list, description of factors for identifying species of concern, and revision of candidate species list under the endangered species act. Federal Register 69(73):19,975-19,979.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 1998. Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act. In Ocean Planning Information System (OPIS)—Legislative summaries. Accessed 15 July 2004. http://www.csc.noaa.gov/opis/html/ summary/mpprca.htm.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2000. Coastal Zone Management Act federal consistency regulations. Final rule. Federal Register 65(237):77,124-77,175.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2001a. Florida Mid-Atlantic coast, Geophysical Data System for gridded bathymetric data. Volume 2, version 4.1 (CD-ROM). Boulder, Colorado: National Geophysical Data Center.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2001b. Florida Mid-Atlantic coast, Geophysical Data System for gridded bathymetric data. Volume 3, version 4.1 (CD-ROM). Boulder, Colorado: National Geophysical Data Center.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2003. Celebrating 30 years of the Coastal Zone Management Act. Accessed 15 July 2004. http://www.ocrm.nos.noaa.gov/czm/.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2006. CoRIS: Deepwater corals. Accessed 23 January 2006. http://www.coris.noaa.gov/about/deep.

NOAA and DoI (National Atmospheric and Oceanic Administration and Department of the Interior). 2006. Marine protected areas of the United States: Marine managed areas inventory site profile. NOAA's Monitor National Marine Sanctuary. Accessed 24 May 2006. http://www.mpa.gov.

PODAAC (Physical Oceanography Distributed Active Archive Center). 2004. AVHRR (Advance Very High Resolution Radiometry) Oceans Pathfinder global 4 km equal-angle all SST v5 (NOAA/NASA). SST data downloaded from: http://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/products/product216.html.

Pritchard, P.C.H. 1997. Evolution, phylogeny, and current status. Pages 1-28 in P.L. Lutz and J.A. Musick, eds. The biology of sea turtles. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.

Reed, J.K., D.C. Weaver, and S.A. Pomponi. 2006. Habitat and fauna of deep-water *Lophelia pertusa* coral reefs off the southeastern U.S.: Blake Plateau, Straits of Florida, and Gulf of Mexico. Bulletin of Marine Science 78(2):343-375.

Renaud, M.L., and J.A. Carpenter. 1994. Movements and submergence patterns of loggerhead turtles (Caretta caretta) in the Gulf of Mexico determined through satellite telemetry. Bulletin of Marine Science 55:1-15.

Rice, D.W. 1998. Marine mammals of the world: Systematics and distribution. Society for Marine Mammalogy Special Publication No. 4. Lawrence, Kansas.

Rilling, C. 2005. Personal communication via telephone between Dr. Chris Rilling, National Marine Fisheries Service, Highly Migratory Species Management Division, Silver Spring, Maryland, and Dr. Amy R. Scholik, Geo-Marine, Inc., Newport News, Virginia, 1 March.

Rosenbaum, H.C., R.L. Brownell, M.W. Brown, C. Schaeff, V. Portway, B.N. Whiate, S. Malik, L.A. Pastene, N.J. Patenaude, C.S. Baker, M. Goto, P.B. Best, P.J. Clapham, P. Hamilton, M. Moore, R. Payne, V. Rowntree, C.T. Tynan, J.L. Bannister, and R. DeSalle. 2000. World-wide genetic differentiation of *Eubalaena*: Questioning the number of right whale species. Molecular Ecology 9(11):1793-1802.

Ruebsamen, R. 2004. Personal communication via meeting between Dr. Ric Ruebsamen, EFH Coordinator for the National Marine Fisheries Service Southeast Region, Panama City, Florida, and Dr. Amy R. Scholik, Geo-Marine, Inc., Newport News, Virginia, 8 November.

Ruebsamen, R. 2005. Personal communication via meeting between Dr. Ric Ruebsamen, EFH Coordinator for the National Marine Fisheries Service Southeast Region, Panama City, Florida, and Dr. Amy R. Scholik, Geo-Marine, Inc., Newport News, Virginia, 13 April.

Rutgers University. 2006. Satellite Images. Accessed 20 June 2006. http://marine.rutgers .edu/cool/sat_data/?nothumbs=0.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 1998. Final habitat plan for the south Atlantic region: Essential Fish Habitat requirements for fishery management plans of the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council: the shrimp fishery management plan, the red drum fishery management plan, the snapper-grouper fishery management plant, the coastal migratory pelagics fishery management plan, the golden crab fishery management plan, the spiny lobster fishery management plan, the coral, coral reefs, and live/hard bottom habitat fishery management plan, the *Sargassum* habitat fishery management plan, and the calico shrimp fishery management plan. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

Scott, G.P., and J.R. Gilbert. 1982. Problems and progress in the U.S. BLM-sponsored CETAP surveys. Reports of the International Whaling Commission 32:587-600.

SEAMAP (Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program). 2001. South Atlantic Bight bottom mapping CD-ROM, version 1.2. Washington, D.C.: Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, SEAMAP-South Atlantic Bottom Mapping Workgroup.

Sedberry, G.R. 2005. Spawning locations for Atlantic reef fishes; GIS shapefiles. Data received April 2005 from Dr. George Sedberry. Charleston, South Carolina: South Carolina Department of Natural Resources.

Shepard, F.P. 1973. Submarine Geology, 3rd Edition. New York: Harper and Row, Publishers.

Shoop, C.R., and R.D. Kenney. 1992. Seasonal distributions and abundances of loggerhead and leatherback sea turtles in waters of the northeastern United States. Herpetological Monographs 6:43-67.

Smith, W.H.F. and D.T. Sandwell. 1997. Global sea floor topography from satellite altimetry and ship depth soundings. Accessed March 2002. http://topex.ucsd.edu/marine_topo/mar_topo.html.

SRS Technologies. JAX/CHASN OPAREA GIS shapefiles. 2001. Received 5 June 2001 from Ryan Heitz, GIS Manager. Arlington, Virginia: SRS Technologies-Washington Group.

Thompson, N.B. 1984. Progress report on estimating density and abundance of marine turtles: Results of first year pelagic surveys in the southeast U.S. Unpublished report. Miami: National Marine Fisheries Service.

Turnquest, N. 2005. Delimitation of the maritime boundary between the Commonwealth of The Bahamas and the United States of America: A case study. New York: United Nations. Downloaded 2 February 2006 from http://www.un.org/depts/los/nippon/unnff_programme_home/fellows_pages/fellows_papers/turnquest_0506_bahamas.pdf

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2000a. Digest of federal resource laws of interest to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service—Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972. Accessed 15 July 2006. http://www.fws.gov/laws/laws%20digest/COASZON.HTML

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2000b. Digest of federal resource laws of interest to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service—Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Clean Water Act). Accessed 15 July 2006. http://www.fws.gov/laws/laws%20digest/FWATRPO.HTML.

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2000c. Digest of federal resource laws of interest to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service—Coastal Barrier Resources Act. Accessed 15 July 2006. http://www.fws.gov/laws/laws%20digest/COASBAR.HTML.

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2000d. Digest of federal resource laws of interest to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service—Oil Pollution Act. Accessed 15 July 2006. http://www.fws.gov/laws/laws%20digest/OILPOLL.HTML.

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). 1993. Geologic interpretation of the U.S. East Coast Geologic LOng-Range Inclined Asdic (GLORIA) sidescan sonar mosaic; GIS shapefiles. Woods Hole, Massachusetts: U.S. Department of Interior, U.S. Geological Survey.

Vandenbroucke, J., G. Gratta, and N. Lehtinen. 2005. Experimental study of acoustic ultra-high-energy neutrino detection. Astrophysical Journal 61:301-312.

Wenner, E.L., and C.A. Barans. 1990. In situ estimates of density of golden crab, *Chaceon fenneri*, from habitats on the continental slope, southeastern U.S. Bulletin of Marine Science 46(3):723-734.

Winn, H.E., C.A. Price, and P.W. Sorensen. 1986. The distributional biology of the right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*) in the western North Atlantic. Reports of the International Whaling Commission, Special Issue 10:129-138.

Würsig, B., S.K. Lynn, T.A. Jefferson, and K.D. Mullin. 1998. Behaviour of cetaceans in the northern Gulf of Mexico relative to survey ships and aircraft. Aquatic Mammals 24:41-50.

This page intentionally left blank

2.0 PHYSICAL & BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT

2.1 INTRODUCTION

The JAX/CHASN OPAREA is located entirely within the South Atlantic Bight (SAB), the marine geographic province that extends approximately from West Palm Beach, Florida to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina or 27°N to 35°N (Atkinson and Menzel 1985; NOAA 2005a). The OPAREA itself extends along the coast from just north of Cape Canaveral, Florida to approximately 75 km north of Cape Fear, North Carolina. The SAB is unique among U.S. oceanic provinces because of its direct link with the Gulf Stream Current. The Gulf Stream flows in closest proximity to the coast in the SAB and the influence of this current dominates the physical, biological, and climatological processes of the region. The climate in the SAB is highly variable, ranging from temperate to subtropical, due to the large latitudinal range this area encompasses as well as the tempering affect of the warm Gulf Stream waters. The coastline of the SAB forms a sweeping curve that is characterized by several crescent-shaped bays and offshore shoals at its northern extent, while the southern portion of the SAB is characterized by coastal estuaries and the expansive carbonate platform known as Blake Plateau.

The Gulf Stream dominates surface circulation in the SAB and the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, frequently intruding upon the Florida-Hatters Shelf and affecting both the physical and biological dynamics over the shelf. The Charleston Bump is a prominent area of high relief on the Florida-Hatteras Slope that deflects the Gulf Stream seaward and causes the formation of a large-scale, cyclonic gyre as well as numerous meanders and smaller-scale eddies. These Gulf Stream meanders play a critical role in upwelling dynamics and primary and secondary production in the SAB.

2.2 CLIMATE

The climate in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is influenced by several factors including prevailing winds, warm Gulf Stream waters, and oscillating atmospheric pressure systems. Oceanographic and atmospheric phenomena are interrelated and combine to create the long term climate and short term weather patterns that characterize the OPAREA. When viewed over appropriate time scales, any given atmospheric event is coupled in some way with a related oceanographic occurrence, and together the two components combine to form a larger ocean-atmosphere system (Gill 1982).

Prevailing westerly winds result in a tropical/subtropical climate south of Cape Hatteras (Joyce 1987). Air temperature measured from Frying Pan Tower located at the northern extent of the OPAREA in southeast Onslow Bay averages 26°C in summer (June through August) and 13°C in winter (December through February) with annual extremes of 31°C and -12°C (CORMP 2005). By contrast, air temperatures recorded from a NOAA oceanographic buoy located approximately 37 km off Cape Canaveral near the southern extent of the OPAREA averaged 27.1°C in summer and 19.8°C in winter between 1988 and 2001 (NDBC 2003a). Warmer average temperatures and temperature extremes of 31.8°C and 0°C at the southern end of the OPAREA are almost certainly a result of the moderating influence of the warm Gulf Stream waters.

Three atmospheric pressure systems govern the wind regimes and climate in this region: the Icelandic Low, the Bermuda-Azores High, and the Ohio Valley High (Blanton et al. 1985). The Bermuda-Azores High is a semi-permanent, high-pressure system centered over the island of Bermuda in summer and fall and over the Azores in the eastern North Atlantic in winter and spring (NOAA 2005b). The anticyclonic (clockwise) circulation associated with the Bermuda-Azores High dominates climactic conditions from approximately May through August producing southeasterly winds (<6 meters/second [$m\ s^{-1}$]) and hot, humid weather. In winter (approximately November through March) the Icelandic Low and weak Ohio Valley High combine to generate west-northwesterly winds (8 to 10 $m\ s^{-1}$) and drier weather conditions in the region (Adams et al. 1993; NOAA 2005b). Wind velocities offshore of Cape Canaveral exhibited similar trends, but with average speeds slightly lower at 4.6 $m\ s^{-1}$ in summer and 6.7 $m\ s^{-1}$ in winter (NDBC 2003a).

Average annual precipitation ranges between 109 and 142 cm (43 and 53 inches) along the coastlines of the Carolinas, Georgia, and northern Florida (Boyles et al. 2004; SRCC 2005a; SRCC 2005b; SRCC 2005c; SRCC 2005d). Maximum rainfall occurs in late summer; however, maximum discharge of freshwater from local rivers into the SAB occurs in March or April as water drains from inland mountain and piedmont areas which receive their maximum rainfall in the early spring (Blanton et al. 1985). Frozen precipitation (snow or sleet) is recorded, on average, once or twice per year along coastal regions in the Carolinas, usually from December through March, and is extremely rare farther sound in Georgia and Florida (SRCC 2005a; SRCC 2005b; SRCC 2005c; SRCC 2005d). Snow and sleet almost always occur in conjunction with an offshore low pressure system that brings moisture into the region (Boyles et al. 2004).

Annual extremes in precipitation along the coastline bordering the OPAREA are wide-ranging and demonstrate just how varied the regional climate can be. Data from 1948 to the present from a weather station located just north of Cape Fear, North Carolina recorded 78.7 cm of rain for September of 1999 and just 0.5 cm one year later in October of 2000 (SRCC 2006a). Along the coast near the southern boundary of the OPAREA monthly extremes in rainfall ranged from a maximum of 53 cm in June of 1968 to no rainfall in January of 1951 (SRCC 2006b). Similar trends have continued in recent years with extremes of 41 cm and 1 cm occurring since 2000 (SRCC 2006b).

Weather systems pass rapidly through the southeastern U.S. (approximately every 2 to 5 days) throughout the year, and their effects are superimposed on the seasonal cycling of the Bermuda Azores High (Joyce 1987). The proximity of the Gulf Stream to the southeast U.S. coast has a strong effect in the generation of cyclonic, extra-tropical storms in winter as cold, dry continental air meets the warm, moist air over Gulf Stream waters (Adams et al. 1993). Thunder storms and major storm systems occur in the region most often during summer and fall as hot, humid air masses collide with passing fronts (Joyce 1987).

2.2.1 Tropical Storms and Hurricanes

Most major storms, including hurricanes, occur in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA during the North Atlantic hurricane season which occurs annually from June through November. Tropical cyclones form in warm, equatorial waters of the North Atlantic Ocean and Caribbean Sea and often move northward along the southeastern U.S. coast following the path of the Gulf Stream (Adams et al. 1993; Buchan 2000). Since 1944, when reliable data on storm systems were recorded, 655 named storms have occurred over the North Atlantic; 162 of these storms were major hurricanes (i.e., category 3, 4, or 5 on the Saffir/Simpson scale) (NCDC 2006a). Between 1950 and 2005, 22 hurricanes made landfall along the stretch of U.S. coastline immediately adjacent to the OPAREA; however, five of the 22 land strikes have occurred since 2003, supporting the assertion that the region, and the North Atlantic in general, is experiencing a period of increase in both the number of storm systems generated and in storm system intensity (NCDC 2006a; NCDC 2006b).

The Atlantic hurricane seasons of 2004 and 2005 were particularly active. The 2005 season produced a record number of named storms (28), a record number of hurricanes (15) including four category 5 hurricanes, and a record number (4) of major hurricanes impacting the U.S. (NOAA 2006a). Six named storms passed over at least some part of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA in 2004 and 2005 bringing heavy rains, high to severe winds, and storm surges that frequently resulted in coastal flooding and severe beach erosion (Bell et al 2005; Table 2-1).

In 2004, three hurricanes and one tropical storm passed through the OPAREA, and three others passed just to the south or west of the OPAREA. Hurricane Alex produced a significant storm surge and caused beach erosion along the Outer Banks of North Carolina before returning to the Atlantic Ocean and strengthening to a category 3 hurricane (SRCC 2005e). Hurricane Charlie struck the southwest coast of Florida as a powerful category 4 hurricane and proceeded across the state emerging north of Cape Canaveral as a category 1 hurricane. Charlie caused severe wind damage spawning nine tornadoes in Florida, five in eastern North Carolina, and two in southeast Virginia (NOAA 2005c).

Table 2-1. Tropical storms and hurricanes traversing the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA in 2004 and 2005 (SRCC 2005e; NCDC 2006a).

Storm Name	Date in OPAREA	Strength in OPAREA
Hurricane Alex	July/August 2004	Category 1
Tropical Storm Bonnie	August 2004	N/A
Hurricane Charlie	August 2004	Category 1
Hurricane Gaston	August 2004	Category 1
Hurricane Ophelia	September 2005	Category 1
Tropical Storm Tammy	October 2005	N/A

Hurricane Gaston made landfall as a category 1 hurricane north of Charleston, South Carolina, and moved northeast impacting coastal and inland areas of North Carolina and Virginia. Gaston caused heavy rains and flooding as far inland as Richmond, Virginia even as it degraded into a tropical storm (NOAA 2005d). Hurricane Ophelia formed into a tropical storm just south of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA off of Cape Canaveral and then moved northeast through the OPAREA, never officially making landfall but skirting along the coast of North Carolina causing heavy rain—as much as 43 cm in one location—and a 1 to 2 m (4 to 6 ft) storm surge along much of the Outer Banks (NOAA 2006b).

The strength and number of hurricanes developing in the North Atlantic and ultimately impacting coastal regions of the U.S. and Caribbean nations is forecast to remain above normal in the near future (NASA 2005a). Atmospheric and oceanic phenomena combine to create conditions favorable for the formation of storm systems. A strong Bermuda-Azores High results in less cloud cover over Hurricane Alley, the tropical region of the North Atlantic Ocean between the Antilles and Africa where hurricanes typically develop. Reduced cloud cover over Hurricane Alley increases the exposure of ocean waters to the warming rays of the sun. Warm waters are fuel for tropical storm systems, and an increase in ocean surface temperatures can result in an increase in the number and intensity of tropical storms and hurricanes (DeMaria and Kaplan 1994; NASA 2005a).

2.2.2 North Atlantic Oscillation

Two large-scale, multi-decadal climactic phenomena: the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and the El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO) have a significant influence on the climate of the North Atlantic basin as well as the global climate (Conlan and Service 2000; Stenseth et al. 2003; Boyles and Raman 2003).

The NAO is a continual oscillation in the atmospheric pressure difference between the semi-permanent high-pressure center over the Azores and the subpolar low-pressure center over Iceland (Curry and McCartney 2001; Stenseth et al. 2003). When the atmospheric pressure at sea level increases in Iceland it decreases in the Azores and vice-versa (Open University 2001; Stenseth et al. 2003). The NAO is regarded as the dominant mode of decadal-scale variability in weather and climate in the North Atlantic region (Hurrell 1995; Hu and Huang 2006). The NAO has global significance as it affects sea surface temperatures, wind conditions, and ocean circulation of the North Atlantic which in turn have significant ecological impacts on marine ecosystems and the terrestrial environments of North America and Europe (Open University 2001; Stenseth et al. 2003; Menzel et al. 2005). Although the NAO primarily affects the climate and oceanography of the northern North Atlantic Ocean, its influence also extents into the subtropical North Atlantic and the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Hurrell et al. 2001).

The variability of the NAO is measured by an index, which indicates the departure from the mean atmospheric pressure difference between the Azores High and the Iceland Low. However, there are different NAO indices available using different reference stations and/or base-line time periods. Since the known effects of the NAO are most pronounced in winter (Taylor and Stephens 1998), the NAO index most often used is the winter index, which is the average over four or five months—December through March or April (Hurrell 1995). Typical conditions expected during the two phases (positive and negative) of the NAO index include:

➢ *Positive or Strong Phase*

- Both the Iceland Low and Azores High intensify (i.e., there is a larger difference between the two pressure centers)
- Westerly winds strengthen resulting in a jet stream that flows primarily from west to east; meandering of the jet stream is reduced
- Air temperatures in eastern and central North America are warmer than normal
- Europe is warmer and wetter than normal
- Greenland and the northern North Atlantic are colder than average
- The Mediterranean Sea and surrounding area is colder and drier than average

➢ *Negative or Weak Phase*

- Both the Iceland Low and Azores High are weaker than average (i.e., there is a smaller difference between the two pressure centers)
- Meridional flow dominates; the jet stream meanders strongly
- Eastern North America is colder and drier than normal
- Europe is colder and drier than normal
- Greenland and the northern North Atlantic are warmer than normal
- The Mediterranean and surrounding area is warmer and wetter than normal (Open University 2001; Visbeck 2002)

The NAO tends to remain relatively stable for extended periods ranging from several years to decades On average, the NAO was positive from 1900 to 1950, negative in the 1960s and 1970s, and has been positive since 1970 (Hurrell et al. 2001); although, recently the NAO index has declined rapidly resulting in a weak to nonexistent trend in the index when averaged over the past 30 years (Cohen and Barlow 2005).

Since ocean circulation is wind and density driven, it is not surprising to find that the NAO appears to have a direct effect on the position and strength of currents in the North Atlantic Ocean. The NAO influences the latitude of the Gulf Stream and accounts for a great deal of the interannual variability in the location of the current. In years following a positive NAO index, the latitude of the “north wall” of the Gulf Stream Current (i.e., the northern boundary of the current east of Cape Hatteras) is located farther north than usual (Taylor and Stephens 1998; Open University 2001). In addition, the NAO is capable of affecting the strength of the Gulf Stream and its end-member, the North Atlantic Current. During the predominantly negative NAO years of the 1960’s, the Gulf Stream shifted southward and weakened. During the subsequent 25-year period when the NAO index was predominantly positive, the Gulf Stream intensified reaching a record peak in transport in the 1990s that was 25% to 33% above average (Curry and McCartney 2001). The location and strength of the Gulf Stream is critical, because the current is an essential part of the North Atlantic atmosphere-ocean system, moderating local climate and weather from the U.S. to the Mediterranean, including the climate in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Buchan 2000; Open University 2001).

2.2.3 El Niño/Southern Oscillation

The ENSO is an oceanic and atmospheric phenomenon most closely associated with the Pacific Ocean rather than the Atlantic Ocean; however, effects on climate resulting from the ENSO are observed on a global scale (Conlan and Service 2000). During non-El Niño (normal) years, steady trade winds blowing from east to west in the tropical Pacific maintain the transport of warm surface waters into the western Pacific basin. A steeply inclined thermocline sloping upward from west to east is present across the Pacific, and upwelling frequently occurs along the coast in the eastern Pacific (Conlan and Service 2000; Open University 2001). During El Niño conditions the atmospheric pressure difference between the eastern and western tropical Pacific decreases causing the northeasterly trade winds to weaken, which results in warm equatorial waters moving into the central and eastern tropical Pacific (Open University 2001). The depth of the thermocline increases in the eastern Pacific and upwelling along the coasts of North and South America is drastically reduced. Monsoon rains normally occurring in Indonesia and India occur instead over the central Pacific, which leads to an increase in the number of storms impacting the west coasts of North and South America (Conlan and Service 2000). El Nino events have also been linked to abnormally cold winters in North America and Europe (Open University 2001).

La Niña is the companion phase to El Niño in the ENSO cycle. La Niña conditions are generally opposite those experienced during El Niño events and include stronger than average easterly trade winds and enhanced upwelling along the eastern Pacific coast (Open University 2001). Although El Niño events are most closely associated with negative environmental impacts, strong La Niña events can also have severe environmental consequences.

2.3 Marine Geology

A passive continental margin is one where the continent and adjacent ocean floor are on the same tectonic plate. Passive continental margins, such as the one found along the U.S. Atlantic coast, are characterized by subsidence, erosion, and thick sediment accumulations that have led to the development of the classic continental margin sequence: continental shelf, continental slope, and continental rise (Kennett 1982). South of Cape Hatteras and throughout the entire JAX/CHASN OPAREA a large, carbonate platform known as Blake Plateau interrupts the traditional sequence between the continental slope and continental rise that typifies the Mid-Atlantic Bight (MAB) north of Cape Hatteras (Figure 2-1). Shoreward of Blake Plateau and farther south into the Florida Straits, the shelf and slope are referred to as the Florida-Hatteras Shelf and Florida-Hatteras Slope rather than the continental shelf and slope (Emery and Uchupi 1972; NDBC 2003a).

2.3.1 Physiography and Bathymetry

Characteristics of the SAB seafloor include low relief, relatively gentle gradients, and smooth bottom surfaces exhibiting physiographic features contoured by erosional processes. As it sweeps over the sea floor, the Gulf Stream erodes and shapes the underlying continental slope and the surface of Blake Plateau, resulting in a unique physiography that lacks the major canyon systems and thick sediment layers found in the MAB. The seafloor in the SAB does include the prominent Charleston Bump and high concentrations of calcium carbonate in the sediments (Emery and Uchupi 1972; Figure 2-1).

Aside from the Charleston Bump, the physiography of the sea floor beneath the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is notably featureless. The wide, flat Florida-Hatteras Shelf, which is marked by several shallow depressions, underlies nearly half of the OPAREA. The Florida-Hatters Slope is characterized by low relief and a relatively gently gradient. The remainder of the sea floor beneath the OPAREA consists of the northern two-thirds of Blake Plateau. Water depths in the OPAREA range from approximately 10 m to over 2,700 m. The deepest depths are found in the most eastern portion of the OPAREA at the edge of Blake Plateau, under surface OPAREA grid blocks 20 through 25 (Figure 2-2).

2.3.1.1 Continental Margins

The continental margin (the boundary or transition between continents and ocean basins) along the U.S. Atlantic coast consists of the three physiographic provinces typical of a passive margin: the continental shelf, continental slope, and continental rise (Figure 2-3). Approximately 70% of the earth’s surface is below sea level, and the continental margins of the world make up about 21% of the submarine surface (or 15% of the earth’s surface) (Garrison 1996). More than half of the sediments covering the ocean bottom are found on the continental margins of the world (Kennett 1982). The transition between provinces of the continental margin is largely dictated by the change in the seaward gradient of the sea floor along the expanse of the continental margin. The continental margin of the SAB is distinctive with its broad and shallow continental shelf, its bisected continental slope, and the presence of Blake Plateau (Figures 2-1 and 2-2).



Figure 2-1. Three-dimensional bathymetry and major physiographic features located along the southeastern U.S. coast and in or in the vicinity of the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA. Source data: Smith and Sandwell (1997), NOAA (2001a and 2001b). Source Information: Emery and Uchupi (1972), NGDC and IOC (2003), and GEBCO-SCUFN (2005).



Figure 2-2. Bathymetry associated with the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA. Source data: Smith and Sandwell (1997) and NOAA (2001a and 2001b).



Figure 2-3. Generic three-dimensional representation of the continental margin and the major submarine zones referred to in the MRA. The continental margin includes the continental shelf, shelf break, continental slope, and continental rise, where each province is defined primarily by its seaward gradient. The pelagic zone includes the nearshore neritic and offshore oceanic zones and extends from the surface to the seafloor. The benthic zone includes the seafloor environment extending from shore to the abyssal plain.

A continental shelf is often considered to be the submarine extension of a continent. On a worldwide average, a gentle gradient (<1:1,000 or <0.1°), low relief (<20 m), widths of about 100 km, and maximum water depths of 130 m distinguish the continental shelf (Kennett 1982; Eisma 1988). The transition from the shelf to the continental slope occurs at the shelf break, which is marked by a sudden change in the gradient of the seafloor. Heezen et al. (1959) established a minimum gradient defining the shelf break in the North Atlantic of 1:40 or 1.4°, which has generally remained accepted. The average depth of the shelf break usually coincides with the deepest waters found on the continental shelf (Shepard 1973; Pickard and Emery 1990).

The Florida-Hatteras Shelf is narrow at its northern extent (~45 km) off Cape Hatteras just north of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, but broadens to over 105 km off of Cape Fear approximately 300 km to the south (Newton et al 1971; Figures 2-1 and 2-2). At its greatest width the Florida-Hatteras Shelf extends nearly 150 km off the Georgia coast before narrowing again to less than 60 km off Cape Canaveral (Figure 2-3). The average width of the Florida-Hatteras Shelf is 130 km and the average gradient on the shelf is extremely flat (<1:1000) (Heezen 1959; Shepard 1973). The Florida-Hatters Shelf has been divided into three regions based primarily on oceanographic characteristics. Dynamics on the inner shelf (shore to the 20 m isobath) are influenced primarily by the wind, tides, and riverine outflow. The mid shelf (between the 20 and 40 m isobaths) is dominated by the wind, tides, and occasional Gulf Stream intrusions. Dynamics on the outer shelf are driven primarily by the Gulf Stream and its associated meanders and eddies and less so by winds and riverine outflow (Aretxabaleta et al. 2006).

The shelf break in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA ranges in water depth from approximately 50 to 100 m and is usually bordered by a nearly continuous chain of ancient algal reefs (Emery and Uchupi 1972; Figure 2-2). An abrupt increase in the seafloor gradient from less than 1:900 to 1:20 or 2.8° marks the location of the shelf break off of Cape Lookout just to the north of the OPAREA (Newton et al 1971). Off the east coast of Florida at the southern extent of the OPAREA the shelf break is marked by a relatively gradual change to a gradient of 1° (Emery and Uchupi 1972). Five prominent capes are located along the Florida-Hatteras Shelf; from north to south they are: Cape Hatteras, Cape Lookout, Cape Fear, Cape Romain, and Cape Canaveral (Figure 2-1). Only Cape Fear off of North Carolina and Cape Romain off of South Carolina are located immediately adjacent to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Although all of these capes have associated offshore shoaling areas, Cape Fear in particular is known for its long, sand shoals, referred to as Frying Pan Shoals, that project southward into the OPAREA where depths of less the 10 m can extend more than 20 km offshore (Emery and Uchupi 1972).

Worldwide, the average depth of the continental slope ranges from the shelf break depth (~130 m) to as deep as 3,500 m (Kennett 1982). The gradient of the continental slope changes radically from that of the shelf, averaging 1:19 to 1:9.5 or about 3 to 6°, with variability related to the morphology of the coastal region (Heezen et al. 1959; Fairbridge 1966; Sverdrup et al. 1970; Eisma 1988).

The continental slope in the SAB is relatively smooth and bifurcates (splits into two) on either side of the Blake Plateau. The eastern half of the slope merges with the Blake Escarpment while the western slope, referred to as the Florida-Hatteras Slope south of Cape Hatteras, follows the coastline in the more typical position of a continental slope (Emery and Uchupi 1972; Tucholke 1987; NGDC and IOC 2003). Seaward gradients on the Florida-Hatteras slope are comparatively gentle and average only about 0.5° north of 31°N and 1° to the south (Tucholke 1987); although, steeper gradients in isolated areas are present. Depths over the Florida-Hatteras Slope range from 60 m to a maximum of 700 m at the juncture with Blake Plateau, whereas on the Blake Escarpment water depths range from about 1,000 to 2,400 m in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Figure 2-2; Kennett 1982).

The most seaward province of the continental margin, the continental rise, is located between the continental slope and the floor of the ocean basin (or abyssal plain). On a worldwide average, the continental rise extends from 100 to 1,000 km in width and has a gentle seaward gradient of 1:700 to 1:1,000 (0.08 to 0.06°) with low relief (Kennett 1982). The continental rise is usually covered with thick layers of sediments that have been transported from the continents. Submarine canyons and channels also cut through the continental rise in numerous locations around the world. There is no continental rise east of the Blake Escarpment. Farther north, the Blake Outer Ridge is continuous with the continental rise beginning roughly at 33°N (Figures 2-1 and 2-2; Tucholke 1987). Northeast of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, the continental rise extends until it intersects with the Hatteras Abyssal Plain (33°N to 35°N) and the Bermuda Rise (35°N to 37°N) in water depths greater than 4,000 m (Tucholke 1987). The Hatteras Abyssal Plain is located northeast of the seaward boundary of the OPAREA in water depths of 4,000 to 5,000 m.

2.3.1.2 Blake Plateau

The most prominent physiographic feature of the SAB sea floor is the massive Blake Plateau, which ranges offshore from Florida northward to Cape Hatteras. The plateau is a relatively smooth, 228,000 km^2 platform lying at depths between approximately 700 and 1,400 m (Emery and Uchupi 1972). The plateau forms an intermediate bottom surface between the Florida-Hatteras slope to the west, the Bahama Banks to the south, and the ocean basin or abyssal plain to the east. At its northern terminus off Cape Hatteras, Blake Plateau is extremely narrow while at its southern end it broadens to a maximum width of about 300 km (Shepard 1973, USGS 2006). The gently sloping (<1°) plateau terminates abruptly along its eastern edge at the Blake Escarpment where the seafloor gradient increases dramatically to about 20° or more (Emery and Uchupi 1972; Shepard 1973). Topography on the Plateau is varied and includes rock outcrops, ripples, and little or no recent deposition of sediments all of which are indicative of scouring by bottom currents (Emery and Uchupi 1972; Shepard 1973; USGS 2006). Deepwater coral mounds and ridges constructed by both hermatypic and ahermatypic corals over top of ancient reefs are found on Blake Plateau particularly beneath the axis of the Gulf Stream which flows over the western flank of Blake

Plateau (Shepard 1973; Chapter 4 of this MRA). The majority of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA lies over the continental shelf and Blake Plateau (Figure 2-1).

2.3.1.3 Charleston Bump

The Charleston Bump is the most distinctive physiographic feature exhibiting significant bottom relief on the otherwise relatively flat surface of Blake Plateau (Figure 2-1; Bane et al. 2001). The Charleston Bump is a rocky outcrop located between 31°N and 32°N latitude and 77.5°W and 79.5°W longitude approximately 400 to 800 m from the surface (Bane et al 2001). This feature can be identified on bathymetric maps by noting where the 500 and 600 m isobaths curve strongly seaward instead of following the shoreline as they generally do in the SAB (Figure 2-2; Bane and Brooks 1979; Govoni and Hare 2001). The Charleston Bump includes an underwater ridge and trough complex that runs roughly perpendicular to shore and to the flow of the Gulf Stream. This "island" of relief in an otherwise flat seafloor bottom causes an offshore deflection of the Gulf Stream resulting in meanders, eddies, and associated upwelling onto the Florida-Hatteras Shelf just downstream of the Charleston Bump (Bane et al. 2001; Gyory et al 2005). Its presence can also be detected by examining sea surface temperature (SST) images of the Gulf Stream; a brief discussion and accompanying SST image illustrating this phenomenon are presented later in this chapter. The Charleston Bump also provides unique habitat for pelagic and demersal fishes as well as deep sea corals (e.g., *Lophelia pertusa*) and other invertebrate species.

2.3.2 Bottom Substrate

The distribution of bottom sediments found on the continental margin in the SAB is much more complex than the distribution in many other areas (Amato 1994). The layers of sand and gravel found on the Florida-Hatteras Shelf and Slope are much thinner than those found north of Cape Hatteras due primarily to the erosion and suspension induced by the Gulf Stream. The continental shelves of the North Atlantic, and in particular in the SAB, are considered to be sediment-starved because of the lack of fluvial input onto the shelves and the high-energy current and tidal systems that transport sediments off of the shelves and onto the adjoining continental slopes (Riggs et al. 1998). An indicator of this is the more common occurrence of rock outcrops on the Florida-Hatteras Slope and Blake Plateau than found farther north in the MAB (Emery and Uchupi 1972).

Carbonate sediments predominate throughout the JAX/CHASN OPAREA making up between 50% and 95% of sediments on the outer Florida-Hatteras Shelf and the adjacent Florida-Hatteras Slope. Farther seaward, between 85% and 93% of sediments on Blake Plateau are composed of carbonate (Jones et al. 1985; Emery and Uchupi 1972; Figure 2-4). Sources of carbonate in sediments in the region include mollusks, echinoids, barnacles, coralline algae, foraminifera, pteropods, and ooids. Non-carbonate sediments, present in largest quantities on the inner shelf, are composed primarily of quartz, feldspar, glauconite, and phosphorite, with quartz comprising most of the nearshore, fine-grained sand (Jones et al. 1985). Although calcareous sediments are most common on Blake Plateau, the platform is also known for its phosphorite and manganese oxide deposits, which have been well documented for over 100 years (Emery and Uchupi 1972; Amato 1994).

Tropical cyclones and other major storm systems can have a significant effect on the distribution of sediments, particularly on sediment-starved continental shelves. In 2003, hurricane Isabel made landfall on the Outer Banks of North Carolina just north of the OPAREA. Over a 4.5 day period as Isabel approached and passed through the region, bottom currents and sediment resuspension in Onslow Bay increased dramatically and caused a net southwest transport of fine and medium grained sediment in the Bay (Wren and Leonard 2005). Sudden and rapid transport of massive quantities of bottom sediments can have a significant impact on the exposure of hard bottom substrate and ultimately on the benthic communities.

Organized ridges of alternating fine and course grained sand have been observed in nearshore areas along the entire length of the Florida-Hatteras Shelf (Emery and Uchupi 1972; Murray and Thieler 2004).



Figure 2-4. Seafloor sediment types occurring in or in the vicinity of the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and (where available) the percentage of calcium carbonate ($CaCO_3$) contained in sediments. Source data: Amato (1994) and USGS (2000). Source information: MGS (2005).

These formations were thought to have been evidence for the presence of cross-shelf currents because they are arranged perpendicular to shore. However, a recent attempt to model the sediment distribution pattern suggests a longshore current may better explain the occurrence and orientation of the ridges (Murray and Thieler 2004).

Sediments on the Florida-Hatteras slope are composed of higher concentrations of silt and clay sized particles than sediments on the shelf (Tucholke 1987; Figure 2-4). Silty clays predominate on the Blake-Bahama Abyssal Plain, located at the foot of the Blake Escarpment, and on the Hatteras Abyssal Plain located farther east at an average depth of over 5,500 m (Emery and Uchupi 1972).

2.4 Water Masses, Currents, and Circulation

The water column can be divided into essentially three separate layers or water masses; a surface water layer, a deepwater layer, and an intermediate layer called the thermocline that resides between the two other layers. The thermocline is defined as the area where water temperature changes rapidly from the warmer, surface water to the colder, deepwater. In the North Atlantic Ocean approximately 67% of the water is found in the deep layer, 25% is found in thermocline layer, and 8% is composed of the warmer surface waters (Schmitz et al. 1987).

The two primary forces that drive circulation, or currents, in these water masses are the wind and differences in water density. Surface currents are primarily driven by the drag of the wind over the surface of the water which causes the water to move and form currents. Wind-driven circulation, as it is called, affects primarily the upper 100 m of the water column. Variations in temperature and salinity cause differences in water density; these differences drive thermohaline or vertical circulation. Thermohaline circulation causes movement in water masses at all levels of the water column (i.e., surface and deep), but the effects of the wind are usually dominant over thermohaline circulation at the surface (Pickard and Emery 1990).

2.4.1 Surface Currents

Prevailing winds, centripetal acceleration, and the presence of landmasses cause surface waters to move in a circular fashion, that is, as a rotating gyre in ocean basins. In the North Atlantic Ocean, this clockwise rotating gyre system is composed of the Gulf Stream, North Atlantic, Canary, and North Equatorial currents.

The Florida Current and Gulf Stream Current comprise the downstream end of a system of currents referred to as the Gulf Stream System, which is comprised of several surface currents that flow from the Caribbean Sea into the Gulf of Mexico and ultimately into the northwestern Atlantic Ocean. The Antilles Current, which originates from the North Equatorial Current and flows northwestward along the eastern edge of the Bahamas, contributes to the Gulf Stream when it joins the Florida Current off the east coast of Florida. The Gulf Stream Current flows north along the U.S. southeast coast, and is the dominant surface current in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean, SAB, and JAX/CHASN OPAREA

Southerly flowing coastal or longshore currents, so typical north of Cape Hatteras, are transient events in the SAB and, when present, are limited to narrow bands along the coast (Bumpus 1973). Circulation over the Florida Hatteras Shelf is generally described by a broad, slow, northerly flow of water with frequent intrusions by the Gulf Stream (Kantha et al 1982; Figures 2-5 and 2-6). Not only do Gulf Stream meanders onto the shelf affect shelf circulation, but offshore shifts in the mean axis of the Gulf Stream also cause changes in currents on the shelf, and may even lead to reversals in flow direction (Savidge et al. 1992).

Gulf Stream Current—The western continental margin of any ocean basin in the Northern Hemisphere is the location of intense boundary currents, and the Gulf Stream is the western boundary current that fulfills this role in the North Atlantic Ocean (Figures 2-5 and 2-6). The Gulf Stream Current is part of the



Figure 2-5. Surface circulation in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity revealed by a satellite image of sea surface temperature (SST) taken on 20 May 2006. Warm waters transported north by the Gulf Stream Current are clearly visible and dominate circulation in the OPAREA. The seaward deflection of the Gulf Stream by the Charleston Bump near 32°N 78°W is also discernable as are meanders and small eddies downstream of the Bump. Source data: Rutgers University (2006).



Figure 2-6. Surface circulation in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity including the Gulf Stream Current and generalized shelf circulation. Currents on the Florida-Hatteras Shelf are primarily wind driven and highly variable, and on average flow to the northeast. Source map (scanned): General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source Information: Emery and Uchupi (1972).

larger Gulf Stream System that includes the Loop Current in the Gulf of Mexico and the Florida Current in the Florida Straits. The Gulf Stream is a powerful surface current that carries warm equatorial waters into the cooler North Atlantic (Pickard and Emery 1990; Verity et al. 1993). The Gulf Stream is usually sharply defined along its western and northern sides or walls but much less so on its eastern and southern walls (Pickard and Emery 1990) due primarily to sharp temperature gradients found only across the western/northern wall (Figure 2-5).

The Gulf Stream flows roughly parallel to the coastline from the Florida Straits to Cape Hatteras, where it is deflected from the North American continent and flows northeastward past the Grand Banks. The width of the Gulf Stream varies from about 80 km at 27°N to 120 km at 29°N as it enters the OPAREA and gradually broadens to 145 km in the North Atlantic at 73°W (Gyory et al 2005). Surface velocity ranges from 1 to 2.6 m s^{-1} with a temperature range from 25 to 28°C (Mann and Lazier 1996). Average transport off Cape Hatteras is estimated to be between 50 and 65 Sv (Sv ≡ 10^6 m^3 s^{-1}) and increases to about 145 Sv at 60°W (Schmeits and Dijkstra 2000; Gyory et al 2005). The position of the Gulf Stream is variable due to a number of oceanographic and atmospheric influences including water column stratification, the NAO, and instability in the mean flow past Cape Hatteras (Taylor and Stephens 1998; Schmeits and Dijkstra 2000; Pershing et al. 2001).

Meandering of the current begins to occur south of Cape Hatteras before the current separates from the coastline; however farther downstream meanders tend to increase in amplitude by as much as ten fold (Savidge 2004). South of Cape Hatteras, meanders typically form frontal eddies that remain attached to the Gulf Stream while north of Cape Hatteras meanders usually pinch off to form small gyres that become separated from the Gulf Stream as either warm- or cold-core rings (Mann and Lazier 1996). Meanders usually form at one to two week intervals and persist for about one year (Atkinson and Targett 1983). The formation of warm- and cold-core rings has no correlation with seasonality but appears to be driven by flow dynamics of the Gulf Stream. Warm-core rings are anticyclonic meanders of warm Sargasso Sea water that pinch off to the north of the Gulf Stream (Mann and Lazier 1996; Brooks 1996). On average about 22 warm-core rings are formed per year, each measuring approximately 100 km in diameter and 1,000 m in vertical dimension (Gyory et al 2005). Having lifetimes that range from 11 to 399 days, warm-core rings drift in a south to southwesterly direction generally west of 50°W and north of 30°N, eventually dissipating or merging with the Gulf Stream again (Pickard and Emery 1990; García-Moliner and Yoder 1994).

Cold-core rings form when a cyclonic meander pinches off the Gulf Stream, resulting in a cyclonic (counterclockwise rotating) ring of cool continental slope water surrounded by the warmer waters of the Sargasso Sea (Pickard and Emery 1990; Mann and Lazier 1996). On average of 35 cold-core rings are shed by the Gulf Stream per year (Gyory et al 2005). Cold-core rings have diameters between 100 and 350 km, vertical dimensions of 3,000 m, and may last up to two years (Pickard and Emery 1990). Newly formed cold-core rings also drift in a south-southwesterly direction west of 50°W and north of 30°N and also eventually dissipate or merge with the Gulf Stream.

Frontal eddies commonly occur when the distance between the Gulf Stream and the coast is the greatest, such as off the coast of northern Florida, Georgia and South Carolina (Yoder et al. 1981). These eddies often take the form of finger-like extensions that protrude onto the shelf, folding back to enclose a cold, nutrient-rich core of water upwelled from deep within the Gulf Stream (Mann and Lazier 1996). The transient upwelling associated with frontal eddies results in localized areas of high surface primary productivity. Water temperature and salinity are vertically stratified within the Gulf Stream, with salinity increasing (slightly) and temperature decreasing with depth (Adams et al. 1993). The isopycnals (surfaces of equal density) which are heavily influenced by temperature and salinity are strongly inclined throughout the water column in the Gulf Stream; from the shoreward to offshore edges of the Gulf Stream the isopycnals deepen by approximately 800 m (Adams et al. 1993). This steep inclination is what gives rise to the high velocity of the Gulf Stream Current (Pond and Pickard 1983), and also defines the “front” or the “north wall” (boundary) of the Gulf Stream (Adams et al. 1993). Surface temperatures can vary seasonally by as much as 3 to 4°C within the upper 100 to 200 m of the Gulf Stream (Adams et al. 1993).

- Charleston Gyre—The offshore deflection of the Gulf Stream by the physiographic feature known as the Charleston Bump (31°N to 32°N, 77.5°W to 79.5°W) causes large meanders and eddies in the region between the Charleston Bump and Cape Hatteras (Verity et al. 1993). Just downstream of the Charleston Bump is an area where a nearly-persistent eastward displacement of shelf water causes the formation of the cyclonic circulation known as the Charleston Gyre. The gyre maintains its circulation shoreward of the Gulf Stream off of Long Bay, South Carolina. This semi-persistent feature causes the macroalgae *Sargassum* and multiple species of ichthyoplankton to be retained on the Florida-Hatteras Shelf offshore of South Carolina.

  The offshore deflection of the Gulf Stream by the Charleston Bump has been observed to vary in magnitude, such that the state of the deflection is typically described as either weak or strong (Bane et al. 2001). Whether the magnitude of the deflection is weak or strong also seems to affect the organization of the Charleston Gyre (Bane et al. 2001). When the Gulf Stream is strongly deflected offshore, the Gyre is in its most persistent state and fewer meanders in the Gulf Stream occur between the Charleston Bump and Cape Hatteras. When the Gulf Stream is weakly deflected, meanders and eddies are spun off downstream of the Bump causing the Gyre to oscillate in strength and organization (Bane et al. 2001). The transition in the Gulf Stream from a weakly deflected state to a strongly deflected state can occur in a matter of days (Bane et al. 2001).

2.4.2 Deepwater Currents and Water Masses

The Deep Western Boundary Current (DWBC) flows southward in the western North Atlantic towards the equator along bathymetric contours, typically from 800 to 4,000 m of water depth (Adams et al. 1993; Chave et al. 1997). The DWBC is comprised of several cold, deep-water masses, each with a characteristic temperature and salinity. The DWBC may be likened to a 200 km wide ribbon of water that hugs the continental slope and rise and flows beneath the Gulf Stream before being deflected eastward by Blake Plateau. Driven by density gradients rather than wind, the DWBC has an average transport of 16 Sv and velocities ranging between 9 and 18 cm s^{-1} (Schmitz et al. 1987; Bryden et al. 2005). It is believed that the DWBC plays a significant role in completing the Sverdrup recirculation in the North Atlantic, but the mechanism by which this occurs in not fully understood (Meinen et al. 2004; Bryden et al. 2005; Johns et al. 2005). The three primary deepwater masses that combine in the North Atlantic and ultimately move southward as the DWBC are: Antarctic Bottom Water, Labrador Intermediate Water, and North Atlantic Deep Water (Schmitz et al. 1987; Adams et al. 1993).

- Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW)—AABW is formed by wintertime convection in the Southern Ocean and is distinguished by a salinity maximum of 34.9 psu (Schmitz et al. 1987). As sea ice forms in the Weddell Sea, salt is concentrated into the already cold (<1.8°C) surrounding water, which increases its density and causes it to sink to the bottom, forming AABW (Schmitz et al. 1987). As it flows north into the Atlantic Ocean, AABW gradually mixes with the warmer, more saline North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) overlying it (see below). As AABW reaches the U.S. continental slope, it can be distinguished from the NADW by its elevated silicate concentration (Schmitz et al. 1987). Most of the AABW in the North American basin of the Atlantic Ocean is found in waters deeper than 4,000 m. The very deepest waters in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA contain AABW (Kennett 1982; Schmitz et al. 1987; Pickard and Emery 1990).

- Labrador Intermediate Water (LIW)—LIW forms in the southern Labrador Sea, where relatively warm, saline waters from the Irminger Current combine with colder, fresher water from the Labrador Current. Winter winds out of the northwest cool the waters in the Labrador Sea which then sink to depths of 1,400 to 2,000 m (Schmitz et al. 1987; Mann and Lazier 1996). The depth to which water sinks is dependent on atmospheric conditions; when warmer winds blow over the Labrador Sea convection cooling and subsequent sinking is reduced (Mann and Lazier 1996). LIW primarily spreads to the east; however, some water flows around the Grand Banks and travels south along the continental shelf where it merges with slope water residing on the North American continental slope. LIW has been traced as far south as 20°N (Schmitz et al. 1987).

- North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW)—The most abundant deepwater mass in the North Atlantic Ocean is North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW), which is a mixture of water from several sources and makes up 70% of all deepwater in the North Atlantic (Schmitz et al. 1987). Iceland-Scotland Overflow Water (ISOW) crosses the Mid-Atlantic Ridge into the western basin of the North Atlantic where it joins the Denmark Strait Overflow water. This combined flow mixes to form NADW and flows northward along the coast of Greenland, then southward along the Labrador coast past the Grand Banks (Kennett 1982; Schmitz et al. 1987; Pickard and Emery 1990). Once this water mass reaches the continental slope, it is defined as the DWBC.

2.4.3 Upwelling

Upwelling is the process by which departing surface water is replaced by deeper waters. Upwelling can either be wind-driven or dynamic, that is, induced by the interaction of currents with density layers or physiographic features. Along the U.S. Atlantic coast upwelling is both wind-driven and a result of dynamic uplift (Shen et al 2000; Lentz et al. 2003). When coastal upwelling occurs, colder, nutrient- and oxygen-rich water from below the pycnocline is transported vertically to replace warmer, nutrient-poor surface water that has been entrained or driven seaward (Mann and Lazier 1996). In wind-driven upwelling, surface water is transported horizontally in a direction perpendicular to that of the prevailing wind (see Ekman spiral, Pickard and Emery 1990). Deep, cold water moves vertically or upwells to the surface to replace the departing surface water.

There are coastal areas of the world where persistent winds cause upwelling to occur nearly year-round. Major upwelling areas of the world are found off the coasts of Peru, California, and southwestern Africa. Upwelling usually leads to increased surface primary productivity as higher concentrations of dissolved nutrients in the upwelled water fuel growth and reproduction of phytoplankton (Mann and Lazier 1996; Open University 2001).

Upwelling also occurs along ocean fronts or frontal boundaries, such as those formed along the perimeter of the Gulf Stream. In the SAB, Gulf Stream induced upwelling occurs along the length of the continental shelf break; during fall, winter, and spring upwelling is restricted to the outer shelf boundary of the Gulf Stream, but in summer, cold, upwelled water intrudes onto the continental shelf beneath a thin layer of warmer, less dense shelf water (Atkinson and Yoder 1984). Upwelling also occurs within Gulf Stream meanders and eddies as the current flows north and is deflected by the Charleston Bump (Atkinson and Targett 1983; Bane et al. 2001; Govoni and Hare 2001). As cyclonic eddies propagate downstream of the Bump, persistent upwelling occurs in their cores and along the outer shelf in the SAB (Govoni and Hare 2001) When the Gulf Stream is strongly deflected offshore at Charleston Bump it can remain in that state for several weeks, which frequently results in persistent upwelling of deep waters from hundreds of meters below the surface along in the shoreward edge of the current (Bane et al. 2001). This strongly deflected state also maintains a persistent Charleston Gyre, which entrains shelf waters beyond the shelf break and can enhance upwelling of slope water onto the shelf.

Blanton et al. (1981) suggests that upwelling occurs in areas downstream of capes and their associated shoals, such as off of capes Fear, Lookout, and Hatteras in North Carolina and Cape Canaveral in Florida. As shelf water flows northward past the shallow shoals (identified in Figure 2-2 by curving isobaths seaward of each cape), surface water is deflected offshore creating a divergence in the current. Colder, slightly denser water upwells from the mid to outer shelf (and potentially from the Gulf Stream) onto the inner shelf to replace the diverging surface water (Blanton et al. 1981). In the JAX/CHASN OPAREA this topographically induced upwelling occurs off of Cape Canaveral and Cape Fear.

2.5 HYDROGRAPHY

Freshwater input from rivers into the SAB is mitigated by coastal bays and an extensive system of estuaries which filter fluvial outflow and reduce total discharge in the SAB (Newton et al 1971; Edwards et al. In press). Along the North Carolina coast, Pamlico and Albemarle sounds and a chain of barrier islands which form the eastern boundary of both sounds prevent any fresh water input to offshore areas. Fresh water input from rivers or run-off is mixed with higher salinity, brackish water in the sounds and has little impact on the salinity of shelf waters to the north of the OPAREA (Newton et al. 1971). The Cape Fear River empties onto the Florida-Hatteras Shelf just west of Cape Fear in the northern part of the OPAREA, and has a significant effect on the physical and biological dynamics of shelf waters in spring when discharge is greatest (Signorini et al. 2005). During the spring of 1998 and 2003, in particular, discharge from the Cape Fear River exceeded 708 m^3 s^{-1} and resulted in correspondingly high concentrations of chlorophyll *a* on the inner shelf (Signorini et al. 2005). The majority of riverine outflow into the SAB occurs along the coasts of southern South Carolina, Georgia, and northern Florida. In addition to the Cape Fear River, the largest rivers empting into the SAB are the Pee Dee and Edisto rivers in South Carolina and the Savannah, Altamaha, and Satilla rivers in Georgia (Edwards et al. In press). Freshwater discharge onto the shelf enhances stratification and may combine with upwelling favorable winds to create an offshore, jet-like flow within the river plume (Edwards et al. In press).

In summer, the water column over the Florida-Hatteras Shelf is highly stratified in both temperature and salinity, and a well defined thermocline is usually observed in the northern extent of the OPAREA in Onslow Bay, located between Cape Lookout and Cape Fear, as well as north of Cape Canaveral in the southern reaches of the OPAREA (Newton et al. 1971; Jones et al. 1985). Temperatures above the thermocline usually exceed 24°C while temperatures below the thermocline have been as low as 18°C (Newton et al. 1971; Jones et al. 1985). In fall, lower air temperatures and cool surface waters induce mixing within the water column, which breaks down the vertical stratification. Winter storms also result in strong mixing on the Florida-Hatteras Shelf but of a more transient nature (Jones et al 1985).

2.5.1 Sea Surface Temperature

The waters of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA undergo an annual temperature cycle that lags the seasonal atmospheric temperate changes. In order to create a seasonal breakdown more in tune with the fluctuations of the surface waters, sea surface temperature (SST) data within the entire southeast region were analyzed to divide the calendar year into four seasons: winter (6 December through 5 April), spring (6 April through 13 July), summer (14 July through 16 September), and fall (17 September through 5 December) instead of using the traditional seasons which are more or less arbitrarily created (see Chapter 1 of this MRA for details). The conventional meaning associated with each season remains the same; winter is the coldest time of the year, summer is the warmest, and spring and fall are transitional time periods.

Nearshore waters in the OPAREA fluctuate over 10°C during the course of the year, whereas beyond the shelf break, the annual change in temperature is about half that of shelf waters (Figure 2-7). The Gulf Stream, which brings warm, tropical waters northward through the offshore region of OPAREA is largely responsible for maintaining relatively consistent offshore temperatures. The position of the Gulf Stream is clearly visible as a tongue-like core of warmer SST flowing seaward of the shelf break until the current separates from the coast east of Cape Hatteras (Figures 2-5 and 2-7).

On average, water temperatures in the OPAREA are at a minimum in winter with a well defined thermal convergence of cold, northern waters and warm Gulf Stream waters just north of the OPAREA off Cape Hatteras. In spring the water column begins warming, and the area of thermal convergence migrates north of Cape Hatteras. By this time surface temperatures exceed 20°C throughout the OPAREA. As late spring progresses into early summer, a seasonal thermocline is established in waters over the Florida-Hatteras Shelf including waters within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Surface waters are almost homogeneous in summer with nearly uniform surface temperatures over the entire OPAREA (Figure 2-7). The thermocline reaches its maximum stability shortly before cooling begins in fall, at which time decreasing surface temperatures coupled with increased wind-driven mixing breakdown the thermocline and extend the mixed layer to greater depths within the water column (Open University 2001). The rate of fall cooling varies with locale, but the thermal convergence zone near Cape Hatteras is clearly in place by fall, although not as sharply defined as in winter.



Figure 2-7. Mean seasonal sea surface temperature (SST) found along the southeastern U.S. coast and in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA from 1985 through 2004. Source data: PODAAC (2004).

Once winter temperatures are reestablished, sea surface temperatures over the shallow Florida-Hatteras Shelf are decidedly cooler (by ~ 10°C) than the offshore Gulf Stream waters (Figure 2-7).

Data recorded from a NOAA oceanographic buoy between 1988 and 2001 located about 37 km east of Cape Canaveral indicate that the mean monthly SST varies from a low of just under 22°C in February and March to a high of about 28.5°C in July and August (NDBC 2003a). The average annual temperature at this location is 25.1°C with extremes of 32.2°C and 14.5°C recorded in August of 1991 and December of 1989 respectively. Similar data were recorded between 1976 and 2001 by a NOAA buoy located approximately 278 km east of Cape Hatteras, where the average annual temperature (22.9°C) was only slightly less than off Cape Canaveral, and the extremes of 32.8°C and 16.9°C were remarkably similar to the temperature extremes recorded at the southern extent of the OPAREA (NDBC 2003b). The likely cause for this continuity in SST over such an extensive change in latitude and throughout the SAB is the mediating influence of the Gulf Stream.

2.5.2 Bottom Water Temperature

Bottom temperatures on the Florida-Hatteras shelf are typically about 25°C in summer, but can be affected by transient upwelling of colder, deepwater from beneath the Gulf Stream (Aretxabaleta et al. 2006). In 2003, atmospheric and oceanic phenomena combined to reduce bottom temperatures over the SAB by as much as 8°C in some locations. Record precipitation resulting in high river discharge in spring, coupled with upwelling favorable winds and the intrusion of cold-core eddies generated by the Gulf Stream significantly reduced bottom temperatures on the shelf (Aretxabaleta et al. 2006).

2.5.3 Salinity

Salinity in the SAB and in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA ranges from 33 to 36.5 psu, with lower salinities found near the coast and highest salinities found near the shelf break (Blanton et al. 2003). Variability in salinity is due to the intrusion of saltier (>36 psu) water from over the continental slope and freshwater input from rivers as well as coastal run-off (Emery and Uchupi 1972; Durako et al 2005; Aretxabaleta et al. 2006). An increase in the salinity of shelf waters is often coincident with an onshore intrusion of the Gulf Stream and upwelling of deep, higher salinity water; although higher salinities do occur farther north than the mean axis of the Gulf Stream (Aretxabaleta et al. 2006).

The vertical distribution of salinity does not appear to vary below 300 m, remaining at a fairly consistent 34 to 36 psu to approximately 1,000 m (Cook 1988; Blanton et al 2003). Surface salinities have been observed to fluctuate within major river plumes along the SAB, such as the Cape Fear River plume where salinities have been observed to vary between 29 and 36 psu, and the Savannah River plume where a minimum salinity of 32 psu is consistently observed (Blanton et al 2003; Durako et al 2005).

2.6 BIOLOGICAL OCEANOGRAPHY

The oceanic environment in which all marine organisms exist can be divided into two primary marine zones, the pelagic zone and the benthic zone (Figure 2-3). The pelagic zone comprises the entire water column from the sea surface to the greatest ocean depths and supports the plankton and the nekton. Additional subdivisions of the pelagic zone can be made based approximately on depth; for example, the epipelagic zone ranges from the surface to 200 m and the mesopelagic zone extends from 200 to 1,000 m (Lalli and Parsons 1997). Alternatively, the pelagic zone can be subdivided into a photic zone and an aphotic zone based on the depth to which light penetrates the water column. The photic zone extends from the surface to the depth at which light is attenuated to 1% of its surface intensity. On average this depth is approximately 200 m in the open ocean, but can be much shallower where turbidity is high such as in coastal regions. The aphotic zone begins at the depth of the photic zone and extends to the seafloor (Lalli and Parsons 1997).

The benthic zone encompasses the seafloor environment and includes the shoreline, intertidal zones, coral reefs, and the deep-sea basins. Additional subdivisions of the benthic zone are made based on depth and include the bathyal zone (200 to ~3,000 m) and the abyssal zone (~3,000 to 6,000 m). Organisms inhabiting the benthic zone are referred to collectively as the benthos; examples include attached sea grasses, sessile sponges and barnacles, corals, and any animals that crawl on or burrow into the seafloor (Lalli and Parsons 1997).

Detailed descriptions of macrofauna found in the OPAREA and vicinity, such as marine mammals, sea turtles, fish species, and corals and other invertebrates, may be found in later chapters of this MRA (i.e., Chapters 3, 4, and 5). This section describes the plankton, which are particularly influenced by the physical environment and constitute a vital link in the global food web. Particular reference is given here to the physical mechanisms that affect the occurrence of plankton.

2.6.1 Plankton

Plankton are organisms that float or drift and cannot maintain their direction against the movement of currents (Parsons et al. 1984). Plankton includes phytoplankton (plant-like organisms), zooplankton (animals), bacterioplankton (bacteria), and meroplankton (individual life stages of some organisms, like the eggs or larvae of certain fish species). In general, planktonic organisms are very small or microscopic, although there are exceptions. Jellyfish and pelagic *Sargassum*, for example, are unable to move against the surrounding currents and therefore are considered plankton despite the fact that these organisms are macroscopic with some jellyfish reaching 3 m in diameter. Many zooplankton migrate hundreds of meters in the water column on a daily basis, which can place them under the influence of different currents than occur at the surface, allowing them to indirectly control their lateral movement; however, like all plankton, they cannot migrate against the prevailing current (Lalli and Parsons 2000).

2.6.1.1 Phytoplankton

Phytoplankton are single-celled organisms that are similar to plants because they photosynthesize using sunlight and chlorophyll to generate energy. Phytoplankton are often referred to as primary producers, because, like terrestrial plants, they are able to fix carbon, create their own energy and are at the base of the marine food chain, making them essential to the overall productivity of the ocean. Phytoplankton distribution is patchy, occurring in environments that have optimal light, temperature, and nutrient conditions. Phytoplankton growth and distribution are influenced by several factors, the most important of which are temperature (Eppley 1972), light (Yentsch and Lee 1966), and nutrient concentration (Goldman et al. 1979). To a lesser degree, other factors such as pH and salinity also affect the growth of phytoplankton (Parsons et al. 1984). When one of these essential factors is in short supply, growth is said to be limited by that factor. In general, the concentration of phytoplankton will be higher in nearshore areas where nutrients are discharged from land sources, such as rivers and areas of urban runoff. The principal nutrients phytoplankton use for growth and photosynthetic processes are dissolved nitrogen (nitrate/nitrite/ammonia), phosphorous (phosphate), and silica (silicate). Phosphorous limitation is typical of freshwater systems whereas marine systems are more likely to be nitrogen limited.

Phytoplankton concentration can be estimated by measuring the concentration of chlorophyll *a* from satellite-based detectors of ocean color (Schalles 2006). Seasonally, chlorophyll *a* concentrations in the vicinity of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and throughout the SAB do not vary greatly, indicating that nutrient concentrations are consistent year round and that the changing temperatures found in the OPAREA do not significantly limit growth. Important sources of nutrients in the region include discharge from major rivers systems (e.g., Cape Fear, Savannah, and Satilla) and upwelling coupled with resuspension of nutrients during intrusions by the Gulf Stream (Aretxabaleta et al. 2006; Edwards et al. In press). Although primary productivity is enhanced by nutrient input from rivers, increased turbidity associated with river discharge into coastal regions reduces light penetration into the water column which inhibits primary production (Signorini et al. 2005). The highest concentrations of surface chlorophyll *a* occurring either in or adjacent to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA occur along the coast off southern South Carolina, Georgia, and northern Florida where average values exceed 5 mg m^{-3} throughout the year (Figure 2-8). Concentrations decrease abruptly away from the coast to less than 1 mg m^{-3} beyond the shelf break in all seasons.



Figure 2-8. Mean seasonal surface chlorophyll a concentrations found along the southeastern U.S. coast and in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA from September 1997 through October 2005. Source data: NASA (2005b).

A frontal boundary extending 400 km northward from Florida inhibits cross-shelf transport and maintains concentrations of nutrients and plankton in nearshore waters throughout much of the SAB (Mallin et al. 2005).

Within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, transient upwelling events associated with the intrusion of Gulf Stream waters onto the Florida-Hatteras Shelf can also result in blooms of selected phytoplankton taxa (Lohrenz et al. 2003). Because these events are of short duration and vary spatially they do not appear on long term averages of satellite data.

A deep chlorophyll maximum appears to be a seasonal feature of summer vertical profiles as far north as 45°N. South of 40°N a deep chlorophyll maximum has been described at depths of 100 to 150 m. This feature appears to be permanent in oceanic waters as far south as the tropics (Parsons et al. 1984). Near bottom chlorophyll maxima have been observed off the coast of the Carolinas over the Florida-Hatteras Shelf (Mallin et al 2000).

Phytoplankton communities change in response to changing environmental conditions on several different scales. A phytoplankton community will change its rate of photosynthesis on a daily basis in response to changing light conditions. Large-scale variations are associated with seasonal cycles in oceanic environments. In the North Atlantic, the water column is well mixed in winter when solar radiation is lowest. This causes phytoplankton growth to be light limited (Ryan et al. 1999a). Cells are circulated to the full depth of the mixed layer and hence spend a large proportion of their time in regions where there is not sufficient light for growth. In the spring, the mixed layer is shallower, light limitation is overcome, and phytoplankton bloom or grow at exponential rates (Parsons et al. 1984; Mann and Lazier 1996; Ryan et al. 1999a). Increasing stratification of the water column during spring suppresses the vertical mixing that replenishes nutrients, leading to nutrient limitation of phytoplankton growth in the upper 20 to 30 m of the water column by approximately May. Moore et al. (2006) suggest that phytoplankton growth in the central North Atlantic may also be limited by iron concentrations in the mixed layer. A major source of iron is dust blown into open waters from the continents (i.e., western Africa in this case), which may affect the initiation, duration, and magnitude of the spring phytoplankton bloom. As the seasons change from winter (light-limited growth) to spring (nutrient-limited growth), the composition of phytoplankton assemblages changes from netphytoplankton (>20 μm) to nanophytoplankton (<20 μm) (Ryan et al. 1999b).

Mesoscale features, such as Gulf Stream meanders and rings have also been shown to locally enhance primary production (Govoni and Hare 2001; Mallin et al 2000). The physical mechanisms influencing this type of production differ from the topographically controlled production that occurs at the shelf break (Lohrenz et al. 1993). Chlorophyll distributions within a meander are likely controlled by physical processes such as vertical mixing, upwelling in the meander crest, downwelling in the trough, and cross-stream exchange (Flierl and Davis 1993; Lohrenz et al. 1993). Cold-core rings transport the more productive water found over the Florida-Hatteras Slope into the less productive waters of the Sargasso Sea. While exact estimates of enhanced productivity vary with the life of each ring, primary production is approximately 50% greater in cold-core rings than in the Sargasso Sea (Mann and Lazier 1996). Warm-core rings also vary in their physical, chemical, and biological composition over their lifetime. The driving forces of this variability could be caused either by entrainment from surrounding water masses or in situ changes (García-Moliner and Yoder 1994). Increases in phytoplankton biomass at the center of a warm-core ring have been attributed to ring decay (Franks et al. 1986); however, satellite data suggest that entrainment of both warm water from the Gulf Stream and cold water from the shelf/slope causes an increase in production to occur (Govoni and Hare 2001).

The composition of phytoplankton communities varies both temporally and spatially in the North Atlantic. In general, the total number of species and individual cells decreases seaward from the coast (Figure 2-8). Chlorophyll concentration has been measured from satellite based detectors for over 20 years; however, the ability to distinguish individual species groups has only recently been successfully demonstrated (Alvain et al. 2005). Although only four major groups are distinguished, this technique does hold promise for the use of remote sensing as a tool for identifying phytoplankton distribution on a global scale.

The distribution and diversity of phytoplankton species along locally varying salinity and temperature gradients has been observed to be significant (Lohrenz et al. 2003). Phytoplankton assemblages found in the vicinity of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA are dominated by small centric diatoms and flagellates including diatom species from the genera *Rhizosolenia*, *Hemiaulus*, and *Coscinodiscus* which are most common on the inner shelf (Mallin et al. 2000). Farther seaward dinoflagellates and cocolithophorids (e.g., *Cyclococcolithus leptoporus*) are more prevalent. In winter, cold-water tolerant species such as the diatoms, *Amphiprora hyperborea* and *Biddulphia aurita*, and the dinoflagellates, *Ceratium* spp. and *Dinophysis* spp. are present (Mallin et al. 2000). Community structure of phytoplankton in coastal waters is highly dependent on along-shelf and cross-shelf currents which can vary over short time periods and relatively small spatial regions due to the confluence of distinct water masses near Cape Hatteras (Lohrenz et al. 2003).

Large numbers of coccolithophores and pyrrhophyceans are found in Gulf Stream waters with abundances being lowest in winter. In addition, silicaflagellate species have been noted in Gulf Stream waters; it is possible that flagellated species are more successful in these waters due to their ability to maintain position in the photic zone (Hurlbert and Rodman 1963). The dinoflagellate *Gymnodinium breve*, which is known for producing “red tides” or toxic algal blooms in the Gulf of Mexico, resides in Gulf Stream waters and can bloom throughout the waters of the Florida-Hatteras Shelf when Gulf Stream meanders intrude shoreward (Tester and Steidinger 1997). Other well known harmful algae observed in the waters of the SAB or the adjacent coastal estuaries include *Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii* (Leonard and Paerl 2005), *Pfiesteria piscicida* (UNC 1998; Mallin et al. 2000), *Prorocentrum minimum*, and *Phaeocystis* spp. (Mallin, et al. 2000).

In comparison, the oligotrophic waters of the Sargasso Sea have reduced numbers of total phytoplankton and total species; coccolithophores and pyrrhophyceans are the major components, with relatively few diatoms present (Marshall 1971). During fall through spring, high concentrations of phytoplankton on the outer shelf coincide with Gulf Stream induced upwelling (Atkinson and Yoder 1984).

2.6.1.2 Zooplankton

Zooplankton are aquatic animals ranging in size from the smallest protozoans to jellyfish. Although many are able to move considerable distances at moderate speeds and thus can perform diel vertical migrations of hundreds of meters, ocean currents and the suitability of the physical, chemical, and biological components of the hydrographic regimes they encounter determine their large-scale horizontal distributions (Mann and Lazier 1996). For instance, zooplankton are likely be concentrated in areas of increased primary productivity such as along frontal boundaries and eddy peripheries associated with the Gulf Stream (Oschlies and Garcon 1998). Zooplankton biomass is influenced by seasonal fluctuations in hydrography and phytoplankton abundance; however, regardless of season, zooplankton biomass in cold-core (cyclonic) eddies such as the semi persistent Charleston Gyre and at oceanographic fronts associated with on shore meanders of the Gulf Stream, consistently exceeds the biomass within warm-core eddies (Wormuth et al. 2000; Quattrini et al. 2005; Govoni and Hare 2001).

In general, the biomass of zooplankton is higher in continental slope water (as much as four times higher) and shows stronger seasonality than in the Sargasso Sea (Allison and Wishner 1986; Wiebe et al. 1987). There is a spring enhancement of zooplankton biomass within the upper 200 m following the annual spring phytoplankton bloom (Wiebe et al. 1987). Increases in zooplankton biomass may occur when shelf water intrudes over slope water, creating a stratified water column. High nutrients and a shallow mixed layer will give rise to enhanced primary production, which in turn leads to an increase in zooplankton biomass or secondary production.

The Gulf Stream region is ecologically important in that it acts as a boundary for the distribution of some animals and a dispersal mechanism for others. The northern wall of the Gulf Stream Current marks the southern limit for cold-water species and the northern limit for many warm-water species. The surface water of the Gulf Stream tends to have a species composition and seasonal variability similar to those of the Sargasso Sea, although differences in absolute and relative species abundances can occur. In deeper water, there are similarities in faunal composition between continental slope and Sargasso Sea waters in the western North Atlantic. Within the Gulf Stream, copepod species have distinct patterns of distribution that are related to oceanic habitat characteristics and that change with depth along sloping isopycnals. Transport of zooplankton species across the Gulf Stream is only likely for those species occurring in the surface mixed layer. Species occurring in deeper sections of the Gulf Stream are likely to be transported further downstream and dispersed in offshore waters of the North Atlantic (Wishner et al. 1988).

In Onslow Bay at the northern extent of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, benthic microalgae, which dominate primary production, support species of zooplankton grazers (Mallin et al. 2005). At the southern extent of the OPAREA in the St. John's River plume off the coast of Florida, the rotifers *Brachionus havanaesis* and *Keratella cochlearus* typically dominate the zooplankton assemblage. However, the increase in abundance of the toxic cyanobacteria, *Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii*, due to eutrophication in the estuary has impacted zooplankton species composition such that larger copepod and Cladoceran species may ultimately be eliminated from the ecosystem (Leonard and Paerl 2005).

2.6.1.3 Meroplankton

Meroplankton describe those zooplankton species that spend only a portion of their life history as plankton. Certain lifestages of bivalves, fish, and arthropods are spent as plankton; however in each of these cases the adult lifestage is not. Ichthyoplankton (a subset of the meroplankton) consist of the larvae and eggs of fish species. Large frontal eddies associated with Gulf Stream meandering can transport ichthyoplankton normally associated with Gulf Stream waters into mid-shelf waters (Powell et al. 2000; Quattrini et al. 2005). Larval survival and recruitment success of shelf-spawned estuarine species are likely tied to oceanographic conditions on the inner shelf related to upwelling and downwelling conditions rather than simply to wind-driven recruitment mechanisms (Garland and Zimmer 2002; Shanks et al. 2003). Densities of diverse larval species including polychaetes, bivalves, and gastropods have been observed to vary on hourly timescales due to upwelling and downwelling events on the Florida-Hatteras Shelf (Garland and Zimmer 2002).

2.7 LITERATURE CITED

Adams, C.E., T.J. Berger, W.C. Boicourt, J.H. Churchill, M.D. Earle, P. Hamilton, F.M. Vukovich, R.J. Wayland, and D.R. Watts. 1993. A review of the physical oceanography of the Cape Hatteras, North Carolina region, Volume I: Literature synthesis. OCS Study MMS 93/0031. Prepared by Science Applications International Corporation for U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service, Atlantic OCS Region. 152 p.

Allison, S.K., and K.F. Wishner. 1986. Spatial and temporal patterns of zooplankton biomass across the Gulf Stream. Marine Ecology Progress Series 31:233-244.

Alvain, S., C. Moulin, Y. Dandonneau, and F.M. Bréon. 2005. Remote sensing of phytoplankton groups in case 1 waters from global SeaWifs imagery. Deep-Sea Research 52:1,989-2,004.

Amato, R.V. 1994. Sand and gravel maps of the Atlantic continental shelf with explanatory text. OCS Monograph MMS 93-0037. New Orleans, Louisiana: Minerals Management Service.

Aretxabaleta, A., J.R. Nelson, J.O. Blanton, H.E. Seim, F.E. Werner, J.M Bane, and R. Weisberg. 2006. Cold event in the South Atlantic Bight during summer 2003: Anomalous hydrographic and atmospheric conditions. Journal of Geophysical Research Volume III: CO6007.

Atkinson, L.P., and T.E. Targett. 1983. Upwelling along the 60-m isobath from Cape Canaveral to Cape Hatteras and its relationship to fish distribution. Deep-Sea Research 30(2A):221-226.

Atkinson, L.P., and J.A. Yoder. 1984. Review of upwelling off the southeastern United States and its effect on continental-shelf nutrient concentrations and primary productivity. Rapports et Proces-Verbaux des Reunions Conseil International pour l'Exploration de la Mer 183:70-78.

Atkinson, L.P. and D.W. Menzel. 1985. Introduction: Oceanography of the southeast U.S. continental shelf. Pages 1-9 in L.P. Atkinson, D.W. Menzel and K.A. Bush eds. Oceanography of the southeastern U.S. continental shelf. Washington, D.C.: American Geophysical Union.

Bane, J.M. and D.A. Brooks. 1979. Gulf Stream meanders along the continental margin from the Florida Straits to Cape Hatteras. Geophysical Research Letters 6(4): 280-282.

Bane, J.M., L.P. Atkinson, and D.A. Brooks. 2001. Gulf Stream physical oceanography at the Charleston Bump; Deflection, bimodality, meanders, and upwelling. Pages 25-36 in G.R. Sedberry, ed. Island in the stream: Oceanography and fisheries of the Charleston Bump. Bethesda, Maryland: American Fisheries Society.

Bell G., S. Goldberg, C. Landsea, E. Blake, M. Chelliah, R. Pasch, and K. Mo. 2005. The 2004 North Atlantic hurricane season: A climate perspective. NOAA: Silver Spring, Maryland. Downloaded from: http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/outlooks/hurricane-archive.html.

Blanton, J.O., L.P Atkinson, L.J. Pietrafesa, and T.N. Lee. 1981. The intrusion of Gulf Stream water across the continental shelf due to topographically-induced upwelling. Deep-Sea Research, 28A(4): 393-405.

Blanton, J.O., F.B. Schwing, A.H. Weber, L.J. Pietrafesa, and D.W. Hayes. 1985. Wind stress climatology in the South Atlantic Bight. In Oceanography of the Southeastern U.S. continental shelf, eds. L.P. Atkinson, D.W. Menzel, and K.A. Bush, 10-22. Washington, D.C.: American Geophysical Union.

Blanton, B.O., A. Aretxabaleta, F.E. Werner, and H.E. Seim. 2003. Monthly climatology on the continental shelf waters of the South Atlantic Bight. Journal of Geophysical Research 108(C8):3264.

Boyles, R.P., and S. Raman. 2003. Analysis of climate trends in North Carolina (1949-1998). Environmental International 29(2003):253-275.

Boyles, R.P., C. Holder, and S. Raman. 2004. North Carolina climate: A summary of climate normals and averages at 18 agricultural research stations. Technical Bulletin 322. North Carolina Agricultural Research Service, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina.

Brooks, D.A. 1996. Physical oceanography of the shelf and slope seas from Cape Hatteras to George's Bank: A brief review. In The northeast shelf ecosystem: Assessment, sustainability, and management, eds. K. Sherman, N.A. Jaworski, and T.J. Smayda, 47-74. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Blackwell Science, Inc.

Bryden, H.L., H.R. Longworth, and S.A. Cunningham. 2005. Slowing of the Atlantic Meridional overturning circulation at 25°N. Nature 438:655-657.

Buchan, K.C. 2000. The Bahamas. Marine Pollution Bulletin 41:94-111.

Bumpus, D.F., 1973. A description of the circulation on the continental shelf of the East Coast of the United States. Progress in Oceanography, 6: 111-157.

Chave, A.D., D.S. Luther, and J.H. Filloux. 1997. Observations of the boundary current system at 26.5°N in the subtropical North Atlantic Ocean. Journal of Physical Oceanography 27:1,827-1,848.

Cohen, J., and M. Barlow. 2005. The NAO, the AO, and Global Warming: How closely related? Journal of Climate 18:4498-4513.

Conlan, R., and R. Service. 2000. El Niño and La Niña: Tracing the dance of ocean and atmosphere. National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C.

Cook, S.K. 1988. Physical oceanography of the Middle Atlantic Bight. In Characterization of the Middle Atlantic Water Management Unit of the Northeast Regional Action Plan, ed., A.L. Pacheco, 1-49. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-F/NEC-56. Woods Hole, Massachusetts: Northeast Fisheries Science Center, NMFS.

CORMP (Coastal Ocean Research and Monitoring Program). 2005. Description of Onslow Bay coastal climatology. Accessed 18 May 2006. http://www.cormp.org/climate/OB_climatology.html.

Curry, R.G., and M.S. McCartney, 2001. Ocean gyre circulation changes associated with the North Atlantic Oscillation. Journal of Physical Oceanography 31:3374-3400.

DeMaria, M., and J. Kaplan. 1994. Sea surface temperature and the maximum intensity of Atlantic tropical cyclones. Journal of Climate 7:1324-1334.

Durako, M., L. Leonard, and M. Moss. 2005. 2004-2005 Coastal ocean research and monitoring program (CORMP): Annual progress report 1 August 2004 to 31 July 2005. NOAA Contract Number NA16RP2675. Prepared by the University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, North Carolina.

Edwards, K.P., J.A. Hare, F.E. Werner, and B.O. Blanton. In Press. Lagrangian circulation on the Southeast U.S. continental shelf: Implications for larval dispersal and retention. Continental Shelf Research.

Eisma, D. 1988. An introduction to the geology of continental shelves. In Continental shelves ecosystems of the world, Ecosystems of the world 27, eds. H. Postma and J.J. Zijstra, 39-55. New York: Elsevier.

Emery, K.O., and E. Uchupi. 1972. Western North Atlantic Ocean: Topography, rocks, structure, water, life, and sediments. George Banta Company, Inc.: Menasha, Wisconsin.

Eppley, R. W. 1972. Temperature and phytoplankton growth in the sea. Fishery Bulletin 70:1,063-1,085.

Fairbridge, R.W. 1966. The encyclopedia of oceanography: Encyclopedia of earth sciences series, volume 1. New York: Reinhold Publishing Company.

Flierl, G.R., and C.S. Davis. 1993. Biological effects of Gulf Stream meandering. Journal of Marine Research 51(3):529-560.

Franks, P.J.S., J.S. Wroblewski, and G.R. Flierl. 1986. Prediction of phytoplankton growth in response to the frictional decay of a warm-core ring. Journal of Geophysical Research 91:7,603-7,610.

García-Moliner, G., and J.A. Yoder. 1994. Variability in pigment concentration in warm-core rings as determined by coastal zone color scanner satellite imagery from the Mid-Atlantic Bight. Journal of Geophysical Research 99(C7):14,277-14,290.

Garland, E.D., and C.A. Zimmer. 2002. Hourly variations in planktonic larval concentrations on the inner self: emerging patterns and processes. Journal of Marine Research 60:311-325.

Garrison, T. 1996. Oceanography. 2nd edition. New York: Wadsworth Publishing Company.

GEBCO-SCUFN (General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans-Sub-Committee on Undersea Feature Names). 2005. IHO-IOC GEBCO gazetteer of undersea feature names. Monaco: International Hydrographic Bureau. Downloaded from: http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/gebco /underseafeatures.html.

General Oceanics. 1986. Blake Plateau current measurement study. OCS Study MMS-86-0082. New Orleans, Louisiana: Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service.

Gill, A.E. 1982. Atmosphere-ocean dynamics. New York: Academic Press.

Goldman, J.C., J.J. McCarthy, and D.G. Peavey. 1979. Growth rate influence on the chemical composition of phytoplankton in oceanic waters. Nature 279:210-215.

Govoni, J.J. and J.A. Hare. The Charleston Gyre as a spawning and larval nursery habitat for fishes. Pages 123-136 in G.R. Sedberry, ed. Island in the stream: Oceanography and fisheries of the Charleston Bump. Bethesda, Maryland: American Fisheries Society.

Gyory, J., A.J. Mariano, and E.H. Ryan. 2005. The Gulf Stream: Ocean surface currents. http://oceancurrents.rsmas.miami.edu/atlantic/gulf-stream.html.

Heezen, B.C., M. Tharp, and M. Ewing. 1959. The floors of the oceans: I. The North Atlantic: Text to accompany the physiographic diagram of the North Atlantic. Palisades, New York: Columbia University.

Hu, Z-Z. and B. Huang. 2006. Air-sea coupling in the North Atlantic during summer. Climate Dynamics 26:441-457.

Hurlburt, E.M., and J. Rodman. 1963. Distribution of phytoplankton species with respect to salinity between the coast of southern New England and Bermuda. Limnology and Oceanography 8:263-269.

Hurrell, J.W. 1995. Decadal trends in the North Atlantic Oscillation: Regional temperatures and precipitation. Science 269:676-679.

Hurrell, J.W., Y. Kushnir, and M. Visbeck. 2001. The North Atlantic Oscillation. Science 291:603-604.

Johns, W.E., T. Kanzow, and R. Zantopp. 2005. Estimating ocean transports with the dynamic height moorings: An application in the Atlantic Deep Western Boundary Current at 26°N. Deep-Sea Research I 52:1,542-1,567.

Jones, A.C., S.A. Berkeley, J.A. Bohnsack, S.A. Bortone, D.K. Camp, G.H. Darcy, J.C. Davis, K.D. Haddad, M.Y. Hedgepeth, E.W. Irby, Jr., W.C. Jaap, F.S. Kennedy, W.G. Lyons, E.L. Nakamura, T.H. Perkins, J.K. Reed, K.A. Steidinger, J.T. Tilmant, and R.O. Williams. 1985. Ocean habitat and fishery resources of Florida. Pages 437-543 in W. Seaman, Jr., ed. Florida aquatic habitat and fishery resources. Gainesville, Florida: Florida Chapter, American Fisheries Society.

Joyce, T.M. 1987. Meteorology and air-sea interactions. In The marine environment of the U.S. Atlantic continental slope and rise, eds. J.D. Milliman and W.R. Wright, 5-26. Boston/Woods Hole, Massachusetts: Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Inc.

Kantha, L.H., G.L. Mellor, and A.F. Blumberg. 1982. A diagnostic calculation of the general circulation in the South Atlantic Bight. Journal of Physical Oceanography 12:805-819.

Kennett, J.P. 1982. Marine geology. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.

Lalli, C.M., and T.R. Parsons. 2000. Biological oceanography: An introduction. 2nd ed. Boston: Butterworth-Heinemann.

Lentz, S.J., S. Elgar, and R.T. Guza. 2003. Observations of the flow field near the nose of a buoyant coastal current. Journal of Physical Oceanography 33:933-943.

Leonard, J.A. and H.W. Paerl. 2005. Zooplankton community structure, micro-zooplankton grazing impact, and seston energy content in the St. John's River, Florida as influenced by the toxic cyanobacterium *Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii*. Hydrobiologia 537:89-97.

Lohrenz, S.E., J.J. Cullen, D.A. Phinney, D.B. Olson, and C.S. Yentsch. 1993. Distributions of pigments and primary production in a Gulf Stream meander. Journal of Geophysical Research 98(C8):14,545-14,560.

Lohrenz, S.E., C.L. Carroll, A.D. Weidemann, and M. Tuel. 2003. Variations in phytoplankton pigments, size structure and community composition related to wind forcing and water mass properties on the North Carolina inner shelf. Continental Shelf Research 23:1,447-1,464.

Mallin, M.A., J.M. Burkholder, L.B. Cahoon, and M.H. Posey. 2000. North and South Carolina coasts. Marine Pollution Bulletin 41(1-6):56-76.

Mallin, M.A., L.B. Cahoon, and M.J. Durako. 2005. Contrasting food-web support bases for adjoining river-influenced and non-river influenced continental shelf ecosystems. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 62:55-62.

Mann, K.H. and J.R.N Lazier. 1996. Dynamics of marine ecosystems: Biological-physical interactions in the oceans, 2nd edition. Boston: Blackwell Scientific Publications.

Marshall, H.G. 1971. Composition of phytoplankton off the southeastern coast of the United States. Bulletin of Marine Science 21(4):807-825.

MGS (Maryland Geological Survey). 2005. Coastal and estuarine geology program: Shepard's diagram. Accessed 5 October 2005. http://www.mgs.md.gov/coastal/vmap/shepard.html.

Meinen, C.S., S.L. Garzoli, W.E. Johns, M.O. Baringer. 2004. Transport variability of the Deep Western Boundary Current and the Antilles Current off Abaco Island, Bahamas. Deep-Sea Research I 51:1,397-1,415.

Menzel, A., T.H. Sparks, N. Estrella, and S. Eckhardt. 2005. 'SSW to NNE'—North Atlantic Oscillation affects the progress of seasons across Europe. Global Change Biology (2005) 11:909-918.

Moore, C.M., M.M. Mills, A. Milne, R. Langlois, E.P. Achterberg, K. Lochte, R.J. Geider, and J. La Roche. 2006. Iron limits primary productivity during spring bloom development in the central North Atlantic. Global Change Biology 12:626-634.

Murray, A.B. and E.R. Thieler. An new hypothesis and exploratory model for the formation of large-scale inner-shelf sediment sorting and "ripped scour depressions." Continental Shelf Research 24:295-315.

NASA (National Aeronautics and Space Administration). 2005a. Earth Observatory news: Hurricane alley heats up. Accessed 13 March 2006. http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/Newsroom/NewImages /images.php3?img_id=16996.

NASA (National Atmospheric and Space Administration). 2005b. Ocean color products: SeaWifs level 3 chlorophyll. Daily mapped 9 km files in HDF format downloaded from: http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/PRODUCTS/SW_L3_chlo.html.

NCDC (National Climate Data Center). 2006a. Climate of 2005 Atlantic hurricane season. Accessed 7 July 2006. http://lwf.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/climate/research/2005/hurricanes05.html.

NCDC (National Climate Data Center). 2006b. Hurricanes. Accessed 7 July 2006. http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/climate/severeweather/hurricanes.html.

NDBC (National Data Buoy Center). 2003a. Summary: Station 41009. Downloaded from: http://www.ndbc.noaa.gov/station_history.php?station=41009.

NDBC (National Data Buoy Center). 2003b. Summary: Station 41001. Downloaded from: http://www.ndbc.noaa.gov/station_history.php?station=41001.

Newton, J.G., O.H. Pilkey, and J.G. Blanton. 1971. An oceanographic atlas of the Carolina continental margin. Raleigh, North Carolina: Division of Mineral Resources, North Carolina Department of Conservation and Development.

NGDC and IOC (National Geophysical Data Center and Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission). 2003. Undersea feature names for the northern Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic Ocean east of Florida. Boulder, Colorado: National Geophysical Data Center. Downloaded from: http://www.ngdc .noaa.gov/mgg/ibcca/html/images.htm.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2001a. Florida Mid-Atlantic coast, Geophysical Data System for gridded bathymetric data. Volume 2, version 4.1 (CD-ROM). Boulder, Colorado: National Geophysical Data Center.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2001b. Florida and eastern Gulf of Mexico, Geophysical Data System for gridded bathymetric data. Volume 3, version 4.1 (CD-ROM). Boulder, Colorado: National Geophysical Data Center.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2005a. South Atlantic Bight: Bitten by worsening problems. Accessed 21 June 2006. http://oceanexplorer.noaa.gov/explorations /islands01/background/bight/bight.html.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2005b. National Weather Service: Glossary. Accessed 13 March 2006. http://www.nws.noaa.gov/glossary/index.php?letter=b.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2005c. Tropical cyclone repot: Hurricane Charlie. Accessed 07 July 2006. http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/2004charley.shtml?.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2005d. Tropical cyclone repot: Hurricane Gaston. Accessed 07 July 2006. http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/2004gaston.shtml.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2006a. NOAA reviews record-setting 2005 hurricane season. Accessed 7 July 2006. http://www.noaanews.noaa.gov/stories2005/s2540.htm.

Open University. 2001. Ocean Circulation, 2nd Edition. Oxford, U.K.: Butterworth-Heinemann.

Oschlies, A., and V. Garcon. 1998. Eddy-induced enhancement of primary production in a model of the North Atlantic Ocean. Nature 394:266-269.

Parsons, T.R., M. Takahashi, and B. Hargraves. 1984. Biological oceanographic processes. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Pershing, A.J., C.H. Greene, C. Hannah, D Sameoto, E. Head, D.G. Mountain, J.W. Jossi, M.C. Benfield, P.C. Reid, and T.G. Durbin. 2001. Oceanographic responses to climate in the Northwest Atlantic. Oceanography, 14(3): 76-82.

Pickard, G.L., and W.J. Emery. 1990. Descriptive physical oceanography: An introduction, 5th edition. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

PODAAC (Physical Oceanography Distributed Active Archive Center). 2004. AVHRR (Advance Very High Resolution Radiometry) Oceans Pathfinder global 4 km equal-angle all SST v5 (NOAA/NASA). SST data downloaded from: http://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/products/product216.html.

Pond, S., and G.L. Pickard. 1983. Introductory dynamical oceanography, 2nd edition. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Powell, A.B., D.G. Lindquist, and J.A. Hare. 2000. Larval and pelagic juvenile fishes collected with three types of gear in Gulf Stream and shelf waters in Onslow Bay, North Carolina, and comments on ichthyoplankton distribution and hydrography. Fishery Bulletin 98:427-438.

Quattrini, A.M., D.G. Lindquist, F.M. Bingham, T.E. Lankford, and J.J. Govoni. 2005. Distribution of larval fishes among water masses in Onlsow Bay, North Carolina: Implications for cross-shelf exchange. Fisheries Oceanography (14) 6:413-431.

Riggs, S.R., W.G. Ambrose Jr., J.W. Cook, S.W. Snyder, and S.W. Snyder. 1998. Sediment production on sediment starved continental margins: The interrelationship between hardbottoms, sedimentological and benthic community processes, and storm dynamics. Journal of Sedimentary Research 68(1):155-168.

Rutgers University. 2006. Sea surface temperature data of the Florida Current. Institute of Marine and Coastal Sciences. Downloaded on 01 August 2006 from ftp://marine.rutgers.edu/pub /sat_data/mike_zickel.

Ryan, J.P., J.A. Yoder, J.A. Barth, and P.C. Cornillon. 1999a. Chlorophyll enhancement and mixing associated with meanders of the shelf break front in the Mid-Atlantic Bight. Journal of Geophysical Research 104(C10):23,479-23,493.

Ryan, J.P., J.A. Yoder, and P.C. Cornillon. 1999b. Enhanced chlorophyll at the shelf break of the Mid-Atlantic Bight and Georges Bank during the spring transition. Limnology and Oceanography 44(1):1-11.

Savidge, D.K., J.O. Blanton, T.N. Lee, and R.H. Evans. 1992. Influence of an offshore shift in the Gulf Stream on waters of the South Carolina continental shelf. Journal of Physical Oceanography 22:1085-1094.

Schalles, J.F. 2006. Optical remote sensing techniques to estimate phytoplankton chlorophyll *a* concentrations in coastal waters with varying suspended matter and CDOM concentrations. Pages 27-97 in L.L. Richardson and E.F. Le Drew, eds. Remote sensing of aquatic coastal ecosystem processes: Science and management. Netherlands: Springer.

Schmeits, M.J., and H.A. Dijkstra. 2000. Physics of the 9-month variability in the Gulf Stream region: Combining data and dynamical systems analyses. Journal of Physical Oceanography 30(8):1967-1987.

Schmitz, W.J., T.M. Joyce, W.R. Wright, and N.G. Hogg. 1987. Physical oceanography. In The marine environment of the U.S. Atlantic continental slope and rise, eds. J.D. Milliman and W.R. Wright, 27-55. Boston/Woods Hole, Massachusetts: Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Inc.

Shanks, A.L., J. Largier, and J. Brubaker. 2003. Observations of the distribution of meroplankton during an upwelling event. Journal of Plankton Research (6) 25:645-667.

Shen, C.Y. R.A. Fusina, and L.K. Shay. 2000. An assessment of local coastal dynamics observed with high-frequency radar. Journal of Geophysical Research 105(C3):6517-6530.

Shepard, F.P. 1973. Submarine Geology, 3rd Edition. New York: Harper and Row, Publishers.

Signorini, S.R., C.R. McClain, A. Mannino, and S. Bailey. 2005. Report on ocean color and carbon study for the South Atlantic Bight and Chesapeake Bay. Contract Report Number TM-2005-212787. Prepared for NASA Goddard Space Flight Center by Science Applications International Corporation. Beltsville, Maryland.

Smith, W.H.F. and D.T. Sandwell. 1997. Global sea floor topography from satellite altimetry and ship depth soundings. Accessed 22 March 2002. http://topex.ucsd.edu/marine_topo/mar_topo.html.

SRCC (Southeast Regional Climate Center). 2005a. Historical climate summaries for North Carolina. Accessed 06 July 2006. http://www.sercc.com/climateinfo/historical/historical_nc.html.

SRCC (Southeast Regional Climate Center). 2005b. Historical climate summaries for South Carolina. Accessed 06 July 2006. http://www.sercc.com/climateinfo/historical/historical_sc.html.

SRCC (Southeast Regional Climate Center). 2005c. Historical climate summaries for Georgia. Accessed 06 July 2006. http://www.sercc.com/climateinfo/historical/historical_ga.html.

SRCC (Southeast Regional Climate Center). 2005d. Historical climate summaries for Florida. Accessed 06 July 2006. http://www.sercc.com/climateinfo/historical/historical_fl.html.

SRCC (Southeast Regional Climate Center). 2005e. Tropical weather and climate: Tropical storms and hurricanes impacting the southeast. Accessed 06 July 2006. http://www.sercc.com/climateinfo /tropical/tropical.html.

SRCC (Southeast Regional Climate Center). 2006a. Southport 5 N, North Carolina: Monthly total precipitation (inches). Accessed 06 July 2006. http://cirrus.dnr.state.sc.us/cgi-bin/sercc /cliMAIN.pl?nc8113.

SRCC (Southeast Regional Climate Center). 2006b. Titusville Florida: Monthly total precipitation (inches). Accessed 06 July 2006. http://cirrus.dnr.state.sc.us/cgi-bin/sercc/cliMAIN.pl?fl8942.

Stenseth, N.C., G. Ottersen, J.W. Hurrell, A. Mysterud, M. Lima, K-S. Chan, N.G. Yoccoz, and B. Adlandsvik. 2003. Studying climate effects on ecology through the use of climate indices: The North Atlantic Oscillation, El Niño Southern Oscillation and beyond. Proc. Royal Soc. London 270:2087-2096.

Sverdrup, H.U., M.W. Johnson, and R.H. Fleming. 1970. The oceans: Their physics, chemistry, and general biology. Englewood Cliffs, CA. Prentice Hall, Inc.

Taylor, A.H., and J.A. Stephens. 1998. The North Atlantic Oscillation and the latitude of the Gulf Stream. Tellus 50A:134-142.

Tester. P.A. and K.A. Steidinger. 1997. *Gymnodinium breve* red tide blooms: Initiation, transport, and consequences of surface circulation. Limnology and Oceanography 42(5 part 2):1,039-1,051.

Tucholke, B.E. 1987. Submarine geology. In The marine environment of the U.S. Atlantic continental slope and rise, eds. J.D. Milliman and W.R. Wright, 56-113. Boston/Woods Hole, Massachusetts: Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Inc.

UNC (University of North Carolina). 1998. The fuss over *Pfiesteria*. Accessed 18 July 2006. http://www.unc.edu/depts/cmse/science/pfiesteria.html.

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). 2000. USGS east-coast sediment analysis: Procedures, databases, and georeferenced displays. GIS shapefiles downloaded 19 August 2003 from U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 00-358. Eastern Publications Group. http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/of00-358.

USGS (United States Geological Survey). 2006. U.S. EEZ Atlantic continental margin GLORIA. Accessed 01 June 2006. http://kai.er.usgs.gov/gloria/eastcst/geology.html.

Verity, P.G. et al., 1993. Outer shelf processes. Pages 45-74 in D.W. Menzel, ed. Ocean processes: U.S. Southeast continental shelf: A summary of research conducted in the South Atlantic Bight under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy from 1977 to 1991. U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

Visbeck, M. 2002. The ocean's role in Atlantic climate variability. Science 297:2223-2224.

Wiebe, P.H., E.H. Backus, R.H. Packus, D.A. Caron, P.M. Glibery, J.F. Grassle, K. Powers, and J.B. Waterbury. 1987. Biological oceanography. In The marine environment of the U.S. Atlantic continental slope and rise, eds. J.D. Milliman and W.R. Wright, 140-201. Boston/Woods Hole, Massachusetts: Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Inc.

Wishner, K.F., E.G. Durbin, A.G. Durbin, M.C. Macaulay, H.E. Winn, and R.D. Kenney. 1988. Copepod patches and right whales in the Great South Channel off New England. Bulletin of Marine Science 43(3):825-844.

Wormuth, J.H., P.H. Ressler, R.B. Cady, and E.J. Harris. 2000. Zooplankton and micronekton in cyclones and anticyclones in the northeast Gulf of Mexico. Gulf of Mexico Science, 18(1): 23-34.

Wren, P.A. and L.A. Leonard. 2005. Sediment transport on the mid-continental shelf in Onslow Bay, North Carolina during Hurricane Isabel. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 63:43-56.

Yentsch, C.S., and R.W. Lee. 1966. A study of photosynthetic light reactions, and a new interpretation of sun and shade phytoplankton. Journal of Marine Research 24:319-337.

Yoder, J.A., L.P. Atkinson, T.N. Lee, H.H. Kim, and C.R. McLain. 1981. Role of Gulf Stream frontal eddies in forming phytoplankton patches on the outer southeast shelf. Limnology and Oceanography 26:1103-1110.

3.0 PROTECTED SPECIES

This chapter provides detailed information on the protected marine species potentially occurring in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Protected species in the OPAREA include 35 marine mammal, six sea turtle, and one fish species. Marine mammals are the taxon group with the largest number of federally protected species in the OPAREA. All marine mammals are protected by the MMPA, but the manatee and six large whales are also listed as endangered and, therefore, are afforded additional protection under the ESA. The six sea turtle species known to occur in the OPAREA are all listed as threatened or endangered under the ESA. The only protected fish species in the OPAREA, the smalltooth sawfish, is listed as endangered under the ESA.

Section 3.1 of this chapter provides information on the marine mammal species occuring in the OPAREA. The marine mammal species are discussed in taxonomic order, beginning with the endangered species. An overview of the taxon and a brief introduction to acoustics and hearing are included. A detailed narrative has been prepared for each marine mammal species and consists of a species' description, status, habitat associations, distribution (including a focus on the OPAREA), behavior and life history, as well as an account of vocalizations and hearing capabilities (when available). Map figures showing critical habitat and migration routes are included in this section. Additional map figures depicting the seasonal occurrence records and the estimated occurrence patterns (predicted by an effort-based geostatistical model) for each species in the OPAREA are found in Appendix B (Figures B-1-1 through B-25).

Section 3.2 consists of an overview of sea turtle biology and life history, as well as basic information on the hearing capabilities of these animals. Each of the sea turtle species found in the OPAREA is described in detail by its physical description, status, habitat associations, distribution (including an emphasis on the OPAREA), and behavior and life history. Map figures showing the movements of tagged turtles in the OPAREA are included in this section. Additional map figures depicting occurrence records, nest locations, and estimated (modeled) occurrence patterns for each species in the OPAREA are included in Appendix C (Figures C-1-1 through C-7).

Section 3.3 provides information on the one fish species with ESA status that occurs in the OPAREA, the smalltooth sawfish. Detailed information is provided for this species including the description, status, habitat associations, distribution (with a concentration on the OPAREA), behavior, and life history. Included in this section is a map figure that portrays the locations of recent sightings of the protected fish in the OPAREA.

The location of Chapter 3 literature citations differs from other chapters in this report. Cited literature associated with Chapter 3 is found at the end of each subsection. Map figures associated with marine mammals and sea turtles described in Chapter 3 are located in Appendices B and C, respectively.

This page intentionally left blank

3.1 MARINE MAMMALS

3.1.1 Introduction

More than 120 species of marine mammals occur worldwide (Rice 1998). The term "marine mammal" is purely descriptive and refers to mammals that carry out all or a substantial part of their foraging in marine or, in some cases, freshwater environments. Marine mammals as a group are comprised of various species from three orders (Cetacea, Carnivora, and Sirenia).

Most of the 35 marine mammal species that are documented to occur within or immediately adjacent to the JAX/CHSN OPAREA are cetaceans (whales, dolphins, and porpoises). Cetaceans are divided into two major suborders: Mysticeti (baleen whales) and Odontoceti (toothed whales). Toothed whales are generally smaller and have teeth that are used to capture prey. Baleen whales use baleen to filter their prey from the water. In addition to contrasts in feeding methods, there are life history and social organization differences (Tyack 1986).

Pinnipeds are divided into three families: Phocidae (the "true" or earless seals); Otariidae (sea lions and fur seals); and Odobenidae (walruses). Of the pinnipeds, only phocids are expected to occur in the OPAREA. Some of the more obvious phocid attributes are a lack of external ears, inability to rotate the pelvic flippers under the body (leading to a "galumphing" motion on land), use of pelvic flippers for underwater propulsion, and small pectoral appendages for underwater steering (Riedman 1990).

Four living sirenian species are classified into two families: Trichechidae, with three species of manatees, and Dugongidae, the dugong. Sirenians are the only completely herbivorous marine mammals. Of the sirenians, only the West Indian manatee occurs along the U.S. Atlantic coast.

3.1.1.1 Adaptations to the Marine Environment: Sound Production and Reception

Marine mammals display numerous anatomical and physiological adaptations for survival in an aquatic environment that are discussed in detail by Pabst et al. (1999). Sensory changes from the basic mammalian scheme have also occurred in response to the unique and varied challenges imposed by an aquatic environment. Sound travels faster and farther in water than in air and is, therefore, an important sense, especially under water (Wartzok and Ketten 1999). Touch and sight are also well developed in whales and dolphins (Wartzok and Ketten 1999). Pinnipeds are faced with two different environments (terrestrial and aquatic). As a result, they have compromised between full underwater and full terrestrial adaptations to allow for functional hearing in both media (Wartzok and Ketten 1999). The vibrissae (whiskers) of pinnipeds are extensively developed and provide the animal with information about contour and texture (Wartzok and Ketten 1999). A recent study has demonstrated that the whiskers of harbor seals are highly sensitive to water movements, and may be an important mechanism for seals hunting in the dark (or in murky waters) to detect water movements generated by fish (Dehnhardt et al. 2001; Vester et al. 2001).

Marine mammal vocalizations often extend both above and below the range of human hearing; vocalizations with frequencies lower than 18 Hertz (Hz) are labeled as infrasonic (Leventhall 2007) and those higher than 20 kiloHertz (kHz) as ultrasonic (Leighton 2007). Baleen whales primarily use the lower frequencies, producing both amplitude-modulated and tonal (frequency-modulated) sounds in the range of 14 to 3,000 Hz depending on the species. Most mysticete sounds can be characterized as moans, simple (pulsed) or complex calls, and songs (Wartzok and Ketten 1999). Clark and Ellison (2004) suggested that baleen whales use low frequency sounds not only for long-range communication, but also as a simple form of echo ranging, passively listening to received echoes to navigate and orient relative to physical features of the ocean. The toothed whales produce a wide variety of sounds that are commonly grouped into three general categories: these sounds include species-specific, amplitude-modulated (AM) broadband "clicks" with peak energy between 10 and 200 kHz, individually variable "burst pulse" click trains, and constant frequency or frequency-modulated (FM) whistles ranging from 1 to 20 kHz (Wartzok and Ketten 1999). The general consensus is that the tonal vocalizations (whistles) produced by toothed whales play an important role in social activity, e.g., communication, maintenance of contact between dispersed individuals, etc., while broadband clicks are used during echolocation (Wartzok and Ketten 1999; Tyack 2000; Tyack 2002). However, several species of toothed whale (e.g., sperm whales (Whitehead 2003), Commerson's dolphins (Dawson 1991), and dusky dolphins (Yin et al. 2001) produce only click sounds, which are used for both communication and echolocation. Burst pulses, trains with repetition rates ranging from 100's to 1000's of cicks per second, are used to share information between individuals by species that whistle and those that do not. Burst pulses have been documented during playful interactions (e.g., Herzing 1996; Blomqvist et al. 2005) agonistic encounters (McCowan and Reiss 1995) and other socializing. These sounds have been suggested to represent "emotive" signals in a broader sense, possibly representing graded communication signals (Herzing 1996). Echolocation, or sonar, is produced by all toothed whales studied to-date and is used during foraging (e.g., Janik 2000), short-range navigation (Au 1993) and during communication (Reynolds III and Rommel 1999; Perrin et al. 2002): recent evidence has been shown that dolphins are capable of echoic eavesdropping (e.g., Xitco Jr. and Roitblat 1996; e.g., Gőtz et al. 2005; Gregg et al. 2008), which could represent another avenue for these animals to share information. (Echoic eavesdropping refers to one animal listening to the click production and return echoes from a second dolphin to gain useable information.)

Pinnipeds are amphibious; they produce both airborne and underwater sounds primarily in the sonic range (i.e., roughly between 20 Hz and 20 kHz, (Thomson and Richardson 1995). Their vocalizations primarily include grunts, barks, rasps, and growls in addition to the moans, whistles and possibly pulsed calls. In general, phocids are far more vocal underwater than are otariids. Phocid calls commonly range between 100 Hz and 15 kHz, with peak energy less than 5 kHz, but can range as high as 40 kHz (Ketten 1998a; Wartzok and Ketten 1999). Otariid calls are somewhat variable with most having a more narrow frequency range (~1 to 4 kHz) than the phocids (Wartzok and Ketten 1999; Frankel 2002). Otariid calls include barks, groans, and grunts, although their vocalizations are assumed less socially complex than those of phocids, which might be related to the differences in their mating strategies. Phocids mate underwater while otariids mate on land and are relatively quiet at sea (Frankel 2002). There is no evidence that pinnipeds echolocate (Schusterman et al. 2000).

Empirical data on the hearing abilities of cetaceans are sparse, particularly for the larger cetaceans such as the baleen whales. The auditory thresholds of some of the smaller odontocetes have been determined in captivity (see Thewissen 2002 for an overview on hearing in marine mammals), and more recently from some free-ranging species (e.g., Nachtigall et al. 2008). It is generally believed that cetaceans should at least be sensitive to the frequencies of their own vocalizations and the new data are confirming this assumption in the species studied. Comparisons of the anatomy of cetacean inner ears and models of the structural properties and the response to vibrations of the ear's components in different species provide an indication of likely sensitivity to various sound frequencies. The ears of small toothed whales are optimized for receiving high-frequency sound, while baleen whale inner ears are best in low to infrasonic frequencies (Ketten 1992, 1997).

In comparison with toothed whales, pinnipeds tend to have lower best frequencies, lower high-frequency cutoffs, and poorer sensitivity at the best frequency (Richardson et al. 1995). However, some pinnipeds (especially phocids) may have better sensitivity at low frequencies (<1 kHz) than do toothed whales (Richardson et al. 1995). The pinniped ear appears to have been constrained during its evolution by the necessity of functioning in two acoustically dissimilar media (air and water). The patterns of in-air and in-water hearing sensitivity appear to correspond to the amphibious patterns of life history of many of the pinniped species (Kastak and Schusterman 1998). Comparisons of the hearing characteristics of otariids and phocids suggest two types of pinniped ears, with phocids better adapted for underwater hearing (Richardson et al. 1995; Kastak and Schusterman 1998; Ketten 1998a; Wartzok and Ketten 1999). In phocids tested, peak sensitivities ranged between 10 and 30 kHz, with a functional high frequency limit of about 60 kHz (Richardson et al. 1995; Ketten 1998a; Wartzok and Ketten 1999).

General reviews of cetacean and pinniped sound production and hearing may be found in Richardson et al. (1995), Edds-Walton (1997), Wartzok and Ketten (1999), Au et al. (2000), Thewissen (2002); Hildebrand (2005), and Southall et al. (Southall et al. 2007). For a discussion of acoustic concepts, terminology, and measurement procedures, as well as underwater sound propagation, Urick (1983) and Richardson et al. (1995) are recommended.

3.1.1.2 Marine Mammal Distribution: Habitat and Environmental Associations

Marine mammals inhabit most marine environments from deep ocean canyons to shallow estuarine waters. They are not randomly distributed. Marine mammal distribution is affected by demographic, evolutionary, ecological, habitat-related, and anthropogenic factors (Bjørge 2002; Bowen et al. 2002; Forcada 2002; Stevick et al. 2002). Most information on marine mammal distribution has been obtained from shipboard and aerial observations, which provide a very limited perspective on their life at or near the surface and little insight into their behavior under the water where some species, particularly cetaceans, spend up to 90% of their time (e.g., Costa 1993).

Our knowledge of marine mammal habitats is often quite limited. Poor definition of spatiotemporal scales is the primary cause for confusion and disagreement among studies about factors that associate with marine mammal (particularly cetacean) distribution (e.g., Jaquet 1996; Jaquet et al. 1996; Gregr and Trites 2001; Hamazaki 2002; Ferguson 2005). Marine mammals may not instantaneously respond to changes in ocean conditions. Instead, there is likely a time lag between the change of oceanographic conditions and top-level predator responses. As noted by Ferguson (2005), time lags are particularly important when proxies such as chlorophyll data are used to indicate toothed whale habitat. It is not the primary producers themselves that the whales eat but the squid and mesopelagic fishes several trophic levels higher up. Time lapses before energy and nutrients from the primary producers climb the food chain up to cetacean prey species. For baleen whales feeding on zooplankton, which are trophically close to primary production, this lag may be on the order of days to weeks, whereas the lag might be considerably greater for sperm whales whose primary prey (cephalopods) are removed from primary production by approximately four months (Gregr and Trites 2001). Integrated approaches are underway in some areas to examine the temporal and spatial relationship of marine mammals to the structure and variability of their habitat (e.g., Croll et al. 1998). Efforts are also underway in habitat modeling, which predicts potential habitat in unsurveyed areas based on the relationships between species' presence and the environmental parameters observed in surveyed areas (e.g., Gregr and Trites 2001; Hamazaki 2002; Ferguson 2005; Hastie et al. 2005; Kaschner et al. 2006; Redfern et al. 2006).

Movement of individuals is generally associated with feeding or breeding activity and, in the case of pinnipeds, molting (Stevick et al. 2002). A migration is the periodic movement of all or significant components of an animal population from one habitat to one or more other habitats and back again. Migration is an adaptation that allows an animal to monopolize areas where favorable environmental conditions exist for feeding, breeding, and/or other phases of the animal's life history. Some baleen whale species, such as humpback whales, make extensive annual migrations to low-latitude mating and calving grounds in the winter and to high-latitude feeding grounds in the summer (Corkeron and Connor 1999). Migrations undoubtedly occur during these seasons due to the presence of highly productive waters and associated cetacean prey species at high latitudes and of warm water temperatures at low latitudes (Corkeron and Connor 1999; Stern 2002). The timing of migration is often a function of age, sex, and reproductive class. Females tend to migrate earlier than males and adults earlier than immature animals (Stevick et al. 2002; Craig et al. 2003). Pregnant females are believed to lead the migration to and from northern feeding grounds. However, not all baleen whales migrate. Some individual fin, Bryde's, minke, and blue whales may stay in a specific area year-round.

Cetacean movements can also reflect the distribution and abundance of prey (Gaskin 1982; Payne et al. 1986; Kenney et al. 1996). Cetacean movements have been linked to indirect indicators of prey, such as temperature variations, sea-surface chl *a* concentrations, and features such as bottom depth (Fiedler 2002). Oceanographic conditions such as upwellinnes, eddies, and turbulent mixing can create regionalized zones of enhanced productivity that are translated into increased zooplankton concentrations and/or entrain prey as density differences between two different water masses aggregate phytoplankton and zooplankton (Etnoyer et al. 2004). High concentrations of fish and invertebrate larvae along with high rates of primary productivity are associated with shelf break and pelagic frontal features (Roughgarden et al. 1988; Munk et al. 1995). Oceanographic frontal features tend to be ephemeral in space and time, shifting geographically by 10 to 1,000 km depending on the season, the year, and climate events (Thurman 1997).

Since most toothed whales do not have the fasting capability of baleen whales, toothed whales are thought to follow seasonal shifts in preferred prey or feed opportunistically on whatever prey are available locally. The nearshore bottlenose dolphin stock off the mid-Atlantic U.S. coast shows a temperature-limited distribution (Kenney 1990; Barco et al. 1999), with many individuals moving in response to changes in water temperatures. These thermal shifts may cause migration directly by acting as a barrier to dolphin movement or indirectly by affecting prey movements (Barco et al. 1999). Bottlenose dolphin distributions may also be influenced by small-scale hydrographic fronts that act as convergence zones. A spatial association has been demonstrated between bottlenose dolphins and surface features of tidal intrusion fronts. This may result in an accumulation of prey in the frontal region leading to increased dolphin foraging efficiency (Mendes et al. 2002). Such a front exists near Cape Henry, Virginia, because of outflow from the Chesapeake Bay (Marmorino et al. 2000). Cetacean movements have also been associated with indirect indicators of prey movements, such as sea-surface temperature variations, sea-surface chl *a* concentrations, and bathymetry (Fiedler 2002). In addition, diet similarity between two or more predators in the same habitat will affect the level of competition between these predators for limited prey resources. This can result in the competitive exclusion of one or more predator species from a specific habitat. Competitive exclusion may lead to niche segregation. MacLeod et al. (2003) and MacLeod and Zuur (2005) suggest that this may occur between *Mesoplodon* spp. beaked whales, northern bottlenose whales (*Hyperoodon*), and Cuvier's beaked whales (*Ziphius cavirostris*). *Hyperoodon* and *Ziphius* appear to have similar diets but are geographically segregated, with *Hyperoodon* occurring in polar to cold-temperate waters and *Ziphius* in warm-temperate to tropical waters.

Fluctuations in food availability may also influence the occurrence of extralimital observations of cetaceans or shift the habitats in which they normally occur. Several studies have correlated changes in the distribution of some baleen and toothed whale populations in the Gulf of Maine with ecological shifts in prey patterns after intense commercial fishing (Payne et al. 1986; Payne et al. 1990a; 1990b; Kenney et al. 1996). A similar shift in humpback whale distribution from offshore Grand Banks feeding areas to nearshore Newfoundland waters was attributed to the collapse of offshore capelin stocks due to overfishing (Whitehead and Carscadden 1985). Kenney (2001) discussed anomalous shifts in North Atlantic right whale distribution, where whales were absent from an expected area of occurrence in the Great South Channel. He attributed this to an unusually large influx of colder and fresher Scotian Shelf water that shifted zooplankton biomass.

The abundance and quality of prey, as well as its seasonal distribution, is also important to long-range pinniped movements (Forcada 2002). Phocids appear to migrate more than otariids as a result of a more variable environment (i.e., ice cover) in their higher-latitude distributions (Bowen and Siniff 1999). As with cetacean migrations, variations in timing exist and may be influenced by age classes (Forcada 2002). Pinniped movements are also associated with transient (thermal discontinuities) or non-transient physical features that concentrate prey (Field et al. 2001). McConnell and Fedak (1996) hypothesized that seals in open oceans follow mesoscale frontal systems that locally enhance prey abundance. Thompson et al. (1991) observed that spatial and temporal occurrences of feeding harbor seals were in response to fish distributions. These same fish distributions also shifted spatially and temporally with concentrations over trenches and holes more than 10 m deep during daylight hours.

All pinnipeds periodically leave the water to haul out (come ashore) on land or ice to molt, rest, mate, warm themselves, or avoid marine predators (Riedman 1990). Additionally, pinniped reproductive biology requires individuals to return to land or ice to pup (give birth), nurse, and rear their offspring. However, seasonal changes in oceanographic and ice cover conditions affect pinniped distribution on the pack ice (Forcada 2002). Hauling out by pagophylic pinnipeds seems to be influenced by both weather and time of day during breeding and molting periods (Moulton et al. 2000). For harbor seals, tidal stage also has a significant effect on haulout behavior (Schneider and Payne 1983). The incidence, significance, and controlling factors of hauling out during other times, when temperatures are coldest, are essentially unknown (Moulton et al. 2000).

Knowledge of seal composition and distribution in the northeastern U.S. has become increasingly complex. A significant increase in stranded ice seals has occurred since the late 1980s in the northeastern U.S. (Kraus and Early 1995; McAlpine and Walker 1999; Sadove et al. 1999; Slocum et al.

1999; Slocum et al. 2003). In recent winters, hooded seals have occurred in the Gulf of Maine in larger numbers than previously documented. McAlpine and Walker (1999) speculated that this increase may be due to overexploited fish stocks that can no longer support the currently large seal populations, forcing seals to occupy less-preferable feeding grounds to the south. Alteration in the extent and productivity of ice edge systems may affect the density of important pinniped prey, such as Arctic cod (*Boreogadus saida*) (Tynan and DeMaster 1997).

Climatic fluctuations have produced a growing concern about the effects of climate change on marine mammal populations (MacGarvin and Simmonds 1996; IWC 1997; Evans 2002; Würsig et al. 2002; Le Boeuf and Crocker 2005). Large-scale climatic events may affect the distribution and abundance of marine mammal species, either directly or indirectly, through alterations of habitat characteristics and distribution (Harwood 2001; Forcada et al. 2005; Keiper et al. 2005; MacLeod et al. 2005; Shelden et al. 2005). In the North Atlantic, climate variability has been directly linked to the NAO, which influences the abundance of marine mammal prey such as zooplankton and fish. In years when the NAO Index was positive, the average sea surface temperature (SST) increased, followed by copepod (*Calanus finmarchicus*) abundance which is the principal prey of North Atlantic right whales (Conversi et al. 2001). In the 1970s and 1980s, the NAO conditions were generally positive; they were favorable to *Calanus* abundance and, in principal, to North Atlantic right whale calving rates. However, this cannot be verified because the North Atlantic right whale data series does not begin until 1982 (Greene et al. 2003). In the late 1980s and 1990s, the NAO Index was mainly positive but exhibited two substantial, multi-year reversals to negative values. This was followed by two major, multi-year declines in copepod prey abundance (Pershing et al. 2001; Drinkwater et al. 2003). Subsequently, the North Atlantic right whale calving rate declined for two periods, mirroring the copepod trend with a time lag (Greene et al. 2003). Although the NAO Index has been essentially positive for the past 25 years, models indicate that global warming and the subsequent rise in ocean temperature may lead to increased climatic variability and more severe fluctuations in the NAO Index. Such fluctuations would be expected to cause dramatic shifts in the reproductive rate of critically endangered North Atlantic right whales (Drinkwater et al. 2003; Greene et al. 2003) and possibly a northward shift in the location of North Atlantic right whale calving grounds (Kenney 2007b). More details on the NAO and climate variability in the North Atlantic Ocean may be found in Chapter 2.

3.1.2 Marine Mammals of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA

Thirty-five marine mammal species have records in or immediately adjacent to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. These species include 32 cetaceans, two pinnipeds, and one sirenian. Although it is possible that 35 marine mammal species may occur in the OPAREA, only 15 of those species are expected to occur regularly in the region (Table 3-1). Some cetacean species occur in the OPAREA year-round (e.g., bottlenose dolphins and beaked whales), while others (e.g., northern right and humpback whales) occur seasonally as they migrate through the area. Only rare occurrences of the West Indian manatee are anticipated in the OPAREA. Harbor and hooded seals are extralimital to this area, which is well south of this species' typical ranges.

Oceanographic features, such as eddies associated with the Gulf Stream, are important factors determining cetacean distribution in the OPAREA since their prey are attracted to the increased primary productivity associated with some of these features (Biggs et al. 2000; Wormuth et al. 2000; Davis et al. 2002). The warm Gulf Stream moves rapidly through the Florida Straits and extends northeast over the continental shelf. This current is the single most-influential oceanographic feature of the region and influences water temperature, salinity, and nutrient availability. These factors, in turn, are important in regulating phytoplankton growth in the region and the subsequent secondary productivity of zooplankton and other animal life that are prey for marine mammals.

Table 3-1. Marine mammal species of the Charleston-Jacksonville Operating Area, their status under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), and occurrence within the OPAREA. Naming convention matches that used in the NOAA stock assessment reports.

	Scientific Name	Status	Occurrence[1]
Order Cetacea			
Suborder Mysticeti (baleen whales)			
Family Balaenidae (bowhead and right whales)			
North Atlantic right whale	*Eubalaena glacialis*	Endangered	Regular
Family Balaenopteridae (rorquals)			
Humpback whale	*Megaptera novaeangliae*	Endangered	Rare
Minke whale	*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*		Rare
Bryde's whale	*Balaenoptera edeni/brydei**		Regular
Sei whale	*Balaenoptera borealis*	Endangered	Rare
Fin whale	*Balaenoptera physalus*	Endangered	Rare
Blue whale	*Balaenoptera musculus*	Endangered	Rare
Suborder Odontoceti (toothed whales)			
Family Physeteridae (sperm whale)			
Sperm whale	*Physeter macrocephalus*	Endangered	Regular
Family Kogiidae (pygmy sperm whales)			
Pygmy sperm whale	*Kogia breviceps*		Regular
Dwarf sperm whale	*Kogia sima*		Regular
Family Ziphiidae (beaked whales)			
Cuvier's beaked whale	*Ziphius cavirostris*		Regular
True's beaked whale	*Mesoplodon mirus*		Rare
Gervais' beaked whale	*Mesoplodon europaeus*		Regular
Blainville's beaked whale	*Mesoplodon densirostris*		Regular
Sowerby's beaked whale	*Mesoplodon bidens*		Extralimital
Family Delphinidae (dolphins)			
Rough-toothed dolphin	*Steno bredanensi*s		Rare
Bottlenose dolphin	*Tursiops truncatus*		Regular
Pantropical spotted dolphin	*Stenella attenuata*		Regular
Atlantic spotted dolphin	*Stenella frontalis*		Regular
Spinner dolphin	*Stenella longirostris*		Rare
Striped dolphin	*Stenella coeruleoalba*		Regular
Clymene dolphin	*Stenella clymene*		Regular
Short-beaked common dolphin	*Delphinus delphis*		Rare
Fraser's dolphin	*Lagenodelphis hosei*		Rare
Risso's dolphin	*Grampus griseus*		Regular
Melon-headed whale	*Peponocephala electra*		Rare
Pygmy killer whale	*Feresa attenuata*		Rare
False killer whale	*Pseudorca crassidens*		Rare
Killer whale	*Orcinus orca*		Rare
Long-finned pilot whale	*Globicephala melaena*		Extralimital
Short-finned pilot whale	*Globicephala macrorhynchus*		Regular
Family Phocoenidae (porpoises)			
Harbor porpoise	*Phocoena phocoena*		Extralimital
Order Carnivora			
Suborder Pinnipedia (seals, sea lions, walruses)			
Family Phocidae (true seals)			
Harbor seal	*Phoca vitulina*		Extralimital
Hooded seal	*Cystophora cristata*		Extralimital
Order Sirenia			
Family Trichechidae (manatees)			
West Indian manatee	*Trichechus manatus*	Endangered	Rare

[1] **Regular** = A species that occurs as a regular or normal part of the fauna of the area, regardless of how abundant or common it is
Rare = A species that only occurs in the area sporadically
Extralimital = A species that does not normally occur in the area, but for which there are one or more records that are considered beyond the normal range of the species
* Includes more than one species, but nomenclature is still unsettled

Along the South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida shoreline, upwelling and downwelling events are not limited to the Gulf Stream. Upwelling also occurs within Gulf Stream meanders and eddies as the current flows north and is deflected by the Charleston Bump, a rocky outcrop of ridges and troughs located off the coast of Charleston, South Carolina (Atkinson and Targett 1983; Bane et al. 2001; Govoni and Hare 2001). The Charleston Bump causes an offshore deflection of the Gulf Stream resulting in meanders, eddies, and associated upwelling onto the Florida-Hatteras Shelf (Bane et al. 2001). As cyclonic eddies propagate downstream of the Bump, persistent upwelling occurs in their cores and along the outer shelf in the SAB (Govoni and Hare 2001). This topographically-induced upwelling produces increased primary productivity which likely results in greater feeding efficiency by cetaceans on mesopelagic squids and fishes. In addition, one nearly-persistent eastward displacement of shelf water causes the formation of the cyclonic circulation known as the Charleston Gyre. The gyre maintains its circulation shoreward of the Gulf Stream offshore of Long Bay, South Carolina. This semi-persistent feature causes the macroalgae *Sargassum* and multiple species of ichthyoplankton to be retained on the Florida-Hatteras Shelf offshore of South Carolina, increasing prey availability for marine mammals.

Although the majority of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA lies over the continental shelf and the relatively flat Blake Plateau, the easternmost portion of the OPAREA includes the edge of the Blake Escarpment where water depths range from 1,000 to 2,400 m in the OPAREA. Here, the gently sloping plateau terminates abruptly and the seafloor gradient increases dramatically (Emery and Uchupi 1972; Shepard 1973). The steeply sloping topography of the Blake Escarpment is likely an area of high productivity and prey availability for marine mammals.

The modeled occurrence of a species in a given portion of the study area is based upon a geo-statistical sightings-per-unit-effort (SPUE) analysis and is presented for each season (winter=6 December through 5 April; spring=6 April through 13 July; summer=14 July through 16 September; fall=17 September through 5 December) in Appendix B. A listing and description of data sources used to determine each species' occurrence is found in Appendix A-3, while the process used to create the map figures is described in Section 1.4.2.2. An occurrence record does not reflect the number of animals; due to the social nature of cetaceans, multiple individuals of a species are often sighted at the same time and at the same location. It should be noted that the number of marine mammal observations in this area is partially a function of the level of effort to collect this information rather than the actual marine mammal abundance in the area.

On the map figures, various shading and terminology designate the occurrence of marine mammals in the study area. Species' occurrence levels were defined as SPUE values within the: highest quartile (1st Quartile SPUE) in areas shaded in purple, second highest quartile (2nd Quartile SPUE) in areas shaded in blue, second lowest quartile (3rd Quartile SPUE) in areas shaded in dark green, and lowest quartile (4th Quartile SPUE) in areas shaded in light green. An additional occurrence level of SPUE = 0 (shaded in yellow), is indicative of areas where survey effort occurred (effort ≥ 5 km) but no sightings were recorded. In all cells with effort <5 km (or 0), the occurrence area was defined as "No Survey Effort" (stipple pattern); in these areas the likelihood of a protected species occurring is not known because no line-transect surveys have been completed in that area or were not available for inclusion in the analysis. Due to a lack of survey data available for certain species, occurrence models could not be calculated for every species known to occur in the OPAREA.

Each marine mammal species below is listed with its description, status, habitat associations, distribution (including seasonal occurrence in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA), behavior and life history, and information on its acoustic and hearing abilities. Threatened and endangered marine mammals appear first. Remaining species follow the taxonomic order presented in Table 3-1.

3.1.2.1 Threatened and Endangered Marine Mammals of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA

Seven marine mammal species with records in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA are listed as endangered under the ESA. These include five baleen whale species (northern right, humpback, sei, fin, and blue), one toothed whale species (sperm whale), and one sirenian species (West Indian manatee).

Due to the highly endangered status of the North Atlantic right whale, dedicated aerial surveys have been conducted during fall and winter (November through March) to obtain information on the occurrence of this species on its winter calving ground in the coastal waters of Georgia and northern Florida (see Appendix A-3) and to avoid shipstrikes. As a result, there has been concentrated survey effort in a confined region when North Atlantic right whale mothers with their calves occur in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Other than these dedicated aerial survey efforts, there is comparatively little effort conducted in other portions of the OPAREA, particularly deep waters seaward of the continental shelf break.

The North Atlantic right whale is driving the model output for threatened and endangered (T/E) marine mammals in the OPAREA (Figures B-1-1 and B-1-2). The concentration of sighting records in nearshore Florida and Georgia waters during winter reflects the high level of aerial survey effort to monitor North Atlantic right whales during this time of year when they are found on their calving grounds. During winter and fall, the model output predicts occurrence in shelf waters throughout a large part of the OPAREA which accounts for the presence of North Atlantic right whales as well as humpback whales which migrate through the region during this time of year. Occurrence during spring is predicted in deep waters seaward of the shelf break which is based soley on sperm whale sightings. Sperm whales are expected to occur seaward of the shelf break in the OPAREA year-round; occurrence of this species is not reflected in the model output due to sparse survey effort in offshore waters throughout the OPAREA. Humpback, fin, and North Atlantic right whales occur in the OPAREA every season except summer when these species should be on their feeding grounds farther north. Manatees are considered rare in the OPAREA year-round. Manatees are expected in the freshwater, estuarine, and nearshore coastal waters near the OPAREA throughout the year. Predicted occurrence of T/E marine mammals during summer is located nearshore based on a manatee sighting in this area. Manatees occasionally move farther offshore (Reid et al. 1991) and have been reported as far north as waters off Rhode Island, Massachusetts, and in the Hudson River in New York City (Anonymous 2006; Beck 2006a). However, manatees are not likely to occur farther offshore in the OPAREA.

- North Atlantic Right Whale *(Eubalaena glacialis)*

  Description—Until recently, right whales in the North Atlantic and North Pacific were classified together as a single species, referred to as the “northern right whale.” Genetic data indicate that these two populations represent separate species: the North Atlantic right whale and the North Pacific right whale *(Eubalaena japonica)* (Rosenbaum et al. 2000; NMFS 2008).

  Adults are robust and may reach 18 m in length (Jefferson et al. 1993). There is no dorsal fin on the broad back. The head is nearly one-third of its total body length. The jawline is arched and the upper jaw is very narrow in dorsal view. North Atlantic right whales are overall black in color although many individuals also have irregular white patches on their undersides (Reeves and Kenney 2003). The head is covered with irregular, whitish patches called “callosities” that assist researchers in individual identification (Kraus et al. 1986b).

  Status—The North Atlantic right whale is one of the world’s most endangered large whale species (Clapham et al. 1999; Perry et al. 1999; IWC 2001b). North Atlantic right whales are classified as endangered under the ESA (NMFS 2008) and, therefore, considered to be a strategic stock (Waring et al. 2008). According to the North Atlantic right whale report card released annually by the North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium, approximately 393 individuals are thought to occur in the western North Atlantic (NARWC 2007). The most recent NOAA stock assessment report states that in a review of the photo-id recapture database for June 2006, 313 individually recognized whales were known to be alive during 2001 (Waring et al. 2008). This is considered the minimum population size.

  No best population estimate is available for this stock.

  This species showed a decline in survival during the 1990’s (Best et al. 2001; Waring et al. 2008). In recent years, there has been in increase in the number of catalogued individuals (Waring et al. 2008);

however, Kraus et al. (2005) noted that the recent increases in birth rate were insufficient to counter the observed spike in human-caused mortality that has recently occurred.

One calving and two feeding areas in U.S. waters are designated as critical habitat for North Atlantic right whales (NMFS 1994; NMFS 2005; Figure 3-1). Critical habitat designations affect federal agency actions or federally-funded or permitted activities.

In an effort to reduce ship collisions with critically endangered North Atlantic right whales, an early-warning system (EWS; the Right Whale Sighting Advisory System) was instigated in 1994 for the calving region along the southeastern U.S. coast. This system was extended in 1996 to the feeding areas off New England (MMC 2003). In 1999, a Mandatory Ship Reporting System was implemented by the U.S. Coast Guard (USCG 1999; USCG 2001). This reporting system requires specified vessels (Navy ships are exempt) to report their location while in the nursery and feeding areas of the North Atlantic right whale (Ward-Geiger et al. 2005). At the same time, ships receive information on locations of North Atlantic right whale sightings in order to avoid whale collisions. Although the Navy is exempt from ship reporting, a large investment is made by the Navy to maintain the operation of this system. Geographical boundaries of the area in the southeastern U.S. include coastal waters within roughly 46 km of shore along a 167 km stretch of the Atlantic coast in Florida and Georgia (Figure 3-1). However, based upon recent modeling of North Atlantic right whale distribution and influence of water temperature, high whale densities have been shown to extend more northerly than the current boundary of the calving critical habitat (Garrison et al. 2005). Additional routing measures are also being studied to further reduce ship strikes (USCG 2005). Therefore, it is likely that the defined boundaries may soon shift to reflect this distribution. In November 2006, NOAA established new recommended routes for vessels leaving the ports of Jacksonville and Fernandina, Florida; Brunswick, Georgia; and Cape Cod Bay, Massachusetts (NOAA 2006b). These routes are voluntary at this time and are included on the updated NOAA nautical charts (http://www.noaa.gov/charts.html) (NOAA 2006b).

Reporting only takes place in the southeastern U.S. from 15 November through 15 April. In the northeastern U.S., the reporting system is year-round and the geographical boundaries include the waters of Cape Cod Bay, Massachusetts Bay, and the Great South Channel east and southeast of Massachusetts. NOAA recently proposed to modify key shipping routes into Boston which would significantly reduce the risk of ship collisions (NOAA 2006c). Additional proposed regulations include a speed restriction of 10 knots or less during certain times of the year along the U.S. east coast; these restrictions would only apply to vessels greater than 20 m in length (NMFS 2006e).

In 1993, the Canadian government designated two North Atlantic right whale conservation zones in Canada: Grand Manan Basin in the lower Bay of Fundy and Roseway Basin between Browns and Baccaro banks (Figure 3-1). There are no regulations associated with these conservation zones, although mariners are requested to be aware of North Atlantic right whale occurrences in the area. In July 2003, shipping lanes between New Brunswick and Nova Scotia in the Bay of Fundy were shifted 7.4 km to the east, away from North Atlantic right whale feeding areas (Anonymous 2003). The new lanes help to protect North Atlantic right whales by organizing ship traffic flow in and around an area where North Atlantic right whale densities are the greatest. Recent studies of North Atlantic right whales show that animals do not respond to ship noise but react strongly to alert signals produced by vessels (Nowacek et al. 2004). However, the typical reaction is a rapid surfacing behavior, which may make them more vulnerable to ship strike.

The Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan (ALWTRP) was developed to reduce the incidental mortality and serious injury of four species of whales (northern right, fin, humpback, and minke) due to incidental interaction with commercial fishing activities (NMFS 1999). The ALWTRP relies on a combination of fishing gear modifications and time/area closures to reduce the risk of whales becoming entangled in commercial fishing gear and potentially suffering serious injury or mortality as a result. Current regulations can be viewed at http://www.nero.noaa.gov/whaletrp/.



Figure 3-1. Designated critical habitats, conservation areas, and mandatory ship reporting zones for North Atlantic right whales. Source information: NMFS (1994), USCG (1999), and DFO (2003).

Habitat Associations—North Atlantic right whales on the winter calving grounds are most often found in very shallow nearshore waters in cooler SST inshore of a mid-shelf front (Kraus et al. 1993; Ward 1999). High whale densities can extend more northerly than the current defined boundary of the calving critical habitat in response to interannual variability in regional SST distribution (e.g., Garrison et al. 2005; Glass et al. 2005). During January and February, there is a possible southward shift in whale distribution toward warmer SSTs in the region monitored by the EWS. However, in the relatively warmer and southernmost survey zone (nearshore waters of Florida), North Atlantic right whales concentrate in the northern, cooler portion (Keller et al. 2006). Warm Gulf Stream waters appear to represent a thermal limit (both southward and eastward) for North Atlantic right whales (Keller et al. 2006).

The feeding areas are characterized by bottom topography, water column structure, currents, and tides that combine to physically concentrate zooplankton into extremely dense patches (Wishner et al. 1988; Murison and Gaskin 1989; Macaulay et al. 1995; Beardsley et al. 1996; Baumgartner et al. 2003a). North Atlantic right whales in feeding areas tend to occur consistently in specific locations, often areas of low bathymetric relief near higher relief edges with distinct frontal zones. Shallow waters over the continental shelf are preferred for feeding; 75% of sightings are less than 30 km from land (including islands) (Mate and Baumgartner 2001). Locations of preferred habitat may change based on the temporal and spatial formations of zooplankton concentrations responding to annual fluctuations in oceanic conditions (Kenney 2001, 2007b). For example, the near absence of North Atlantic right whales on their spring and early summer feeding ground in the Great South Channel in 1992 was attributed to a lack of sufficiently dense patches of the copepod, *Calanus finmarchicus*. This prey depletion was probably caused by an anomalous influx of cold Scotian Shelf water, which began in the late winter and resulted in below-average temperatures over much of Georges Bank through the spring (Kenney 2001, 2007b). Some preliminary research has attempted to use remotely-sensed oceanographic data to predict North Atlantic right whale occurrence but is still under development (Brown and Winn 1989; Ward 1999). Satellite-tagged North Atlantic right whales in the Bay of Fundy have been found to move offshore, spending time at the edge of a warm-core ring and lingering in areas where upwelling occurs (Mate et al. 1997). Baumgartner et al. (2003a) found that annual increases in North Atlantic right whale occurrence appeared to be associated with decreases in SST, but they noted that the observation merits caution in light of the short (three year) duration of the study. Somewhat surprisingly, recent studies found that North Atlantic right whales did not show associations with oceanic fronts or regions with high phytoplankton densities (Baumgartner and Mate 2005).

Distribution—Right whales occur in sub-polar to temperate waters. The North Atlantic right whale was historically widely distributed, ranging from latitudes of 60°N to 20°N, prior to serious declines in abundance due to intensive whaling (NMFS 2006c; Reeves et al. 2007). North Atlantic right whales are found primarily in continental shelf waters between Florida and Nova Scotia (Winn et al. 1986). Most sightings are concentrated within five high-use areas: coastal waters of the southeastern U.S. (Georgia and Florida), Cape Cod and Massachusetts bays, the Great South Channel, the Bay of Fundy, and the Nova Scotian Shelf (Winn et al. 1986; Silber and Clapham 2001). There are documented records for this species in the Gulf of Mexico; mother/calf pairs have been sighted as far west as Texas (Zoodsma 2006).

Most North Atlantic right whale sightings generally follow a well-defined seasonal migratory pattern through several consistently utilized habitats (Winn et al. 1986; Figure 3-2). It should be noted, however, that some individuals may be sighted in these habitats outside the typical time of year and that migration routes are poorly known (Winn et al. 1986). Right whales typically migrate within 65 km of shore, but individuals have been observed farther offshore (Knowlton 1997). In fact, trans-Atlantic migrations of North Atlantic right whales between the eastern U.S. coast and Norway have been documented (Jacobsen et al. 2004) which suggests a possible offshore migration path.



Figure 3-2. North Atlantic right whale migration patterns. This species migrates in at least two separate pathways, though some whales may remain in the feeding grounds throughout the winter. Pregnant females and some juveniles migrate to the calving grounds in late fall to winter, returning northward in late winter to early spring. Many North Atlantic right whales leave the feeding grounds for unknown habitats in the winter. Map adapted from: Kenney et al. (2001).

The population migrates as two separate components, although some individuals may remain on the feeding grounds throughout the winter (Winn et al. 1986; Kenney et al. 2001). Pregnant females and some juveniles migrate from the feeding grounds to the calving grounds off the southeastern U.S. in late fall to winter. The cow-calf pairs return northward in late winter to early spring. The majority of the North Atlantic right whale population leaves the feeding grounds for unknown habitats in the winter but returns to the feeding grounds coinciding with the return of the cow-calf pairs. Some individuals as well as cow-calf pairs can be seen through the fall and winter on the feeding grounds with feeding observed (e.g., Sardi et al. 2005).

During the spring through early summer, North Atlantic right whales are found on feeding grounds off the northeastern U.S. and Canada. Individuals may be found in Cape Cod Bay in February through April (Winn et al. 1986; Hamilton and Mayo 1990) and in the Great South Channel east of Cape Cod in April through June (Winn et al. 1986; Kenney et al. 1995). North Atlantic right whales are found throughout the remainder of summer and into fall (June through November) on two feeding grounds in Canadian waters (Gaskin 1987, 1991). The peak abundance is in August, September, and early October. The majority of summer/fall sightings of mother/calf pairs occur east of Grand Manan Island (Bay of Fundy), although some pairs might move to other unknown locations (Schaeff et al. 1993). Jeffreys Ledge appears to be important habitat for North Atlantic right whales, with extended whale residences; this area appears to be an important fall feeding area for North Atlantic right whales and an important nursery area during summer (Weinrich et al. 2000). The second feeding area is off the southern tip of Nova Scotia in the Roseway Basin between Browns, Baccaro, and Roseway banks (Mitchell et al. 1986; Gaskin 1987; Stone et al. 1988; Gaskin 1991). The Cape Cod Bay and Great South Channel feeding grounds are formally designated as critical habitats under the ESA (Silber and Clapham 2001; Figure 3-1).

During the winter (as early as November and through March), North Atlantic right whales may be found in coastal waters off North Carolina, Georgia, and northern Florida (Winn et al. 1986). The waters off Georgia and northern Florida are the only known calving ground for western North Atlantic right whales; it is formally designated as a critical habitat under the ESA (Figure 3-1). Calving occurs from December through March (Silber and Clapham 2001). On 1 January 2005, the first observed birth on the calving grounds was reported (Zani et al. 2005). A majority of the population, however, is not accounted for on the calving grounds, and not all reproductively-active females return to this area each year (Kraus et al. 1986a).

The coastal waters of the Carolinas are suggested to be a migratory corridor for the North Atlantic right whale (Winn et al. 1986). The Southeast U.S. Coast Ground, consisting of coastal waters between North Carolina and northern Florida, was mainly a winter and early spring (January-March) right whaling ground during the late 1800s (Reeves and Mitchell 1986). The whaling ground was centered along the coasts of South Carolina and Georgia (Reeves and Mitchell 1986). An examination of sighting records from data sources between 1950 and 1992 found that wintering North Atlantic right whales were observed widely along the coast from Cape Hatteras, NC to Miami, FL (Kraus et al. 1993). Sightings off the Carolinas were comprised of single individuals that appeared to be transients (Kraus et al. 1993). These observations are consistent with the hypothesis that the coastal waters of the Carolinas are part of a migratory corridor for the North Atlantic right whale (Winn et al. 1986).

Until better information is available on the geographic and temporal extent of the North Atlantic right whale's migratory corridor, it has been recommended that ships transit along the coast in waters deeper than 20 fathoms (37 m). This would bring ship traffic between 15 and 30 nm (24 and 48 km) from shore and minimize possible encounters with right whales (Knowlton 1997). Based on a recent analysis of sightings data collected in the mid-Atlantic from northern Georgia to southern New England between 1974 and 2002, Knowlton et al. (2002) found that the majority of right whale sightings occurred within approximately 9 km (5 nm) from shore, and 94% of all sightings were within 56 km (30 nm) from shore. This finding provides support for the previous ship traffic recommendation but also suggests that limiting ship traffic within 30 nm from shore would likely provide even more protection for right whales.

Radio-tagged animals have made extensive movements, sometimes traveling from the Gulf of Maine into deeper waters off the continental shelf (Mate et al. 1997). Mate et al. (1997) tagged one male that traveled into waters with a bottom depth of 4,200 m. Long-distance movements as far north as Newfoundland, the Labrador Basin, southeast of Greenland, Iceland, and Arctic Norway have been documented (Knowlton et al. 1992; IWC 2001a). One individually identified North Atlantic right whale was documented to make a two-way trans-Atlantic migration from the eastern coast of the U.S. to a location in northern Norway (Jacobsen et al. 2004). A female North Atlantic right whale was tagged with a satellite transmitter and tracked to nearly the middle of the Atlantic where she remained for a period of months (WhaleNet 1998; Figure 3-3). The longest tracking of a North Atlantic right whale is of an adult female which migrated 1,928 km in 23 days (mean=3.5 km/hr) from 40 km west of Browns Bank (Bay of Fundy) to Georgia (Mate and Baumgartner 2001).

Of note is the unusual movement of a cow-calf pair in 2007 (NOAA Fisheries Service 2007). The calf was supposedly born in northeast waters; the cow was first sighted with the calf on June 2, 2007 in the Great South Channel. On July 17, this cow-calf pair was sighted southeast of Mayport, Florida. Two months later, the same cow-calf pair was sighted in the Bay of Fundy (Neuhauser 2007).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—As previously mentioned, North Atlantic right whales migrate to the coastal waters of the southeastern U.S. to calve from November through March (Silber and Clapham 2001). The coastal waters of the Carolinas are part of a migratory corridor for the North Atlantic right whale (Winn et al. 1986; Knowlton et al. 2002), while the waters off Georgia and northern Florida are the only known calving ground for the North Atlantic right whale. Designated critical habitat, which is the core of the calving ground and essential to the conservation of this species, is shown in Figure 3-1. While most sightings of North Atlantic right whales in the OPAREA occur in this defined area, there are many additional sightings outside of this area (Figures B-2-1 and B-2-2). Expansion of the critical habitat is currently being considered by NMFS.

  As noted by Gaskin (1982), North Atlantic right whales might be seen anywhere off the Atlantic U.S. throughout the year. It is only in average terms that the seasonal north-south migration of the entire population can be described. Whether or not a large baleen whale follows the "typical" migratory pattern can depend on a number of factors such as its previous reproductive history; nutritional, health, age, and social status; and/or environmental conditions of the current season. To demonstrate differences in migratory movements by North Atlantic right whales, two individuals with contrasting movement patterns are discussed. In 2000, Dr. Bruce Mate satellite-tagged a North Atlantic right whale, "Piper," whose southbound migration hugged the U.S. coastline (a plot of this whale's movements can be seen at: http://oregonstate.edu /groups/marinemammal/Piper.htm). "Piper" moved directly through the JAX/CHASN OPAREA to the calving grounds. In early January 1996, an adult female North Atlantic right whale, "Metompkin," was found swimming and entangled in lobster-pot buoys off Jacksonville. By late January, "Metompkin" was off Charleston Harbor, and the New England Aquarium was able to equip the whale with a satellite tag and later remove the lines and buoys from the whale. "Metompkin" traveled through deep waters of the OPAREA on her way to the middle of the North Atlantic Ocean where her last location was recorded in early July 1996 (Figure 3-3).

  North Atlantic right whale sightings in very deep, offshore waters of the western North Atlantic are infrequent (Knowlton et al. 2002). However, there is limited evidence suggesting that a regular offshore component exists to their distributional and migratory cycle. This evidence includes a rare occurrence off Bermuda, offshore excursions by satellite-tracked individuals like Metompkin (Mate et al. 1997), disappearance of North Atlantic right whales from most coastal habitats in winter, genetic and sighting data indicating additional summer grounds, and North Atlantic right whales sighted past the continental shelf break off Florida. There is also sparse survey efforts for North Atlantic right whales in offshore waters (and the JAX/CHASN OPAREA specifically).



Figure 3-3. **Movements of the satellite-tagged North Atlantic right whale "Metompkin" from January 1996 through July 1996. Five geographic coordinates were received while "Metompkin" was in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA. The presumed track of the whale's movement through the Cherry Point OPAREA resulted from connecting available coordinates with a straight line. Source data: WhaleNet (1998).**

- Winter—During the winter (as early as November and through March), North Atlantic right whales are found in coastal waters off North Carolina, Georgia, and northern Florida (Winn et al. 1986). Sightings data support this observation, with more North Atlantic right whales sighted during the winter than the other seasons (Figures B-2-1 and B-2-2) although there is a definite bias in the observation data due to focused surveys in this area during the calving season. Regardless, the model output of effort-corrected SPUE supports the high occurrence in shelf waters thoughout the OPAREA (Figures B-2-1 and B-2-2). Occurrence is concentrated in the critical habitat region and farther north along the coast of South Carolina. The model predicts the most concentrated occurrence of this species in nearshore waters due to the large volume of aerial and shore-based surveys conducted in this area. Possible occurrence farther offshore is not reflected in the model output likely due to the sparse survey effort in offshore waters during this time of year although sightings have been made near the edge of the shelf.

- Spring—The model output predicts no occurrence for the species during this season; however, the presence of this species in the OPAREA is recognized based on sparse sighting and stranding records (Figures B-2-1 and B-2-2). Off-effort sightings are recorded in nearshore waters in the OPAREA and vicinity. North Atlantic right whales are typically found off the southeastern U.S. coast between November and mid-April. Sightings observed here are likely of late-migrating North Atlantic right whales.

- Summer—The model output predicts no occurrence for the species in the OPAREA (Figures B-2-1 and B-2-2). North Atlantic right whales should primarily occur farther north on their feeding grounds during this time of year; however, there are some sightings and strandings in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity during this season (Figures B-2-1 and B-2-2). Kraus et al. (1993) noted that North Atlantic right whale sightings have been opportunistically reported off the southeastern U.S. as early as September and as late as June in some years. In fact, a mother and calf pair was recently sighted off the coast of Mayport, Florida in mid-July 2007 (Neuhauser 2007; NOAA Fisheries Service 2007).

- Fall—The model output predicts occurrence in shelf waters in and near the critical habitat region (Figures B-2-1 and B-2-2) which coincides with known concentrations of this species here as early as November. Additional off-effort sightings not included in the model are also scattered along the coast throughout the rest of the OPAREA. Most North Atlantic right whale surveys are conducted in nearshore waters during the winter months; therefore, occurrence during fall (November and early December) may be underrepresented in the model output.

Behavior and Life History—Right whales are most often seen as individuals or pairs (Jefferson et al. 1993). Right whales may aggregate in “surface active” groups, which appear to involve courtship and mating activity (Kraus and Hatch 2001; Parks and Tyack 2005). These groups have been observed year-round in all five high-use habitats; however, during the winter, they do not appear to involve adults.

North Atlantic right whale calves are born during December through March after 12 to 13 months of gestation (Kraus et al. 2001). Weaning occurs at 8 to 17 months (Hamilton et al. 1995). There is usually a three-year interval between calves (Kraus et al. 2001). Three puzzling population biology factors for the North Atlantic right whale population are the variation in interannual calf production; consistently low reproductive rates; and the number of adult females who have never been known to give birth. Genetic variability and inbreeding, potential effects of pollutants, and food supply limitations are all possible driving factors for these observations (Kraus et al. 2007).

North Atlantic right whales feed on zooplankton, particularly large calanoid copepods such as *Calanus* (Kenney et al. 1985; Beardsley et al. 1996; Baumgartner et al. 2007). The food resource in the Great South Channel and the Bay of Fundy is believed to be composed almost exclusively of *Calanus finmarchicus*, while in Cape Cod Bay, their food resource is more diverse, consisting of

Centropages typicus, *Pseudocalanus* spp., and *Calanus finmarchicus* (Mayo and Marx 1990; Jaquet et al. 2005). Differences in the nutritional content of zooplankton prey could have a considerable effect on the nutrition available to the North Atlantic right whales (DeLorenzo Costa et al. 2006).

When feeding, North Atlantic right whales skim prey from the water (Pivorunas 1979; Mayo and Marx 1990; Baumgartner et al. 2007). Feeding can occur throughout the water column (Watkins and Schevill 1976, 1979; Goodyear 1993; Winn et al. 1995). Feeding behavior has been observed in all of the northern high-use areas but has not been observed on the calving grounds or during migration (Kraus et al. 1993; Slay 2002).

Dives of 5 to 15 min or longer have been reported (CETAP 1982; Baumgartner and Mate 2003), but can be much shorter when feeding (Winn et al. 1995). Foraging dives in the known feeding high-use areas are frequently near the bottom of the water column (Goodyear 1993; Mate et al. 1997; Baumgartner et al. 2003b). Baumgartner and Mate (2003) found that the average depth of a right whale dive was strongly correlated with both the average depth of peak copepod abundance and the average depth of the mixed layer's upper surface. Right whale feeding dives are characterized by a rapid descent from the surface to a particular depth between 80 and 175 m, remarkable fidelity to that depth for 5 to 14 min, and then rapid ascent back to the surface (Baumgartner and Mate 2003). Longer surface intervals have been observed for reproductively-active females and their calves (Baumgartner and Mate 2003).

***Acoustics and Hearing*—**North Atlantic right whales produce a variety of sounds, including moans, screams, gunshots, blows, upcalls, downcalls, and warbles that are often linked to specific behaviors (Matthews et al. 2001; Laurinolli et al. 2003; Vanderlaan et al. 2003; Parks et al. 2005; Parks and Tyack 2005). Sounds can be divided into three main categories: (1) blow sounds; (2) broadband impulsive sounds; and (3) tonal call types (Parks and Clark 2007). Blow sounds are those coinciding with an exhalation; it is not known whether these are intentional communication signals or just produced incidentally (Parks and Clark 2007). Broadband sounds include non-vocal slaps (when the whale strikes the surface of the water with parts of its body) and the "gunshot" sound; data suggests that the latter serves a communicative purpose (Parks and Clark 2007). Tonal calls can be divided into simple, low-frequency, stereo-typed calls and more complex, frequency-modulated, higher-frequency calls (Parks and Clark 2007). Most of these sounds range in frequency from 0.02 to 15 kHz (dominant frequency range from 0.02 to less than 2 kHz; durations typically range from 0.01 to multiple seconds) with some sounds having multiple harmonics (Parks and Tyack 2005). Source levels for some of these sounds have been measured as ranging from 137 to 192 dB root-mean-square (rms) re: 1 μPa-m (decibels at the reference level of one micropascal at one meter) (Parks et al. 2005; Parks and Tyack 2005). In certain regions (i.e., northeast Atlantic), preliminary results indicate that right whales vocalize more from dusk to dawn than during the daytime (Leaper and Gillespie 2006). Vocalization rates of North Atlantic right whales are also highly variable, and individuals have been known to remain silent for hours (Gillespie and Leaper 2001). Baumgartner et al. (2005) noted that downsweep calls by North Atlantic right whales in the 16 to 160 Hz frequency band exhibited a diel pattern (fewer calls at night) that corresponded strongly to the diel vertical migration of zooplankton.

Recent, morphometric analyses of North Atlantic right whale inner ears estimates a hearing range of approximately 0.01 to 22 kHz based on established marine mammal models (Parks et al. 2004; Parks and Tyack 2005; Parks et al. 2007). Nowacek et al. (2004) observed that exposure to short tones and down sweeps, ranging in frequency from 0.5 to 4.5 kHz, induced an alteration in behavior (received levels of 133 to 148 dB re 1 μPa-m), but exposure to sounds produced by vessels (dominant frequency range of 0.05 to 0.5 kHz) did not produce any behavioral response (received levels of 132 to 142 dB re 1 μPa-m).

- Humpback Whale *(Megaptera novaeangliae)*

  Description—Adult humpback whales are 11 to 16 m in length and are more robust than other rorquals. The body is black or dark gray, with very long (about one-third of the body length) flippers that are usually at least partially white (Jefferson et al. 1993; Clapham and Mead 1999). The head is larger than in other rorquals. The flukes have a concave, serrated trailing edge; the ventral side is variably patterned in black and white. Individual humpback whales may be identified using these patterns (Katona et al. 1979). The dorsal fin is set far back on the body and is triangular or falcate in shape, with a long hump cranially tapering to a pointed apex.

  Status—Humpback whales are classified as endangered under the ESA (NMFS 1991) and, therefore, considered a strategic stock (Waring et al. 2008). An estimated 11,570 humpback whales occur in the entire North Atlantic (Stevick et al. 2003a). The International Whaling Commission (IWC) considers the "feeding stock" to be the appropriate unit for management of humpback whales in the North Atlantic (COSEWIC 2003). Humpback whales in the North Atlantic are thought to belong to five different feeding stocks: Gulf of Maine, Gulf of St. Lawrence, Newfoundland/Labrador, western Greenland, and Iceland. There appears to be very little exchange between these separate feeding stocks (Katona and Beard 1990). The best estimate of abundance for the Gulf of Maine Stock is 847 individuals and is based on the results of line transect surveys in 2006; the minimum population estimate is 549 individuals (Waring et al. 2008). There is no designated critical habitat for this species.

  Habitat Associations—Although humpback whales typically travel over deep, oceanic waters during migration, their feeding and breeding habitats are mostly in shallow, coastal waters over continental shelves (Clapham and Mead 1999). Shallow banks or ledges with high sea-floor relief characterize feeding grounds (Payne et al. 1990b; Hamazaki 2002). The habitat requirements of wintering humpbacks appear to be determined by the conditions necessary for calving. Breeding grounds are in tropical or subtropical waters, generally with shelter created by islands or reefs. Optimal calving conditions are warm water (24° to 28°C) and relatively shallow, low-relief ocean bottom in protected areas (i.e., behind reefs) (Sanders et al. 2005). These areas provide calm seas and minimize the possibility of predation by sharks and harassment by male humpbacks (Smultea 1994; Clapham 2000; Craig and Herman 2000). Females with calves occur in significantly shallower waters than other groups of humpback whales, and breeding adults use deeper, more offshore waters (Smultea 1994; Ersts and Rosenbaum 2003).

  Distribution—Humpback whales are globally distributed in all major oceans and most seas. They are generally found during the summer on high-latitude feeding grounds and during the winter in the tropics and subtropics around islands, over shallow banks, and along continental coasts, where calving occurs. Most humpback whale sightings are in nearshore and continental shelf waters; however, humpback whales frequently travel through deepwater during migration (Clapham and Mattila 1990; Calambokidis et al. 2001).

  In the North Atlantic Ocean, humpbacks are found from spring through fall on feeding grounds that are located from south of New England to northern Norway (NMFS 1991). The Gulf of Maine is one of the principal summer feeding grounds for humpback whales in the North Atlantic. The largest numbers of humpback whales are present from mid-April to mid-November. Feeding locations off the northeastern U.S. include Stellwagen Bank, Jeffreys Ledge, the Great South Channel, the edges and shoals of Georges Bank, Cashes Ledge, Grand Manan Banks, the banks on the Scotian Shelf, the Gulf of St. Lawrence, and the Newfoundland Grand Banks (CETAP 1982; Whitehead 1982; Kenney and Winn 1986; Weinrich et al. 1997). Distribution in this region has been largely correlated to prey species and abundance although behavior and bottom topography are factors in foraging strategy (Payne et al. 1986; Payne et al. 1990b). Humpbacks typically return to the same feeding areas each year.

  The distribution and abundance of sand lance are important factors underlying the distribution patterns of the humpback whale (Kenney and Winn 1986). Changes in diets and feeding associations are likely caused by changes in prey distribution and/or in the relative abundance of different prey species (sand lance and herring) (Payne et al. 1986; Payne et al. 1990b; Kenney et al. 1996; Weinrich et al. 1997). Feeding most often occurs in relatively shallow waters over the inner continental shelf and sometimes in deeper waters. Large multi-species feeding aggregations (including humpback whales) have been observed over the shelf break on the southern edge of Georges Bank (CETAP 1982; Kenney and Winn 1987) and in shelf break waters off the U.S. mid-Atlantic coast (Smith et al. 1996).

During the winter, most of the North Atlantic population of humpback whales is believed to migrate south to calving grounds in the West Indies region (Whitehead and Moore 1982; Smith et al. 1999; Stevick et al. 2003b; Figure 3-4). Due to the temporal difference in occupancy of the West Indies between individuals from different feeding areas, coupled with sexual differences in migratory patterns, Stevick et al. (2003b) suggested the possibility that there are reduced mating opportunities between individuals from different high-latitude feeding areas. The calving peak is January through March, with some animals arriving as early as December and a few not leaving until June. The mean sighting date in the West Indies for individuals from the U.S. and Canada is 16 and 15 February, respectively (Stevick et al. 2003b).

Apparently, not all Atlantic humpback whales migrate to the calving grounds, since some sightings (believed to be only a very small proportion of the population) are made during the winter in northern habitats (CETAP 1982; Whitehead 1982; Clapham et al. 1993; Swingle et al. 1993). The sex/age class of nonmigratory animals remains unclear. A small number of individuals remain in the Gulf of Maine during winter (CETAP 1982; Clapham et al. 1993); however, it is not known whether these few sightings represent winter residents or either late-departing or early-arriving migrants (Mitchell et al. 2002).

There has been an increasing occurrence of humpbacks, which appear to be primarily juveniles, during the winter along the U.S. Atlantic coast from Florida north to Virginia (Clapham et al. 1993; Swingle et al. 1993; Wiley et al. 1995; Laerm et al. 1997). Strandings of humpbacks (mainly juveniles) in this area have also increased in recent years (Wiley et al. 1995). Recently, winter humpback whale sightings have occurred in coastal southeastern U.S. waters during North Atlantic right whale surveys (Waring et al. 2008). A humpback whale was also sighted in the Tongue of the Ocean (Bahamas) during marine mammal surveys (Mobley 2004). There are also reports of humpback whales in the Gulf of Mexico, particularly near the Panhandle region of Florida, during this time of year (Weller et al. 1996a; MMS 2001; Pitchford 2006). None of these occurrences are fully understood. They might be due to distribution shifts, increased sighting effort, or habitat that is becoming increasingly important for juveniles (Wiley et al. 1995). Sighting histories of mature humpback whales suggest that the mid-Atlantic area contains a greater percentage of mature animals than is represented by strandings (Barco et al. 2002). It has recently been proposed that the mid-Atlantic region primarily represents a supplemental winter feeding ground, which is also an area of mixing of humpback whales from different feeding stocks (Barco et al. 2002).

The routes taken during the southbound and northbound migrations are not known. Examination of whaling catches revealed that both northward and southward migrations are characterized by a staggering of sexual and maturational classes; lactating females are among the first to leave summer feeding grounds in the fall, followed by subadult males, mature males, non-pregnant females, and pregnant females (Clapham 1996). On the northward migration, this order is broadly reversed, with newly pregnant females among the first to begin the return migration to high latitudes. Stevick et al. (2003b) reported sighting males 6.63 days earlier in the West Indies than females. Individuals identified on feeding grounds in the Gulf of Maine and eastern Canada arrived significantly earlier (9.97 days) than those animals identified in Greenland, Iceland, and Norway (Stevick et al. 2003b). During the northward migration, the whales are not believed to separate into discrete feeding groups until north of Bermuda (Katona and Beard 1990).



Figure 3-4. Current knowledge of the migration pathways of humpback whales in the North Atlantic Ocean. Current feeding and calving grounds and general migratory pathways are depicted. Note that humpback whales also occur outside these areas. Source information: Stevick et al. (1998), Jann et al. (2003), and Stevick et al. (2003b).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—Although this species is considered rare within the OPAREA, any occurrences would be expected throughout the OPAREA in fall, winter, and spring during migrations between calving grounds in the Caribbean and feeding grounds off the northeastern U.S. Humpback whales are not expected in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA during summer since they should primarily occur farther north on their feeding grounds. There is an increasing occurrence of humpback whale sightings and strandings during the winter (particularly January through April) along the U.S. Atlantic coast from Florida north to Virginia (Clapham et al. 1993; Swingle et al. 1993; Wiley et al. 1995; Laerm et al. 1997). Occurrence of humpback whales migrating through this area are likely not well-represented in the sighting data due to the lack of survey effort in offshore waters of the OPAREA.

    - Winter—Most of the humpback whale records in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA are reported during this season. All sightings in the OPAREA are in waters inshore of the shelf break (Figures B-3-1 and B-3-2). The model output predicts areas of occurrence in nearshore and shelf waters, particularly in the North Atlantic right whale critical habitat region. The high number of humpback sightings in this region is probably a function of intense survey effort for North Atlantic right whales while they are on their calving grounds during this season. Humpback whales may occur seaward of the shoreline throughout the OPAREA during this time of year based on habitat associations. The lack of observations of this species in deepwaters of the OPAREA may be due to limited survey effort in offshore waters.

    - Spring—The model output predicts no occurrence for humpback whales in the OPAREA; however, there are numerous strandings and several opportunistic sightings of humpback whales in shelf waters of the OPAREA and vicinity (Figures B-3-1 and B-3-2). Humpback whales may occur on the shelf, as well as farther offshore, during migrations at this time of the year based on habitat associations. This is a time of a year with less survey effort than some other seasons (specifically winter); therefore, sighting data may be underrepresented by the reduced survey effort during this time of year.

    - Summer—There are no observation records for humpback whales in the OPAREA during summer (Figures B-3-1 and B-3-2). Humpback whales are not expected to occur here during this season since they should be farther north on their feeding grounds.

    - Fall—The model output predicts no occurrence for humpback whales in the OPAREA during fall although there are a few opportunistic sightings and strandings in the OPAREA and vicinity (Figures B-3-1 and B-3-2). Humpback whales may occur on the shelf, as well as farther offshore, during migrations at this time of the year based on habitat associations. As with the spring, there is less survey effort during the fall; therefore, sighting data may be underrepresented by the reduced survey effort during this time of year.

Behavior and Life History—Humpback whales are arguably the most social of all the baleen whales. Group size can range from single individuals to up to 20 or more whales. These groups are, however, typically small and unstable with the exception of cow-calf pairs (Clapham and Mead 1999). On the feeding grounds, relatively large numbers of humpbacks may be observed within a limited area to feed on a rich food source. While large aggregations are often observed, it is not clear if there are stable associations between individuals or if this is simply a reflection of a concentration of animals brought together by a common interest in locally abundant prey (Clapham 2000). On the breeding grounds, small groups of males may occur when competing for access to females (Tyack and Whitehead 1983; Baker and Herman 1984; Pack et al. 1998). On rare occasions, competitive groups have been observed on the feeding grounds (Weinrich 1995).

Humpback whales feed on a wide variety of invertebrates and small schooling fishes. The most common invertebrate prey are euphausiids (krill); the most common fish prey are herring, mackerel, sand lance, sardines, anchovies, and capelin (*Mallotus villosus*) (Clapham and Mead 1999). These whales are lunge feeders, taking in huge batches of prey items as they lunge laterally, diagonally, or vertically through patches of prey (Clapham 2002). Feeding behavior is highly diverse, and humpbacks employ unusual behaviors, such as bubble netting, to corral prey (Jurasz and Jurasz 1979; Weinrich et al. 1992). This is the only species of baleen whale that shows some evidence of cooperation when feeding in large groups (D'Vincent et al. 1985). Humpback whales are not typically thought to feed on the breeding grounds; however, some feeding behavior has been observed there (Salden 1989; Gendron and Urbán R. 1993).

Female humpbacks become sexually mature at four to nine years of age (Clapham 1996). Gestation is approximately one year. Calves are weaned before one year of age. Calving intervals are usually two to three years, although females occasionally give birth to calves in successive years (Clapham 1996). Males compete for access to receptive females by aggressive, sometimes violent interactions, as well as vocal displays (Clapham 1996; Pack et al. 1998).

Humpback whale diving behavior depends on the time of year (Clapham and Mead 1999). In summer, most dives last less than five min; those exceeding 10 min are atypical. In winter (December through March), dives average 10 to 15 min; dives of greater than 30 min have been recorded (Clapham and Mead 1999). Although humpback whales have been recorded to dive as deep as 500 m (Dietz et al. 2002), on the feeding grounds they spend the majority of their time in the upper 120 m of the water column (Dolphin 1987; Dietz et al. 2002). Recent D-tag work revealed that humpbacks are usually only a few meters below the water's surface while foraging (Ware et al. 2006). On wintering grounds, Baird et al. (2000) recorded dives deeper than 100 m.

Acoustics and Hearing—Humpback whales are known to produce three classes of vocalizations: (1) "songs" in the late fall, winter, and spring by solitary males; (2) sounds made within groups on the wintering (calving) grounds; and (3) social sounds made on the feeding grounds (Thomson and Richardson 1995).

The best-known types of sounds produced by humpback whales are songs, which are thought to be breeding displays used only by adult males (Helweg et al. 1992). Singing is most common on breeding grounds during the winter and spring months but is occasionally heard outside breeding areas and out of season (Mattila et al. 1987; Gabriele et al. 2001; Gabriele and Frankel 2002; Clark and Clapham 2004). Humpback song is an incredibly elaborate series of patterned vocalizations which are hierarchical in nature (Payne and McVay 1971). There is geographical variation in humpback whale song, with different populations singing different songs and all members of a population using the same basic song. However, the song evolves over the course of a breeding season but remains nearly unchanged from the end of one season to the start of the next (Payne et al. 1983).

Social calls are from 50 Hz to over 10 kHz, with dominant frequencies below 3 kHz (Silber 1986). Female vocalizations appear to be simple; Simão and Moreira (2005) noted little complexity. The male song, however, is complex and changes between seasons. Components of the song range from under 20 Hz to 4 kHz and occasionally 8 kHz, with source levels meausured between 151 and 189 dB re 1 µPa-m and high-frequency harmonics extending beyond 24 kHz (Au et al. 2001; Au et al. 2006). Songs have also been recorded on feeding grounds (Mattila et al. 1987; Clark and Clapham 2004). The main energy lies between 0.2 and 3.0 kHz, with frequency peaks at 4.7 kHz. "Feeding" calls, unlike song and social sounds, are highly stereotyped series of narrow-band trumpeting calls. They are 20 Hz to 2 kHz, less than 1 sec in duration, and have source levels of 162 to 192 dB re 1 µPa-m. The fundamental frequency of feeding calls is approximately 500 Hz (D'Vincent et al. 1985; Thompson et al. 1986). Feeding calls have not been reliably documented in the North Atlantic.

While no measured data on hearing ability is available for this species, Ketten (1997) hypothesized that mysticetes have acute infrasonic hearing. Houser et al. (2001) produced the first humpback whale audiogram (using a mathematical model), which was u-shaped and conformed to the typical mammalian presentation. The area of best hearing, or sensitivity, was observed between frequencies from 700 Hz to 10 kHz but the maximum range of hearing was identified between 200 Hz to 14 kHz. Au et al. (2006) noted that if the popular notion that animals generally hear the totality of the sounds they produce is applied to humpback whales, this suggests that its upper frequency limit of hearing is as high as 24 kHz.

- Sei Whale *(Balaenoptera borealis)*

Description—Adult sei whales are up to 18 m in length and are mostly dark gray in color with a lighter belly, often with mottling on the back (Jefferson et al. 1993). There is a single prominent ridge on the rostrum and a slightly arched rostrum with a downturned tip (Jefferson et al. 1993). The dorsal fin is prominent and very falcate. Sei whales are extremely similar in appearance to Bryde's whales, and it is difficult to differentiate them at sea and, in some cases, on the beach (Mead 1977).

Status—Sei whales are listed as endangered under the ESA and, therefore, are considered a strategic stock. The stock structure of sei whales in the North Atlantic is uncertain. Both the NMFS and the IWC recognize a minimum of two stocks, although there may be at least one other (Donovan 1991; Perry et al. 1999; Waring et al. 2008). The Nova Scotia Stock occurs in U.S. Atlantic waters (Waring et al. 2008). The current minimum population estimate of this stock is 128 individuals (Waring et al. 2008). The best estimate of abundance for this stock is 207 individuals; however, this is considered conservative due to uncertainties in population structure and movements between surveyed and unsurveyed areas (Waring et al. 2008). There is no designated critical habitat for this species.

The taxonomy of the baleen whale group formerly known as sei and Bryde's whales is currently confused and highly controversial. It clearly consists of three or more species; however, the final determination awaits additional studies. Reeves et al. (2004) provides a recent review; see the Bryde's whale species account below for further explanation.

Habitat Associations—Sei whales are most often found in deep, oceanic waters of the cool temperate zone. Sei whales appear to prefer regions of steep bathymetric relief, such as the continental shelf break, canyons, or basins situated between banks and ledges (Kenney and Winn 1987; Schilling et al. 1992; Gregr and Trites 2001; Best and Lockyer 2002). These areas are often the location of persistent hydrographic features, which may be important factors in concentrating prey, especially copepods. On the feeding grounds, the distribution is largely associated with oceanic frontal systems (Horwood 1987). In the North Pacific, sei whales are found feeding particularly along the cold eastern currents (Perry et al. 1999). Characteristics of preferred breeding grounds are unknown. Horwood (1987) noted that sei whales prefer oceanic waters and are rarely found in marginal seas; historical whaling catches were usually from deepwater, and land station catches were usually taken from along or just off the edges of the continental shelf.

Distribution—Sei whales have a worldwide distribution but are found primarily in cold temperate to subpolar latitudes rather than in the tropics or near the poles (Horwood 1987). Sei whales are also known for occasional irruptive occurrences in areas followed by disappearances for sometimes decades (Horwood 1987; Schilling et al. 1992; Clapham et al. 1997; Gregr et al. 2005).

Sei whales spend the summer months feeding in the subpolar higher latitudes and return to the lower latitudes to calve in the winter. There is some evidence from whaling catch data of differential migration patterns by reproductive class, with females arriving at and departing from feeding areas earlier than males (Horwood 1987; Perry et al. 1999; Gregr et al. 2000). For the most part, the location of winter breeding areas remains a mystery (Rice 1998; Perry et al. 1999).

In the western North Atlantic Ocean, sei whales occur primarily from Georges Bank north to Davis Strait (northeast Canada, between Greenland and Baffin Island) (Perry et al. 1999). Sei whales are not known to be common in most U.S. Atlantic waters (NMFS 1998a). Peak abundance in U.S. waters occurs from winter through spring (mid-March through mid-June), primarily around the edges of Georges Bank (CETAP 1982; Stimpert et al. 2003). The distribution of the Nova Scotia Stock might extend along the U.S. coast at least to North Carolina (NMFS 1998a). The hypothesis is that the

Nova Scotia Stock moves from spring feeding grounds on or near Georges Bank, to the Scotian Shelf in June and July, eastward to perhaps Newfoundland and the Grand Banks in late summer, then back to the Scotian Shelf in fall, and offshore and south in winter (Mitchell and Chapman 1977).

As noted by Reeves et al. (1999a), reports in the literature from any time before the mid-1970s are suspect because of the frequent failure to distinguish sei from Bryde's whales, particularly in tropical to warm-temperate waters where Bryde's whales are generally more common than sei whales.

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—There are insufficient data to model the predicted occurrence of sei whales in the OPAREA. Figure B-8 includes records of Bryde's and sei whales. One sei whale stranding was recorded near Cape Island, South Carolina (Mead 1977). Sightings are also recorded farther north in the VACAPES OPAREA. Winter range of most rorquals (blue, fin, sei, and minke whales) is hypothesized to be in offshore waters (Kellogg 1928; Gaskin 1982). Although this species is considered rare within the OPAREA, any occurrences would be expected in waters seaward of the 2,000 m isobath throughout the OPAREA during fall, winter, and spring based on habitat associations with deep, oceanic waters. Sei whale occurrence is probably the same during these seasons due to early or late migrating individuals. Sei whales are not expected to occur in the OPAREA during summer since they should be on feeding grounds around the eastern Scotian Shelf or Grand Banks.

Behavior and Life History—This species is the most poorly known of all rorquals. Sei whales are typically found in groups of one to five individuals (Leatherwood et al. 1976). The sei whale is atypical as a rorqual in that it primarily "skims" its food (although it also does some "gulping" as other rorquals do) (Pivorunas 1979). In the North Atlantic Ocean, the major prey species are copepods and krill (Kenney et al. 1985). Sei whales typically follow a reproductive cycle of two years: a gestation period of about 10 to 12 months and a lactation period of six to nine months (Gambell 1985b).

Acoustics and Hearing—Sei whale vocalizations have been recorded only on a few occasions. Recordings from the North Atlantic consisted of paired sequences (0.5 to 0.8 sec, separated by 0.4 to 1.0 sec) of 10 to 20 short (4 milliseconds [msec]) frequency-modulated (FM) sweeps between 1.5 and 3.5 kHz; source level was not known (Thomson and Richardson 1995). These mid-frequency calls are distinctly different from low-frequency tonal and frequency swept calls recently recorded in the Antarctic; the average duration of the tonal calls was 0.45±0.3 sec, with an average frequency of 433±192 Hz and a maximum source level of 156±3.6 dB re 1 µPa-m (McDonald et al. 2005).

While no data on hearing ability for this species are available, Ketten (1997) hypothesized that mysticetes have acute infrasonic hearing.

- Fin Whale *(Balaenoptera physalus)*

Description—The fin whale is the second-largest whale species, with adults reaching 24 m in length (Jefferson et al. 1993). Fin whales have a very sleek body with a pale, V-shaped chevron on the back just behind the head. The dorsal fin is prominent but with a shallow leading edge and is set back two-thirds of the body length from the head (Jefferson et al. 1993). The head color is asymmetrical, with a lower jaw that is white on the right and black or dark gray on the left. Fin and sei whales are very similar in appearance and size which has resulted in confusion about the distribution of both species (NMFS 2006b).

Status—Fin whales are classified as endangered under the ESA (NMFS 2006b) and, therefore, are considered a strategic stock (Waring et al. 2008). The most recent best estimate of abundance is 2,269 in individuals in the western North Atlantic stock while the minimum population estimate is 1,678 (Waring et al. 2008). No critical habitat is designated for this species. NMFS recently initiated a 5-year review for the fin whale under the ESA (NMFS 2007).

Habitat Associations—The fin whale is found in continental shelf, slope, and oceanic waters. Off the U.S. east coast, the fin whale appears to be scarce in slope and Gulf Stream waters (CETAP 1982; Waring et al. 1992). Globally, this species tends to be aggregated in locations where populations of prey are most plentiful, irrespective of water depth, although those locations may shift seasonally or annually (Payne et al. 1990b; Kenney et al. 1996; Notarbartolo-di-Sciara et al. 2003). In the Mediterranean, bottom depth was found to be the most significant variable in describing fin whale distribution, with more than 90% of sightings occurring in waters deeper than 2,000 m (Panigada et al. 2005).

Relatively consistent sighting locations for fin whales off the U.S. Atlantic coast include the banks on the Nova Scotian Shelf, Georges Bank, Jeffreys Ledge, Cashes Ledge, Stellwagen Bank, Grand Manan Bank, Newfoundland Grand Banks, the Great South Channel, the Gulf of St. Lawrence, off Long Island and Block Island, RI, and along the shelf break of the northeastern U.S. (CETAP 1982; Hain et al. 1992). Hain et al. (1992) reported that the single most important habitat in their study was a region of the western Gulf of Maine, to Jeffreys Ledge, Cape Ann, Stellwagen Bank, and to the Great South Channel, in approximately 50 m of water. This was an area of high prey (sand lance) density during the 1970s and early 1980s (Kenney and Winn 1986). Secondary areas of important fin whale habitat included the mid- to outer shelf from the northeast area of Georges Bank through the mid-Atlantic Bight. Waring and Finn (1995) found a significant relationship in the distributions of fin whales and sand lance in the fall. In the lower Bay of Fundy, fin whales occur in shallow areas with high topographic variation that are likely well-mixed or contain frontal boundaries between mixed and stratified waters which tend to concentrate krill and herring (Woodley and Gaskin 1996). Fin whales have also been known to preferentially feed in highly concentrated prey areas within fine-scale eddies; these eddies form around islands during tidal retreat (Johnston et al. 2005a). Waring et al. (1992) reported sighting fin whales along the edge of a warm core eddy and a remnant near Wilmington Canyon, along the northern wall of the Gulf Stream. Clark and Gagnon (2004) determined that vocalizing fin whales show strong associations, even during summer months, with shelf breaks, seamounts, or other areas where food resources are known to occur.

Distribution—Fin whales are broadly distributed throughout the world's oceans, usually in temperate to polar latitudes and less commonly in the tropics (Jefferson et al. 2008). In general, fin whales are more common north of about 30ºN than they are in tropical zones (NMFS 1998a). The overall range of fin whales in the North Atlantic extends from the Gulf of Mexico/Caribbean and Mediterranean north to Greenland, Iceland, and Norway (Gambell 1985a; NMFS 1998a). In the western North Atlantic, the fin whale is the most commonly sighted large whale in continental shelf waters from the mid-Atlantic coast of the U.S. to eastern Canada (CETAP 1982; Hain et al. 1992). Fin whales are the dominant large cetacean species in all seasons in the North Atlantic and have the largest standing stock and food requirements (Hain et al. 1992; Kenney et al. 1997). The fin whale is also the most common whale species acoustically detected with Navy deepwater hydrophone arrays in the North Atlantic (Clark 1995).

Based on passive acoustic detection using Navy Sound Surveillance System (SOSUS) hydrophones in the western North Atlantic (Clark 1995), fin whales are believed to move southward in the fall and northward in spring. The location and extent of the wintering grounds are poorly known (Aguilar 2002). Fin whales have been seen feeding as far south as the coast of Virginia (Hain et al. 1992).

Fin whales are not completely absent from northeastern U.S. continental shelf waters in winter, indicating that not all members of the population conduct a full seasonal migration. This is the most likely large whale species to be sighted off the eastern U.S. coast in winter. Perhaps a fifth to a quarter of the spring/summer peak population remains in this area year-round (CETAP 1982; Hain et al. 1992).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—Fin whales are more commonly encountered north of Cape Hatteras (CETAP 1982; Hain et al. 1992; Waring et al. 2008). Although considered rare within the OPAREA, fin whales may occur in both continental shelf and offshore waters based on known habitat associations and sighting records. Preliminary results from the Navy's deepwater hydrophone arrays indicate a substantial deep-ocean component to fin whale distribution (Clark 1995). There are only a few sighting records of this species within the OPAREA which is likely due to limited survey coverage throughout the deep waters of the OPAREA.

- Winter—This is the only season with any sighting records of fin whales in the OPAREA (Figures B-4-1 and B-4-2). The model predicts a small area of occurrence in shelf waters off the southern coast of South Carolina (Figures B-4-1 and B-4-2). Predicted occurrence in this region is probably a function of intense survey effort for North Atlantic right whales during this time of year.

- Spring—The model output predicts no occurrence for this species in the OPAREA due to the lack of sighting data (Figures B-4-1 and B-4-2). Occurrence of fin whales in this region is recognized by a few strandings off North Carolina, South Carolina, and Florida. Fin whales that occur in the OPAREA during this time of year are probably individuals migrating earlier or later in the year.

- Summer—The model output predicts no occurrence for this species in the OPAREA due to the lack of sighting data (Figures B-4-1 and B-4-2). Fin whales should primarily be on their feeding grounds off the northeastern U.S. and are not expected to occur in the OPAREA during this time of year.

- Fall—The model output predicts no occurrence for this species in the OPAREA due to the lack of sighting data (Figures B-4-1 and B-4-2). Few strandings are recorded off South Carolina and Florida during this time of year. It is possible that early- or late-migrating fin whales may occur in the OPAREA during this time of year.

Behavior and Life History—Fin whales feed by “gulping” where up to 50% of the animal’s body volume in seawater enters the mouth and distends pleats along the throat (Pivorunas 1979; Orton and Brodie 1987; Lambertsen et al. 1995). They prey upon a wide variety of small, schooling prey (especially herring, capelin, and sand lance) including squid and crustaceans (krill and copepods) (see review in Kenney et al. 1985; NMFS 2006b). Single fin whales are most common, but they do gather in groups at times, especially when good sources of prey are aggregated. Fin whales are frequently observed in large, multi-species feeding aggregations with humpback whales, minke whales, and Atlantic white-sided dolphins (CETAP 1982).

Female fin whales in the North Atlantic mature at 8 to 11 years of age (Boyd et al. 1999). Peak calving is in October through January (Hain et al. 1992) after a gestation period of approximately 11 months; however, the location of breeding grounds is unknown. Weaning may occur at six months (Boyd et al. 1999). Calving intervals in northeastern U.S. waters range from two to six years (Agler et al. 1990).

Fin whale dives are typically 5 to 15 min long and separated by sequences of four to five blows at 10 to 20 sec intervals (CETAP 1982; Stone et al. 1992; Lafortuna et al. 2003). Kopelman and Sadove (1995) found significant differences in blow intervals, dive times, and blows per hour between surface-feeding and non-surface-feeding fin whales. Croll et al. (2001) determined that fin whales off the Pacific coast dived to a mean of 97.9 m (standard deviation [S.D.]=±32.59 m) with a duration of 6.3 min (S.D.=±1.53 min) when foraging and to 59.3 m (S.D.=±29.67 m) with a duration of 4.2 min (S.D.=±1.67 min) when not foraging. Panigada et al. (1999) reported fin whale dives exceeding 150 m and coinciding with the diel migration of krill.

Acoustics and Hearing—Fin and blue whales produce calls with the lowest frequency and highest source levels of all cetaceans. Infrasonic, pattern sounds have been documented for fin whales (Watkins et al. 1987; Clark and Fristrup 1997; McDonald and Fox 1999). Fin whales produce a variety of sounds with a frequency range up to 750 Hz. The long, patterned 15 to 30 Hz vocal sequence is most typically recorded; only males are known to produce these (Croll et al. 2002). The most typical fin whale sound is a 20 Hz infrasonic pulse (actually an FM sweep from about 23 to 18 Hz) with durations of about 1 sec and can reach source levels of 184 to 186 dB re 1 μPa-m (maximum up to 200; Watkins et al. 1987; Thomson and Richardson 1995; Charif et al. 2002). Croll et al. (2002) recently suggested that these long, patterned vocalizations might function as male breeding displays, much like those that male humpback whales sing. The source depth, or depth of calling fin whales, has been reported to be about 50 m (Watkins et al. 1987).

While no data on hearing ability for this species are available, Ketten (1997) hypothesized that mysticetes have acute infrasonic hearing.

- Blue Whale *(Balaenoptera musculus)*

Description—Blue whales are the largest living animals. Blue whale adults in the northern hemisphere reach 22.9 to 28 m in length (Jefferson et al. 1993). The rostrum of a blue whale is broad and U-shaped, with a single prominent ridge down the center (Jefferson et al. 1993). The tiny dorsal fin is set far back on the body and appears well after the blowholes when the whale surfaces (Reeves et al. 2002). This species is blue-gray with light (or sometimes dark) mottling.

Status—Blue whales are classified as endangered under the ESA and, therefore, are considered to be a strategic stock. The blue whale was severely depleted by commercial whaling in the twentieth century (NMFS 1998b). At least two discrete populations are found in the North Atlantic. One population ranges from West Greenland to New England and is centered in eastern Canadian waters; the other includes individuals found in Icelandic waters and south to northwest Africa (Sears et al. 1990; Ramp 2006). There are no current estimates of abundance for the North Atlantic blue whale (Waring et al. 2008). However, the 308 photo-identified individuals from the Gulf of St. Lawrence area are considered to be a minimum population estimate for the western North Atlantic stock (Waring et al. 2008). There is no designated critical habitat for this species in the North Atlantic.

Habitat Associations—Blue whales inhabit both coastal and oceanic waters in temperate and tropical areas (Yochem and Leatherwood 1985). Blue whales in the Atlantic are primarily found in deeper, offshore waters and are rare in shallower, shelf waters (Wenzel et al. 1988). Important foraging areas for this species include the edges of continental shelves and upwelling regions (Reilly and Thayer 1990; Schoenherr 1991). Based on acoustic and tagging data in the North Pacific, relatively cold, productive waters and fronts attract feeding blue whales (e.g., Moore et al. 2002). In the Gulf of St. Lawrence, blue whales show strong associations with the nearshore regions where strong tidal and current mixing leads to high productivity and rich prey resources (Sears et al. 1990). Clark and Gagnon (2004) determined that vocalizing blue whales show strong associations, even during the summer month, with shelf breaks, seamounts, or other areas where food resources are known to occur.

Distribution—Blue whales are distributed from the ice edge to the tropics and subtropics in both hemispheres (Jefferson et al. 1993). The longest documented migration for this species is between Iceland and Mauritania at an estimated 5,200 km (Sears et al. 2005). Stranding and sighting data suggest that blue whale occurrence in the Atlantic extended south to Florida and the Gulf of Mexico; however, the southern limit of this species' range is unknown (Yochem and Leatherwood 1985). Blue whales rarely occur in the U.S. Atlantic Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) and the Gulf of Maine from August to October, which may represent the limits of their feeding range (CETAP 1982; Wenzel et al. 1988). Sightings in the Gulf of Maine and U.S. EEZ have been made in late summer and early fall (August and October) (CETAP 1982; Wenzel et al. 1988). Researchers using the Navy-integrated undersea surveillance system (IUSS) resources detected blue whales throughout the open Atlantic south to at least the Bahamas (Clark 1995), suggesting that all North Atlantic blue whales may comprise a single stock (NMFS 1998b).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—There are insufficient data to model the predicted occurrence of this species. The blue whale is primarily a deepwater species. Winter range of most rorquals (blue, fin, sei, and minke whales) is hypothesized to be in offshore waters (Kellogg 1928; Gaskin 1982). Although this species is considered rare within the OPAREA, any occurrences would be expected seaward of the 2,000 m isobath throughout the OPAREA during fall, winter, and spring based on known habitat associations. Occurrences of blue whales are likely not represented in the survey records due to very low effort in the deepest portions of the OPAREA. Blue whales are not expected to occur in the OPAREA during summer when they should primarily occur farther north in their feeding ranges.

Behavior and Life History—Blue whales are found singly or in groups of two or three (Yochem and Leatherwood 1985). As noted by Wade and Friedrichsen (1979), apparently solitary whales are likely part of a large dispersed group. Sears et al. (1990) reported that most sightings of blue whales in the Gulf of St. Lawrence were of single animals or pairs of animals, but occasionally as many as 20 to 40 animals were also observed. Blue whales, like other rorquals, feed by “gulping” (Pivorunas 1979) almost exclusively on krill (Nemoto and Kawamura 1977).

Female blue whales reach sexual maturity at 5 to 15 years of age (Yochem and Leatherwood 1985). There is usually a two-year interval between calves that involves a 10 to 11 month gestation period (Yochem and Leatherwood 1985). Calving occurs primarily during the winter (Yochem and Leatherwood 1985). Breeding grounds are thought to be located in tropical/subtropical waters; however, exact locations are unknown (Jefferson et al. 2008).

Blue whales spend greater than 94% of their time below the water’s surface (Lagerquist et al. 2000). Not much is known about blue whale diving behavior in the western North Atlantic. In the eastern North Pacific, Croll et al. (2001) determined that blue whales dived to an average of 140.0 m (S.D.=±46.01 m) and for 7.8 min (S.D.=±1.89 min) when foraging and to 67.6 m (S.D.=±51.46 m) and for 4.9 min (S.D.=±2.53 min) when not foraging. However, dives deeper than 300 m have been recorded from tagged individuals (Calambokidis et al. 2003).

Acoustics and Hearing—Blue and fin whales produce calls with the lowest frequency and highest source levels of all cetaceans. Blue whales produce both long- and short-duration calls: one set of vocalizations are typically long, patterned low-frequency sounds with durations up to 36 sec (Thomson and Richardson 1995) repeated every 1 to 2 min (Mellinger and Clark 2003). Their frequency range is 12 to 400 Hz, with dominant energy in the infrasonic range at 12 to 25 Hz (Ketten 1998a; Mellinger and Clark 2003). These calls are presented in series and are referred to as “songs.” Short-duration sounds are transient, frequency-modulated (“B”-type) or frequency-constant (“A”-type) calls that have a higher frequency range and shorter duration than song notes and also more often sweep down in frequency (Di Iorio et al. 2005; Rankin et al. 2005). Short-duration sounds appear to be common; however, they are underrepresented in the literature (Rankin et al. 2005). Short-duration sounds are less than 5 sec (A-type) or about 11 sec (B-type) in duration (Di Iorio et al. 2005; Rankin et al. 2005) and are high-intensity, broadband (858±148 Hz) pulses (Di Iorio et al. 2005). Source levels of blue whale vocalizations are up to 188 dB re 1 μPa-m (Ketten 1998a; Moore 1999; McDonald et al. 2001). During the Magellan II Sea Test (at-sea exercises designed to test systems for antisubmarine warfare) off the coast of California in 1994, blue whale vocalization source levels at 17 Hz were estimated in the range of 195 dB re 1 μPa-m (Aburto et al. 1997). Vocalizations of blue whales appear to vary among geographic areas (Rivers 1997), with clear differences in call structure suggestive of separate populations for the western and eastern regions of the North Pacific (Stafford et al. 2001). Blue whale sounds in the North Atlantic have been confirmed to have different characteristics (i.e., frequency, duration, and repetition) than those recorded in other parts of the world (Mellinger and Clark 2003; Berchok et al. 2006). Additionally from the North Atlantic blue whales, Mellinger and Clark (2003) present data on two tonal signals – one sound with slightly shorter duration than A or B type calls and a second call type with an inflection and frequenc range up to 70 Hz followed by a return to 25 Hz. Stafford et al. (2005) recorded the highest calling rates when blue whale prey was closest to the surface during its vertical migration.

While no data on hearing ability for this species are available, Ketten (1997) hypothesized that mysticetes have acute infrasonic hearing.

- Sperm Whale *(Physeter macrocephalus)*

Description—The sperm whale is the largest toothed whale species. Adult females can reach 12 m in length, while adult males measure as much as 18 m in length (Jefferson et al. 1993). The head is large (comprising about one-third of the body length) and squarish. The lower jaw is narrow and underslung. The blowhole is located at the front of the head and is offset to the left (Rice 1989). Sperm whales are brownish gray to black in color with white areas around the mouth and often on the belly. The flippers are relatively short, wide, and paddle-shaped. There is a low rounded dorsal hump and a series of bumps on the dorsal ridge of the tailstock (Rice 1989). The surface of the body behind the head tends to be wrinkled (Rice 1989).

Status—Sperm whales are classified as endangered under the ESA (NMFS 2006d) although as a species, the sperm whale is not immediately threatened (Reeves et al. 2003). Due to ESA listing, this is a strategic stock (Waring et al. 2008). The current combined best estimate of sperm whale abundance from Florida to the Bay of Fundy in the western North Atlantic is 4,804 individuals (Waring et al. 2008). The minimum population estimate for the western North Atlantic sperm whale is 3,539 (Waring et al. 2008). Stock structure for sperm whales in the North Atlantic is unknown (Dufault et al. 1999). No critical habitat is designated for this species.

Habitat Associations—Sperm whale distribution can be variable but is generally associated with waters over the continental shelf edge, continental slope, and offshore waters (CETAP 1982; Hain et al. 1985; Smith et al. 1996; Waring et al. 2001; Davis et al. 2002). Rice (1989) noted a strong offshore association by sperm whales. Most tagged sperm whales in the Gulf of Mexico showed a strong association with the continental slope and submarine canyons (Mate 2003). In addition, several individuals traveled offshore into waters with a bottom depth greater than 3,000 m (Mate 2003). However, on the southwestern and eastern Scotian Shelf and in the northern Gulf of California, adult males are reported to consistently inhabit shallow waters of 100 m or less (Whitehead et al. 1992; Scott and Sadove 1997; Croll et al. 1999; Garrigue and Greaves 2001). Worldwide, females rarely enter shallow waters over the continental shelf (Whitehead 2003).

Sperm whale densities have been correlated with high secondary productivity and steep underwater topography (Jaquet and Whitehead 1996). Sperm whales are frequently found in certain geographic areas which whalers learned to exploit (e.g., whaling “grounds” such as the Azores Islands) (Townsend 1935). These “whaling grounds” are usually correlated with areas of increased primary productivity caused by upwelling (Jaquet et al. 1996). Sperm whales in the Gulf of Mexico aggregate along the continental slope in or near cyclonic (cold-core) eddies (Biggs et al. 2000; Davis et al. 2002). These eddies are mesoscale features which produce upwelling of nutrients that enhance local plankton growth (Wormuth et al. 2000). Data from the Gulf of Mexico suggest that sperm whales adjust their movements to stay in or near these cold-core eddies (Davis et al. 2002), which demonstrate that sperm whales can shift their movements in response to prey density.

Off the eastern U.S., sperm whales are found in regions of pronounced horizontal temperature gradients, such as along the edges of the Gulf Stream and within warm-core rings (Waring et al. 1993; Jaquet et al. 1996; Griffin 1999). Fritts et al. (1983) reported sighting sperm whales associated with the Gulf Stream. It is likely that these features are regions of favorable oceanographic conditions to aggregate prey. Waring et al. (2003) conducted a deepwater survey south of Georges Bank in 2002 and examined fine-scale habitat use by sperm whales. Sperm whales were located in waters characterized by SSTs of 23.2º to 24.9º C and bottom depths of 325 to 2,300 m (Waring et al. 2003).

Distribution—Sperm whales are found from tropical to polar waters in all oceans of the world between approximately 70°N and 70°S (Rice 1998). Females use a subset of the waters where males are regularly found. Females are normally restricted to areas with SST greater than approximately 15°C, whereas males, and especially the largest males, can be found in waters as far poleward as the pack ice with temperatures close to 0° (Rice 1989). The thermal limits on female distribution correspond approximately to the 40° parallels (50° in the North Pacific; Whitehead 2003). Photo-identification data analyzed by Jaquet et al. (2003) revealed that seven female sperm whales moved into the Gulf of California from the Galápagos Islands, traveling up to 3,803 km; these are among the longest documented movements for female sperm whales.

Sperm whales are the most-frequently sighted whale seaward of the continental shelf off the eastern U.S. (CETAP 1982; Kenney and Winn 1987; Waring et al. 1993). In Atlantic EEZ waters, sperm whales appear to have a distinctly seasonal distribution (CETAP 1982; Scott and Sadove 1997). In winter, sperm whales are primarily concentrated east and northeast of Cape Hatteras. However, in spring, the center of concentration shifts northward to off Delaware and Virginia and is generally widespread throughout the central MAB and southern Georges Bank. Summer distribution is similar to spring but also includes the area northeast of Georges Bank and into the Northeast Channel region as well as shelf waters south of New England. Fall sperm whale occurrence is generally south of New England over the continental shelf, with a remaining contingent over the continental shelf break in the MAB. Despite these seasonal shifts in concentration, no movement patterns affect the entire stock (CETAP 1982). Although concentrations shift depending on the season, sperm whales are generally distributed in Atlantic EEZ waters year-round.

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—There are a number of historical stranding and whaling records of sperm whales within and adjacent to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Moore 1953; Caldwell et al. 1971; Winn et al. 1979). In fact, sperm whales in the 1800s were frequently taken by whaling boats on the Charleston Grounds off Charleston, South Carolina during January (Townsend 1935). Whaling records suggest an offshore distribution of sperm whales off the southeastern U.S., over the Blake Plateau, and into deep waters (Schmidly 1981). Occurrence of sperm whales in the OPAREA is likely underrepresented in Figures B-5-1 and B-5-2 due to the sparse survey effort in offshore waters of this region, particularly during the winter when North Atlantic right whale survey effort is concentrated in nearshore waters where sperm whales are not generally found. Sperm whales are generally expected to occur near the shelf break and seaward past the eastern boundary of the OPAREA throughout the year. Gulf Stream features are thought to be high-use habitat for sperm whales because they are regions of enhanced productivity (Waring et al. 1992). Occurrence in this region is likely influenced by the path of the Gulf Stream as well as the eddies formed near the Charleston Bump.

Behavior and Life History—Female sperm whales form highly-social groups, while large males typically occur singly or in pairs, at times joining adult female groups for breeding (Whitehead 2003; Coakes and Whitehead 2004). Female and immature sperm whales form groups that move together in a coordinated fashion over several days. Mean group size is approximately 20 to 30 individuals, although significant variation exists; 1 to 19 individuals (mean of 6) per group were observed in The Bahamas (Dunphy-Daly and Claridge 2005). For a review of sperm whale social organization, see Whitehead and Weilgart (2000) and Whitehead (2003). Mating behavior is observed from winter through summer and calving occurs from spring through fall; however, the location of specific breeding grounds is unknown. Gestation lasts 14 to 15 months, lactation is approximately two years, and the typical interbirth interval is four to seven years. Sperm whales prey on large mesopelagic squids and other cephalopods, as well as demersal fishes and benthic invertebrates (Fiscus and Rice 1974; Rice 1989; Clarke 1996).

Sperm whales forage during deep dives that routinely exceed a depth of 400 m and a duration of 30 min (Watkins et al. 2002). They are capable of diving to depths of over 2,000 m with durations of over 60 min (Watkins et al. 1993). Sperm whales spend up to 83% of daylight hours underwater (Jaquet et al. 2000; Amano and Yoshioka 2003). Males do not spend extensive periods of time at the surface (Jaquet et al. 2000). In contrast, females spend prolonged periods of time at the surface (1 to 5 hrs daily) without foraging (Whitehead and Weilgart 1991; Amano and Yoshioka 2003). An average dive cycle consists of about a 45 min dive with a 9 min surface interval (Watwood et al. 2006). The average swimming speed is estimated to be 0.7 m/sec (Watkins et al. 2002). Dive descents for tagged individuals average 11 min at a rate of 1.52 m/sec, and ascents average 11.8 min at a rate of 1.4 m/sec (Watkins et al. 2002). North Atlantic sperm whales primarily forage at depths of 500 to 1,100 m but may also take prey in waters as shallow as 300 m (Palka and Johnson 2007).

Acoustics and Hearing—Sperm whales are highly vocal and produce short-duration (generally less than 3 sec), broadband clicks at varying repetition rates that are used for communication and echolocation. These clicks range in frequency from 0.1 to 30 kHz, with dominant frequencies between the 2 to 4 kHz and 10 to 16 kHz ranges (Thomson and Richardson 1995). Generally, most of the acoustic energy is present at frequencies below 4 kHz, although diffuse energy up to 20 kHz has been reported (Thode et al. 2002). The source levels can be up to 236 dB re 1 µPa-m (Møhl et al. 2003). Thode et al. (2002) suggested that the acoustic directivity (angular beam pattern) from sperm whales must range between 10 and 30 dB in the 5 to 20 kHz region. Zimmer et al. (2005a) employed a three-dimensional beam pattern away to confirm the bent-horn hypothesis for the production of regular clicks: early recordings were unable to confirm the directivity of these pulsed sounds which led to the assumption that sperm whales did not echolocate like smaller odontocetes (Watkins 1980). Data from tagged whales in the Ligurian Sea show that sperm whale clicks are composed of three components with differing characteristics, all generated by the phonic lips (below the blowhole) and very directional, thus confirming that these clicks are used in echolocation for foraging (Zimmer et al. 2005a). The clicks of neonatal sperm whales are very different from those of adults. Neonatal clicks are of low-directionality, long-duration (2 to 12 ms), low-frequency (dominant frequencies around 0.5 kHz) with estimated source levels between 140 and 162 dB re 1 µPa-m rms, and are hypothesized to function in communication with adults (Madsen et al. 2003). Source levels from adult sperm whales' highly directional (possible echolocation), short (100 µs) clicks have been estimated up to 236 dB re 1 µPa-m rms (Møhl et al. 2003). Creaks (rapid sets of clicks) are heard most-frequently when sperm whales are engaged in foraging behavior in the deepest portion of their dives with intervals between clicks and source levels being altered during these behaviors (Miller et al. 2004; Laplanche et al. 2005). It has been shown that sperm whales may produce clicks during 81% of their dive period, specifically 64% of the time during their descent phases (Watwood et al. 2006). In addition to producing clicks, sperm whales in some regions like Sri Lanka and the Mediterranean Sea have been recorded making what are called trumpets at the beginning of dives just before commencing click production (Teloni 2005). The estimated source level of one of these low intensity sounds (trumpets) was estimated to be 172 dB re 1 µPa at 1 m (Teloni et al. 2005).

When sperm whales are socializing, they tend to repeat series of group-distinctive clicks (codas), which follow a precise rhythm and may last for hours (Watkins and Schevill 1977). Codas are shared between individuals of a social unit and are considered to be primarily for intragroup communication (Weilgart and Whitehead 1997; Rendell and Whitehead 2004). Recent research in the South Pacific suggests that in breeding areas the majority of codas are produced by mature females (Marcoux et al. 2006). Coda repertoires have also been found to vary geographically and are categorized as dialects, similar to those of killer whales (Weilgart and Whitehead 1997; Pavan et al. 2000). For example, significant differences in coda repertoire have been observed between sperm whales in the Caribbean and those in the Pacific (Weilgart and Whitehead 1997).

The anatomy of the sperm whale's inner and middle ear indicates an ability to best hear high-frequency to ultrasonic frequency sounds (Ketten 1992). They may also possess better low-frequency hearing than other odontocetes, although not as low as many baleen whales (Ketten 1992). The auditory brainstem response (ABR) technique used on a stranded neonatal sperm whale indicated it could hear sounds from 2.5 to 60 kHz with best sensitivity to frequencies between 5 and 20 kHz (Ridgway and Carder 2001).

- West Indian Manatee *(Trichechus manatus)*

Description—The West Indian manatee is a rotund, slow-moving animal, which reaches a maximum length of 3.9 m (Jefferson et al. 1993). The manatee has a small head, a squarish snout containing two semi-circular nostrils at the front, and fleshy mobile lips. The tail is horizontal, rounded, and paddle-shaped. The body is gray or gray-brown and is covered with fine hairs that are sparsely distributed. The back of larger animals is often covered with distinctive scars from boat propeller cuts (Moore 1956).

***Status*—**West Indian manatees are classified as endangered under the ESA. West Indian manatees around Florida are divided into four relatively discrete management units, each representing a significant portion of the species' range (USFWS 2007). West Indian manatees found along the Atlantic U.S. coast make up two subpopulations: the Atlantic Region and the Upper St. Johns River Region (USFWS 2007). Manatees from the western coast of Florida make up the other two subpopulations: the Northwest Region and the Southwest Region (USFWS 2007). West Indian manatee numbers are assessed by aerial surveys during the winter months when manatees are concentrated in warm-water refuges. Minimum population estimates for each management unit are as follows: Atlantic coast (1,447 individuals), Upper St. Johns River (112 individuals), Northwest (377 individuals), and Southwest (1,364 individuals) (USFWS 2007). The best minimum population estimate for manatees throughout Florida is approximately 3,300 individuals based on the statewide count at warm-water refuges and adjacent areas in January 2001 (USFWS 2007). Although surveys have been conducted since 2001, the 2001 estimate is still considered the best minimum population estimate because the weather conditions for that survey were particularly ideal (USFWS 2007). The most recent aerial surveys were conducted between January 30 and February 1, 2007 and produced a preliminary abundance estimate of 2,812 individuals for Florida (1,400 along Florida's Gulf Coast and 1,412 on the Atlantic coast) (FMRI 2007).

In 1976, critical habitat was designated for the West Indian manatee in Florida (USFWS 1976). The designated area included all of the West Indian manatee's known range at that time (including waterways throughout about one-third to one-half of Florida) (Laist 2002). This critical habitat designation has been infrequently used or referenced since it is broad in description, treats all waterways the same, and does not highlight any particular areas (Laist 2002). There are two types of manatee protection areas in the state of Florida: manatee sanctuaries and manatee refuges (USFWS 2001; USFWS 2002b; USFWS 2002a). Manatee sanctuaries are areas where all waterborne activities are prohibited while manatee refuges are areas where activities are permitted but certain waterborne activities may be regulated (USFWS 2001; USFWS 2002b; USFWS 2002a).

Habitat Associations—Sightings of West Indian manatees are restricted to warm freshwater, estuarine, and extremely nearshore coastal waters. However manatees may be seen farther from shore where shallow waters extend farther from land (Beck 2006c). Shallow seagrass beds close to deep channels are preferred feeding areas in coastal and riverine habitats (e.g., Lefebvre et al. 2000; USFWS 2001). West Indian manatees are frequently located in secluded canals, creeks, embayments, and lagoons near the mouths of coastal rivers and sloughs. These areas serve as locations of feeding, resting, mating, and calving (USFWS 2001). Estuarine and brackish waters, including natural and artificial freshwater sources, are typical West Indian manatee habitat (USFWS 2001). West Indian manatees rarely occur in offshore waters, where abundant seagrass and vegetation are not available (Reynolds III and Odell 1991). When ambient water temperatures drop below about 20°C in fall and winter, migration to natural or anthropogenic warm-water sources takes place (Irvine 1983). Effluents from sewage treatment plants are important sources of fresh water for West Indian manatees in the Caribbean Sea (e.g., Rathbun et al. 1985). West Indian manatees are also observed drinking fresh water that flows out of the mouths of rivers (Lefebvre et al. 2001) and out of offered hoses at harbors (e.g., Fertl et al. 2005).

Distribution—West Indian manatees occur in warm, subtropical, and tropical waters of the western North Atlantic Ocean, from the southeastern U.S. to Central America, northern South America, and the West Indies (Lefebvre et al. 2001). West Indian manatees occur along both the Atlantic and Gulf coasts of Florida. West Indian manatees are sometimes reported in the Florida Keys; these sightings are typically in the upper Florida Keys, with some reports as far south as Key West (Moore 1951b, 1951a; Beck 2006c). During winter months, the West Indian manatee population confines itself to inshore and inner shelf waters of the southern half of peninsular Florida and to springs and warm water outfalls (e.g., power plant cooling water outfalls) extending into southern Georgia. As water temperatures rise in spring, West Indian manatees disperse from winter aggregation areas. West Indian manatees are frequently reported in coastal rivers of Georgia and South Carolina during warmer months (Lefebvre et al. 2001).

Historically, West Indian manatees were likely restricted to southernmost Florida during winter and expanded their distribution northward during summer. However, industrial development has made warm-water refuges available (e.g., power plant effluent plumes), and the introduction of several exotic aquatic plant species has expanded the available food supply. These factors have enabled an expansion of West Indian manatee winter range (USFWS 2001; Laist and Reynolds III 2005).

Several patterns of seasonal movement are known along the Atlantic coast ranging from year-round residence to long-distance migration (Deutsch et al. 2003). Individuals may be highly consistent in seasonal movement patterns and show strong fidelity to warm and winter ranges, both within and across years (Deutsch et al. 2003).

Although West Indian manatees are expected to inhabit nearshore areas, a few individuals have been sighted offshore. A West Indian manatee hit by a boat in Louisiana was determined to be an individual previously photographed in the Tampa Bay, FL area (Fertl et al. 2005). A West Indian manatee photographed in January 2000 in the Bahamas was matched to a West Indian manatee sighted as a juvenile in 1994 on the west coast of Florida, indicating the potential for offshore movements (Reid 2000). Reynolds and Ferguson (1984) reported sightings of two West Indian manatees 61 km northeast of the Dry Tortugas Islands, an area not considered to be part of this species' range. "Mo," a radio-tagged West Indian manatee that had been raised in captivity and released at Crystal River, FL, wandered offshore and then apparently drifted south with offshore currents and was "rescued" in deepwater 37 km northwest of the Dry Tortugas (Lefebvre et al. 2001). Another West Indian manatee was also repeatedly sighted in the northern Gulf of Mexico, well over 100 km offshore in waters with a bottom depth of about 1,524 m (Fertl et al. 2005).

West Indian manatees off the east coast of Florida are also known to occasionally make their way farther offshore. For example, "Xoshi" was radio-tagged and released in Biscayne Beach in March 1999. A few weeks later, she was "rescued" 60 km offshore of Port Canaveral, FL in the Gulf Stream (Reid et al. 1991). Perhaps the most famous long distance movements of any West Indian manatee were exhibited by the animal known as "Chessie," who gained fame when he spent an extended period of time in a Chesapeake tributary in 1994. In 1995, Chessie swam to Rhode Island in the summer, returned to Florida for the winter, and traveled north again to Virginia where he was seen in 1996 (USGS 2001). In early September 2001, "Chessie" was once again sighted in Virginia (USGS 2001). More recently, in August 2006, a West Indian manatee was sighted in waters off Rhode Island, Massachusetts, and in the Hudson River in New York City (Anonymous 2006; Beck 2006a).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—There are few observations of manatees beyond the very nearshore waters. Generally, West Indian manatees are found in estuarine and inshore waters. Although sighting and tracking data indicate that some animals have ventured offshore (Reynolds III and Ferguson 1984; Lefebvre et al. 2001; Beck 2006b), these sightings are generally considered extralimital occurrences. In winter, three sightings within the OPAREA and additional sightings inshore of the boundary verify the presence of manatees in the area (Figure B-6). The northern Banana River and Indian River Lagoon, just south and inshore of the OPAREA boundary where a few opportunistic sightings are recorded, are important habitats for manatees in winter and spring (USFWS 2001). Although there is only one record for the other seasons south and inshore of the OPAREA boundary, manatees may occur throughout the freshwater, estuarine, and nearshore coastal waters in or near the OPAREA during warmer months and also in association with natural and warm-water refuges during colder months; however, any occurrences in nearshore waters of this region would be considered rare.

Behavior and Life History—Two important aspects of the West Indian manatee's physiology influence behavior: nutrition and metabolism. West Indian manatees have an unusually low metabolic rate and a high thermal conductance that leads to energetic stress in winter (Bossart et al. 2002), which is somewhat ameliorated by migration and aggregation in warm-water refuges (Hartman 1979).

West Indian manatees are not gregarious and are most often observed alone (Hartman 1979). West Indian manatees in Florida do, however, aggregate in large, unorganized groups around warm-water sources during the cooler months (Hartman 1979). The only significant social bonds are between mother and calf during the first one to two years of the calf's life (Reeves et al. 1992). There is no defined breeding season; calves are born year-round after an 11-month gestation (O'Shea et al. 1995). West Indian manatees do not reproduce in consecutive years, except in rare instances (Kendall et al. 2004).

West Indian manatees are herbivores that feed opportunistically on a wide variety of submerged, floating, and emergent vegetation, but they also preferentially ingest invertebrates (USFWS 2001; Courbis and Worthy 2003; Reich and Worthy 2006).

Acoustics and Hearing—West Indian manatees produce a variety of squeak-like sounds that have a typical frequency range of 0.6 to 12 kHz (dominant frequency range from 2 to 5 kHz), and last 0.25 to 0.5 s (Steel and Morris 1982; Thomson and Richardson 1995; Niezrecki et al. 2003). Recently, vocalizations below 0.1 kHz have also been recorded (Frisch and Frisch 2003; Frisch 2006). Overall, West Indian manatee vocalizations are considered relatively stereotypic, with little variation between isolated populations examined (i.e., Florida and Belize; Nowacek et al. 2003). However, vocalizations have been newly shown to possess nonlinear dynamic characteristics (e.g., subharmonics or abrupt, unpredictable transitions between frequencies), which could aid in individual recognition and mother-calf communication (Mann et al. 2006). Average source levels for vocalizations have been calculated to range from 90 to 138 dB re: 1 µPa (average: 100 to 112 dB re: 1 µPa) (Nowacek et al. 2003; Phillips et al. 2004).

Behavioral data on two animals indicate an underwater hearing range of approximately 0.4 to 46 kHz, with best sensitivity between 16 and 18 kHz (Gerstein et al. 1999), while earlier electrophysiological studies indicated best sensitivity from 1 to 1.5 kHz (Bullock et al. 1982).

3.1.2.2 Non-Threatened and Non-Endangered Marine Mammal Species of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA

In addition to those listed under the ESA, there are 28 marine mammal species with confirmed occurrence in or immediately adjacent to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA: two baleen whales, 24 toothed whales, and two seal species. There are multiple records for the occurrence of many of the marine mammal species in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. However, there may be just one record for some species. For species with few records, this may be a result of difficulty in species identification, lack of survey effort, or extralimital occurrences of the species. For instance, any sightings of hooded and harbor seals in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA represent extralimital occurrences of individuals that strayed from their normal distribution.

- Minke Whale *(Balaenoptera acutorostrata)*

Description—Minke whales are small rorquals; adults reach lengths of just over 9 m (Jefferson et al. 1993). The head is pointed, and the median head ridge is prominent. The dorsal fin is tall (for a baleen whale), falcate, and located about two-thirds of the way back from the snout tip (Jefferson et al. 1993). The minke whale is dark gray dorsally, white beneath, with streaks of intermediate shades on the sides (Stewart and Leatherwood 1985). The most distinctive light marking is a brilliant white band across each flipper of Northern Hemisphere minke whales (Stewart and Leatherwood 1985).

Status—There are four recognized populations in the North Atlantic Ocean: Canadian East Coast, West Greenland, central North Atlantic, and northeastern North Atlantic (Donovan 1991). Minke whales off the eastern U.S. are considered to be part of the Canadian East Coast stock which inhabits the area from the eastern half of the Davis Strait to 45ºW and south to the Gulf of Mexico (Waring et al. 2008). The best estimate of abundance for the Canadian East Coast stock is 3,312 individuals; the minimum population estimate is 1,899 individuals (Waring et al. 2008).

Habitat Associations—Off eastern North America, minke whales generally remain in waters over the continental shelf, including inshore bays and estuaries (Mitchell and Kozicki 1975; Murphy 1995;

Mignucci-Giannoni 1998). However, based on whaling catches and global surveys, there is an offshore component to minke whale distribution (Slijper et al. 1964; Horwood 1990; Mitchell 1991). Mignucci-Giannoni (1998) found minke whales in the northeastern Caribbean distributed equally over the continental shelf and near the shelf break but less frequently offshore. Naud et al. (2003) found that minke whales are more frequent in the presence of underwater sand dunes in the Mingan Islands of the Gulf of St. Lawrence. This may be due to the minke whale's staple prey species, capelin and sand lance, favoring these underwater sand dunes. Minke whales have also been known to preferentially feed in highly concentrated prey areas within fine-scale eddies; these eddies form around islands during tidal retreat (Johnston et al. 2005a). Ingram et al. (2007) reported minke whales feeding in areas with headland wakes in the Bay of Fundy (functioning similarly to create areas of upwelling and fronts that can aggregate prey).

Distribution—Minke whales are distributed in polar, temperate, and tropical waters (Jefferson et al. 1993); they are less common in the tropics than in cooler waters. This species is more abundant in New England waters rather than the mid-Atlantic (Hamazaki 2002). The southernmost sighting in recent NMFS shipboard surveys was of one individual offshore of the mouth of Chesapeake Bay, in waters with a bottom depth of 3,475 m (Mullin and Fulling 2003).

There appears to be a strong seasonal component to minke whale distribution (Horwood 1990). Spring and summer are periods of relatively widespread and minke whale occurrence off the northeastern U.S. During fall in New England waters, there are fewer minke whales but during early winter (January and February), the species appears to be largely absent from this area (Waring et al. 2008). However, there are occasional observations in the western Gulf of Maine and in waters southeast of Cape Cod (CETAP 1982). Minke whales off the U.S. Atlantic Coast apparently migrate offshore and southward in winter (Mitchell 1991; Mellinger et al. 2000). Clark and Gagnon (2004) reported that based on acoustics data, minke whales move clockwise through the Caribbean from winter into spring. Minke whales are known to occur during the winter months (November through March) in the western North Atlantic from Bermuda to the West Indies (Winn and Perkins 1976; Mitchell 1991; Mellinger et al. 2000).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—Minke whales are assumed to have a similar life history as the other rorquals, with seasonal offshore/inshore movements and a population shift north into summer feeding grounds. Minke whales are only occasionally found, and on a widely-scattered basis, in the mid-Atlantic area (CETAP 1982), although minke whales have been detected by passive acoustic means in the southern portion of the western North Atlantic in all seasons except summer (Clark 1995). There is a more common occurrence farther north of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Minke whales off the U.S. Atlantic Coast apparently migrate offshore and southward in winter (Mitchell 1991; Mellinger et al. 2000); sightings have been reported in deep waters during this time of year (Slijper et al. 1964; Mitchell 1991). Sparse sighting data in the OPAREA is likely in part due to limited survey coverage in this region, especially during the fall months.

    - Winter—Winter is the only season with minke whale observations in this OPAREA. Most sightings are recorded near the shelf break and in upper slope waters during this time of year (Figures B-7-1 and B-7-2). The model output predicts small areas of occurrence in shelf waters and along the shelf break off Georgia and Florida although low observation numbers may be limiting the utility of the model. Increased occurrence appears to be associated with steeply sloping areas, where primary productivity is likely enhanced. Minke whales have been detected (by passive acoustic means) in the southern portion of the western North Atlantic during this time of year (Clark 1995). Although this species is considered rare within the OPAREA, any occurrences would be expected in shelf and deep waters of the OPAREA during winter based on habitat associations.

    - Spring—There are no observations of minke whales during spring (Figures B-7-1 and B-7-2). During this time of year, minke whales are expected to occur primarily north of the OPAREA but could occur in shelf and deep waters of the OPAREA based on habitat associations.

Minke whales have been detected (by passive acoustic means) in the southern portion of the western North Atlantic during this time of year (Clark 1995). This would account for early- or late-migrating individual minke whales.

- Summer—There are no observations of minke whales during summer (Figures B-7-1 and B-7-2). During the summer, minke whales are expected to occur at higher latitudes on their feeding grounds and are not expected in the OPAREA. A summer stranding reported by Schmidly (1981) in South Carolina is considered atypical.

- Fall—There are no observations of minke whales during fall (Figures B-7-1 and B-7-2). During this time of year, minke whales are expected to occur primarily north of the OPAREA but could occur in shelf and deep waters of the OPAREA based on habitat associations. Minke whales have been detected (by passive acoustic means) in the southern portion of the western North Atlantic during this time of year (Clark 1995). This would account for early- or late-migrating individual minke whales.

Behavior and Life History—Minke whales are sighted alone or in small groups of two to three individuals, although aggregations of up to 400 sometimes occur in high-latitude areas (Perrin and Brownell 2002). Mating is thought to occur in October to March but has never been observed (Stewart and Leatherwood 1985). Location of specific breeding grounds is unknown though it is thought to be in areas of low latitude (Jefferson et al. 2008). Minke whales reach sexual maturity at an age of five to seven years (Stewart and Leatherwood 1985; Olsen and Sunde 2002). Gestation lasts 10 months and is followed by a four to five month lactation period (Stewart and Leatherwood 1985).

Minke whales are lunge-feeding “gulpers,” like the other rorquals (Pivorunas 1979). In the western North Atlantic, minke whales feed primarily on schooling fish, such as sand lance, capelin, herring, and mackerel (Kenney et al. 1985), as well as copepods and krill (Horwood 1990). Minke whales tend to feed on whatever food source is most abundant in a given area.

Diel and seasonal variation in surfacing rates are documented for this species; this is probably due to changes in feeding patterns (Stockin et al. 2001). Dive durations of 7 to 380 sec are recorded in the eastern North Pacific and the eastern North Atlantic (Lydersen and Øritsland 1990; Stern 1992; Stockin et al. 2001). Mean time at the surface averages 3.4 sec (S.D.=$\pm$0.3 sec) (Lydersen and Øritsland 1990). Stern (1992) described a general surfacing pattern of minke whales consisting of about four surfacings interspersed by short-duration dives averaging 38 sec. After the fourth surfacing, there was a longer duration dive ranging from approximately 2 to 6 min.

Acoustics and Hearing— Recordings of minke whale sounds indicate the production of both high- and low-frequency sounds (range: 0.06 to 20 kHz) (Beamish and Mitchell 1973; Winn and Perkins 1976; Thomson and Richardson 1995; Mellinger et al. 2000). Minke whale sounds have a dominant frequency range of 0.06 to greater than 12 kHz, depending on sound type (Thomson and Richardson 1995; Edds-Walton 2000). Mellinger et al. (2000) described two basic forms of pulse trains: a “speed-up” pulse train (dominant frequency range: 0.2 to 0.4 kHz) with individual pulses lasting 40 to 60 msec, and a less common “slow-down” pulse train (dominant frequency range: 50 to 0.35 kHz) lasting for 70 to 140 msec. Source levels for this species have been estimated to range from 151 to 175 dB re 1 μPa-m (Ketten 1998a). Gedamke et al. (2001) recorded a complex and stereotyped sound sequence (“star-wars vocalization”) in the Southern Hemisphere that spanned a frequency range of 50 Hz to 9.4 kHz. Broadband source levels between 150 and 165 dB re 1 μPa-m were calculated for this star-wars vocalization. “Boings” recorded in the North Pacific have many striking similarities to the star-wars vocalization in both structure and acoustic behavior. “Boings” are produced by minke whales and are suggested to be a breeding display, consisting of a brief pulse at 1.3 kHz followed by an amplitude-modulated call with greatest energy at 1.4 kHz, with slight frequency modulation over a duration of 2.5 sec (Rankin and Barlow 2005).

While no empirical data on hearing ability for this species are available, Ketten (1997) hypothesized that mysticetes are most adapted to hear low to infrasonic frequencies.

- Bryde's Whale *(Balaenoptera edeni/brydei)*

Description—Bryde's whales can be easily confused with sei whales. Bryde's whales usually have three prominent ridges on the rostrum (other rorquals generally have only one) (Jefferson et al. 1993). The Bryde's whale's dorsal fin is tall and falcate and generally rises abruptly out of the back. Adults can be up to 15.5 m in length (Jefferson et al. 1993).

It is not clear how many species of Bryde's whales exist but genetic analyses suggest at least two species (Rice 1998; Kato 2002). The taxonomy of the baleen whale group formerly known as sei and Bryde's whales is currently confused and highly controversial (see Reeves et al. 2004 for a recent review). It is clear that there are at least three species in this group, the antitropically-distributed sei whale, the tropically-distributed standard form Bryde's whale (probably referable to *Balaenoptera brydei*), and the "dwarf Bryde's whale" (probably referable to *Balaenoptera edeni*), which inhabits tropical waters of the Indo-Pacific (Yoshida and Kato 1999). However, the nomenclature is still not resolved due to questions about the affinities of the type specimens of *Balaenoptera brydei* and *Balaenoptera edeni*.

Status—No abundance information is currently available for Bryde's whales in the western North Atlantic (Waring et al. 2008).

Habitat Associations—Bryde's whales are found both offshore and near the coasts in many regions. In the Gulf of Mexico, all Bryde's whale sightings have been near the shelf break in and near DeSoto Canyon (Mullin et al. 1994c; Davis and Fargion 1996b; Jefferson and Schiro 1997; Davis et al. 1998; Davis et al. 2000). Off eastern Venezuela, Bryde's whales are often sighted in the shallow waters between Isla Margarita and Peninsula de Araya, as well as into waters where there is a steep slope, such as the Cariaco Trench (Notarbartolo di Sciara 1982). Along the Brazilian coast, distribution and seasonal movements of the Bryde's whale appear to be influenced by the behavior, distribution, and abundance of Brazilian sardine (*Sardinella brasiliensis*) schools which approach the coast to spawn in shallow waters (Zerbini et al. 1997). The Bryde's whale appears to associate with waters between approximately 15° and 20°C (Yoshida and Kato 1999). Bryde's whales are more restricted to tropical and subtropical waters than other rorquals.

Distribution—Bryde's whales are found in subtropical and tropical waters and generally do not range north of 40° in the northern hemisphere or south of 40° in the southern hemisphere (Jefferson et al. 1993). In the Atlantic, Bryde's whales are distributed in the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea south to Cabo Frio, Brazil (Cummings 1985; Mullin et al. 1994c). There is a known concentration of this species in Venezuelan waters (Notarbartolo di Sciara 1982). There are occasional reported sightings of this species in the rest of the Caribbean (Erdman 1970; Mignucci-Giannoni 1989, 1996). Long migrations are not typical of Bryde's whales although limited shifts in distribution toward and away from the equator in winter and summer, respectively, have been observed (Cummings 1985).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—There are insufficient data to model the predicted occurrence of this species. The Bryde's whale has been reported to occur in both deep and shallow waters globally. There is a general lack of knowledge of this species, particularly in the North Atlantic, although records support a tropical occurrence for the species here (Mead 1977). Figure B-8 includes records of Bryde's and sei whales. Although no confirmed sightings of Bryde's whales have been recorded in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, strandings are recorded in this region throughout the year (Figure B-8). Bryde's whales are expected to occur seaward of the shoreline throughout the OPAREA year-round.

Behavior and Life History—This species is generally seen alone or in pairs (Tershy 1992), although they can be seen in groups of up to 10 individuals (Miyazaki and Wada 1978). The Bryde's whale does not have a well-defined breeding season in most areas, and locations of specific breeding areas are unknown. There is a two-year reproductive cycle which is composed of 11 to 12 months gestation, 6 months of lactation, and 6 months of resting (Kato 2002). Bryde's whales are lunge-feeders, feeding on schooling fish and krill (Nemoto and Kawamura 1977; Siciliano et al. 2004; Anderson 2005). Cummings (1985) reported that Bryde's whales may dive as long as 20 min.

Acoustics and Hearing—Bryde's whales produce low frequency tonal and swept calls similar to those of other rorquals (Oleson et al. 2003). Calls vary regionally, yet all but one of the call types have a fundamental frequency below 60 Hz; they last from 0.25 sec to several seconds; and they are produced in extended sequences (Oleson et al. 2003). Heimlich et al. (2005) recently described five tone types.

While no data on hearing ability for this species are available, Ketten (1997) hypothesized that mysticetes have acute infrasonic hearing.

- Pygmy and Dwarf Sperm Whales *(Kogia breviceps* and *K. sima,* respectively*)*

Description—There are two species of *Kogia*: the pygmy sperm whale and the dwarf sperm whale. Recent genetic evidence suggests that there might be an Atlantic and a Pacific species of dwarf sperm whales; however, more data are needed to make such a determination (Chivers et al. 2005).

Pygmy sperm whales have a shark-like head with a narrow, underslung lower jaw (Jefferson et al. 1993). The flippers are set high on the sides near the head. The small falcate dorsal fin of the pygmy sperm whale is usually set well behind the midpoint of the back (Jefferson et al. 1993). The dwarf sperm whale is similar in appearance to the pygmy sperm whale, but it has a larger dorsal fin that is generally set nearer the middle of the back (Jefferson et al. 1993). The dwarf sperm whale also has a shark-like profile but with a more pointed snout than the pygmy sperm whale. Pygmy and dwarf sperm whales reach body lengths of around 3.8 m and 2.7 m, respectively (Jefferson et al. 2008).

Dwarf and pygmy sperm whales are difficult for the inexperienced observer to distinguish from one another at sea, and sightings of either species are often categorized as *Kogia* spp. The difficulty in identifying pygmy and dwarf sperm whales is exacerbated by their avoidance reaction towards ships and change in behavior towards approaching survey aircraft (Würsig et al. 1998). Based on the cryptic behavior of these species and their small group sizes (much like that of beaked whales), as well as similarity in appearance, it is difficult to identify these whales to species in sightings at sea.

Status—There is currently no information to differentiate Atlantic stock(s) (Waring et al. 2008). The best estimate of abundance for both species combined in the western North Atlantic is 395 individuals; the minimum population estimate is 285 individuals (Waring et al. 2008). Species-level abundance estimates cannot be calculated due to uncertainty of species identification at sea (Waring et al. 2008).

Habitat Associations—*Kogia* spp. occur in waters along the continental shelf break and over the continental slope (e.g., Baumgartner et al. 2001; McAlpine 2002). Data from the Gulf of Mexico suggest that *Kogia* spp. may associate with frontal regions along the continental shelf break and upper continental slope, where higher epipelagic zooplankton biomass may enhance the densities of squids, their primary prey (Baumgartner et al. 2001). Dwarf sperm whales in The Bahamas were found in waters with bottom depths ranging from 94 to 883 m (MacLeod et al. 2004). In Hawaiian waters, this species was found in waters up to 3,200 m in depth (Baird 2005).

There appear to be some habitat association differences between the two species of the genus *Kogia*. Several studies have suggested that pygmy sperm whales live mostly beyond the continental shelf break, while dwarf sperm whales tend to occur closer to shore, often over the outer continental shelf (Rice 1998; Wang et al. 2002; MacLeod et al. 2004). In particular, work on strandings and feeding habits in South Africa has indicated this (Ross 1979; Plön et al. 1998; Plön 2004). However, after first suggesting this, Ross (1984) later indicated that the difference may be more in terms of a difference between juveniles and adults, with juveniles being more coastal, perhaps in both species. Unfortunately, most studies are based on stranding records, which do not provide the best evidence on habitat selection, and they often appear to ignore Ross' (1984) reinterpretation of his own earlier conclusion.

More reliable is a conclusion that the pygmy sperm whale is more temperate, and the dwarf sperm whale more tropical since it is based at least partially on live sightings at sea from a large database from the eastern tropical Pacific (Wade and Gerrodette 1993). There, the pygmy sperm whale was not seen in truly tropical waters south of the southern tip of Baja California, but the dwarf sperm whale was common in those waters. This idea is also supported by the distribution of strandings in South American and South African waters (Muñoz-Hincapié et al. 1998; Plön 2004). Also, in the western tropical Indian Ocean, the dwarf sperm whale was much more common than the pygmy sperm whale, which is consistent with this hypothesis (Ballance and Pitman 1998).

In conclusion, although the dwarf sperm whale does appear to prefer more tropical waters, the exact habitat associations of the two species are not well-known. Distribution at sea in relation to the shelf break requires further study. Both species have been seen in both continental shelf and more oceanic waters. It may be that earlier conclusions were misleading due to biases caused by the inadequacy of stranding data, the lack of incorporation of age class effects, and possibly the local adaptation of each species to the conditions of specific areas.

Distribution—Both *Kogia* species apparently have a worldwide distribution in tropical and temperate waters (Jefferson et al. 1993). In the western Atlantic Ocean, stranding records of the pygmy sperm whale have been documented as far north as the northern Gulf of St. Lawrence, New Brunswick, and parts of eastern Canada (Piers 1923; Baird et al. 1996; McAlpine et al. 1997; Measures et al. 2004) and as far south as Colombia and Brazil (de Carvalho 1967; Geise and Borobia 1987; Muñoz-Hincapié et al. 1998). Pygmy sperm whales are also found in the Gulf of Mexico (Gunter et al. 1955; Hysmith et al. 1976; Baumgartner et al. 2001) and in the Caribbean (MacLeod and Hauser 2002).

The northern range of the dwarf sperm whale is largely unknown; however, multiple strandings have been recorded on the eastern coast of the U.S. as far north as North Carolina (Hohn et al. 2006) and Virginia (Potter 1979; Morgan et al. 2002). Records of strandings and incidental captures indicate the dwarf sperm whale may range as far south as the Northern Antilles in the North Atlantic and Brazil in the South Atlantic (Muñoz-Hincapié et al. 1998). Dwarf sperm whales also occur in the Caribbean (Caldwell and Caldwell 1973; Cardona-Maldonado and Mignucci-Giannoni 1999) and the Gulf of Mexico (Jefferson and Schiro 1997; Davis et al. 2002).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—*Kogia* spp. generally occur along the continental shelf break and over the continental slope (e.g., Baumgartner et al. 2001; McAlpine 2002). There are very few sighting records of *Kogia* in the OPAREA which is likely due to limited survey coverage throughout most of the deep waters of this region (especially during winter and fall) as well as their generally cryptic behavior and avoidance reactions away from ships (Figures B-9-1 and B-9-2). The model output is not likely representative of *Kogia* distribution due to the sparse sightings. However, the occurrence of *Kogia* in the OPAREA is recognized based on the large number of strandings recorded throughout the year (Figures B-9-1 and B-9-2). Based on known habitat associations, *Kogia* spp. are anticipated to occur seaward of the shelf break throughout the OPAREA year-round.

Behavior and Life History—Kogia species have small group sizes (mean group size is usually two individuals; Willis and Baird 1998). Dwarf sperm whales have been reported in groups of up to 10 individuals (Nagorsen 1985). A recent study of Kogia in South Africa has determined that these two species have a much earlier attainment of sexual maturity and shorter life span than other similarly-sized toothed whales (Plön 2004). Sexual maturity is attained at around four years in both sexes of both species. However, the onset of sexual maturity in males has been reported as early as 2.5 and 2.6 years for pygmy sperm whales and dwarf sperm whales, respectively (Plön 2004). Births have been recorded between December and March for dwarf sperm whales in South Africa (Plön 2004). However, the specific breeding season and locations are unknown.

Kogia spp. feed on cephalopods and, less often, on deep-sea fishes and shrimps (Caldwell and Caldwell 1989; McAlpine et al. 1997; Willis and Baird 1998; Santos et al. 2006). Willis and Baird (1998) reported that whales of the genus *Kogia* make dives of up to 25 min. Dive times ranging from 15 to 30 min (with 2 min surface intervals) have been recorded for a dwarf sperm whale in the Gulf of California (Breese and Tershy 1993). Median dive times of around 11 min are documented for *Kogia* (Barlow 1999). A satellite-tagged pygmy sperm whale released off Florida was found to make long nighttime dives, presumably indicating foraging on squid in the deep scattering layer (DSL) (Scott et al. 2001). Most sightings of *Kogia* are brief; these whales are often difficult to approach and they sometimes actively avoid aircraft and vessels (Würsig et al. 1998).

Acoustics and Hearing—There is little published information on sounds produced by *Kogia* spp, although they are categorized as non-whistling smaller toothed whales. Recently, free-ranging dwarf sperm whales off La Martinque (Lesser Antilles) were recorded producing clicks at 13 to 33 kHz with durations of 0.3 to 0.5 sec (Jérémie et al. 2006). The only sound recordings for the pygmy sperm whale are from two stranded individuals: a stranded individual being prepared for release in the western North Atlantic emitted clicks of narrowband pulses with a mean duration of 119 µsec, interclick intervals between 40 and 70 msec, centroid frequency of 129 kHz, peak frequency of 130 kHz, and apparent source level of up to 175 dB re 1 µPa-m (Madsen et al. 2005a). Another individual found stranded in Monterey Bay produced echolocation clicks ranging from 60 to 200 kHz, with a dominant frequency of 120 to 130 kHz (Marten 2000; Ridgway and Carder 2001).

No information on sound production or hearing is available for the dwarf sperm whale. An ABR study completed on a stranded pygmy sperm whale indicated a hearing range of 90 to 150 kHz (Ridgway and Carder 2001).

- <u>Beaked Whales</u> (Family Ziphiidae)

Description—Based upon available data, five beaked whales are known to occur in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA: Cuvier's beaked whales and four members of the genus *Mesoplodon* (True’s, Gervais', Blainville's, and Sowerby's beaked whales) which are nearly indistinguishable at sea (Coles 2001). The Smithsonian Institution is currently developing an online system to facilitate species-level identification of stranded individuals (Allen et al. 2005). They are presented in one summary due to the paucity of biological information available for each species and the difficulty of species-level identifications for *Mesoplodon* species. *Mesoplodon* spp. are also often termed ‘mesoplodonts.’

Cuvier's beaked whales are relatively robust compared to other beaked whale species. Male and female Cuvier's beaked whales may reach 7.5 and 7.0 m in length, respectively (Jefferson et al. 1993). This species has a relatively short beak, which along with the curved jaw, resembles a goose beak. The body is spindle shaped, and the dorsal fin and flippers are small which is typical for beaked whales. A useful diagnostic feature is a concavity on the top of the head, which becomes more prominent in older individuals. Cuvier’s beaked whales are dark gray to light rusty brown in color, often with lighter color around the head. In adult males, the head and much of the back can be light gray to white in color, and they also often have many light scratches and circular scars on the body (Jefferson et al. 1993).

All mesoplodonts have a relatively small head, large thorax and abdomen, and short tail. Mesoplodonts all have a pair of throat grooves on the ventral side of the head on the lower jaw. Mesoplodonts are characterized by the presence of a single pair of sexually dimorphic tusks, which erupt only in adult males. MacLeod (2000b) suggested that the variation in tusk position and shape acts as a species recognition signal for these whales.

Blainville's beaked whales are documented to reach a maximum length of around 4.7 m (Jefferson et al. 1993). Adults are blue-gray on their dorsal side and white below (Jefferson et al. 1993). The lower jaw of the Blainville's beaked whale is highly arched, and massive flattened tusks extend above the upper jaw in adult males (Jefferson et al. 1993).

Gervais' beaked whale males reach lengths of at least 4.5 m, while females reach at least 5.2 m (Jefferson et al. 1993). These beaked whales are dark gray dorsally with a light-gray belly. Adult males have one tooth evident per side, one-third of the distance from the snout tip to the corner of the mouth (Jefferson et al. 1993).

Sowerby's beaked whale males and females attain lengths of at least 5.5 and 5.1 m, respectively (Jefferson et al. 1993). The beak is long and distinct. The melon also has a hump on the top. Two small teeth are evident along the middle of the lower jaw in adult males. Coloration has generally been described as charcoal gray dorsally and lighter below (Jefferson et al. 1993). Gray spotting has been noted on adults, although younger animals may also display a lesser degree of spotting (Jefferson et al. 1993).

True's beaked whales reach lengths of slightly over 5 m and weigh up to 1,400 kg (Jefferson et al. 1993). Coloration is generally similar to other mesoplodonts. Newborns are likely between 2.0 and 2.5 m long. A pair of teeth is located at the tip of the lower jaw.

Status—The western North Atlantic stocks of the Cuvier's beaked whale and of *Mesoplodon* spp. are considered strategic stocks due to the uncertainty of stock size and the potential for human-induced mortality and serious injury because of acoustic activities (Waring et al. 2008). The best estimate of mesoplodont and Cuvier's beaked whale abundance combined in the western North Atlantic is 3,513 individuals, and the minimum population estimate is 2,154 (Waring et al. 2008). A recent study of global phylogeographic structure of Cuvier's beaked whales suggested that some regions show a high level of differentiation (Dalebout et al. 2005). However, it was not possible for this study to discern finer-scale population differences within the North Atlantic (Dalebout et al. 2005). It is not possible to obtain any additional species-specific estimates due to the difficulty of individual identification at sea.

Habitat Associations—Little is known about beaked whale habitat associations. Distribution of *Mesoplodon* spp. in the North Atlantic may relate to water temperature (MacLeod 2000b). The Blainville's and Gervais' beaked whales occur in warmer southern waters, in contrast to Sowerby's and True's beaked whales that are more northern (MacLeod 2000a).

World-wide, beaked whales normally inhabit continental slope and deep oceanic waters (> 200 m) (Waring et al. 2001; Cañadas et al. 2002; Pitman 2002; MacLeod et al. 2004; Ferguson et al. 2006; MacLeod and Mitchell 2006). Beaked whales are only occasionally reported in waters over the continental shelf (Pitman 2002). In the southeast U.S., beaked whales are seen in waters with a mean bottom depth ranging from 642 to 4,480 m (Ward et al. 2005). Ward et al. (2005) presented information on their attempts to characterize and predict beaked whale habitat in the southeast U.S. using habitat models. Waters deeper than 500 m were identified as potential beaked whale habitat; however, this model was based on a small sample size so few inferences should be drawn from these results (Ward et al. 2005). Further work is needed for developing this promising technique.

In the eastern tropical Pacific, beaked whales are found in waters over the continental slope to the abyssal plain, ranging from well-mixed to highly-stratified (Ferguson et al. 2006). As mentioned by MacLeod and D'Amico (2006), little survey effort has been conducted in the abyssal regions of the North Atlantic, so generalizations about species habitat associations are difficult to make. As noted by MacLeod and D'Amico (2006), in many locales, occurrence patterns have been linked to physical features, in particular, the continental slope, canyons, escarpments, and oceanic islands. The authors noted that more research was needed to determine how surface and deepwater currents, levels of local productivity, and distribution of prey species may influence habitat usage.

Beaked whale abundance off the eastern U.S. may be highest in association with the Gulf Stream and the warm-core rings it develops (Waring et al. 1992). In summer, the continental shelf break off the northeastern U.S. is primary habitat (Waring et al. 2001). Waring et al. (2003) conducted a deepwater survey south of Georges Bank in 2002 and examined fine-scale beaked whale habitat use. Beaked whales were located in waters with a mean sea-surface temperature of 20.7° to 24.9ºC and a bottom depth of 500 to 2,000 m (Waring et al. 2003). Sightings of beaked whales have been made near Oceanographer Canyon (southern Georges Bank), between the 200 and 2,000 m isobaths, and did not coincide with a thermal gradient (Waring et al. 1992).

Cuvier's and Blainville's beaked whales are generally sighted in waters with a bottom depth greater than 200 m and are frequently recorded at bottom depths greater than 1,000 m (e.g., Ritter and Brederlau 1999; Gannier 2000; MacLeod et al. 2004; Claridge 2005; Ferguson 2005). At oceanic islands, both Baird et al. (2004) and MacLeod et al. (2004) reported that Cuvier's beaked whales are found in deeper waters than Blainville's beaked whales. Most ecological information on Blainville's beaked whales comes from the northern Bahamas (MacLeod et al. 2004; Claridge 2005; MacLeod and Zuur 2005). According to Claridge (2005), Blainville's beaked whales in the northern Bahamas are found along shelf waters of canyon walls and in deeper offshore waters. Most time is spent along these walls where bottom depths are less than 800 m (Claridge 2003; MacLeod et al. 2004; MacLeod and Zuur 2005). Adults in The Bahamas are found most often over the continental slope, while subadults are found in even deeper waters (Claridge 2005).

Tove (1995) reported sighting a True's beaked whale off North Carolina well within the Gulf Stream in roughly 1,100 m of water along a steep portion of the continental shelf. Weir et al. (2004) sighted True's beaked whales in the eastern North Atlantic in waters with a bottom depth of 2,200 to 4,100 m.

Distribution—Cuvier's beaked whales are the most widely-distributed of the beaked whales and are present in most regions of all major oceans (Heyning 1989; MacLeod et al. 2006). This species occupies almost all temperate, subtropical, and tropical waters, as well as subpolar and even polar waters in some areas (MacLeod et al. 2006).

The ranges of most mesoplodonts are poorly known. In the western North Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico, these animals are known mostly from strandings (Mead 1989; MacLeod 2000a; MacLeod et al. 2006). Blainville's beaked whales are thought to have a continuous distribution throughout tropical, subtropical, and warm-temperate waters of the world's oceans; they occasionally occur in cold-temperate areas (MacLeod et al. 2006). The Gervais' beaked whale is restricted to warm-temperate and tropical Atlantic waters with records throughout the Caribbean Sea (MacLeod et al. 2006). The Gervais' beaked whale is the most frequently-stranded beaked whale in the Gulf of Mexico (Würsig et al. 2000). The Sowerby's beaked whale is endemic to the North Atlantic; this is considered to be more of a temperate species (MacLeod et al. 2006). The stranding on the Gulf coast of Florida is considered to be extralimital (Jefferson and Schiro 1997; MacLeod et al. 2006). In the western North Atlantic, confirmed strandings of True's beaked whales are recorded from Nova Scotia to Florida and also in Bermuda (MacLeod et al. 2006). There is also a sighting made southeast of Hatteras Inlet, North Carolina (note that the latitude provided by Tove is incorrect) (Tove 1995).

The continental shelf margins from Cape Hatteras to southern Nova Scotia were recently identified as known "key areas" for beaked whales in a global review by MacLeod and Mitchell (2006).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—Beaked whales are deepwater species. Based on the cryptic behavior and similarity in appearance of these species, it is often difficult to identify beaked whales to species during surveys. Cuvier's, Gervais', and Blainville's beaked whales are the only beaked whale species expected to occur regularly in the OPAREA, with possible rare occurrences of True's beaked whales. Sowerby's beaked whales are considered extralimital to the OPAREA. There are few sighting records of beaked whales in the OPAREA which is likely due to limited survey coverage throughout most of the deep waters of the OPAREA (Figures B-10-1 and B-10-2), as well as the generally cryptic behavior of these animals and avoidance reactions away from observation platforms. The model output is not likely representative of beaked whale distribution due to the sparse sightings. However, the occurrence of beaked whales in the OPAREA is recognized based on the large number of strandings recorded throughout the year (Figures B-10-1 and B-10-2). As mentioned previously, Ward et al. (2005) used habitat models to predict beaked whale habitat and identified waters deeper than 500 m as potential beaked whale habitat in the southeast U.S. Occurrence for this group of species is expected seaward of the shelf break throughout the OPAREA based on known habitat associations.

Behavior and Life History—Most beaked whales are difficult to approach and tend to actively avoid aircraft and vessels (Würsig et al. 1998; Barlow et al. 2006). Beaked whale life histories are poorly known. Reproductive biology is generally undescribed, and the locations of specific breeding grounds are unknown.

Observed beaked whale group sizes normally range from one to four individuals. Cuvier's beaked whales and *Mesoplodon* spp. are generally found alone or in groups of up to 15 individuals (Mullin et al. 2004; MacLeod and D'Amico 2006). A survey off North Carolina recorded Cuvier's beaked whale group sizes of three to eight individuals, with groups composed of either mature females or mature females accompanied by a single mature male (Cresswell and Walker 2002). Blainville's beaked whales are found in groups ranging from one to 11 individuals (Mullin et al. 2004; MacLeod and D'Amico 2006). As noted by MacLeod and D'Amico (2006), the Blainville's beaked whale is one of the few beaked whale species for which there is some good information on group composition, based on studies/observations from the northeastern Bahamas. Groups there are usually comprised of females, calves, and/or juveniles (Claridge 2005; MacLeod and D'Amico 2006). Some groups also include a mature or subadult male (Claridge 2005; MacLeod and D'Amico 2006).

All species of beaked whales probably feed at or close to the bottom in deep oceanic waters, taking whatever suitable prey they encounter or feeding on whatever species are locally abundant (MacLeod et al. 2003). Stomach content analyses of captured and stranded individuals suggest beaked whales are deep divers that feed by suction on mesopelagic fishes, squids, and deepwater benthic invertebrates (Heyning 1989; Heyning and Mead 1996; Santos et al. 2001; MacLeod et al. 2003). However, based on recent tagging data, Baird et al. (2005b) suggested that feeding might actually occur in mid-water rather than only at or near the bottom. Stomach contents of Cuvier's beaked whales rarely contain fishes, while stomach contents of mesoplodonts frequently do (MacLeod et al. 2003). Mesoplodonts occupy a separate ecological niche from Cuvier's beaked whales by feeding on smaller squids which allows for the different beaked whale species to coexist (MacLeod et al. 2003). Earlier reports likely overestimated the importance of squids in the diet of two beaked whale species since squid beaks are more resistant to digestion than fish otoliths (Gannon et al. 1998b).

Dives range from those near the surface where the animals are still visible to long, deep dives. Dive durations for *Mesoplodon* spp. are typically over 20 min (Barlow 1999; Baird et al. 2005b). Tagged Cuvier's beaked whale dive durations as long as 87 min and dive depths of up to 1,990 m have been recorded (Baird et al. 2004; Baird et al. 2005b). Tagged Blainville's beaked whale dives have been recorded to 1,408 m and lasting as long as 54 min (Baird et al. 2005b). Baird et al. (2005b) reported that several aspects of diving were similar between Cuvier's and Blainville's beaked whales: (1) both dove for 48 to 68 minutes to depths greater than 800 m, with one long dive occurring on average every two hours; (2) ascent rates for long/deep dives were substantially slower than descent rates, while during shorter dives there were no consistent differences; and (3) both spent prolonged periods of time (66 to 155 min) in the upper 50 m of the water column. Both species make a series of shallow dives after a deep foraging dive to recover from oxygen debt; average intervals between foraging dives have been recorded as 63 min for Cuvier's beaked whales and 92 min for Blainville's beaked whales (Tyack et al. 2006).

Acoustics and Hearing—Sounds recorded from beaked whales are divided into two categories: whistles and pulsed sounds (clicks); whistles likely serve a communicative function (Johnson et al. 2004; Madsen et al. 2005b) and pulsed sounds are important in foraging and/or navigation (MacLeod and D'Amico 2006; Tyack et al. 2006). Whistle frequencies are about 2 to 12 kHz, while pulsed sounds range in frequency from 300 Hz to 135 kHz; however, as noted by MacLeod and D'Amico (2006), higher frequencies may not be recorded because of equipment limitations. Whistles recorded from free-ranging Cuvier's beaked whales off Greece ranged in frequency from 8 to 12 kHz (Manghi et al. 1999), while pulsed sounds had a narrow peak frequency of 13 to 17 kHz, lasting 15 to 44 sec in duration (Frantzis et al. 2002). Short whistles and chirps from a stranded subadult Blainville's beaked whale ranged in frequency from slightly less than 1 to almost 6 kHz (Caldwell and Caldwell 1971a). MacLeod (1999) suggested that beaked whales use frequencies of between 300 Hz and 129 kHz for echolocation, and between 2 and 10 kHz, and possibly up to 16 kHz, for social communication.

Studies incorporating DTAGs (miniature sound and orientation recording tag) attached to Blainville's beaked whales in the Canary Islands and Cuvier's beaked whales in the Ligurian Sea recorded high-frequency echolocation clicks (duration: 175 μs for Blainville's and 200 to 250 μs for Cuvier's) with center frequencies at around 42 kHz and dominant frequency ranges from about 20 to over 40 kHz (limit of recording system was 48 kHz); these clicks were recorded at depths over 200 m with a hydrophone array (Johnson et al. 2004; Madsen et al. 2005b; Zimmer et al. 2005b; Tyack et al. 2006). The source level of the Blainville's beaked whales' clicks were estimated to range from 200 to 220 dB re 1 μPa-m (Johnson et al. 2004), while they were 214 dB re 1 μPa-m for the Cuvier's beaked whale (Zimmer et al. 2005b). Concurrent anatomical rotational and behavioral data (also collected with the DTAG) indicated that beaked whales use a series of regular clicks (Interclick Interal of 0.2 – 0.4 s, ~250 μs) during the search phase of foraging and shift to a 'buzz' click (i.e., increased repetition rate from regular clicks to ~250 clicks/s) to capture prey (Johnson et al. 2004; Johnson et al. 2008). It is believed that beaked whales employ a dynamic echolocation system during prey detection and capture that is somewhat different from other odontocetes that feed in more shallow water (Johnson et al. 2008).

From anatomical examination of their ears, it is presumed that beaked whales are predominantly adapted to best hear ultrasonic frequencies (MacLeod 1999; Ketten 2000). Beaked whales have well-developed semi-circular canals (typically for vestibular function but may function differently in beaked whales) compared to other cetacean species, and they may be more sensitive than other odontocetes to low-frequency sounds (MacLeod 1999; Ketten 2000). Ketten (2000) remarked about how beaked whale ears (via computerized tomography [CT] scans of Cuvier's, Blainville's, Sowerby's, and Gervais' beaked whale heads) have anomalously well-developed vestibular elements and heavily reinforced (large bore, strutted) Eustachian tubes; she also noted that these structures might impart special resonance and acoustic sensitivities. The only direct measure of beaked whale hearing is from a stranded juvenile Gervais' beaked whale using auditory evoked potential techniques. The hearing range was 5 to 80 kHz, with greatest sensitivity at 40 and 80 kHz (Cook et al. 2006).

- Rough-Toothed Dolphin *(Steno bredanensis)*

Description—This is a relatively robust dolphin with a cone-shaped head and no demarcation between the melon and beak (Jefferson et al. 1993). The "forehead" slopes smoothly from the blowhole onto the long, narrow beak (Reeves et al. 2002). The rough-toothed dolphin has large flippers that are set far back on the sides and a prominent falcate dorsal fin (Jefferson et al. 1993). The body is dark gray with a prominent narrow dorsal cape that dips slightly down onto the side below the dorsal fin. The lips and much of the lower jaw are white, and many individuals have white scratches and spots on the body from cookie-cutter sharks and other rough-toothed dolphins. The rough-toothed dolphin reaches 2.8 m in length (Jefferson et al. 1993).

Status—No abundance estimate is available for rough-toothed dolphins in the western North Atlantic (Waring et al. 2008).

Habitat Associations—The rough-toothed dolphin is regarded as an offshore species that prefers deep waters; however, it can occur in shallow waters as well (e.g., Gannier and West 2005). In the

Gulf of Mexico, the rough-toothed dolphin occurs primarily over the deeper waters off the continental shelf (Davis et al. 1998; Mullin et al. 2004). Likewise, stranded and rehabilitated individuals were released with tags off the Atlantic Coast of Florida in March 2005; they moved in waters as deep as 4,000 to 5,000 m in bottom depth (Manire and Wells 2005). The rough-toothed dolphin may regularly frequent coastal waters and areas with shallow bottom depths. Off the Florida Panhandle, this species can be found over the continental shelf (Fulling et al. 2003; Mullin et al. 2004). Additionally, there are reports of rough-toothed dolphins over the continental shelf in shallow waters around La Gomera, Canary Islands (Ritter 2002), Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands (Mignucci-Giannoni 1998), the Bahamas (Banick and Borger 2005), and in coastal waters off Brazil, including even in a lagoon system (Flores and Ximenez 1997; Lodi and Hetzel 1999).

Tagging data for this species from the Gulf of Mexico and western North Atlantic provide important information on habitat associations. Four stranded rough-toothed dolphins were rehabilitated and released (three with satellite-linked transmitters) in 1998 off the Gulf Coast of Florida (R. Wells et al. 1999). Water depth at tracking locations of these individuals averaged 195 m off the Florida Panhandle (R. Wells et al. 1999). In March 2005, Mote Marine Laboratory released three dolphins from the 2004 mass stranding at Hutchinson Island on the Atlantic Coast of Florida. The dolphins were tagged with satellite-linked transmitters and released southeast of Fort Pierce in waters with a bottom depth of about 110 m (Manire and Wells 2005). The animals moved within the Gulf Stream and parallel to the continental shelf off Florida, Georgia, and South Carolina, in waters with a bottom depth of 400 to 800 m. They later moved northeast into waters with a bottom depth greater than 4,000 m (Manire and Wells 2005). In April 2005, two dolphins from the March 2005 mass stranding in the Florida Keys were released by the Marine Animal Rescue Society off Miami, one with a satellite-linked transmitter (Wells 2007). The tagged animal moved north as far as Charleston, SC, before returning to the Miami area, remaining in relatively shallow waters (Wells 2007). During May 2005, seven more rough-toothed dolphins (stranded in the Florida Keys in March 2005 and rehabilitated) were tagged (two with satellite, the others with VHF) and released by the Marine Mammal Conservancy in the Florida Keys (Wells 2007). During an initial period of apparent disorientation in the shallow waters west of Andros Island, they continued to the east, then moved north through Crooked Island Passage, and paralleled the West Indies (Wells 2007). The last signal placed them northeast of the Lesser Antilles (Wells 2007). During September 2005, two more individuals (stranded with the previous group in the Florida Keys in March 2005 and rehabilitated) were satellite-tagged and released east of the Florida Keys by the Marine Mammal Conservancy (Wells 2007). The tagging data demonstrated that these individuals proceeded south to a deep trench close to the north coast of Cuba (Wells 2007).

When compared to individuals tagged and released in the northeast Gulf of Mexico in 1998, rough-toothed dolphins tagged and released off the Atlantic coast of Florida in 2005 demonstrated an association with cooler (and deeper) waters (Manire and Wells 2005). The Gulf dolphins remained in waters with an average SST of 25°C. The individuals from the Atlantic remained in waters that averaged 19°C. In the eastern tropical Pacific, rough-toothed dolphins are found where surface water temperatures are generally above 25°C (Perrin and Walker 1975).

Distribution—Rough-toothed dolphins are found in tropical to warm-temperate waters globally, rarely ranging north of 40°N or south of 35°S (Miyazaki and Perrin 1994). Rough-toothed dolphins occur in low densities throughout the eastern tropical Pacific where surface water temperatures are generally above 25°C (Perrin and Walker 1975). This species is not a commonly encountered species in the areas where it is known to occur (Jefferson 2002c). Not many records for this species exist from the western North Atlantic, but they indicate that this species occurs from Virginia south to Florida, the Gulf of Mexico, the West Indies, and along the northeastern coast of South America (Leatherwood et al. 1976; Würsig et al. 2000).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—There are insufficient data to model the predicted occurrence of this species in the OPAREA. Four sightings in the OPAREA and a few stranding records (Figure B-11) confirm the potential occurrence of this species here throughout the year. Although this species is considered rare within the OPAREA, any occurrences would be expected seaward of the shelf break year-round based on the sighting records and known associations of this species with deep waters. One sighting recorded in shallow waters (<30 m) on the continental shelf is considered atypical since rough-toothed dolphins are not expected to occur in such shallow waters. This sighting was made during an aerial survey, and identification of species from aircraft is often difficult. It is possible that this is actually a misidentified sighting of a bottlenose dolphin.

Behavior and Life History—Small groups of 10 to 20 rough-toothed dolphins are most common, with herds up to 50 animals reported (Miyazaki and Perrin 1994; Reeves et al. 1999b). Group sizes in the Gulf of Mexico range in size from 3 to 48 individuals (Mullin et al. 2004). Rough-toothed dolphins often associate with other cetacean species (Miyazaki and Perrin 1994; Nekoba-Dutertre et al. 1999; Ritter 2002; Wedekin et al. 2004). In the eastern tropical Pacific and Gulf of Mexico, rough-toothed dolphins have a tendency to associate with floating objects and *Sargassum* (Pitman and Stinchcomb 2002; Fulling et al. 2003).

Cephalopods and fish, including large fish such as dorado, are prey (Miyazaki and Perrin 1994; Reeves et al. 1999b; Pitman and Stinchcomb 2002). Gannier and West (2005) observed rough-toothed dolphins feeding during the daytime on epipelagic fishes, including flying fishes. Rough-toothed dolphins stranded on the Atlantic coast of Florida during a mass stranding event in May 1961 were found to have blanket octopus (*Tremoctopus violaceus*) and *Sargassum* in their stomachs (Layne 1965).

Seasonality and location of rough-toothed dolphin breeding is unknown. Female rough-toothed dolphins reach sexual maturity between four and six years of age; males attain sexual maturity between 5 and 10 years (Mead et al. 2001). Rough-toothed dolphins may stay submerged for up to 15 min (Miyazaki and Perrin 1994) and are known to dive as deep as 150 m (Manire and Wells 2005).

Acoustics and Hearing—The rough-toothed dolphin produces a variety of sounds, including broadband echolocation clicks and whistles. Echolocation clicks (duration <250 microseconds [μsec]) typically have a frequency range of 0.1 to 200 kHz, with the dominant energy found at 25 kHz (Miyazaki and Perrin 1994; Yu et al. 2003; Chou 2005). Whistles (duration <1 sec) have a wide frequency range of 0.3 to greater than 24 kHz, but most of the energy can be found in the 2 to 14 kHz range (Miyazaki and Perrin 1994; Yu et al. 2003).

Auditory evoked potential (AEP) measurements were performed on six individuals involved in a mass stranding event on Hutchinson Island, Florida in August 2004 (Cook et al. 2005). The rough-toothed dolphin can detect sounds between 5 and 80 kHz and is most likely capable of detecting frequencies much higher than 80 kHz (Cook et al. 2005).

- <u>Bottlenose Dolphin</u> (*Tursiops truncatus*)

Description—Bottlenose dolphins are large and robust, varying in color from light gray to charcoal. The genus *Tursiops* is named for its short, stocky snout that is distinct from the melon (Jefferson et al. 1993). The dorsal fin is tall and falcate. There are striking regional variations in body size, with adult lengths from 1.9 to 3.8 m (Jefferson et al. 1993).

The taxonomy of the genus *Tursiops* has been debated for decades and continues to be contested. Two *Tursiops* species are currently recognized: the bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) and Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops aduncus*) (Rice 1998; IWC 2005). It is likely that additional species-level taxonomy will be recognized based on future genetic and morphometric analyses (Natoli et al. 2004). Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphins are found in coastal Indo-Pacific tropics (Curry and Smith 1997), while all other forms are considered to be bottlenose dolphins.

Scientists currently recognize several nearshore (coastal) and an offshore morphotype or form of bottlenose dolphins, which are distinguished by external and cranial morphology, hematology, diet, and parasite load (Duffield et al. 1983; Hersh and Duffield 1990; Mead and Potter 1995; Curry and

Smith 1997). There is also a clear genetic distinction between nearshore and offshore bottlenose dolphins worldwide (Curry and Smith 1997; Hoelzel et al. 1998). It has been suggested that the two forms should be considered different species (Curry and Smith 1997; Kingston and Rosel 2004), but no official taxonomic revisions have yet been made.

Status—Two forms of bottlenose dolphins are recognized in the western North Atlantic Ocean: nearshore (coastal) and offshore morphotypes. Each morphotype is referred to as a stock by NMFS. There is a complex mosaic that comprises the coastal stock (NMFS-SEFSC 2001; Waring et al. 2008). The NMFS recognizes the mosaic to be seven discrete management units (MU) (or stocks) that have distinct spatial and temporal components: Northern Migratory MU, Northern North Carolina MU, Southern North Carolina MU, South Carolina MU, Georgia, Northern Florida MU, and Central Florida MU (Waring et al. 2008). Five MUs occur during the summer (May through October) in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA: Southern North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Northern Florida, and Central Florida. During the winter (November through April), the Northern Migratory, Northern North Carolina, and Southern North Carolina MUs overlap along the coast of North Carolina and are referred to as the Winter Mixed MU (Waring et al. 2008).

The NMFS provides abundance estimates for each MU by season. During the summer, the best estimates of abundance for the Northern Migratory, Northern North Carolina, and Southern North Carolina MUs are 17,466, 7,079, and 3,786 individuals, respectively (Waring et al. 2008). The minimum population estimates of these MUs during summer are 14,621, 4,083, and 1,987 individuals, respectively. During the winter, an estimated 16,913 individuals (13,558 minimum estimate) make up the Winter Mixed MU (Waring et al. 2008). The best/minimum year-round estimates of abundance for the other MUs are as follows: South Carolina (2,325/1,963), Georgia (2,195/1,716), Northern Florida (448/unknown), and Central Florida (10,652/unknown) (Waring et al. 2008). The MUs making up the coastal stock are considered depleted under the MMPA and classified as a strategic stock (Waring et al. 2008).

Currently, a single western North Atlantic offshore stock is recognized seaward of 34 km from the U.S. coastline (Waring et al. 2008). The minimum population estimate for this stock is 70,775 individuals; best population estimate is 81,588 individuals (Waring et al. 2008). This stock is not currently considered a strategic stock.

From 1987 to 1988, the annual number of bottlenose dolphins stranded along the eastern U.S. increased tenfold relative to previous years (MMC 2002). This die-off started in the mid-Atlantic region, moved northward and then southward to encompass nearly the entire eastern seaboard from New Jersey to central Florida (MMC 2002). The pattern of strandings was considered evidence for a single coastal migratory stock along the eastern U.S. Analysis of the event suggested that more than half of this stock may have died during the event (MMC 2002). As a result, the coastal stock is considered depleted under the MMPA and classified as a strategic stock. In April 2006, NMFS published a draft Bottlenose Dolphin Take Reduction Plan, to reduce the incidental mortality and serious injury to the Atlantic coastal stocks of bottlenose dolphins in commercial fisheries to below potential biological removal (PBR) levels (NMFS 2006a).

Habitat Associations—The bottlenose dolphin lives in coastal areas of all continents, around many oceanic islands and atolls, and over shallow offshore banks and shoals. There are also oceanic populations that range far from land. Risk of predation and food availability influence bottlenose dolphin habitat use (Shane et al. 1986; Wells et al. 1987; Allen et al. 2001; Heithaus and Dill 2002). Predation risk is determined by the number of predators in an area, the ability of predators and prey to detect each other, and the probability of capture after detection; predation risk can be influenced by a suite of habitat attributes, such as water clarity and depth (Heithaus 2001).

Bays, sounds, and estuaries are high-use habitats for bottlenose dolphins due to their importance as nursery and feeding areas (A.J. Read et al. 2003b); individuals may exhibit either resident or migratory patterns in coastal areas (Kenney 1990; Waring et al. 2008).

The MUs of the coastal morphotype show a temperature-limited distribution, occurring in significantly warmer waters than the offshore stock, and having a distinct northern boundary (Kenney 1990). Recent winter aerial surveys reported a lack of sightings north of Chesapeake Bay, corresponding to water temperatures less than 9.5°C (Waring et al. 2008), and a study of the Chesapeake Bay/Virginia coast area showed a much greater probability of sightings with a SST of 16° to 28° (Armstrong et al. 2005). Surface water temperature may significantly influence seasonal movements of migrating coastal dolphins along the western North Atlantic coast (Barco et al. 1999); these seasonal movements are likely also influenced by movements of prey resources.

The nearshore waters of the Outer Banks serve as winter habitat for coastal bottlenose dolphins (A. Read et al. 2003), particularly for those of the Northern Migratory, Northern North Carolina, and Southern North Carolina MUs. Cape Hatteras represents important habitat for bottlenose dolphins, particularly in winter, as evidenced from concentrations of bottlenose dolphins during recent aerial surveys (Torres et al. 2005).

In the western North Atlantic, the greatest concentrations of the offshore stock are along the continental shelf break (Kenney 1990). Tentative evidence suggests that the offshore stock does not inhabit waters closer than 12 km from shore during summer and 27 km from shore during winter (Garrison and Yeung 2001). During CETAP surveys, offshore bottlenose dolphins generally were distributed between the 200 and 2,000 m isobaths in waters with a mean bottom depth of 846 m from Cape Hatteras to the eastern end of Georges Bank. Geography and temperature also influence the distribution of offshore bottlenose dolphins (Kenney 1990).

Distribution—The overall range of the bottlenose dolphin is worldwide in tropical and temperate waters. This species occurs in all three major oceans and many seas. Dolphins of the genus *Tursiops* generally do not range poleward of 45º, except around the United Kingdom and northern Europe (Jefferson et al. 1993). Climate changes can contribute to range extensions as witnessed in association with the 1982/83 El Niño event when the range of some bottlenose dolphins known to the San Diego, CA area was extended 600 km northward to Monterey Bay (Wells et al. 1990). Bottlenose dolphins continue to occur in Monterey Bay since this El Niño event.

In the western North Atlantic, bottlenose dolphins occur as far north as Nova Scotia and have a relatively continuous distribution southward to Venezuela and Brazil (Wells and Scott 1999). Bottlenose dolphins occur seasonally in estuaries and coastal embayments as far north as Delaware Bay (Kenney 1990) and in waters over the outer continental shelf and inner slope, as far north as Georges Bank (CETAP 1982; Kenney 1990).

Genetic analyses and spatial patterns observed from aerial surveys indicate regional and seasonal distribution differences between the coastal and offshore stocks. North of Cape Hatteras, the coastal stock is thought to be restricted to waters <25 m in depth, while offshore dolphins generally range beyond the 50 m isobath (CETAP 1982; Kenney 1990). Mitochondrial DNA and spatial analyses from dolphins south of Cape Hatteras suggest individuals sighted within 7.5 km of shore are of the coastal form and those beyond 34 km from shore and in waters with a bottom depth greater than 34 m are of the offshore form (Torres et al. 2003). However, Torres et al. (2003) also found an extensive region of overlap between the coastal and offshore stocks between 7.5 and 34 km from shore.

In North Carolina, there is significant overlap between distributions of coastal and offshore dolphins during the summer. North of Cape Lookout, there is a separation of the two stocks by bottom depth; the coastal form occurs in nearshore waters (<20 m deep) while the offshore form is in deeper waters (>40 m deep) (Garrison et al. 2003). However, south of Cape Lookout to northern Florida, there is significant spatial overlap between the two stocks. In this region, coastal dolphins may be found in waters as deep as 31 m and 75 km from shore while offshore dolphins may occur in waters as shallow as 13 m (Garrison et al. 2003). Additional aerial surveys and genetic sampling are required to better understand the distribution of the two stocks throughout the year.

Discrete MUs exhibit seasonal migrations regulated by temperature and prey availability (Torres et al. 2005), traveling as far north as New York in summer and as far south as central Florida in winter (Urian et al. 1999). During the summer, the Northern Migratory MU occurs from the New York/New Jersey border to the Virginia/North Carolina border. The Northern North Carolina MU ranges from the Virginia/North Carolina border to Cape Lookout, North Carolina during the summer months, and the Southern North Carolina MU ranges from Cape Lookout, North Carolina to Murrell's Inlet, South Carolina at this time of year. In the winter months, these three MUs overlap along the coast of North Carolina and southern Virginia (Waring et al. 2008).

Coastal bottlenose dolphins along the western Atlantic coast may exhibit either resident or migratory patterns (Waring et al. 2008). Photo-identification studies support evidence of year-round resident bottlenose dolphin populations in Beaufort and Wilmington, North Carolina (Koster et al. 2000); these are the northernmost documented sites of year-round residency for bottlenose dolphins in the western North Atlantic (Koster et al. 2000). A high rate of exchange occurs between the Beaufort and Wilmington sites as well (Waring et al. 2008). Individuals from the Northern Migratory MU may enter these areas seasonally as well, as evidenced by a bottlenose dolphin tagged in 2001 in Virginia Beach who overwintered in waters between Cape Hatteras and Cape Lookout (NMFS-SEFSC 2001).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—Bottlenose dolphins are abundant in continental shelf and inner slope waters throughout the western North Atlantic (CETAP 1982; Kenney 1990; Waring et al. 2008). The greatest concentrations of offshore animals are along the continental shelf break and between the 200 and 2,000 m isobaths (Kenney 1990). However, the range of offshore bottlenose dolphins may actually extend into deeper waters (R.S. Wells et al. 1999).

  - Winter—This is the season with the most bottlenose dolphin sighting records which is likely a reflection of greater survey effort in nearshore waters during this time of year in association with focused right whale surveys. Sightings are distributed along the entire coastline and are concentrated along the North Atlantic right whale calving grounds which are also where the survey effort is concentrated during this season (Figures B-12-1 and B-12-2). The model output predicts occurrence throughout the shelf waters, along the shelf break, and extending over the continental slope into deep waters near the Blake Escarpment. (Figures B-12-1 and B-12-2). Occurrence in deep waters of the rest of the OPAREA is not well represented in the model output possibly due to the sparse survey effort in offshore waters during this time of year. Bottlenose dolphins are expected to occur throughout the OPAREA.

  - Spring—The model output predicts occurrence throughout much of the shelf waters, along the shelf break, and into upper slope waters of the OPAREA (Figures B-12-1 and B-12-2). An area of increased occurrence appears to extend into deep waters near the Blake Escarpment. This area is probably due to a few sightings recorded in an area with very little survey effort. Bottlenose dolphins are expected to occur throughout the OPAREA.

  - Summer—Compared to the other seasons, the model results for summer show a more widespread occurrence pattern across the shelf and beyond the shelf break (Figures B-12-1 and B-12-2). A small area of increased occurrence is predicted over steep portions of the continental slope which may be due to enhanced primary productivity.

  - Fall—The model output predicts occurrence across the shelf and along the shelf break throughout much of the OPAREA (Figures B-12-1 and B-12-2). As during the winter, sightings in the fall are concentrated along the right whale calving grounds where survey effort is intense in November and December. The absence of predicted occurrence farther offshore in the OPAREA may be biased by the lack of survey effort during this time of year.

Behavior and Life History—Bottlenose dolphins are gregarious and typically found in groups of up to 15 individuals, although groups of 100 or more are reported (Shane et al. 1986; Kerr et al. 2005).

Coastal bottlenose dolphins typically exhibit smaller group sizes than larger forms, as water depth appears to be a significant influence on group size (Shane et al. 1986). Shallow, confined water areas typically support smaller group sizes, some degree of regional site fidelity, and limited movement patterns (Shane et al. 1986; Wells et al. 1987). Semi-open or open habitats often sustain larger group sizes, diminished levels of site fidelity, and wider home ranges (Defran and Weller 1999). This may be due to habitat structure and prey distribution.

Based on photo-identification of dorsal fin shapes and markings (Würsig and Würsig 1977; Würsig and Jefferson 1990), bottlenose dolphins are known to have a fluid social organization (Connor et al. 2000), with individuals forming numerous weak and few strong associations with other individuals. Lasting social bonds occur between mothers and calves; male pair bonds are documented in some resident communities throughout the world (Connor et al. 2000; Owen et al. 2002).

Little is known of offshore bottlenose dolphin behavior as studies of this stock are limited. It is suspected that these animals may range beyond continental slope waters and move between the Atlantic Ocean and Gulf of Mexico (R.S. Wells et al. 1999). Based upon genetic analyses, it is possible that a single worldwide population exists (Curry and Smith 1997).

Along the Atlantic coast of the U.S., where the majority of detailed work on bottlenose dolphins has been conducted, male and female bottlenose dolphins reach physical maturity at 13 years, with females reaching sexual maturity as early as seven years (Mead and Potter 1990). Bottlenose dolphins are flexible in their timing of reproduction. Seasons of birth for bottlenose dolphin populations are likely responses to seasonal patterns of availability of local resources (Urian et al. 1996). Thayer et al. (2003) found bottlenose dolphins in North Carolina to exhibit a strong calving peak in spring, particularly May and June, and a diffuse peak from late spring to early fall. There is a gestation period of one year (Caldwell and Caldwell 1972). Calves are weaned as early as one and a half years of age (Reynolds III et al. 2000), and typically remain with their mothers for a period of three to eight years (Wells et al. 1987), although longer periods are documented (Reynolds III et al. 2000). There are no specific breeding locations for this species.

Bottlenose dolphins are opportunistic feeders that utilize numerous feeding strategies to prey upon a variety of fishes, cephalopods, and shrimps (Shane 1990; Wells and Scott 1999). Along the southeastern U.S., bottlenose dolphins may exploit human fishing effort by feeding in association with shrimp trawlers (Fertl and Leatherwood 1997) or depredating fishing nets (A.J. Read et al. 2003a). Bottlenose dolphins likely detect and orient to fishes by using passive listening (Barros and Myrberg 1987; Gannon and Waples 2004; Gannon et al. 2005). Numerous dietary studies along the southeastern coast have found coastal bottlenose dolphins to prey predominantly on scaenid fishes (Barros and Odell 1990; Gannon and Waples 2004; Fisk et al. 2005); such associations likely result in the numerous documented fishery interactions, as scaenids are targeted by many fisheries (Friedlaender et al. 2001). In North Carolina, bottlenose dolphin diet varies seasonally, although estuarine resident dolphins prey predominantly upon Atlantic croaker while coastal migratory dolphins feed primarily on weakfish (Gannon and Waples 2004). The offshore stock preys on pelagic squids and fishes, especially myctophids (Barros and Odell 1990; Mead and Potter 1995; Gannon and Waples 2004).

Dive durations as long as 15 min are recorded for trained individuals (Ridgway et al. 1969). Typical dives, however, are shallower and have a much shorter duration. Mean dive durations of Atlantic bottlenose dolphins typically range from 20 to 40 sec at shallow depths (Mate et al. 1995) and can last longer than 5 min during deep offshore dives (Klatsky et al. 2005). Offshore bottlenose dolphins regularly dive to 450 m and possibly as deep as 700 m (Klatsky et al. 2005). Bottlenose dolphin dive behavior may correlate with diel cycles (Mate et al. 1995; Klatsky et al. 2005); this may be especially true for offshore stocks, which dive deeper and more frequently at night to feed upon the deep scattering layer (Klatsky et al. 2005).

Acoustics and Hearing—Sounds emitted by bottlenose dolphins have been classified into two broad categories: pulsed sounds (including clicks and burst-pulses) and narrow-band continuous wave sounds (whistles), which usually are frequency modulated. Clicks and whistles have a dominant frequency range of 110 to 130 kHz and a source level of 218 to 228 dB re 1 µPa-m (Au 1993) and 3.4 to 14.5 kHz and 125 to 173 dB re 1 µPa-m, respectively (Ketten 1998a). Whistles are primarily associated with communication and can serve to identify specific individuals (i.e., signature whistles) (Caldwell and Caldwell 1965; Janik et al. 2006). Up to 52% of whistles produced by bottlenose dolphin groups with mother-calf pairs have been classified as signature whistles (Cook et al. 2004). Sound production is also influenced by group type (single or multiple individuals), habitat, and behavior (Nowacek 2005). Bray calls (low-frequency vocalizations; majority of energy below 4 kHz), for example, are used when capturing fishes, specifically sea trout (*Salmo trutta*) and Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), in some regions (i.e., Moray Firth, Scotland) (Janik 2000). Additionally, whistle production has been observed to increase while feeding (Acevedo-Gutiérrez and Stienessen 2004; Cook et al. 2004). Furthermore, both whistles and clicks have been demonstrated to vary geographically in terms of overall vocal activity, group size, and specific context (e.g., feeding, milling, traveling, and socializing) (Jones and Sayigh 2002; Zaretsky et al. 2005; Baron 2006). For example, preliminary research indicates that characteristics of whistles from populations in the northern Gulf of Mexico significantly differ (i.e., in frequency and duration) from those in the western north Atlantic (Zaretsky et al. 2005; Baron 2006).

Bottlenose dolphins can typically hear within a broad frequency range of 200 Hz to 160 kHz (Au 1993; Turl 1993), though with exposure during testing some dolphins might receive information as low as 50 Hz (Turl 1993). Electrophysiological experiments suggest that the bottlenose dolphin brain has a dual analysis system: one specialized for ultrasonic clicks and another for lower-frequency sounds, such as whistles (Ridgway 2000). Scientists have reported a range of highest sensitivity between 25 and 70 kHz, with peaks in sensitivity at 25 and 50 kHz (Nachtigall et al. 2000). Recent research on the same individuals indicates that auditory thresholds obtained by electrophysiological methods correlate well with those obtained in behavior studies, except at the some lower (10 kHz) and higher (80 and 100 kHz) frequencies (Finneran and Houser 2006).

- <u>Pantropical Spotted Dolphin</u> *(Stenella attenuata)*

Description—The pantropical spotted dolphin is a rather slender dolphin. This species has a dark dorsal cape, while the lower sides and belly of adults are gray. The beak is long and thin; the lips and beak tip tend to be bright white. A dark gray band encircles each eye and continues forward to the apex of the melon; there is also a dark gape-to-flipper stripe (Jefferson et al. 1993). Pantropical spotted dolphins are born spotless and develop spots as they age although the degree of spotting varies geographically (Perrin and Hohn 1994). Some populations may be virtually unspotted (Jefferson 2006). Adults may reach 2.6 m in length (Jefferson et al. 1993). North and offshore of Cape Hatteras, adults may bear only a few small, dark, ventral spots whereas individuals over the continental shelf become so heavily spotted that they appear nearly white (Perrin and Hohn 1994).

Status—The best estimate of abundance of the western North Atlantic stock of pantropical spotted dolphins is 4,439 individuals while the minimum estimate is 3,010 (Waring et al. 2008). There is no information on stock differentiation for pantropical spotted dolphins in the U.S. Atlantic (Waring et al. 2008).

Habitat Associations—Pantropical spotted dolphins tend to associate with bathymetric relief and oceanographic interfaces. Most sightings of this species in the Gulf of Mexico, Caribbean, and off Brazil occur over the lower continental slope (Davis et al. 1998; Mignucci-Giannoni et al. 2003; Mullin et al. 2004; Moreno et al. 2005). Mignucci-Giannoni et al. (2003) reported a sighting over the Puerto Rican Trench, one of the deepest areas in the world. Pantropical spotted dolphins may rarely be sighted in shallower waters (e.g., Peddemors 1999; Gannier 2002; Mignucci-Giannoni et al. 2003). Pantropical spotted dolphins in the Gulf of Mexico do not appear to prefer any one habitat and may be found within the Loop Current, inside a cold-core eddy, or along the continental slope (Baumgartner et al. 2001). Along the northeastern U.S., Waring et al. (1992) found that *Stenella* spp. were distributed along the Gulf Stream's northern wall. *Stenella* sightings also occurred within the

Gulf Stream, which is consistent with the oceanic distribution of this genus and its apparent association with warm waters (Waring et al. 1992; Mullin and Fulling 2003). In the eastern Pacific, the pantropical spotted dolphin is an inhabitant of the tropical, equatorial, and southern subtropical water masses characterized by a sharp thermocline at less than 50 m depth, surface temperatures greater than 25ºC, and salinities less than 34 parts per thousand (ppt) (Au and Perryman 1985).

Distribution—Pantropical spotted dolphins occur in subtropical and tropical waters worldwide (Perrin and Hohn 1994).

➢ Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—The pantropical spotted dolphin is a deepwater species typically found seaward to the shelf edge (Jefferson et al. 1993) although they have been sighted along the Florida shelf and slope waters and offshore in Gulf Stream waters southeast of Cape Hatteras (Waring et al. 2008). In the Atlantic, this species is considered broadly sympatric with Atlantic spotted dolphins (Perrin and Hohn 1994). The offshore form of the Atlantic spotted dolphin and the pantropical spotted dolphin can be difficult to differentiate at sea. Therefore, the low number of sightings of pantropical spotted dolphins in offshore waters of the OPAREA may be more of a reflection of survey observers not distinguishing between the two species. Unidentified spotted dolphins were not included in the models for either species but are included in Figure B-13-2.

- Winter—The model predicts occurrence in shelf waters and along the shelf break in the OPAREA (Figures B-13-1 and B-13-2). Most sightings during this season are recorded in shelf waters on the North Atlantic right whale calving grounds (Figures B-13-1 and B-13-2). Predicted occurrence in this region is likely a function of intense survey effort for North Atlantic right whales while they are on their calving grounds. Because survey effort is concentrated in nearshore waters during this season, there is a paucity of sightings seaward of the shelf break where this species is expected to occur.

- Spring—The model output predicts occurrence along the shelf break and in deeper, offshore waters of the OPAREA (Figures B-13-1 and B-13-2). Predicted occurrence follows the path of the Gulf Stream and is likely influenced by the enhanced productivity associated with Gulf Stream features and the steeply sloping topography of the Blake Escarpment. Occurrence is not limited to these areas; pantropical spotted dolphins are expected to occur seaward of the shelf break throughout the OPAREA.

- Summer—The model predicts areas of occurrence in shelf waters and along the upper slope (Figures B-13-1 and B-13-2). Pantropical spotted dolphins are not expected to occur nearshore but are expected seaward of the shelf break based on habitat associations.

- Fall—The model output predicts no occurrence in the OPAREA during this time of year due to the lack of sighting data (Figures B-13-1 and B-13-2). The distribution of pantropical spotted dolphins is likely not well represented here due to incomplete survey coverage in offshore waters as well as the general low survey effort during this season. Based on sighting data and known habitat associations, pantropical spotted dolphins are expected seaward of the shelf break throughout the OPAREA.

Behavior and Life History—Pantropical spotted dolphin group sizes range from a few individuals to several thousands (Jefferson et al. 1993). Reported group sizes along the U.S. Atlantic coast range from 35 to 145 individuals (Mullin and Fulling 2003).

Observations of pantropical spotted dolphins caught in tuna purse seines in the eastern tropical Pacific show that subgroups contain mother/calf pairs, adult males, or juveniles (Pryor and Shallenberger 1991). In the eastern tropical Pacific, where this species has been best studied, there are two (possibly three) calving peaks: one in spring, (one possibly in summer), and one in fall (Perrin and Hohn 1994). However, breeding times and locations in the western Atlantic are unknown.

Pantropical spotted dolphins prey on epipelagic fishes, squids, and crustaceans (Perrin and Hohn 1994; Robertson and Chivers 1997; Wang et al. 2003). Not much is known about the diving behavior of pantropical spotted dolphins in the western North Atlantic. Results from various tracking and feeding studies suggest that pantropical spotted dolphins in the eastern tropical Pacific and off Hawaii feed primarily at night on epipelagic and mesopelagic species, which rise towards the surface after dark (Robertson and Chivers 1997; Scott and Cattanach 1998; Baird et al. 2001). Dives during the day generally are shorter and shallower than dives at night; rates of descent and ascent are higher at night than during the day (Baird et al. 2001). Similar mean dive durations and depths have been obtained for tagged pantropical spotted dolphins in the eastern tropical Pacific and off Hawaii (Baird et al. 2001).

Acoustics and Hearing—Pantropical spotted dolphin whistles have been documented from 3.1 to 21.4 kHz (Thomson and Richardson 1995). Clicks typically have two frequency peaks (bimodal) at 40 to 60 kHz and 120 to 140 kHz with estimated source levels up to 220 dB re 1 µPa peak-to-peak (Schotten et al. 2004).

No direct measures of hearing ability are available for pantropical spotted dolphins, but ear anatomy has been studied with the finding that they have a Type II cochlea, like other dephinids, which indicates that this species should be adapted to hear the lower range of ultrasonic frequencies (< 100 kHz) (Ketten 1992, 1997).

- Atlantic Spotted Dolphin *(Stenella frontalis)*

Description— The Atlantic spotted dolphin tends to resemble bottlenose dolphins more than it does the pantropical spotted dolphin (Jefferson et al. 1993). In body shape, it is somewhat intermediate between the two, with a moderately long but rather thick beak. The dorsal fin is tall and falcate and there is generally a prominent spinal blaze. Adults are up to 2.3 m long and can weigh as much as 143 kg (Jefferson et al. 1993). Atlantic spotted dolphins have a dark back and cape with a pale blaze often sweeping from the side towards the dorsal fin. They are born spotless and develop spots as they age (Perrin et al. 1994c; Herzing 1997) with some individuals becoming so heavily spotted that the dark cape and spinal blaze are difficult to see (Perrin et al. 1994c; Dudzinski 1996; Herzing 1997).

There is marked regional variation in the adult body size of the Atlantic spotted dolphin (Perrin et al. 1987). There are two forms: a robust, heavily spotted form that inhabits the continental shelf and a smaller, less-spotted form that inhabits deeper waters (Perrin et al. 1994c). The largest body size occurs in waters over the continental shelf of North America (U.S. east coast and Gulf of Mexico) and Central America (Perrin 2002b). The smallest Atlantic spotted dolphins are those around oceanic islands, such as the Azores and on the high seas in the western North Atlantic (Perrin 2002b).

Status—The best estimate of Atlantic spotted dolphin abundance in the western North Atlantic is 50,978 individuals; the minimum estimate is 36,235 individuals (Waring et al. 2008). Recent genetic evidence suggests that there are at least two populations in the western North Atlantic roughly divided along a latitudinal boundary corresponding to Cape Hatteras (Adams and Rosel 2006), as well as possible continental shelf and offshore segregations.

Habitat Associations—Atlantic spotted dolphins occupy both continental shelf and offshore habitats. The large, heavily-spotted coastal form typically occurs over the continental shelf inshore or near the 185 m isobath, 8 to 20 km from shore (Perrin et al. 1994c; Davis et al. 1998; Perrin 2002b). There are also frequent sightings beyond the continental shelf break in the Caribbean Sea, Gulf of Mexico, and off the U.S. Atlantic Coast (Mills and Rademacher 1996; Roden and Mullin 2000; Fulling et al. 2003; Mullin and Fulling 2003; Mullin et al. 2004). Griffin et al. (2005) proposed that Atlantic spotted dolphins spend more time feeding over the continental shelf in winter than during summer. Atlantic spotted dolphins are found commonly in inshore waters south of Chesapeake Bay as well as over continental shelf break and slope waters north of this region (Payne et al. 1984; Mullin and Fulling

2003). Sightings have also been made along the northern wall of the Gulf Stream and its associated warm-core ring features (Waring et al. 1992).

Distribution—Atlantic spotted dolphins are distributed in warm-temperate and tropical Atlantic waters from approximately 45ºN to 35ºS; in the western North Atlantic, this translates to waters from northern New England to Venezuela, including the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea (Perrin et al. 1987).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—Atlantic spotted dolphins may occur in both continental shelf and offshore waters (Perrin et al. 1994c); the model results reflect this broad range of distribution in the OPAREA (Figures B-14-1 and B-14-2). In the Atlantic, this species is considered broadly sympatric with pantropical spotted dolphins (Perrin and Hohn 1994). The offshore form of the Atlantic spotted dolphin and the pantropical spotted dolphin can be difficult to differentiate at sea. Therefore, the low number of sightings of Atlantic spotted dolphins in offshore waters of the OPAREA may be more of a reflection of survey observer's ability to distinguish between the two species. Unidentified spotted dolphins were not included in the models for either species but are included in Figure B-14-2.

  - Winter—Sightings are distributed throughout the shelf waters of the OPAREA (Figures B-14-1 and B-14-2). The model results demonstrate occurrence primarily over the continental shelf, along the shelf break, and in upper slope waters. Occurrence also extends into deep waters farther offshore in the OPAREA near the Charleston Bump. Therefore, distributions of both coastal and offshore forms are represented in the model output. Atlantic spotted dolphins are expected to occur in continental shelf and offshore waters throughout the OPAREA.

  - Spring—The model output is similar to that of winter and includes a small area of increased occurrence in shelf waters in the northern portion of the OPAREA (Figures B-14-1 and B-14-2). This is a region of high productivity due to the deflection of the Gulf Stream off the Charleston Bump and the formation of the Charleston Gyre which both enhance upwelling onto the Florida-Hatteras Shelf.

  - Summer—Occurrence is predicted in shelf waters, along the continental shelf break, and in upper slope waters (Figures B-14-1 and B-14-2). The presence of this species in offshore waters is represented only by a few opportunistic sightings due to incomplete survey coverage. Occurrence is still expected in continental shelf and offshore waters throughout the OPAREA.

  - Fall—This is the season with the least amount of recorded sightings, likely due to decreased survey effort and inclement weather conditions that can make sighting cetaceans difficult during this time of year. The model output predicts occurrence on the shelf and along the shelf break (Figures B-14-1 and B-14-2). Occurrence farther offshore is likely not represented due to the sparse survey effort in offshore waters during this season.

Behavior and Life History—Atlantic spotted dolphin groups are normally composed of fewer than 50 individuals (Jefferson et al. 1993). Little life history information for this species is known. Perrin et al. (1994c) present information on female and male sexual maturation relative to body length for individuals in the Gulf of Mexico and western North Atlantic. In The Bahamas, female sexual maturation occurs at about 8 to 15 years of age (Herzing 1997); there is no information available for local males. Peak calving periods in The Bahamas are early spring and late fall (Herzing 1997); however, breeding times and locations in the western Atlantic are largely unknown.

Atlantic spotted dolphins feed on small cephalopods, fishes, and benthic invertebrates (Perrin et al. 1994c). Atlantic spotted dolphins have been observed feeding on herring and anchovies near St. Augustine, Florida, and on carangid fishes farther from shore (Caldwell and Caldwell 1966) and have been observed chasing and catching flying fish (MacLeod et al. 2004).

The only information on diving depth for this species is from a satellite-tagged individual in the Gulf of Mexico (Davis et al. 1996). This individual made short, shallow dives to less than 10 m and as deep as 60 m, while in waters over the continental shelf on 76% of dives.

Acoustics and Hearing—A variety of sounds including whistles, echolocation clicks, squawks, barks, growls, and chirps have been recorded for the Atlantic spotted dolphin (Thomson and Richardson 1995). Whistles have dominant frequencies below 20 kHz (range: 7.1 to 14.5 kHz) but multiple harmonics extend above 100 kHz, while burst pulses consist of frequencies above 20 kHz (dominant frequency of approximately 40 kHz) (Lammers et al. 2003). Other sounds, such as squawks, barks, growls, and chirps, typically range in frequency from 100 Hz to 8 kHz (Thomson and Richardson 1995). Recently recorded echolocation clicks have two dominant frequency ranges at 40 to 50 kHz and 110 to 130 kHz, depending on source level (i.e., lower source levels typically correspond to lower frequencies and higher frequencies to higher source levels (Au and Herzing 2003). Echolocation click source levels as high as 210 dB re 1 µPa-m peak-to-peak have been recorded (Au and Herzing 2003). Spotted dolphins in The Bahamas were frequently recorded during agonistic/agressive interactions with bottlenose dolphins (and their own species) to produce squawks (200 Hz to 12 kHz broad band burst pulses; males and females), screams (5.8 to 9.4 kHz whistles; males only), barks (200 Hz to 20 kHz burst pulses; males only), and synchronized squawks (100 Hz - 15 kHz burst pulses; males only in a coordinated group) (Herzing 1996).

There has been no data collected on Atlantic spotted dolphin hearing abilities. However, odontocetes are generally adapted to hear high-frequencies (Ketten 1997) and it can be assumed that vocalization frequencies are generally within the hearing range of a species.

- Spinner Dolphin *(Stenella longirostris)*

Description—The spinner dolphin has a very long, slender beak (Jefferson et al. 1993). The dorsal fin ranges from slightly falcate to triangular or even canted forward in some geographic forms. The spinner dolphin generally has a dark eye-to-flipper stripe and dark lips and beak tip (Jefferson et al. 1993). This species typically has a three-part color pattern (dark gray cape, light gray sides, and white belly). Adults can reach 2.4 m in length (Jefferson et al. 1993). There are four known subspecies of spinner dolphins and probably other undescribed ones (Perrin 1998; Perrin et al. 1999).

Status—No estimate of abundances are currently available for the western North Atlantic stock of spinner dolphins (Waring et al. 2008). Stock structure in the western North Atlantic is unknown (Waring et al. 2008).

Habitat Associations—Spinner dolphins occur in both oceanic and coastal environments. Most sightings of this species have been associated with inshore waters, islands, or banks (Perrin and Gilpatrick 1994). Oceanic populations, such as those in the eastern tropical Pacific, are often found in waters with a shallow thermocline (Au and Perryman 1985; Reilly 1990). The thermocline concentrates pelagic organisms in and above it; spinner dolphins feed on this aggregation of prey. In the eastern tropical Pacific, spinner dolphins are associated with tropical surface water typified by extensive stable thermocline ridging and relatively little annual variation in surface temperature (Reeves et al. 1999b). Coastal populations are usually found in island archipelagos where they are tied to trophic and habitat resources associated with the coast (Norris and Dohl 1980; Poole 1995). Spinner dolphin distribution in the Gulf of Mexico and off the northeastern U.S. coast is primarily in offshore waters. Along the northeastern U.S. and Gulf of Mexico, they are distributed in waters with a bottom depth greater than 2,000 m (CETAP 1982; Davis et al. 1998). Off the eastern U.S. coast, spinner dolphins were sighted within the Gulf Stream, which is consistent with the oceanic distribution and warm-water associations of this genus (Waring et al. 1992).

Distribution—Spinner dolphins are found in subtropical and tropical waters worldwide, with different geographical forms in various ocean basins. The range of this species extends to near 40° latitude

(Jefferson et al. 1993). Distribution in the western North Atlantic is poorly-known (Waring et al. 2008) although stranding records range from the Gulf of Mexico to North Carolina.

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—There are insufficient data to model the predicted occurrence of spinner dolphins in the OPAREA. Sighting, stranding, and bycatch records are documented in or near the OPAREA throughout much of the year (Figure B-15). The cluster of sightings recorded near the shelf break in spring were all recorded on the same day during aerial surveys off Mayport, Florida in 1997 (DoN 1998). Although this species is considered rare within the OPAREA, any occurrences may be expected from the vicinity of the continental shelf break to eastward of the OPAREA boundary based on the spinner dolphin's associations with deep, warm waters. No seasonal differences in occurrence are anticipated.

Behavior and Life History—Group sizes range from less than 50 to several thousand individuals (Jefferson et al. 1993). Seasonal and geographic variations in group size have been recorded (Norris et al. 1985). A Hawaiian population of spinner dolphins has been studied for more than 20 years (Norris et al. 1994). Social groupings of this species are typically very fluid in Hawaiian waters; large groups form, break-up, and re-form with different subgroups throughout the day (Norris et al. 1994). In the offshore eastern tropical Pacific, there is some segregation by age and sex among dolphin groups (Perrin and Gilpatrick 1994). In the eastern tropical Pacific, spinner dolphins are often seen with pantropical spotted dolphins (Perrin and Gilpatrick 1994). Spinners in the Atlantic occasionally have been sighted and stranded in association with Clymene and pantropical spotted dolphins (Jefferson and Lynn 1994; Fertl et al. 2003).

Spinner dolphins feed primarily on small mesopelagic fishes, squids, and sergestid shrimps and they can dive to at least 200 to 300 m (Perrin and Gilpatrick 1994). Based on research in the Hawaiian Islands, foraging takes place primarily at night when the mesopelagic community migrates vertically towards the surface and also horizontally towards the shore at night (Benoit-Bird et al. 2001; Benoit-Bird and Au 2004). Rather than foraging offshore for the entire night, spinner dolphins track the horizontal migration of their prey (Benoit-Bird and Au 2003). This tracking of the prey allows spinner dolphins to maximize their foraging time while foraging on the prey at its highest densities (Benoit-Bird and Au 2003; Benoit-Bird 2004).

Life history information on spinner dolphins in the Atlantic is limited. The life history of the spinner dolphin has been well-described for the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean where the species is killed in large numbers in tuna purse seine nets (reviewed in Perrin 1998). Gestation lasts about 10 months and length of lactation is about 1 to 2 years. Sexual maturity occurs at lengths and ages of 1.65 to 1.70 m and 4 to 7 years (females) and 1.60 to 1.80 m and 7 to 10 years (males). There is some geographic variation, but other spinner dolphin populations probably have life history characteristics similar to those listed. Calving peaks in different populations range from late spring to fall (Jefferson et al. 1993). Specific locations of breeding are unknown.

Spinner dolphins are well known for their propensity to leap high into the air and spin before landing in the water; the purpose of this behavior is unknown. Norris and Dohl (1980) also described several other types of aerial behavior, including several other leaps, backslaps, headslaps, noseouts, tailslaps, and a behavior called "motorboating." Undoubtedly, spinner dolphins are one of the most aerially-active of all dolphin species.

Acoustics and Hearing—Pulses, whistles, and clicks have been recorded from this species. Pulses and whistles have dominant frequency ranges of 5 to 60 kHz and 8 to 12 kHz, respectively (Ketten 1998a). Spinner dolphins consistently produce whistles with frequencies as high as 16.9 to 17.9 kHz that have a maximum frequency for the fundamental component at 24.9 kHz (Bazúa-Durán and Au 2002; Lammers et al. 2003). Clicks have a dominant frequency of 60 kHz (Ketten 1998a). The burst pulses are predominantly ultrasonic, often with little or no energy below 20 kHz (Lammers et al. 2003). Source levels at 222 dB re 1 μPa at 1 m peak-to-peak have been recorded for spinner dolphin clicks (Schotten et al. 2004).

There is no empirical data on the hearing ability of spinner dolphins; however, the most sensitive hearing range for odontocetes generally includes high frequencies (Ketten 1997).

- Striped Dolphin *(Stenella coeruleoalba)*

Description—This is a relatively robust dolphin with a long, slender beak and prominent dorsal fin. This species reaches 2.6 m in length. The striped dolphin is uniquely marked with black lateral stripes from eye to flipper and eye to anus. There is also a white V-shaped "spinal blaze" originating above and behind the eye and narrowing to a point below and behind the dorsal fin (Leatherwood and Reeves 1983). There is a dark cape and white belly.

Status—The best estimate of striped dolphin abundance in the western North Atlantic is 94,462 individuals, and the minimum estimate is 68,558 individuals (Waring et al. 2008).

Habitat Associations—Striped dolphins are usually found beyond the continental shelf, typically over the continental slope out to oceanic waters and are often associated with convergence zones and waters influenced by upwelling (Au and Perryman 1985). In the eastern Pacific, striped dolphins inhabit areas with large seasonal changes in surface temperature and thermocline depth, as well as seasonal upwelling (Au and Perryman 1985; Reilly 1990). This species appears to avoid waters with sea temperatures of less than 20°C (Van Waerebeek et al. 1998).

Off the northeastern U.S., striped dolphins are distributed from the southern margin of Georges Bank along the continental shelf break to Cape Hatteras, as well as offshore over the continental slope and continental rise in the mid-Atlantic region (CETAP 1982). Continental shelf break sightings were generally centered along the 1,000 m isobath year-round (CETAP 1982). Striped dolphins likely have a northern limit associated with the meanderings of the Gulf Stream (Perrin et al. 1994a; Archer II and Perrin 1999). Striped dolphins are known to associate with the Gulf Stream's northern wall and warm-core ring features (Waring et al. 1992).

Distribution—Striped dolphins are distributed worldwide in cool-temperate to tropical zones. In the western North Atlantic, this species occurs from Nova Scotia southward to the Caribbean Sea, Gulf of Mexico, and Brazil (Würsig et al. 2000).

➢ Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—As noted earlier, the striped dolphin is a deepwater species that is generally distributed north of Cape Hatteras (CETAP 1982). Observations of stroiped dolphins are relatively common beyond the shelf break in the VACAPES OPAREA to the north year-round. However, there are only two sightings of this species in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Figures B-16-1 and B-16-2). The paucity of sighting data for striped dolphins in this area is likely due to incomplete survey coverage throughout most of the deep waters of the OPAREA, as well as this species' associations with more temperate waters farther north (Waring and Palka 2002). The higher incidence of sightings and bycatch records for this species to the north of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA supports this designation (Figures B-16-1 and B-16-2). Several strandings are recorded inshore of the OPAREA boundaries during all seasons and support the likelihood of striped dolphin occurrence in the OPAREA year-round (Figures B-16-1 and B-16-2). Striped dolphins may occur near and seaward of the shelf break throughout the OPAREA.

Behavior and Life History—Striped dolphins are typically found in groups numbering between 100 and 500 individuals although sometimes they gather in the thousands. Striped dolphins have often been found in association other species of marine mammals and seabirds throughout their range (Baird et al. 1993).

Life history information is based mostly on western North Pacific specimens (Archer II and Perrin 1999). Males reach sexual maturity between 7 and 15 years of age, at an average body length of 2.2 m. Females become sexually mature between 5 and 13 years of age (Archer II and Perrin 1999). Off

Japan, where their biology has been best studied, there are two calving peaks: one in summer and one in winter (Perrin et al. 1994a). Breeding times and locations in the western Atlantic are largely unknown.

Striped dolphins often feed in pelagic or benthopelagic zones along the continental slope or just beyond it in oceanic waters. Small, mid-water fishes (in particular, myctophids or lanternfish) and squids are the dominant prey (Perrin et al. 1994a; Ringelstein et al. 2006). A majority of their prey possesses luminescent organs, suggesting that striped dolphins may be feeding at great depths, possibly diving to 200 to 700 m to reach potential prey (Archer II and Perrin 1999). Striped dolphins may feed at night in order to take advantage of the deep scattering layer's diurnal vertical movements.

Acoustics and Hearing—Striped dolphin whistles range from 6 to greater than 24 kHz, with dominant frequencies ranging from 8 to 12.5 kHz (Thomson and Richardson 1995). Details on clicks, pluses or click trains are not available for striped dolphins.

A single striped dolphin's hearing range, determined by using standard psycho-acoustic techniques, was from 500 Hz to 160 kHz with best sensitivity at 64 kHz (Kastelein et al. 2003). The external and middle ear anatomy of the striped dolphin was recently examined by Sassu and Cozzi (2007), but with more focus on functionality with respect to barotraumas than to hearing.

- Clymene Dolphin (*Stenella clymene*)

Description—Due to similarity in appearance, Clymene dolphins are easily confused with spinner and short-beaked common dolphins (Fertl et al. 2003). The Clymene dolphin, however, is smaller and more robust, with a much shorter and stockier beak. The dorsal fin is tall and only slightly falcate. A three-part color pattern consisting of a dark gray cape, light gray sides, and white belly is characteristic of this species (Jefferson and Curry 2003). The cape dips in two places, first above the eye and then below the dorsal fin. The lips and beak tip are black. There is also a dark stripe on the top of the beak, as well as a dark variably-shaped "moustache" on the middle of the top of the beak. The Clymene dolphin can reach at least 2 m in length and weights of at least 85 kg (Jefferson et al. 1993).

Status—Clymene dolphins have only been recognized as a valid species since 1981 (Perrin et al. 1981). The population in the western North Atlantic is currently considered a separate stock for management purposes although there is not enough information to distinguish this stock from the Gulf of Mexico stock(s) (Waring et al. 2008). The best estimate of abundance for the western North Atlantic stock of Clymene dolphins is 6,086 individuals (Mullin and Fulling 2003; Waring et al. 2008). No minimum population estimate is currently available for this stock (Waring et al. 2008).

Habitat Associations—Clymene dolphins are a tropical to subtropical species, primarily sighted in deep waters well beyond the edge of the continental shelf (Fertl et al. 2003). Clymene dolphins are found in waters with a mean bottom depth of 1,870 m and a range out to the 4,500 m isobath (Fertl et al. 2003; Moreno et al. 2005). Biogeographically, the Clymene dolphin is found in the warmer waters of the North Atlantic and is often associated with the North Equatorial Current, the Gulf Stream, and the Canary Current (Fertl et al. 2003). Clymene dolphins in the Gulf of Mexico were found in offshore areas in regions of cyclonic or confluent circulation (Davis et al. 2002). In the western North Atlantic, Clymene dolphins were identified primarily in offshore waters east of Cape Hatteras over the continental slope and are likely to be strongly influenced by oceanographic features of the Gulf Stream (Mullin and Fulling 2003).

Distribution—Clymene dolphins are known only from the subtropical and tropical Atlantic Ocean (Perrin and Mead 1994; Fertl et al. 2003). In the western Atlantic Ocean, Clymene dolphins are known from New Jersey to Brazil, including the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea (Fertl et al. 2003; Moreno et al. 2005).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—There are insufficient data to model the predicted occurrence of this species. Clymene dolphins have been found stranded along the Atlantic coast of Florida adjacent to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and farther south throughout the year (Caldwell and Caldwell 1975; Perrin et al. 1981; Fertl et al. 2003) (Figure B-17). The summer sighting in continental shelf waters of the OPAREA was recorded during aerial surveys and may be a misidentification since Clymene dolphins are not typically sighted in such shallow waters. Based on confirmed sightings and this species' association with deep waters, Clymene dolphins may be expected in waters seaward of the shelf break throughout the OPAREA. No seasonal differences in occurrence are anticipated.

Behavior and Life History—Very little is known about the biology of the Clymene dolphin (Jefferson 2002b). Much of the information comes from the northern Gulf of Mexico (Jefferson et al. 1995; Jefferson and Curry 2003). Sexual maturity appears to be reached by the length of about 1.8 m (Jefferson 1996). Seasonality and location of Clymene dolphin breeding is unknown. Reported group sizes range from several to 1,000 individuals (Fertl et al. 2003). Clymene dolphins are known to associate with other dolphin species, such as spinner dolphins (Fertl et al. 2003). Available information on feeding habits is limited to the stomach contents of two individuals and one observation of feeding free-ranging dolphins; Clymene dolphins feed on small pelagic fish and squid (Perrin et al. 1981; Perrin and Mead 1994; Fertl et al. 1997).

Acoustics and Hearing—The only data available for this species is a description of their whistles, which were first recorded in 1985 by Watkins and Wartzok (cited in Jefferson and Curry (2003). Clymene dolphin whistle structure is similar to that of other stenellids, but it is generally higher in frequency (range of 6.3 to 19.2 kHz with an average duration of 0.61 s) (Mullin et al. 1994a). In the Gulf of Mexico, on acoustic surveys, Clymene dolphins were vocal and presented whistles with a mean duration of 0.41 s and frequencies between 9.25 and 13.62 kHz (Mullin et al. 1994a; Norris et al. 2000). Click sounds from Clymene dolphins have not been examined for detail.

There is no empirical data on the hearing ability of Clymene dolphins; however, the most sensitive hearing range for odontocetes generally includes high frequencies (Ketten 1997).

- Short-beaked Common Dolphin *(Delphinus delphis)*

Description—Short-beaked common dolphins are moderately-robust dolphins, with a moderate-length beak, and a tall, slightly falcate dorsal fin. The beak is shorter than in long-beaked common dolphins, and the melon rises from the beak at a steeper angle (Heyning and Perrin 1994). Short-beaked common dolphins are distinctively marked with a V-shaped saddle caused by a dip in the cape below the dorsal fin, yielding an hourglass pattern on the side of the body (Jefferson et al. 1993). The back is dark brownish-gray, the belly is white, and the anterior flank patch is tan to cream in color. The lips are dark, and there is a dark stripe from the eye to the apex of the melon and another one from the chin to the flipper (the latter is diagnostic to the genus). There are often variable light patches on the flippers and dorsal fin. Length ranges up to about 2.3 m (females) and 2.6 m (males); however, there is substantial geographic variation (Jefferson et al. 1993).

Status—The best estimate of abundance for the western North Atlantic *Delphinus* spp. stock is 120,743 individuals, and the minimum population estimate is 99,975 individuals (Waring et al. 2008). There is no information available for western North Atlantic common dolphin stock structure (Waring et al. 2008).

Habitat Associations—Common dolphins occupy a variety of habitats, including shallow continental shelf waters, waters along the continental shelf break, and continental slope and oceanic areas. They often occur over prominent underwater topography (Hui 1979; Evans 1994; Bearzi 2003). Along the U.S. Atlantic coast, common dolphins typically occur in temperate waters on the continental shelf between the 100 and 200 m isobaths but can occur in association with the Gulf Stream (CETAP 1982; Selzer and Payne 1988; Waring and Palka 2002). Waring et al. (1992) reported short-beaked common dolphin sightings along the northern wall of the Gulf Stream and warm-core rings that coincided with the continental shelf break. Some common dolphin populations appear to preferentially travel along topographic features such as escarpments and seamounts (Evans 1994). In tropical regions, *Delphinus* spp. are routinely sighted in upwelling-modified (or otherwise high productivity) waters (Au and Perryman 1985; Ballance and Pitman 1998).

Distribution—*Delphinus* is widely distributed globally in temperate, subtropical, and tropical seas. Common dolphins occur from southern Norway to West Africa in the eastern Atlantic and from Newfoundland to Florida in the western Atlantic (Perrin 2002a), although this species more commonly occurs in temperate, cooler waters in the northwestern Atlantic (Waring and Palka 2002).

Selzer and Payne (1988) described short-beaked common dolphin distribution along the northeastern U.S. This study found that this species is abundant within a broad band paralleling the continental slope from 35ºN to the northeast peak of Georges Bank. Short-beaked common dolphin sightings occurred primarily along the continental shelf break south of 40ºN in spring and north of this latitude in fall. During fall, this species is particularly abundant along the northern edge of Georges Bank (CETAP 1982) but less common south of Cape Hatteras (Gaskin 1992b). Historically, short-beaked common dolphins frequented the northeast Florida coast but have been conspicuously absent since the early 1960's (Caldwell et al. 1971; Leatherwood et al. 1976). The reason for this absence is unknown, although Jefferson and Shiro (1997) speculated that this may be a result of population or distributional fluctuations.

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—Common dolphins generally occur along the shelf break from Cape Hatteras to Nova Scotia year-round (CETAP 1982). This species is less common south of Cape Hatteras (Gaskin 1992b). Sighting data recorded in the OPAREA during winter were collected during North Atlantic right whale winter aerial surveys conducted during 2001 and 2002 (Figures B-18-1 and B-18-2). Species identifications can be difficult from the air and inclement weather conditions make misidentifications more likely during this time of year. It is reasonable to consider the possibility that these common dolphin sightings might be misidentified pantropical spotted or Clymene dolphins based on the habitat associations and occurrence patterns of these two species. Another possibility for this concentration of sightings is short-term variability in common dolphin distribution because of anomalous oceanographic conditions (Kenney 2007a). Strandings along the coast of Florida and an off-effort sighting near the shelf break support the likelihood of occurrence farther south in the OPAREA year-round. Although this species is considered rare within the OPAREA, it is possible that common dolphins could occur throughout the OPAREA year-round. However, occurrence is least likely during summer based on this species' association with cooler waters.

Behavior and Life History—The common dolphin is a very gregarious species; group sizes range from several dozen to over 10,000 individuals. Common dolphins are fast swimmers, active bowriders, and often leap out of the water. Calving peaks differ between stocks, and have been reported in spring and autumn as well as in spring and summer (Jefferson et al. 1993); however, locations of breeding areas are unknown. Males in the North Atlantic reach sexual maturity at about 9 to 12 years of age (Murphy et al. 2005; Westgate and Read 2007) while females reach maturity at approximately eight years of age (Westgate and Read 2007). Gestation is approximately 11 months and mating occurs primarily during July and August (Westgate and Read 2007).

Common dolphins feed on a wide variety of epipelagic and mesopelagic schooling fishes and squids in the deep scattering layer. Off the northeastern U.S., long-finned squid (Loligo pealei) and Atlantic mackerel (Scomber scombrus) are important prey (Overholtz and Waring 1991); herring, whiting (Micromesistius poutassou), pilchard, and anchovy are also identified as prey species (Waring et al. 1990). Common dolphins feed opportunistically on those species most abundant locally and change their diet according to fluctuations in the abundance and availability of prey (Young and Cockcroft 1994). Based on a small sample size from the eastern North Pacific, short-beaked common dolphins may feed more extensively on squid than the long-beaked form (Heyning and Perrin 1994). Diel fluctuations in vocal activity of this species (more vocal activity during late evening and early morning) appear to be linked to feeding on the deep scattering layer as it rises (Goold 2000). Foraging dives up to 200 m in depth have been recorded off southern California (Evans 1994).

Acoustics and Hearing—Recorded *Delphinus* spp. vocalizations include whistles, chirps, barks, and clicks (Ketten 1998a). Clicks range from 200 Hz to 150 kHz with dominant frequencies between 23 and 67 kHz and estimated source levels of 170 dB re 1 μPa. Chirps and barks typically have a frequency range from less than 500 Hz to 14 kHz, and whistles range in frequency from 2 to 18 kHz (Fish and Turl 1976; Thomson and Richardson 1995; Ketten 1998a; Oswald et al. 2003). Maximum source levels averaged approximately 180 dB 1 μPa at 1m for clicks from a group of about 300 individual common dolphins (Fish and Turl 1976). Around the British Isles, short-beaked common dolphins display a vocal diurnal pattern: more acoustic contact was recorded during early morning and late evening periods (Goold 2000). Ansmann et al. (2007) examined the whistle repertoire of short-beaked common dolphins at two locations around the British Isles and found the frequencies to range from 3.56 to 23.51 kHz lasting from 0.05 to 2.02 seconds.

Popov and Klishin (1998) recorded auditory brainstem responses from a short-beaked common dolphin. The audiogram was U-shaped with a steeper high-frequency branch. This species' hearing range extended from 10 to 150 kHz and was most sensitive from 60 to 70 kHz.

- Fraser's Dolphin (*Lagenodelphis hosei*)

Description—The Fraser's dolphin reaches a maximum length of 2.7 m and is generally more robust than other small delphinids (Jefferson et al. 1993). This species has a short stubby beak, small flippers and flukes, and a small subtriangular dorsal fin. The most conspicuous feature of the Fraser's dolphin coloration is the dark band running from the face to the anus (Jefferson et al. 1997), although it is not present in younger animals and appears to be geographically variable (Jefferson 2002a). The stripe is set off from the surrounding areas by thin, pale, cream-colored borders. There is also a dark chin-to-flipper stripe.

Status—No abundance estimate of Fraser's dolphins in the western North Atlantic is available (Waring et al. 2008).

Habitat Associations—Fraser's dolphins are an oceanic species, except in places where deepwater approaches a coastline (Dolar 2002). Fraser's dolphins are found close to shore in some regions, such as around the Society Islands of French Polynesia (Gannier 2000), around several islands of the Indo-Malay archipelago in the Indo-Pacific area (Rudolph et al. 1997), and in some waters of the Philippines (Leatherwood et al. 1992). In the Gulf of Mexico, Fraser's dolphins occur well beyond the outer edge of the continental shelf and over the abyssal plain (Leatherwood et al. 1993). In the offshore eastern tropical Pacific, where most information for this species occurs, they are distributed mainly in upwelling-modified waters (Au and Perryman 1985).

Distribution—Fraser's dolphins are found in subtropical and tropical waters around the world, typically between 30ºN and 30ºS (Jefferson et al. 1993). Strandings in temperate areas are considered extralimital and usually are associated with anomalously warm water temperatures (Perrin et al. 1994b). As noted by Reeves et al. (1999b), the documented distribution of this species is skewed towards the eastern Pacific, which may reflect the intensity of research associated with the tuna fishery rather than an actual higher density of occurrence there than in other tropical regions. Few records are available from the Atlantic Ocean (Leatherwood et al. 1993; Watkins et al. 1994; Bolaños and Villarroel-Marin 2003).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—There are insufficient data to model the predicted occurrence of this species in the OPAREA. While there are no confirmed records of Fraser's dolphin in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, there is one confirmed sighting farther north in deep waters (>3,000 m in depth) offshore of Cape Hatteras (NMFS-SEFSC 1999). Although this species is rare within the OPAREA, any occurrences would be seaward of the shelf break throughout the OPAREA year-round based on known habitat associations.

Behavior and Life History—Fraser's dolphins are usually seen in large, fast-moving groups. Most sightings have been of groups ranging between 100 and 1,000 individuals. Mixed-species aggregations with melon-headed whales have been observed in the eastern tropical Pacific, South Pacific, and Gulf of Mexico (Jefferson and Leatherwood 1994; Reeves et al. 1999b; Gannier 2000).

Very little is known of the natural history of this species. Available data do not support calving seasonality, and specific breeding locations are unknown. Sexual maturity for both sexes occurs at about seven years of age (Jefferson and Leatherwood 1994). Fraser's dolphins feed on mesopelagic fishes, squids, and shrimps (Jefferson and Leatherwood 1994; Perrin et al. 1994b). There is no information on depths to which Fraser's dolphins may dive, but they are thought to be capable of deep dives.

Acoustics and Hearing—Fraser's dolphin whistles have been recorded having a frequency range of 7.6 to 13.4 kHz in the Gulf of Mexico (duration <0.5 sec) (Leatherwood et al. 1993). In the southeast Caribbean, both broadband clicks and whistles were recorded from a group of about 60 Fraser's dolphin (Watkins et al. 1994). Concurrent behavioral observations suggest these dolphins use clicks for echolocation and whistles for information sharing; whistle frequencies ranged from 4 to 24 kHz and lasted from 0.1 to 2 seconds (Watkins et al. 1994).

There are no empirical hearing data hearing data available for this species.

- Risso's Dolphin *(Grampus griseus)*

Description—Risso's dolphins are moderately large, robust animals reaching at least 3.8 m in length (Jefferson et al. 1993). The head is blunt and squarish without a distinct beak, and there is a vertical crease on the front of the melon. The dorsal fin is very tall and falcate. Young Risso's dolphins range from light gray to dark brownish gray and are relatively unmarked (Jefferson et al. 1993). Adults range from dark gray to nearly white and are heavily covered with white scratches and splotches.

Status—The best estimate of Risso's dolphin abundance in the western North Atlantic is 20,479 individuals; the minimum population estimate is 12,920 individuals (Waring et al. 2008).

Habitat Associations—Several studies have noted that Risso's dolphins are found offshore, along the continental slope, and over the continental shelf (CETAP 1982; Green et al. 1992; Baumgartner 1997; Davis et al. 1998; Mignucci-Giannoni 1998; Kruse et al. 1999). Satellite tracking data support these observations; "Rocky", a Risso's dolphin, was tracked along the continental shelf break from Delaware to North Carolina from April to June 2005 (WhaleNet 2005). Baumgartner (1997) hypothesized that the fidelity of Risso's dolphins on the steeper portions of the upper continental slope in the Gulf of Mexico is most likely the result of cephalopod prey distribution in the same area. This is likely true along the eastern U.S. coast between Cape Hatteras and George's Bank where individuals were distributed along the northern wall of the Gulf Stream and associated with warm-core rings (Waring et al. 1992). Leatherwood et al. (1979) and Shane (1994) reported on sightings of Risso's dolphins in shallow northeastern Pacific waters near oceanic islands. These sites are in areas where the continental shelf is narrow and deepwater is closer to the shore (Leatherwood et al. 1979; Gannier 2000, 2002).

Distribution—Risso's dolphins are distributed worldwide in warm-temperate to tropical waters from roughly 60ºN to 60ºS, where SSTs are generally greater than 10ºC (Kruse et al. 1999). In the western North Atlantic, this species is found from Newfoundland southward to the Gulf of Mexico, throughout the Caribbean, and around the equator (Würsig et al. 2000). In general, U.S. Atlantic Risso's dolphins occupy the mid-Atlantic continental shelf year-round, although they are rarely observed in the Gulf of Maine (Payne et al. 1984). Risso's dolphins are distributed along the continental shelf break from

Cape Hatteras north to Georges Bank from March through December (CETAP 1982; Payne et al. 1984). This range extends seaward in the mid-Atlantic Bight from December through February (Payne et al. 1984). Water temperature appears to affect Risso's dolphin distributions in the Pacific, with local distributional shifts occurring off California during El Niño periods when protracted warm-water events occur (Shane 1994; Kruse et al. 1999).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—As mentioned above, Risso's dolphins are most commonly found in areas with steep bottom topography and are often sighted in association with Gulf Stream warm-core rings which are areas of enhanced productivity. The model output results for the OPAREA generally follow this pattern of distribution with occurrence predicted along the shelf break and path of the Gulf Stream and including steep portions of the continental slope (Figures B-19-1 and B-19-2).

  - Winter—The model output predicts occurrence along the shelf break and in upper slope waters of the OPAREA (Figures B-19-1 and B-19-2). Areas of predicted occurrence follow the path of the Gulf Stream and are associated with enhanced upwelling caused by the offshore deflection of the Gulf Stream near the Charleston Bump. Risso's dolphins would be expected seaward of the shelf break throughout the area based on sighting data and this species' association with deep waters. Risso's dolphins may occur inshore of the shelf break as evidenced by the few opportunistic sightings recorded in this region (Figures B-19-1 and B-19-2).

  - Spring—Predicted occurrence here is similar to the winter but extends farther offshore (Figures B-19-1 and B-19-2). Sightings are clustered over steep portions of the continental slope which are regions of increased primary productivity.

  - Summer—Occurrence is predicted along the shelf break and extending seaward over the continental slope and near the Blake Escarpment where the seafloor gradient increases dramatically (Figures B-19-1 and B-19-2). Predicted occurrence is more widespread across the continental slope during this season and reflects the known distribution of Risso's dolphins in deep waters beyond the shelf break. This is likely due to more survey effort coverage in offshore waters of the OPAREA during this time of year.

  - Fall—This is the season with the least amount of recorded sightings, likely due to decreased survey effort during this season and inclement weather conditions that can make sighting cetaceans difficult during this time of year. Despite only two sightings included in the model, additional occurrence records help to demonstrate a distribution along the shelf break which is consistent with the other seasons (Figures B-19-1 and B-19-2). Occurrence is generally expected seaward of the shelf break throughout the OPAREA.

Behavior and Life History—Little is known about the life history of this species. In the North Atlantic, there appears to be a summer calving peak (Jefferson et al. 1993), but locations of breeding are unknown. Risso's dolphins are quite social; groups usually average about 30 individuals but can range up to several hundred (Kruse et al. 1999) or even several thousand (Jefferson 2006). Risso's dolphins occur in relatively stable, age- and sex-segregated groups, which interact fluidly with a larger population. This species commonly associates with other cetacean species, especially smaller delphinid species (CETAP 1982). Individuals may remain submerged on dives for up to 30 min and dive as deep as 600 m (DiGiovanni et al. 2005). Cephalopods are the primary prey (Clarke 1996).

Acoustics and Hearing—Risso's dolphin vocalizations include broadband clicks, barks, buzzes, grunts, chirps, whistles, and combined whistle and burst-pulse sounds that range in frequency from 400 Hz to 22 kHz and in duration from less than a second to several seconds (Corkeron and Van Parijs 2001). The combined whistle and burst pulse sound, also called the buzz, was stereotyped, ranged from 2 to 22 kHz with a mean duration of 8 seconds (both sounds together) and appears unique to Risso's dolphin (Corkeron and Van Parijs 2001). Risso's dolphins also produce echolocation clicks (40 to 70 µs duration) with a dominant frequency range of 50 to 65 kHz and estimated source levels up to 222 dB re 1 µPa-m peak-to-peak (Thomson and Richardson 1995; Philips et al. 2003; Madsen et al. 2004b).

Baseline research on the hearing ability of this species was conducted by Nachtigall et al. (1995) in a natural setting (included natural background noise) using behavioral methods on one older individual. This individual could hear frequencies ranging from 1.6 to 100 kHz and was most sensitive between 8 and 64 kHz. Recently, the auditory brainstem response technique has been used to measure hearing in a stranded infant (Nachtigall et al. 2005). This individual could hear frequencies ranging from 4 to 150 kHz, with best sensitivity observed at 90 kHz.

- Melon-Headed Whale *(Peponocephala electra)*

Description—Melon-headed whales at sea closely resemble pygmy killer whales; both species have a blunt head with little or no beak. Melon-headed whales have pointed (versus rounded) flippers and a more triangular head shape than pygmy killer whales (Jefferson et al. 1993). The body is charcoal gray to black, with unpigmented lips (which often appear light gray, pink, or white) and a white urogenital patch (Perryman et al. 1994). This species also has a triangular face "mask" and indistinct cape (which dips much lower below the dorsal fin than that of pygmy killer whales). Melon-headed whales reach a maximum length of 2.75 m (Jefferson et al. 1993).

Status—There are no abundance estimates for melon-headed whales in the western North Atlantic (Waring et al. 2008).

Habitat Associations—Melon-headed whales are most often found in offshore waters. Sightings off Cape Hatteras, North Carolina have been reported in waters greater than 2,500 m (NMFS-SEFSC 1999; NMFS-SEFSC 2002), and most in the Gulf of Mexico have been well beyond the edge of the continental shelf break (Mullin et al. 1994b; Davis and Fargion 1996a; Davis et al. 2000). MacLeod et al. (2004) reported sighting three groups of melon-headed whales in The Bahamas in waters with bottom depths ranging from 512 to 646 m. Nearshore sightings are generally from areas where deep, oceanic waters approach the coast (Perryman 2002). Melon-headed whales are found within a few km of the Society and Marquesas Islands of French Polynesia (Gannier 2000, 2002), and Lembata Island of the Indonesian archipelago (Rudolph et al. 1997), as well as in some waters of the Philippines (Leatherwood et al. 1992). In the eastern tropical Pacific, this species is primarily found in upwelling-modified and equatorial waters (Au and Perryman 1985; Perryman et al. 1994).

Distribution—Melon-headed whales occur worldwide in subtropical and tropical waters. There are very few records for melon-headed whales in the North Atlantic (Ross and Leatherwood 1994; Jefferson and Barros 1997). Maryland is thought to represent the extreme of the northern distribution for this species in the northwest Atlantic (Perryman et al. 1994; Jefferson and Barros 1997).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—There are insufficient data to model the predicted occurrence of this species. Melon-headed and pygmy killer whales can be difficult to distinguish from one another, and on many occasions, only a determination of "pygmy killer whale/melon-headed whale" can be made. Records of both species are included in Figure B-20. Although this species is rare within the OPAREA, any occurrences would be seaward of the shelf break throughout the OPAREA year-round based on known habitat associations. One stranding of a melon-headed whale is recorded just inshore of the OPAREA along the coast of Florida (Figure B-20). In March 2006, five adult melon-headed whales mass stranded along the central Atlantic coast of Florida just south of the OPAREA (Bossart et al. 2007). This is the first reported mass stranding of this species in the southeastern U.S.

Behavior and Life History—Melon-headed whales are typically found in large groups of between 150 and 1,500 individuals (Perryman et al. 1994; Gannier 2002), although Watkins et al. (1997) described smaller groups of 10 to 14 individuals. These animals often log at the water's surface in large schools composed of subgroups. Melon-headed whales are found in mixed-species aggregations, commonly with Fraser's dolphins (Miyazaki and Wada 1978; Perryman et al. 1994; Reeves et al. 1999b; Gannier 2002; Mullin et al. 2004). They also occur occasionally with spinner, bottlenose, and rough-toothed dolphins, as well as short-finned pilot whales (Jefferson and Barros 1997; Gannier 2002; Perryman 2002).

Melon-headed whale life history is sparsely described due to lack of data. It is unclear whether significant seasonality in calving occurs (Jefferson and Barros 1997). Breeding locations are unknown. Females reach sexual maturity at about 11.5 years of age and males at 16.5 years (Jefferson and Barros 1997). Melon-headed whales prey on squids, pelagic fishes, and occasionally crustaceans. Most fish and squid prey are mesopelagic in waters up to 1,500 m deep, suggesting that feeding takes place deep in the water column (Jefferson and Barros 1997). There is no information on specific diving depths for melon-headed whales.

Acoustics and Hearing—The only published acoustic information for melon-headed whales is from the southeastern Caribbean (Watkins et al. 1997). Sounds recorded included whistles and click sequences. Recorded whistles have dominant frequencies between 8 and 12 kHz; higher frequency whistles were estimated at no more than 155 dB re 1 µPa-m (Watkins et al. 1997). Clicks had dominant frequencies of 20 to 40 kHz; higher frequency click bursts were judged to be about 165 dB re 1 µPa-m (Watkins et al. 1997).

No empirical data on hearing ability for this species are available.

- Pygmy Killer Whale *(Feresa attenuata)*

Description—The pygmy killer whale is often confused with the melon-headed whale and less often with the false killer whale. Flipper shape is the best distinguishing characteristic; pygmy killer whales have rounded flipper tips (Jefferson et al. 1993). The body of the pygmy killer whale is somewhat slender (especially posterior to the dorsal fin) with a rounded head that has little or no beak (Jefferson et al. 1993). The color of this species is dark gray to black with a prominent narrow cape that dips only slightly below the dorsal fin and a white to light gray ventral band that widens around the genitals. The lips and snout tip are sometimes white. Pygmy killer whales reach lengths of up to 2.6 m (Jefferson et al. 1993).

Status—There is no estimate of abundance for pygmy killer whales in the western North Atlantic (Waring et al. 2008).

Habitat Associations—Pygmy killer whales generally occupy offshore habitats. In the northern Gulf of Mexico, this species is found primarily in deeper waters off the continental shelf (Davis and Fargion 1996b; Davis et al. 2000) out to the abyssal plain (Jefferson 2006). Pygmy killer whales were sighted in waters deeper than 1,500 m off Cape Hatteras (Hansen et al. 1994). In some areas, pygmy killer whales are found within a few kilometers of shore near the shelf, such as around the Marquesas Islands of French Polynesia (Gannier 2002), off Lembata Island of the Indonesian archipelago (Rudolph et al. 1997), and in some waters off the Philippines (Leatherwood et al. 1992).

Distribution—Pygmy killer whales have a worldwide distribution in tropical and subtropical waters, generally not ranging north of 40ºN or south of 35ºS (Jefferson et al. 1993). There are few records of this species in the western North Atlantic (e.g., Caldwell and Caldwell 1971b; Ross and Leatherwood 1994). Most records from outside the tropics are associated with unseasonable intrusions of warm water into higher latitudes (Ross and Leatherwood 1994).

➢ Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—There are insufficient data to model the predicted occurrence of this species. Pygmy killer and melon-headed whales can be difficult to distinguish from one another, and on many occasions, only a determination of "pygmy killer whale/melon-headed whale" can be made. Records of both species are included in Figure B-20.

Records of pygmy killer whales in this region include several strandings inshore of the OPAREA and two sightings in offshore waters of the OPAREA (Figure B-20). Although this species is rare within the OPAREA, any occurrences would be expected seaward of the shelf break throughout the OPAREA year-round based on known habitat associations.

Behavior and Life History—Pygmy killer whales are one of the most poorly-described delphinid species and almost nothing is known about their reproductive biology and social organization. Seasonality and location of pygmy killer whale breeding are unknown. They occur in small to moderate herds of generally less than 50 to 60 individuals. Pygmy killer whales eat predominantly fishes and squids, and sometimes take large fish. They are known to occasionally attack other dolphins (Perryman and Foster 1980; Ross and Leatherwood 1994). There is no information available on diving behavior of this species.

Acoustics and Hearing—The pygmy killer whale emits short duration, broadband signals similar to a large number of other delphinid species (Madsen et al. 2004a). Clicks produced by pygmy killer whales have centroid frequencies between 70 and 85 kHz; there are bimodal peak frequencies between 45 and 117 kHz. The estimated source levels are between 197 and 223 dB re 1 μPa-m (Madsen et al. 2004a). These clicks possess characteristics of echolocation clicks (Madsen et al. 2004a).

There are no empirical hearing data available for this species.

- False Killer Whale (*Pseudorca crassidens*)

Description—The false killer whale is a large, dark gray to black dolphin with a faint gray patch on the chest and sometimes light gray areas on the head (Jefferson et al. 1993). The false killer whale has a long slender body, a rounded overhanging forehead, and little or no beak (Jefferson et al. 1993). The dorsal fin is falcate and slender. The flippers have a characteristic hump on the S-shaped leading edge—this is perhaps the best characteristic for distinguishing this species from the other “blackfish” (an informal grouping that is often taken to include pygmy killer, melon-headed, and pilot whales; Jefferson et al. 1993). Individuals reach maximum lengths of 6.1 m (Jefferson et al. 1993).

Status—There are no abundance estimates available for this species in the western North Atlantic (Waring et al. 2008).

Habitat Associations—False killer whales are primarily offshore animals, although they do come close to shore, particularly around oceanic islands (Baird 2002). Most sightings in the Gulf of Mexico have been made in oceanic waters greater than 200 m deep, although there are some sightings in waters over the continental shelf (Davis and Fargion 1996b). Inshore movements are occasionally associated with movements of prey and shoreward flooding of warm ocean currents (Stacey et al. 1994).

Distribution—False killer whales are found in tropical and temperate waters, generally between 50°S and 50°N with a few records north of 50°N in the Pacific and the Atlantic (Baird et al. 1989; Odell and McClune 1999).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—There are insufficient data to model the predicted occurrence of this species. False killer whales occur in offshore, warm waters worldwide (Baird 2002). A small number of sightings are recorded in offshore waters of the OPAREA (Figure B-21). Strandings are also recorded in this region (Figure B-21). Although this species is rare within the OPAREA, any occurrences would be expected seaward of the shelf break throughout the OPAREA year-round based on known habitat associations.

Behavior and Life History—False killer whales may occur in groups as large as 1,000 individuals (Cummings and Fish 1971), although groups of less than 100 are most common. No breeding seasons or specific locations are known for false killer whales. Gestation is estimated to be 15 to 16 months, followed by an 18 to 24 month period before weaning (Leatherwood et al. 1989). Sexual maturity is reached after 8 to 14 years (Leatherwood et al. 1989).

Few diving data are available, although individuals are documented to dive as deep as 500 m (Odell and McClune 1999). Shallower dive depths (maximum of 53 m; averaging from 8 to 12 m) have been recorded for false killer whales in Hawaiian waters. This behavior is likely a result of surface-oriented prey, such as dorado (*Coryphaena hippurus*) and yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) (Ligon and Baird 2001).

Deepwater cephalopods and fishes are their primary prey (Odell and McClune 1999), but large pelagic species, such as dorado, have been taken. False killer whales also take tuna from longlines (e.g., Mitchell 1975; Orsi Relini and Cagnolaro 1996; Baird and Gorgone 2005). Occasional attacks on marine mammals such as other delphinids, (Perryman and Foster 1980; Stacey and Baird 1991), sperm whales (Palacios and Mate 1996), and baleen whales (Hoyt 1983; Jefferson 2006) have been observed.

Acoustics and Hearing—The dominant frequency range of false killer whale whistles is from 4 to 9.5 kHz, and the range of their echolocation clicks are from either 20 to 60 kHz or 100 to 130 kHz depending on ambient noise and target distance (Thomson and Richardson 1995). Click source levels typically range from 200 to 228 dB re 1 µPa-m (Ketten 1998a). Recently, false killer whales recorded in the Indian Ocean produced echolocation clicks with a dominant frequency of about 40 kHz and estimated source levels of 201-225 dB re 1 µPa-m (Madsen et al. 2004b).

False killer whales can hear frequencies ranging from approximately 2 to 115 kHz with best hearing sensitivity ranging from 16 to 64 kHz (Thomas et al. 1988). Additional behavioral audiograms of false killer whales support a range of best hearing sensitivity between 16 and 24 kHz, with peak sensitivity at 20 kHz (Yuen et al. 2005). The same study also measured audiograms using the ABR technique, which came to similar results, with a range of best hearing sensitivity between 16 and 22.5 kHz, peaking at 22.5 kHz (Yuen et al. 2005). Behavioral audiograms in this study consistently resulted in lower thresholds than those obtained by ABR.

- <u>Killer Whale</u> *(Orcinus orca)*

Description—Killer whales are probably the most instantly-recognizable of all the cetaceans. The black-and-white color pattern of the killer whale is striking, as is the tall, erect dorsal fin of the adult male (1.0 to 1.8 m in height). The white oval eye patch and variably-shaped saddle patch, in conjunction with the shape and notches in the dorsal fin, help in identifying individuals. The killer whale has a blunt head with a stubby, poorly-defined beak and large, oval flippers. Females may reach 7.7 m in length and males 9.0 m (Dahlheim and Heyning 1999). This is the largest member of the dolphin family.

Status—There are no estimates of abundance for killer whales in the western North Atlantic (Waring et al. 2008). Most cetacean taxonomists agree that multiple killer whale species or subspecies occur worldwide (Krahn et al. 2004; Waples and Clapham 2004). However, at this time, further information is not available, particularly for the western North Atlantic.

Habitat Associations—Killer whales have the most ubiquitous distribution of any species of marine mammal, and they have been observed in virtually every marine habitat from the tropics to the poles and from shallow, inshore waters (and even rivers) to deep, oceanic regions (Dahlheim and Heyning 1999). In coastal areas, killer whales often enter shallow bays, estuaries, and river mouths (Leatherwood et al. 1976). Based on a review of historical sighting and whaling records, killer whales in the northwestern Atlantic are found most often along the shelf break and farther offshore (Katona et al. 1988; Mitchell and Reeves 1988). Killer whales in the Hatteras-Fundy region probably respond to the migration and seasonal distribution patterns of prey species, such as bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thunnus*), herring (*Clupea harengus*), and squids (Katona et al. 1988; Gormley 1990).

Distribution—Killer whales are found throughout all oceans and contiguous seas, from equatorial regions to polar pack ice zones of both hemispheres. Although found in tropical waters and the open ocean, killer whales are most numerous in coastal waters and at higher latitudes (Dahlheim and Heyning 1999). Ford (2002b) noted that this species has a sporadic occurrence in most regions. In the western North Atlantic, killer whales are known from the polar pack ice southward to Florida, the Lesser Antilles, and the Gulf of Mexico (Würsig et al. 2000), where they have been sighted year-round (Jefferson and Schiro 1997; O'Sullivan and Mullin 1997; Würsig et al. 2000). It is not known whether killer whales in the Gulf of Mexico range more widely into the Caribbean Sea and the adjacent North Atlantic (Würsig et al. 2000). A year-round killer whale population in the western North Atlantic may exist south of around 35° N (Katona et al. 1988).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—There are insufficient data to model the predicted occurrence of this species. A very small number of killer whale sightings are recorded in both shallow and deep waters of the OPAREA and vicinity. Several strandings are also reported along the coast of Florida (Figure B-22). Although this species is considered rare within the OPAREA, any occurrences would be expected seaward of the shoreline year-round based on sighting data and the diverse habitat associations of this species.

Behavior and Life History—Killer whales have the most stable social system known among all cetaceans. In all areas where longitudinal studies have been carried out, evidence suggests that there are long-term associations between killer whale individuals and limited dispersal from maternal groups (Baird 2000). Killer whales normally occur in small groups in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean; the largest recorded group size was 40 individuals (Katona et al. 1988). In the Atlantic, calving takes place in late fall to mid-winter (Jefferson et al. 2008); however location of killer whale breeding in the North Atlantic is unknown. Reproductive biology information is not available for killer whales in the western North Atlantic. However, among resident killer whales in the northeastern Pacific, females typically give birth for the first time at 11 to 15 years of age (Ford and Ellis 1999). Based on work in captivity, sexually mature males are 13 years and older (Robeck and Monfort 2006).

Killer whales have the widest prey diversity of any marine mammal. Fishes, cephalopods, seabirds, sea turtles, and other marine mammals are known prey (Katona et al. 1988; Jefferson et al. 1991; Visser and Bonoccorso 2003; Pitman and Dutton 2004; Visser 2005). Killer whales apparently use passive listening as a primary means of locating prey and vary echolocation patterns according to different hunting strategies (Barrett-Lennard et al. 1996). For example, they reduce, mask, or encode their signals in background noise when hunting other cetaceans, prey that can hear their high-frequency vocalizations (Deecke et al. 2005; Saulitis et al. 2005). In contrast, killer whales do not mask their high-frequency signals when hunting fish that cannot hear in this frequency range.

Diving behavior specific to the western North Atlantic is unknown. The maximum recorded depth for a free-ranging killer whale dive was 264 m off British Columbia (Baird et al. 2005a). A trained killer whale dove to 260 m (Dahlheim and Heyning 1999). The longest duration of a recorded dive was 17 min (Dahlheim and Heyning 1999). However, shallower dives were much more common for eight tagged individuals, where less than three percent of all dives examined were greater than 30 m in depth (Baird et al. 2003b).

Acoustics and Hearing— Killer whales produce a wide-variety of clicks and whistles, but most of the social sounds of this species are pulsed calls, with frequencies ranging from 500 Hz to 25 kHz (dominant frequency range: 1 to 6 kHz) (Thomson and Richardson 1995). Echolocation clicks recorded for Canadian killer whales foraging on salmon have source levels ranging from 195 to 224 dB re: 1 µPa-m peak-to-peak, a center frequency ranging from 45 to 80 kHz, and durations of 80 to 120 µs (Au et al. 2004). Echolocation clicks from Norwegian killer whales were considerably lower than the previously-mentioned study and ranged from 173 to 202 re: 1 µPa-m peak-to-peak. The clicks had a center frequency ranging from 22 to 49 kHz and durations of 31 to 203 µs (Simon et al.

2007). Source levels associated with social sounds have been calculated to range from 131 to 168 dB re 1 µPa-m and have been demonstrated to vary with vocalization type (e.g., whistles: average source level of 140.2 dB re 1 µPa-m, variable calls: average source level of 146.6 dB re 1 µPa-m, and stereotyped calls: average source level 152.6 dB re 1 µPa-m) (Veirs 2004). Additionally, killer whales modify their vocalizations depending on social context or ecological function (i.e., short-range vocalizations [<10 km range] are typically associated with social and resting behaviors and long-range vocalizations [10 to 16 km range] are associated with travel and foraging) (Miller 2006). Likewise, echolocation clicks are adapted to the type of fish prey (Simon et al. 2007).

Pulsed calls are the most frequently observed vocalization from killer whales and can be discrete, variable or abberant (Ford 1989; Holt 2008). The discrete or stereotyped calls are likely used to maintain group cohesion during travel activity or other periods of separation (Ford 1989; Filatova et al. 2007; Holt 2008). Foote and Nystuen (2008) examined the call structure (for calls between 0 and 10 kHz) of the three sympatric killer whale ecotypes (offshore, trasient and resident) in the Pacific Northwest in relation to ecological variables. Even though different between ecotypes, each group seemed to produce calls or a calling strategy outside the range of their identified prey (Foote and Nystuen 2008). Residents produced calls that overlapped their prey's hearing sensitivt at the low end but which included peak energy well above the prey's range. Transient killer whale calls all overlapped the hearing range of their primary prey (whales, porpoise and seals); however, members of this ecotype hunt silently (Barrett-Lennard et al. 1996).

Resident killer whales are very vocal, making calls during all types of behavioral states. Acoustic studies of resident killer whales in the Pacific Northwest have found that their dialects are highly stereotyped, repetitive, discrete calls, which are group-specific and shared by all members of each group (Ford 1991, 2002b). These dialects likely are used to maintain group identity and cohesion, and may serve as indicators of relatedness that help in the avoidance of inbreeding between closely-related whales (Ford 1991, 2002b). Dialects have been documented in northern Norway (Ford 2002a) and southern Alaskan killer whales populations (Yurk et al. 2002) and for resident killer whales in Southeast Kamchatka, Russia (Filatova et al. 2007), and are likely occur in other regions as well. A comparison of the variation in call parameters produced by resident, transient and offshore killer whales indicates significant shifts in minimum frequencies and peak frequency energy between these ecotypes, which likely correseponds to their foraging strategies and distribution (Foote and Nystuen 2008). Residents not need alter their sounds (i.e., frequency or amplitude) when hunting fishes, since most of their prey (i.e., salmonids) are not capable of hearing in this frequency range (i.e., > 20 kHz) (Hawkins and Johnstone 1978; Au et al. 2004). Transient killer whales, conversely, appear to use passive listening as a primary means of locating prey, call less often, and frequently vocalize or use high-amplitude vocalizations only when socializing (i.e., not hunting), trying to communicate over long distances, or after a successful attack, as a result of their prey's ability (i.e., primarily other marine mammal species) to hear or "eavesdrop" on their sounds (Barrett-Lennard et al. 1996; Deecke et al. 2005; Saulitis et al. 2005).

Both behavioral and ABR techniques indicate killer whales can hear a frequency range of 1 to 100 kHz with a range of best sensitivity (±10 dB from lowest threshold) between 18-42 kHz; however, their hearing is most sensitive at 20 kHz, which is one the lowest maximum-sensitivity frequencies known among toothed whales (Szymanski et al. 1999).

- Short-Finned and Long-Finned Pilot Whales (*Globicephala macrorhynchus* and *G. melas,* respectively)

Description—Pilot whales are among the largest dolphins, with long-finned pilot whales reaching 5.7 m (females) and 6.7 m (males) in length. Short-finned pilot whales may reach 5.5 m (females) and 6.1 m (males) in length (Jefferson et al. 1993). Pilot whales have bulbous heads, with a forehead that sometimes overhangs the rostrum, and little or no beak. The falcate dorsal fin is distinctive; being generally longer than it is high, with a rounded tip and set well forward of the body's mid-length. The flippers of long-finned pilot whales are extremely long, sickle shaped, and slender, with pointed tips, and an angled leading edge that forms an "elbow". Long-finned pilot whale flippers range from 18 to 27% of length. Short-finned pilot whale flippers are sickle shaped. Pilot whales are black, with a light-gray saddle patch behind the dorsal fin in some individuals. There is also a white to light-gray anchor-shaped patch on the chest. Short-finned pilot whales have flippers that are somewhat shorter than long-finned pilot whale at 16 to 22% of the total body length (Jefferson et al. 1993).

Status—The best estimate of pilot whale abundance (combined short-finned and long-finned) in the western North Atlantic is 31,139 individuals, and the minimum estimate is 24,866 individuals (Waring et al. 2008).

Fullard et al. (2000) proposed a stock structure for long-finned pilot whales in the North Atlantic that was correlated with sea-surface temperature. This involved a cold-water population west of the Labrador and North Atlantic current and a warm-water population that extended across the North Atlantic in the warmer water of the Gulf Stream. NMFS is currently conducting research to improve the understanding of pilot whale distribution and delineation.

Habitat Associations—Pilot whales occur along the continental shelf break, in continental slope waters, and in areas of high-topographic relief (Olson and Reilly 2002). They also occur close to shore at oceanic islands where the shelf is narrow and deeper waters are nearby (Mignucci-Giannoni 1998; Gannier 2000; Anderson 2005). While pilot whales are typically distributed along the continental shelf break, they are also commonly sighted on the continental shelf and inshore of the 100 m isobath, as well as seaward of the 2,000 m isobath north of Cape Hatteras (CETAP 1982; Payne and Heinemann 1993). Long-finned pilot whale sightings extend south along the continental slope to near Cape Hatteras (Abend and Smith 1999). Waring et al. (1992) sighted pilot whales principally along the northern wall of the Gulf Stream and along the shelf break at thermal fronts. A few of these sightings were also made in the mid-portion of the Gulf Stream near Cape Hatteras (Abend and Smith 1999).

Several studies in different regions suggest that pilot whale distributions and seasonal inshore and offshore movements coincide closely with the abundance of their preferred squid prey (Hui 1985; Payne and Heinemann 1993; Waring and Finn 1995; Bernard and Reilly 1999). Short-finned pilot whale distribution off southern California changed dramatically after the El Niño event in 1982 through 1983, when squid did not spawn in the area, and pilot whales virtually disappeared from the area for nine years (Shane 1994, 1995). Short-finned pilot whale occurrence in the Caribbean Sea seems to coincide with the inshore movement of spawning octopus (Mignucci-Giannoni 1998).

Distribution—Long-finned pilot whales are distributed in subpolar to temperate North Atlantic waters offshore and in some coastal waters. Short-finned pilot whales are found worldwide in warm-temperate and tropical offshore waters and are considered to be a tropical species that usually does not range north of 50ºN or south of 40ºS (Jefferson et al. 1993). Strandings have been reported as far north as New Jersey (Payne and Heinemann 1993). The apparent ranges of the two pilot whale species overlap in shelf/shelf-edge and slope waters of the northeastern U.S. between 35°N and 38° to 39°N (New Jersey to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina) (Payne and Heinemann 1993). Strandings of long-finned pilot whales have been recorded as far south as Florida (Waring et al. 2008). Short-finned pilot whales are common south of Cape Hatteras (Caldwell and Golley 1965; Irvine et al. 1979). Long-finned pilot whales appear to concentrate during winter along the continental shelf break primarily between Cape Hatteras and Georges Bank (Waring et al. 1990).

Pilot whales concentrate along the continental shelf break during late winter and early spring north of Cape Hatteras (CETAP 1982; Payne and Heinemann 1993). This corresponds to a general movement northward and onto the continental shelf from continental slope waters (Payne and Heinemann 1993). From June through September, pilot whales are broadly distributed over the continental shelf (Payne et al. 1990a), with the greater percentage of pilot whale sightings along the continental shelf breaks in the northeastern portion of Georges Bank and onto the Scotian Shelf. From May through October, pilot whales predominantly occur on the northern edge of central Georges Bank (Payne et al. 1990a). Movements from June through September continue northward into the Gulf of Maine and into Canadian waters. From September through December, the largest concentrations of pilot whales occur along the southwestern edge of Georges Bank. By December, many pilot whales have already moved offshore and southward (Payne and Heinemann 1993).

Short-finned pilot whales seem to move from offshore to continental shelf break waters and then northward to approximately 39º N, east of Delaware Bay during summer (Payne and Heinemann 1993). Sightings coalesce into a patchy continuum and, by December, most short-finned pilot whales occur in the mid-Atlantic slope waters east of Cape Hatteras (Payne and Heinemann 1993). Although pilot whales appear to be seasonally migratory, sightings indicate common year-round occurrence in some continental shelf areas, such as the southern margin of Georges Bank (CETAP 1982; Abend and Smith 1999).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—Identification of pilot whales to species is difficult at sea, and identification is often made to the generic level only. Records of unidentified pilot whales were included the model (Figures B-23-1 and B-23-2). The OPAREA is located south of the assumed region of overlap between both pilot whale species (Payne and Heinemann 1993). Thus, the sightings of unidentified pilot whales in the OPAREA are most likely of short-finned pilot whales which are more common south of Cape Hatteras. The majority of pilot whale strandings on beaches inshore of the OPAREA are of the short-finned pilot whale (Moore 1953; Layne 1965; Irvine et al. 1979; Winn et al. 1979; Schmidly 1981). Schmidly (1981) reported on two possible long-finned pilot whale skulls from localities south of latitude 34ºN (St. Catherine's Island, Georgia was the southernmost record), but noted that their identification had not been verified. If those two records were proven to be of long-finned pilot whales, they would be the southernmost records for this species in the western North Atlantic. Winn et al. (1979) suggested that the one confirmed record of a long-finned pilot whale south of Cape Hatteras at Oregon Inlet, North Carolina might be considered a straggler for this species which typically occurs farther north.

  Areas of predicted occurrence generally follow the path of the Gulf Stream and include areas of steep bottom topography (Figures B-23-1 and B-23-2). Throughout most of the deep waters of the OPAREA there is a lack of sufficient survey effort to characterize the occurrence patterns of this species.

  - Winter—The model output predicts occurrence along the shelf break and over steep portions of the continental slope which are areas of increased primary productivity (Figures B-23-1 and B-23-2). Predicted occurrence also includes nearshore waters along the North Atlantic right whale calving grounds (Figures B-23-1 and B-23-2). The large number of sightings recorded in this region is possibly a function of the intense survey effort for North Atlantic right whales while they are on their calving grounds. Pilot whales are expected seaward of the shelf break throughout the OPAREA. However, they may also occur between the shore and shelf break based on pilot whale sightings in the nearshore waters of the OPAREA and the known occurrence of pilot whales on the continental shelf and inshore of the 100 m isobath in other regions along the U.S. east coast (CETAP 1982; Payne and Heinemann 1993).

  - Spring—The model output predicts occurrence along the shelf break and over slope waters throughout much of the OPAREA and extending into deeper waters near the Blake Escarpment in the eastern portion of the OPAREA (Figures B-23-1 and B-23-2). The model output results generally fit with what is known about the habitat associations of this species. However, pilot whales would be expected seaward of the shelf break throughout the entire OPAREA.

  - Summer—The model output predicts areas of occurrence along the shelf break and in slope waters of the OPAREA (Figures B-23-1 and B-23-2). As is generally the case with other seasons, limited survey effort in the deeper waters is likely impacting the model output. In reality, occurrence during summer should be expected to extend fairly consistently seaward of the shelf break throughout the OPAREA.

- Fall—The model output predicts no occurrence of pilot whales during this time of year. However, the presence of this genus is recognized based on several sightings and strandings in and near the OPAREA (Figures B-23-1 and B-23-2). This is the season with the least amount of survey effort, particularly in offshore waters where this genus is expected to occur. It is likely that the model would generate occurrence for this genus if there was more survey effort during this time of year.

Behavior and Life History—Pilot whales are known to be highly social and are found in relatively stable maternal groups of a few to 100s of individuals (Jefferson et al. 1993). Genetic studies of long-finned pilot whales hunted in the Faroese drive fishery suggest that they may live in groups of mixed age and sex in which adult males and females are related and the males do not sire offspring in the group (Amos et al. 1993b; Amos et al. 1993a). In contrast, a recent behavioral study of long-finned pilot whales off Nova Scotia suggests that groups are ephemeral, with short-term associations between individuals over hours to days, and long-term associations with a subset of those individuals over years (Ottensmeyer and Whitehead 2003). This study could not account for the variation in social structure between geographic areas, but recommended genetic sampling of behaviorally studied populations.

Average age at sexual maturity for long-finned pilot whales is six years for females and 12 years for males. Average age at sexual maturity for short-finned pilot whales is nine years for females and 17 years for males. The gestation period for long-finned pilot whales is 15 months, with a mean calving interval of 3.3 years. The gestation period for short-finned pilot whales is 15 to 16 months, with a mean calving interval of 4.6 to 5.7 years. The calving peak for long-finned pilot whales is from July to September in the northern hemisphere (Bernard and Reilly 1999). Short-finned pilot whale calving peaks in the northern hemisphere are in the fall and winter for the majority of populations (Jefferson et al. 2008). Locations of breeding areas are unknown.

Pilot whales frequently associate with other cetaceans (Bernard and Reilly 1999). CETAP (1982) reported that mixed groups of pilot whales and offshore bottlenose dolphins were the most frequent multi-species association observed in offshore U.S. Atlantic areas. Associations between long-finned pilot whales and Atlantic white-sided dolphins have also been reported (CETAP 1982; Baraff and Asmutis-Silvia 1998).

Pilot whales are deep divers, staying submerged for up to 27 min and routinely diving to 600 to 800 m (Baird et al. 2003a; Aguilar de Soto et al. 2005). Mate (1989) described movements of a satellite-tagged, rehabilitated long-finned pilot whale released off Cape Cod that traveled roughly 7,600 km during the three months of the tag's operation. Daily movements of up to 234 km are documented. Deep diving occurred mainly at night, when prey within the deep scattering layer approached the surface. Tagged long-finned pilot whales in the Ligurian Sea were also found to make their deepest dives (up to 648 m) after dark (Baird et al. 2002). Two rehabilitated juvenile long-finned pilot whales released south of Montauk Point, New York made dives in excess of 26 min (Nawojchik et al. 2003). However, mean dive duration for a satellite tagged long-finned pilot whale in the Gulf of Maine ranged from 33 to 40 sec., depending upon the month (July through September) (Mate et al. 2005).

Both pilot whale species feed primarily on squids but also take fishes (Bernard and Reilly 1999). The long-finned squid (*Loligo pealei*) is a major component of mid-continental shelf and continental shelf break pilot whale diets from December through May (Waring et al. 1990). Overholtz and Waring (1991) and Gannon et al. (1997b; 1997a) found that pilot whales killed during mackerel fishing operations appeared to feed primarily on mackerel and long-finned squid, although Atlantic mackerel were also taken during trawling operations off the northeastern U.S. from December through May (Waring et al. 1990). Pilot whales in the western North Atlantic take Atlantic cod, Greenland turbot, lantern fish, Atlantic herring, silver hake, and spiny dogfish when squids are not available (Waring et al. 1990; Gannon et al. 1997b; Gannon et al. 1997a). Pilot whales are not generally known to prey on other marine mammals. However, records from the eastern tropical Pacific suggest that the short-finned pilot whale does occasionally chase, attack, and may eat dolphins during fishery operations (Perryman and Foster 1980). They have also been observed harassing sperm whales in the Gulf of Mexico (Weller et al. 1996b).

Both pilot whale species are known to mass strand; in fact, they are the most frequently-stranded cetaceans worldwide (Nelson and Lien 1996). An unusual mortality event involving 33 short-finned pilot whales recently occurred along the coast of North Carolina during January 2005 (Hohn et al. 2006). Stomach contents analyzed from 13 of the mass stranded short-finned pilot whales suggest dietary differentiation between short-finned and long-finned pilot whales (Jordán Sardi et al. 2005). Short-finned pilot whales fed primarily upon oceanic squids (*Brachioteuthis* and *Histioteuthis*) which reside seaward of the continental shelf break, while *Loligo pealei* is found in shallower waters. Dietary evidence also implies alternative distributions in the OPAREA during this time, with short-finned pilot whales occurring farther offshore than long-finned pilot whales.

Acoustics and Hearing—Pilot whale sound production includes whistles and echolocation clicks. Short-finned pilot whale whistles and clicks have a dominant frequency range of 2 to 14 kHz and 30 to 60 kHz, respectively, at an estimated source level of 180 dB re 1 µPa-m (Fish and Turl 1976; Ketten 1998a).

There are no hearing data available for either pilot whale species; however, the most sensitive hearing range for odontocetes generally includes high frequencies (Ketten 1997).

- <u>Harbor Porpoise</u> *(Phocoena phocoena)*

Description—Harbor porpoises are the smallest cetaceans in the North Atlantic with a maximum length of 2.0 m (Jefferson et al. 1993). The body is stocky, dark gray to black dorsally and white ventrally. There may be a dark stripe from the mouth to the flipper. The head is blunt, with no distinct beak. The flippers are small and pointed and the dorsal fin is short and triangular, located slightly behind the middle of the back.

Status—There are four proposed harbor porpoise populations in the western North Atlantic: Gulf of Maine and Bay of Fundy, Gulf of St. Lawrence, Newfoundland, and Greenland stocks (Gaskin 1992a). The Gulf of Maine and Gulf of Fundy harbor porpoises are currently recognized as a single management stock separate from the populations in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, Newfoundland, and Greenland. The best estimate of abundance for the Gulf of Maine and Bay of Fundy stock is 89,054 individuals; the minimum estimate is 60,970 individuals (Waring et al. 2008).

Habitat Associations—Harbor porpoises appear restricted to relatively cool waters where prey aggregations are concentrated (Watts and Gaskin 1985). Harbor porpoises are seldom found in waters warmer than 17ºC (Read 1999) and closely mirror the movements of their primary prey, Atlantic herring (Gaskin 1992a). Harbor porpoises are generally scarce in areas without significant coastal fronts or topographically-generated upwellings (Gaskin 1992a; Skov et al. 2003). Harbor porpoises occur most frequently over the continental shelf (Read 1999). However, pelagic drift net bycatches and movements of a satellite-tracked individual, which swam offshore into water over 1,800 m deep, indicate a potential offshore distribution (Read et al. 1996; Westgate et al. 1998). Records of bycaught individuals from the winter months coupled with a dearth of sightings over the continental shelf during the winter and spring suggest that this shift to offshore distribution may be seasonal in nature and may represent the winter range of harbor porpoises in the western North Atlantic (Read et al. 1996). However, the winter range of this species is very poorly known and there are not enough data to support unequivocally the presence of an offshore distribution (IWC 1996; Read 1999).

Distribution—Harbor porpoises occur in subpolar to cool-temperate waters in the North Atlantic and Pacific (Read 1999). Off the northeastern U.S., harbor porpoise distribution is strongly concentrated in the Gulf of Maine/Georges Bank region, with more scattered occurrences to the mid-Atlantic (CETAP 1982; Northridge 1996). Stranding data extend to northern Florida (Polacheck 1995; Read 1999) but the general distribution of this species is likely limited to coastal waters of North Carolina during the colder months. Genetic evidence suggests limited trans-Atlantic movement (Rosel et al. 1999a).

From July through September, harbor porpoises are concentrated in the northern Gulf of Maine and southern Bay of Fundy, generally in waters less than 150 m deep (Palka 1995), with a few sightings in the upper Bay of Fundy and on the northern edge of Georges Bank (Palka 2000). From October through December, harbor porpoise densities are widely dispersed from New Jersey to Maine, with lower densities to the north and south of this region (NMFS 2001). Most harbor porpoises are found on the continental shelf (Waring et al. 2008), with some sightings in continental slope and offshore waters (Westgate et al. 1998). During this time, sightings are concentrated in the southwestern and northern Gulf of Maine, as well as in the Bay of Fundy (CETAP 1982). From January through March, intermediate densities of harbor porpoises can be found in waters off New Jersey to North Carolina, and lower densities are found in waters off New York to New Brunswick, Canada (NMFS 2001). The New Jersey shore and approaches to New York harbor may represent an important January to March habitat (Westgate et al. 1998). A satellite tagged harbor porpoise, "Gus", was rehabilitated and released off the coast of Maine and followed the continental slope south to near Cape Hatteras between January and March of 2004 (WhaleNet 2004). During this time of year, significant numbers of porpoises occur along the mid-Atlantic shore from New Jersey to North Carolina (Waring et al. 2008), where they are subject to incidental mortality in a variety of coastal gillnet fisheries (Cox et al. 1998). Mid-Atlantic porpoise bycatches occur from December through May (Waring et al. 2008). Data indicate that only juvenile harbor porpoises are present in nearshore waters of the mid-Atlantic during this time (Cox et al. 1998). Harbor porpoises are not tied to shallow, nearshore waters during winter, as evidenced by a harbor porpoise caught in a pelagic drift net off North Carolina (Read et al. 1996). A largely offshore harbor porpoise distribution during winter may explain the paucity of sightings in the Bay of Fundy and Gulf of Maine (CETAP 1982). However, genetic data from mid-Atlantic stranded and by-caught porpoises show a mixture of different stocks rather than simply migrants from the Gulf of Maine and Bay of Fundy stock (Rosel et al. 1999b).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—The harbor porpoise primarily occurs on the continental shelf, in cool temperate to subpolar waters (Read 1999), that are at higher latitudes than the OPAREA. Occurrences of harbor porpoises in the mid-Atlantic are scattered (CETAP 1982; Northridge 1996). Stranding data indicate that the southern limit is northern Florida (Polacheck 1995; Read 1999). Therefore, any occurrences of harbor porpoises in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA should be considered extralimital. Winter is the only season with sporadic records of harbor porpoises in the OPAREA, and the model predicts occurrence on the continental shelf in the extreme northern part of the OPAREA (Figures B-24-1 and B-24-2). Extralimital sightings could occur in shelf waters of the OPAREA during spring and fall based on habitat associations. During summer, harbor porpoises are concentrated in the northern Gulf of Maine and lower Bay of Fundy region much farther north.

Behavior and Life History—Harbor porpoises are not known to form stable social groupings (Read 1999), which is the typical situation for species in the porpoise family. In most areas, harbor porpoises are found in small groups consisting of just a few individuals.

In contrast to other toothed whales, harbor porpoises mature at an earlier age, reproduce more frequently, and live for shorter periods (Read and Hohn 1995). In the Gulf of Maine, females mature at three years of age and give birth to one calf each year (Read and Hohn 1995). Calves are born in late spring (Read 1990b; Read and Hohn 1995). Generally, most calves are born April through August (Jefferson et al. 2008). The location of breeding areas is unknown. Many females are pregnant and lactating simultaneously (Read 1990a; Read and Hohn 1995). Relative to other cetaceans, harbor porpoises seem to allocate a larger percentage of their total body mass to blubber (McLellan et al. 2002), which helps them meet the energetic demands of living in a cold-water environment.

Harbor porpoises feed on a variety of small, schooling clupeoid (herring-like) and gadid (cod-like) fishes usually less than 30 cm in length (Read 1999). Atlantic herring and silver hake are the primary prey in the Bay of Fundy (Recchia and Read 1989). Atlantic herring is the most important prey of Gulf of Maine harbor porpoises during fall (Gannon et al. 1998a). At four to seven months of age (Read and Hohn 1995), harbor porpoise calves begin feeding on small, slow-moving krill and juvenile fishes (Smith and Read 1992; Gannon et al. 1998a).

Harbor porpoises make brief dives, generally lasting less than 5 min (Westgate et al. 1995). Tagged harbor porpoise individuals spend 3 to 7% of their time at the surface and 33 to 60% in the upper 2 m (Westgate et al. 1995; Read and Westgate 1997). Average dive depths range from 14 to 41 m with a maximum known dive of 226 m and average dive durations ranging from 44 to 103 sec (Westgate et al. 1995). Westgate and Read (1998) noted that dive records of tagged porpoises did not reflect the vertical migration of their prey; porpoises made deep dives during both day and night.

Acoustics and Hearing—Harbor porpoise vocalizations include clicks and pulses (Ketten 1998a), as well as whistle-like signals (Verboom and Kastelein 1995). The dominant frequency range is 110 to 150 kHz, with source levels between 135 and 205 dB re 1 µPa-m (Ketten 1998b; Villadsgaard et al. 2007). Echolocation signals include one or two low-frequency components in the 1.4 to 2.5 kHz range (Verboom and Kastelein 1995).

A behavioral audiogram of a harbor porpoise indicated the range of best sensitivity is 8 to 32 kHz at levels between 45 and 50 dB re 1 µPa-m (Andersen 1970); however, auditory-evoked potential (AEP) studies showed a much higher frequency range of approximately 125 to 130 kHz for best sensitivity (Bibikov 1992). The AEP method suggests that the harbor porpoises have two frequency ranges of best sensitivity depicted in a "W" shaped audiogram (Richardson 1995), while behavioral audiogram studies found the range of best hearing to be 16 to 140 kHz, with a reduced sensitivity around 64 kHz (Kastelein et al. 2002). Behavioral audiograms also presented a "U" shaped audiogram indicating a single peak of best sensitivity (Richardson 1995). Maximum sensitivity occurs between 100 and 140 kHz (Kastelein et al. 2002).

- Harbor Seal *(Phoca vitulina)*

Description—The harbor seal (or common seal) is a small to medium sized seal. Adult males attain a maximum length of 1.9 m and weigh 70 to 150 kg; females reach 1.7 m in length and weigh between 60 and 110 kg (Jefferson et al. 1993). The harbor seal has a dog-like head with nostrils that form a broad V-shape; this is one of the characteristics that distinguish them from immature gray seals (Baird 2001). Adult harbor seals exhibit considerable variability in the color and pattern of their pelage; the background color is tannish-gray overlaid by small darker spots, ring-like markings, or blotches (Bigg 1981).

Status—Five subspecies of *Phoca vitulin*a are recognized; *Phoca vitulina concolor* is the form found in the western North Atlantic (Rice 1998). Harbor seals are the most common and frequently reported seals in the northeastern U.S. (Katona et al. 1993). Currently, harbor seals along the coast of the eastern U.S. and Canadian coasts are considered a single population (Temte et al. 1991).

Pressure from hunting bounties in the late 1800s through 1962 resulted in a reduction or complete elimination of harbor seals in heavily exploited areas (Barlas 1999). A limit to the southward dispersion of harbor seals from Maine rookeries indirectly lead to their present seasonal occurrence. During the winter of 1980, a large-scale influenza epidemic in Gulf of Maine harbor seals resulted in a mass mortality event (Geraci et al. 1982). The population has since rebounded.

The best estimate of abundance of harbor seals for the western North Atlantic stock is 99,340 individuals (Waring et al. 2008). The minimum population estimate of 91,546 seals is based on corrected total counts along the coast of Maine in 2001 (Waring et al. 2008). An estimated 5,575 harbor seals over-wintered in southern New England in 1999, increasing from an estimated 2,834 individuals in 1981 (Barlas 1999). Kraus and Early (1995) suggested that the northeastern U.S. population increase could represent increasing southward shifts in wintering distribution.

Habitat Associations—Although primarily aquatic, harbor seals also utilize terrestrial environments where they haul out periodically. Harbor seals are a coastal species, usually found near shore, and frequently occupying bays, estuaries, and inlets (Baird 2001). Individual harbor seals have also been observed miles upstream in coastal rivers (Baird 2001).

Ideal harbor seal habitat includes suitable haulout sites, shelter during breeding periods, and sufficient food within close proximity to sustain the population throughout the year (Bjørge 2002). Haulout substrates vary but include intertidal and subtidal rocky outcrops, sandbars, sandy beaches, and even peat banks in salt marshes (Wilson 1978; Schneider and Payne 1983; Gilbert and Guldager 1998). Along the majority of the New England coast, harbor seals haul out on rocky outcroppings and intertidal ledges (Kenney 1994; Gilbert and Guldager 1998; Schroeder 2000).

Distribution—Harbor seals are one of the most widespread pinniped species and are found in subarctic to temperate nearshore waters. Their distribution ranges from the east Baltic west across the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans to southern Japan (Stanley et al. 1996). Harbor seals are year-round residents of eastern Canada (Boulva 1973) and coastal Maine (Katona et al. 1993; Gilbert and Guldager 1998). The greatest concentrations of harbor seals in northeastern U.S. waters are found along the coast of Maine, specifically in Machias and Penobscot bays and off Mt. Desert and Swans Islands (Katona et al. 1993).

Harbor seals occur south of Maine from late September through late May (Rosenfeld et al. 1988; Whitman and Payne 1990; Barlas 1999; Schroeder 2000). During winter, the population divides and disperses offshore into the Gulf of Maine south into southern New England, and a portion remains in coastal waters of Maine and Canada. Harbor seals have recently been observed over-wintering as far south as New Jersey (Slocum et al. 1999). Payne and Selzer (1989) noted that 75% of harbor seals south of Maine are located at haulout sites on Cape Cod and Nantucket Island, with the largest aggregation occurring at Monomoy Island and adjacent shoals. Although harbor seals of all ages and both sexes frequent winter haulout sites south of Maine, many of the over-wintering individuals are immature, suggesting that there might be seasonal segregation resulting from age-related competition for haulout sites near preferred pupping ledges and age-related differences in food requirements (Whitman and Payne 1990; Slocum and Schoelkopf 2001). Extralimital occurrences have been observed as far south as Florida (Caldwell and Caldwell 1969; NMFS unpublished data cited in Waring et al. 2008).

From at least October through December, harbor seal numbers decrease in Canadian waters (Terhune 1985) but increase three to five fold south of Maine (Rosenfeld et al. 1988). A general southward movement along the Canadian coast and northeastern U.S. is thought to occur during this period (Rosenfeld et al. 1988). Tagging efforts by Gilbert and Wynne (1985) support this hypothesis. Tagged harbor seals in Nova Scotia and Maine were later resighted in Massachusetts. Prior to pupping, this generalized movement pattern reverses as animals move northward to the coasts of Maine and eastern Canada.

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—There are insufficient data to model the predicted occurrence of this species since it is extralimital to the OPAREA. Several strandings have been documented in North Carolina and Virginia. Winn et al. (1979) suggested that harbor seals found in this area are likely young individuals that disperse from the north during the winter months. Stranding data support a consistent seasonal occurrence of harbor seals in this region (Harry et al. 2005). Note that these data were not available for inclusion in Figure B-25. Sightings and strandings of harbor seals have been documented throughout the year in South Carolina (Caldwell 1961; Caldwell and Golley 1965; McFee 2006). Vagrant harbor seals have been recorded as far south as Daytona Beach, Florida (Caldwell and Caldwell 1969) (Figure B-25). Harbor seals could move south along the coast of North Carolina and occur near the OPAREA any time of the year; however, any occurrences would be considered extralimital.

Behavior and Life History—Harbor seals normally form small groups of 30 to 80 individuals. However, larger groups are found in areas where prey is abundant (Ronald and Gots 2003). This species is gregarious on land, although individuals do not lie in close contact. However, a well-developed social structure is not apparent and individuals disperse when foraging (Baird 2001; Ronald and Gots 2003). Harbor seals inhabit rocky haulout sites and create hierarchies based upon size and sex, with territorial adult males dominating all other sex and age classes (Baird 2001). Harbor seals co-exist with gray seals in many non-breeding sites along the northeastern U.S.; these two species often haul out in close proximity (DeHart 2002).

Tidal stage is likely one of the more important daily influences on haulout behavior (Kovacs et al. 1990). Harbor seals come ashore either individually or in groups with low tide and form loose assemblages (Gilbert and Guldager 1998). When the tide rises, animals disperse into the water and usually spend the period of high tide foraging individually. Apparently, individuals return to specific haulout sites within seasons. However, human disturbance can affect haulout choice (Harris et al. 2003).

The timing of harbor seal pupping along the eastern North American coast varies geographically (Temte et al. 1991). Pupping takes place from mid May through mid June along the Maine coast (Richardson 1976; Wilson 1978; DeHart 2002). Harbor seal pups are extremely precocial at birth, normally entering the water within hours. Suckling pups spend as much as 40% of their time in water (Bowen et al. 1999). The nursing period lasts from 24 to 31 days (Thompson et al. 1994). Mating takes place in water shortly after pups are weaned and is followed by delayed implantation. In Maine, harbor seals haul out to molt in large numbers during the first two weeks of August (Gilbert and Guldager 1998).

Harbor seals are opportunistic feeders that adjust their feeding patterns to take advantage of locally and seasonally abundant prey (Payne and Selzer 1989; Baird 2001; Bjørge 2002). Harbor seal diet consists of fishes, cephalopods, and crustaceans (Bigg 1981), including sand lance, Atlantic herring, cod, and winter flounder (Payne and Selzer 1989; Wood et al. 2001). Feeding most frequently occurs during high tide. Individual harbor seals utilize different foraging habitats, repeatedly returning to the same location to feed. This may be a result of intraspecific competition for foraging sites and fish resources in close proximity to haulout sites (Bjørge 2002).

Harbor seals are generally shallow divers. About 50% of dives are shallower than 40 m and 95% are shallower than 250 m (Gjertz et al. 2001; Krafft et al. 2002; Eguchi and Harvey 2005). Dive durations are shorter than 10 min, with about 90% lasting less than 7 min (Gjertz et al. 2001). However, a tagged harbor seal in Monterey Bay dove as deep as 481 m and dive durations for older individuals may be as long as 32 min (Eguchi and Harvey 2005). Harbor seal pups swim and dive with their mothers, although for shorter periods when mothers are performing bouts of relatively deep dives (Bowen et al. 1999; Jørgensen et al. 2001; Bekkby and Bjørge 2003).

Acoustics and Hearing—Harbor seal males and females produce a variety of low-frequency in-air vocalizations including snorts, grunts, and growls, while pups make individually unique calls for mother recognition, which contain multiple harmonics with main energy at 0.35 kHz (Thomson and Richardson 1995). Adult males also produce several underwater sounds such as roars, bubbly growls, grunts, groans, and creaks during the breeding season. These sounds typically range from 0.025 to 4 kHz (duration range: 0.1 sec to 11 seconds) (Hanggi and Schusterman 1994). Hanggi and Schusteman (1994) found that there is individual variation in the dominant frequency range of sounds between different males, and Van Parijs et al. (2003) reported oceanic, regional, population, and site-specific levels of variation (i.e., could represent vocal dialects) between males.

Harbor seals hear nearly as well in air as underwater (Kastak and Schusterman 1998). Harbor seals are capable of hearing frequencies from 1 to 180 kHz (most sensitive at frequencies between 1kHz and 60 kHz using behavioral response testing) in water and from 0.25 to 30 kHz in air (most sensitive from 6 to 16 kHz using behavior and auditory brainstem response testing) (Richardson 1995; Terhune and Turnbull 1995; Wolski et al. 2003). Despite the absence of an external ear, harbor seals are capable of directional hearing in-air, giving them the ability to mask out background noise (Holt and Schusterman 2007). Underwater sound localization was demonstrated by Bodson et al. (2006). TTS for the harbor seal was assessed at 2.5 kHz and 3.53kHz, with 80 and 95 dB SL (sensation level, referenced to absolute auditory threshold at center frequency), by Kastak et al. (2005). Data indicated that the range of TTS onset would be between 183-206 dB re: 1μPa^{2}s (Kastak et al. 2005).

- <u>Hooded Seal</u> *(Cystophora cristata)*

Description—Hooded seals are large phocids, with average adult males reaching 2.5 m in length and 300 kg and some individuals over 400 kg (Kovacs 2002). Females are smaller, with adults averaging 2.2 m in length and weighing 200 kg (Kovacs 2002). Hooded seal pups are blue-black dorsally and silver-gray ventrally, which is where a common name of "blue-back" originates. Adults are gray to brown/black with black mottling (Reeves and Ling 1981). The most unique feature of hooded seals is the prominent two-part nasal ornament of sexually mature males giving them their most frequently used common name. This display attracts females and intimidates rival males during the breeding season. When relaxed, this nasal appendage hangs as a loose, wrinkled sac over the nose. However, when the nares are closed and the sac inflated, it becomes a large, tight, bilobed "hood" over the face and head. Adult males also have a very elastic nasal septum that they can extrude through one of their nostrils as a membranous, pink balloon.

Status—The world's hooded seal population consists of three separate stocks which are identified with a specific breeding site: Northwest Atlantic, Greenland Sea ("West Ice"), and White Sea ("East Ice") (Waring et al. 2008). The Western North Atlantic stock is divided into three breeding herds: the Front herd breeds off the coast of Newfoundland and Labrador, the Gulf herd breeds in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, and the other breeding area is in the Davis Strait (Waring et al. 2008). The other two stocks represent separate breeding herds. Recent genetic studies indicate that the world's hooded seals comprise a single panmictic genetic population; therefore, the four breeding herds are not genetically isolated (Coltman et al. 2007).

The best estimate of abundance for western North Atlantic hooded seals is 592,100 (Waring et al. 2008). Based on the 2005 pup survey of all three whelping areas in the Northwest Atlantic, the minimum population estimate for hooded seals in the western North Atlantic is 512,000 seals; however, data are insufficient to estimate the population in U.S. waters (Waring et al. 2008). Dramatic increases in hooded seal numbers on Sable Island have occurred concurrently with the recent increases of extralimital occurrences along the northeastern U.S. (Lucas and Daoust 2002).

Habitat Associations—Hooded seals inhabit the edge of the heavy pack ice while breeding and molting (Campbell 1987). Hooded seals follow an annual movement that keeps them in close association with drifting pack ice (Campbell 1987; Kovacs 2002) and preferentially inhabit waters at the edge of the continental shelf (Bowen and Siniff 1999).

Distribution—Hooded seals inhabit the pack ice zone of the North Atlantic from the Gulf of St. Lawrence, Newfoundland, and Labrador in the west to the Barents Sea (Campbell 1987). Hooded seals are not common south of the Gulf of St. Lawrence (Lucas and Daoust 2002). Hooded seals are concentrated in three discrete areas during the breeding season: in the "Front" off the coast of Newfoundland-Labrador and in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, in the Davis Strait, and on the "West Ice" around Jan Mayen Island off eastern Greenland (Campbell 1987). After the breeding season, hooded seal adults feed along the continental slope off southern Newfoundland and the southern Grand Banks for roughly 20 days before moving northward across the Labrador Basin to west Greenland in June (Bowen and Siniff 1999). Thereafter, individuals move into traditional molting areas on the southeast Greenland coast, near the Denmark Strait, or in a smaller patch along the northeast Greenland coast (Kovacs 2002). After the molt in late June and August, hooded seals disperse. Some individuals move south and west around the southern tip of Greenland and then north along western Greenland. Others move to the east and north between Greenland and Svalbard during late summer and early fall. Not much is known about the activities of hooded seals during the remainder of the year from molting until they reassemble in February for breeding (Campbell 1987).

The range of hooded seals may be considerably influenced by changes in ice cover and climate (Campbell 1987; Johnston et al. 2005b). Hooded seals can make extensive movements and show a tendency toward wandering, with extralimital sightings documented as far south as Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands (Mignucci-Giannoni and Odell 2001; Mignucci-Giannoni and Haddow 2002). Most extralimital sightings occur between late January and mid-May off the northeastern U.S. and during summer and fall off the southeastern U.S. and in the Caribbean Sea (McAlpine et al. 1999a; McAlpine et al. 1999b; Harris et al. 2001; Mignucci-Giannoni and Odell 2001). These extralimital animals have primarily been immature individuals, although adults are occasionally reported, including an incidence of pupping in Maine (Richardson 1975; Jakush 2004). Between January and September 2006, a total of 55 hooded seals stranded along the East Coast of the U.S. and as far south as the U.S. Virgin Islands; the majority of these strandings occurred during July, August, and September (NOAA 2006a).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—There are insufficient data to model the predicted occurrence of this species since it is extralimital to the OPAREA. Several records of hooded seals have been reported in North Carolina, Georgia, and Florida (Goodwin 1954; Mignucci-Giannoni and Odell 2001; Harry et al. 2005). Strandings near the OPAREA are depicted in Figure B-25 for summer and fall. It is possible for vagrant hooded seals to be found near the OPAREA throughout the year.

Behavior and Life History—Hooded seals are generally solitary outside of the breeding and molting seasons (Kovacs 2002). The breeding season is from late March to early April (Campbell 1987). Hooded seals demonstrate an extreme adaptation to the unstable and temporary nature of pack ice, with a nursing period of only four days (Bowen et al. 1985; Bowen and Siniff 1999). Thereafter, pups almost immediately enter the sea to make their way to the edge of the pack ice. Breeding behavior commences at weaning. Hooded seals may delay embryo implantation for as long as four months (Kovacs 2002).

Hooded seals feed primarily on deepwater fishes and squids (Reeves and Ling 1981; Campbell 1987; Kovacs 2002). Hooded seal pups initially feed on krill and other invertebrates until they develop the skills to capture fishes (Kovacs 2002). Adult hooded seals can dive to depths of over 1,000 m and remain underwater for nearly an hour (Folkow and Blix 1999).

Acoustics and Hearing—Hooded seals emit five different vocalizations, although it is suspected that their vocal repertoire is more diverse (Ballard and Kovacs 1995). Hooded seal calls are primarily aerial but can be produced underwater. Underwater sounds have most of their energy below 4 kHz and include "grungs", whoops, moans, trills, knocks, snorts, and buzzes (Terhune and Ronald 1973; Ballard and Kovacs 1995). Males produce low-frequency sounds in air that coincide with dominance displays utilizing the nasal appendage. Vester et al. (2003) recorded ultrasonic clicks produced by hooded seals, with a frequency range of 66 to 120 kHz and average source levels of 143 dB re 1 µPa-m in conjunction with hunting fish.

There are no direct measurements of the hearing abilities of the hooded seal (Kastelein 2007; Southall 2007). Composite Arctic seal hearing data is considered here in the absence of such information as recommended by the NMFS (Southall 2007). The range of underwater hearing for the ringed seal (*Pusa hispida*) ranges from 2.8 to 45 kHz, while in-air, they hear best in the range of 3 to 10 kHz (Terhune and Ronald 1975). The harp seal's (*Pagophilus groenlandicus*) underwater hearing range is from 1 to 40 kHz, with increased sensitivity at 2 and 22.9 kHz (measured from 760 Hz to 100 kHz) (Terhune and Ronald 1972). In-air, they hear from 1 to 32 kHz with greatest sensitivity at 29 dB at 4 kHz (Terhune and Ronald 1971).

3.1.3 Literature Cited

Abend, A.G. and T.D. Smith. 1999. Review of distribution of the long-finned pilot whale (*Globicephala melas*) in the North Atlantic and Mediterranean. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE-117:1-22.

Aburto, A., D.J. Rountry, and J.L. Danzer. 1997. Behavioral response of blue whales to active signals. Technical Report 1746 San Diego, California: Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center, RDT&E Division.

Acevedo-Gutiérrez, A. and S.C. Stienessen. 2004. Bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) increase number of whistles when feeding. Aquatic Mammals 30(3):357-362.

Adams, L.D. and P.E. Rosel. 2006. Population differentiation of the Atlantic spotted dolphin (*Stenella frontalis*) in the western North Atlantic, including the Gulf of Mexico. Marine Biology 148:671-681.

Agler, B.A., J.A. Beard, R.S. Bowman, H.D. Corbett, S.E. Frohock, M.P. Hawvermale, S.K. Katona, S.S. Sadove, and I.E. Seipt. 1990. Fin whale (*Balaenoptera physalus*) photographic identification: Methodology and preliminary results from the western North Atlantic. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 12):349-356.

Aguilar, A. 2002. Fin whale *Balaenoptera physalus*. Pages 435-438 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Aguilar de Soto, N., M.P. Johnson, and P.T. Madsen. 2005. Deep foraging of pilot and beaked whales: DTag results. Pages 13-14 in Abstracts, Nineteenth Annual Conference of the European Cetacean Society. 2-7 April 2005. La Rochelle, France.

Allen, B.M., D.E. Pitassy, J.G. Mead, and C.W. Potter. 2005. Beaked whale identification reference on the web: A tool for stranding responders. Page 11 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 12-16 December 2005. San Diego, California.

Allen, M.C., A.J. Read, J. Gaudet, and L.S. Sayigh. 2001. Fine-scale habitat selection of foraging bottlenose dolphins *Tursiops truncatus* near Clearwater, Florida. Marine Ecology Progress Series 222:253-264.

Amano, M. and M. Yoshioka. 2003. Sperm whale diving behavior monitored using a suction-cup-attached TDR tag. Marine Ecology Progress Series 258:291-295.

Amos, B., C. Schlotterer, and D. Tautz. 1993a. Social structure of pilot whales revealed by analytical DNA profiling. Science 260:670-672.

Amos, B., D. Bloch, G. Desportes, T.M.O. Majerus, D.R. Bancroft, J.A. Barrett, and G.A. Dover. 1993b. A review of molecular evidence relating to social organisation and breeding system in the long-finned pilot whale. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 14):209-217.

Andersen, S. 1970. Auditory sensitivity of the harbour porpoise *Phocoena phocoena*. Investigations on Cetacea 2:255-259.

Anderson, R.C. 2005. Observations of cetaceans in the Maldives, 1990-2002. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 7(2):119-135.

Anonymous. 2003. Sea lanes shift in Canada to save the whales. Marine Pollution Bulletin 46:1056.

Anonymous. 2006. Accidental tourist: Manatee cruises Hudson River. Accessed 15 August 2006. http://www.cnn.com/2006/US/08/07/manatee.hudson.river.ap/index.html.

Ansmann, I.C., J.C. Goold, P.G.H. Evans, M. Simmonds, and S.G. Keith. 2007. Variation in the whistle characteristics of short-beaked common dolphins, *Delphinus delphis*, at two locations around the British Isles. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 87(1):19-26.

Archer II, F.I. and W.F. Perrin. 1999. *Stenella coeruleoalba*. Mammalian Species 603:1-9.

Armstrong, P., C. Arthur, and C. Murray. 2005. Migratory bottlenose dolphin movements and numbers along the mid-Atlantic coast and their correlation with remotely sensed chlorophyll-a and sea surface temperatures. Prepared for the Undergraduate Research Experience in Ocean and Marine Science Program, Elizabeth City State University, Elizabeth City, North Carolina.

Atkinson, L.P. and T.E. Targett. 1983. Upwelling along the 60-m isobath from Cape Canaveral to Cape Hatteras and its relationship to fish distribution. Deep-Sea Research 30(2A):221-226.

Au, D.W.K. and W.L. Perryman. 1985. Dolphin habitats in the eastern tropical Pacific. Fishery Bulletin 83(4):623-643.

Au, W., J. Darling, and K. Andrews. 2001. High-frequency harmonics and source level of humpback whale songs. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 110(5):2770.

Au, W.W.L. 1993. The sonar of dolphins. New York, New York: Springer-Verlag.

Au, W.W.L. and D.L. Herzing. 2003. Echolocation signals of wild Atlantic spotted dolphin (*Stenella frontalis*). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 113(1):598-604.

Au, W.W.L., A.N. Popper, and R.R. Fay, eds. 2000. Hearing by whales and dolphins. New York, New York: Springer-Verlag.

Au, W.W.L., J.K.B. Ford, J.K. Horne, and K.A. Newman Allman. 2004. Echolocation signals of free-ranging killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) and modeling of foraging for chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 115(2):901-909.

Au, W.W.L., A.A. Pack, M.O. Lammers, L.M. Herman, M.H. Deakos, and K. Andrews. 2006. Acoustic properties of humpback whale songs. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 120(2):1103-1110.

Baird, R.W. 2000. The killer whale: Foraging specializations and group hunting. Pages 127-153 in Mann, J., R.C. Connor, P.L. Tyack, and H. Whitehead, eds. Cetacean societies: Field studies of dolphins and whales. Chicago, Illinois: University of Chicago Press.

Baird, R.W. 2001. Status of harbour seals*, Phoca vitulina*, in Canada. Canadian Field-Naturalist 115(4):663-675.

Baird, R.W. 2002. False killer whale *Pseudorca crassidens*. Pages 411-412 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Baird, R.W. 2005. Sightings of dwarf (*Kogia sima*) and pygmy (*K. breviceps*) sperm whales from the main Hawaiian Islands. Pacific Science 59(3):461-466.

Baird, R.W. and A.M. Gorgone. 2005. False killer whale dorsal fin disfigurements as a possible indicator of long-line fishery interactions in Hawaiian waters. Pacific Science 59(4):593-601.

Baird, R.W., K.M. Langelier, and P.J. Stacey. 1989. First records of false killer whales, *Pseudorca crassidens*, in Canada. Canadian Field-Naturalist 103:368-371.

Baird, R.W., P.J. Stacey, and H. Whitehead. 1993. Status of the striped dolphin, *Stenella coeruleoalba*, in Canada. Canadian Field-Naturalist 107(4):455-465.

Baird, R.W., A.D. Ligon, and S.K. Hooker. 2000. Sub-surface and night-time behavior of humpback whales off Maui, Hawaii: A preliminary report. Contract number 40ABNC050729 Prepared for the Hawaiian Islands Humpback Whale National Marine Sanctuary, Kihei, Hawaii by the Hawaii Wildlife Fund, Paia, Hawaii.

Baird, R.W., M.B. Hanson, and L.M. Dill. 2005a. Factors influencing the diving behaviour of fish-eating killer whales: Sex differences and diel and interannual variation in diving rates. Canadian Journal of Zoology 83:257-267.

Baird, R.W., D. Nelson, J. Lien, and D.W. Nagorsen. 1996. The status of the pygmy sperm whale, *Kogia breviceps,* in Canada. Canadian Field-Naturalist 110(3):525-532.

Baird, R.W., A.D. Ligon, S.K. Hooker, and A.M. Gorgone. 2001. Subsurface and nighttime behaviour of pantropical spotted dolphins in Hawaii. Canadian Journal of Zoology 79:988-996.

Baird, R.W., J.F. Borsani, M.B. Hanson, and P.L. Tyack. 2002. Diving and night-time behavior of long-finned pilot whales in the Ligurian Sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series 237:301-305.

Baird, R.W., D.J. McSweeney, M.R. Heithaus, and G.J. Marshall. 2003a. Short-finned pilot whale diving behavior: Deep feeders and day-time socialites. Page 10 in Abstracts, Fifteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 14-19 December 2003. Greensboro, North Carolina.

Baird, R.W., D.J. McSweeney, A.D. Ligon, and D.L. Webster. 2004. Tagging feasability and diving of Cuvier's beaked whales (*Ziphius cavirostris*) and Blainville's beaked whales (*Mesoplodon densirostris*) in Hawai'i. Order Number AB133F-03-SE-0986 Prepared for the National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Fisheries Science Center, La Jolla, California.

Baird, R.W., M.B. Hanson, E.E. Ashe, M.R. Heithaus, and G.J. Marshall. 2003b. Studies of foraging in "southern resident" killer whales during July 2002: Dive depths, bursts in speed, and the use of a "Crittercam" system for examining sub-surface behavior. Order Number AB133F-02-SE-1744 Prepared for the National Marine Fisheries Service, National Marine Mammal Laboratory, Seattle, Washington.

Baird, R.W., D.L. Webster, D.J. McSweeney, A.D. Ligon, and G.S. Schorr. 2005b. Diving behavior and ecology of Cuvier's (*Ziphius cavirostris*) and Blainville's beaked whales (*Mesoplodon densirostris*) in Hawai'i. Order No. AB133F-04-RQ-0928 Prepared for Southwest Fisheries Science Center, La Jolla, California by Cascadia Research Collective, Olympia, Washington.

Baker, C.S. and L.M. Herman. 1984. Aggressive behavior between humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) wintering in Hawaiian waters. Canadian Journal of Zoology 62:1922-1937.

Ballance, L.T. and R.L. Pitman. 1998. Cetaceans of the western tropical Indian Ocean: Distribution, relative abundance, and comparisons with cetacean communities of two other tropical ecosystems. Marine Mammal Science 14(3):429-459.

Ballard, K.A. and K.M. Kovacs. 1995. The acoustic repertoire of hooded seals (*Cystophora cristata*). Canadian Journal of Zoology 73:1362-1374.

Bane, J.M., Jr., L.P. Atkinson, and D.A. Brooks. 2001. Gulf Stream physical oceanography at the Charleston Bump: Deflection, bimodality, meanders, and upwelling. Pages 25-36 in Sedberry, G.R., ed. Island in the stream, oceanography, and fisheries of the Charleston Bump. American Fisheries Society Symposium 25. Bethesda, Maryland: American Fisheries Society.

Banick, K. and J.L. Borger. 2005. A mass-stranding event of rough-toothed dolphins (*Steno bredanensis*) in the Florida Keys (Florida, USA): II. Release and tracking. Page 24 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 12-16 December 2005. San Diego, California.

Baraff, L.S. and R.A. Asmutis-Silvia. 1998. Long-term association of an individual long-finned pilot whale and Atlantic white-sided dolphins. Marine Mammal Science 14(1):155-161.

Barco, S., W. McLellan, J. Allen, R. Asmutis, R. Mallon-Day, E. Meagher, D.A. Pabst, J. Robbins, R. Seton, W.M. Swingle, M. Weinrich, and P. Clapham. 2002. Population identity of humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) in the waters of the U.S. mid-Atlantic states. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 4(2):135-141.

Barco, S.G., W.M. Swingle, W.A. McLellan, R.N. Harris, and D.A. Pabst. 1999. Local abundance and distribution of bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) in the nearshore waters of Virginia Beach, Virginia. Marine Mammal Science 15(2):394-408.

Barlas, M.E. 1999. The distribution and abundance of harbor seals *(Phoca vitulina concolor)* and gray seals *(Halichoerus grypus)* in southern New England, Winter 1998- Summer 1999. Master's thesis, Boston University.

Barlow, J. 1999. Trackline detection probability for long-diving whales. Pages 209-221 in Garner, G.W., S.C. Amstrup, J.L. Laake, B.F.J. Manly, L.L. McDonald, and D.G. Robertson, eds. Marine mammal survey and assessment methods. Rotterdam, Netherlands: A.A. Balkema.

Barlow, J., M.C. Ferguson, W.F. Perrin, L. Ballance, T. Gerrodette, G. Joyce, C.D. MacLeod, K. Mullin, D.L. Palka, and G. Waring. 2006. Abundance and densities of beaked and bottlenose whales (family Ziphiidae). Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 7(3):263-270.

Baron, S. 2006. Personal communication via email between Dr. Susan Baron, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, Miami, Florida, and Dr. Amy R. Scholik, Geo-Marine, Inc., Hampton, Virginia, 31 August.

Barrett-Lennard, L.G., J.K.B. Ford, and K.A. Heise. 1996. The mixed blessing of echolocation: Differences in sonar use by fish-eating and mammal-eating killer whales. Animal Behaviour 51:553-565.

Barros, N.B. and A.A. Myrberg, Jr. 1987. Prey detection by means of passive listening in bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 82(Supplement 1):S65.

Barros, N.B. and D.K. Odell. 1990. Food habits of bottlenose dolphins in the southeastern United States. Pages 309-328 in Leatherwood, S. and R.R. Reeves, eds. The bottlenose dolphin. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Baumgartner, M.F. 1997. The distribution of Risso's dolphin (*Grampus griseus*) with respect to the physiography of the northern Gulf of Mexico. Marine Mammal Science 13(4):614-638.

Baumgartner, M.F. and B.R. Mate. 2003. Summertime foraging ecology of North Atlantic right whales. Marine Ecology Progress Series 264:123-135.

Baumgartner, M.F. and B.R. Mate. 2005. Summer and fall habitat of North Atlantic right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*) inferred from satellite telemetry. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 62:527-543.

Baumgartner, M.F., D.M. Fratantoni, and C.W. Clark. 2005. Advancing marine mammal ecology research with simultaneous oceanographic and acoustic observations from autonomous underwater vehicles. Pages 27-28 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 12-16 December 2005. San Diego, California.

Baumgartner, M.F., C.A. Mayo, and R.D. Kenney. 2007. Enormous carnivores, microscopic food, and a restaurant that's hard to find. Pages 138-171 in Kraus, S.D. and R.M. Rolland, eds. The urban whale: North Atlantic right whales at the crossroads. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.

Baumgartner, M.F., K.D. Mullin, L.N. May, and T.D. Leming. 2001. Cetacean habitats in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Fishery Bulletin 99:219-239.

Baumgartner, M.F., T.V.N. Cole, P.J. Clapham, and B.R. Mate. 2003a. North Atlantic right whale habitat in the lower Bay of Fundy and on the SW Scotian Shelf during 1999-2001. Marine Ecology Progress Series 264:137-154.

Baumgartner, M.F., T.V.N. Cole, R.G. Campbell, G.J. Teegarden, and E.G. Durbin. 2003b. Associations between North Atlantic right whales and their prey, *Calanus finmarchicus*, over diel and tidal time scales. Marine Ecology Progress Series 264:155-166.

Bazúa-Durán, C. and W.W.L. Au. 2002. The whistles of Hawaiian spinner dolphins. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 112(6):3064-3072.

Beamish, P. and E. Mitchell. 1973. Short pulse length audio frequency sounds recorded in the presence of a Minke whale (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*). Deep-Sea Research 20(4):375-386.

Beardsley, R.C., A.W. Epstein, C. Chen, K.F. Wishner, M.C. Macaulay, and R.D. Kenney. 1996. Spatial variability in zooplankton abundance near feeding right whales in the Great South Channel. Deep-Sea Research 43(7-8):1601-1625.

Bearzi, M. 2003. Behavioral ecology of the marine mammals of Santa Monica Bay, California. Ph.D. diss., University of California at Los Angeles.

Beck, C. 2006a. Florida manatee travels to Cape Cod, Massachusetts. SireNews 46:15-16.

Beck, C. 2006b. Personal communication via email between Ms. Cathy Beck, U.S. Geological Survey, Sirenia Project, Gainesville, Florida, and Ms. Dagmar Fertl, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas, 10 May.

Beck, C. 2006c. Personal communication via email between Ms. Cathy Beck, U.S. Geological Survey, Sirenia Project, Gainsville, Florida, and Ms. Dagmar Fertl, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas, 6 September, 27 October.

Bekkby, T. and A. Bjørge. 2003. Joint diving behaviour of harbour seal (*Phoca vitulina*) females and pups in the lactation period. Sarsia 88:369-372.

Benoit-Bird, K.J. 2004. Prey caloric value and predator energy needs: Foraging predictions for wild spinner dolphins. Marine Biology 145:435-444.

Benoit-Bird, K.J. and W.W.L. Au. 2003. Prey dynamics affect foraging by a pelagic predator (*Stenella longirostris*) over a range of spatial and temporal scales. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 53:364-373.

Benoit-Bird, K.J. and W.W.L. Au. 2004. Diel migration dynamics of an island-associated sound-scattering layer. Deep-Sea Research I 51:707-719.

Benoit-Bird, K.J., W.W.L. Au, R.E. Brainard, and M.O. Lammers. 2001. Diel horizontal migration of the Hawaiian mesopelagic boundary community observed acoustically. Marine Ecology Progress Series 217:1-14.

Berchok, C.L., D.L. Bradley, and T.B. Gabrielson. 2006. St. Lawrence blue whale vocalizations revisited: Characterization of calls detected from 1998 to 2001. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 120(4):2340-2354.

Bernard, H.J. and S.B. Reilly. 1999. Pilot whales *Globicephala* Lesson, 1828. Pages 245-279 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 6: The second book of dolphins and the porpoises. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Best, P.B. and C.H. Lockyer. 2002. Reproduction, growth and migrations of sei whales *Balaenoptera borealis* off the west coast of South Africa in the 1960s. South African Journal of Marine Science 24:111-133.

Best, P.B., J.L. Bannister, R.L. Brownell, Jr., and G.P. Donovan, eds. 2001. Right whales: Worldwide status. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue 2):1-309.

Bibikov, N.G. 1992. Auditory brainstem responses in the harbor porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*). Pages 197-211 in Thomas, J.A., R.A. Kastelein, and A.Y. Supin, eds. Marine mammal sensory systems. New York, New York: Plenum Press.

Bigg, M. 1981. Harbour seal *Phoca vitulina* and *P. largha*. Pages 55-87 in Ridgway, S.H. and R.J. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 2: Seals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Biggs, D.C., R.R. Leben, and J.G. Ortega-Ortiz. 2000. Ship and satellite studies of mesoscale circulation and sperm whale habitats in the northeast Gulf of Mexico during GulfCet II. Gulf of Mexico Science 2000(1):15-22.

Bjørge, A. 2002. How persistent are marine mammal habitats in an ocean of variability? Pages 63-91 in Evans, P.G.H. and J.A. Raga, eds. Marine mammals: Biology and conservation. New York, New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.

Blomqvist, C., I. Mello, and M. Amundin. 2005. An acoustic play-fight signal in bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) in human care. Aquatic Mammals 31(2):187-194.

Bodson, A., L. Miersch, B. Mauck, and G. Dehnhardt. 2006. Underwater auditory localization by a swimming harbor seal (*Phoca vitulina*). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 120(3):1550-1557.

Bolaños, J. and A. Villarroel-Marin. 2003. Three new records of cetacean species for Venezuelan waters. Caribbean Journal of Science 39(2):230-232.

Bossart, G.D., R.A. Meisner, S.A. Rommel, S. Ghim, and A.B. Johnson. 2002. Pathological features of the Florida manatee cold stress syndrome. Aquatic Mammals 29(1):9-17.

Bossart, G.D., L. Hansen, J.D. Goldstein, D. Kilpatrick, S. Bechdel, E. Howells, K. Kroell, M. De Sieyes, M.K. Stolen, W.K. Durden, J.S. Reif, R.H. Defran, and S.D. McCulloch. 2007. Pathological findings in a rare mass stranding of melon-headed whales (*Peponocephala electra*) in Florida. Aquatic Mammals 33(2):235-240.

Boulva, J. 1973. The harbour seal, *Phoca vitulina concolor*, in eastern Canada. Ph.D. diss., Dalhousie University.

Bowen, W.D. and D.B. Siniff. 1999. Distribution, population biology, and feeding ecology of marine mammals. Pages 423-484 in Reynolds III, J.E. and S.A. Rommel, eds. Biology of marine mammals. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Bowen, W.D., O.T. Oftedal, and D.J. Boness. 1985. Birth to weaning in 4 days: Remarkable growth in the hooded seal, *Cystophora cristata*. Canadian Journal of Zoology 63:2841-2846.

Bowen, W.D., D.J. Boness, and S.J. Iverson. 1999. Diving behaviour of lactating harbour seals and their pups during maternal foraging trips. Canadian Journal of Zoology 77:978-988.

Bowen, W.D., C.A. Beck, and D.A. Austin. 2002. Pinniped ecology. Pages 911-921 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Boyd, I.L., C. Lockyer, and H.D. Marsh. 1999. Reproduction in marine mammals. Pages 218-286 in Reynolds III, J.E. and S.A. Rommel, eds. Biology of marine mammals. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Breese, D. and B.R. Tershy. 1993. Relative abundance of cetacea in the Canal de Ballenas, Gulf of California. Marine Mammal Science 9(3):319-324.

Brown, D.H. and H.E. Winn. 1989. Relationship between the distribution pattern of right whales, *Eubalaena glacialis*, and satellite-derived sea surface thermal structure in the Great South Channel. Continental Shelf Research 9:247-260.

Bullock, T.H., T.J. O'Shea, and M.C. McClune. 1982. Auditory evoked potentials in the West Indian manatee (Sirenia: *Trichechus manatus)*. Journal of Comparative Physiology 148:547-554.

Calambokidis, J., E. Oleson, M. McDonald, B. Burgess, J. Francis, G. Marshall, M. Bakhtiari, and J. Hildebrand. 2003. Feeding and vocal behavior of blue whales determined through simultaneous visual-acoustic monitoring and deployment of suction-cup attached tags. Page 27 in Abstracts, Fifteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 14-19 December 2003. Greensboro, North Carolina.

Calambokidis, J., G.H. Steiger, J.M. Straley, L.M. Herman, S. Cerchio, D.R. Salden, J. Urbán R, J.K. Jacobsen, O. von Ziegesar, K.C. Balcomb, C.M. Gabriele, M.E. Dahlheim, S. Uchida, G. Ellis, Y. Miyamura, P. Ladrón de Guevara P., M. Yamaguchi, F. Sato, S.A. Mizroch, L. Schlender, K. Rasmussen, J. Barlow, and T.J. Quinn II. 2001. Movements and population structure of humpback whales in the North Pacific. Marine Mammal Science 17(4):769-794.

Caldwell, D.K. 1961. The harbor seal in South Carolina. Journal of Mammalogy 42(3):425.

Caldwell, D.K. and F.B. Golley. 1965. Marine mammals from the coast of Georgia to Cape Hatteras. Journal of the Elisha Mitchell Scientific Society 81(1):24-32.

Caldwell, D.K. and M.C. Caldwell. 1966. Observations on the distribution, coloration, behavior and audible sound production of the spotted dolphin, *Stenella plagiodon* (Cope). Los Angeles County Museum Contributions in Science 104:1-28.

Caldwell, D.K. and M.C. Caldwell. 1969. The harbor seal, *Phoca vitulina concolor*, in Florida. Journal of Mammalogy 50(2):379-380.

Caldwell, D.K. and M.C. Caldwell. 1971a. Beaked whales, Z*iphius cavirostris*, in the Bahamas. Quarterly Journal of the Florida Academy of Sciences 34(2):157-160.

Caldwell, D.K. and M.C. Caldwell. 1971b. The pygmy killer whale, *Feresa attenuata*, in the western Atlantic, with a summary of world records. Journal of Mammalogy 52(1):206-209.

Caldwell, D.K. and M.C. Caldwell. 1972. The world of the bottlenosed dolphin. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: J.B. Lippincott Company.

Caldwell, D.K. and M.C. Caldwell. 1973. Marine mammals of the eastern Gulf of Mexico. Pages 1-23 in Jones, J.I., R.E. Ring, M.O. Rinkel, and R.E. Smith, eds. A summary of knowledge of the eastern Gulf of Mexico. St. Petersburg, Florida: Institute of Oceanography.

Caldwell, D.K. and M.C. Caldwell. 1975. Pygmy killer whales and short-snouted spinner dolphins. Cetology 18:1-5.

Caldwell, D.K. and M.C. Caldwell. 1989. Pygmy sperm whale *Kogia breviceps* (de Blainville, 1838): Dwarf sperm whale *Kogia simus* Owen, 1866. Pages 235-260 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 4: River dolphins and the larger toothed whales. London, United Kingdom: Academic Press.

Caldwell, D.K., H. Neuhauser, M.C. Caldwell, and H.W. Coolidge. 1971. Recent records of marine mammals from the coasts of Georgia and South Carolina. Cetology 5:1-12.

Caldwell, M.C. and D.K. Caldwell. 1965. Individualized whistle contours in bottlenosed dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus)*. Nature 207:434-435.

Campbell, R.R. 1987. Status of the hooded seal, *Cystophora cristata*, in Canada. Canadian Field-Naturalist 101:253-265.

Cañadas, A., R. Sagarminaga, and S. García-Tiscar. 2002. Cetacean distribution related with depth and slope in the Mediterranean waters off southern Spain. Deep-Sea Research I 49:2053-2073.

Cardona-Maldonado, M.A. and A.A. Mignucci-Giannoni. 1999. Pygmy and dwarf sperm whales in Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands, with a review of *Kogia* in the Caribbean. Caribbean Journal of Science 35(1-2):29-37.

CETAP (Cetacean and Turtle Assessment Program). 1982. Characterization of marine mammals and turtles in the Mid- and North Atlantic areas of the U.S. Outer Continental Shelf. Contract AA551-CT8-48 Prepared for U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Washington, D.C. by Cetacean and Turtle Assessment Program, University of Rhode Island, Graduate School of Oceanography, Kingston, Rhode Island.

Charif, R.A., D.K. Mellinger, K.J. Dunsmore, K.M. Fristrup, and C.W. Clark. 2002. Estimated source levels of fin whale (*Balaenoptera physalus*) vocalizations: Adjustments for surface interference. Marine Mammal Science 18(1):81-98.

Chivers, S.J., R.G. LeDuc, K.M. Robertson, N.B. Barros, and A.E. Dizon. 2005. Genetic variation of *Kogia* spp. with preliminary evidence for two species of *Kogia sima*. Marine Mammal Science 21(4):619-634.

Chou, L.-S. 2005. Personal communication via email between Dr. Lien-Siang Chou, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, and Dr. Amy R. Scholik, Geo-Marine, Inc., Hampton, Virginia, 11 January.

Clapham, P., S. Leatherwood, I. Szczepaniak, and R.L. Brownell, Jr. 1997. Catches of humpback and other whales from shore stations at Moss Landing and Trinidad, California, 1919-1926. Marine Mammal Science 13(3):368-394.

Clapham, P.J. 1996. The social and reproductive biology of humpback whales: An ecological perspective. Mammal Review 26(1):27-49.

Clapham, P.J. 2000. The humpback whale: Seasonal feeding and breeding in a baleen whale. Pages 173-196 in Mann, J., R.C. Connor, P.L. Tyack, and H. Whitehead, eds. Cetacean societies: Field studies of dolphins and whales. Chicago, Illinois: University of Chicago Press.

Clapham, P.J. 2002. Humpback whale *Megaptera novaeangliae*. Pages 589-592 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Clapham, P.J. and D.K. Mattila. 1990. Humpback whale songs as indicators of migration routes. Marine Mammal Science 6(2):155-160.

Clapham, P.J. and J.G. Mead. 1999. *Megaptera novaeangliae*. Mammalian Species 604:1-9.

Clapham, P.J., S.B. Young, and R.L. Brownell, Jr. 1999. Baleen whales: Conservation issues and the status of the most endangered populations. Mammal Review 29(1):35-60.

Clapham, P.J., L.S. Baraff, C.A. Carlson, M.A. Christian, D.K. Mattila, C.A. Mayo, M.A. Murphy, and S. Pittman. 1993. Seasonal occurrence and annual return of humpback whales, *Megaptera novaeangliae*, in the southern Gulf of Maine. Canadian Journal of Zoology 71:440-443.

Claridge, D. 2003. Examining distribution and habitat preferences of deep-diving cetaceans, including beaked whales, in Northwest Providence Channel, the Bahamas using geographic information system (GIS) mapping techniques. Page 33 in Abstracts, Fifteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 14-19 December 2003. Greensboro, North Carolina.

Claridge, D.E. 2005. Age-class segregation of Blainville's beaked whale (*Mesoplodon densirostris*) groups in The Bahamas. Pages 59-60 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 12-16 December 2005. San Diego, California.

Clark, C.W. 1995. Annex M. Matters arising out of the discussion of blue whales: Annex M1. Application of US Navy underwater hydrophone arrays for scientific research on whales. Reports of the International Whaling Commission 45:210-212.

Clark, C.W. and K.M. Fristrup. 1997. Whales '95: A combined visual and acoustic survey of blue and fin whales off southern California. Reports of the International Whaling Commission 47:583-600.

Clark, C.W. and W.T. Ellison. 2004. Potential use of low-frequency sounds by baleen whales for probing the environment: Evidence from models and empirical measurements. Pages 564-582 in Thomas, J.A., C.F. Moss, and M. Vater, eds. Echolocation in bats and dolphins. Chicago, Illinois: University of Chicago Press.

Clark, C.W. and G.J. Gagnon. 2004. Low-frequency vocal behaviors of baleen whales in the North Atlantic: Insights from Integrated Undersea Surveillance System detections, locations, and tracking from 1992 to 1996. Journal of Underwater Acoustics (US Navy) 52(3).

Clark, C.W. and P.J. Clapham. 2004. Acoustic monitoring on a humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) feeding ground shows continual singing into late spring. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 271:1051-1057.

Clarke, M.R. 1996. Cephalopods as prey. III. Cetaceans. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B 351:1053-1065.

Coakes, A.K. and H. Whitehead. 2004. Social structure and mating system of sperm whales off northern Chile. Canadian Journal of Zoology 82:1360-1369.

Coles, P. 2001. Identifying beaked whales at sea in North Atlantic waters. Pages 81-90 in Cresswell, G. and D. Walker, eds. A report on the whales, dolphins and seabirds of the Bay of Biscay and English Channel. Shetland Islands, United Kingdom: Organisation Cetacea (ORCA).

Coltman, D.W., G. Stenson, M.O. Hammill, T. Haug, C.S. Davis, and T.L. Fulton. 2007. Panmictic population structure in the hooded seal (*Cystophora cristata*). Molecular Ecology 16:1639-1648.

Connor, R.C., R.S. Wells, J. Mann, and A.J. Read. 2000. The bottlenose dolphin: Social relationships in a fission-fusion society. Pages 91-126 in Mann, J., R.C. Connor, P.L. Tyack, and H. Whitehead, eds. Cetacean societies: Field studies of dolphins and whales. Chicago, Illinois: University of Chicago Press.

Conversi, A., S. Piontkovski, and S. Hameed. 2001. Seasonal and interannual dynamics of *Calanus finmarchicus* in the Gulf of Maine (northeastern US shelf) with reference to the North Atlantic Oscillation. Deep-Sea Research II 48:519-530.

Cook, M.L.H., C.A. Manire, and D.A. Mann. 2005. Auditory evoked potential (AEP) measurements in stranded rough-toothed dolphins (*Steno bredanensis*). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 117(4, Part 2):2441.

Cook, M.L.H., L.S. Sayigh, J.E. Blum, and R.S. Wells. 2004. Signature-whistle production in undisturbed free-ranging bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus)*. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 271:1043-1049.

Cook, M.L.H., R.A. Varela, J.D. Goldstein, S.D. McCulloch, G.D. Bossart, J.J. Finneran, D. Houser, and D.A. Mann. 2006. Beaked whale auditory evoked potential hearing measurements. Journal of Comparative Physiology A 192:489-495.

Corkeron, P.J. and R.C. Connor. 1999. Why do baleen whales migrate? Marine Mammal Science 15(4):1228-1245.

Corkeron, P.J. and S.M. Van Parijs. 2001. Vocalizations of eastern Australian Risso's dolphins, *Grampus griseus*. Canadian Journal of Zoology 79(1):160-164.

COSEWIC (Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada). 2003. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the humpback whale *Megaptera novaeangliae* in Canada. Ottawa, Ontario: Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada.

Costa, D.P. 1993. The secret life of marine mammals: Novel tools for studying their behavior and biology at sea. Oceanography 6(3):120-128.

Courbis, S.S. and G.A.J. Worthy. 2003. Opportunistic carnivory by Florida manatees (*Trichechus manatus latirostris*). Aquatic Mammals 29(1):104-107.

Cox, T.M., A.J. Read, S.G. Barco, J. Evans, D.P. Gannon, H. Koopman, W.A. McLellan, K. Murray, J.R. Nicolas, D.A. Pabst, C.W. Potter, W.M. Swingle, V.G. Thayer, K.M. Touhey, and A.J. Westgate. 1998. Documenting the bycatch of harbor porpoises, *Phocoena phocoena*, in coastal gillnet fisheries from stranded carcasses. Fishery Bulletin 96:727-734.

Craig, A.S. and L.M. Herman. 2000. Habitat preferences of female humpback whales *Megaptera novaeangliae* in the Hawaiian Islands are associated with reproductive status. Marine Ecology Progress Series 193:209-216.

Craig, A.S., L.M. Herman, C.M. Gabriele, and A.A. Pack. 2003. Migratory timing of humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) in the central North Pacific varies with age, sex and reproductive status. Behaviour 140:981-1001.

Cresswell, G. and D. Walker. 2002. Using visual clues to assess group composition of Cuvier's beaked whale (*Ziphius cavirostris*) in the North Atlantic. Page 75 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Annual Conference of the European Cetacean Society. 7-11 April 2002. Liege, Belgium.

Croll, D.A., B.R. Tershy, A. Acevedo, and P. Levin. 1999. Marine vertebrates and low frequency sound. Technical report for LFA EIS. Prepared for the U.S. Navy by Institute of Marine Sciences, University of California, Santa Cruz, California.

Croll, D.A., A. Acevedo-Gutiérrez, B.R. Tershy, and J. Urbán-Ramírez. 2001. The diving behavior of blue and fin whales: Is dive duration shorter than expected based on oxygen stores? Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology 129:797-809.

Croll, D.A., C.W. Clark, A. Acevedo, B. Tershy, S. Flores, J. Gedamke, and J. Urban. 2002. Only male fin whales sing loud songs. Nature 417:809.

Croll, D.A., B.R. Tershy, R.P. Hewitt, D.A. Demer, P.C. Fiedler, S.E. Smith, W. Armstrong, J.M. Popp, T. Kiekhefer [sic], V.R. Lopez, J. Urban, and D. Gendron. 1998. An integrated approch [sic] to the foraging ecology of marine birds and mammals. Deep-Sea Research II 45:1353-1371.

Cummings, W.C. 1985. Bryde's whale *Balaenoptera edeni* Anderson, 1878. Pages 137-154 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 3: The sirenians and baleen whales. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Cummings, W.C. and J.F. Fish. 1971. A synopsis of marine animal underwater sounds in eight geographic areas. San Diego, California: Naval Undersea Research and Development Center. .

Curry, B.E. and J. Smith. 1997. Phylogeographic structure of the bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*): Stock identification and implications for management. Pages 227-247 in Dizon, A.E., S.J. Chivers, and W.F. Perrin, eds. Molecular genetics of marine mammals. Lawrence, Kansas: Society for Marine Mammalogy.

D'Vincent, C.G., R.M. Nilson, and R.E. Hanna. 1985. Vocalization and coordinated feeding behavior of the humpback whale in southeastern Alaska. Scientific Reports of the Whales Research Institute 36:41-47.

Dahlheim, M.E. and J.E. Heyning. 1999. Killer whale *Orcinus orca* (Linnaeus, 1758). Pages 281-322 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 6: The second book of dolphins and the porpoises. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Dalebout, M.L., K.M. Robertson, A. Frantzis, D. Engelhaupt, A.A. Mignucci-Giannoni, R.J. Rosario-Delestre, and C.S. Baker. 2005. Worldwide structure of mtDNA diversity among Cuvier's beaked whales (*Ziphius cavirostris*): Implications for threatened populations. Molecular Ecology 14:3353-3371.

Davis, R.W. and G.S. Fargion, eds. 1996a. Distribution and abundance of cetaceans in the north-central and western Gulf of Mexico, Final report. OCS Study MMS 96-0028 New Orleans, Louisiana: Minerals Management Service.

Davis, R.W. and G.S. Fargion, eds. 1996b. Distribution and abundance of cetaceans in the north-central and western Gulf of Mexico, final report. OCS Study MMS 96-0027 New Orleans, Louisiana: Minerals Management Service.

Davis, R.W., W.E. Evans, and B. Würsig, eds. 2000. Cetaceans, sea turtles and seabirds in the northern Gulf of Mexico: Distribution, abundance and habitat associations. USGS/BRD/CR-1999-0006 and OCS Study MMS 2000-003 New Orleans, Louisiana: Minerals Management Service.

Davis, R.W., G.A.J. Worthy, B. Würsig, and S.K. Lynn. 1996. Diving behavior and at-sea movements of an Atlantic spotted dolphin in the Gulf of Mexico. Marine Mammal Science 12(4):569-581.

Davis, R.W., G.S. Fargion, N. May, T.D. Leming, M. Baumgartner, W.E. Evans, L.J. Hansen, and K. Mullin. 1998. Physical habitat of cetaceans along the continental slope in the north-central and western Gulf of Mexico. Marine Mammal Science 14(3):490-507.

Davis, R.W., J.G. Ortega-Ortiz, C.A. Ribic, W.E. Evans, D.C. Biggs, P.H. Ressler, R.B. Cady, R.R. Leben, K.D. Mullin, and B. Würsig. 2002. Cetacean habitat in the northern oceanic Gulf of Mexico. Deep-Sea Research I 49:121-142.

Dawson, S.M. 1991. Clicks and communication: The behavioural and social contexts of Hector's dolphin vocalizations. Ethology 88:265-276.

de Carvalho, C.T. 1967. Pygmy sperm whale (*Kogia breviceps*) in Brazil. Mammalia 31(1):168-169.

Deecke, V.B., J.K.B. Ford, and P.J.B. Slater. 2005. The vocal behaviour of mammal-eating killer whales: Communicating with costly calls. Animal Behaviour 69:395-405.

Defran, R.H. and D.W. Weller. 1999. Occurrence, distribution, site fidelity, and school size of bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) off San Diego, California. Marine Mammal Science 15(2):366-380.

DeHart, P.A.P. 2002. The distribution and abundance of harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina concolor*) in the Woods Hole region. Master's thesis, Boston University.

Dehnhardt, G., B. Mauck, W. Hanke, and H. Bleckmann. 2001. Hydrodynamic trail-following in harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*). Science 293:102-104.

DeLorenzo Costa, A., E.G. Durbin, and C.A. Mayo. 2006. Variability in the nutritional value of the major copepods in Cape Cod Bay (Massachusetts, USA) with implications for right whales. Marine Ecology 27:109-123.

Deutsch, C.J., J.P. Reid, R.K. Bonde, D.E. Easton, H.I. Kochman, and T.J. O'Shea. 2003. Seasonal movements, migratory behavior, and site fidelity of West Indian manatees along the Atlantic coast of the United States. Wildlife Monographs 151:1-77.

DFO (Department of Fisheries and Oceans). 2003. Notices to mariners: General guidelines for marine mammal critical areas. Ottawa, Ontario: Department of Fisheries and Oceans.

Di Iorio, L., M. Castellote, A.M. Warde, and C.W. Clark. 2005. Broadband sound production by feeding blue whales (*Balaenoptera musculus*). Page 74 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 12-16 December 2005. San Diego, California.

Dietz, R., J. Teilmann, M.-P.H. Jørgensen, and M.V. Jensen. 2002. Satellite tracking of humpback whales in West Greenland. Roskilde, Denmark: National Environmental Research Institute Technical Report 411.

Dolar, M.L.L. 2002. Fraser's dolphin *Lagenodelphis hosei*. Pages 485-487 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Dolphin, W.F. 1987. Ventilation and dive patterns of humpback whales, *Megaptera novaeangliae*, on their Alaskan feeding grounds. Canadian Journal of Zoology 65:83-90.

DoN (Department of the Navy). 1998. Aerial census survey report of marine mammals and sea turtles within the candidate test area off Mayport, Florida. Surveys 1-5: May-September 1997. Prepared for the Southern Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, North Charleston, South Carolina by Continental Shelf Associates, Inc., Jupiter, Florida.

Donovan, G.P. 1991. A review of IWC stock boundaries. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 13):39-68.

Drinkwater, K.F., A. Belgrano, A. Borja, A. Conversi, M. Edwards, C.H. Greene, G. Ottersen, A.J. Pershing, and H. Walker. 2003. The response of marine ecosystems to climate variability associated with the North Atlantic Oscillation. Geophysical Monograph 134:211-234.

Dudzinski, K.M. 1996. Communication and behavior in the Atlantic spotted dolphins (*Stenella frontalis*): Relationships between vocal and behavioral activities. Ph.D. diss., Texas A&M University.

Dufault, S., H. Whitehead, and M.C. Dillon. 1999. An examination of the current knowledge on the stock structure of sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*). Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 1(1):1-10.

Duffield, D.A., S.H. Ridgway, and L.H. Cornell. 1983. Hematology distinguishes coastal and offshore forms of dolphins (*Tursiops*). Canadian Journal of Zoology 61:930-933.

Dunphy-Daly, M.M. and D.E. Claridge. 2005. Association patterns of sperm whales in the Great Bahama Canyon, northern Bahamas. Page 79 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 12-16 December 2005. San Diego, California.

Edds-Walton, P.L. 1997. Acoustic communication signals of mysticete whales. Bioacoustics 8:47-60.

Edds-Walton, P.L. 2000. Vocalizations of minke whales *Balaenoptera acutorostrata* in the St. Lawrence Estuary. Bioacoustics 11:31-50.

Eguchi, T. and J.T. Harvey. 2005. Diving behavior of the Pacific harbor seal (*Phoca vitulina richardii*) in Monterey Bay, California. Marine Mammal Science 21(2):283-295.

Emery, K.O. and E. Uchupi. 1972. Western North Atlantic Ocean: Topography, rocks, structure, water, life, and sediments. Menasha, Wisconsin: George Banta Company, Inc.

Erdman, D.S. 1970. Marine mammals from Puerto Rico to Antigua. Journal of Mammalogy 51(3):636-639.

Ersts, P.J. and H.C. Rosenbaum. 2003. Habitat preference reflects social organization of humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) on a wintering ground. Journal of Zoology, London 260:337-345.

Etnoyer, P., D. Canny, B. Mate, and L. Morgan. 2004. Persistent pelagic habitats in the Baja California to Bering Sea (B2B) Ecoregion. Oceanography 17(1):90-101.

Evans, P.G.H. 2002. Habitat pressures. Pages 545-548 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Evans, W.E. 1994. Common dolphin, white-bellied porpoise *Delphinus delphis* Linnaeus, 1758. Pages 191-224 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 5: The first book of dolphins. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Ferguson, M.C. 2005. Cetacean population density in the eastern Pacific Ocean: Analyzing patterns with predictive spatial models. Ph.D. diss., University of California.

Ferguson, M.C., J. Barlow, S.B. Reilly, and T. Gerrodette. 2006. Predicting Cuvier's *(Ziphius cavirostris)* and *Mesoplodon* beaked whale population density from habitat characteristics in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 7(3):287-299.

Fertl, D. and S. Leatherwood. 1997. Cetacean interactions with trawls: A preliminary review. Journal of Northwest Atlantic Fishery Science 22:219-248.

Fertl, D., A.J. Schiro, and D. Peake. 1997. Coordinated feeding by Clymene dolphins (*Stenella clymene*) in the Gulf of Mexico. Aquatic Mammals 23(2):111-112.

Fertl, D., T.A. Jefferson, I.B. Moreno, A.N. Zerbini, and K.D. Mullin. 2003. Distribution of the Clymene dolphin *Stenella clymene*. Mammal Review 33(3):253-271.

Fertl, D., A.J. Schiro, G.T. Regan, C.A. Beck, N. Adimey, L. Price-May, A. Amos, G.A.J. Worthy, and R. Crossland. 2005. Manatee occurrence in the northern Gulf of Mexico, west of Florida. Gulf and Caribbean Research 17:69-94.

Fiedler, P.C. 2002. Ocean environment. Pages 824-830 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Field, I., M. Hindell, D. Slip, and K. Michael. 2001. Foraging strategies of southern elephant seals (*Mirounga leonina*) in relation to frontal zones and water masses. Antarctic Science 13(4):371-379.

Filatova, O.A., I.D. Fedutin, A.M. Burdin, and E. Hoyt. 2007. The structure of the discrete call repertoire of killer whales *Orcinus orca* from southeast Kamchatka. Bioacoustics 16:261-280.

Finneran, J.J. and D.S. Houser. 2006. Comparison of in-air evoked potential and underwater behavioral hearing thresholds in four bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 119(5):3181-3192.

Fiscus, C.H. and D.W. Rice. 1974. Giant squids, *Architeuthis* sp., from stomachs of sperm whales captured off California. California Fish and Game 60(2):91-101.

Fish, J.F. and C.W. Turl. 1976. Acoustic source levels of four species of small whales. NUC TP 547 San Diego, California: Naval Undersea Center.

Fisk, A.T., M.A. Recks, and P.A. Fair. 2005. Influence of season and habitat on the foraging ecology of bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) from the Charleston SC region as indicated by stable isotope and fatty acid analyses. Page 92 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals, 12-16 December. 12-16 December 2005. San Diego, California.

Flores, P.A.C. and A. Ximenez. 1997. Observations on the rough-toothed dolphin *Steno bredanensis* off Santa Catarina Island, southern Brazilian coast. Biotemas 10(1):71-79.

FMRI (Florida Marine Research Institute). 2007. FWC announces annual manatee synoptic survey numbers. Press Release. 6 February. St. Petersburg, Florida: Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission.

Folkow, L.P. and A.S. Blix. 1999. Diving behaviour of hooded seals (*Cystophora cristata*) in the Greenland and Norwegian Seas. Polar Biology 22:61-74.

Foote, A.D. and J.A. Nystuen. 2008. Variation in call pitch among killer whale ecotypes. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 123(3):1747-1752.

Forcada, J. 2002. Distribution. Pages 327-333 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Forcada, J., P.N. Trathan, K. Reid, and E.J. Murphy. 2005. The effects of global climate variability in pup production of Antarctic fur seals. Ecology 86(9):2408-2417.

Ford, J.K.B. 1989. Acoustic behaviour of resident killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) off Vancouver Island, British Columbia. Canadian Journal of Zoology 67:727-745.

Ford, J.K.B. 1991. Vocal traditions among resident killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) in coastal waters of British Columbia. Canadian Journal of Zoology 69:1454-1483.

Ford, J.K.B. 2002a. Dialects. Pages 322-323 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Ford, J.K.B. 2002b. Killer whale *Orcinus orca*. Pages 669-676 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Ford, J.K.B. and G.M. Ellis. 1999. Transients: Mammal-hunting killer whales of British Columbia, Washington, and southeastern Alaska. Vancouver, B.C. and Seattle, Washington: UBC Press and University of Washington Press.

Frankel, A.S. 2002. Sound production. Pages 1126-1138 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Frantzis, A., J.C. Goold, E.K. Skarsoulis, M.I. Taroudakis, and V. Kandia. 2002. Clicks from Cuvier's beaked whales, *Ziphius cavirostris* (L). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 112(1):34-37.

Friedlaender, A.S., W.A. McLellan, and D.A. Pabst. 2001. Characterising an interaction between coastal bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) and the spot gillnet fishery in southeastern North Carolina, USA. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 3(3):293-303.

Frisch, S. 2006. Personal communication via e-mail between Dr. Stefan Frisch, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida and Dr. Amy Scholk, Geo-Marine, Inc., Hampton, Virginia, 11 January.

Frisch, S. and K. Frisch. 2003. Low frequency vocalizations in the Florida manatee (*Trichechus manatus latirostris*). Page 55 in Abstracts, Fifteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 14-19 December 2003. Greensboro, North Carolina.

Fritts, T.H., A.B. Irvine, R.D. Jennings, L.A. Collum, W. Hoffman, and M.A. McGehee. 1983. Turtles, birds, and mammals in the northern Gulf of Mexico and nearby Atlantic waters. FWS/OBS-82/65 Washington, D.C.: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Fullard, K.J., G. Early, M.P. Heide-Jørgensen, D. Bloch, A. Rosing-Asvid, and W. Amos. 2000. Population structure of long-finned pilot whales in the North Atlantic: A correlation with sea surface temperature? Molecular Ecology 9:949-958.

Fulling, G.L., K.D. Mullin, and C.W. Hubard. 2003. Abundance and distribution of cetaceans in outer continental shelf waters of the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. Fishery Bulletin 101:923-932.

Gabriele, C. and A. Frankel. 2002. The occurrence and significance of humpback whale songs in Glacier Bay, southeastern Alaska. Arctic Research of the United States 16:42-47.

Gabriele, C., A. Frankel, and T. Lewis. 2001. Frequent humpback whale songs recorded in Glacier Bay, Alaska in Fall 2000. Pages 77-78 in Abstracts, Fourteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 28 November - 3 December 2001. Vancouver, British Columbia.

Gambell, R. 1985a. Fin whale *Balaenoptera physalus (*Linneaus, 1758). Pages 171-192 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 3: The sirenians and baleen whales. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Gambell, R. 1985b. Sei whale *Balaenoptera borealis* Lesson, 1828. Pages 155-170 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 3: The sirenians and baleen whales. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Gannier, A. 2000. Distribution of cetaceans off the Society Islands (French Polynesia) as obtained from dedicated surveys. Aquatic Mammals 26(2):111-126.

Gannier, A. 2002. Cetaceans of the Marquesas Islands (French Polynesia): Distribution and relative abundance as obtained from a small boat dedicated survey. Aquatic Mammals 28(2):198-210.

Gannier, A. and K.L. West. 2005. Distribution of the rough-toothed dolphin (*Steno bredanensis*) around the Windward Islands (French Polynesia). Pacific Science 59(1):17-24.

Gannon, D.P. and D.M. Waples. 2004. Diets of coastal bottlenose dolphins from the U.S. mid-Atlantic coast differ by habitat. Marine Mammal Science 20(3):527-545.

Gannon, D.P., J.E. Craddock, and A.J. Read. 1998a. Autumn food habits of harbor porpoises, *Phocoena phocoena*, in the Gulf of Maine. Fishery Bulletin 96:428-437.

Gannon, D.P., J.E. Craddock, and A.J. Read. 1998b. Food habits of beaked whales (*Mesoplodon bidens* and *Ziphius cavirostris*) from the northeastern U.S. Page 49 in Abstracts, The World Marine Mammal Science Conference. 20-24 January 1998. Monte Carlo, Monaco.

Gannon, D.P., A.J. Read, J.E. Craddock, and J.G. Mead. 1997a. Stomach contents of long-finned pilot whales (*Globicephala melas*) stranded on the U.S. mid-Atlantic coast. Marine Mammal Science 13(3):405-418.

Gannon, D.P., A.J. Read, J.E. Craddock, K.M. Fristrup, and J.R. Nicolas. 1997b. Feeding ecology of long-finned pilot whales *Globicephala melas* in the western North Atlantic. Marine Ecology Progress Series 148(1):1-10.

Gannon, D.P., N.B. Barros, D.P. Nowacek, A.J. Read, D.M. Waples, and R.S. Wells. 2005. Prey detection by bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops truncatus*: An experimental test of the passive listening hypothesis. Animal Behaviour 69:709-720.

Garrigue, C. and J. Greaves. 2001. Cetacean records for the New Caledonian area (Southwest Pacific Ocean). Micronesica 34(1):27-33.

Garrison, L. and C. Yeung. 2001. Abundance estimates for Atlantic bottlenose dolphin stocks during summer and winter, 1995. Unpublished document prepared for the Take Reduction Team on Coastal Bottlenose Dolphins in the Western Atlantic.

Garrison, L.P., R.D. Baumstark, C. Keller, and L.I. Ward-Geiger. 2005. A spatial model of the North Atlantic right whale calving habitat in the southeastern United States. Page 102 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 12-16 December 2005. San Diego, California.

Garrison, L.P., P.E. Rosel, A. Hohn, R. Baird, and W. Hoggard. 2003. Abundance of the coastal morphotype of bottlenose dolphin, *Tursiops truncatus*, in U.S. continental shelf waters between New Jersey and Florida during winter and summer 2002. Unpublished document prepared for the Take Reduction Team on Coastal Bottlenose Dolphins in the Western Atlantic.

Gaskin, D.E. 1982. The ecology of whales and dolphins. Portsmouth, New Hampshire: Heinemann.

Gaskin, D.E. 1987. Updated status of the right whale, *Eubalaena glacialis*, in Canada. Canadian Field-Naturalist 101(2):295-309.

Gaskin, D.E. 1991. An update on the status of the right whale, *Eubalaena glacialis*, in Canada. Canadian Field-Naturalist 105(2):198-205.

Gaskin, D.E. 1992a. Status of the harbour porpoise, *Phocoena phocoena*, in Canada. Canadian Field-Naturalist 106(1):36-54.

Gaskin, D.E. 1992b. Status of the common dolphin, *Delphinus delphis*, in Canada. Canadian Field-Naturalist 106(1):55-63.

Gedamke, J., D.P. Costa, and A. Dunstan. 2001. Localization and visual verification of a complex minke whale vocalization. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 109(6):3038-3047.

Geise, L. and M. Borobia. 1987. New Brazilian records for *Kogia*, *Pontoporia*, *Grampus*, and *Sotalia* (Cetacea, Physeteridae, Platanistidae, and Delphinidae). Journal of Mammalogy 68(4):873-875.

Gendron, D. and J. Urbán R. 1993. Evidence of feeding by humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) in the Baja California breeding ground, Mexico. Marine Mammal Science 9(1):76-81.

Geraci, J.R., D.J. St. Aubin, I.K. Barker, R.G. Webster, V.S. Hinshaw, W.J. Bean, H.L. Ruhnke, J.H. Prescott, G. Early, A.S. Baker, S. Madoff, and R.T. Schooley. 1982. Mass mortality of harbor seals: Pneumonia associated with Influenza A virus. Science 215:1129-1131.

Gerstein, E.R., L. Gerstein, S.E. Forsythe, and J.E. Blue. 1999. The underwater audiogram of the West Indian manatee (*Trichechus manatus*). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 105(6):3575-3583.

Gilbert, J.R. and K.M. Wynne. 1985. Harbor seal populations and fisheries interactions with marine mammals in New England, 1984. Fourth Annual Report. Contracts NA-80-FA-C-00029 & NA-84-EA-C-00070 Prepared for National Marine Fisheries, Woods Hole, Massachusetts by University of Maine, Orono, Maine.

Gilbert, J.R. and N. Guldager. 1998. Status of harbor and gray seal populations in northern New England. Woods Hole, Massachusetts: National Marine Fisheries Service.

Gillespie, D. and R. Leaper, eds. 2001. Report of the workshop on right whale acoustics: Practical applications in conservation. Yarmouth Port, Massachusetts: IFAW (International Fund for Animal Welfare).

Gjertz, I., C. Lydersen, and Ø. Wiig. 2001. Distribution and diving of harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina*) in Svalbard. Polar Biology 24:209-214.

Glass, A.H., C.R. Taylor, and D. Cupka. 2005. Monitoring North Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*) distribution north of the Southeastern U.S. calving ground critical habitat Pages 106-107 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 12-16 December 2005. San Diego, California.

Goodwin, G.G. 1954. Southern records for Arctic mammals and a northern record for Alfaro's rice rat. Journal of Mammalogy 35(2):258.

Goodyear, J.D. 1993. A sonic/radio tag for monitoring dive depths and underwater movements of whales. Journal of Wildlife Management 57(3):503-513.

Goold, J.C. 2000. A diel pattern in vocal activity of short-beaked common dolphins, *Delphinus delphis*. Marine Mammal Science 16(1):240-244.

Gormley, G. 1990. Orcas of the Gulf. San Francisco, California: Sierra Club Books.

Gőtz, T., U.K. Verfuß, and H.-U. Schnitsler. 2005. Eavesdropping' in wild rough-toothed dolphins (*Steno bredanensis*)? Biology Letters: doi:10.1098/rsbl.2005.0407.

Govoni, J.J. and J.A. Hare. 2001. The Charleston Gyre as a spawning and larval nursery habitat for fishes. American Fisheries Society Symposium 25:123-136.

Green, G.A., J.J. Brueggeman, R.A. Grotefendt, C.E. Bowlby, M.L. Bonnell, and K.C. Balcomb III. 1992. Cetacean distribution and abundance off Oregon and Washington, 1989-1990. Pages 1-1 to 1-100 in Brueggeman, J.J., ed. Oregon and Washington marine mammal and seabird surveys. OCS Study MMS 91-0093. Los Angeles, California: Minerals Management Service.

Greene, C.H., A.J. Pershing, R.D. Kenney, and J.W. Jossi. 2003. Impact of climate variability on the recovery of endangered North Atlantic right whales. Oceanography 16(4):98-103.

Gregg, J.D., K.M. Dudzinski, and H.V. Smith. 2008. Do dolphins eavesdrop on the echolocation signals of conspecifics? International Journal of Comparative Psychology 20:65-88.

Gregr, E., J. Calambokidis, L. Convey, J. Ford, I. Perry, L. Spaven, and M. Zacharias. 2005. Proposed recovery strategy for blue whales (*Balaenoptera musculus*), fin whales (*B. physalus*) and sei whales (*B. borealis*) in Pacific Canadian waters. Draft. Nanaimo, British Columbia: Fisheries and Oceans Canada.

Gregr, E.J. and A.W. Trites. 2001. Predictions of critical habitat for five whale species in the waters of coastal British Columbia. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 58:1265-1285.

Gregr, E.J., L. Nichol, J.K.B. Ford, G. Ellis, and A.W. Trites. 2000. Migration and population structure of northeastern Pacific whales off coastal British Columbia: An analysis of commercial whaling records from 1908-1967. Marine Mammal Science 16(4):699-727.

Griffin, R.B. 1999. Sperm whale distributions and community ecology associated with a warm-core ring off Georges Bank. Marine Mammal Science 15(1):33-51.

Griffin, R.B., C. Hu, and N.J. Griffin. 2005. Movement patterns of Atlantic spotted dolphins (*Stenella frontalis*) and bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) in relation to oceanographic fronts. Page 112 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals, . 12-16 December 2005. San Diego, California.

Gunter, G., C.L. Hubbs, and M.A. Beal. 1955. Records of *Kogia breviceps* from Texas, with remarks on movements and distribution. Journal of Mammalogy 36(2):263-270.

Hain, J.H.W., M.A.M. Hyman, R.D. Kenney, and H.E. Winn. 1985. The role of cetaceans in the shelf-edge region of the northeastern United States. Marine Fisheries Review 47(1):13-17.

Hain, J.H.W., M.J. Ratnaswamy, R.D. Kenney, and H.E. Winn. 1992. The fin whale, *Balaenoptera physalus*, in waters of the northeastern United States continental shelf. Reports of the International Whaling Commission 42:653-669.

Hamazaki, T. 2002. Spatiotemporal prediction models of cetacean habitats in the mid-western North Atlantic Ocean (from Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, U.S.A. to Nova Scotia, Canada). Marine Mammal Science 18(4):920-937.

Hamilton, P.K. and C.A. Mayo. 1990. Population characteristics of right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*) observed in Cape Cod and Massachusetts Bays, 1978-1986. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 12):203-208.

Hamilton, P.K., M.K. Marx, and S.D. Kraus. 1995. Weaning in North Atlantic right whales. Marine Mammal Science 11(3):386-390.

Hanggi, E.B. and R.J. Schusterman. 1994. Underwater acoustic displays and individual variation in male harbour seals, *Phoca vitulina*. Animal Behaviour 48:1275-1283.

Hansen, L.J., K.D. Mullin, and C.L. Roden. 1994. Preliminary estimates of cetacean abundance in the northern Gulf of Mexico, and of selected cetacean species in the U.S. Atlantic Exclusive Economic Zone from vessel surveys. Contribution Number MIA-93/94-58 Miami: National Marine Fisheries Service.

Harris, D.E., B. Lelli, and S. Gupta. 2003. Long-term observations of a harbor seal haul-out site in a protected cove in Casco Bay, Gulf of Maine. Northeastern Naturalist 10(2):141-148.

Harris, D.E., B. Lelli, G. Jakush, and G. Early. 2001. Hooded seal (*Cystophora cristata*) records from the southern Gulf of Maine. Northeastern Naturalist 8(4):427-434.

Harry, C.T., R.A. DiGiovanni Jr., W.J. Walton, and S.G. Barco. 2005. Characterizing stranding trends in Virginia and North Carolina from 2000-2005. Page 122 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Biennial Conference of the Biology of Marine Mammals. 12-16 December 2005. San Diego, California.

Hartman, D.S. 1979. Ecology and behavior of the manatee (*Trichechus manatus*) in Florida. American Society of Mammalogists, Special Publication 5. Lawrence, Kansas: American Society of Mammalogists.

Harwood, J. 2001. Marine mammals and their environment in the Twenty-First Century. Journal of Mammalogy 82(3):630-640.

Hastie, G.D., R.J. Swift, G. Slesser, P.M. Thompson, and W.R. Turrell. 2005. Environmental models for predicting oceanic dolphin habitat in the northeast Atlantic. ICES Journal of Marine Science 62:760-770.

Hawkins, A.D. and A.D.F. Johnstone. 1978. The hearing of the Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*. Journal of Fish Biology 13:655-673.

Heimlich, S.L., D.K. Mellinger, S.L. Nieukirk, and C.G. Fox. 2005. Types, distribution, and seasonal occurrence of sounds attributed to Bryde's whales (*Balaenoptera edeni*) recorded in the eastern tropical Pacific, 1999-2001. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 118(3):1830-1837.

Heithaus, M.R. 2001. Predator-prey and competitive interactions between sharks (Order Selachii) and dolphins (Suborder Odontoceti): A review. Journal of Zoology, London 253:53-68.

Heithaus, M.R. and L.M. Dill. 2002. Food availability and tiger shark predation risk influence bottlenose dolphin habitat use. Ecology 83(2):480-491.

Helweg, D.A., A.S. Frankel, J.R. Mobley, Jr., and L.M. Herman. 1992. Humpback whale song: Our current understanding. Pages 459-483 in Thomas, J.A., R.A. Kastelein, and A.Y. Supin, eds. Marine mammal sensory systems. New York, New York: Plenum Press.

Hersh, S.L. and D.A. Duffield. 1990. Distinction between northwest Atlantic offshore and coastal bottlenose dolphins based on hemoglobin profile and morphometry. Pages 129-139 in Leatherwood, S. and R.R. Reeves, eds. The bottlenose dolphin. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Herzing, D.L. 1996. Vocalizations and associated underwater behavior of free-ranging Atlantic spotted dolphins, *Stenella frontalis* and bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops truncatus*. Aquatic Mammals 22(2):61-79.

Herzing, D.L. 1997. The life history of free-ranging Atlantic spotted dolphins (*Stenella frontalis*): Age classes, color phases, and female reproduction. Marine Mammal Science 13(4):576-595.

Heyning, J.E. 1989. Cuvier's beaked whale - *Ziphius cavirostris* (G. Cuvier, 1823). Pages 289-308 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 4: River dolphins and the larger toothed whales. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Heyning, J.E. and W.F. Perrin. 1994. Evidence for two species of common dolphins (genus *Delphinus*) from the eastern North Pacific. Los Angeles County Museum Contributions in Science 442:1-35.

Heyning, J.E. and J.G. Mead. 1996. Suction feeding in beaked whales: Morphological and observational evidence. Los Angeles County Museum Contributions in Science 464:1-12.

Hildebrand, J. 2005. Impacts of anthropogenic sound. Pages 100-123 in Reynolds III, J.E., W.F. Perrin, R.R. Reeves, S. Montgomery, and T.J. Ragen, eds. Marine mammal research: Conservation beyond crisis. Baltimore, Maryland: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Hoelzel, A.R., C.W. Potter, and P.B. Best. 1998. Genetic differentiation between parapatric 'nearshore' and 'offshore' populations of the bottlenose dolphin. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 265:1177-1183.

Hohn, A.A., D.S. Rotstein, C.A. Harms, and B.L. Southall. 2006. Report on marine mammal unusual mortality event UMESE0501Sp: Multispecies mass stranding of pilot whales (*Globicephala macrorhynchus*), minke whale (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*), and dwarf sperm whales (*Kogia sima*) in North Carolina on 15-16 January 2005. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-537:1-222.

Holt, M.M. 2008. Sound exposure and southern resident killer whales (*Orcinus orca*): A review of current knowledge and data gaps. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NWFSC-89.

Holt, M.M. and R.J. Schusterman. 2007. Spatial release from masking of aerial tones in pinnipeds. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 121(2):1219-1225.

Horwood, J. 1987. The sei whale: Population biology, ecology, & management. New York, New York: Croom Helm in association with Methuen, Inc.

Horwood, J. 1990. Biology and exploitation of the minke whale. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.

Houser, D.S., D.A. Helweg, and P.W.B. Moore. 2001. A bandpass filter-bank model of auditory sensitivity in the humpback whale. Aquatic Mammals 27(2):82-91.

Hoyt, E. 1983. Great winged whales: Combat and courtship rites among humpbacks, the ocean's not-so-gentle giants. Equinox 10:25-47.

Hui, C.A. 1979. Undersea topography and distribution of dolphins of the genus *Delphinus* in the Southern California Bight. Journal of Mammalogy 60:521-527.

Hui, C.A. 1985. Undersea topography and the comparative distributions of two pelagic cetaceans. Fishery Bulletin 83(3):472-475.

Hysmith, B.T., R.L. Colura, and J.C. Kana. 1976. Beaching of a live pygmy sperm whale, (*Kogia breviceps*) at Port O'Connor, Texas. Southwestern Naturalist 21(3):409.

Ingram, S.N., L. Walshe, D. Johnston, and E. Rogan. 2007. Habitat partitioning and the influence of benthic topography and oceanography on the distribution of fin and minke whales in the Bay of Fundy, Canada. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 87:149-156.

Irvine, A.B. 1983. Manatee metabolism and its influence on distribution in Florida. Biological Conservation 25:315-334.

Irvine, A.B., M.D. Scott, R.S. Wells, and J.G. Mead. 1979. Stranding of the pilot whale, *Globicephala macrorhynchus*, in Florida and South Carolina. Fishery Bulletin 77(2):511-513.

IWC (International Whaling Commission). 1996. Annex H. Report of the Sub-Committee on Small Cetaceans. Reports of the International Whaling Commission 46:160-179.

IWC (International Whaling Commission). 1997. Report on the IWC Workshop on Climate Change and Cetaceans. Reports of the International Whaling Commission 47:293-319.

IWC (International Whaling Commission). 2001a. Report of the Workshop on Status and Trends of Western North Atlantic Right Whales. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue 2):61-87.

IWC (International Whaling Commission). 2001b. Report of the Workshop on the Comprehensive Assessment of Right Whales: A worldwide comparison. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue 2):1-60.

IWC (International Whaling Commission). 2005. Classification of the Order Cetacea (whales, dolphins and porpoises). Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 7(1):xi-xii.

Jacobsen, K.O., M. Marx, and N. Øien. 2004. Two-way trans-Atlantic migration of a North Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*). Marine Mammal Science 20(1):161-166.

Jakush, G. 2004. Wooly makes waves in Maine. Lifelines (A Marine Animal Lifeline Publication) Spring:1.

Janik, V.M. 2000. Food-related bray calls in wild bottlenose dolphins *(Tursiops truncatus)*. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 267:923-927.

Janik, V.M., L.S. Sayigh, and R.S. Wells. 2006. Signature whistle shape conveys identity information to bottlenose dolphins. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103(21):8293-8297.

Jann, B., J. Allen, M. Carrillo, S. Hanquet, S.K. Katona, A.R. Martin, R.R. Reeves, R. Seton, P.T. Stevick, and F.W. Wenzel. 2003. Migration of a humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) between the Cape Verde Islands and Iceland. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 5(2):125-129.

Jaquet, N. 1996. How spatial and temporal scales influence understanding of sperm whale distribution: A review. Mammal Review 26(1):51-65.

Jaquet, N. and H. Whitehead. 1996. Scale-dependent correlation of sperm whale distribution with environmental features and productivity in the South Pacific. Marine Ecology Progress Series 135:1-9.

Jaquet, N., H. Whitehead, and M. Lewis. 1996. Coherence between 19th century sperm whale distributions and satellite-derived pigments in the tropical Pacific. Marine Ecology Progress Series 145:1-10.

Jaquet, N., S. Dawson, and E. Slooten. 2000. Seasonal distribution and diving behaviour of male sperm whales off Kaikoura: Foraging implications. Canadian Journal of Zoology 78:407-419.

Jaquet, N., D. Gendron, and A. Coakes. 2003. Sperm whales in the Gulf of California: Residency, movements, behavior, and the possible influence of variation in food supply. Marine Mammal Science 19(3):545-562.

Jaquet, N., C.A. Mayo, O.C. Nichols, M.K. Bessinger, D. Osterberg, M.K. Marx, and C.L. Browning. 2005. Surveillance, monitoring and management of North Atlantic right whales in Cape Cod Bay and adjacent waters - 2005. Provincetown, Massachusetts: Provincetown Center for Coastal Studies.

Jefferson, T.A. 1996. Morphology of the Clymene dolphin (*Stenella clymene*) in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Aquatic Mammals 22(1):35-43.

Jefferson, T.A. 2002a. Personal communication via in-house meeting and e-mail between Dr. Thomas A. Jefferson, National Marine Fisheries Service-Southwest Fisheries Science Center, and Ms. Dagmar Fertl, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas, 8 April, 27 August, 2 September, and 17 September.

Jefferson, T.A. 2002b. Clymene dolphin *Stenella clymene*. Pages 234-236 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Jefferson, T.A. 2002c. Rough-toothed dolphin *Steno bredanensis*. Pages 1055-1059 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Jefferson, T.A. 2006. Personal communication via email between Dr. Thomas A. Jefferson, National Marine Fisheries Service, La Jolla, California, and Ms. Dagmar Fertl, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas, 25 August.

Jefferson, T.A. and S.K. Lynn. 1994. Marine mammal sightings in the Caribbean Sea and Gulf of Mexico, summer 1991. Caribbean Journal of Science 30(1-2):83-89.

Jefferson, T.A. and S. Leatherwood. 1994. *Lagenodelphis hosei*. Mammalian Species 470:1-5.

Jefferson, T.A. and N.B. Barros. 1997. *Peponocephala electra*. Mammalian Species 553:1-6.

Jefferson, T.A. and A.J. Schiro. 1997. Distribution of cetaceans in the offshore Gulf of Mexico. Mammal Review 27(1):27-50.

Jefferson, T.A. and B.E. Curry. 2003. *Stenella clymene*. Mammalian Species 726:1-5.

Jefferson, T.A., P.J. Stacey, and R.W. Baird. 1991. A review of killer whale interactions with other marine mammals: Predation to co-existence. Mammal Review 21(4):151-180.

Jefferson, T.A., S. Leatherwood, and M.A. Webber. 1993. Marine mammals of the world: FAO species identification guide. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Jefferson, T.A., D.K. Odell, and K.T. Prunier. 1995. Notes on the biology of the Clymene dolphin (*Stenella clymene*) in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Marine Mammal Science 11(4):564-573.

Jefferson, T.A., M.A. Webber, and R.L. Pitman. 2008. Marine mammals of the world: A comprehensive guide to their identification. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Jefferson, T.A., R.L. Pitman, S. Leatherwood, and M.L.L. Dolar. 1997. Developmental and sexual variation in the external appearance of Fraser's dolphins (*Lagenodelphis hosei*). Aquatic Mammals 23(3):145-153.

Jérémie, S., A. Gannier, S. Bourreau, and J.-C. Nicolas. 2006. Acoustic monitoring of cetaceans in territorial waters off La Martinique (FWI), Lesser Antilles: Global abundance and first description of *Kogia simus* vocalisations (November-December 2004). Page 91 in Abstracts, Twentieth Annual Conference of the European Cetacean Society. 2-7 April 2006. Gdynia, Poland.

Johnson, M., L.S. Hickmott, N.A. Soto, and P.T. Madsen. 2008. Echolocation behavior adapted to prey in foraging Blainville's beaked whale (*Mesoplodon densirostris*). Proceeding of the Royal Society of Biology 275:133-139.

Johnson, M., P.T. Madsen, W.M.X. Zimmer, N. Aguilar de Soto, and P.L. Tyack. 2004. Beaked whales echolocate on prey. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 271:S383-S386.

Johnston, D.W., L.H. Thorne, and A.J. Read. 2005a. Fin whales *Balaenoptera physalus* and minke whales *Balaenoptera acutorostrata* exploit a tidally driven island wake ecosystem in the Bay of Fundy. Marine Ecology Progress Series 305:287-295.

Johnston, D.W., A.S. Friedlaender, L.G. Torres, and D.M. Lavigne. 2005b. Variation in sea ice cover on the east coast of Canada from 1969 to 2002: Climate variability and implications for harp and hooded seals. Climate Research 29:209-222.

Jones, G.J. and L.S. Sayigh. 2002. Geographic variation in rates of vocal production of free-ranging bottlenose dolphins. Marine Mammal Science 18(2):374-393.

Jordán Sardi, V., D.P. Gannon, N.B. Barros, and A.J. Read. 2005. Stomach contents of mass-stranded short-finned pilot whales (*Globicephala macrorhynchus*) from North Carolina. Pages 144-145 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 12-16 December 2005. San Diego, California.

Jørgensen, C., C. Lydersen, O. Brix, and K.M. Kovacs. 2001. Diving development in nursing harbour seal pups. Journal of Experimental Biology 204:3993-4004.

Jurasz, C.M. and V.P. Jurasz. 1979. Feeding modes of the humpback whale, *Megaptera novaeangliae*, in southeast Alaska. Scientific Reports of the Whales Research Institute 31:69-83.

Kaschner, K., R. Watson, A.W. Trites, and D. Pauly. 2006. Mapping world-wide distributions of marine mammal species using a relative environmental suitability (RES) model. Marine Ecology Progress Series 316:285-310.

Kastak, D. and R.J. Schusterman. 1998. Low-frequency amphibious hearing in pinnipeds: Methods, measurements, noise, and ecology. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 103(4):2216-2228.

Kastak, D., B.L. Southall, R.J. Schusterman, and C.R. Kastak. 2005. Underwater temporary threshold shift in pinnipeds: Effects of noise level and duration. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 118(5):3154-3163.

Kastelein, R. 2007. Personal communication via email between Dr. Ron Kastelein, Sea Mammal Research Company, Harderwijk, The Netherlands, and Ms. Dagmar Fertl, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas, 6 April.

Kastelein, R.A., M. Hagedoorn, W.W.L. Au, and D. de Haan. 2003. Audiogram of a striped dolphin (*Stenella coeruleoalba*). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 113(2):1130-1137.

Kastelein, R.A., P. Bunskoek, M. Hagedoorn, W.W.L. Au, and D. de Haan. 2002. Audiogram of a harbor porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) measured with narrrow-band frequency-modulated signals. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 112(1):334-344.

Kato, H. 2002. Bryde's whales *Balaenoptera edeni* and *B. brydei*. Pages 171-176 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Katona, S.K. and J.A. Beard. 1990. Population size, migrations and feeding aggregations of the humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) in the western North Atlantic Ocean. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 12):295-305.

Katona, S.K., V. Rough, and D.T. Richardson. 1993. A field guide to whales, porpoises, and seals from Cape Cod to Newfoundland. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Katona, S.K., J.A. Beard, P.E. Girton, and F. Wenzel. 1988. Killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) from the Bay of Fundy to the Equator, including the Gulf of Mexico. Rit Fiskideildar (Journal of the Marine Research Institute Reykjavik) XI:205-224.

Katona, S.K., B. Baxter, O. Brizier, S.D. Kraus, J. Perkins, and H. Whitehead. 1979. Identification of humpback whales by fluke photographs. Pages 33-44 in Winn, H.E. and B.L. Olla, eds. Behavior of marine animals: Current perspectives in research. Volume 3: Cetaceans. New York, New York: Plenum Press.

Keiper, C.A., D.G. Ainley, S.G. Allen, and J.T. Harvey. 2005. Marine mammal occurrence and ocean climate off central California, 1986 to 1994 and 1997 to 1999. Marine Ecology Progress Series 289:285-306.

Keller, C.A., L.I. Ward-Geiger, W.B. Brooks, C.K. Slay, C.R. Taylor, and B.J. Zoodsma. 2006. North Atlantic right whale distribution in relation to sea-surface temperature in the southeastern United States calving grounds. Marine Mammal Science 22(2):426-445.

Kellogg, R. 1928. What is known of the migrations of some of the whalebone whales. Annual Report of the Smithsonian Institution 1928:467-494.

Kendall, W.L., C.A. Langtimm, C.A. Beck, and M.C. Runge. 2004. Capture-recapture analysis for estimating manatee reproductive rates. Marine Mammal Science 20(3):424-437.

Kenney, M.K. 1994. Harbor seal population trends and habitat use in Maine. Master's thesis, University of Maine.

Kenney, R.D. 1990. Bottlenose dolphins off the northeastern United States. Pages 369-386 in Leatherwood, S. and R.R. Reeves, eds. The bottlenose dolphin. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Kenney, R.D. 2001. Anomalous 1992 spring and summer right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*) distributions in the Gulf of Maine. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue 2):209-223.

Kenney, R.D. 2007a. Personal communication via email between Dr. Robert D. Kenney, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, Rhode Island, and Ms. Amy Whitt, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas, 11 May.

Kenney, R.D. 2007b. Right whales and climate change: Facing the prospect of a greenhouse future. Pages 436-459 in Kraus, S.D. and R.M. Rolland, eds. The urban whale: North Atlantic right whales at the crossroads. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.

Kenney, R.D. and H.E. Winn. 1986. Cetacean high-use habitats of the northeast United States continental shelf. Fishery Bulletin 84(2):345-357.

Kenney, R.D. and H.E. Winn. 1987. Cetacean biomass densities near submarine canyons compared to adjacent shelf/slope areas. Continental Shelf Research 7:107-114.

Kenney, R.D., M.A.M. Hyman, and H.E. Winn. 1985. Calculation of standing stocks and energetic requirements of the cetaceans of the northeast United States outer continental shelf. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-F/NEC-41:1-99.

Kenney, R.D., H.E. Winn, and M.C. Macaulay. 1995. Cetaceans in the Great South Channel, 1979-1989: Right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*). Continental Shelf Research 15:385-414.

Kenney, R.D., C.A. Mayo, and H.E. Winn. 2001. Migration and foraging strategies at varying spatial scales in western North Atlantic right whales: A review of hypotheses. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue 2):251-260.

Kenney, R.D., P.M. Payne, D.W. Heinemann, and H.E. Winn. 1996. Shifts in northeast shelf cetacean distributions relative to trends in Gulf of Maine/Georges Bank finfish abundance. Pages 169-196 in Sherman, K., N.A. Jaworski, and T.J. Smayda, eds. The Northeast Shelf Ecosystem: Assessment, sustainability, and management. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Blackwell Science.

Kenney, R.D., G.P. Scott, T.J. Thompson, and H.E. Winn. 1997. Estimates of prey consumption and trophic impacts of cetaceans in the USA Northeast Continental Shelf ecosystem. Journal of Northwest Atlantic Fishery Science 22:155-171.

Kerr, K.A., R.H. Defran, and G.S. Campbell. 2005. Bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus)* in the Drowned Cayes, Belize: Group size, site fidelity and abundance. Caribbean Journal of Science 41(1):172-177.

Ketten, D.R. 1992. The marine mammal ear: Specializations for aquatic audition and echolocation. Pages 717-750 in Webster, D.B., R.R. Fay, and A.N. Popper, eds. The evolutionary biology of hearing. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag.

Ketten, D.R. 1997. Structure and function in whale ears. Bioacoustics 8:103-135.

Ketten, D.R. 1998a. Marine mammal auditory systems: A summary of audiometric and anatomical data and its implications for underwater acoustic impacts. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SWFSC-256:1-74.

Ketten, D.R. 1998b. Marine mammal ears: An anatomical perspective on underwater hearing. Proceedings of the International Congress on Acoustics 3:1657-1660.

Ketten, D.R. 2000. Ear adaptations in deep divers. Prepared for the Office of Naval Research, Arlington, Virginia by Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts.

Kingston, S.E. and P.E. Rosel. 2004. Genetic differentiation among recently diverged delphinid taxa determined using AFLP markers. Journal of Heredity 95(1):1-10.

Klatsky, L., R. Wells, and J. Sweeney. 2005. Bermuda's deep diving dolphins - Movements and dive behavior of offshore bottlenose dolphins in the Northwest Atlantic Ocean near Bermuda. Page 152 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 12-16 December 2005. San Diego, California.

Knowlton, A.R. 1997. The regulation of shipping to protect North Atlantic right whales: Need and feasibility. Major paper, University of Rhode Island.

Knowlton, A.R., J.B. Ring, and B. Russell. 2002. Right whale sightings and survey effort in the Mid Atlantic Region: Migratory corridor, time frame, and proximity to port entrances. Report submitted to the NMFS Ship Strike Working Group, Silver Spring, Maryland.

Knowlton, A.R., J. Sigurjónsson, J.N. Ciano, and S.D. Kraus. 1992. Long-distance movements of North Atlantic right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*). Marine Mammal Science 8(4):397-405.

Kopelman, A.H. and S.S. Sadove. 1995. Ventilatory rate differences between surface-feeding and non-surface-feeding fin whales (*Balaenoptera physalus*) in the waters off eastern Long Island, New York, U.S.A., 1981-1987. Marine Mammal Science 11(2):200-208.

Koster, D., L. Sayigh, K. Urian, and A. Read. 2000. Evidence for year-round residency and extended home ranges by botttlenose dolphins in North Carolina. Page 3 in Abstracts, Eighth Annual Atlantic Coastal Dolphin Conference. 24-26 March 2000. Wilmington, North Carolina.

Kovacs, K.M. 2002. Hooded seal *Cystophora cristata*. Pages 580-582 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Kovacs, K.M., K.M. Jonas, and S.E. Welke. 1990. Sex and age segregation by *Phoca vitulina concolor* at haul-out sites during the breeding season in the Passamaquoddy Bay region, New Brunswick. Marine Mammal Science 6:204-214.

Krafft, B.A., C. Lydersen, I. Gjertz, and K.M. Kovacs. 2002. Diving behaviour of sub-adult harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina*) at Prins Karls Forland, Svalbard. Polar Biology 25:230-234.

Krahn, M.M., M.J. Ford, W.F. Perrin, P.R. Wade, R.P. Angliss, M.B. Hanson, B.L. Taylor, G.M. Ylitalo, M.E. Dahlheim, J.E. Stein, and R.S. Waples. 2004. 2004 status review of southern resident killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) under the Endangered Species Act. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NWFSC-62:1-73.

Kraus, S. and G. Early. 1995. Population trends in southern New England as reflected in survey and stranding data. Pages 9-20 in Mooney-Sues, M.L. and G.S. Stone, eds. Pinniped populations in the Gulf of Maine: Status, issues and management. New England Aquarium Aquatic Forum Series Report 95-1. Boston, Massachusetts: New England Aquarium.

Kraus, S.D. and J.J. Hatch. 2001. Mating strategies in the North Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*). Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue 2):237-244.

Kraus, S.D., R.M. Pace III, and T.R. Frasier. 2007. High investment, low return: The strange case of reproduction in *Eubalaena glacialis*. Pages 172-199 in Kraus, S.D. and R.M. Rolland, eds. The urban whale: North Atlantic right whales at the crossroads. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.

Kraus, S.D., J.H. Prescott, A.R. Knowlton, and G.S. Stone. 1986a. Migration and calving of right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*) in the western North Atlantic. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 10):139-144.

Kraus, S.D., R.D. Kenney, A.R. Knowlton, and J.N. Ciano. 1993. Endangered right whales of the southwestern North Atlantic. OCS Study MMS 93-0024. Herndon, Virginia: Minerals Management Service.

Kraus, S.D., P.K. Hamilton, R.D. Kenney, A.R. Knowlton, and C.K. Slay. 2001. Reproductive parameters of the North Atlantic right whale. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue 2):231-236.

Kraus, S.D., K.E. Moore, C.A. Price, M.J. Crone, W.A. Watkins, H.E. Winn, and J.H. Prescott. 1986b. The use of photographs to identify individual North Atlantic right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*). Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 10):145-151.

Kraus, S.D., M.W. Brown, H. Caswell, C.W. Clark, M. Fujiwara, P.K. Hamilton, R.D. Kenney, A.R. Knowlton, S. Landry, C.A. Mayo, W.A. McLellan, M.J. Moore, D.P. Nowacek, D.A. Pabst, A.J. Read, and R.M. Rolland. 2005. North Atlantic right whales in crisis. Science 309:561-562.

Kruse, S., D.K. Caldwell, and M.C. Caldwell. 1999. Risso's dolphin *Grampus griseus* (G. Cuvier, 1812). Pages 183-212 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 6: The second book of dolphins and the porpoises. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Laerm, J., F. Wenzel, J.E. Craddock, D. Weinand, J. McGurk, M.J. Harris, G.A. Early, J.G. Mead, C.W. Potter, and N.B. Barros. 1997. New prey species for northwestern Atlantic humpback whales. Marine Mammal Science 13(4):705-711.

Lafortuna, C.L., M. Jahada, A. Azzellino, F. Saibene, and A. Colombini. 2003. Locomotor behaviours and respiratory pattern of the Mediterranean fin whale (*Balaenoptera physalus*). European Journal of Applied Physiology 90:387-395.

Lagerquist, B.A., K.M. Stafford, and B.R. Mate. 2000. Dive characteristics of satellite-monitored blue whales (*Balaenoptera musculus*) off the central California coast. Marine Mammal Science 16(2):375-391.

Laist, D.W. 2002. Personal communication via e-mail between Mr. David W. Laist, Marine Mammal Commission and Ms. Dagmar Fertl, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas, 21 May.

Laist, D.W. and J.E. Reynolds III. 2005. Influence of power plants and other warm-water refuges on Florida manatees. Marine Mammal Science 21(4):739-764.

Lambertsen, R., N. Ulrich, and J. Straley. 1995. Frontomandibular stay of Balaenopteridae: A mechanism for momentum recapture during feeding. Journal of Mammalogy 76:877-899.

Lammers, M.O., W.W.L. Au, and D.L. Herzing. 2003. The broadband social acoustic signaling behavior of spinner and spotted dolphins. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 114(3):1629-1639.

Laplanche, C., O. Adam, M. Lopatka, and J.-F. Motsch. 2005. Male sperm whale acoustic behavior observed from multipaths at a single hydrophone. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 118(4):2677-2687.

Laurinolli, M.H., A.E. Hay, F. Desharnais, and C.T. Taggart. 2003. Localization of North Atlantic right whale sounds in the Bay of Fundy using a sonobuoy array. Marine Mammal Science 19(4):708-723.

Layne, J.N. 1965. Observations on marine mammals in Florida waters. Bulletin of the Florida State Museum 9:131-181.

Le Boeuf, B.J. and D.E. Crocker. 2005. Ocean climate and seal condition. BMC Biology 3:doi:10.1186/1741-7007-1183-1189.

Leaper, R. and D. Gillespie, eds. 2006. Report of the Second Workshop on Right Whale Acoustics: Practical Applications in Conservation. 4 November 2005. New Bedford Whaling Museum, Massachusetts.

Leatherwood, S. and R.R. Reeves. 1983. The Sierra Club handbook of whales and dolphins. San Francisco, California: Sierra Club Books.

Leatherwood, S., D.K. Caldwell, and H.E. Winn. 1976. Whales, dolphins, and porpoises of the western North Atlantic: A guide to their identification. NOAA Technical Report NMFS CIRC-396.

Leatherwood, S., C.L. Hubbs, and M. Fisher. 1979. First records of Risso's dolphin (*Grampus griseus*) from the Gulf of California with detailed notes on a mass stranding. Transactions of the San Diego Society of Natural History 19(3):45-51.

Leatherwood, S., D. McDonald, R.W. Baird, and M.D. Scott. 1989. The false killer whale, *Pseudorca crassidens* (Owen, 1846); A summary of information available through 1988. Oceans Unlimited Technical Report 89-001:1-114.

Leatherwood, S., M.L.L. Dolar, C.J. Wood, L.V. Aragones, and C.L. Hill. 1992. Marine mammal species confirmed from Philippine waters. Silliman Journal 36(1):65-86.

Leatherwood, S., T.A. Jefferson, J.C. Norris, W.E. Stevens, L.J. Hansen, and K.D. Mullin. 1993. Occurrence and sounds of Fraser's dolphins (*Lagenodelphis hosei*) in the Gulf of Mexico. Texas Journal of Science 45(4):349-354.

Lefebvre, L.W., J.P. Reid, W.J. Kenworthy, and J.A. Powell. 2000. Characterizing Manatee habitat use and seagrass grazing in Florida and Puerto Rico: Implications for conservation and management. Pacific Conservation Biology 5:289-298.

Lefebvre, L.W., M. Marmontel, J.P. Reid, G.B. Rathbun, and D.P. Domning. 2001. Status and biogeography of the West Indian manatee. Pages 425-474 in Woods, C.A. and F.E. Sergile, eds. Biogeography of the West Indies: Patterns and perspectives, 2d ed. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.

Leighton, T.G. 2007. What is ultrasound? Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology 93:3-38.

Leventhall, G. 2007. What is infrasound? Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology 93:130-137.

Ligon, A.D. and R.W. Baird. 2001. Diving behaviour of false killer whales off Maui and Lana'i, Hawaii. Page 126 in Abstracts, Fourteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 28 November-3 December 2001. Vancouver, British Columbia.

Lodi, L. and B. Hetzel. 1999. Rough-toothed dolphin, *Steno bredanensis*, feeding behaviors in Ilha Grande Bay, Brazil. Biociências 7(1):29-42.

Lucas, Z. and P.-Y. Daoust. 2002. Large increases of harp seals (*Phoca groenlandica*) and hooded seals (*Cystophora cristata*) on Sable Island, Nova Scotia, since 1995. Polar Biology 25:562-568.

Lydersen, C. and N.A. Øritsland. 1990. Surface and submerging intervals in minke whale, *Balaenoptera acutorostrata*, diving cycles. Fauna Norvegica 11(Series A):35-37.

Macaulay, M.C., K.F. Wishner, and K.L. Daly. 1995. Acoustic scattering from zooplankton and micronekton in relation to a whale feeding site near Georges Bank and Cape Cod. Continental Shelf Research 15(4/5):509-537.

MacGarvin, M. and M. Simmonds. 1996. Whales and climate change. Pages 321-332 in Simmonds, M.P. and J.D. Hutchinson, eds. The conservation of whales and dolphins: Science and practice. Chichester, England: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

MacLeod, C., W.F. Perrin, R. Pitman, J. Barlow, L. Ballance, A. D'Amico, T. Gerrodette, G. Joyce, K.D. Mullin, D.L. Palka, and G.T. Waring. 2006. Known and inferred distributions of beaked whale species (Cetacea: Ziphiidae). Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 7(3):271-286.

MacLeod, C.D. 1999. A review of beaked whale acoustics, with inferences on potential interactions with military activities. European Research on Cetaceans 13:35-38.

MacLeod, C.D. 2000a. Review of the distribution of *Mesoplodon* species (order Cetacea, family Ziphiidae) in the North Atlantic. Mammal Review 30(1):1-8.

MacLeod, C.D. 2000b. Species recognition as a possible function for variations in position and shape of the sexually dimorphic tusks of *Mesoplodon* whales. Evolution 54(6):2171-2173.

MacLeod, C.D. and N. Hauser. 2002. The occurrence and distribution of cetaceans east of Great Abaco Island, the Bahamas, in summer months between 1998 and 2001. Page 69 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Annual Conference of the European Cetacean Society. 7-11 April 2002. Liege, Belgium.

MacLeod, C.D. and A.F. Zuur. 2005. Habitat utilization by Blainville's beaked whales off Great Abaco, northern Bahamas, in relation to seabed topography. Marine Biology 147:1-11.

MacLeod, C.D. and A. D'Amico. 2006. A review of beaked whale behaviour and ecology in relation to assessing and mitigating impacts of anthropogenic noise. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 7(3):211-221.

MacLeod, C.D. and G. Mitchell. 2006. Key areas for beaked whales worldwide. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 7(3):309-322.

MacLeod, C.D., M.B. Santos, and G.J. Pierce. 2003. Review of data on diets of beaked whales: Evidence of niche separation and geographic segregation. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 83:651-665.

MacLeod, C.D., N. Hauser, and H. Peckham. 2004. Diversity, relative density and structure of the cetacean community in summer months east of Great Abaco, Bahamas. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 84:469-474.

MacLeod, C.D., S.M. Bannon, G.J. Pierce, C. Schweder, J.A. Learmonth, J.S. Herman, and R.J. Reid. 2005. Climate change and the cetacean community of north-west Scotland. Biological Conservation 124:477-483.

Madsen, P.T., I. Kerr, and R. Payne. 2004a. Source parameter estimates of echolocation clicks from wild pygmy killer whales (*Feresa attenuata*) (L). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 116(4, Part 1):1909-1912.

Madsen, P.T., I. Kerr, and R. Payne. 2004b. Echolocation clicks of two free-ranging, oceanic delphinids with different food preferences: False killer whales *Pseudorca crassidens* and Risso's dolphins *Grampus griseus*. Journal of Experimental Biology 207:1811-1823.

Madsen, P.T., D.A. Carder, K. Bedholm, and S.H. Ridgway. 2005a. Porpoise clicks from a sperm whale nose - convergent evolution of 130 kHz pulses in toothed whale sonars? Bioacoustics 15:195-206.

Madsen, P.T., M. Johnson, N. Aguilar de Soto, W.M.X. Zimmer, and P. Tyack. 2005b. Biosonar performance of foraging beaked whales (*Mesoplodon densirostris*). Journal of Experimental Biology 208(2):181-194.

Madsen, P.T., D.A. Carder, W.W.L. Au, P.E. Nachtigall, B. Møhl, and S.H. Ridgway. 2003. Sound production in neonate sperm whales (L). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 113(6):2988-2991.

Manghi, M., G. Montesi, C. Fossati, G. Pavan, M. Priano, and V. Teloni. 1999. Cuvier's beaked whales in the Ionian Sea: First recordings of their sounds. European Research on Cetaceans 13:39-42.

Manire, C.A. and R.S. Wells. 2005. Rough-toothed dolphin rehabilitation and post-release monitoring. Mote Marine Laboratory Technical Report No. 1047 Sarasota, Florida: Mote Marine Laboratory.

Mann, D.A., T.J. O'Shea, and D.P. Nowacek. 2006. Nonlinear dynamics in manatee vocalizations. Marine Mammal Science 22(3):548-555.

Marcoux, M., H. Whitehead, and L. Rendell. 2006. Coda vocalizations recorded in breeding areas are almost entirely produced by mature female sperm whales *(Physeter macrocephalus)*. Canadian Journal of Zoology 84:609-614.

Marmorino, G.O., T.F. Donato, M.A. Sletten, and C.L. Trump. 2000. Observations of an inshore front associated with the Chesapeake Bay outflow plume. Continental Shelf Research 20:665-684.

Marten, K. 2000. Ultrasonic analysis of pygmy sperm whale (*Kogia breviceps*) and Hubbs' beaked whale (*Mesoplodon carlhubbsi*) clicks. Aquatic Mammals 26(1):45-48.

Mate, B. 1989. Satellite-monitored radio tracking as a method for studying cetacean movements and behaviour. Reports of the International Whaling Commission 39:389-391.

Mate, B. 2003. Seasonal distribution and habitat characterization of sperm whales in the Gulf of Mexico from Argos satellite-monitored radio tracking. Page 105 in Abstracts, Fifteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 14-19 December 2003. Greensboro, North Carolina.

Mate, B. and M. Baumgartner. 2001. Summer feeding season movements and fall migration of North Atlantic right whales from satellite-monitored radio tags. Page 137 in Abstracts, Fourteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 28 November-3 December 2001. Vancouver, British Columbia.

Mate, B.R., S.L. Nieukirk, and S.D. Kraus. 1997. Satellite-monitored movements of the northern right whale. Journal of Wildlife Management 61(4):1393-1405.

Mate, B.R., B.A. Lagerquist, M. Winsor, J. Geraci, and J.H. Prescott. 2005. Movements and dive habits of a satellite-monitored longfinned pilot whale (*Globicephala melas*) in the northwest Atlantic. Marine Mammal Science 21(1):136-144.

Mate, B.R., K.A. Rossbach, S.L. Nieukirk, R.S. Wells, A.B. Irvine, M.D. Scott, and A.J. Read. 1995. Satellite-monitored movements and dive behavior of a bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) in Tampa Bay, Florida. Marine Mammal Science 11(4):452-463.

Matthews, J.N., S. Brown, D. Gillespie, M. Johnson, R. McLanaghan, A. Moscrop, D. Nowacek, R. Leaper, T. Lewis, and P. Tyack. 2001. Vocalisation rates of the North Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*). Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 3(3):271-281.

Mattila, D.K., L.N. Guinee, and C.A. Mayo. 1987. Humpback whale songs on a North Atlantic feeding ground. Journal of Mammalogy 68(4):880-883.

Mayo, C.A. and M.K. Marx. 1990. Surface foraging behaviour of the North Atlantic right whale, *Eubalaena glacialis*, and associated zooplankton characteristics. Canadian Journal of Zoology 68:2214-2220.

McAlpine, D.F. 2002. Pygmy and dwarf sperm whales *Kogia breviceps* and *K. sima*. Pages 1007-1009 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

McAlpine, D.F. and R.J. Walker. 1999. Additional extralimital records of the harp seal, *Phoca groenlandica*, from the Bay of Fundy, New Brunswick. Canadian Field-Naturalist 113:290-292.

McAlpine, D.F., L.D. Murison, and E.P. Hoberg. 1997. New records for the pygmy sperm whale, *Kogia breviceps* (Physeteridae) from Atlantic Canada with notes on diet and parasites. Marine Mammal Science 13(4):701-704.

McAlpine, D.F., P.T. Stevick, and L.D. Murison. 1999a. Increase in extralimital occurrences of ice-breeding seals in the northern Gulf of Maine region: More seals or fewer fish? Marine Mammal Science 15(3):906-911.

McAlpine, D.F., P.T. Stevick, L.D. Murison, and S.D. Turnbull. 1999b. Extralimital records of hooded seals (*Cystophora cristata*) from the Bay of Fundy and northern Gulf of Maine. Northeastern Naturalist 6(3):225-230.

McConnell, B.J. and M.A. Fedak. 1996. Movements of southern elephant seals. Canadian Journal of Zoology 74:1485-1496.

McCowan, B. and D. Reiss. 1995. Maternal aggressive contact vocalizations in captive bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*): Wide-band, low-frequency signals during mother/aunt-infant interactions. Zoo Biology 14:293-309.

McDonald, M.A. and C.G. Fox. 1999. Passive acoustic methods applied to fin whale population density estimation. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 105(5):2643-2651.

McDonald, M.A., J. Calambokidis, A.M. Teranishi, and J.A. Hildebrand. 2001. The acoustic calls of blue whales off California with gender data. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 109(4):1728-1735.

McDonald, M.A., J.A. Hildebrand, S.M. Wiggins, D. Thiele, D. Glasgow, and S.E. Moore. 2005. Sei whale sounds recorded in the Antarctic. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 118(6):3941-3945.

McFee, W. 2006. Personal communication via email between Mr. Wayne McFee, National Ocean Service, Charleston, South Carolina, and Ms. Amy Whitt, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas, 20 November.

McLellan, W.A., H.N. Koopman, S.A. Rommel, A.J. Read, C.W. Potter, J.R. Nicolas, A.J. Westgate, and D.A. Pabst. 2002. Ontogenetic allometry and body composition of harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena,* L.) from the western North Atlantic. Journal of Zoology, London 257:457-471.

Mead, J., K. West, and C. Potter. 2001. Reproduction in the rough-toothed dolphin, *Steno bredanensis*: Attainment of sexual maturity. Page 141 in Abstracts, Fourteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 28 November-3 December 2001. Vancouver, British Columbia.

Mead, J.G. 1977. Records of sei and Bryde's whales from the Atlantic coast of the United States, the Gulf of Mexico, and the Caribbean. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 1):113-116.

Mead, J.G. 1989. Beaked whales of the genus-*Mesoplodon*. Pages 349-430 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 4: River dolphins and the larger toothed whales. London, England: Academic Press.

Mead, J.G. and C.W. Potter. 1990. Natural history of bottlenose dolphins along the central Atlantic coast of the United States. Pages 165-195 in Leatherwood, S. and R.R. Reeves, eds. The bottlenose dolphin. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Mead, J.G. and C.W. Potter. 1995. Recognizing two populations of the bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) off the Atlantic Coast of North America: Morphologic and ecologic considerations. IBI Reports 5:31-44.

Measures, L., B. Roberge, and R. Sears. 2004. Stranding of a Pygmy Sperm Whale, *Kogia breviceps,* in the Northern Gulf of St. Lawrence, Canada. Canadian Field-Naturalist 118(4):495-498.

Mellinger, D.K. and C.W. Clark. 2003. Blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus*) sounds from the North Atlantic. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 114(2):1108-1119.

Mellinger, D.K., C.D. Carson, and C.W. Clark. 2000. Characteristics of minke whale (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*) pulse trains recorded near Puerto Rico. Marine Mammal Science 16(4):739-756.

Mendes, S., W. Turrell, T. Lütkebohle, and P. Thompson. 2002. Influence of the tidal cycle and a tidal intrusion front on the spatio-temporal distribution of coastal bottlenose dolphins. Marine Ecology Progress Series 239:221-229.

Mignucci-Giannoni, A.A. 1989. Zoogeography of marine mammals in Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands. Master's thesis, University of Rhode Island.

Mignucci-Giannoni, A.A. 1996. Marine mammal strandings in Puerto Rico and the United States and British Virgin Islands. Ph.D. diss., University of Puerto Rico.

Mignucci-Giannoni, A.A. 1998. Zoogeography of cetaceans off Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands. Caribbean Journal of Science 34(3-4):173-190.

Mignucci-Giannoni, A.A. and D.K. Odell. 2001. Tropical and subtropical records of hooded seals (*Cystophora cristata*) dispel the myth of extant Caribbean monk seals (*Monachus tropicalis*). Bulletin of Marine Science 68(1):47-58.

Mignucci-Giannoni, A.A. and P. Haddow. 2002. Wandering hooded seals. Science 295:627-628.

Mignucci-Giannoni, A.A., S.L. Swartz, A. Martínez, C.M. Burks, and W.A. Watkins. 2003. First records of the pantropical spotted dolphin (*Stenella attenuata*) for the Puerto Rican Bank, with a review of the species in the Caribbean. Caribbean Journal of Science 39(3):381-392.

Miller, P.J.O. 2006. Diversity in sound pressure levels and estimated active space of resident killer whale vocalizations. Journal of Comparative Physiology A 192:449-459.

Miller, P.J.O., M.P. Johnson, and P.L. Tyack. 2004. Sperm whale behaviour indicates the use of echolocation click buzzes 'creaks' in prey capture. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 271:2239-2247.

Mills, L.R. and K.R. Rademacher. 1996. Atlantic spotted dolphins (*Stenella frontalis*) in the Gulf of Mexico. Gulf of Mexico Science 1996(2):114-120.

Mitchell, E. and V.M. Kozicki. 1975. Supplementary information on minke whale (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*) from Newfoundland fishery. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 32(7):985-994.

Mitchell, E. and D.G. Chapman. 1977. Preliminary assessment of stocks of northwest Atlantic sei whales (*Balaenoptera borealis*). Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 1):117-120.

Mitchell, E. and R.R. Reeves. 1988. Records of killer whales in the western North Atlantic, with emphasis on eastern Canadian waters. Rit Fiskideildar (Journal of the Marine Research Institute Reykjavik) 11:161-193.

Mitchell, E., V.M. Kozicki, and R.R. Reeves. 1986. Sightings of right whales, *Eubalaena glacialis*, on the Scotian Shelf, 1966-1972. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 10):83-107.

Mitchell, E., ed. 1975. Report of the Meeting on Smaller Cetaceans, Montreal, April 1-11, 1974. Subcommittee on Smaller Cetaceans, International Whaling Commission. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 32(7):889-983.

Mitchell, E.D., Jr. 1991. Winter records of the minke whale (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata acutorostrata* Lacépède 1804) in the southern North Atlantic. Reports of the International Whaling Commission 41:455-457.

Mitchell, G.H., R.D. Kenney, A.M. Farak, and R.J. Campbell. 2002. Evaluation of occurrence of endangered and threatened marine species in Naval ship trial areas and transit lanes in the Gulf of Maine and offshore of Georges Bank. NUWC-NPT Technical Memorandum 02-121 Newport, Rhode Island: Naval Undersea Warfare Division.

Miyazaki, N. and S. Wada. 1978. Observation of Cetacea during whale marking cruise in the western tropical Pacific, 1976. Scientific Reports of the Whales Research Institute 30:179-195.

Miyazaki, N. and W.F. Perrin. 1994. Rough-toothed dolphin-*Steno bredanensis* (Lesson, 1828). Pages 1-21 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 5: The first book of dolphins. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

MMC (Marine Mammal Commission). 2002. Annual report to Congress 2001. Bethesda, Maryland: Marine Mammal Commission.

MMC (Marine Mammal Commission). 2003. Annual report to Congress 2002. Bethesda, Maryland: Marine Mammal Commission.

MMS (Minerals Management Service). 2001. Gulf of Mexico OCS oil and gas lease sale 181: Eastern Planning Area. Final environmental impact statement. OCS EIS/EA MMS 2002-051. New Orleans, Louisiana: Minerals Management Service.

Mobley, J.R., Jr. 2004. Results of marine mammal surveys on U.S. Navy underwater ranges in Hawaii and Bahamas. Award number N000140210841 Prepared for Office of Naval Research (ONR) Marine Mammal Program by Marine Mammal Research Consultants.

Møhl, B., M. Wahlberg, P.T. Madsen, A. Heerfordt, and A. Lund. 2003. The monopulsed nature of sperm whale clicks. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 114(2):1143-1154.

Moore, J.C. 1951a. The status of the manatee in the Everglades National Park, with notes on its natural history. Journal of Mammalogy 32(1):22-36.

Moore, J.C. 1951b. The range of the Florida manatee. Quarterly Journal of the Florida Academy of Sciences 14(1):1-19.

Moore, J.C. 1953. Distribution of marine mammals to Florida waters. American Midland Naturalist 49:117-158.

Moore, J.C. 1956. Observations of manatees in aggregations. American Museum Novitates 1811:1-24.

Moore, S.E., W.A. Watkins, M.A. Daher, J.R. Davies, and M.E. Dahlheim. 2002. Blue whale habitat associations in the Northwest Pacific: Analysis of remotely-sensed data using a Geographic Information System. Oceanography 15(3):20-25.

Moore, T.C. 1999. Estimation of the source signal characteristics and variability of blue whale calls using a towed array. Master's thesis, Naval Postgraduate School.

Moreno, I.B., A.N. Zerbini, D. Danilewicz, M.C. de Oliveira Santos, P.C. Simões-Lopes, J. Lailson-Brito, Jr., and A.F. Azevedo. 2005. Distribution and habitat characteristics of dolphins of the genus *Stenella* (Cetacea: Delphinidae) in the southwest Atlantic Ocean. Marine Ecology Progress Series 300:229-240.

Morgan, L.W., J.A. Musick, and C.W. Potter. 2002. Temporal and geographic occurrences of cetacean strandings and manatee sightings in Virginia, with notes on adverse human-cetacean interactions, from 1983-1989. Journal of the North Carolina Academy of Science 118(1):12-26.

Moulton, V.D., E.H. Miller, and H. Ochoa-Acuña. 2000. Haulout behaviour of captive harp seals (*Pagophilus groenlandicus*): Incidence, seasonality, and relationships to weather. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 65:367-378.

Mullin, K.D. and G.L. Fulling. 2003. Abundance of cetaceans in the southern U.S. North Atlantic Ocean during summer 1998. Fishery Bulletin 101:603-613.

Mullin, K.D., W. Hoggard, and L.J. Hansen. 2004. Abundance and seasonal occurrence of cetaceans in outer continental shelf and slope waters of the north-central and northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Gulf of Mexico Science 2004(1):62-73.

Mullin, K.D., L.V. Higgins, T.A. Jefferson, and L.J. Hansen. 1994a. Sightings of the Clymene dolphin (*Stenella clymene*) in the Gulf of Mexico. Marine Mammal Science 10(4):464-470.

Mullin, K.D., T.A. Jefferson, L.J. Hansen, and W. Hoggard. 1994b. First sightings of melon-headed whales (*Peponocephala electra*) in the Gulf of Mexico. Marine Mammal Science 10(3):342-348.

Mullin, K.D., W. Hoggard, C.L. Roden, R.R. Lohoefener, C.M. Rogers, and B. Taggart. 1994c. Cetaceans on the upper continental slope in the north-central Gulf of Mexico. Fishery Bulletin 92:773-786.

Munk, P., P.O. Larsson, D. Danielsen, and E. Moksness. 1995. Larval and small juvenile cod *Gadus morhua* concentrated in the highly productive areas of a shelf break front. Marine Ecology Progress Series 125:21-30.

Muñoz-Hincapié, M.F., D.M. Mora-Pinto, D.M. Palacios, E.R. Secchi, and A.A. Mignucci-Giannoni. 1998. First osteological record of the dwarf sperm whale in Colombia, with notes on the zoogeography of *Kogia* in South America. Revista Academia Colombiana de Ciencias 22(84):433-444.

Murison, L.D. and D.E. Gaskin. 1989. The distribution of right whales and zooplankton in the Bay of Fundy, Canada. Canadian Journal of Zoology 67:1411-1420.

Murphy, M.A. 1995. Occurrence and group characteristics of minke whales, *Balaenoptera acutorostrata*, in Massachusetts Bay and Cape Cod Bay. Fishery Bulletin 93:577-585.

Murphy, S., A. Collet, and E. Rogan. 2005. Mating strategy in the male common dolphin (*Delphinus delphis*): What gonadal analysis tells us. Journal of Mammalogy 86(6):1247-1258.

Nachtigall, P.E., D.W. Lemonds, and H.L. Roitblat. 2000. Psychoacoustic studies of dolphin and whale hearing. Pages 330-363 in Au, W.W.L., A.N. Popper, and R.R. Fay, eds. Hearing by whales and dolphins. New York, New York: Springer-Verlag.

Nachtigall, P.E., W.W.L. Au, J.L. Pawloski, and P.W.B. Moore. 1995. Risso's dolphin (*Grampus griseus*) hearing thresholds in Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii. Pages 49-53 in Kastelein, R.A., J.A. Thomas, and P.E. Nachtigall, eds. Sensory systems of aquatic mammals. Woerden, The Netherlands: De Spil Publishers.

Nachtigall, P.E., M.M.L. Yuen, T.A. Mooney, and K.A. Taylor. 2005. Hearing measurements from a stranded infant Risso's dolphin, *Grampus griseus*. Journal of Experimental Biology 208:4181-4188.

Nachtigall, P.E., T.A. Mooney, K.A. Taylor, L.A. Miller, M.H. Rasmussen, T. Akamatsu, J. Teilmann, M. Linnenschmidt, and G.A. Vikingsson. 2008. Shipboard measurements of the hearing of the white-beaked dolphin *Lagenorhynchus albirostris*. The Journal of Experimental Biology 211:642-647.

Nagorsen, D. 1985. *Kogia simus*. Mammalian Species 239:1-6.

NARWC (North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium). 2007. North Atlantic right whale report card: November 2006 - October 2007. Prepared for the National Marine Fisheries Service, Silver Spring, Maryland.

Natoli, A., V.M. Peddemors, and A.R. Hoelzel. 2004. Population structure and speciation in the genus *Tursiops* based on microsatellite and mitochondrial DNA analyses. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 17:363-375.

Naud, M.-J., B. Long, J.-C. Brêthes, and R. Sears. 2003. Influences of underwater bottom topography and geomorphology on minke whale (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*) distribution in the Mingan Islands (Canada). Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 83:889-896.

Nawojchik, R., D.J. St. Aubin, and A. Johnson. 2003. Movements and dive behavior of two stranded, rehabilitated long-finned pilot whales (*Globicephala melas*) in the northwest Atlantic. Marine Mammal Science 19(1):232-239.

Nekoba-Dutertre, N., M. Poole, and B. Bilgre. 1999. Rough-tooth dolphins (*Steno bredanensis*) in French Polynesia. Page 133 in Abstracts, Thirteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 28 November - 3 December 1999. Wailea, Hawaii.

Nelson, D. and J. Lien. 1996. The status of the long-finned pilot whale, *Globicephala melas*, in Canada. Canadian Field-Naturalist 110(3):511-524.

Nemoto, T. and A. Kawamura. 1977. Characteristics of food habits and distribution of baleen whales with special reference to the abundance of North Pacific sei and Bryde's whales. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 1):80-87.

Neuhauser, H., ed. 2007. Right Whale News, Volume 14, Number 4.

Niezrecki, C., R. Phillips, M. Meyer, and D.O. Beusse. 2003. Acoustic detection of manatee vocalizations. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 114(3):1640-1647.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 1999. Cruise results, summer Atlantic Ocean marine mammal survey, NOAA Ship *Oregon II* cruise OT 99-05 (236). Unpublished cruise report. Pascagoula, Mississippi: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 2001. Preliminary stock structure of Coastal Bottlenose Dolphins along the Atlantic coast of the US. Unpublished document prepared for the Take Reduction Team on Coastal Bottlenose Dolphins in the Western Atlantic.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 2002. Cruise results, NOAA Ship *Gordon Gunter* cruise GU-02-01, 6 February-8 April 2002, Mid Atlantic Cetacean Survey ("MACS"). Unpublished cruise report. Pascagoula, Mississippi: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1991. Recovery plan for the humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*). Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1994. Designated critical habitat; northern right whale. Federal Register 59(106):28793-28808.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1998a. Draft recovery plan for the fin whale *Balaenoptera physalus* and sei whale *Balaenoptera borealis*. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1998b. Recovery plan for the blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus*). Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service. .

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1999. Taking of marine mammals incidental to commercial fishing operations; Atlantic large whale take reduction plan regulations. Final rule. Federal Register 64(30):7529-7556.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2001. Final review of the biological status of the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy harbor porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) pursuant to the Endangered Species Act. Silver Spring, Maryland.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2005. Recovery plan for the North Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*). Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2006a. Taking of marine mammals incidental to commercial fishing operations; Bottlenose Dolphin Take Reduction Plan regulations; Sea turtle conservation; Restrictions to fishing activities--Final rule. Federal Register 71(80):24776-24797.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2006b. Draft recovery plan for the fin whale (*Balaenoptera physalus)*. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2006c. Review of the status of the right whales in the North Atlantic and North Pacific oceans. Prepared by the National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2006d. Draft recovery plan for the sperm whale (*Physeter macrocephalus)*. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2006e. Endangered fish and wildlife; Proposed rule to implement speed restrictions to reduce the threat of ship collisions with North Atlantic right whales. Federal Register 71(122):36299-36313.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2007. Endangered and threatened species: Initiation of a 5-year review for fin, sperm, and southern right whales. Federal Register 72(13):2649-2650.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2008. Endangered and threatened species; endangered status for North Pacific and North Atlantic right whales. Federal Register 73(45):120212030.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2006a. Wandering hooded seals puzzle and challenge NOAA's stranding network. Accessed 18 December 2006. http://www.noaanews.noaa.gov/stories2006/s2705.htm.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2006b. NOAA recommends new East Coast ship traffic routes to reduce collisions with endangered whales. Press Release. 17 November. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2006c. NOAA proposes regulations to reduce risk of whale collisions. Marine Pollution Bulletin 52:837.

NOAA Fisheries Service. 2007. Important sighting of mother and calf right whales confirmed off northeast Florida: NOAA asks mariners to keep a lookout and report future sightings. Press Release. 19 July. St. Petersburg, Florida: Southeast Regional Office.

Norris, K.S. and T.P. Dohl. 1980. Behavior of the Hawaiian spinner dolphin, *Stenella longirostris*. Fishery Bulletin 77(4):821-849.

Norris, K.S., B. Würsig, R.S. Wells, and M. Würsig, eds. 1994. The Hawaiian spinner dolphin. Berkeley, California: University of California Press.

Norris, K.S., B. Würsig, R.S. Wells, M. Würsig, S.M. Brownlee, C. Johnson, and J. Solow. 1985. The behavior of the Hawaiian spinner dolphin, *Stenella longirostris*. NMFS-SWFSC Administrative Report LJ-85-06C:1-213.

Norris, T., J. Jacobsen, and S. Cerchio. 2000. A comparative analysis of humpback whale songs recorded in pelagic waters of the eastern North Pacific: Preliminary findings and implications for discerning migratory routes and assessing breeding stock identity. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SWFSC-295:1-29.

Northridge, S. 1996. Seasonal distribution of harbour porpoises in US Atlantic waters. Reports of the International Whaling Commission 46:613-617.

Notarbartolo-di-Sciara, G., M. Zanardelli, M. Jahoda, S. Panigada, and S. Airoldi. 2003. The fin whale *Balaenoptera physalus* (L. 1758) in the Mediterranean Sea. Mammal Review 33(2):105-150.

Notarbartolo di Sciara, G. 1982. Bryde's whales (*Balaenoptera edeni* Anderson, 1878) off eastern Venezuela (Cetacea, Balaenopteridae). Technical Report 83-153 San Diego, California: Hubbs-Sea World Research Institute.

Nowacek, D.P. 2005. Acoustic ecology of foraging bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*), habitat-specific use of three sound types. Marine Mammal Science 21(4):587-602.

Nowacek, D.P., M.P. Johnson, and P.L. Tyack. 2004. North Atlantic right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*) ignore ships but respond to alerting stimuli. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 271:227-231.

Nowacek, D.P., B.M. Casper, R.S. Wells, S.M. Nowacek, and D.A. Mann. 2003. Intraspecific and geographic variation of West Indian manatee (*Trichechus manatus spp.*) vocalizations (L). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 114(1):66-69.

O'Shea, T.J., B.B. Ackerman, and H.F. Percival, eds. 1995. Population biology of the Florida manatee. Information and Technology Report 1. Washington, D.C.: National Biological Service.

O'Sullivan, S. and K.D. Mullin. 1997. Killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Marine Mammal Science 13:141-147.

Odell, D.K. and K.M. McClune. 1999. False killer whale *Pseudorca crassidens* (Owen, 1846). Pages 213-243 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 6: The second book of dolphins and the porpoises. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Oleson, E.M., J. Barlow, J. Gordon, S. Rankin, and J.A. Hildebrand. 2003. Low frequency calls of Bryde's whales. Marine Mammal Science 19(2):407-419.

Olsen, E. and J. Sunde. 2002. Age determination of minke whales (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*) using the aspartic acid racemization technique. Sarsia 87:1-8.

Olson, P.A. and S.B. Reilly. 2002. Pilot whales *Globicephala melas* and *G. macrorhynchus*. Pages 898-903 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Orsi Relini, L. and L. Cagnolaro. 1996. Does the long-finned pilot whale feed on tuna? - A mistake in the Mediterranean literature. European Research on Cetaceans 9:183-184.

Orton, L.S. and P.F. Brodie. 1987. Engulfing mechanics of fin whales. Canadian Journal of Zoology 65:2898-2907.

Oswald, J.N., J. Barlow, and T.F. Norris. 2003. Acoustic identification of nine delphinid species in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean. Marine Mammal Science 19(1):20-37.

Ottensmeyer, C.A. and H. Whitehead. 2003. Behavioural evidence for social units in long-finned pilot whales. Canadian Journal of Zoology 81:1327-1338.

Overholtz, W.J. and G.T. Waring. 1991. Diet composition of pilot whales *Globicephala* sp. and common dolphins *Delphinus delphis* in the Mid-Atlantic Bight during spring 1989. Fishery Bulletin 89(4):723-728.

Owen, E.C.G., R.S. Wells, and S. Hofmann. 2002. Ranging and association patterns of paired and unpaired adult male Atlantic bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops truncatus*, in Sarasota, Florida, provide no evidence for alternative male strategies. Canadian Journal of Zoology 80:2072-2089.

Pabst, D.A., S.A. Rommel, and W.A. McLellan. 1999. The functional morphology of marine mammals. Pages 15-72 in Reynolds III, J.E. and S.A. Rommel, eds. Biology of marine mammals. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution.

Pack, A.A., D.R. Salden, M.J. Ferrari, D.A. Glockner-Ferrari, L.M. Herman, H.A. Stubbs, and J.M. Straley. 1998. Male humpback whale dies in competitive group. Marine Mammal Science 14(4):861-873.

Palacios, D.M. and B.R. Mate. 1996. Attack by false killer whales (*Pseudorca crassidens*) on sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*) in the Galápagos Islands. Marine Mammal Science 12(4):582-587.

Palka, D. 1995. Influences on spatial patterns of Gulf of Maine harbor porpoises. Pages 69-75 in Blix, A.S., L. Walløe, and Ø. Ulltang, eds. Whales, seals, fish and man. New York, New York: Elsevier Science B.V.

Palka, D. 2000. Abundance of the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy harbor porpoise based on shipboard and aerial surveys during 1999. Northeast Fisheries Science Center Reference Document 00-07. Woods Hole, Massachusetts: National Marine Fisheries Service.

Palka, D. and M. Johnson. 2007. Cooperative research to study dive patterns of sperm whales in the Atlantic Ocean. OCS Study MMS 2007-033. New Orleans, Louisiana: Minerals Management Service.

Panigada, S., M. Zanardelli, S. Canese, and M. Jahoda. 1999. Deep diving performances of Mediterranean fin whales. Page 144 in Abstracts, Thirteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 28 November-3 December 1999. Wailea, Hawaii.

Panigada, S., G. Notarbartolo di Sciara, M.Z. Panigada, S. Airoldi, J.F. Borsani, and M. Jahoda. 2005. Fin whales (*Balaenoptera physalus*) summering in the Ligurian Sea: Distribution, encounter rate, mean group size and relation to physiographic variables. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 7(2):137-145.

Parks, S.E. and P.L. Tyack. 2005. Sound production by North Atlantic right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*) in surface active groups. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 117(5):3297-3306.

Parks, S.E. and C.W. Clark. 2007. Acoustic communication: Social sounds and the potential impacts of noise. Pages 310-332 in Kraus, S.D. and R.M. Rolland, eds. The urban whale: North Atlantic right whales at the crossroads. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.

Parks, S.E., D.R. Ketten, J. Trehey O'Malley, and J. Arruda. 2004. Hearing in the North Atlantic right whale: Anatomical predictions. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 115(5, Part 2):2442.

Parks, S.E., P.K. Hamilton, S.D. Kraus, and P.L. Tyack. 2005. The gunshot sound produced by male North Atlantic right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*) and its potential function in reproductive advertisement. Marine Mammal Science 21(3):458-475.

Parks, S.E., D.R. Ketten, J.T. O'Malley, and J. Arruda. 2007. Anatomical predictions of hearing in the North Atlantic right whale. Anatomical Record 290:734-744.

Pavan, G., T.J. Hayward, J.F. Borsani, M. Priano, M. Manghi, C. Fossati, and J. Gordon. 2000. Time patterns of sperm whale codas recorded in the Mediterranean Sea 1985-1996. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 107(6):3487-3495.

Payne, K., P. Tyack, and R. Payne. 1983. Progressive changes in the songs of humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*): A detailed analysis of two seasons in Hawaii. Pages 9-57 in Payne, R., ed. Communication and behavior of whales. Volume AAAS Selected Symposia Series 76. Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press.

Payne, P.M. and L.A. Selzer. 1989. The distribution, abundance and selected prey of the harbor seal, *Phoca vitulina concolor*, in southern New England. Marine Mammal Science 5(2):173-192.

Payne, P.M. and D.W. Heinemann. 1993. The distribution of pilot whales (*Globicephala* spp.) in shelf/shelf-edge and slope waters of the Northeastern United States, 1978-1988. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 14):51-68.

Payne, P.M., L.A. Selzer, and A.R. Knowlton. 1984. Distribution and density of cetaceans, marine turtles, and seabirds in the shelf waters of the northeastern United States, June 1980 - December 1983, based on shipboard observations. Contract number NA-81-FA-C-00023 Woods Hole, Massachusetts: National Marine Fisheries Service.

Payne, P.M., D.W. Heinemann, and L.A. Selzer. 1990a. A distributional assessment of cetaceans in shelf/shelf-edge and adjacent slope waters of the northeastern United States based on aerial and shipboard surveys, 1978-1988. Woods Hole, Massachusetts: National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Fisheries Science Center.

Payne, P.M., J.R. Nicolas, L. O'Brien, and K.D. Powers. 1986. The distribution of the humpback whale, *Megaptera novaeangliae,* on Georges Bank and in the Gulf of Maine in relation to densities of the sand eel, *Ammodytes americanus*. Fishery Bulletin 84:271-277.

Payne, P.M., D.N. Wiley, S.B. Young, S. Pittman, P.J. Clapham, and J.W. Jossi. 1990b. Recent fluctuations in the abundance of baleen whales in the southern Gulf of Maine in relation to changes in selected prey. Fishery Bulletin 88:687-696.

Payne, R.S. and S. McVay. 1971. Songs of humpback whales. Science 173:585-597.

Peddemors, V.M. 1999. Delphinids of southern Africa: A review of their distribution, status and life history. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 1(2):157-165.

Perrin, W.F. 1998. *Stenella longirostris*. Mammalian Species 599:1-7.

Perrin, W.F. 2002a. Common dolphins *Delphinus delphis, D. capensis,* and *D. tropicalis*. Pages 245-248 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Perrin, W.F. 2002b. *Stenella frontalis*. Mammalian Species 702:1-6.

Perrin, W.F. and W.A. Walker. 1975. The rough-toothed porpoise, *Steno bredanensis*, in the eastern tropical Pacific. Journal of Mammalogy 56(4):905-907.

Perrin, W.F. and J.W. Gilpatrick, Jr. 1994. Spinner dolphin--*Stenella longirostris* (Gray, 1828). Pages 99-128 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 5: The first book of dolphins. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Perrin, W.F. and J.G. Mead. 1994. Clymene dolphin-*Stenella clymene* (Gray, 1846). Pages 161-171 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 5: The first book of dolphins. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Perrin, W.F. and A.A. Hohn. 1994. Pantropical spotted dolphin-*Stenella attenuata*. Pages 71-98 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 5: The first book of dolphins. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Perrin, W.F. and R.L. Brownell, Jr. 2002. Minke whales *Balaenoptera acutorostrata* and *B. bonaerensis*. Pages 750-754 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Perrin, W.F., C.E. Wilson, and F.I. Archer II. 1994a. Striped dolphin--*Stenella coeruleoalba* (Meyen, 1833). Pages 129-159 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 5: The first book of dolphins. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Perrin, W.F., S. Leatherwood, and A. Collett. 1994b. Fraser's dolphin-*Lagenodelphis hosei* (Fraser, 1956). Pages 225-240 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 5: The first book of dolphins. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Perrin, W.F., D.K. Caldwell, and M.C. Caldwell. 1994c. Atlantic spotted dolphin-*Stenella frontalis* (G. Cuvier, 1829). Pages 173-190 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 5: The first book of dolphins. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Perrin, W.F., M.L.L. Dolar, and D. Robineau. 1999. Spinner dolphins (*Stenella longirostris*) of the western Pacific and southeast Asia: Pelagic and shallow-water forms. Marine Mammal Science 15(4):1029-1053.

Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. 2002. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Perrin, W.F., E.D. Mitchell, J.G. Mead, D.K. Caldwell, and P.J.H. van Bree. 1981. *Stenella clymene*, a rediscovered tropical dolphin of the Atlantic. Journal of Mammalogy 62(3):583-598.

Perrin, W.F., E.D. Mitchell, J.G. Mead, D.K. Caldwell, M.C. Caldwell, P.J.H. van Bree, and W.H. Dawbin. 1987. Revision of the spotted dolphins, *Stenella* spp. Marine Mammal Science 3(2):99-170.

Perry, S.L., D.P. DeMaster, and G.K. Silber. 1999. The great whales: History and status of six species listed as endangered under the U.S. Endangered Species Act of 1973. Marine Fisheries Review 61(1):1-74.

Perryman, W.L. 2002. Melon-headed whale *Peponocephala electra*. Pages 733-735 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Perryman, W.L. and T.C. Foster. 1980. Preliminary report on predation by small whales, mainly the false killer whale, *Pseudorca crassidens*, on dolphins (*Stenella* spp. and *Delphinus delphis*) in the eastern tropical Pacific. NMFS-SWFSC Administrative Report LJ-80-05:1-9.

Perryman, W.L., D.W.K. Au, S. Leatherwood, and T.A. Jefferson. 1994. Melon-headed whale--*Peponocephala electra* (Gray, 1846). Pages 363-386 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 5: The first book of dolphins. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Pershing, A.J., C.H. Greene, C. Hannah, D. Sameoto, E. Head, D.W. Mountain, J.W. Jossi, M.C. Benfield, P.C. Reid, and T.G. Durbin. 2001. Oceanographic responses to climate in the Northwest Atlantic. Oceanography 14(3):76-82.

Philips, J.D., P.E. Nachtigall, W.W.L. Au, J.L. Pawloski, and H.L. Roitblat. 2003. Echolocation in the Risso's dolphin, *Grampus griseus*. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 113(1):605-616.

Phillips, R., C. Niezrecki, and D.O. Beusse. 2004. Determination of West Indian manatee vocalization levels and rate. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 115(1):422-428.

Piers, H. 1923. Accidental occurrence of the pygmy sperm whale (*Kogia briviceps*) on the coast of Nova Scotia: An extension of its known range; with remarks on the probability of the former presence in these waters of the true sperm whale (*Physeter macrocephalus*). Proceedings and Transactions of the Nova Scotia Institute of Science 15:95-114.

Pitchford, T. 2006. Personal communication via e-mail between Mr. Tom Pitchford, Florida Marine Research Institute, St. Petersburg, Florida, and Ms. Dagmar Fertl, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas, 28 August.

Pitman, R.L. 2002. Mesoplodont whales *Mesoplodon* spp. Pages 738-742 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Pitman, R.L. and C. Stinchcomb. 2002. Rough-toothed dolphins (*Steno bredanensis*) as predators of mahimahi (*Coryphaena hippurus*). Pacific Science 56(4):447-450.

Pitman, R.L. and P.H. Dutton. 2004. Killer whale predation on a leatherback turtle in the Northeast Pacific. Pacific Science 58(3):497-498.

Pivorunas, A. 1979. The feeding mechanisms of baleen whales. American Scientist 67:432-440.

Plön, S. 2004. The status and natural history of pygmy (*Kogia breviceps*) and dwarf (*K. sima*) sperm whales off southern Africa. Ph.D. diss., Rhodes University.

Plön, S.E.E., R.W. Harris, P.M. Illgner, and V.G.C. Cockcroft. 1998. Analysis of pygmy (*Kogia breviceps*) and dwarf (*Kogia simus*) sperm whale strandings along the South African coastline using a geographic information system. Page 108 in Abstracts, The World Marine Mammal Science Conference. 20-24 January 1998. Monte Carlo, Monaco.

Polacheck, T. 1995. The effect of increasing observer trackline effort in shipboard line transect surveys for harbor porpoise. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 16):69-88.

Poole, M.M. 1995. Aspects of the behavioral ecology of spinner dolphins (*Stenella longirostris*) in the nearshore waters of Mo'orea, French Polynesia. Ph.D. diss., University of California.

Popov, V.V. and V.O. Klishin. 1998. EEG study of hearing in the common dolphin, *Delphinus delphis*. Aquatic Mammals 24(1):13-20.

Potter, C.W. 1979. The marine fauna. Pages 595-602 in Proceedings of the Symposium on Endangered and Threatened Plants and Animals of Virginia. 19-20 May 1978. Blacksburg, Virginia.

Pryor, K. and I.K. Shallenberger. 1991. Social structure in spotted dolphins (*Stenella attenuata*) in the tuna purse seine fishery in the eastern tropical Pacific. Pages 161-196 in Pryor, K. and K.S. Norris, eds. Dolphin societies: Discoveries and puzzles. Berkeley, California: University of California Press.

Ramp, C., M. Berube, W. Hagen, and R. Sears. 2006. Survival of adult blue whales *Balaenoptera musculus* in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, Canada. Marine Ecology Progress Series 319:287-295.

Rankin, S. and J. Barlow. 2005. Source of the North Pacific "boing" sound attributed to minke whales. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 118(5):3346-3351.

Rankin, S., D. Ljungblad, C. Clark, and H. Kato. 2005. Vocalisations of Antarctic blue whales, *Balaenoptera musculus intermedia*, recorded during the 2001/2002 and 2002/2003 IWC/SOWER circumpolar cruises, Area V, Antarctica. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 7(1):13-20.

Rathbun, G.B., T. Carr, N. Carr, and C.A. Woods. 1985. The distribution of manatees and sea turtles in Puerto Rico, with emphasis on Roosevelt Roads Naval Station. NTIS PB86-1518347AS. Prepared for the Atlantic Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command by the Florida State Museum, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida.

Read, A., J. Durban, K. Urian, D. Waples, and B. Foster. 2003. Abundance and stock structure of bottlenose dolphins along the Outer Banks, North Carolina. Draft Final Report. Project 02-EP-02. Raleigh, North Carolina: North Carolina Sea Grant Fishery Resource Grant Program.

Read, A.J. 1990a. Age at sexual maturity and pregnancy rates of harbour porpoises *Phocoena phocoena* from the Bay of Fundy. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 47:561-565.

Read, A.J. 1990b. Reproductive seasonality in harbour porpoises, *Phocoena phocoena*, from the Bay of Fundy. Canadian Journal of Zoology 68:284-288.

Read, A.J. 1999. Harbour porpoise *Phocoena phocoena* (Linnaeus, 1758). Pages 323-355 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 6: The second book of dolphins and the porpoises. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Read, A.J. and A.A. Hohn. 1995. Life in the fast lane: The life history of harbor porpoises from the Gulf of Maine. Marine Mammal Science 11(4):423-440.

Read, A.J. and A.J. Westgate. 1997. Monitoring the movements of harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) with satellite telemetry. Marine Biology 130:315-322.

Read, A.J., J.R. Nicolas, and J.E. Craddock. 1996. Winter capture of a harbor porpoise in a pelagic drift net off North Carolina. Fishery Bulletin 94:381-383.

Read, A.J., D.M. Waples, K.W. Urian, and D. Swanner. 2003a. Fine-scale behaviour of bottlenose dolphins around gillnets. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 270:90-92.

Read, A.J., K.W. Urian, B. Wilson, and D.M. Waples. 2003b. Abundance of bottlenose dolphins in the bays, sounds, and estuaries of North Carolina. Marine Mammal Science 19(1):59-73.

Recchia, C.A. and A.J. Read. 1989. Stomach contents of harbour porpoises, *Phocoena phocoena* (L.), from the Bay of Fundy. Canadian Journal of Zoology 67:2140-2146.

Redfern, J.V., M.C. Ferguson, E.A. Becker, K.D. Hyrenbach, C. Good, J. Barlow, K. Kaschner, M.F. Baumgartner, K.A. Forney, L.T. Ballance, P. Fauchald, P. Halpin, T. Hamazaki, A.J. Pershing, S.S. Qian, A. Read, S.B. Reilly, L. Torres, and F. Werner. 2006. Techniques for cetacean-habitat modeling. Marine Ecology Progress Series 310:271-295.

Reeves, R.R. and J.K. Ling. 1981. Hooded seal, *Cystophora cristata* Erxleben, 1777. Pages 171-203 in Ridgway, S.H. and R.J. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 2: Seals. London, England: Academic Press.

Reeves, R.R. and E. Mitchell. 1986. American pelagic whaling for right whales in the North Atlantic. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 10):221-254.

Reeves, R.R. and R.D. Kenney. 2003. Baleen whales: Right whales and allies. Pages 425-463 in Feldhamer, G.A., B.C. Thompson, and J.A. Chapman, eds. Wild mammals of North America: Biology, management, and conservation. 2d ed. Baltimore, Maryland: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Reeves, R.R., B.S. Stewart, and S. Leatherwood. 1992. The Sierra Club handbook of seals and sirenians. San Francisco, California: Sierra Club Books.

Reeves, R.R., J.M. Breiwick, and E.D. Mitchell. 1999a. History of whaling and estimated kill of right whales, *Balaena glacialis*, in the northeastern United States, 1620-1924. Marine Fisheries Review 61(3):1-36.

Reeves, R.R., T.D. Smith, and E.A. Josephson. 2007. Near-annihilation of a species: Right whaling in the North Atlantic. Pages 39-74 in Kraus, S.D. and R.M. Rolland, eds. The urban whale: North Atlantic right whales at the crossroads. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.

Reeves, R.R., S. Leatherwood, G.S. Stone, and L.G. Eldredge. 1999b. Marine mammals in the area served by the South Pacific Regional Environment Programme. Apia, Samoa: South Pacific Regional Environment Programme.

Reeves, R.R., B.S. Stewart, P.J. Clapham, and J.A. Powell. 2002. National Audubon Society guide to marine mammals of the world. New York, New York: Alfred A. Knopf, Inc.

Reeves, R.R., B.D. Smith, E.A. Crespo, and G. Notarbartolo di Sciara, compilers. 2003. 2002-2010 conservation action plan for the world's cetaceans: Dolphins, whales and porpoises. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. ix + 139 pp.

Reeves, R.R., W.F. Perrin, B.L. Taylor, C.S. Baker, and S.L. Mesnick, eds. 2004. Report of the Workshop on Shortcomings of Cetacean Taxonomy in Relation to Needs of Conservation and Management, April 30 - May 2, 2004, La Jolla, California. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SWFSC-363:1-94.

Reich, K.J. and G.A.J. Worthy. 2006. An isotopic assessment of the feeding habits of free-ranging manatees. Marine Ecology Progress Series 322:303-309.

Reid, J. 2000. Florida manatee now resident in the Bahamas. SireNews 33:6.

Reid, J.P., G.B. Rathbun, and J.R. Wilcox. 1991. Distribution patterns of individually identifiable West Indian manatees (*Trichechus manatus*) in Florida. Marine Mammal Science 7(2):180-190.

Reilly, S.B. 1990. Seasonal changes in distribution and habitat differences among dolphins in the eastern tropical Pacific. Marine Ecology Progress Series 66:1-11.

Reilly, S.B. and V.G. Thayer. 1990. Blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus*) distribution in the eastern tropical Pacific. Marine Mammal Science 6(4):265-277.

Rendell, L. and H. Whitehead. 2004. Do sperm whales share coda vocalizations? Insights into coda usage from acoustic size measurement. Animal Behaviour 67:865-874.

Reynolds III, J.E. and J.C. Ferguson. 1984. Implications of the presence of manatees (*Trichechus manatus*) near the Dry Tortugas Islands. Florida Scientist 47(3):187-189.

Reynolds III, J.E. and D.K. Odell, eds. 1991. Marine mammal strandings in the United States: Proceedings of the Second Marine Mammal Stranding Workshop, Miami, Florida, 3-5 December 1987. NOAA Technical Report NMFS 98:1-157.

Reynolds III, J.E. and S.A. Rommel, eds. 1999. Biology of marine mammals.578. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Reynolds III, J.E., R.S. Wells, and S.D. Eide. 2000. The bottlenose dolphin. Gainesville, Florida: University Press of Florida.

Rice, D.W. 1989. Sperm whale--*Physeter macrocephalus* (Linnaeus, 1758). Pages 177-234 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 4: River dolphins and the larger toothed whales. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Rice, D.W. 1998. Marine mammals of the world: Systematics and distribution. Lawrence, Kansas: Society for Marine Mammalogy.

Richardson, D.T. 1975. Hooded seal whelps at South Brooksville, Maine. Journal of Mammalogy 56(3):698-699.

Richardson, D.T. 1976. Feeding habits and population studies of Maine's harbor and gray seals. Final report. Augusta, Maine: Maine Department of Sea and Shore Fisheries.

Richardson, W.J. 1995. Marine mammal hearing. Pages 205-240 in Richardson, W.J., C.R. Greene, Jr., C.I. Malme, and D.H. Thomson, eds. Marine mammals and noise. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Richardson, W.J., C.R. Greene, Jr., C.I. Malme, and D.H. Thomson. 1995. Marine mammals and noise. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Ridgway, S.H. 2000. The auditory central nervous system. Pages 273-293 in Au, W.W.L., A.N. Popper, and R.R. Fay, eds. Hearing by whales and dolphins. New York, New York: Springer-Verlag.

Ridgway, S.H. and D.A. Carder. 2001. Assessing hearing and sound production in cetaceans not available for behavioral audiograms: Experiences with sperm, pygmy sperm, and gray whales. Aquatic Mammals 27(3):267-276.

Ridgway, S.H., B.L. Scronce, and J. Kanwisher. 1969. Respiration and deep diving in the bottlenose porpoise. Science 166:1651-1654.

Riedman, M. 1990. The pinnipeds: Seals, sea lions, and walruses. Berkeley, California: University of California Press.

Ringelstein, J., C. Pusineri, S. Hassani, L. Meynier, R. Nicolas, and V. Ridoux. 2006. Food and feeding ecology of striped dolphin, *Stenella coeruleoalba,* in the oceanic waters of the north-east Atlantic. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 86:909-918.

Ritter, F. 2002. Behavioural observations of rough-toothed dolphins (*Steno bredanensis*) off La Gomera, Canary Islands (1995-2000), with special reference to their interactions with humans. Aquatic Mammals 28(1):46-59.

Ritter, F. and B. Brederlau. 1999. Behavioural observations of dense beaked whales (*Mesoplodon densirostris*) off La Gomera, Canary Islands (1995-1997). Aquatic Mammals 25(2):55-61.

Rivers, J.A. 1997. Blue whale, *Balaenoptera musculus*, vocalizations from the waters off central California. Marine Mammal Science 13(2):186-195.

Robeck, T.R. and S.L. Monfort. 2006. Characterization of male killer whale (*Orcinus orca)* sexual maturation and reproductive seasonality. Theriogenology 66:242-250.

Robertson, K.M. and S.J. Chivers. 1997. Prey occurrence in pantropical spotted dolphins, *Stenella attenuata,* from the eastern tropical Pacific. Fishery Bulletin 95:334-348.

Roden, C.L. and K.D. Mullin. 2000. Sightings of cetaceans in the northern Caribbean Sea and adjacent waters, winter 1995. Caribbean Journal of Science 36(3-4):280-288.

Ronald, K. and B.L. Gots. 2003. Seals: Phocidae, Otariidae*,* and Odobenidae. Pages 789-854 in Feldhamer, G.A., B.C. Thompson, and J.A. Chapman, eds. Wild mammals of North America: Biology, management, and conservation, 2d ed. Baltimore, Maryland: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Rosel, P.E., R. Tiedemann, and M. Walton. 1999a. Genetic evidence for limited trans-Atlantic movements of the harbor porpoise *Phocoena phocoena*. Marine Biology 133:583-591.

Rosel, P.E., J.Y. Wang, and J.J. Pella. 1999b. Seasonal changes in northwest Atlantic harbor porpoise populations: Summer isolation and winter mixing. Pages 162-163 in Abstracts, Thirteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 28 November-3 December 1999. Wailea, Hawaii.

Rosenbaum, H.C., R.L. Brownell, Jr., M.W. Brown, C. Schaeff, V. Portway, B.N. White, S. Malik, L.A. Pastene, N.J. Patenaude, C.S. Baker, M. Goto, P.B. Best, P.J. Clapham, P. Hamilton, M. Moore, R. Payne, V. Rowntree, C.T. Tynan, J.L. Bannister, and R. DeSalle. 2000. World-wide genetic differentiation of *Eubalaena*: Questioning the number of right whale species. Molecular Ecology 9(11):1793-1802.

Rosenfeld, M., M. George, and J.M. Terhune. 1988. Evidence of autumnal harbour seal, *Phoca vitulina*, movement from Canada to the United States. Canadian Field-Naturalist 102(3):527-529.

Ross, G.J.B. 1979. Records of pygmy and dwarf sperm whales, genus Kogia, from southern Africa, with biological notes and some comparisons. Annals of the Cape Provincial Museums (Natural History) 11(4):259-327.

Ross, G.J.B. 1984. The smaller cetaceans of the south east coast of southern Africa. Annals of the Cape Provincial Museums (Natural History) 15, Part 2:173-410.

Ross, G.J.B. and S. Leatherwood. 1994. Pygmy killer whale-*Feresa attenuata* Gray, 1874. Pages 387-404 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 5: The first book of dolphins. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Roughgarden, J., S. Gaines, and H. Possingham. 1988. Recruitment dynamics in complex life cycles. Science 241(4872):1460-1466.

Rudolph, P., C. Smeenk, and S. Leatherwood. 1997. Preliminary checklist of Cetacea in the Indonesian Archipelago and adjacent waters. Zoologische Verhandelingen 312:1-48.

Sadove, S.S., P. Forestell, and H. Knappe. 1999. Increasing presence of Arctic seal species in the New York Bight as evidenced in aerial surveys and stranding records. Page 164 in Abstracts, Thirteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 28 November-3 December 1999. Wailea, Hawaii.

Salden, D.R. 1989. An observation of apparent feeding by a sub-adult humpback whale off Maui, Hawaii. Page 58 in Abstracts, Eighth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 7-11 December 1989. Pacific Grove, California.

Sanders, I.M., J.C. Barrios-Santiago, and R.S. Appeldoorn. 2005. Distribution and relative abundance of humpback whales off western Puerto Rico during 1995-1997. Caribbean Journal of Science 41(1):101-107.

Santos, M.B., G.J. Pierce, A. López, R.J. Reid, V. Ridoux, and E. Mente. 2006. Pygmy sperm whales *Kogia breviceps* in the Northeast Atlantic: New information on stomach contents and strandings. Marine Mammal Science 22(3):600-616.

Santos, M.B., G.J. Pierce, J. Herman, A. López, A. Guerra, E. Mente, and M.R. Clarke. 2001. Feeding ecology of Cuvier's beaked whale (*Ziphius cavirostris*): A review with new information on the diet of this species. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 81:687-694.

Sardi, K.A., M.T. Weinrich, and R.C. Connor. 2005. Social interactions of humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) morther/calf pairs on a North Atlantic feeding ground. Behaviour 142:731-750.

Sassu, R. and B. Cozzi. 2007. The external and middle ear of the striped dolphin *Stenella coerulealba* (Meyen 1833). Anatomy, Histology, and Embroology 36:197-201.

Saulitis, E.L., C.O. Matkin, and F.H. Fay. 2005. Vocal repertoire and acoustic behavior of the isolated AT1 killer whale subpopulation in southern Alaska. Canadian Journal of Zoology 83:1015-1029.

Schaeff, C.M., S.D. Kraus, M.W. Brown, and B.N. White. 1993. Assessment of the population structure of western North Atlantic right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*) based on sightings and mtDNA data. Canadian Journal of Zoology 71:339-345.

Schilling, M.R., I. Seipt, M.T. Weinrich, S.E. Frohock, A.E. Kuhlberg, and P.J. Clapham. 1992. Behavior of individually-identified sei whales *Balaenoptera borealis* during an episodic influx into the southern Gulf of Maine in 1986. Fishery Bulletin 90:749-755.

Schmidly, D.J. 1981. Marine mammals of the southeastern United States coast and the Gulf of Mexico. FWS/OBS-80/41 Washington, D.C.: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Schneider, D.C. and P.M. Payne. 1983. Factors affecting haul-out of harbor seals at a site in southeastern Massachusetts. Journal of Mammalogy 64(3):518-520.

Schoenherr, J.R. 1991. Blue whales feeding on high concentrations of euphausiids around Monterey Submarine Canyon. Canadian Journal of Zoology 69:583-594.

Schotten, M., W.W.L. Au, M.O. Lammers, and R. Aubauer. 2004. Echolocation recordings and localization of wild spinner dolphins (*Stenella longirostris*) and pantropical spotted dolphins (S. *attenuata*) using a four-hydrophone array. Pages 393-400 in Thomas, J.A., C.F. Moss, and M. Vater, eds. Echolocation in bats and dolphins. Chicago, Illinois: University of Chicago Press.

Schroeder, C.L. 2000. Population status and distribution of the harbor seal in Rhode Island waters. Master's thesis, University of Rhode Island.

Schusterman, R.J., D. Kastak, D.H. Levenson, C.J. Reichmuth, and B.L. Southall. 2000. Why pinnipeds don't echolocate. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 107(4):2256-2264.

Scott, M.D. and K.L. Cattanach. 1998. Diel patterns in aggregations of pelagic dolphins and tuna in the eastern Pacific. Marine Mammal Science 14(3):401-428.

Scott, M.D., A.A. Hohn, A.J. Westgate, J.R. Nicolas, B.R. Whitaker, and W.B. Campbell. 2001. A note on the release and tracking of a rehabilitated pygmy sperm whale (*Kogia breviceps*). Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 3(1):87-94.

Scott, T.M. and S.S. Sadove. 1997. Sperm whale, *Physeter macrocephalus*, sightings in the shallow shelf waters off Long Island, New York. Marine Mammal Science 13(2):317-321.

Sears, R., C.L.K. Burton, and G. Vikingson. 2005. Review of blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus*) photoidentification distribution data in the North Atlantic, including the first long-range match between Iceland and Mauritania. Page 254 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 12-16 December 2005. San Diego, California.

Sears, R., J.M. Williamson, F.W. Wenzel, M. Bérubé, D. Gendron, and P. Jones. 1990. Photographic identification of the blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus*) in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, Canada. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 12):335-342.

Selzer, L.A. and P.M. Payne. 1988. The distribution of white-sided (*Lagenorhynchus acutus*) and common dolphins (*Delphinus delphis*) vs. environmental features of the continental shelf of the northeastern United States. Marine Mammal Science 4(2):141-153.

Shane, S.H. 1990. Comparison of bottlenose dolphin behavior in Texas and Florida, with a critique of methods for studying dolphin behavior. Pages 541-558 in Leatherwood, S. and R.R. Reeves, eds. The bottlenose dolphin. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Shane, S.H. 1994. Occurrence and habitat use of marine mammals at Santa Catalina Island, California from 1983-91. Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Sciences 93:13-29.

Shane, S.H. 1995. Behavior patterns of pilot whales and Risso's dolphins off Santa Catalina Island, California. Aquatic Mammals 21(3):195-197.

Shane, S.H., R.S. Wells, and B. Würsig. 1986. Ecology, behavior and social organization of the bottlenose dolphin: A review. Marine Mammal Science 2(1):34-63.

Shelden, K.E.W., S.E. Moore, J.M. Waite, P.R. Wade, and D.J. Rugh. 2005. Historic and current habitat use by North Pacific right whales *Eubalaena japonica* in the Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska. Mammal Review 35(2):129-155.

Shepard, F.P. 1973. Submarine geology. 3rd ed. New York, New York: Harper and Row.

Siciliano, S., M.C.O. Santos, A.F.C. Vicente, F.S. Alvarenga, E. Zampirolli, J.L. Brito, Jr., A.F. Azevedo, and J.L.A. Pizzorno. 2004. Strandings and feeding records of Bryde's whales (*Balaenoptera edeni*) in south-eastern Brazil. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 84:857-859.

Silber, G.K. 1986. The relationship of social vocalizations to surface behavior and aggression in the Hawaiian humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*). Canadian Journal of Zoology 64:2075-2080.

Silber, G.K. and P.J. Clapham. 2001. Draft updated recovery plan for the western North Atlantic right whale, *Eubalaena glacialis*. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service.

Simão, S.M. and S.C. Moreira. 2005. Vocalizations of female humpback whale in Arraial do Cabo (RJ, Brazil). Marine Mammal Science 21(1):150-153.

Simon, M., M. Wahlberg, and L.E. Miller. 2007. Echolocation clicks from killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) feeding on herring (*Clupea harengus*) (L). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 121(2):749-752.

Skov, H., J. Durinck, and D. Bloch. 2003. Habitat characteristics of the shelf distribution of the harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) in the waters around the Faroe Islands during summer. NAMMCO Scientific Publications 5:31-40.

Slay, C. 2002. Personal communication via e-mail between Mr. Chris Slay, New England Aquarium, and Ms. Dagmar Fertl, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas, 1 August.

Slijper, E.J., W.L. van Utrecht, and C. Naaktgeboren. 1964. Remarks on the distribution and migration of whales, based on observations from Netherlands ships. Bijdragen Tot de Dierkunde 34:3-93.

Slocum, C., R. Schoelkopf, and N. Furina. 2003. Patterns of seasonal stranding in the true seal populations in the western mid-Atlantic region (USA): Abundance, diversity, location, seasonality, age and gender. Page 152 in Abstracts, Fifteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 14-19 December 2003. Greensboro, North Carolina.

Slocum, C.J. and R. Schoelkopf. 2001. Population dynamics of phocid seals wintering in New Jersey and the Mid-Atlantic region (U.S.), 1993-2001. Page 199 in Abstracts, Fourteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 28 November-3 December 2001. Vancouver, British Columbia.

Slocum, C.J., R. Schoelkopf, S. Tulevech, M. Stevens, S. Evert, and M. Moyer. 1999. Seal populations wintering in New Jersey (USA) have increased in abundance and diversity. Pages 174-175 in Abstracts, Thirteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 28 November-3 December 1999. Wailea, Hawaii.

Smith, R.J. and A.J. Read. 1992. Consumption of euphausiids by harbor porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) calves in the Bay of Fundy. Canadian Journal of Zoology 70:1629-1632.

Smith, T.D., R.B. Griffin, G.T. Waring, and J.G. Casey. 1996. Multispecies approaches to management of large marine predators. Pages 467-490 in Sherman, K., N.A. Jaworski, and T.J. Smayda, eds. The Northeast Shelf Ecosystem: Assessment, sustainability, and management. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Blackwell Science.

Smith, T.D., J. Allen, P.J. Clapham, P.S. Hammond, S. Katona, F. Larsen, J. Lien, D. Mattila, P.J. Palsbøll, J. Sigurjónsson, P.T. Stevick, and N. Øien. 1999. An ocean-basin-wide mark-recapture study of the North Atlantic humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*). Marine Mammal Science 15(1):1-32.

Smultea, M.A. 1994. Segregation by humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) cows with a calf in coastal habitat near the island of Hawaii. Canadian Journal of Zoology 72:805-811.

Southall, B. 2007. Personal communication via email between Dr. Brandon Southall, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service, Silver Spring, Maryland, and Ms. Dagmar Fertl, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas, 22 April.

Southall, B.L., A.E. Bowles, W.T. Ellison, J.J. Finneran, R.L. Gentry, C.R. Greene, D.K. Jr., D.R.Ketten, J.H. Miller, P.E. Nachtigall, W.J. Richardson, J.A. Thomas, and P.L. Tyack. 2007. Marine mammal noise exposure criteria: Initial scientific recommendations. Aquatic Mammals (Special Issue) 33(4):411-521.

Stacey, P.J. and R.W. Baird. 1991. Status of the false killer whale, *Pseudorca crassidens*, in Canada. Canadian Field-Naturalist 105(2):189-197.

Stacey, P.J., S. Leatherwood, and R.W. Baird. 1994. *Pseudorca crassidens*. Mammalian Species 456:1-6.

Stafford, K.M., S.L. Nieukirk, and C.G. Fox. 2001. Geographic and seasonal variation of blue whale calls in the North Pacific. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 3(1):65-76.

Stafford, K.M., S.E. Moore, and C.G. Fox. 2005. Diel variation in blue whale calls recorded in the eastern tropical Pacific. Animal Behaviour 69:951-958.

Stanley, H.F., S. Casey, J.M. Carnahan, S. Goodman, J. Harwood, and R.K. Wayne. 1996. Worldwide patterns of mitochondrial DNA differentiation in the harbor seal (*Phoca vitulina*). Molecular Biology and Evolution 13(2):368-382.

Steel, C. and J.G. Morris. 1982. The West Indian manatee: An acoustic analysis. American Zoologist 22(4):925-926.

Stern, S.J. 1992. Surfacing rates and surfacing patterns of minke whales (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*) off central California, and the probability of a whale surfacing within visual range. Reports of the International Whaling Commission 42:379-385.

Stern, S.J. 2002. Migration and movement patterns. Pages 742-748 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Stevick, P.T., N. Øien, and D.K. Mattila. 1998. Migration of a humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) between Norway and the West Indies. Marine Mammal Science 14(1):162-166.

Stevick, P.T., B.J. McConnell, and P.S. Hammond. 2002. Patterns of movement. Pages 185-216 in Hoelzel, A.R., ed. Marine mammal biology: An evolutionary approach. Oxford, United Kingdom: Blackwell Science.

Stevick, P.T., J. Allen, P.J. Clapham, N. Friday, S.K. Katona, F. Larsen, J. Lien, D.K. Mattila, P.J. Palsbøll, J. Sigurjónsson, T.D. Smith, N. Øien, and P.S. Hammond. 2003a. North Atlantic humpback whale abundance and rate of increase four decades after protection from whaling. Marine Ecology Progress Series 258:263-273.

Stevick, P.T., J. Allen, M. Bérubé, P.J. Clapham, S.K. Katona, F. Larsen, J. Lien, D.K. Mattila, P.J. Palsbøll, J. Robbins, J. Sigurjónsson, T.D. Smith, N. Øien, and P.S. Hammond. 2003b. Segregation of migration by feeding ground origin in North Atlantic humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*). Journal of Zoology, London 259:231-237.

Stewart, B.S. and S. Leatherwood. 1985. Minke whale *Balaenoptera acutorostrata* Lacepede, 1804. Pages 91-136 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 3: The sirenians and baleen whales. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Stimpert, A.K., T.V.N. Cole, R.M. Pace, III, and P.J. Clapham. 2003. Distributions of four baleen whale species in the northwest Atlantic Ocean based on large-scale aerial survey data. Page 157 in Abstracts, Fifteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 14-19 December 2003. Greensboro, North Carolina.

Stockin, K.A., R.S. Fairbairns, E.C.M. Parsons, and D.W. Sims. 2001. Effects of diel and seasonal cycles on the dive duration of the minke whale (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*). Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 81:189-190.

Stone, G.S., S.D. Kraus, J.H. Prescott, and K.W. Hazard. 1988. Significant aggregations of the endangered right whale, *Eubalaena glacialis*, on the continental shelf of Nova Scotia. Canadian Field-Naturalist 102(3):471-474.

Stone, G.S., S.K. Katona, A. Mainwaring, J.M. Allen, and H.D. Corbett. 1992. Respiration and surfacing rates of fin whales (*Balaenoptera physalus*) observed from a lighthouse tower. Reports of the International Whaling Commission 42:739-745.

Swingle, W.M., S.G. Barco, T.D. Pitchford, W.A. McLellan, and D.A. Pabst. 1993. Appearance of juvenile humpback whales feeding in the nearshore waters of Virginia. Marine Mammal Science 9(3):309-315.

Szymanski, M.D., D.E. Bain, K. Kiehl, S. Pennington, S. Wong, and K.R. Henry. 1999. Killer whale (*Orcinus orca*) hearing: Auditory brainstem response and behavioral audiograms. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 106(2):1134-1141.

Teloni, V. 2005. Patterns of sound production in diving sperm whales in the northwestern Mediterranean. Marine Mammal Science 21(3):446-457.

Teloni, V., W.M.X. Zimmer, and P.L. Tyack. 2005. Sperm whale trumpet sounds. Bioacoustics 15(2):163-174.

Temte, J.L., M.A. Bigg, and Ø. Wiig. 1991. Clines revisited: The timing of pupping in the harbour seal (*Phoca vitulina*). Journal of Zoology, London 224:617-632.

Terhune, J. and S. Turnbull. 1995. Variation in the psychometric functions and hearing thresholds of a harbour seal. Pages 81-93 in Kastelein, R.A., J.A. Thomas, and P.E. Nachtigall, eds. Sensory systems of aquatic mammals. Woerden, The Netherlands: De Spil Publishers.

Terhune, J.M. 1985. Scanning behavior of harbor seals on haul-out sites. Journal of Mammalogy 66(2):392-395.

Terhune, J.M. and K. Ronald. 1971. The harp seal, *Pagophilus groenlandicus* (Erxleben, 1777). X. The air audiogram. Canadian Journal of Zoology 49(3):385-390.

Terhune, J.M. and K. Ronald. 1972. The harp seal, *Pagophilus groenlandicus* (Erxleben, 1777). III. The underwater audiogram. Canadian Journal of Zoology 50(5):565-569.

Terhune, J.M. and K. Ronald. 1973. Some hooded seal (*Cystophora cristata*) sounds in March. Canadian Journal of Zoology 51(3):319-321.

Terhune, J.M. and K. Ronald. 1975. Underwater hearing sensitivity of two ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*). Canadian Journal of Zoology 53:227-231.

Tershy, B.R. 1992. Body size, diet, habitat use, and social behavior of *Balaenoptera* whales in the Gulf of California. Journal of Mammalogy 73(3):477-486.

Thayer, V.G., A.J. Read, A.S. Friedlaender, D.R. Colby, A.A. Hohn, W.A. McLellan, D.A. Pabst, J.L. Dearolf, N.I. Bowles, J.R. Russell, and K.A. Rittmaster. 2003. Reproductive seasonality of western Atlantic bottlenose dolphins off North Carolina, U.S.A. Marine Mammal Science 19(4):617-629.

Thewissen, J.G.M. 2002. Hearing. Pages 570-774 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Thode, A., D.K. Mellinger, S. Stienessen, A. Martinez, and K. Mullin. 2002. Depth-dependent acoustic features of diving sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*) in the Gulf of Mexico. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 112(1):308-321.

Thomas, J., N. Chun, W. Au, and K. Pugh. 1988. Underwater audiogram of a false killer whale (*Pseudorca crassidens*). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 84(3):936-940.

Thompson, P.M., D. Miller, R. Cooper, and P.S. Hammond. 1994. Changes in the distribution and activity of female harbour seals during the breeding season: Implications for their lactation strategy and mating patterns. Journal of Animal Ecology 63(1):24-30.

Thompson, P.M., G.J. Pierce, J.R.G. Hislop, D. Miller, and J.S.W. Diack. 1991. Winter foraging by common seals (*Phoca vitulina*) in relation to food availability in the inner Moray Firth, N.E. Scotland. Journal of Animal Ecology 60:283-294.

Thompson, P.O., W.C. Cummings, and S.J. Ha. 1986. Sounds, source levels, and associated behavior of humpback whales, southeast Alaska. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 80(3):735-740.

Thomson, D.H. and W.J. Richardson. 1995. Marine mammal sounds. Pages 159-204 in Richardson, W.J., C.R. Greene, Jr., C.I. Malme, and D.H. Thomson, eds. Marine mammals and noise. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Thurman, H.V. 1997. Introductory oceanography. 8th ed. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Torres, L.G., P.E. Rosel, C. D'Agrosa, and A.J. Read. 2003. Improving management of overlapping bottlenose dolphin ecotypes through spatial analysis and genetics. Marine Mammal Science 19(3):502-514.

Torres, L.G., W.A. McLellan, E. Meagher, and D.A. Pabst. 2005. Seasonal distribution and relative abundance of bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops truncatus,* along the US mid-Atlantic Coast. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 7(2):153-161.

Tove, M. 1995. Live sightings of *Mesoplodon cf. M. mirus*, True's beaked whale. Marine Mammal Science 11(1):80-85.

Townsend, C.H. 1935. The distribution of certain whales as shown by logbook records of American whaleships. Zoologica 19(1):3-50.

Turl, C.W. 1993. Low-frequency sound detection by a bottlenose dolphin. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 94(5):3006-3008.

Tyack, P. 1986. Population biology, social behavior and communication in whales and dolphins. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 1(6):144-150.

Tyack, P. and H. Whitehead. 1983. Male competition in large groups of wintering humpback whales. Behaviour 83:132-153.

Tyack, P.L. 2000. Dolphins whistle a signature tune. Science 289:1310-1311.

Tyack, P.L., M. Johnson, N. Aguilar Soto, A. Sturlese, and P.T. Madsen. 2006. Extreme diving of beaked whales. Journal of Experimental Biology 209:4238-4253.

Tyack, P.L.a.E.H.M. 2002. Vocal anatomy, acoustic communication and echolocation. Pages 142-184 in Hoelzel, R., ed. Marine mammal biology: An evolutionary approach. Bodmin, Cornwal: Blackwell Science, Ltd.

Tynan, C.T. and D.P. DeMaster. 1997. Observations and predictions of Arctic climatic change: Potential effects on marine mammals. Arctic 50(4):308-322.

Urian, K., A. Hohn, L. Hansen, S. Baker, S. Barco, N. Bowles, M. Caldwell, C. Gubbins, F. Lapolla, R. Mallon-Day, K. Mazzarella, T. Murphy, R. Petricig, A. Read, K. Rittmaster, G. Rountree, W. Ryan, L. Sayigh, D. Scholfield, J. Tapia, V. Thayer, R. Young, and E. Zolman. 1999. The Mid-Atlantic bottlenose dolphin photo-id catalog: A cooperative approach to examine stock structure. Page 191 in Abstracts, Thirteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 28 November-3 December 1999. Wailea, Hawaii.

Urian, K.W., D.A. Duffield, A.J. Read, R.S. Wells, and E.D. Shell. 1996. Seasonality of reproduction in bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops truncatus*. Journal of Mammalogy 77(2):394-403.

Urick, R.J. 1983. Principles of underwater sound. 3rd ed. Los Altos, California: Peninsula Publishing.

USCG (U.S. Coast Guard). 1999. Mandatory ship reporting systems. Federal Register 64(104):29229-29235.

USCG (U.S. Coast Guard). 2001. Mandatory ship reporting systems--Final rule. Federal Register 66(224):58066-58070.

USCG (U.S. Coast Guard). 2005. Port access routes study of potential vessel routing measures to reduce vessel strikes of North Atlantic right whales--Notice of study. Federal Register 70(33):8312-8314.

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1976. Determination of critical habitat for American crocodile, California condor, Indiana bat, and Florida manatee. Federal Register 41(187):41914-41916.

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2001. Florida manatee recovery plan, (*Trichechus manatus latirostris*), third revision. Atlanta, Georgia: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2002a. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; emergency rule to establish seven additional manatee protection areas in Florida. Federal Register 67(183):59407-59426.

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2002b. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; manatee protection areas in Florida. Federal Register 67(4):680-696.

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2007. West Indian manatee. 5-year review: Summary and evaluation. Jacksonville, Florida and Boqueron, Puerto Rico: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Southeast Region.

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). 2001. Chessie the manatee on a comeback tour after 5-year hiatus. Accessed 25 September 2001. http://usgs.gov/public/press/public_affairs/press_releases/pr1496m.html.

Van Parijs, S.M., P.J. Corkeron, J. Harvey, S.A. Hayes, D.K. Mellinger, P.A. Rouget, P.M. Thompson, M. Wahlberg, and K.M. Kovacs. 2003. Patterns in the vocalizations of male harbor seals. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 113(6):3403-3410.

Van Waerebeek, K., F. Félix, B. Haase, D.M. Palacios, D.M. Mora-Pinto, and M. Muñoz-Hincapié. 1998. Inshore records of the striped dolphin, *Stenella coeruleoalba*, from the Pacific coast of South America. Reports of the International Whaling Commission 48:525-532.

Vanderlaan, A.S.M., A.E. Hay, and C.T. Taggart. 2003. Characterization of North Atlantic right-whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*) sounds in the Bay of Fundy. IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering 28(2):164-173.

Veirs, V. 2004. Source levels of free-ranging killer whale (*Orcinus orca*) social vocalizations. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 116(4, Part 2):2615.

Verboom, W.C. and R.A. Kastelein. 1995. Acoustic signals by harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*). Pages 1-39 in Nachtigall, P.E., J. Lien, W.W.L. Au, and A.J. Read, eds. Harbour porpoises: Laboratory studies to reduce bycatch. Woerden, the Netherlands: De Spil Publishers.

Vester, H.I. 2003. "Do Arctic seals use echolocation?" Master's thesis, University of Tromsø.

Vester, H.I., L.P. Folkow, and A.S. Blix. 2001. Underwater sound production from captive hooded and harp seals during live fish hunting. Page 224 in Abstracts, Fourteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 28 November-3 December 2001. Vancouver, British Columbia.

Villadsgaard, A., M. Wahlberg, and J. Tougaard. 2007. Echolocation signals of wild harbour porpoises, *Phocoena phocoena*. Journal of Experimental Biology 210:56-64.

Visser, I.N. 2005. First observations of feeding on thresher (*Alopias vulpinus*) and hammerhead (*Sphyrna zygaena*) sharks by killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) specialising on elasmobranch prey. Aquatic Mammals 31(1):83-88.

Visser, I.N. and F.J. Bonoccorso. 2003. New observations and a review of killer whale (*Orcinus orca*) sightings in Papua New Guinea waters. Aquatic Mammals 29(1):150-172.

Wade, L.S. and G.L. Friedrichsen. 1979. Recent sightings of the blue whale, *Balenoptera musculus*, in the northeastern tropical Pacific. Fishery Bulletin 76(4):915-919.

Wade, P.R. and T. Gerrodette. 1993. Estimates of cetacean abundance and distribution in the eastern tropical Pacific. Reports of the International Whaling Commission 43:477-493.

Wang, M.-C., W.A. Walker, K.-T. Shao, and L.-S. Chou. 2002. Comparative analysis of the diets of pygmy sperm whales and dwarf sperm whales in Taiwanese waters. Acta Zoologica Taiwanica 13(2):53-62.

Wang, M.-C., W.A. Walker, K.-T. Shao, and L.-S. Chou. 2003. Feeding habits of the pantropical spotted dolphin, *Stenella attenuata*, off the eastern coast of Taiwan. Zoological Studies 42(2):368-378.

Waples, R. and P. Clapham, eds. 2004. Report of the working group on killer whales as a case study. Pages 62-73 in Reeves, R.R., W.F. Perrin, B.L. Taylor, C.S. Baker, and S.L. Mesnick, eds. Report of the Workshop on Shortcomings of Cetacean Taxonomy in Relation to Needs of Conservation and Management: April 30 - May 2, 2004, La Jolla, California. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SWFSC-363.

Ward-Geiger, L.I., G.K. Silber, R.D. Baumstark, and T.L. Pulfer. 2005. Characterization of ship traffic in right whale critical habitat. Coastal Management 33:263-278.

Ward, J.A. 1999. Right whale (*Balaena glacialis*) South Atlantic Bight habitat characterization and prediction using remotely sensed oceanographic data. Master's thesis, University of Rhode Island.

Ward, J.A., G.H. Mitchell, A.M. Farak, and E.P. Keane. 2005. Beaked whale habitat characterization and prediction. NUWC-NPT Technical Report 11,548. Newport, Rhode Island: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division.

Ware, C., R. Arsenault, M. Plumlee, and D. Wiley. 2006. Visualizing the underwater behavior of humpback whales. IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications 26(4):14-18.

Waring, G., D. Belden, M. Vecchione, and R. Gibbons. 2003. Mid-water prey in beaked whale and sperm whale deep-water habitat south of Georges Bank. Page 172 in Abstracts, Fifteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 14-19 December 2003. Greensboro, North Carolina.

Waring, G.T. and J.T. Finn. 1995. Cetacean trophic interactions off the northeast USA inferred from spatial and temporal co-distribution patterns. Unpublished meeting document. ICES C.M. 1995/N:7 Copenhagen, Denmark: International Council for the Exploration of the Sea.

Waring, G.T. and D.L. Palka. 2002. North Atlantic marine mammals. Pages 802-806 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Waring, G.T., C.P. Fairfield, C.M. Ruhsam, and M. Sano. 1992. Cetaceans associated with Gulf Stream features off the northeastern USA Shelf. Unpublished meeting document. ICES C.M. 1992/N:12 Copenhagen, Denmark: International Council for the Exploration of the Sea.

Waring, G.T., C.P. Fairfield, C.M. Ruhsam, and M. Sano. 1993. Sperm whales associated with Gulf Stream features off the north-eastern USA shelf. Fisheries Oceanography 2(2):101-105.

Waring, G.T., E. Josephson, C.P. Fairfield-Walsh, and K. Maze-Foley, eds. 2008. Final U.S. Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico marine mammal stock assessments - 2007. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE-205:1-415.

Waring, G.T., P. Gerrior, P.M. Payne, B.L. Parry, and J.R. Nicolas. 1990. Incidental take of marine mammals in foreign fishery activities off the northeast United States, 1977-88. Fishery Bulletin 88(2):347-360.

Waring, G.T., T. Hamazaki, D. Sheehan, G. Wood, and S. Baker. 2001. Characterization of beaked whale (Ziphiidae) and sperm whale (*Physeter macrocephalus*) summer habitat in shelf-edge and deeper waters off the northeast U.S. Marine Mammal Science 17(4):703-717.

Wartzok, D. and D.R. Ketten. 1999. Marine mammal sensory systems. Pages 117-175 in Reynolds III, J.E. and S.A. Rommel, eds. Biology of marine mammals. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Watkins, W.A. 1980. Acoustics and the behavior of sperm whales. Pages 283-290 in Busnel, R.G. and Fish, J.F. eds., Animal sonar systems. New York, New York: Plenum Press.

Watkins, W.A. and W.E. Schevill. 1976. Right whale feeding and baleen rattle. Journal of Mammalogy 57:58-66.

Watkins, W.A. and W.E. Schevill. 1977. Sperm whale codas. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 62(6):1485-1490.

Watkins, W.A. and W.E. Schevill. 1979. Aerial observation of feeding behavior in four baleen whales: *Eubalaena glacialis, Balaenoptera borealis, Megaptera novaeangliae,* and *Balaenoptera physalus*. Journal of Mammalogy 60(1):155-163.

Watkins, W.A., P. Tyack, K.E. Moore, and J.E. Bird. 1987. The 20-Hz signals of finback whales (*Balaenoptera physalus*). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 82(6):1901-1912.

Watkins, W.A., M.A. Daher, K. Fristrup, and G. Notarbartolo di Sciara. 1994. Fishing and acoustic behavior of Fraser's dolphin (*Lagenodelphis hosei*) near Dominica, southeast Caribbean. Caribbean Journal of Science 30(1-2):76-82.

Watkins, W.A., M.A. Daher, A. Samuels, and D.P. Gannon. 1997. Observations of *Peponocephala electra*, the melon-headed whale, in the southeastern Caribbean. Caribbean Journal of Science 33(1-2):34-40.

Watkins, W.A., M.A. Daher, K.M. Fristrup, T.J. Howald, and G. Notarbartolo di Sciara. 1993. Sperm whales tagged with transponders and tracked underwater by sonar. Marine Mammal Science 9(1):55-67.

Watkins, W.A., M.A. Daher, N.A. DiMarzio, A. Samuels, D. Wartzok, K.M. Fristrup, P.W. Howey, and R.R. Maiefski. 2002. Sperm whale dives tracked by radio tag telemetry. Marine Mammal Science 18(1):55-68.

Watts, P. and D.E. Gaskin. 1985. Habitat index analysis of the harbor porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) in the southern coastal Bay of Fundy, Canada. Journal of Mammalogy 66:733-744.

Watwood, S.L., P.J.O. Miller, M. Johnson, P.T. Madsen, and P.L. Tyack. 2006. Deep-diving foraging behaviour of sperm whales *(Physeter macrocephalus)*. Journal of Animal Ecology 75:814-825.

Wedekin, L.L., A. Freitas, M.H. Engel, and I. Sazima. 2004. Rough-toothed dolphins (*Steno bredanensis*) catch diskfishes while interacting with humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) off Abrolhos Bank breeding ground, southwest Atlantic. Aquatic Mammals 30(2):327-329.

Weilgart, L. and H. Whitehead. 1997. Group-specific dialects and geographical variation in coda repertoire in South Pacific sperm whales. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 40:277-285.

Weinrich, M. 1995. Humpback whale competitive groups observed on a high-latitude feeding ground. Marine Mammal Science 11(2):251-254.

Weinrich, M., M. Martin, R. Griffiths, J. Bove, and M. Schilling. 1997. A shift in distribution of humpback whales, *Megaptera novaeangliae*, in response to prey in the southern Gulf of Maine. Fishery Bulletin 95(4):826-836.

Weinrich, M.T., M.R. Schilling, and C.R. Belt. 1992. Evidence for acquisition of a novel feeding behaviour: Lobtail feeding in humpback whales, *Megaptera novaeangliae*. Animal Behaviour 44:1059-1072.

Weinrich, M.T., R.D. Kenney, and P.K. Hamilton. 2000. Right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*) on Jeffreys Ledge: A habitat of unrecognized importance? Marine Mammal Science 16(2):326-337.

Weir, C.R., J. Stokes, C. Martin, and P. Cermeño. 2004. Three sightings of *Mesoplodon* species in the Bay of Biscay: First confirmed True's beaked whales (*M. mirus*) for the north-east Atlantic? Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 84:1095-1099.

Weller, D.W., A.J. Schiro, V.G. Cockcroft, and W. Ding. 1996a. First account of a humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) in Texas waters, with a re-evaluation of historical records from the Gulf of Mexico. Marine Mammal Science 12(1):133-137.

Weller, D.W., B. Würsig, H. Whitehead, J.C. Norris, S.K. Lynn, R.W. Davis, N. Clauss, and P. Brown. 1996b. Observations of an interaction between sperm whales and short-finned pilot whales in the Gulf of Mexico. Marine Mammal Science 12(4):588-594.

Wells, R. 2007. Personal communication via email between Dr. Randall Wells, Mote Marine Laboratory, Sarasota, Florida, and Ms. Dagmar Fertl, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas, 29 January.

Wells, R., C. Mainire, H. Rhinehart, D. Smith, A. Westgate, F. Townsend, T. Rowles, A. Hohn, and L. Hansen. 1999. Ranging patterns of rehabilitated rough-toothed dolphins, *Steno bredanensis*, released in the northeastern Gulf of Mexico. Page 199 in Abstracts, Thirteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 28 November-3 December 1999. Wailea, Hawaii.

Wells, R.S. and M.D. Scott. 1999. Bottlenose dolphin--*Tursiops truncatus* (Montagu, 1821). Pages 137-182 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 6: The second book of dolphins and the porpoises. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Wells, R.S., M.D. Scott, and A.B. Irvine. 1987. The social structure of free-ranging bottlenose dolphins. Pages 247-305 in Genoways, H.H., ed. Current mammalogy. Volume 1. New York, New York: Plenum Press.

Wells, R.S., L.J. Hansen, A. Baldridge, T.P. Dohl, D.L. Kelly, and R.H. Defran. 1990. Northward extension of the range of bottlenose dolphins along the California coast. Pages 421-431 in Leatherwood, S. and R.R. Reeves, eds. The bottlenose dolphin. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Wells, R.S., H.L. Rhinehart, P. Cunningham, J. Whaley, M. Baran, C. Koberna, and D.P. Costa. 1999. Long distance offshore movements of bottlenose dolphins. Marine Mammal Science 15(4):1098-1114.

Wenzel, F., D.K. Mattila, and P.J. Clapham. 1988. *Balaenoptera musculus* in the Gulf of Maine. Marine Mammal Science 4(2):172-175.

Westgate, A.J. and A.J. Read. 1998. Applications of new technology to the conservation of porpoises. Marine Technology Society Journal 32:70-81.

Westgate, A.J. and A.J. Read. 2007. Reproduction in short-beaked common dolphins (*Delphinus delphis*) from the western North Atlantic. Marine Biology 150:1011–1024.

Westgate, A.J., A.J. Read, P. Berggren, H.N. Koopman, and D.E. Gaskin. 1995. Diving behaviour of harbour porpoises, *Phocoena phocoena*. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 52:1064-1073.

Westgate, A.J., A.J. Read, T.M. Cox, T.D. Schofield, B.R. Whitaker, and K.E. Anderson. 1998. Monitoring a rehabilitated harbor porpoise using satellite telemetry. Marine Mammal Science 14(3):599-604.

WhaleNet. 1998. Metompkin's movements. Accessed April 2001. http://whale.wheelock.edu/whalenet-stuff/stop_data.html.

WhaleNet. 2004. Sighting data: "Gus". Accessed 10 October 2006. http://whale.wheelock.edu/whalenet-stuff/StopUNE04Hp/data_Gus.html.

WhaleNet. 2005. Sighting data: "Rocky". Accessed 11 October 2006. http://whale.wheelock.edu/whalenet-stuff/Stop47826/data47826.html.

Whitehead, H. 1982. Populations of humpback whales in the northwest Atlantic. Reports of the International Whaling Commission 32:345-353.

Whitehead, H. 2003. Sperm whales: Social evolution in the ocean. Chicago, Illinois: University of Chicago Press.

Whitehead, H. and M.J. Moore. 1982. Distribution and movements of West Indian humpback whales in winter. Canadian Journal of Zoology 60:2203-2211.

Whitehead, H. and J.E. Carscadden. 1985. Predicting inshore whale abundance - Whales and capelin off the Newfoundland coast. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 42:976-981.

Whitehead, H. and L. Weilgart. 1991. Patterns of visually observable behaviour and vocalizations in groups of female sperm whales. Behaviour 118:276-296.

Whitehead, H. and L. Weilgart. 2000. The sperm whale: Social females and roving males. Pages 154-172 in Mann, J., R.C. Connor, P.L. Tyack, and H. Whitehead, eds. Cetacean societies: Field studies of dolphins and whales. Chicago, Illinois: University of Chicago Press.

Whitehead, H., S. Brennan, and D. Grover. 1992. Distribution and behaviour of male sperm whales on the Scotian Shelf, Canada. Canadian Journal of Zoology 70:912-918.

Whitman, A.A. and P.M. Payne. 1990. Age of harbour seals, *Phoca vitulina concolor*, wintering in southern New England. Canadian Field-Naturalist 104(4):579-582.

Wiley, D.N., R.A. Asmutis, T.D. Pitchford, and D.P. Gannon. 1995. Stranding and mortality of humpback whales, *Megaptera novaeangliae*, in the mid-Atlantic and southeast United States, 1985-1992. Fishery Bulletin 93:196-205.

Willis, P.M. and R.W. Baird. 1998. Status of the dwarf sperm whale, *Kogia simus*, with special reference to Canada. Canadian Field-Naturalist 112(1):114-125.

Wilson, S.C. 1978. Social organization and behavior of harbor seals, *Phoca vitulina concolor*, in Maine. Contract MM6ACO13. Prepared for the U.S. Marine Mammal Commission, Washington, D.C.

Winn, H.E. and P.J. Perkins. 1976. Distribution and sounds of the minke whale, with a review of mysticete sounds. Cetology 19:1-12.

Winn, H.E., C.A. Price, and P.W. Sorensen. 1986. The distributional biology of the right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*) in the western North Atlantic. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 10):129-138.

Winn, H.E., J.D. Goodyear, R.D. Kenney, and R.O. Petricig. 1995. Dive patterns of tagged right whales in the Great South Channel. Continental Shelf Research 15:593-611.

Winn, L.K., H.E. Winn, D.K. Caldwell, M.C. Caldwell, and J.L. Dunn. 1979. Marine mammals. Pages 1-117 in CNA (Center for Natural Areas), ed. A summary and analysis of environmental information on the continental shelf and Blake Plateau from Cape Hatteras to Cape Canaveral (1977). Volume 1: Book 2. Washington, D.C.: Bureau of Land Management.

Wishner, K., E. Durbin, A. Durbin, M. Macaulay, H. Winn, and R. Kenney. 1988. Copepod patches and right whales in the Great South Channel off New England. Bulletin of Marine Science 43(3):825-844.

Wolski, L.F., R.C. Anderson, A.E. Bowles, and P.K. Yochem. 2003. Measuring hearing in the harbor seal (*Phoca vitulina*): Comparison of behavioral and auditory brainstem response techniques. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 113(1):629-637.

Wood, S., A. Ferland, G.T. Waring, L. Sette, and S. Shaw. 2001. Harbor seal (*Phoca vitulina*) food habits along the New England coast. Pages 236-237 in Abstracts, Fourteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 28 November-3 December 2001. Vancouver, British Columbia.

Woodley, T.H. and D.E. Gaskin. 1996. Environmental characteristics of North Atlantic right and fin whale habitat in the lower Bay of Fundy, Canada. Canadian Journal of Zoology 74:75-84.

Wormuth, J.H., P.H. Ressler, R.B. Cady, and E.J. Harris. 2000. Zooplankton and micronekton in cyclones and anticyclones in the northeast Gulf of Mexico. Gulf of Mexico Science 2000(1):23-34.

Würsig, B. and M. Würsig. 1977. The photographic determination of group size, composition, and stability of coastal porpoises (*Tursiops truncatus*). Science 198:755-756.

Würsig, B. and T.A. Jefferson. 1990. Methods of photo-identification for small cetaceans. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 12):43-52.

Würsig, B., T.A. Jefferson, and D.J. Schmidly. 2000. The marine mammals of the Gulf of Mexico. College Station, Texas: Texas A&M University Press.

Würsig, B., R.R. Reeves, and J.G. Ortega-Ortiz. 2002. Global climate change and marine mammals. Pages 589-608 in Evans, P.G.H. and J.A. Raga, eds. Marine mammals: Biology and conservation. New York, New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.

Würsig, B., S.K. Lynn, T.A. Jefferson, and K.D. Mullin. 1998. Behaviour of cetaceans in the northern Gulf of Mexico relative to survey ships and aircraft. Aquatic Mammals 24(1):41-50.

Xitco Jr., M.J. and H.L. Roitblat. 1996. Object recognition through eavesdropping: Passive echolocation in bottlenose dolphins. Animal Learning and Behavior 24(4):335-365.

Yin, S., B. Würsig, and R. Constantine. 2001. Acoustic behavior of dusky (*Lagenorhynchus obscurus*) and common (*Delphinus delphis*) dolphin groups off Kaikoura, New Zealand: Who's whistling? Pages 239 in Abstracts, Fourteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 28 November - 3 December 2001. Vancouver, British Columbia.

Yochem, P.K. and S. Leatherwood. 1985. Blue whale *Balaenoptera musculus* (Linnaeus, 1758). Pages 193-240 in Ridgway, S.H. and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 3: The sirenians and baleen whales. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Yoshida, H. and H. Kato. 1999. Phylogenetic relationships of Bryde's whales in the western North Pacific and adjacent waters inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences. Marine Mammal Science 15(4):1269-1286.

Young, D.D. and V.G. Cockcroft. 1994. Diet of common dolphins (*Delphinus delphis*) off the south-east coast of southern Africa: Opportunism or specialization? Journal of Zoology, London 234:41-53.

Yu, H.-y., H.-K. Mok, R.-C. Wei, and L.-S. Chou. 2003. Vocalizations of a rehabilitated rough-toothed dolphin, *Steno bredanensis*. Page 183 in Abstracts, Fifteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 14-19 December 2003. Greensboro, North Carolina.

Yuen, M.M.L., P.E. Nachtigall, M. Breese, and A.Y. Supin. 2005. Behavioral and auditory evoked potential audiograms of a false killer whale (*Pseudorca crassidens*). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 118(4):2688-2695.

Yurk, H., L. Barrett-Lennard, J.K.B. Ford, and C.O. Matkin. 2002. Cultural transmission within maternal lineages: Vocal clans in resident killer whales in southern Alaska. Animal Behaviour 63:1103-1119.

Zani, M.A., J.K.D. Taylor, R.A. Salmon, and S.D. Kraus. 2005. Observation of a birth of a North Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis)*. Page 314 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 12-16 December 2005. San Diego, California.

Zaretsky, S.C., A. Martinez, L.P. Garrison, and E.O. Keith. 2005. Differences in acoustic signals from marine mammals in the western North Atlantic and northern Gulf of Mexico. Page 314 in Abstracts, Sixteenth Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. 12-16 December 2005. San Diego, California.

Zerbini, A.N., E.R. Secchi, S. Siciliano, and P.C. Simões-Lopes. 1997. A review of the occurrence and distribution of whales of the genus *Balaenoptera* along the Brazilian coast. Reports of the International Whaling Commission 47:407-417.

Zimmer, W.M.X., P.L. Tyack, M.P. Johnson, and P.T. Madsen. 2005a. Three-dimensional beam pattern of regular sperm whale clicks confirms bent-horn hypothesis. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 117(3, Part 1):1473-1485.

Zimmer, W.M.X., M.P. Johnson, P.T. Madsen, and P.L. Tyack. 2005b. Echolocation clicks of free-ranging Cuvier's beaked whales (*Ziphius cavirostris*). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 117(6):3919-3927.

Zoodsma, B. 2006. Personal communication via email between Ms. Barb Zoodsma, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Regional Office, St. Petersburg, Florida, and Ms. Dagmar Fertl, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas, 28 February.

3.2 SEA TURTLES

Sea turtles are long-lived, slow growing reptiles found throughout the world's tropical, sub-tropical, and temperate seas (Lutz and Musick 1997). There are seven living species of sea turtles from two distinct families: Cheloniidae (hard-shelled sea turtles; six species) and Dermochelyidae (leatherback sea turtle; *one species*). These two families can be distinguished from one another on the basis of their carapace structure (upper shell) and other morphological features. The black sea turtle (*Chelonia agassizii*), is occasionally recognized as an eighth species, yet DNA and morphological studies suggest that they are more accurately classified as a subspecies of green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) (Karl and Bowen 1999).

Sea turtles are an important marine resource that provide economic (consumptive and non-consumptive) and ecological (existence and intrinsic) value to humans (Witherington and Frazer 2003). However, sea turtle populations have dramatically decreased over the last few centuries due to anthropogenic impacts such as coastal development, oil exploration, commercial fishing, marine-based recreation, pollution, and over-harvesting (NRC 1990; Eckert 1995; Lutcavage et al. 1997). As a result, all sea turtle species are currently listed as either threatened or endangered under the ESA.

3.2.1 Introduction

Modifications to the body and limbs from the basic turtle design make sea turtles highly adapted to the marine environment. Sea turtles possess powerful, modified forelimbs (or flippers) that enable them to swim continuously for extended periods of time (Wyneken 1997). They have compact and streamlined bodies that help reduce drag. Additionally, sea turtles are among the longest and deepest diving of the air-breathing vertebrates, spending as little as 3 to 6% of their time at the water's surface (Lutcavage and Lutz 1997). These physiological traits and behavioral patterns allow for highly efficient foraging and migrating. Sea turtles often migrate thousands of kilometers between their nesting beaches, mating areas, nursery habitats, developmental habitats, and adult feeding grounds (Meylan 1995); these migratory activities would not be possible without this suite of adaptations. Even though sea turtles cannot withdraw their heads or limbs into their shells, the adults are protected from predators by their shells, large size, and thick scaly skin on their heads and necks. As young individuals (i.e., post-hatchlings and juveniles), sea turtles may evade predation behaviorally by residing in habitats that are structurally complex or moderately shallow, where sharks, marine crocodiles, and large fishes do not have easy access (Musick and Limpus 1997). Maneuverability and swim speed may aid adult sea turtles in evading predators. Heithaus et al. (2002) found green turtles to experience fewer attacks by tiger sharks (*Galeocerdo cuvier*) than loggerheads, likely due to greater maneuverability and the ability to swim faster.

3.2.1.1 Sea Turtle Life History

Although specialized for life at sea, sea turtles spend a small portion of their lives on land. Mature female sea turtles come ashore to lay eggs along their natal beaches, returning to the sea shortly afterward. Approximately three months later, hatchlings emerge from the nest and scramble towards the surf. Once in the ocean, hatchlings orient offshore to head towards their oceanic/pelagic nursing habitats (Lohmann et al. 1997). Aside from this time, sea turtles are rarely encountered out of the water, and return to land primarily to nest or if injured, although in Hawaii turtles can be seen basking on land (Spotila et al. 1997; Pepi 2006). Basking sea turtles are predominantly females (Spotila et al. 1997), although males may also bask (Pepi 2006). Basking may aid in thermoregulation as well as predator evasion (Spotila et al. 1997). Females may be observed basking on land more often than males due to attempts to avoid harmful mating encounters and, potentially, to accelerate the development of their eggs (Spotila et al. 1997).

Female sea turtles nest in tropical, subtropical, and warm-temperate latitudes, often in the same region where they hatched (Miller 1997). Upon selecting a suitable nesting beach, female sea turtles tend to re-nest in relatively close proximity during subsequent nesting attempts. Some sea turtles fail to nest when emerging from the ocean. Non-nesting emergences, also known as false crawls, occur when sea turtles are either obstructed from laying their eggs (by debris, rocks, or roots) or distracted by conditions on the nesting beach (such as noise, lighting, or human presence) (Miller 1997). Female sea turtles that are successful at nesting usually lay several clutches of eggs during a nesting season, with each clutch containing between 50 and 200 eggs, depending upon the species (Witzell 1983; Dodd 1988; Hirth 1997). Most females, with the possible exception of Kemp's ridleys, do not nest in consecutive years; instead they will often skip two or three years between nesting events (Marquez-M. 1994; Ehrhart 1995). Nesting success is vital to the long-term existence of sea turtles, since roughly only one in every 1,000 hatchlings survives long enough to reproduce (Frazer 1986).

During the nesting season, daytime temperatures on beaches can be lethal. As a result, nesting by adult sea turtles and hatchlings emergence from their nests often takes place at night (Miller 1997). After emerging from the nest, hatchlings use visual cues (e.g., light intensity or certain wavelengths of light) to orient themselves towards the sea (Lohmann et al. 1997). Hatchlings have a strong tendency to crawl in the direction of the brightest light, which on most beaches is towards the ocean/sky horizon (Witherington and Martin 2003). However, some hatchlings never make it into the water due to predation by seabirds during the day and scavenging crabs and mammals at night (Ehrhart 1995; Miller 1997). Hatchlings can also become disoriented if artificial beachfront lighting appears brighter than the seaward horizon (Lutcavage et al. 1997; Witherington and Martin 2003).

3.2.1.2 Sea Turtle Distribution and Behavior

Oceanographic currents and gyres are important to sea turtles of all life stages, yet are particularly influential to post-hatchling and juvenile sea turtles; current systems may provide transportation or foraging benefits (Carr 1987; Polovina et al. 2006). Currents may carry passively floating juvenile sea turtles around ocean basins (Carr 1987) or juveniles may actively swim within currents as was found to be the case of juvenile loggerheads in the western North Pacific (Polovina et al. 2006). Convergence zones and *Sargassum* rafts may provide post-hatchlings with food, including pelagic invertebrates and other items that accumulate in surface circulation features (Carr 1987). Currents may also provide important foraging habitat for sea turtles by support high levels of primary productivity (Polovina et al. 2006).

Post-hatchlings spend the first few years of their lives in oceanic waters, drifting in convergence zones and *Sargassum* rafts where they find refuge and food (Carr 1987). Labeled the "lost year," this stage in a sea turtle's life history is now known to be much longer in duration, possibly lasting a decade or more (Bjorndal et al. 2000b). Post-hatchling sea turtles spend nearly a decade growing in the pelagic "early juvenile nursery habitat" before migrating to neritic feeding grounds, which are known as the "later juvenile developmental habitat" (Musick and Limpus 1997). Later juvenile developmental habitats for hard-shelled sea turtles are commonly shallow nearshore and inshore waters. Depending upon the season, leatherback turtles use coastal feeding areas in temperate waters or offshore feeding areas in tropical waters as later developmental habitats (Frazier 2001).

Once in the later juvenile developmental habitat, sea turtles modify their foraging behavior from surface feeding to benthic feeding, beginning to prey upon larger items such as crustaceans, mollusks, sponges, coelenterates, fishes, and seagrasses (depending upon the sea turtle species) (Bjorndal 1997). An exception is the leatherback turtle, which will feed on pelagic soft-bodied invertebrates at the surface and at great depths throughout its life (Eckert et al. 1989). Although sea turtles do not have teeth, their jaws have modified "beaks" suited to their particular diet, which may differ by species (Mortimer 1995). Sea turtles possess a specialized digestive system so that a diverse array of food items can be consumed (Mortimer 1995).

Sea turtles undergo complex seasonal movements, influenced by changes in ocean currents, turbidity, salinity, and food availability (Musick and Limpus 1997). In addition, the distribution of many sea turtle species is often dependent upon water temperature (Epperly et al. 1995c; Davenport 1997; Coles and Musick 2000). Most sea turtles become lethargic at temperatures below 10°C and above 40°C (Spotila et al. 1997), and may even become cold-stunned in extremely cold waters. Migrating to warmer waters is one cold water avoidance strategy that has been observed for turtles in the northeastern U.S. (Musick and Limpus 1997). Alternatively, some green and loggerhead turtles have been observed brumating (burying into bottom sediments to hibernate) in North American waters (Ogren and McVea 1995; Hochscheid et al. 2005). The preferred temperature ranges of sea turtles vary across age classes and species as well as seasons. The leatherback turtle has a wider range of preferred water temperatures than other species due to its ability to maintain a warm body temperature in temperate waters and avoid overheating in tropical waters (Spotila et al. 1997). The leatherback is also capable of exploiting cold boreal waters, as evidenced by recent satellite tag data indicating continuous dives by a leatherback in waters as cold as 0.4°C (James et al. 2006).

Climatic fluctuations have produced a growing concern about the effects of climate change on various marine species, including sea turtles. Responses of sea turtles to climate change are difficult to interpret due to the confounding effects of natural responses and human influences. Global warming will likely increase the foraging range of leatherback turtles farther into temperate and boreal waters as isotherms shift (M.C. James et al. 2006; McMahon and Hays 2006). Large-scale climatic events may affect turtles by loss of nesting beaches as sea levels rise (Vagg and Hepworth 2006). Nesting biology of sea turtles is strongly affected by temperature both in timing and in the sex-ratio of hatchlings. The effects of climate change may upset the natural ratio of male to female hatchlings, as higher temperatures during incubation tend to produce more females (e.g., Hays et al. 2003; Hawkes et al. 2007). Earlier nesting and longer nesting seasons are also being correlated with warmer SSTs (e.g., Weishampel et al. 2004; Hawkes et al. 2007). In the Pacific Ocean, productivity and prey abundance are associated with cooler ocean temperatures. Rising SSTs could lower prey abundance which could lead to lowered breeding capacity (Chaloupka et al. 2008). In fact, scientists have documented an inverse relationship between SST and the number of loggerhead and leatherback nests in the Pacific Ocean (Saba et al. 2007; Chaloupka et al. 2008).

3.2.1.3 Sea Turtle Sensory Adaptations

Knowledge of sea turtle sensory biology is limited to a few studies for each sense (vision, olfaction, and hearing). Sea turtles have a spherical lens which is ideal for underwater vision as the refractive index of their cornea is nearly identical to that of sea water (Bartol and Musick 2003; Levenson et al. 2004). Sea turtles have the visual acuity to detect relatively small objects within the marine environment. They are also able to see in color, primarily in the shorter wavelengths (450 to 620 nm), with peak sensitivity for loggerhead and green turtles occurring at 580 nm (yellow) (Bartol and Musick 2003; Levenson et al. 2004). Leatherback spectral sensitiviy is primarily at shorter wavelength with a peak in sensitivity between 400 and 500 nm (violet and blue) (Crognale et al. In press). On land, sea turtle vision is highly myopic (nearsighted). Visual cues are likely the primary mechanism for orientation as hatchlings, juveniles, and nesting adults, although such cues may be limited to brightness, contrasts, or diffuse images (Avens 2003; Bartol and Musick 2003; Levenson et al. 2004). Sea turtle hatchlings, emerging from the nest, use visual cues to orient towards the sea and various geomagnetic cues to orient in the open ocean (Lohmann et al. 1997).

Several behavioral studies have illustrated that sea turtles are able to smell underwater, an unusual ability for an air-breathing vertebrate. Manton et al. (1972a) observed loggerheads moving the floor of the mouth up and down with the nostrils flared open in response to the introduction of a chemical cue. The throat movements appear to be a means to pump water through the nasal cavities so the turtle can smell underwater (Manton et al. 1972a). Upon a chemical release, flipper movements increased and approaches towards the cue were quite violent (Manton et al. 1972a). Constantino and Salmon (2003) also found that turtles have responses to chemical stimuli and will orient themselves into currents towards the stimuli when the food is not directly visible. However, when food is visible, sea turtles ignore the chemical stimuli and head towards the food object. This would illustrate that chemical cues are important for detecting prey at distance, but then visual cues would take over. Studies have also shown that sea turtles have the capacity to recognize one water mass from another by olfaction. It has been suggested that this may contribute to the species finding waters off their natal beaches (Owens et al 1986; Manton et al. 1972a; 1972b; Grassman et al. 1984).

Sea turtle reception of sound occurs through bone conduction, with the skull and shell acting as receiving structures (Lenhardt et al. 1983). A few preliminary investigations using adult green, loggerhead, and Kemp's ridley turtles suggest that sea turtles are most sensitive to low-frequency sounds (Ridgway et al. 1969; Lenhardt et al. 1983; Bartol 1999; Moein Bartol and Ketten 2006). Typically, sea turtles hear frequencies from 30 to 2,000 Hz and have a range of maximum sensitivity between 100 to 800 Hz (Ridgway et al. 1969; Lenhardt 1994). Green turtle hearing sensitivity peaks at 300 to 400 Hz (Ridgway et al. 1969), loggerhead turtle hearing sensitivity peaks at 400 to 500 Hz (Lenhardt 2002), and Kemp's ridleys are most sensitive to sounds between 100 and 200 Hz (Moein Bartol and Ketten 2006). Hearing below 80 Hz is less sensitive but still potentially usable to the animal (Lenhardt 1994). A recent study of juvenile green turtle hearing showed that the species was able to detect levels below 50 Hz underwater (Eckert, S.A., WIDECAST, pers. comm. 7 April 2008). Sensitivity even within the optimal hearing range is apparently low—threshold detection levels in water are relatively high at 160 to 200 dB re 1 µPa-m (Lenhardt 1994).

Sensitivity even within the optimal hearing range is apparently low—threshold detection levels in water are relatively high at 160 to 200 dB re 1 µPa-m (Lenhardt 1994). Adult loggerheads have been observed to initially respond to (i.e., increase swimming speeds) and avoid air guns when received levels range from 151 to 175 dB re: 1 µPa, but eventually habituate to these sounds (Lenhardt 2002). One turtle in study exhibited a temporary threshold shift (TTS) for up to two weeks after exposure (Lenhardt 2002). Juveniles also have been found to avoid low-frequency sound (less than 1,000 Hz) produced by airguns (O'Hara and Wilcox 1990; McCauley et al. 2000). Green and loggerhead sea turtles exposed to seismic air guns began to noticeably increase their swimming speed, as well swimming direction, when received levels reached 155 dB re: 1 $\mu Pa^2 s$ and 166 dB re: 1 $\mu Pa^2 s$ respectively (McCauley et al. 2000). Although auditory data has never been collected for the leatherback turtle, there has been anecdotal evidence that this species responds to boat motor sounds (ARPA 1995).

For additional information on the biology, life history, and conservation of sea turtles, the following websites are useful: Office of Protected Resources (http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/species/turtles/), seaturtle.org (http://www.seaturtle.org), the Caribbean Conservation Corporation (http://www .cccturtle.org), and the Archie Carr Center for Sea Turtle Research (http://accstr.ufl.edu/). Other important resources include Proceedings from the Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation, NRC (1990), Bjorndal (1995), Lutz and Musick (1997), Lutz et al. (2003), and Bolten et al. (2003).

3.2.2 Sea Turtles of JAX/CHASN OPAREA

Six species of sea turtles have been documented as occurring within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. These include the leatherback, loggerhead, green, hawksbill, Kemp's ridley, and olive ridley (Table 3-2). Of these, the loggerhead, green, Kemp's ridley, and leatherback are most common. The hawksbill and olive ridley are considered rare in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA; the hawksbill is typically tropical and rarely sighted north of southeastern Florida while the olive ridley is rarely found north of Trinidad.

Each sea turtle species is listed below with its physical description, status, habitat associations, distribution (including location and seasonal occurrence in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA), and behavior and life history. Species appearance within the text follows the taxonomic order as presented in Table 3-2.

The waters off the southeastern U.S. coast provide suitable habitat for sea turtles throughout much of the year (Schwartz 1989; Dodd 1995). Large numbers of juvenile sea turtles use the lagoons, estuaries, bays, and offshore reefs of this region as both foraging and resting habitats. In addition to providing habitat for year-round resident turtles, the waters of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA also provide suitable nesting beaches for mature females. The nesting habitat in the southeastern U.S. is spread across 2,200 km of coastline, although much of this habitat is threatened by increasing human development and erosion cycles (Sears et al. 1995; Hopkins-Murphy et al. 2001)

There is a high occurrence of sea turtle nesting along the east coast of Florida. The loggerhead, leatherback, and green turtle nest regularly in this area (Meylan et al. 1995). Florida is the principal nesting site for the southeast U.S. loggerhead nesting population, and accounts for 35 to 40% of loggerhead nesting worldwide (NMFS and USFWS 1991a). High nest density rookeries exist along the length of Florida's east coast. The large numbers of hatchlings from such rookeries influence Florida's ecosystem by attracting aquatic predators to the area (Stewart and Wyneken 2004). The green turtle

Table 3-2. Sea turtle species of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and their status under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). Taxonomy follows Pritchard (1997).

	Scientific Name	Status	Occurrence[1]
Order Testudines			
Suborder Cryptodira (hidden-necked turtles)			
Family Dermochelyidae			
Leatherback turtle	*Dermochelys coriacea*	Endangered	Regular
Family Cheloniidae (hard-shelled turtles)			
Loggerhead turtle	*Caretta caretta*	Threatened	Regular
Green turtle	*Chelonia mydas*	Threatened[2]	Regular
Hawksbill turtle	*Eretmochelys imbricata*	Endangered	Rare
Kemp's ridley turtle	*Lepidochelys kempii*	Endangered	Regular
Olive ridley turtle	*Lepidochelys olivacea*	Threatened[2]	Rare

[1] **Regular** = A species that occurs as a regular or normal part of the fauna of the area, regardless of how abundant or common it is
Rare = A species that only occurs in the area sporadically
Extralimital = A species that does not normally occur in the area, but for which there are one or more records that are considered beyond the normal range of the species

[2] Although the species as a whole is listed as threatened, the Florida and Mexican Pacific nesting stocks of the green turtle and the Mexican Pacific nesting stocks of the olive ridley turtle are listed as endangered. Since the nesting area for green turtles and olive ridley turtles encountered at sea cannot be determined, a conservative approach to management suggests the assumption that all greens and olive ridley turtles found in the study area are from the endangered populations.

nesting population in Florida is one of the largest in the Caribbean and Western Atlantic Ocean (Meylan et al. 1995), with much of the nesting concentrated on the southeastern coast (FMRI-FFWCC 2004). Southeastern Florida is the only regular nesting site of the leatherback on the continental United States (Meylan et al. 1995), with 50% of leatherback nesting occurring in Palm Beach County (FFWCC-FMRI 2004).

Waters along the Atlantic Coast of the U.S. serve as developmental habitats for immature loggerhead, green, and Kemp's ridley sea turtles (Musick and Limpus 1997) that take up residency during the summer months (Keinath et al. 1996). The area has many sounds and estuaries containing extensive seagrass beds and a diversity of bottom-dwelling fauna that provide sea turtles cover as well as forage (Keinath et al. 1996; Musick and Limpus 1997). As waters warm in the spring, juvenile loggerhead, green, and Kemp's ridley sea turtles migrate northward along the U.S. Atlantic Coast in search of developmental feeding grounds. As waters cool in the fall, most sea turtles emigrate out of temperate inshore waters and travel southward at least as far as Cape Hatteras to avoid cold stunning. Although many sea turtles within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA may not exhibit extensive migrations, large concentrations of sea turtles during the spring and fall migration periods may still be expected; these large concentrations result from the combination of individuals, originating from other areas along the U.S. east coast, transiting through the area in addition to the presence of year-round residents.

The distribution of available sea turtle occurrence records in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity by season is presented in Appendix C—Figure C-1. The distributions of available sea turtle records by season for individual species are presented in Figures C-2 through C-6. Sea turtle occurrence records include sightings from NMFS aerial and shipboard surveys, sightings from other sources (non-NMFS surveys and opportunistic encounters), strandings, incidental bycatch records from fisheries, and incidental encounters within the study area and vicinity. It should be noted that the number of sea turtle records in a given season or portion of the OPAREA is often a function of the source or type of data, level of effort, and sighting conditions. Unidentified sea turtles (individuals that could not be identified to species) account for a large number of occurrence records, particularly sightings at sea. The hard-shelled sea turtles (loggerhead, green, Kemp's ridley, and hawksbill) are often difficult to distinguish to species, particularly when they are young (i.e., small size classes), during aerial surveys, and/or when observers do not have a high level of experience (Henwood and Epperly 1999). Species identification is also less reliable when individuals from the general public (e.g., commercial and recreational fishermen, beachgoers) sight sea turtles.

The modeled occurrence of a species in a given portion of the study area is based upon a geo-statistical sightings-per-unit-effort (SPUE) analysis and is presented for each season (winter=6 December through 5 April; spring=6 April through 13 July; summer=14 July through 16 September; fall=17 September through 5 December) in Appendix C. A listing and description of data sources used to determine each species' occurrence is found in Appendix A-3, while the process used to create the map figures is described in Section 1.4.2.2.

On the map figures, various shading and terminology designate the occurrence of sea turtles in the study area. Species' occurrence levels were defined as SPUE values within the: highest quartile (1st Quartile SPUE) in areas shaded in purple, second highest quartile (2nd Quartile SPUE) in areas shaded in blue, second lowest quartile (3rd Quartile SPUE) in areas shaded in dark green, and lowest quartile (4th Quartile SPUE) in areas shaded in light green. An additional occurrence level of SPUE = 0 (shaded in yellow), is indicative of areas where survey effort occurred (effort ≥ 5 km) but no sightings were recorded. In all cells with effort <5 km (or 0), the occurrence area was defined as "No Survey Effort" (stipple pattern); in these areas the likelihood of a protected species occurring is not known because no line-transect surveys have been completed in that area or were not available for inclusion in the analysis. Due to a lack of survey data available for certain species, occurrence models could not be calculated for every species known to occur in the study area.

The occurrence model outputs and available occurrence data, which include survey sightings, strandings, and bycatch events, indicate that sea turtles occur in the study area year-round (Figures C-1 through C-6).

- Information Specific to JAX/CHASN OPAREA—The occurrence patterns for all sea turtle species appear in Figures C-1-1 and C-1-2.

    - Winter—Sea turtle occurrence is expected to be most concentrated on the continental shelf off Georgia and northeastern Florida (Figure C-1-1), an area where species such as the loggerhead and Kemp's ridley are known to overwinter. Along the North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia and Florida shelf, the loggerhead and green sea turtle are driving the model output for sea turtle species (Figures C-1-1, C-1-2, C-4-1 and C-4-2). Abundance of loggerhead sighting records along the southern Georgia and Florida coasts reflect the use of the area as an overwintering ground by loggerheads (Henwood 1987; Morreale and Standora 2005). High green turtle concentration predicted off of the Florida coast is consistent with the known overwintering habitat located just south of the OPAREA boundary. Studies suggest elevated concentration of leatherback turtles in the area during the winter months as well (NMFS 1995). Although sea turtle observations are concentrated on the continental shelf, little survey effort has occurred offshore; sea turtles may also occur in offshore areas during the winter. The Gulf Stream creates a suitable warm water habitat for the temperature limited species such as Kemp's ridleys (Marquez-M. 1994). Unidentified sea turtles represent a large number of sightings in Figure C-1-2 likely due to the difficulty of identifying hard-shelled turtles to species from aerial survey platforms, which comprise a majority of the survey effort during the winter (Figure A-1; Winter). Additionally, the portion of the OPAREA overlapping with North Atlantic right whale critical habitat and calving grounds receives a disproportionably amount of survey effort during this season compared to the remainder of the OPAREA.

- Spring—In the spring, sea turtle occurrence is predicted in two main areas. In the north there are predicted high concentrations offshore Maryland and Delaware and in the south the southern Georgia and Florida coastal waters serve as a high concentration area. (Figures C-1-1 and C-1-2). The model prediction is driven largely by the loggerhead with juveniles expected in high concentrations in coastal waters off of the northern part of the US (Morreale and Standora 2005) and females nesting on beaches on and foraging in coastal waters of Florida (Meylan et al. 1995) (C-3-1). Green turtles also show a predicted high concentration in the southern end of the OPAREA (C-4-1). Nesting female leatherbacks and internesting female leatherbacks foraging in the area (Eckert et al. 2006) drive the increased concentration and higher sighting numbers of this species off of the southern Georgia and northern Florida coasts. Strandings and scattered sightings of Kemp's ridleys and hawksbill turtle support the presence of these species in the OPAREA during the spring (Figure 3-5). Two records of olive ridley strandings indicate that this species may be present in the OPAREA. During spring, the concentration of sea turtles in the southern end of the OPAREA reflects the presence of nesting beaches adjacent to the OPAREA boundary.

- Summer—Sea turtle occurrence remains highest offshore Maryland although lower concentrations of sea turtles are expected to occur throughout the shelf waters of the southeast Atlantic coast with one large area of concentration over the shelf waters of Georgia and Florida (Figures C-1-1 and C-1-2). Sea turtle occurrence extends beyond the shelf break in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA although several sighting and stranding records are concentrated along the coast at the southern end of the OPAREA. This concentration results from the occurrence of loggerhead, leatherback, and Kemps ridley turtles predicted by the model. The predicted occurrence of the Kemps ridely is not reflective of the expected distribution; however, both leatherbacks and loggerheads are expected to be concentrated in the area. Internesting female leatherbacks from Cape Canaveral beaches have been observed using this habitat (Eckert et al. 2006) and loggerhead nesting season in Florida lasts throughout the summer season (Meylan et al. 1995; Weishampel et al. 2006).

- Fall—Occurrence of sea turtles is predicted for most of the shelf waters of the JAX/CHASN area, although the highest concentration is predicted farther north off of North Carolina and Virginia (Figures C-1-1 and C-1-2). The loggerhead and the leatherback drive the output for this model. Fall generally has the lowest concentration of turtles off of the eastern coast of Florida (Wyneken et al. 2005). This season represents a migratory period from northern foraging and developmental habitats to the warmer waters off the southeastern coast for the colder winter months (Hopkins-Murphy et al. 2003; Morreale and Standora 2005). Sea turtles should occur from just east of the continental shelf to the inshore area throughout the OPAREA.

- Leatherback Turtle *(Dermochelys coriacea)*

Description—The leatherback turtle is the largest living sea turtle. Adult leatherbacks average between 200 and 700 kg with carapace lengths ranging from 119 to 176 cm (NMFS and USFWS 1992). This species is placed in a separate family from all other sea turtles, in part, because of their unique carapace structure. The leatherback's carapace lacks the outer layer of horny scutes possessed by all other sea turtles. It is instead composed of a flexible layer of dermal bones underlying tough, oily connective tissue and smooth skin. The body is barrel-shaped and tapered to the rear, with seven longitudinal dorsal ridges, and is almost completely black with variable spotting. All adults possess a unique pink spot on the dorsal surface of their head. Scientists use this marking to identify specific individuals (McDonald and Dutton 1996).

Status—Leatherback turtles are listed as endangered under the ESA (NMFS and USFWS 1992). Counts of nesting females typically provide the best available index of leatherback sea turtle population status; the largest leatherback populations are located in the Western Atlantic Ocean and



Figure 3-5. Sea turtle strandings reported in North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida by season between 2000 and 2005. Source data: FFWCC-FWRI (2006); NMFS-SEFSC (2006).

Caribbean Sea regions (Spotila et al. 1996). Long term monitoring of index beaches (mainly Trinidad, Suriname, Guyana, Puerto Rico, and Florida) for the last 2 to 3 decades indicate increases in the nesting population (TEWG 2007). Spotila (1996) estimated a global population of 34,500 adult females. However, recent population estimates for adult leatherbacks range from 34,000 to 94,000 in North Atlantic waters alone (NMFS 2007a; TEWG 2007). Leatherback nesting that was once considered rare in Florida has increased over time and is now a significant nesting population in the North Atlantic (Meylan et al. 2006). Populations nesting in Culebra, Puerto Rico, and St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands (USVI) are also believed to be increasing due to heightened protection and monitoring of the nesting habitat over the past 20 years (Hillis-Starr et al. 1998; Fleming 2001; Thompson et al. 2001; Dutton et al. 2005).

Due to the high potential for interactions between leatherbacks and shrimp trawlers along the southeastern U.S. coast, especially during the spring migration period, a leatherback conservation zone was established by the Leatherback Contingency Plan in 1995 (NMFS 1995; 2000). The leatherback conservation zone protects leatherbacks from being caught as bycatch in the shrimp fishery and extends from Cape Canaveral, Florida to the North Carolina-Virginia border, from coastal waters up to 10 NM offshore (NMFS 1995). When leatherback turtle concentrations approach pre-determined abundance levels within this area, NMFS retains the authority to temporarily close shrimping areas for two weeks; all shrimp trawlers whose nets are not equipped with NMFS-approved TEDs (Turtle Excluder Devices) and modified TED escape openings for leatherbacks are prohibited from shrimping during this time (NMFS 1995; 2000).

Leatherback turtles frequently interact with the pelagic longline fishery in the Western Atlantic and the Gulf of Mexico (Garrison and Richards 2004). An observer program and management regulations under the jurisdiction of NMFS are currently in effect to reduce bycatch from the Western Atlantic pelagic longline fishery (Garrison and Richards 2004).

Habitat Associations—There is limited information available regarding the habitats utilized by post-hatchling and early juvenile leatherbacks since these age classes are entirely oceanic (NMFS and USFWS 1992). However, scientists are relatively certain these individuals do not associate with *Sargassum* or other flotsam, as is the case for the other five sea turtle species found in U.S. waters (NMFS and USFWS 1992). Juveniles up to 100 cm in curved carapace length (CCL) are generally restricted to waters greater than 26°C. The transition at 100 cm is relatively abrupt, with leatherbacks as small as 107 cm CCL having been observed in waters as cold as 12°C (Eckert 2002a). Upwelling areas, such as the Equatorial Convergence Zones, serve as nursery grounds for post-hatchling and early juvenile leatherbacks; these areas also provide a high biomass of gelatinous prey (Musick and Limpus 1997).

Late juvenile and adult leatherback turtles range from the mid-ocean to the continental shelf and nearshore waters (Schroeder and Thompson 1987; Shoop and Kenney 1992; Grant and Ferrell 1993; Epperly et al. 1995b). Juvenile and adult foraging habitats include both coastal areas in temperate waters and offshore areas in tropical waters (Frazier 2001). Adults may also feed in cold waters at high latitudes (James et al. 2006). The movements of adult leatherbacks appear to be linked to the seasonal availability of their prey and reproductive cycle requirements, and may be strongly influenced by oceanic currents (Collard 1990; Davenport and Balazs 1991; Luschi et al. 2006).

Distribution—The leatherback turtle is distributed circumglobally in tropical and subtropical waters throughout the year and into temperate waters during late summer and early fall (NMFS and USFWS 1992; James et al. 2005c). Leatherbacks are the most oceanic sea turtle species and have the widest distribution range (Boulon et al. 1988). In the North Atlantic Ocean, leatherbacks are broadly distributed from the Caribbean region to as far north as Nova Scotia, Newfoundland, Labrador, Iceland, the British Isles, and Norway (Bleakney 1965; Brongersma 1972; Threlfall 1978; Goff and Lien 1988). This wide distribution range is a result of highly evolved thermoregulatory capabilities. Leatherbacks can maintain body core temperatures well above the ambient water temperature. For example, a leatherback caught off Nova Scotia, Canada had a body temperature of 25.5°C in water that was 7.5°C (Frair et al. 1972). As a result, they are more capable of surviving for extended periods of time in cool temperate and boreal waters than the hard-shelled sea turtles (Bleakney 1965; Lazell 1980; Shoop and Kenney 1992).

In the North Atlantic Ocean, leatherbacks show strong seasonal distribution patterns, making extensive movements between temperate and tropical waters (James et al. 2005a, 2005b, 2005c). One leatherback caught in Chesapeake Bay was tagged, released, and then recaptured over a year later off southern Cuba, a minimum distance of 2,168 km (Keinath and Musick 1990). Leatherbacks tagged on Caribbean nesting beaches travel great distances across the North Atlantic Ocean and display broad variations in pan-oceanic movements. Some individuals travel north to foraging habitats off the Atlantic coasts of the U.S. and Canada, others travel northeast to temperate waters surrounding the British Isles and the Azores, while other individuals travel east to the coast of Africa (Hays et al. 2004). Female leatherbacks tagged in the USVI, Colombia, French Guiana, and Costa Rica have been found stranded along the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf coasts (Thompson et al. 2001). Tagging studies also indicate variations in over-wintering behaviors and onshore-offshore occurrence patterns (Lee and Palmer 1981). For example, a leatherback satellite-tagged on a Florida nesting beach traveled directly to the coast of Virginia after her last nest of the season; while there, she remained within 100 km of shore during her entire four-month stay (CCC 2002).

Seasonal movements of large subadult and adult leatherbacks have been documented by aerial surveys along the U.S. Atlantic Coast; yet, leatherbacks are not likely to be constrained by seasonal temperature variations as a species. The survey data indicate that leatherback migration starts with the northward movement of individuals along the southeastern coast of the U.S. in the late winter/early spring. In November and December, most leatherbacks along the U.S. Atlantic Coast start congregating in the waters off northeast Florida. By April and May leatherbacks begin to occur in large numbers off the coasts of Georgia and the Carolinas (NMFS 1995; 2000). In late spring/early summer, leatherbacks begin to appear off the mid-Atlantic and New England coasts, while by late summer/early fall, many will have traveled as far north as the waters off eastern Canada, remaining in the northeast from approximately May through October (CETAP 1982; Shoop and Kenney 1992; Thompson et al. 2001; Wyneken et al. 2005). Leatherbacks may also exhibit east-west movement patterns, migrating seasonally from coastal waters to offshore in the late summer; presence of leatherbacks in the mid-Atlantic Bight may be observed during this time (Eckert 2006).

Leatherbacks are observed in areas of high jellyfish concentrations along the Carolina coastlines (Grant and Ferrell 1993). Jellyfish occur south of Cape Hatteras from May to November. At this time, leatherbacks congregate along the coast and forage, in areas such as North Topsail Island, North Carolina and Myrtle Beach, South Carolina (Grant and Ferrell 1993).

Unlike leatherback foraging which may span temperate waters and high latitudes, nesting by this species in the western North Atlantic is confined to coarse-grained beaches in subtropical and tropical latitudes (NMFS and USFWS 1992). Nesting occurs along the coasts of North, Central, and South America (from the southeastern U.S. to Brazil) and throughout the Greater and Lesser Antilles. The most significant nesting occurs at French Guiana, Suriname, Guyana, Colombia, Panama, Costa Rica, and Trinidad (Thompson et al. 2001). In the northern Caribbean, Sandy Point National Wildlife Refuge, St. Croix is the principal nesting beach for leatherbacks (Hillis-Starr et al. 1998). Florida represents the most common site of leatherback nesting on the U.S. east coast; although previously rare, nesting numbers are now significant in this area (Stewart and Johnson 2003; Meylan et al. 2006). Juno Beach, Florida is the site of the most important leatherback nesting colony north of St. Croix (DUMSL-NSE 2004). Fifty percent of leatherback nesting in Florida occurs in Palm Beach County; however, leatherbacks may also nest in all Florida counties within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity (FFWCC-FMRI 2004b).

North of Florida, a few documented occurrences of leatherback nesting are documented inshore of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. In Georgia, eight documented cases of reported leatherbacks nesting occurred at Sea Island, Sapelo Island, Cumberland Island, and Blackbeard Island (Rabon et al. 2003). Along the South Carolina coast, two leatherback nests were confirmed at St. Phillips Island and Huntington Beach State Park (Rabon et al. 2003).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—Leatherbacks are found year-round in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, occurring in the shallow waters over the continental shelf (Lee and Palmer 1981) or in offshore waters (Schwartz 1989) (Figures C-2-1 and C-2-2). The JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity may be used by leatherbacks for foraging, transit, or nesting purposes. For example, a post-nesting leatherback satellite-tagged on a Florida nesting beach in 2000, traveled along the U.S. Atlantic Coast to New Jersey, passing through the JAX/CHASN OPAREA on her northward migration (Eckert et.al. 2005). Seasonal movements of large subadult and adult leatherbacks have been documented by aerial surveys along the U.S. Atlantic Coast (Shoop and Thompson 1983; Schroeder and Thompson 1987; NMFS 1995); however, leatherbacks are likely not constrained by seasonal temperature variations. Leatherback occurrence is seasonal along the U.S. Atlantic coast, with the number of sightings along the northern area of the coast increasing from winter to summer. Leatherback turtles are generally concentrated off the northeastern Florida coast during the winter beginning in November and December (NMFS 1995).

    - Winter—The model output predicts occurrence over the shelf and just past the shelf break (Figures C-2-1 and C-2-2). The large number of sightings over the continental shelf is the product of the elevated concentration of leatherback turtles off the coast of Florida and Southern Georgia during the winter months (NMFS 1995) coupled with the increased survey effort due to North Atlantic right whale survey coverage. Tagging data suggests that some leatherbacks spend significant time in the pelagic environment (Eckert et al. 2006). Based on the model output, additional sighting and bycatch records in the OPAREA over the shelf (Figure C-2-2), and known movement patterns of leatherback females in this area (Eckert et al. 2006), leatherback turtles are expected throughout the OPAREA with a higher concentration over the continental shelf in this season.

- Spring—The model output predicts occurrence in the southern half of the OPAREA over the shelf (Figure C-2-1 and C-2-2). The Florida coast consists of many known nesting beaches for the leatherback turtle (Schroeder and Thompson 1987; Meylan et al. 1995; FFWCC-FWRI 2007a). Nesting females and internesting females foraging in the area (Eckert et al. 2006) may account for the increased concentration and higher sighting numbers off of the southern Georgia and northern Florida coasts. Although not included in the analysis for the model, many additional sightings and bycatch records throughout the northern portion of the OPAREA confirm the distribution of leatherbacks throughout the shelf waters and may be indicative of the seasonal migration of some leatherbacks moving north towards foraging grounds in Canadian waters (Thompson et al. 2001; Wyneken et al. 2005). Leatherbacks are expected to occur throughout the OPAREA during this season.

- Summer—The model output predicts a high concentration of leatherbacks, relative to survey effort, off the coasts of Georgia and southern South Carolina to the shelf break and lower concentrations farther south with one patch towards the northern end of the OPAREA (Figures C-2-1 and C-2-2). The concentration of additional sightings not included in the model over the narrow shelf and along the shelf break is consistent with the tendency of leatherbacks to concentrate off Florida's east coast near thermoclines, in waters that are approximately 20 to 40 m in depth (Wyneken et al. 2005). Concentrations of leatherbacks off the Georgia coast and the number of sightings over the shelf in the southern portion of the OPAREA correspond to the observed habitat use of tagged internesting females from Cape Canaveral beaches (Eckert et al. 2006). In addition to the model output predictions, several sighting and bycatch records beyond the shelf break (C-2-2) indicate that leatherbacks may occur throughout the OPAREA during this season with a possible concentration over the shelf in the southern portion of the OPAREA.

- Fall—The model output predicts leatherback occurrence over continental shelf over the lower half of the OPAREA. Fall generally has the lowest concentration of turtles off of the eastern coast of Florida (Wyneken et al. 2005) which is reflected in the lower number of sightings compared to other seasons. The concentration of leatherback sightings along the Florida/Georgia border likely results from increased survey efforts commenced for the North Atlantic right whale in the later fall months rather than an increase in actual concentration of turtles. Based on the model output and additional sighting and bycatch records, leatherback turtles may occur throughout the OPAREA during this season.

Behavior and Life History—Leatherback turtles feed predominantly upon gelatinous zooplankton, such as cnidarians (jellyfish and siphonophores) and tunicates (salps and pyrosomas); however, they may also target a wide variety of other prey or feed in association with other marine organisms (NMFS and USFWS 1992; Grant and Ferrell 1993; Bjorndal 1997; James and Herman 2001). In the Caribbean, leatherback diving patterns suggest that leatherbacks forage nocturnally within the deep-scattering layer (DSL), a stratum of vertically migrating zooplankton (primarily siphonophores, salps, and jellyfish) that concentrates below 600 m during the day and moves to the surface at night (Eckert et al. 1989). Leatherbacks have been observed congregating at the entrance to Chesapeake Bay, likely feeding upon the influx of cannonball jellyfish flowing out of the bay's mouth (Barnard et al. 1989).

Leatherbacks feed throughout the water column and dive as deep as 1,200 m (Eisenberg and Frazier 1983; Davenport 1988; Eckert et al. 1989). Maximum dive durations of 30 to 40 min are recorded (Sale et al. 2006). Seasonal prey availability likely influences depth and duration of dives (Sale et al. 2006). Sale et al. (2006) found leatherbacks to dive for longer durations at night. Leatherbacks may make shallower dives and do not exhibit diel diving patterns in colder water; this is likely due to the shallower distribution and lack of vertical migration of prey in these areas (James et al. 2006). In temperate waters of the North Pacific Ocean, leatherbacks spend most of their foraging time at depths less than 100 m, although occasionally deep dives will be made while feeding (Eckert 2006). During migrations or long distance movements, leatherbacks maximize swimming efficiency by traveling within 2 m of the surface (Eckert 2002b; Eckert, S.A., WIDECAST, pers. comm., 28 June 2005).

The leatherback is the deepest diving sea turtle. Leatherbacks in deep oceanic environments frequently exhibit V-shaped dive patterns, in which they descend to a certain depth and then immediately ascend to the surface. Leatherbacks in shallow water (continental shelf) environments more often exhibit U-shaped dive patterns, in which they swim down to the ocean floor, remain near the bottom for several minutes, and then return directly to the surface (Eckert et al. 1996). Mean dive depths for post-nesting leatherbacks off the continental shelf of St. Croix (a deepwater habitat) ranged from 35 to 122 m, with estimated maximum depths of over 1,000 m (S.A. Eckert et al. 1989; Eckert et al. 1996). The maximum dive depth recorded for a post-nesting leatherback in the South China Sea was 62 m, which is the maximum bottom depth of the ocean floor in that area (Eckert et al. 1996). In the Caribbean, typical dive durations in deepwater habitats averaged 6.9 to 14.5 min, while those in shallow water habitats averaged 7.9 to 12.1 min. On average, dives during the day tended to be deeper, longer, and less frequent than those at night in both types of habitats (Eckert et al. 1989; Eckert et al. 1996).

Mating was thought to occur prior to or during the migration from temperate to tropical waters (Eckert and Eckert 1988). However, the presence of males near nesting colonies suggest that mating may also occur near those colonies. Males have been satellite tracked from foraging areas in the North Atlantic to Caribbean nesting colonies, where the males reside until the peak of the nesting season (James et al. 2005a). Along the U.S. Atlantic coast, leatherback turtles nest annually on beaches from southeastern Florida to Georgia, with the majority of nesting occurring in southeastern Florida (FFWCC-FMRI 2004b). The nesting season in the western North Atlantic is primarily from March to July (NMFS and USFWS 1992). Female nesters lay between one and 11 clutches in a single season at 9 to 10 day intervals (NMFS and USFWS 1992; Stewart and Johnson 2006). Typical clutches range in size from 50 to over 150 eggs, with the incubation period lasting around 65 days. Females may remain in the general vicinity (e.g., within 50 km) of the nesting habitat during inter-nesting intervals, with the total residence in the nesting/internesting habitats may lasting up to four months (Eckert et al.1989; NMFS and USFWS 1992; Keinath and Musick 1993; Stewart and Johnson 2006). Most adult females return to nest on their natal beach every two years; however, remigration intervals between one and five years are documented (Boulon et al. 1996).

- Loggerhead Turtle *(Caretta caretta)*

Description—The loggerhead turtle is a large, hard-shelled sea turtle named for its proportionately large head and powerful jaws. Adult loggerheads weigh between 100 and 150 kg with average carapace lengths ranging from 90 to 95 cm (Dodd 1988; NMFS and USFWS 1991a). Adult loggerheads usually possess a reddish-brown carapace with scutes that are bordered with yellow (NMFS and USFWS 1991a).

Status—Loggerhead turtles are listed as threatened under the ESA (NMFS and USFWS 1991a). The loggerhead is the most abundant sea turtle occurring in U.S. waters. In the continental United States there are four demographically independent loggerhead nesting groups or subpopulations: (1) Northern: North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and northeast Florida; (2) South Florida: occurring from 29°N on the east coast to Sarasota on the west coast; (3) Florida Panhandle: Eglin Air Force Base and the beaches near Panama City, and (4) Dry Tortugas (Witherington et al. 2006b). Bowen et al. (1995) noted that under a conventional interpretation of the nuclear deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) data, all breeding populations in the entire southeastern United States would be regarded as a single management unit, yet the mitochondrial DNA data indicate multiple isolated populations, and further suggest this complex population structure mandates a different management strategy at each life stage. The South Florida nesting subpopulation is the largest loggerhead rookery in the Atlantic

Ocean (and the second largest in the world), followed by the Northern, Florida Panhandle, and Dry Tortugas subpopulations (Ehrhart et al. 2003; Witherington et al. 2006b). The South Florida nesting subpopulation produced between 43,500 and 83,400 nests between 1992 and 2002 (USFWS and NMFS 2003). Nesting trends indicated that the number of nesting females associated with the south Florida subpopulation was increasing (Epperly et al. 2001). However, recent data suggests that this nesting population has actually been decreasing at a rate of 1.9% a year since 1995 (Witherington et al. In review). Nesting declines have been observed, in particular, within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity. From 1973-1995, nesting at Cape Island, SC declined by 3.2% per year while nesting at Little Cumberland Island, GA experienced declines of 2.6% per year from 1964-1995 (NMFS 2002). Cape Island, SC and Little Cumberland Island, GA are components of the Northern Nesting Subpopulation, found to be genetically distinctive from other rookeries along the western North Atlantic coast (Bowen et al. 1993).

Older juvenile and sub adults experience mortality in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Ruckdeschel and Zug 1982), likely due to interactions with the western Atlantic pelagic longline fishery, as loggerheads frequently interact with this fishery (Garrison and Richards 2004). NMFS management regulations and an observer program are currently in effect to reduce such bycatch of loggerheads (Garrison and Richards 2004).

Habitat Associations—The loggerhead turtle occurs worldwide in habitats ranging from coastal estuaries, bays and lagoons to pelagic waters (Dodd 1988). The generalized life history model of loggerheads consists of different life stages including the early juvenile nursery habitat, later juvenile developmental habitat, adult foraging habitat, and adult internesting or breeding habitat (Musick and Limpus 1997). Early juvenile loggerheads are primarily oceanic, occurring in pelagic convergence zones where they are transported throughout the ocean by dominant currents, such as the North Atlantic Gyre (Caldwell 1968; Carr 1987; Witherington 1994a; Bolten and Balazs 1995). Post-hatchling and early juvenile loggerhead turtles from southeastern Atlantic nesting populations have been found in the waters surrounding the Azores and Maderia, the Great Banks (Newfoundland, Canada) and the Mediterranean Sea (Bolten et al. 1994; Bolten et al. 1998; Bowen et al. 2004). Once North Atlantic juvenile loggerheads reach approximately 40 cm in length (approximately 8.2 years), they migrate back towards the western Atlantic Ocean to neritic feeding grounds near their natal beach of origin (Carr 1987; Musick and Limpus 1997; Bjorndal et al. 2000b; Bowen et al. 2004). Juvenile loggerheads are also known to inhabit offshore waters in the North Atlantic Ocean where they are often associated with natural and/or artificial reefs (Fritts et al. 1983a) which provide an abundance of prey as well as sheltered locations (Rosman et al. 1987).

Based on growth models, juvenile loggerheads may occupy coastal feeding grounds for 20 years before their first reproductive migration (Bjorndal et al. 2001). Late juveniles and adult loggerheads most often occur on the continental shelf and along the shelf break of the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf coasts as well as coastal estuaries and bays (CETAP 1982; Shoop and Kenney 1992). Tag returns from Little Cumberland Island, Georgia emphasize the importance of east coast developmental feeding areas to juvenile loggerheads (Meylan 1995). Juvenile loggerheads foraging in the Chesapeake Bay are expected to be derived from Georgia and South Carolina nesting assemblages (Roberts et al. 2005). Sub-adult and adult loggerhead turtles tend to inhabit deeper offshore feeding areas, up to 100 m deep, along the western Atlantic coast from mid-Florida to New Jersey, most likely foraging on benthic prey (Hopkins-Murphy et al. 2003; Roberts et al. 2005; Hawkes et al. 2007).

Juvenile loggerheads are also known to inhabit offshore waters in the North Atlantic Ocean where they are often associated with natural and/or artificial reefs and epi-pelagic *Sargassum* communities (Fritts et al. 1983b; Witherington and Hirama 2006). These offshore habitats provide juveniles with an abundance of prey as well as sheltered locations where they can rest (Rosman et al. 1987). Juvenile loggerhead offshore distribution patterns may also be influenced by topographic features.

Distribution—Loggerhead turtles are found in subtropical and temperate waters throughout the world (NMFS and USFWS 1991a). Loggerhead turtles can be found along the U.S. Atlantic coast from Cape Cod to the Florida Keys during any season; from the shore to the shelf break (CETAP 1982;

Shoop and Kenney 1992). Loggerhead distribution along the U.S. Atlantic coast is determined by seasonal water temperatures. Loggerheads prefer water temperatures between 13.3^{o} and 28°C (Mrosovsky 1980), becoming lethargic between 13 and 15°C and adopting a stunned floating posture in water around 10°C (Mrosovsky 1980). These cold-stunning events typically occur between December and February (Schwartz 1989). Some loggerheads are believed to escape cold conditions by burying themselves in the bottom sediment and hibernating (or brumating) (Carr et al. 1980; Ogren and McVea 1995; Hochscheid et al. 2005).

Off the eastern U.S., loggerheads are commonly sighted across the shelf from the shore to the shelf break as far north as Long Island, although far north and east sightings are sparse (CETAP 1982; Shoop and Kenney 1992). North of Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, loggerhead occurrence is highly seasonal (CETAP1982; Lutcavage and Musick 1985; Shoop and Kenney 1992). South of Cape Hatteras, loggerheads are resident year-round. Loggerhead distributions in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity vary throughout the year, likely due to seasonal water temperatures that influence migrations. Seasonal loggerhead migrations take place in both an inshore/offshore and north/south direction (Hopkins-Murphy et al. 2003). For example, two adult female loggerheads were tracked seasonally by the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources (SCDNR) and exhibited different migratory patterns (Figure 3-6). While “36426” exhibited a typical migratory pattern of traveling north along the coast from Charleston, South Carolina to Delaware Bay during the summer, “Celeste” exhibited a less common route, migrating east to overwinter near the Gulf Stream during the colder months (Figure 3-6). Although possible for adults, migrations to overwinter near the Gulf Stream are typically more common for juveniles (Murphy 2006), such as the track of juvenile “49123” (Figure 3-7).

In early spring, juvenile loggerheads over-wintering in southeastern U.S. waters begin to migrate north to developmental feeding habitats (Hopkins-Murphy et al. 2003; Morreale and Standora 2005). Migrating juvenile loggerheads appear in North Carolina and Georgia waters in April; at this time, numbers off eastern Florida decrease as turtles move northward (Morreale and Standora 2005). Juvenile loggerheads utilize estuaries, bays, and sounds as development feeding habitat during the summer months; commonly used areas within the vicinity of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA include Jekyll Sound and Cumberland Island, Georgia (Braun and Epperly 1996; Hopkins-Murphy et al. 2003), Charleston Harbor, South Carolina (Sears et al. 1995), and the Cape Fear River Basin, North Carolina (Barnes et al. 2000). Individuals also congregate in channel habitats along the coast between Cape Hatteras and Florida (Hopkins-Murphy et al. 2003). Upon their departure from developmental habitats in the fall, juvenile loggerheads will migrate south to overwinter in warmer waters; turtles previously tagged and released off North Carolina either moved offshore to deeper waters, traveled nearshore to Florida, or over-wintered on the west side of the Gulf Stream, off North Carolina (Epperly et al. 1995c; Keinath et al. 1996). In June through September, loggerheads tend to stay within a mile or two of shore, although individuals may be found far offshore, entering and traveling within the Gulf Stream (Keinath et al. 1996).

Genetic evidence has shown that assemblages of benthic-feeding immature loggerheads on foraging grounds comprise a mix of subpopulations (Sears et al. 1995; TEWG 2000; Epperly et al. 2001). At least three of the western North Atlantic subpopulations intermingle on foraging grounds off the northeast U.S. coast. Mixed stock analyses of stranded loggerheads have shown that the Northern, South Florida, and Yucatán subpopulations of loggerheads intermingle on foraging grounds in northeast U.S. waters (Rankin-Baransky 1997). Many of the loggerheads feeding offshore in the Northeast Florida- North Carolina foraging areas were derived from the Florida nesting assemblage (65%) and the nearby Northeast Florida- North Carolina nesting assemblage (19.1%) (Roberts et al. 2005). Epperly et al. (2001) reported that the northern nesting subpopulation (Northeast Florida to North Carolina) accounts for 46% of the loggerheads in Virginia but only 25% to 28% of the loggerheads off the Carolinas. Additionally, the south Florida subpopulation composes approximately



Figure 3-6. Seasonal movement patterns of adult loggerhead sea turtles in the Western Atlantic Ocean. Both turtles were satellite tagged and released from South Carolina. "36426" exhibited typical movements north along the Atlantic coast during the summer months and returning south as waters cooled in the fall. "Celeste" traveled north from South Carolina and entered the Gulf Stream, continuing into the North Atlantic Gyre. Source data: SCDNR (2006b).



Figure 3-7. Seasonal movement pattern of a juvenile loggerhead sea turtle in the Western Atlantic Ocean. Juvenile "49123" was satellite tagged and released from South Carolina, traveled north, and entered the Gulf Stream. "49123" overwintered in the mid-Atlantic, a potential juvenile foraging habitat. Source data: SCDNR (2006a).

66% of the loggerheads off the Carolinas, south of Cape Hatteras (Epperly et al. 2001). Genetic data collected from loggerheads in North Carolina's Albemarle-Pamlico Estuarine Complex revealed that the South Florida Subpopulation dominated in this inshore area as well (Epperly et al. 2001).

Loggerheads typically nest on high-energy beaches close to reef formations and adjacent to warm-temperature currents (Dodd 1988; TEWG 2000). Nesting beaches facing the open ocean or situated along narrow bays are preferred (NMFS and USFWS 1991a). Nest site selection tends to depend more upon beach slope and width than temperature, moisture, or salinity (Wood and Bjorndal 2000). Adult loggerheads exhibit strong site fidelity to nesting beaches typically return their natal beaches or nearby areas to nest (Comer 2002). Intraseasonal nesting patterns for females vary; some females may nest only once a season while others may nest several times (Webster and Cook 2001). The majority of nesting in the U.S. occurs in southeastern Florida, although scattered nesting reports have been documented further north in North Carolina (NMFS and USFWS 1991a). Loggerheads nesting between Cape Canaveral and Amelia Island, Florida may potentially represent a separate management unit, yet sufficient genetic data is lacking to make a determination (Epperly et al. 2001).

Loggerhead nesting occurs along the entire coastline adjacent to the OPAREA (Figure 3-8). Nesting is concentrated in several areas inshore of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, including Bald Head Island (Webster and Cook 2001; Hawkes et al. 2005) and Topsail Island in North Carolina; Cape and Pritchards islands in South Carolina (Byrd et al. 2005; CCC 2006); and Jekyll Island (GSTC 2006), Wassaw Island (Plotkin and Spotila 2002), Little Cumberland Island, and Cumberland Island in Georgia (Bell and Richardson 1978). Cape Island Beach, SC is the most significant loggerhead nesting beach north of Florida with approximately 1,000 nests per season (CCC 2006). Bald Head Island represents the most significant nesting beach in North Carolina as well as one of the most northern beaches for the northern nesting assemblage (Webster and Cook 2001). Little Cumberland Island has been shown to support high numbers of nests in Georgia (Richardson et al. 1978). In South Carolina, nesting loggerheads remain in coastal waters during the nesting season (Hopkins-Murphy et al. 2003). At this time of the year, adult loggerheads are found to be most active during daylight hours, exhibiting long distance directional movements parallel to the coast or unpatterned activity in core nearshore areas (Hopkins-Murphy et al. 2003).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—Loggerheads occur year-round in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, using the waters for overwintering, foraging, migrating, and traveling to nesting beaches (Figures C-3-1 and C-3-2). The model output shows the occurrence in shelf waters and correlated with the Gulf Stream throughout the year. Spring and summer represent peak nesting time for loggerheads in the area; during these seasons, individuals may traverse the OPAREA en route to nesting beaches. Loggerheads migrate south to the warmer waters of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Hopkins-Murphy et al. 2003; Morreale and Standora 2005) while waters just south of the OPAREA serve as an overwintering ground (Carr et al. 1980; Henwood 1987).

  - Winter—Occurrence is predicted throughout the continental shelf and over the slope, along the path of the Gulf Stream (Figures C-3-1 and C-3-2). Concentrations of loggerheads along the southern Georgia and Florida coasts reflect the use of the area as an overwintering ground by loggerheads (Henwood 1987; Morreale and Standora 2005). The large number of sightings over the continental shelf is the product of the elevated concentration of loggerhead turtles off the coast of Florida and Southern Georgia during the winter months (NMFS 1995) coupled with the increased survey effort due to North Atlantic right whale survey coverage. Additional sighting and bycatch records past the shelf break indicate that loggerheads may occur throughout the OPAREA. Based on the model output and habitat use information, loggerheads will be concentrated in continental shelf waters with a higher concentration off of the southern Georgia and Florida coasts.

  - Spring—While the model output shows occurrence of loggerhead turtles from the middle of the South Carolina coast southward extending from inshore waters past the shelf break it is clear that they are distributed along the entire shelf within the OPAREA (Figures C-3-1 and



Figure 3-8. Loggerhead sea turtle nesting locations in the vicinity of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA for which nest density data are available. The mean nest density per year (nests/km/year) at each location is shown in parentheses. Source data: Hopkins and Richardson (1984) and Hopkins-Murphy et al. (2001).

C-3-2). Florida beaches support the second largest nesting aggregation of loggerheads in the world (Meylan et al. 1995; FWRI 2007). The high number of sightings and the predicted concentration along the Florida coast correlates with the spring nesting season which peaks in June on Florida beaches (Meylan et al. 1995; Weishampel et al. 2006). Spring also encompasses the northern migration of loggerheads as water temperatures increase (Hopkins-Murphy et al. 2003; Morreale and Standora 2005). Records of off-effort sightings and bycatch incidents indicate that loggerheads may also be found in or near the Gulf Stream. Loggerheads may occur throughout the OPAREA during this season with a concentration off of the southern Georgia and Florida coasts.

- Summer—Occurrence is predicted throughout the continental shelf and over the shelf break with an apparent concentration along the Florida coast (Figures C-3-1 and C-3-2). Although the Florida loggerhead nesting season peaks in June, nesting continues throughout the summer (Meylan et al. 1995; Weishampel et al. 2006), resulting in the predicted concentration and large number of sightings of loggerheads off of the Florida coast in the OPAREA. Many loggerheads have moved north as SSTs increase to take advantage of forgaing grounds or developmental habitats (Hopkins-Murphy et al. 2003; Morreale and Standora 2005); however, some animals remain in OPAREA waters for reproduction or as part of a resident population. As a result, loggerheads may occur throughout the OPAREA with an expected concentration of occurrence adjacent to the Florida coast.

- Fall—The model output predicts occurrence of loggerhead turtles over the continental shelf throughout the majority of the OPAREA with occurrence extending just past the shelf break in the lower two thirds of the OPAREA (Figures C-3-1 and C-3-2). During the fall, juveniles and adults are typically migrating south from developmental habitats and northern foraging habitats, respectively (Hopkins-Murphy et al. 2003; Morreale and Standora 2005), passing through the northern portions of the OPAREA and settling into the water over the continental shelf in the southern end of the OPAREA as the SSTs drop. Based on the model output and additional sighting and bycatch records seaward of the shelf break, loggerheads may occur throughout the OPAREA with concentrated occurrence in continental shelf waters during this season.

Behavior and Life History—The diet of a loggerhead turtle changes with age and size. The gut contents of post-hatchlings found in masses of *Sargassum* contain parts of *Sargassum*, zooplankton, jellyfish, larval shrimp and crabs, and gastropods (Carr and Meylan 1980; Richardson and McGillivary 1991; Witherington 1994b). Juvenile and subadult loggerhead turtles are omnivorous, foraging on pelagic crabs, mollusks, jellyfish, and vegetation captured at or near the surface (Dodd 1988). Adult loggerheads are generally carnivorous, often choosing to forage on benthic invertebrates (mollusks, crustaceans, and coelenterates), and sometimes fish in nearshore waters (Dodd 1988).

Western Atlantic loggerheads reach sexual maturity between 12 to 30 years in age (Zug et al. 1986; Klinger and Musick 1992). Females typically nest three to five times per season, at about two-week intervals (Dodd 1988; Frazer 1995). Loggerhead clutches contain between 95 and 150 eggs and often take 60 days to incubate. The most common inter-nesting interval is two years (Dodd 1988; Frazer 1995). Most nesting in the U.S. occurs between April and September (NMFS and USFWS 1991a). Seasonal and regional variation in nest environments influences loggerhead hatchling sex and size along the U.S. Atlantic coast (Stokes et al. 2006). Beach and nest incubation temperatures determine the sex of loggerhead hatchlings (Mrosovsky 1980). An equal number of males and females are produced at an approximate temperature of 29.0°C; male hatchlings are produced by cooler temperature while female hatchlings are produced by warmer temperatures (Mrosovsky 1980, 1988). Atlantic loggerhead populations exhibit a female sex-bias, likely due to the predominance of South Florida loggerheads originating from beach temperatures warmer than the northern east coast beaches (TEWG 2000). The male-to-female sex ratio of hatchlings entering the ocean is expected to be 1:6 along the U.S. Atlantic coast (Hopkins-Murphy et al. 2003). In Georgia, South Carolina, and North Carolina, the nesting season spans from mid-May to mid-August (NMFS and USFWS 1991b) although environmental variables such as increased sea surface temperature may shorten the nesting season (Pike et al. 2006). Hatching success rates have been reported for South Carolina (73.4%) and Florida (55.7%) (NMFS and USFWS 1991b).

On average, loggerhead turtles spend over 90% of their time underwater (Byles 1988; Renaud and Carpenter 1994). Dive-depth distributions compiled by Polovina et al. (2003) in the North Pacific Ocean indicate that loggerheads tend to remain at depths shallower than 100 m. Routine dive depths are typically shallower than 30 m, although dives of up to 233 m were recorded for a post-nesting female loggerhead off Japan (Sakamoto et al. 1990). Routine dives typically can last from 4 to 172 min (Byles 1988; Sakamoto et al. 1990; Renaud and Carpenter 1994). However, while hibernating, loggerheads may dive for a period of up to 7 hours, the longest dive duration recorded for a marine vertebrate (Hochscheid et al. 2005). Loggerheads off the U.S. east coast exhibit seasonal differences in surfacing behavior and may vary time spent at the surface throughout the year (Mansfield and Musick 2006). During the winter, individuals may surface as little as 4 to 6 times a day (Hawkes et al. 2007). The maximum known swimming speed for loggerheads is 6 km/hr (Braun and Epperly 1996). Hopkins-Murphy et al. (2003) reported mean swimming speed for directional movement of loggerheads in South Carolina to be 1 to 3 km/hr.

- Green Turtle *(Chelonia mydas)*

Description—The green turtle is the largest hard-shelled sea turtle. Adults commonly reach 100 cm in carapace length and 150 kg in weight (NMFS and USFWS 1991b). Hatchlings are distinctively black on the dorsal surface and white on the ventral. Adult carapaces range in color from solid black to gray, yellow, green, and brown in muted to conspicuous patterns; the plastron is a much lighter yellow to white (NMFS and USFWS 1991b). Green turtles in the Atlantic exhibit a slower growth rate than Pacific green turtles (Bjorndal et al. 2000a). Greens are distinguishable due to four costal lateral scutes on the carapace and a serrated jaw (Wyneken 2001), likely adapted for grazing.

Status—Green turtles are classified as threatened under the ESA, with the Florida and Mexican Pacific Coast nesting populations listed as endangered (NMFS and USFWS 1991b). Population estimates for green turtles are difficult to determine due to the long time that it takes for this species to reach sexual maturity, as well as the difficulty of conducting research on its early life stages (NMFS and USFWS 1991b). From 2001-2005, an average 5,055 green turtles nested in Florida; this estimate suggests Florida to have the second largest green turtle nesting population in the wider Caribbean (Meylan et al. 2006). Recent population estimates for green turtles in the western Atlantic area are not available (NMFS 2006).

Habitat Associations—Post-hatchling and early-juvenile green turtles spend an unknown amount of time in convergence zones in the open ocean (Carr 1987). Carr and Meylan (1980) present direct evidence of hatchlings taking refuge in and around *Sargassum* rafts. Post-hatchlings associating with *Sargassum* or other drift material may be common within surface drift-lines over Atlantic shelf waters near the western Gulf Stream front off Florida (Witherington and Hirama 2006). As early juveniles, such epi-pelagic communities may provide developmental habitats offshore (Witherington and Hirama 2006). However, based upon captive experiments, green turtle post-hatchlings and juveniles spend less time associating with *Sargassum* than other species (Mellgren et al. 1994). The suggested green turtle-*Sargassum* association may be due to the juvenile turtles and *Sargassum* being passively brought together by convergence zones (Carr 1995).

The optimal developmental habitats for late juveniles and foraging adults are warm, shallow waters (3 to 5 m in bottom depth) with an abundance of submerged aquatic vegetation and in close proximity to nearshore reefs or rocky areas (Holloway-Adkins and Provancha 2005; Witherington et al. 2006a). Green turtles may forage in either deep waters or in shallow seagrass beds (Hirth 1997); in Hawaii, green turtles forage in waters as deep as 20 to 50 m (Brill et al. 1995). Along the east coast of Florida, juvenile green turtles use high wave-energy nearshore reef environments as developmental habitats; these areas supported an abundance of macro-algae and were less than 2 m in depth (Holloway-Adkins 2006).

Distribution—Green turtles are distributed worldwide in tropical and subtropical waters and prefer temperatures above 20°C (NMFS and USFWS 1991b). Green turtles found in U.S. waters come from nesting beaches widely scattered throughout the Atlantic (Witherington et al. 2006a). In U.S. Atlantic waters, greens are found around the U.S. Virgin Islands, Puerto Rico, and the continental U.S. from Texas to Massachusetts (NMFS and USFWS 1991b). Juvenile green turtles utilize estuarine waters along the U.S. Atlantic coast as summer developmental habitat, as far north as Long Island Sound, Chesapeake Bay, and North Carolina sounds (Epperly et al. 1995a; Epperly et al. 1995b; Musick and Limpus 1997). The waters off the North Carolina coast serve as important neritic developmental habitat for benthic-stage green turtles, especially Pamlico and Core sounds (Epperly et al. 1995a; Epperly et al. 1995b). Schwartz (1989) found green turtles to be the second most abundant sea turtle species in the state's waters; they are also the second most numerous species incidentally captured by North Carolina's commercial fishermen (Epperly et al. 1995c). A juvenile green turtle, "37190", was released off North Carolina after being caught in a pound net in Core Sound. The turtle traveled south to Florida, likely for overwintering purposes (Figure 3-9). Additionally, this individual was probably using both areas as a developmental habitat (Seaturtle.org 2006). Mosquito Lagoon, Brevard County, Florida represents important feeding habitat for immature green turtles as it supports an abundance of seagrass. Hutchinson Island, Florida contains coastal habitats and also supports important developmental habitat for juvenile green turtles (Ernest et al. 1989). In Florida, smaller juvenile green turtles may use worm-rock reefs as demersal developmental habitat, feeding on various types of algae, sponges, and benthic invertebrates (Guseman and Ehrhart 1990; Bresette et al. 1998; Makowski et al. 2006). As adults, green turtles are restricted to more southern latitudes (Epperly et al. 1995a), and are only occasionally are found north of Florida.

Sea surface temperature is a major factor that often determines the distribution and abundance of green turtles along the U.S. Atlantic coast (Musick and Limpus 1997; Witherington et al. 2006a). Individuals occurring in temperate waters avoid becoming cold-stunned by either moving offshore or toward more southerly latitudes prior to the onset of winter. Cold-stunning usually happens when water temperatures drop to 10°C or below and can result in death if the cold period is extended and/or the temperature drops below 6.5°C. Green turtles lose the ability to dive at 9°C and remain floating horizontally until they either warm up or die (Schwartz 1978). Cold-stunned green turtles have been documented in various areas of the Indian River Lagoon system on the eastern Florida coast, including Mosquito Bay Lagoon, the Indian River, and the Banana River (Schroeder et al. 1989; Witherington and Ehrhart 1989). Green turtles are the most abundant turtle species to exhibit mortality during cold-stunning episodes in the Indian River system (Witherington and Ehrhart 1989).

Most records of individuals found north of Florida are from the warmer part of the year, between late spring and early fall (CETAP 1982; Epperly et al. 1995b) and are late juveniles to subadults (Lazell 1980; Burke et al. 1992; Epperly et al. 1995b). Small numbers of these age classes regularly occur as far north as Long Island, New York (Morreale et al. 1992), from June through October when the waters there are warm enough to support green turtles (Morreale et al. 1992). The highest proportions of green turtles in North Carolina waters are observed in the fall (Epperly et al. 1995b), in conjunction with the southward migration of juvenile greens moving to warmer waters for the winter, although cold-stunning may occur off northeastern Florida as well (Mendonça 1983).

Green turtles nest on both island and continental beaches between 30ºN and 30ºS (Witherington et al. 2006a). The major Atlantic nesting colonies are located at Ascension Island (in the South Atlantic Ocean, about mid-way between South America and Africa), Aves Island (in the Caribbean Sea, about 180 km west of Guadaloupe), and on the beaches of Costa Rica and Suriname (in central and South America, respectively) (NMFS and USFWS 1991b). Most nesting in North America occurs in southern Florida and Mexico (Meylan et al. 1995), with scattered records in the Florida Panhandle, Alabama, Georgia, and the Carolinas (Peterson et al. 1985; Schwartz 1989; NMFS and USFWS 1991b). Green



Figure 3-9. Satellite-tracked movements of a juvenile green turtle along Atlantic coast developmental habitat. "37190," released in Core Sound, NC, traveled south though the CHPT and JAX/CHASN OPAREAs to southern Florida. This individual spent time nearshore, just north of Cape Canaveral, likely utitlizing coastal developmental habitat. Source data: Duke North Atlantic Tracking Program (2006).

turtles rank second behind loggerheads in the number of nests laid on U.S. beaches per year (Dodd 1995; Meylan et al. 1995), and Florida represents the principal nesting site for greens in the continental U.S. (Meylan et al. 2006). Green turtle nesting along the east coast of Florida generally accounts for over 95 percent of nests in the state of Florida (Meylan et al. 1995; FFWCC-FWRI 2007c). Between 1990 and 2006, statewide nesting totals have ranged from 435 nests in 1993 to 9642 in 2005 (FFWCC-FWRI 2007d). Green turtle nesting in North Carolina has been documented at Onslow Beach, Caswell Beach, Bald Head Island, and near Cape Hatteras (Schwartz 1989).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—Green turtles may occur within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA year-round as evidenced primarily by the stranding record (Figures C-4-1 and C-4-2). Year-round resident juvenile green turtles along the Atlantic coast of Florida are found in the Indian River Lagoon as well as Florida Bay/Florida Keys south of the OPAREA (NMFS and USFWS 1991b). During the summer months, juvenile green turtles use developmental habitats outside of the OPAREA and migrate through the OPAREA to reach these habitats in the spring and fall.

    - Winter—The model output predicts occurrence ranging from Florida to southern South Carolina in shelf waters during the winter (Figures C-4-1 and C-4-2). All documented sightings within the OPAREA occur within shelf waters that are 50 m or less (Figure C-4-2), which is consistent with previously documented green turtle distribution (Fritts et al. 1983a; Brill et al. 1995). Green turtles are generally not found north of the OPAREA in the winter as SSTs north of the OPAREA linger just around the threshold for cold-stunning (10°C; Figure 2-7). During the winter, the highest concentration of green turtles occurs in the southern end of the OPERA, just north of Cape Canaveral, FL, a known overwintering area for juveniles (Schroeder et al. 1989) (Figure C-4-1). Green turtles may occur in shelf waters throughout the OPAREA with an expected concentration off of the Florida coast.

    - Spring—During the spring, many juvenile greens migrate north to developmental habitats along the coast of Delaware and New Jersey (Figure C-4-1). Low survey effort in the OPAREA for this season, particularly in the shelf waters of northern South Carolina and North Carolina, may account for the lack of sighting data. However, sighting and stranding records indicate the presence of green turtles in the vicinity of the OPAREA. Green turtles may occur in shelf waters throughout the OPAREA during this season.

    - Summer—The model output does not predict any green turtle occurrence in the OPAREA (Figures C-4-1 and C-4-2). Low survey effort during this season may account for the lack of sighting records within the OPAREA. However, there are many green turtle stranding records as well as nesting events inshore and just north of the OPAREA boundaries suggesting that green turtles may occur in the shelf waters off Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, and North Carolina during this season (C-4-2). Juvenile green turtles are also known to inhabit limited home ranges south of the OPAREA from Indian River Lagoon to Key Biscayne along worm-rock reefs (Guseman and Ehrhart 1990; Ehrhart 1992; Avens and Lohmann 2004).

    - Fall—During the fall, sea turtles begin to migrate south as northern SSTs start to decline towards cold-stunning or lethal temperatures. Juvenile greens may be overwintering in the Gulf Stream or moving to warmer waters during southward migration as evidenced by predicted concentrations of greens off of the southern U.S. (Figure C-4-1). An occurrence of green turtles predicted by the model output is located in the southern shelf waters of the OPAREA (Figures C-4-1 and C-4-2). These turtles are most likely entering their overwintering habitats. The number of stranding records along the coast of Florida are higher in this season, possibly suggesting an increase of green turtle numbers in the OPAREA (Figure C-4-2). Green turtles may occur throughout the OPAREA inshore of the shelf break during this season.

Behavior and Life History—Late juvenile and adult green turtles feed primarily on seagrasses (e.g., turtle grass, manatee grass, shoal grass, and eelgrass), marine algae, and reef-associated organisms (Burke et al. 1992; Bjorndal 1997). Post-hatchlings and early juveniles are more omnivorous, feeding on a variety of algae, invertebrates, and small fishes (Bjorndal 1985; Musick and Limpus 1997). Recent studies suggest a shift from a primarily carnivorous diet to a herbivorous diet as juveniles recruit from oceanic to neritic habitats (Reich and Worthy 2006). Observations of foraging adult green turtles in Hawaiian waters suggest that when benthic age classes feed, they generally lie down on the sea bottom and then crawl or move to a nearby site when food is no longer within easy reach (Hochscheid et al. 1999). Along the eastern U.S. coast, green turtles forage in developmental habitats on various species of seagrass and algae (Bjorndal 1997; Musick and Limpus 1997; Holloway-Adkins 2006). The majority of green turtle diet in the central to southeastern Florida is composed of the seagrasses Syringodium filiforme, Halodule wrightii, and Halophila spp., although juvenile green turtles associated with near-shore reefs may graze upon red, green, and brown algae (Bresette et al. 1998; Makowski et al. 2006). Off Palm Beach, Florida, Makowksi et al. (2006) found juvenile green turtles to forage continuously during daylight hours.

Green turtles attain sexual maturity at 27 to 50 years, the longest age to maturity for any sea turtle species (Frazer and Ehrhart 1985). Approximate size of nesting females in Florida is 101.5 cm SCL and 136.1 kg body mass (NMFS and USFWS 1991b). Females nest from one to seven times in a season (two to three is typical) at approximately two-week intervals, and reproduce every two to four years (NMFS and USFWS 1991b). Females remain in close proximity to their nesting beaches during inter-nesting intervals within the nesting season (Meylan et al. 1995). Between 110 and 145 eggs are laid at a time; the incubation period is 50 to 60 days. Females exhibit strong site fidelity to nesting beaches (Miller 1997). Nesting along the U.S. Atlantic coast takes place between June and August (Hirth 1997).

Green turtle diving behavior is likely influenced by the age class of the individual and depth of prey assemblages (Salmon et al. 2004). Adults dive deeper and slightly longer than juveniles, whose depths are generally shallow (< 6 m) and shorter in duration (Salmon et al. 2004). Adult green turtles typically dive shallower than 30 m (Hochscheid et al. 1999; Hays et al. 2000); however, a maximum dive depth of 110 m was recorded in the Pacific Ocean (Berkson 1967; Hochscheid et al. 1999; Hays et al. 2000). In the eastern Pacific Ocean, green turtles have been observed at depths of 73 to 110 m (Berkson 1967), and in 1997, a maximum dive depth of 164.5 m was recorded for a post-nesting female from Japan's Ogasawara Islands (Matsuzawa 2005). The maximum dive time recorded for a juvenile green turtle around the Hawaiian Islands is 66 min, with routine dives ranging from 9 to 23 min (Brill et al. 1995). Near southeastern Florida worm-rock reefs, juvenile green turtles exhibited deeper dives during the night (5.59 $\pm$ 0.09m) than during the day (3.20 $\pm$ 1.26m) and dove more frequently during daylight hours (Makowski et al. 2006). Individuals also differed in dive profile type between diurnal and nocturnal periods, displaying V-shaped active dives during the day and U-shaped resting dives at night (Makowski et al. 2006). Juvenile green turtles may also alter their diving behavior seasonally, spending significantly more time in shallow water (<1 m) and diving for longer periods of time (Southwood et al. 2003). In addition, individuals may remain at the surface for longer periods of time during the winter than summer, likely due to physiological needs such as thermoregulation (Southwood et al. 2003).

- Hawksbill Turtle *(Eretmochelys imbricata)*

Description—The hawksbill turtle is a small to medium-sized sea turtle. Adults typically weigh around 80 kg with carapace length ranging from 65 to 90 cm (Witzell 1983; NMFS and USFWS 1993). Hawksbills are distinguished by their hawk-like beaks, posteriorly overlapping carapace scutes, and two pairs of claws on their flippers (NMFS and USFWS 1993). The carapace is often brown or amber with irregularly radiating streaks of yellow, orange, black, and reddish-brown.

Status—Hawksbill turtles are listed as endangered under the ESA and are second only to Kemp's ridleys in terms of endangerment (NMFS and USFWS 1993; Bass 1994). The most recent estimate of hawksbill abundance in the Atlantic Ocean was 3,072 to 5,603 nesting females (this number is compiled from historical and recent estimates of nesting colonies from around the Atlantic basin (NMFS and USFWS 2007b). Declines in nesting females have been recorded at some nesting beaches while increases have been recorded at others (NMFS and USFWS 2007b). Critical habitat for the hawksbill sea turtle includes the waters surrounding Mona Island, Puerto Rico out to 3 NM (5.6 km) (NMFS 1998).

Habitat Associations—Early juveniles are known to inhabit oceanic waters where they are sometimes associated with drift lines and floating patches of *Sargassum* (NMFS and USFWS 1993; Parker 1995). Hawksbills recruit to benthic foraging grounds when they are 20 to 25 cm in length (NMFS and USFWS 1993). The developmental habitats for juvenile benthic-stage hawksbills are the same as the primary feeding grounds for adults. These include tropical, nearshore waters associated with coral reefs, hard bottoms, or estuaries with mangroves (Musick and Limpus 1997). Shallow seagrass beds may also serve as important developmental habitats for late juvenile hawksbills (Diez et al. 2003).

Coral reefs are recognized as optimal habitat for juvenile, sub-adult, and adult hawksbills (NMFS and USFWS 1993; Diez et al. 2003). Preference for these habitats is likely related to the presence of sponges, a favored prey item which comprises as much as 95% of their diet (NMFS and USFWS 1993; Diez et al. 2003). Ledges, caves, and root systems, often interspersed among these habitats, provide hawksbills refuge and shelter (NMFS and USFWS 1993). Sparse hard-bottom communities, cliff-wall habitats with soft corals and invertebrates are also considered important developmental habitat (Diez et al. 2003).

Hawksbills prefer alternate sites for resting and foraging. Resting sites tend to be of greater depths than foraging areas, although bottom topography influences site selection (Houghton et al. 2003). Late juveniles generally reside on shallow reefs less than 18 m deep. However, as they mature into adults, hawksbills move to deeper habitats and may forage to depths greater than 90 m. Benthic-stage hawksbills are seldom found in waters beyond the continental or insular shelf, unless they are in transit between distant foraging or nesting grounds (NMFS and USFWS 1993).

Distribution—Hawksbill turtles are circum-tropical in distribution, generally occurring from 30°N to 30°S within the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian oceans (Witzell 1983). In the western North Atlantic Ocean, this species is found throughout the Gulf of Mexico, the Greater and Lesser Antilles, southern Florida, and along the mainland of Central America south to Brazil (NMFS and USFWS 1993). Juvenile and adult hawksbills are regularly found in the Gulf of Mexico, the Caribbean Sea, and along the Atlantic coast of southern Florida (Witzell 1983; NMFS and USFWS 1993). Major foraging populations in U.S. waters occur in the vicinity of the coral reefs surrounding Mona Island, Puerto Rico and Buck Island, St, Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands (Van Dam and Diez 1996; Starbird et al. 1999). Smaller populations of hawksbills reside in the hard bottom habitats that surround the Florida Keys and other small islands in Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands (Witzell 1983; NMFS and USFWS 1993).

The hawksbill is rare north of Florida (Plotkin 1995). Morreale et al. (1989) recorded a hawksbill specimen in the Long Island Sound, and Parker (1995) documented several sightings of juveniles and “lost year” hatchlings off the coasts of Massachusetts, Virginia, North Carolina, and Georgia. There are four other published records of hawksbills in North Carolina waters, including one 20 miles east of Oregon Inlet (Lee and Palmer 1981). Unpublished reports include a young hawksbill stranding cold-stunned on the Outer Banks of North Carolina in 2001 (Mazzarella 2001) and a yearling hawksbill stranding near the North Carolina/Virginia border in 2003 (Godfrey 2003). In 1990, a hawksbill was captured in Virginia at the mouth of the James River (Keinath et al. 1991), and in 2000, another individual stranded live at Virginia Beach (USFWS 2001). Sightings of juvenile stage hawksbills are documented off Sapelo Island and Savannah, Georgia (Parker 1995). Parker (1995) suggested the thick rafts of *Sargassum* that appear 30-42 NM offshore the Georgia coast from May through July may increase sightings of hawksbills in this area during this time of year.

Hawksbills were originally thought to be a non-migratory species due to the close proximity of suitable nesting beaches to coral reef feeding habitats and high rates of local recaptures. However, individuals are now known to travel long distances over the course of their lives (Meylan 1999) mainly between nesting and foraging areas. A subadult tagged in Sueste Bay on the archipelago of Fernando de Noronha, Brazil and captured at Cap Esterias, Gabon represents the longest documented movements for this species – a straight line distance of 4,669 km (Bellini et al. 2000). The 1,600 km journey of a post-nesting female, traveling between Santa Isabel Island, Soloman Islands and Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea is also noteworthy (Meylan 1995). Tag return, genetic, and telemetry studies indicate that individuals in the Caribbean utilize multiple developmental habitats as they progress from one age class to another. Developmental habitats typically include shallow (<20 m) coral reefs and estuaries with mangroves (Musick and Limpus 1997). Within a given life stage, such as the later juvenile stage, some hawksbills might develop long-term residency within a specific developmental habitat for a period of time (Meylan 1999). For example, in February 1985, a benthic-stage juvenile was captured from the coastal waters surrounding an islet in the southern Ryukyu Islands. A year and a half later, the same individual was recaptured in a lagoon only 9 km away from its original capture site (Kamezaki 1987).

Hawksbill turtles prefer to nest on the same tropical high-energy beaches as green turtles. Although hawksbills exhibit a wide tolerance for nesting substrate type, they prefer undisturbed, deep-sand beaches underneath vegetative cover (NMFS and USFWS 1993; Comer 2002). The hawksbill's small size and agility allows it to access nesting sites atop narrow and steeply sloped beaches as well as across fringing reefs, areas that are rarely accessible to other sea turtle species (NMFS and USFWS 1993; Comer 2002). The largest nesting aggregation in the Caribbean occurs along the Yucatán Peninsula, Mexico (NMFS and USFWS 1993). Other small, yet important, nesting assemblages are found in Belize, Nicaragua, Panama, Venezuela, Cuba, Antigua, and the Grenadines (NMFS and USFWS 1993). Within the continental U.S., hawksbill nesting is restricted to beaches in southern Florida and the Florida Keys, although even there it is extremely rare (Dodd 1995). Nesting is documented at Jupiter Island, Biscayne National Monument, and the Canaveral National Seashore on the eastern Florida coast (Lund 1985).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—Although rare, hawksbills may occur within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA at any time during the year (Figure C-5). Based on sighting, stranding, and bycatch data, hawksbills may occur throughout the OPAREA. The majority of animals stranded or sighted in or near the OPAREA are immature (Meylan 1992; Parker 1995). The hawksbill is a tropical species and is more likely to be found along the southern portion of Florida (NMFS 2007; Meylan and Redlow 2006); however a recent hypothesis suggests that the Florida current and the Gulf Stream may represent a dispersal corridor for Caribbean and Gulf region post-hatchlings (Meylan and Redlow 2006).

Behavior and Life History—Early juveniles are believed to occur in areas of advection where flotsam accumulates, yet little is known about their diets during this stage (Witzell 1983). *Sargassum* and floating debris have been found in the stomachs of stranded post-hatchlings (NMFS and USFWS 1993). Hawksbills are considered to be omnivorous during the later juvenile stage, feeding on encrusting organisms such as sponges, tunicates, bryozoans, algae, mollusks, and a variety of other items such as crustaceans and jellyfish (Bjorndal 1997). Older juveniles and adults are more specialized and feed primarily on sponges. Adult hawksbills are more specialized, feeding primarily on sponges, which comprise as much as 95% of their diet in some locations (Witzell 1983; Meylan 1988). Hawksbills serve a vital role in reef ecosystems as they feed on organisms that compete with coral reefs for space (León and Bjorndal 2002).

Hawksbills may have one of the longest routine dive times of all the sea turtles. Starbird et al. (1999) reported that inter-nesting females at Buck Island, USVI averaged 56.1 min dives with a maximum dive time of 73.5 min. Mean surface time was about 2 min. Mean dives during the day ranged from 34 to 65 min, while those at night were between 42 and 74 min. The movements of all the turtles studied were confined to an area less than 1.5 km^2. Foraging dives of immature hawksbills in Puerto Rico range from 8.6 to 14 min in duration and have a mean depth of 4.7 m (Van Dam and Diez 1996).

These individuals were found to be most active during the day and mostly inactive at night. This study, in combination with a more recent habitat utilization study in the Seychelles, indicates that juvenile hawksbills display alternating patterns of short, shallow foraging dives followed by deeper, longer resting dives (Van Dam and Diez 1996; Houghton et al. 2003).

Hawksbill turtles often nest in multiple, small, scattered colonies with mating activities believed to take place in the shallow waters adjacent to the nesting beach. Much of what is known about hawksbill nesting has been learned from studies at rookeries in the Caribbean Sea, Indian Ocean, and more tropical areas of the western Pacific Ocean. The nesting season of hawksbills is the longest of all sea turtles. Nesting primarily takes places from May through August in the western North Atlantic (Witzell 1983). At tropical latitudes, nesting is most often nocturnal on beaches with sufficient vegetative cover. A female nests an average four to five times per season with an inter-nesting interval of about 14 days (NMFS and USFWS 1993). The typical remigration interval is two to three years. Clutch sizes are relatively large at approximately 140 eggs in the U.S. and Caribbean, although nests with greater than 200 eggs have been recorded (NMFS and USFWS 1993). Incubation time is approximately 60 days (NMFS and USFWS 1993). Hawksbills exhibit strong philopatry for nesting beaches and return to specific beach areas (NMFS and USFWS 1993). Mating is believed to take place in the waters adjacent to the nesting beach.

- Kemp's Ridley *(Lepidochelys kempii)*

Description—The Kemp's ridley is the smallest living sea turtle. An adult has an SCL of approximately 65 cm and weighs less than 45 kg (USFWS and NMFS 1992). The carapace is round to somewhat heart-shaped and distinctly light gray.

Status—The Kemp's ridley turtle is classified as endangered under the ESA and is considered the world's most endangered sea turtle (USFWS and NMFS 1992). The worldwide population declined from tens of thousands of nesting females in the late 1940s to approximately 300 nesting females in 1985 (TEWG 2000). From 1985 to 1999, the number of nests at Rancho Nuevo (eastern coast of Mexico) increased at a mean rate of 11.3% per year (TEWG 2000). Approximately 5,373 nests and 2,339 nesting females were recorded at Rancho Nuevo in 2003. However, these numbers represent a 94% decrease from historical records (Márquez-M. et al. 2005). In 2005, 6,947 nests were recorded in Rancho Nuevo (USFWS 2005). Positive trends in 2005 were also recorded in other areas of the Mexican Gulf Coast at Barra del Tordo (701 nests) and Barra de Tepehuajes (1,610 nests). Nests at Veracruz decreased from 164 nests in 2002 to 62 nests in 2005 (USFWS 2005). Nesting levels at Padre Island National Seashore in Texas, the site of a Kemp's ridley head-starting and imprinting program from 1978 to 1988, have shown a slow but steady rise throughout time. During 2002, 38 Kemp's ridley nests were recorded, as opposed to 13 nests in 1998 and 16 nests in 1999 (Márquez-M. et al. 2005). In 2006, 64 nests were recorded there (NPS 2006). There are an estimated 3,900 to 8,100 juvenile Kemp's ridleys that utilize developmental habitats annually along the western North Atlantic coast (Seney and Musick 2005); adults in that region number in the hundreds (Keinath et al. 1994).

Habitat Associations—Kemp's ridley turtles occur in open-ocean and *Sargassum* habitats of the North Atlantic Ocean as post-hatchlings and small juveniles (e.g., Manzella et al. 1991). They move as large juveniles and adults to benthic, nearshore feeding grounds along the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf coasts (Morreale and Standora 2005). Henwood (1987) and Gitschlag (1996) documented sightings and movements of juveniles within and among preferred habitats along both the Atlantic and Gulf coasts. Habitats frequently utilized include warm-temperate to subtropical sounds, bays, estuaries, tidal passes, shipping channels, and beachfront waters where preferred food, including the blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus)*, occurs (Lutcavage and Musick 1985; Landry and Costa 1999; Seney and Musick 2005).

Along the Atlantic Coast, known feeding areas include Cape Cod Bay, Long Island Sound, Chesapeake Bay, and the bays and sounds from North Carolina south (Lazell 1980; Lee and Palmer

1981; Lutcavage and Musick 1985; Barnard et al. 1989; Weber 1995). In the Gulf of Mexico, the western coast of Florida (particularly the Cedar Keys area), the eastern coast of Alabama, the mouth of the Mississippi River, and the coastal waters off western Louisiana and eastern Texas are identified as important developmental regions for the Kemp's ridley (USFWS and NMFS 1990; 1992; Marquez-M. 1994; Schmid et al. 2002). Renaud (1995) indicated that adult Kemp's ridley turtles may travel along the entire U.S. Gulf Coast while looking for an optimal foraging habitat.

The most suitable habitats for Kemp's ridleys are less than 10 m in bottom depth with sea surface temperatures between 22° and 32°C (Coyne et al. 2000). The habitat suitability for Kemp's ridleys within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity varies seasonally (Figures 3-10; 3-11; 3-12). From May to October, coastal areas exhibit habitat factors most suitable for Kemp's ridleys (Figures 3-11; 3-12). High areas of suitable habitat are also found within the OPAREA, inshore and along the shelf break, during this time as well as during the months of November and December (Figure 3-12). From January to April, areas within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA are less suitable for Kemp's ridley sea turtles with sea surface temperatures beyond the known Kemp's ridley preferences (Figure 3-10).

Distribution—The Kemp's ridley is restricted to the North Atlantic Ocean (Marquez-M. 1994). Individuals occur primarily in the Gulf of Mexico and in moderate numbers along the eastern U.S. coast as far north as Nova Scotia (Lazell 1980; Morreale et al. 1992). Isolated occurrences are also noted for the eastern North Atlantic Ocean, Caribbean, and the Mediterranean region (Brongersma 1995; Tomás et al. 2003; Renaud and Williams 2005). Turtles that occupy the northern part of the range are mostly juveniles (Keinath et al. 1987; Morreale and Standora 2005), due to the presence of important developmental habitats along the U.S. Atlantic Coast.

Oceanic transport of hatchling Kemp's ridleys is controlled primarily by hydrography in the Gulf of Mexico (Collard 1990). Upon leaving the nesting beach of Rancho Nuevo, hatchling Kemp's ridleys enter the Mexican Current, and are swept eastward into the northern Gulf of Mexico (Musick and Limpus 1997). Many juveniles are retained in the northern Gulf until they migrate inshore to demersal habitats. Others may be carried south from the northern Gulf into the Loop Current, where they are swept into the Florida Current and, subsequently, the Gulf Stream (Musick and Limpus 1997). Once they reach a size of approximately 20 to 30 cm SCL, or 2 years of age, they actively migrate to neritic developmental habitats along the U.S. Atlantic Coast (Musick and Limpus 1997). Alternatively, the North Atlantic Gyre may work in conjunction with the Gulf Stream to carry juveniles into the eastern North Atlantic Ocean, to areas such as the Azores and Madeira (Brongersma 1995; Musick and Limpus 1997).

Coastal bays and estuaries along the U.S. Atlantic Coast are important developmental habitats (Morreale and Standora 2005). Kemp's ridleys utilize developmental habitats in North Carolina, South Carolina, and Georgia from April through October (Morreale and Standora 2005) and are present in Florida waters year-round. Some Kemp's ridley juveniles may migrate as far north as New York and New England, arriving in these areas around June (Morreale and Standora 2005). During the winter, they are prompted by cooler water temperatures to leave northern developmental habitats and migrate south to warmer waters in Florida (Marquez-M. 1994). Migrations tend to take place nearshore, along the mid-Atlantic coast (Morreale and Standora 2005). In the Gulf of Mexico, the western coast of Florida (particularly the Cedar Keys area), the eastern coast of Alabama, the mouth of the Mississippi River, and the coastal waters off western Louisiana and eastern Texas have also been identified as important developmental regions for the Kemp's ridley (Márquez-M. 1990; USFWS and NMFS 1992; 1994; Schmid et al. 2002).

Adults appear to remain in the Gulf of Mexico, with occasional occurrences in the Atlantic. Satellite tracking results of an adult Kemp's ridley of unknown sex showed a travel route from the Gulf of Mexico through the Florida Straits and into the Atlantic Ocean (Renaud and Williams 2005). Adult females in the Gulf of Mexico movements are expected to be more extensive than those of males,



Figure 3-10. The habitat suitability index of waters in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity for the kemp's ridley sea turtle from January to April. Source maps (scanned): Coyne et al. (1998), used with primary author's permission.



Figure 3-11. The habitat suitability index of waters in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity for the kemp's ridley sea turtle from May to August. Source maps (scanned): Coyne et al. (1998), used with primary author's permission.



Figure 3-12. The habitat suitability index of waters in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity for the kemp's ridley sea turtle from September to December. Source maps (scanned): Coyne et al. (1998), used with primary author's permission.

and likely influenced by foraging and reproductive needs; Renaud and Williams (2005) tracked one adult female from her foraging grounds offshore Louisiana to the nesting beach in Rancho Nuevo, Mexico. Adult male Kemp's ridleys exhibit small range movements and may reside offshore nesting beaches year-round due to prey availability and mating opportunities (Shaver et al. 2005).

Environmental conditions play a major role in determining the number of Kemp's ridleys in an area. A decrease in air and surface water temperatures in the fall, influenced by the passage of cold fronts, likely triggers Kemp's ridley seasonal migrations (Renaud and Williams 2005). Temperature is a limiting factor in their distribution; in temperatures less than 13°C they tend to float, make awkward movements (Marquez-M. 1994), and may even die of cold-stunning (Burke et al. 1991). During the winter months, individuals along the U.S. Atlantic Coast leave northern developmental habitats and migrate south to warmer waters in Florida (Marquez-M. 1994). Kemp's ridleys were found to have a lower tolerance to cold temperatures than other sea turtle species, such as loggerheads, withstanding cold waters in Cape Cod Bay for a lesser amount of time. The cold-stunning period for Kemp's ridleys is between 9 November and 20 December (Still et al. 2005). In the spring, juveniles, and occasionally adults, migrate north from overwintering grounds in the southeastern U.S. as water temperatures increase, in order to use developmental habitats spanning the coastline (Henwood and Ogren 1987). Kemp's ridleys appear in waters off North Carolina from April through October and in Virginia in May through November (Morreale and Standora 2005). Some juveniles may migrate as far north as New York and New England, arriving in these areas around June and leaving to travel south in early October (Morreale and Standora 2005). Adults in the Gulf of Mexico also respond to changing water temperatures.

Individuals are known to overwinter in areas south of Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, although the majority of Kemp's ridleys stay in Florida near Cape Canaveral (Henwood and Ogren 1987; Renaud 1995; Morreale and Standora 2005). Overwintering individuals may occasionally bury in the mud to hibernate (Marquez-M. 1994), although this behavior is yet to be confirmed in areas such as Cape Canaveral, Florida and Cape Lookout, North Carolina (Schwartz 1989). Kemp's ridleys may be found in high concentrations, at this time of year in Onslow Bay, North Carolina, as far as 100 km offshore (Morreale and Standora 2005). Individuals that overwinter in southern North Carolina may subsequently move into warmer waters, such as the Gulf Stream or areas off South Carolina during mid-winter. However, return trips to the coast from these locations have not been documented (Renaud 1995; Morreale and Standora 2005). For example, an individual tagged in Beaufort, North Carolina in 1989 was tracked to stay the winter in Onslow Bay, North Carolina, and subsequently moved into the Gulf Stream when temperatures cooled close to shore in January 1990 (Renaud 1995). Seasonal movements continue until turtles reach sexual maturity, at which time, they return to breeding grounds in the Gulf of Mexico (Henwood and Ogren 1987).

Migrations tend to take place in nearshore waters along the mid-Atlantic Coast (Morreale and Standora 2005). Juvenile and adult Kemp's ridleys typically travel inshore of the 18 m isobath (Renaud and Williams 2005). Concentrations of Kemp's ridleys increase during fall and spring migrations, especially near Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, where the migration corridor becomes constricted (Morreale and Standora 2005). This migratory corridor is a narrow band running within continental shelf waters, possibly spanning the entire length of the U.S. Atlantic Coast (Morreale and Standora 2005).

Nesting occurs primarily on a single nesting beach at Rancho Nuevo on the eastern coast of Mexico (USFWS and NMFS 1992), with a few additional nests in Texas, Florida, South Carolina, and North Carolina (Meylan et al. 1990; Weber 1995; CCC 1996; Foote and Mueller 2002). The first successful nesting on the east coast of Florida occurred in 1996 just south of Daytona Beach in Volusia County (Godfrey 1996). Additional nesting attempts have been recorded in Palm Beach County and on the west coast of Florida (Meylan et al. 1990; Godfrey 1996). In June 2003, the National Park Service (NPS) documented a female Kemp's ridley nesting at Cape Lookout National Seashore in North Carolina (NPS 2003). In 1978, a head-start program was initiated on South Padre Island, Texas, in order to establish a nesting beach. Between 1978 and 2002, approximately 28,456 hatchlings were captive-reared and released from South Padre Island (Márquez-M. et al. 2005). Since 1998, adult

Kemp's ridleys have been nesting in small, but steadily increasing, numbers at this beach as well (Márquez-M. et al. 2005).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—Kemp's ridleys occur within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA year-round. Water temperature is an influential factor in the occurrence and distribution of Kemp's ridleys within the OPAREA. Additionally, increased survey efforts due to North Atlantic right whale surveys in the late fall and winter seasons greatly increase the number of sightings recorded during those seasons. Although not represented by the model output or sighting record, Kemp's ridley hatchlings may occur offshore seaward of shelf break near the Gulf Stream in *Sargassum* and older animals, sub-adults and adults, may be found in the warm Gulf Stream waters during the colder months. Lack of survey effort in this area as well as the difficulty in sighting hatchlings contribute to this occurrence pattern not being completely represented by the model output (Figures C-6-1 and C-6-2).

  - Winter—Occurrence is predicted in the OPAREA throughout shelf waters (Figures C-6-1 and C6-2). Additionally, although not predicted by the model, the Gulf stream creates a suitable warm water habitat (Figure 3-10) for the temperature driven species distribution (Marquez-M. 1994) following the path of the Gulf Stream east of the shelf break. Kemp's ridleys may occur seaward of the shelf break, within the warmer waters of the Gulf Stream. The concentration of sightings in the southern half of the OPAREA is likely indicative of the elevated survey effort associated with the North Atlantic right whale calving ground; as reflected in the SPUE model output.
  - Spring—The model output predicts no occurrence for this species in the OPAREA due to the lack of sighting data (Figures C-6-1 and C-6-2). However, many strandings are recorded along the entire coast bordering the OPAREA, which support the likelihood of Kemp's ridley occurrence in waters off Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, and North Carolina during this season. Kemp's ridleys have also been recorded nesting on the beaches adjacent to the OPAREA (Johnson et al. 1999; Williams et al. 2006; SCDNR 2007). Kemp's ridley turtles are expected to occur inshore of the shelf break and may occur just seaward of the shelf break along the path of the Gulf Stream.
  - Summer—The model output predicts a concentrated occurrence of Kemp's ridley turtles in the southern end of the OPAREA (Figures C-6-1 and C-6-2). The area of occurrence came from a single day survey effort in the area, and is not necessarily indicative of an area of high concentration in general for this season. Many stranding records inshore of the OPAREA boundary support the likelihood of occurrence of Kemp's ridleys. Based on the stranding records and the known habitat preference of the species, Kemp's ridleys may occur inshore of the shelf break during this season.
  - Fall—The model output predicts occurrence along the nearshore area in the southern half of the OPAREA (Figures C-6-1 and C-6-2). The aggregation of sightings along the Florida and Georgia coast is likely reflective of intensive North Atlantic right whale survey efforts that begin towards the end of the fall season. Although occurrence is predicted only in the lower portion of the OPAREA, records of strandings extending to the north end of the OPAREA (Figure C6-2) and known habitat preferences of the Kemp's ridley turtle, suggest that Kemp's ridelys may occur inshore of the shelf break during this season.

Behavior and Life History—Kemp's ridley turtles feed primarily on portunids and other types of crabs, but are also known to prey on mollusks, shrimp, fish, and plant material (Marquez-M. 1994). Blue crabs and spider crabs (*Libinia* spp.) are important prey species for the Kemp's ridley in Virginia waters (Lutcavage and Musick 1985; Keinath et al. 1987; Seney and Musick 2005). This species may also feed on shrimp fishery bycatch (Landry and Costa 1999).

Satellite-tagged juveniles demonstrate different mean surface intervals and dive depths depending on whether the individual is located in shallow, coastal areas (short surface intervals), or in deeper, offshore areas (longer surface intervals). Dive times range from a few seconds to a maximum of 167 min, with routine dives lasting between 16.7 and 33.7 min (Mendonça and

Pritchard 1986; Renaud 1995). Dive times may vary by turtle size as well, ranging from a mean of 5.6 min for small turtles to 33.4 min for large turtles (Renaud and Williams 2005). Kemp's ridleys may stay submerged between 92 and 96% of the time (Byles 1989; Renaud and Williams 2005). In the Cedar Keys, FL, the mean submergence duration was found to be approximately 8.4 minutes (Schmid et al. 2002), although submergence durations may vary seasonally. Renaud and Williams (2005) found Kemp's ridleys to submerge longer during the winter (>30 min) than the rest of the year (<15 min), possibly due to thermoregulation needs. Kemp's ridleys may travel a mean distance ranging between 8.8 and 26.6 km/day (Renaud and Williams 2005).

Kemp's ridleys reach sexual maturity between 10 and 20 years with an average length of 60 cm CCL (Shaver et al. 2005). Unlike all other species of sea turtle except the olive ridley, the Kemp's ridley is known for nesting en masse during daylight hours. This type of nesting activity is known as an arribada (Spanish for "arrival"). During an arribada, hundreds of breeding turtles congregate in the waters in front of the nesting beach and then emerge from the sea in unison (Márquez-M. 1990; Weber 1995; Witzell et al. 2005). The peak of the nesting season occurs between mid-April and mid-July (Rostal 2005). Individuals nest approximately every two years (Rostal 2005). A typical female produces about three clutches averaging 110 eggs at 20 to 28 day intervals (Miller 1997), although larger turtles may produce larger clutches (Witzell et al. 2005). Incubation time from deposition to emergence is 46 to 57 days (Witzell et al. 2005).

- Olive Ridley Turtle *(Lepidochelys olivacea)*

Description—The olive ridley is a small, hard-shelled sea turtle named for its olive green colored shell. Adults often measure between 60 and 70 cm in carapace length and rarely weigh over 50 kg (NMFS and USFWS 1998). The carapace of an olive ridley turtle is wide and almost circular in shape. The olive ridley differs from the Kemp's ridley, the other member of the genus *Lepidochelys*, in that it possesses a smaller head, a narrower carapace, and several more lateral carapace scutes (NMFS and USFWS 1998).

Status—Olive ridleys are listed as threatened under the ESA, although the Mexican Pacific nesting stocks are endangered. Since its listing, there has been a general decline in abundance of this species (NMFS and USFWS 1998). Nesting populations in the western North Atlantic have declined more than 80% since 1967 (Reichart 1993). Despite these listings and recent declines, the olive ridley is considered the most abundant of the world's sea turtles, in terms of absolute numbers, although it may be considered the rarest sea turtle in the Western Atlantic Ocean (Reichart 1993).

Habitat Associations—There is little information available on the habitat preferences of olive ridley turtles in the western Atlantic Ocean, although Marcovaldi (2001) indicates they occur primarily in coastal habitats with some individuals ranging further offshore. An olive ridley satellite tagged and released off Andros in the Bahamas exhibited preferences for shallow areas (<200 m) near the coast of western Andros and homogenous habitat (Bolten and Bjorndal 2006). Bolten and Bjorndal (2006) suggested the invertebrate fauna and soft-bottom areas of this region may provide good forging habitat for olive ridleys.

Additional information regarding olive ridley habitat preferences is derived from Pacific Ocean populations. Pacific olive ridleys typically reside in oceanic habitats, foraging either at the surface or at depth. These habitats often consist of a warm surface layer and a deep thermocline, as well as lack strong horizontal temperature gradients and physical or biological fronts (Polovina et al. 2003). Shallow benthic waters may serve as foraging grounds for olive ridleys (Bjorndal 1997). Preferred water temperatures for olive ridleys in the North Pacific Ocean range from 23° to 28°C (Polovina et al. 2004).

Distribution—The olive ridley is a pantropical species, occurring worldwide in tropical and warm temperate waters. In the Atlantic Ocean, the olive ridley occurs mainly along the west coast of Africa, from Senegal to Angola (Brongersma 1995) and along the eastern coast of South America, from

Venezuela to Brazil (Reichart 1993). Although rarely found north of Trinidad, olive ridley sightings have been documented off Cuba, Jamaica, the Dominican Republic, Puerto Rico, Florida, and the Bahamas (Carr et al. 1982; Horta et al. 2000; Moncada-G. et al. 2000; Foley et al. 2003), suggesting the range of olive ridleys may be expanding northward (Bolten and Bjorndal 2006). Adult foraging grounds are located in Venezuela and Trinidad (Reichart 1993). In the Caribbean, foraging adults have been observed foraging in the Dominican Republic, Puerto Rico, and the U.S.V.I. (Reichart 1993).

There are four known records of olive ridley turtles in Cuban waters. Individual olive ridleys have been captured in fishing nets set for sea turtles at Vita Bay, Holguin and Cayo Guajaba, Nuevitas, both located off the northeast coast of Cuba. An additional capture was reported by Varona (Moncada-G. et al. 2000) in the waters off Cienfuegos in southern Cuba. In 1999, another olive ridley was captured off Baconao, a small town off Cuba's southeast coast between Santiago de Cuba and Guantánamo (Moncada 2006). An olive ridley occurrence has also been recorded off the northeast coast of Jamaica. All of these occurrences are believed to be the result of individuals wandering or drifting beyond their normal range (Moncada-G. et al. 2000).

The largest olive ridley nesting aggregation occurs in the Indian Ocean in Orissa, along the northeast coast of India (Shanker et al. 2003), although small and moderate sized nesting aggregations are documented in the western Atlantic Ocean (NMFS and USFWS 1998). Regular nesting activity in the western Atlantic takes place in Guyana, Suriname, French Guiana, and Brazil (Marcovaldi 2001).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—Although the olive ridley is a tropical species generally found south of Trinidad, recent reports indicate that individuals may be found as far north as the southern tip of Florida (Foley et al. 2003; Moncada 2006). There are three strandings of olive ridleys reported near the OPAREA. In the winter olive ridleys are expected to be in warmer waters to the south. In the spring, summer, and fall there may be rare occurrences throughout the OPAREA.

Behavior and Life History—Olive ridleys feed on a variety of benthic and pelagic prey items. Crustaceans, cnidarians, and fish typically serve as the major component of their diet (Bjorndal 1997) (NMFS and USFWS 1998), although algae is documented as a primary food source in some parts of the world. In the Pacific Ocean, olive ridleys feed predominantly upon tunicates, found at depth (Polovina et al. 2004).

Olive ridleys are known for nesting in arribadas, which are mass nesting events (NMFS and USFWS 1998) Individuals nesting in these aggregations show a strong site fidelity to nesting beaches (Plotkin 2007). There is currently no estimate for the age at which olive ridleys mature sexually, though the average carapace length was measured as 63.3 cm for nesting olive ridleys at Playa Nancite, Costa Rica (NMFS and USFWS 1998). Nesting occurs throughout the year and peaks by location, usually occurring between August and December (NMFS and USFWS 1998). Females usually nest every 1 to 2 years. A typical female produces two clutches per nesting season averaging 105 eggs at 15- to 17- day intervals for lone nesters and 28- day intervals for mass nesters (NMFS and USFWS 1998). Incubation time from deposition to emergence is approximately 55 days. After nesting, olive ridleys migrate back to oceanic waters.

Olive ridleys usually dive to depths of 150 m for foraging, although one individual was observed feeding on crustaceans at a depth of 290 m. This individual was originally identified as a green turtle (Landis 1965) but was later verified to be an olive ridley (Eckert et al. 1986). Maximum recorded dive depths are to 290 m for post-nesting females (Lutcavage and Lutz 1997), with a routine dive length of 54.3 min. Breeding males dive routinely for 28.6 min (Lutcavage and Lutz 1997).

3.2.3 Literature Cited

ARPA (Advanced Research Projects Agency). 1995. Final environmental impact statement for the Kauai Acoustic Thermometry of Ocean Climate project and its associated marine mammal research program: Volumes I and II. Prepared for the Office of Conservation and Environmental Affairs, Honolulu, Hawaii by the Advanced Research Projects Agency and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Avens, L. and K.J. Lohmann. 2004. Navigation and seasonal migratory orientation in juvenile sea turtles. Journal of Experimental Biology 207:1771-1778.

Avens, L.I. 2003. Homing behavior, navigation, and orientation of juvenile sea turtles. Ph.D. diss., University of North Carolina.

Barnard, D.E., J.A. Keinath, and J.A. Musick. 1989. Distribution of ridley, green, and leatherback turtles in Chesapeake Bay and adjacent waters. Pages 201-203 in Eckert, S.A., K.L. Eckert, and T.H. Richardson, eds. Proceedings of the Ninth Annual Workshop on Sea Turtle Conservation and Biology. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-232.

Barnes, D.M., H.M. Woodson, W.D. Webster, E. Redfearn, and G.S. Grant. 2000. Sea turtles of the Cape Fear River basin (North Carolina, U.S.A.): An important nursery area? Pages 161-163 in Abreu-Grobois, F.A., R. Briseño-Dueñas, R. Márquez-Millán, and L. Sarti-Martínez, eds. Proceedings of the Eighteenth International Sea Turtle Symposium. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-436.

Bartol, S.M. 1999. Morphological, electrophysiological and behavioral investigation of visual acuity of the juvenile loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*). Ph.D. diss., College of William and Mary in Virginia.

Bartol, S.M. and J.A. Musick. 2003. Sensory biology of sea turtles. Pages 79-102 in Lutz, P.L., J.A. Musick, and J. Wyneken, eds. The biology of sea turtles, Volume 2. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.

Bass, A.L. 1994. Population structure of hawksbill rookeries in the Caribbean and western Atlantic. Page 17 in Bjorndal, K.A., A.B. Bolten, D.A. Johnson, and P.J. Eliazar, eds. Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-351.

Bell, R. and J.I. Richardson. 1978. An analysis of tag recoveries from loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) nesting on Little Cumberland Island, Georgia. Pages 20-24 in Henderson, G.E., ed. Proceedings of the Florida and Interregional Conference on Sea Turtles, 24-25 July 1976, Jensen Beach, Florida. Florida Marine Research Publications No. 33. St. Petersburg, Florida: Florida Department of Natural Resources.

Bellini, C., T.M. Sanches, and A. Formia. 2000. Hawksbill turtle tagged in Brazil captured in Gabon, Africa. Marine Turtle Newsletter 87:11-12.

Berkson, H. 1967. Physiological adjustments to deep diving in the Pacific green turtle (*Chelonia mydas agassizii*). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology 21:507-524.

Bjorndal, K. 1997. Foraging ecology and nutrition of sea turtles. Pages 199-231 in Lutz, P.L. and J.A. Musick, eds. The biology of sea turtles. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.

Bjorndal, K.A. 1985. Nutritional ecology of sea turtles. Copeia 1985(3):736-751.

Bjorndal, K.A., A.B. Bolten, and M.Y. Chaloupka. 2000a. Green turtle somatic growth model: Evidence for density dependence. Ecological Applications 10(1):269-282.

Bjorndal, K.A., A.B. Bolten, and H.R. Martins. 2000b. Somatic growth model of juvenile loggerhead sea turtles *Caretta caretta*: Duration of pelagic stage. Marine Ecology Progress Series 202:265-272.

Bjorndal, K.A., A.B. Bolten, B. Koike, B. Schroeder, D.J. Shaver, W.G. Teas, and W.N. Witzell. 2001. Somatic growth function for immature loggerhead sea turtles, *Caretta caretta*, in southeastern U.S. waters. Fishery Bulletin 99:240-246.

Bjorndal, K.A., ed. 1995. Biology and conservation of sea turtles. Rev. ed. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Bleakney, J.S. 1965. Reports of marine turtles from New England and eastern Canada. Canadian Field-Naturalist 79:120-128.

Bolten, A.B. and G.H. Balazs. 1995. Biology of the early pelagic stage - the "lost year". Pages 579-581 in Bjorndal, K.A., ed. Biology and conservation of sea turtles, Rev. ed. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Bolten, A.B. and B.E. Witherington, eds. 2003. Loggerhead sea turtles. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Bolten, A.B. and K.A. Bjorndal. 2006. Movements of an olive ridley sea turtle (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) in the Bahamas. Bahamas Naturalist and Journal of Science 1:59-60.

Bolten, A.B., K.A. Bjorndal, and H.R. Martins. 1994. Biology of pelagic-stage loggerheads in the Atlantic. Pages 19-20 in Bjorndal, K.A., A.B. Bolten, D.A. Johnson, and P.J. Eliazar, eds. Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-351.

Bolten, A.B., K.A. Bjorndal, H.R. Martins, T. Dellinger, M.J. Biscoito, S.E. Encalada, and B.W. Bowen. 1998. Transatlantic developmental migrations of loggerhead sea turtles demonstrated by mtDNA sequence analysis. Ecological Applications 8:1-7.

Boulon, R.H., Jr., K.L. Eckert, and S.A. Eckert. 1988. *Dermochelys coriacea* (leatherback sea turtle). Migration. Herpetological Review 19(4):88.

Boulon, R.H., Jr., P.H. Dutton, and D.L. McDonald. 1996. Leatherback turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*) on St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands: Fifteen years of conservation. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 2(2):141-147.

Bowen, B.W., J.C. Avise, J.I. Richardson, A.B. Meylan, D. Margaritoulis, and S.R. Hopkins-Murphy. 1993. Population structure of loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea. Conservation Biology 7(4):834-844.

Bowen, B.W., F.A. Abreu-Grobois, G.H. Balazs, N. Kamezaki, C.J. Limpus, and R.J. Ferl. 1995. Trans-Pacific migrations of the loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*) demonstrated with mitochondrial DNA markers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 92:3731-3734.

Bowen, B.W., A.L. Bass, S.-M. Chow, M. Bostrom, K.A. Bjorndal, A.B. Bolten, T. Okuyama, B.M. Bolker, S. Epperly, E. Lacasella, D. Shaver, M. Dodd, S.R. Hopkins-Murphy, J.A. Musick, M. Swingle, K. Rankin-Baransky, W. Teas, W.N. Witzell, and P.H. Dutton. 2004. Natal homing in juvenile loggerhead turtles *(Caretta caretta)*. Molecular Ecology 13:3797-3808.

Braun, J. and S.P. Epperly. 1996. Aerial surveys for sea turtles in southern Georgia waters, June, 1991. Gulf of Mexico Science 1996(1):39-44.

Bresette, M., J. Gorham, and B. Peery. 1998. Site fidelity and size frequencies of juvenile green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) utilizing near shore reefs in St. Lucie County, Florida. Marine Turtle Newsletter 82:5-7.

Brill, R.W., G.H. Balazs, K.N. Holland, R.K.C. Chang, S. Sullivan, and J. George. 1995. Daily movements, habitat use, and submergence intervals of normal and tumor-bearing juvenile green turtles (*Chelonia mydas* L.) within a foraging area in the Hawaiian Islands. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 185:203-218.

Brongersma, L.D. 1972. European Atlantic turtles. Zoologische Verhandelingen 121:1-318.

Brongersma, L.D. 1995. Marine turtles of the eastern Atlantic Ocean. Pages 407-416 in Bjorndal, K.A., ed. Biology and conservation of sea turtles, Rev. ed. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Burke, V.J., E.A. Standora, and S.J. Morreale. 1991. Factors affecting strandings of cold-stunned juvenile Kemp's ridley and loggerhead sea turtles in Long Island, New York. Copeia 1991(4):1136-1138.

Burke, V.J., S.J. Morreale, P. Logan, and E.A. Standora. 1992. Diet of green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) in the waters of Long Island, N.Y. Pages 140-142 in Salmon, M. and J. Wyneken, eds. Proceedings of the Eleventh Annual Workshop on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-302.

Byles, R.A. 1988. Behavior and ecology of sea turtles from Chesapeake Bay, Virginia. Ph.D. diss., College of William and Mary in Virginia.

Byles, R.A. 1989. Satellite telemetry of Kemp's ridley sea turtle, *Lepidochelys kempi*, in the Gulf of Mexico. Pages 25-26 in Eckert, S.A., K.L. Eckert, and T.H. Richardson, eds. Proceedings of the Ninth Annual Workshop on Sea Turtle Conservation and Biology. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-232.

Byrd, G.V., H.M. Renner, and M. Renner. 2005. Distribution patterns and population trends of breeding seabirds in the Aleutian Islands. Fisheries Oceanography 14(Supplement 1):139-159.

Caldwell, D.K. 1968. Baby loggerhead turtles associated with *Sargassum* weed. Quarterly Journal of the Florida Academy of Sciences 31(4):271-272.

Carr, A. 1987. New perspectives on the pelagic stage of sea turtle development. Conservation Biology 1(2):103-121.

Carr, A. and A.B. Meylan. 1980. Evidence of passive migration of green turtle hatchlings in *Sargassum*. Copeia 1980(2):366-368.

Carr, A., L.H. Ogren, and C. McVea. 1980. Apparent hibernation by the Atlantic loggerhead turtle *Caretta caretta* off Cape Canaveral, Florida. Biological Conservation 19:7-14.

Carr, A., A. Meylan, J. Mortimer, K. Bjorndal, and T. Carr. 1982. Surveys of sea turtle populations and habitats in the western Atlantic. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-91:1-95.

CCC (Caribbean Conservation Corporation). 1996. Sea turtles: An introduction. Accessed 26 September 2002. http://www.cccturtle.org/overview.htm.

CCC (Caribbean Conservation Corporation). 2002. Florida leatherback tracking project: China Girl. Accessed 6 November 2002. http://www.cccturtle.org/satgirl.htm.

CCC (Caribbean Conservation Corporation). 2006. South Carolina loggerhead sea turtle study. Accessed 23 January 2006.

CETAP (Cetacean and Turtle Assessment Program). 1982. Characterization of marine mammals and turtles in the Mid- and North Atlantic areas of the U.S. Outer Continental Shelf. Contract AA551-CT8-48 Prepared for U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Washington, D.C. by Cetacean and Turtle Assessment Program, University of Rhode Island, Graduate School of Oceanography, Kingston, Rhode Island.

Chaloupka, M., N. Kamezaki, and C. Limpus. 2008. Is climate change affecting the population dynamics of the endangered Pacific loggerhead sea turtle. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 356:136-143. doi:110.1016/j.jembe.2007.1012.1009.

Coles, W.C. and J.A. Musick. 2000. Satellite sea surface temperature analysis and correlation with sea turtle distribution off North Carolina. Copeia 2000(2):551-554.

Collard, S.B. 1990. The influence of oceanographic features in post-hatchling sea turtle distribution and dispersion in the pelagic environment. Pages 111-114 in Richardson, T.H., J.I. Richardson, and M. Donnelly, eds. Proceedings of the Tenth Annual Workshop on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-278.

Comer, K.E. 2002. Habitat suitability index models for nesting sea turtles at the U.S. Naval Station Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. Master's thesis, San Diego State University.

Constantino, M.A. and M. Salmon. 2003. Role of chemical and visual cues in food recognition by leatherback posthatchlings (*Dermochelys coriacea* L). Zoology 106:173-181.

Coyne, M.S., M.E. Monaco, and A.M. Landry, Jr. 2000. Kemp's ridley habitat suitability index model. Page 60 in Abreu-Grobois, F.A., R. Briseño-Dueñas, R. Márquez-Millán, and L. Sarti-Martínez, eds. Proceedings of the Eighteenth International Sea Turtle Symposium. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-436.

Crognale, M.A., S.A. Eckert, D.H. Levenson, and C.A. Harms. In press. Leatherback sea turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*) visual capacities and reduction of by-catch by pelagic longline fisheries. Endangered Species Research. doi : 10.3354/esr00112.

Davenport, J. 1988. Do diving leatherbacks pursue glowing jelly? British Herpetological Society Bulletin 24:20-21.

Davenport, J. 1997. Temperature and the life-history strategies of sea turtles. Journal of Thermal Biology 22(6):479-488.

Davenport, J. and G.H. Balazs. 1991. 'Fiery bodies' -- Are pyrosomas an important component of the diet of leatherback turtles? British Herpetological Society Bulletin 37:33-38.

Diez, C.E., X. Vélez-Zuazo, and R.P. Van Dam. 2003. Hawksbill turtles in seagrass beds. Marine Turtle Newsletter 102:8-10.

Dodd, C.K. 1988. Synopsis of the biological data on the loggerhead sea turtle *Caretta caretta* (Linnaeus 1758). Biological Report 88(14). Washington, D.C.: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Dodd, C.K. 1995. Marine turtles in the southeast. Pages 121-123 in LaRoe, E.T., G.S. Farris, C.E. Puckett, P.D. Doran, and M.J. Mac, eds. Our living resources - A report to the nation on the distribution, abundance, and health of U.S. plants, animals, and ecosystems. Washington, D.C.: National Biological Service.

DUMSL-NSE (Duke University Marine Laboratory-Nicholas School of the Environment). 2004. Tracking a giant leatherback turtle's meanderings may lead to new strategies for its species' survival. Accessed 31 October 2005. http://www.nicholas.duke.edu/news/beatrice.html.

Dutton, D.L., P.H. Dutton, M. Chaloupka, and R.H. Boulon. 2005. Increase of a Caribbean leatherback turtle *Dermochelys coriacea* nesting population linked to long-term nest protection. Biological Conservation 126:186-194.

Eckert, K.L. 1995. Anthropogenic threats to sea turtles. Pages 611-612 in Bjorndal, K.A., ed. Biology and conservation of sea turtles, Rev. ed. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Eckert, K.L. and S.A. Eckert. 1988. Pre-reproductive movements of leatherback sea turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*) nesting in the Caribbean. Copeia 1988(2):400-406.

Eckert, K.L., S.A. Eckert, T.W. Adams, and A.D. Tucker. 1989. Inter-nesting migrations by leatherback sea turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*) in the West Indies. Herpetologica 45(2):190-194.

Eckert, S.A. 2002a. Distribution of juvenile leatherback sea turtle *Dermochelys coriacea* sightings. Marine Ecology Progress Series 230:289-293.

Eckert, S.A. 2002b. Swim speed and movement patterns of gravid leatherback sea turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*) at St. Croix, US Virgin Islands. Journal of Experimental Biology 205:3689-3697.

Eckert, S.A. 2006. Personal communication via email between Dr. Scott A. Eckert, WIDECAST, Beaufort, North Carolina, and Ms. Dagmar Fertl, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas, 27 April 2006.

Eckert, S.A., D.W. Nellis, K.L. Eckert, and G.L. Kooyman. 1986. Diving patterns of two leatherback sea turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*) during internesting intervals at Sandy Point, St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands. Herpetologica 42(3):381-388.

Eckert, S.A., K.L. Eckert, P. Ponganis, and G.L. Kooyman. 1989. Diving and foraging behavior of leatherback sea turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*). Canadian Journal of Zoology 67:2834-2840.

Eckert, S.A., H.C. Liew, K.L. Eckert, and E.H. Chan. 1996. Shallow water diving by leatherback turtles in the South China Sea. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 2(2):237-243.

Eckert, S.A., D. Bagley, S. Kubis, L. Ehrhart, C. Johnson, K. Stewart, and D. DeFreese. 2006. Internesting and postnesting movements and foraging habitats of leatherback sea turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*) nesting in Florida. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 5(2):239-248.

Ehrhart, L.M. 1992. Green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*). Pages 90-94 in Moler, P.E., ed. Rare and endangered biota of Florida. Volume 3: Amphibians and reptiles. Gainesville, Florida: University Press of Florida.

Ehrhart, L.M. 1995. A review of sea turtle reproduction. Pages 29-38 in Bjorndal, K.A., ed. Biology and conservation of sea turtles, Rev. ed. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Ehrhart, L.M., D.A. Bagley, and W.E. Redfoot. 2003. Loggerhead turtles in the Atlantic Ocean: Geographic distribution, abundance, and population status. Pages 157-174 in Bolten, A.B. and B.E. Witherington, eds. Loggerhead sea turtles. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Eisenberg, J.F. and J. Frazier. 1983. A leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*) feeding in the wild. Journal of Herpetology 17(1):81-82.

Epperly, S.P., J. Braun, and A.J. Chester. 1995a. Aerial surveys for sea turtles in North Carolina inshore waters. Fishery Bulletin 93:254-261.

Epperly, S.P., J. Braun, and A. Veishlow. 1995b. Sea turtles in North Carolina waters. Conservation Biology 9:384-394.

Epperly, S.P., J. Braun, A.J. Chester, F.A. Cross, J.V. Merriner, and P.A. Tester. 1995c. Winter distribution of sea turtles in the vicinity of Cape Hatteras and their interactions with the summer flounder trawl fishery. Bulletin of Marine Science 56:547-568.

Epperly, S.P., M.L. Snover, J. Braun-McNeill, W.N. Witzell, C.A. Brown, L.A. Csuzdi, W.G. Teas, L.B. Crowder, and R.A. Myers. 2001. Stock assessment of loggerhead sea turtles of the western North Atlantic. Pages 3-66 in NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center), ed. Stock assessments of loggerhead and leatherback sea turtles and an assessment of the impact of the pelagic longline fishery on the loggerhead and leatherback sea turtles of the western North Atlantic. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-455.

Ernest, R.G., R.E. Martin, N. Williams-Walls, and J.R. Wilcox. 1989. Population dynamics of sea turtles utilizing shallow coastal waters off Hutchinson Island, Florida. Pages 57-59 in Eckert, S.A., K.L. Eckert, and T.H. Richardson, eds. Proceedings of the Ninth Annual Workshop on Sea Turtle Conservation and Biology. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-232.

Fleming, E.H. 2001. Swimming against the tide: Recent surveys of exploitation, trade, and management of marine turtles in the northern Caribbean. Washington, D.C.: TRAFFIC North America.

FMRI-FFWCC (Florida Marine Research Institute-Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission). 2004. Green turtle nesting in Florida. Accessed 6 December 2005. http://floridamarine.org/features/view_article.asp?id=2496.

Foley, A.M., P.H. Dutton, K.E. Singel, A.E. Redlow, and W.G. Teas. 2003. The first records of olive ridleys in Florida, USA. Marine Turtle Newsletter 101:23-25.

Foote, J.J. and T.L. Mueller. 2002. Two Kemp's ridley (*Lepidochelys kempii*) nests on the central Gulf coast of Sarasota County Florida (USA). Pages 252-253 in Mosier, A., A. Foley, and B. Brost, eds. Proceedings of the Twentieth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-477.

Frair, W., R.G. Ackman, and N. Mrosovsky. 1972. Body temperature of *Dermochelys coriacea*: Warm turtle from cold water. Science 177:791-793.

Frazer, N.B. 1986. Survival from egg to adulthood in a declining population of loggerhead turtles, *Caretta caretta*. Herpetologica 42(1):47-55.

Frazer, N.B. 1995. Loggerhead sea turtle, *Caretta caretta*. Pages 1-23 in Plotkin, P.T., ed. Status reviews for sea turtles listed under the Endangered Species Act of 1973: Loggerhead turtle, *Caretta caretta*; East Pacific green turtle, *Chelonia mydas*; leatherback turtle, *Dermochelys coriacea*; hawksbill turtle, *Eretmochelys imbricata*; Kemp's ridley turtle, *Lepidochelys kempii*; olive ridley turtle, *Lepidochelys olivacea*. Washington, D.C.: National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Frazer, N.B. and L.M. Ehrhart. 1985. Preliminary growth models for green, *Chelonia mydas*, and loggerhead, *Caretta caretta*, turtles in the wild. Copeia 1985(1):73-79.

Frazier, J.G. 2001. General natural history of marine turtles. Pages 3-17 in Eckert, K.L. and F.A. Abreu-Grobois, eds. Proceedings: Marine Turtle Conservation in the Wider Caribbean Region: A Dialogue for Effective Regional Management. 16-18 November 1999. Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic.

Fritts, T.H., W. Hoffman, and M.A. McGehee. 1983a. The distribution and abundance of marine turtles in the Gulf of Mexico and nearby Atlantic waters. Journal of Herpetology 17(4):327-344.

Fritts, T.H., A.B. Irvine, R.D. Jennings, L.A. Collum, W. Hoffman, and M.A. McGehee. 1983b. Turtles, birds, and mammals in the northern Gulf of Mexico and nearby Atlantic waters. FWS/OBS-82/65 Washington, D.C.: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

FWRI (Florida Wildlife Research Institute). 2007. Statewide Nesting Beach Survey Program: Loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*) nesting data, 1990-2006. Accessed 16 August 2007. http://research.myfwc.com /features/view_article.asp?id=2411

Garrison, L.P. and P.M. Richards. 2004. Estimated bycatch of marine mammals and turtles in the U.S. Atlantic pelagic longline fleet during 2003. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-527:1-57.

Gitschlag, G.R. 1996. Migration and diving behavior of Kemp's ridley (Garman) sea turtles along the U.S. southeastern Atlantic coast. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 205:115-135.

Godfrey, D. 1996. Divine intervention? Kemp's ridley nests on Volusia County Beach. Velador (Caribbean Conservation Corporation Newsletter) (Summer):1-2.

Godfrey, M. 2003. Not in Kansas anymore. Accessed 20 June 2006. http://www.seaturtle.org/blog/mgodfrey/2003_07.html.

Goff, G.P. and J. Lien. 1988. Atlantic leatherback turtles, *Dermochelys coriacea*, in cold water off Newfoundland and Labrador. Canadian Field-Naturalist 102(1):1-5.

Grant, G.S. and D. Ferrell. 1993. Leatherback turtle, *Dermochelys coriacea* (Reptilia: Dermochelidae): Notes on near-shore feeding behavior and association with cobia. Brimleyana 19:77-81.

Grassman, M.A., D.W. Owens, J.P. McVey, and R. Marquez. 1984. Olfactory-based orientation in artificially imprinted sea turtles. Science 224:83-84.

Guseman, J.L. and L.M. Ehrhart. 1990. Green turtles on sabellariid worm reefs: Initial results from studies on the Florida Atlantic coast. Pages 125-127 in Richardson, T.H., J.I. Richardson, and M. Donnelly, eds. Proceedings of the Tenth Annual Workshop on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-278.

Hawkes, L.A., A.C. Broderick, M.H. Godfrey, and B.J. Godley. 2005. Status of nesting loggerhead turtles *Caretta caretta* at Bald Head Island (North Carolina, USA) after 24 years of intensive monitoring and conservation. Oryx 39(1):65-72.

Hawkes, L.A., A.C. Broderick, M.S. Coyne, M.H. Godfrey, and B.J. Godley. 2007. Only some like it hot--Quantifying the environmental niche of the loggerhead sea turtle. Diversity and Distributions 13:447-457.

Hays, G.C., J.D.R. Houghton, and A.E. Myers. 2004. Pan-Atlantic leatherback turtle movements. Nature 429:522.

Hays, G.C., A.C. Broderick, F. Glen, and B.J. Godley. 2003. Climate change and sea turtles: A 150-year reconstruction of incubation temperatures at a major marine turtle rookery. Global Change Biology 9:642-646.

Hays, G.C., C.R. Adams, A.C. Broderick, B.J. Godley, D.J. Lucas, J.D. Metcalfe, and A.A. Prior. 2000. The diving behaviour of green turtles at Ascension Island. Animal Behaviour 59:577-586.

Heithaus, M.R., A. Frid, and L.M. Dill. 2002. Shark-inflicted injury frequencies, escape ability, and habitat use of green and loggerhead turtles. Marine Biology 140:229-236.

Henwood, T.A. 1987. Movements and seasonal changes in loggerhead turtle *Caretta caretta* aggregations in the vicinity of Cape Canaveral, Florida (1978-84). Biological Conservation 40:191-202.

Henwood, T.A. and L.H. Ogren. 1987. Distribution and migrations of immature Kemp's ridley turtles (*Lepidochelys kempi*) and green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) off Florida, Georgia, and South Carolina. Northeast Gulf Science 9(2):153-159.

Henwood, T.A. and S.P. Epperly. 1999. Aerial surveys in foraging habitats. Pages 65-66 in Eckert, K.L., K.A. Bjorndal, F.A. Abreu-Grobois, and M. Donnelly, eds. Research and management techniques for the conservation of sea turtles. Publication No. 4. Washington, D.C.: IUCN/SSC Marine Turtle Specialist Group.

Hillis-Starr, Z.M., R. Boulon, and M. Evans. 1998. Sea turtles of the Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico. Pages 334-337 in Mac, M.J., P.A. Opler, C.E. Pucket Haecker, and P.D. Doran, eds. Status and trends of the nation's biological resources. Reston, Virginia: U.S. Geological Survey.

Hirth, H.F. 1997. Synopsis of the biological data on the green turtle *Chelonia mydas* (Linnaeus 1758). Biological Report 97(1). Washington, D.C.: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Hochscheid, S., F. Bentivegna, and G.C. Hays. 2005. First records of dive durations for a hibernating sea turtle. Biology Letters 1:82-86.

Hochscheid, S., B.J. Godley, A.C. Broderick, and R.P. Wilson. 1999. Reptilian diving: Highly variable dive patterns in the green turtle *Chelonia mydas*. Marine Ecology Progress Series 185:101-112.

Holloway-Adkins, K. and J. Provancha. 2005. Abundance and foraging activity of marine turtles using nearshore rock resources along the mid reach of Brevard County, Florida. Prepared for Olsen Associates, Inc., Jacksonville, Florida by Dynamac Corporation, Cape Canaveral, Florida.

Holloway-Adkins, K.G. 2006. Juvenile green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) foraging on a high-energy, shallow reef on the east coast of Florida, USA. Page 193 in Abstracts, Twenty-sixth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. 3-8 April 2006. Island of Crete, Greece.

Hopkins-Murphy, S.R., D.W. Owens, and T.M. Murphy. 2003. Ecology of immature loggerheads on foraging grounds and adults in internesting habitat in the eastern United States. Pages 79-92 in Bolten, A.B. and B.E. Witherington, eds. Loggerhead sea turtles. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Hopkins-Murphy, S.R., T.M. Murphy, C.P. Hope, J.W. Coker, and M.E. Hoyle. 2001. Population trends and nesting distribution of the loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*) in South Carolina 1980-1997. Prepared for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Atlanta, Georgia by South Carolina Department of Natural Resources, Columbia, South Carolina.

Horta, H.C., D. Moore, R. Matos, R. Ramos, M. Rivera, J. Rivera, S. Stielow, S. Tripp, and E.R. Williams. 2000. Olive ridley sea turtle stranded in Puerto Rico: A good example of our new rehabilitation program. Pages 270-271 in Abreu-Grobois, F.A., R. Briseño-Dueñas, R. Márquez-Millán, and L. Sarti-Martínez, eds. Proceedings of the Eighteenth International Sea Turtle Symposium. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-436.

Houghton, J.D.R., M.J. Callow, and G.C. Hays. 2003. Habitat utilization by juvenile hawksbill turtles (*Eretmochelys imbricata*, Linnaeus, 1766) around a shallow water coral reef. Journal of Natural History 37:1269-1280.

James, M.C. and T.B. Herman. 2001. Feeding of *Dermochelys coriacea* on medusae in the northwest Atlantic. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 4(1):202-205.

James, M.C., C.A. Ottensmeyer, and R.A. Myers. 2005a. Identification of high-use habitat and threats to leatherback sea turtles in northern waters: New directions for conservation. Ecology Letters 8:195-201.

James, M.C., S.A. Eckert, and R.A. Myers. 2005b. Migratory and reproductive movements of male leatherback turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea)*. Marine Biology 147:845-853.

James, M.C., R.A. Myers, and C.A. Ottensmeyer. 2005c. Behaviour of leatherback sea turtles, *Dermochelys coriacea*, during the migratory cycle. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 272:1547-1555.

James, M.C., J. Davenport, and G.C. Hays. 2006. Expanded thermal niche for a diving vertebrate: A leatherback turtle diving into near-freezing water. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 335:221-226.

James, M.C., C.A. Ottensmeyer, S.A. Eckert, and R.A. Myers. 2006. Changes in diel diving patterns accompany shifts between northern foraging and southward migration in leatherback turtles. Canadian Journal of Zoology 84:754-765.

Johnson, S.A., A.L. Bass, B. Libert, M. Marshall, and D. Fulk. 1999. Kemp's ridley (*Lepidochelys kempi*) nesting in Florida. Florida Scientist 62(3-4):194-204.

Kamezaki, N. 1987. Recapture of the hawksbill turtle, *Eretmochelys imbricata* (Linne), in the Yaeyama Islands, Ryukyu Archipelago. Galaxea 6:17-20.

Karl, S.A. and B.W. Bowen. 1999. Evolutionary significant units versus geopolitical taxonomy: Molecular systematics of an endangered sea turtle (genus *Chelonia*). Conservation Biology 13(5):990-999.

Keinath, J.A. and J.A. Musick. 1990. *Dermochelys coriacea* (leatherback sea turtle). Migration. Herpetological Review 21:92.

Keinath, J.A. and J.A. Musick. 1993. Movements and diving behavior of a leatherback turtle, *Dermochelys coriacea*. Copeia 1993(4):1010-1017.

Keinath, J.A., J.A. Musick, and R.A. Byles. 1987. Aspects of the biology of Virginia's sea turtles: 1979-1986. Virginia Journal of Science 38(4):329-336.

Keinath, J.A., J.A. Musick, and W.M. Swingle. 1991. First verified record of the hawksbill sea turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) in Virginia waters. Catesbeiana 11(2):35-38.

Keinath, J.A., J.A. Musick, and D.E. Barnard. 1996. Abundance and distribution of sea turtles off North Carolina. OCS Study MMS 95-0024 New Orleans, Louisiana: Minerals Management Service.

Klinger, R.C. and J.A. Musick. 1992. Annular growth layers in juvenile loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*). Bulletin of Marine Science 51:224-230.

Landis, A.T., Jr. 1965. New high pressure research animal? UnderSea Technology 8(6):21.

Landry, A.M., Jr. and D. Costa. 1999. Status of sea turtle stocks in the Gulf of Mexico with emphasis on the Kemp's ridley. Pages 248-268 in Kumpf, H., K. Steidinger, and K. Sherman, eds. The Gulf of Mexico large marine ecosystem: Assessment, sustainability, and management. Malden, Massachusetts: Blackwell Science.

Lazell, J.D., Jr. 1980. New England waters: Critical habitat for marine turtles. Copeia 1980(2):290-295.

Lee, D.S. and W.M. Palmer. 1981. Records of leatherback turtles, *Dermochelys coriacea* (Linnaeus), and other marine turtles in North Carolina waters. Brimleyana 5:95-106.

Lenhardt, M. 2002. Sea turtle auditory behavior. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 112(5, Part 2):2314.

Lenhardt, M.L. 1994. Seismic and very low frequency sound induced behaviors in captive loggerhead marine turtles (*Caretta caretta*). Pages 238-241 in Bjorndal, K.A., A.B. Bolten, D.A. Johnson, and P.J. Eliazar, eds. Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-351.

Lenhardt, M.L., S. Bellmund, R.A. Byles, S.W. Harkins, and J.A. Musick. 1983. Marine turtle reception of bone-conducted sound. Journal of Auditory Research 23:119-125.

León, Y.M. and K. Bjorndal. 2002. Selective feeding in the hawksbill turtle, an important predator in coral reef ecosystems. Marine Ecology Progress Series 245:249-258.

Levenson, D.H., S.A. Eckert, M.A. Crognale, J.F. Deegan II, and G.H. Jacobs. 2004. Photopic spectral sensitivity of green and loggerhead sea turtles. Copeia 2004(4):908-914.

Lohmann, K.J., B.E. Witherington, C.M.F. Lohmann, and M. Salmon. 1997. Orientation, navigation, and natal beach homing in sea turtles. Pages 107-136 in Lutz, P.L. and J.A. Musick, eds. The biology of sea turtles. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.

Lund, P.F. 1985. Hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) nesting on the east coast of Florida. Journal of Herpetology 19(1):164-166.

Luschi, P., J.R.E. Lutjeharms, P. Lambardi, R. Mencacci, G.R. Hughes, and G.C. Hays. 2006. A review of migratory behaviour of sea turtles off southeastern Africa. South African Journal of Science 102:51-58.

Lutcavage, M. and J.A. Musick. 1985. Aspects of the biology of sea turtles in Virginia. Copeia 1985(2):449-456.

Lutcavage, M.E. and P.L. Lutz. 1997. Diving physiology. Pages 277-296 in Lutz, P.L. and J.A. Musick, eds. The biology of sea turtles. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.

Lutcavage, M.E., P. Plotkin, B. Witherington, and P.L. Lutz. 1997. Human impacts on sea turtle survival. Pages 387-409 in Lutz, P.L. and J.A. Musick, eds. The biology of sea turtles. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.

Lutz, P.L. and J.A. Musick, eds. 1997. The biology of sea turtles. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.

Lutz, P.L., J.A. Musick, and J. Wyneken, eds. 2003. The biology of sea turtles, Volume 2. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.

Makowski, C., J.A. Seminoff, and M. Salmon. 2006. Home range and habitat use of juvenile Atlantic green turtles (*Chelonia mydas* L.) on shallow reef habitats in Palm Beach, Florida, USA. Marine Biology 148:1167–1179.

Mansfield, K.L. and J.A. Musick. 2006. Sea turtle diving behavior in Virginia. Final report. Submitted to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Norfolk, Virginia by the Virginia Institute of Marine Science, Gloucester Point, Virginia.

Manton, M., A. Karr, and D.W. Ehrenfeld. 1972a. Chemoreception in the migratory sea turtle, *Chelonia mydas*. Biological Bullentin 143(1):184-195.

Manton, M.L., A. Karr, and D.W. Ehrenfeld. 1972b. An Operant Method for the Study of Chemoreception in the Green Turtle, Chelonia mydas. Brain, Behavior, and Evolution 5(2-3):188-221.

Manzella, S., J. Williams, B. Schroeder, and W. Teas. 1991. Juvenile head-started Kemp's ridleys found in floating grass mats. Marine Turtle Newsletter 52:5-6.

Marcovaldi, M.A. 2001. Status and distribution of the olive ridley turtle, *Lepidochelys olivacea*, in the Western Atlantic Ocean. Pages 52-56 in Eckert, K.L. and F.A. Abreu-Grobois, eds. Proceedings: Marine Turtle Conservation in the Wider Caribbean Region -- A Dialogue for Effective Regional Management. 16-18 November 1999. Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic.

Márquez-M., R. 1990. FAO species catalogue: Sea turtles of the world. An annotated and illustrated catalogue of sea turtle species known to date. FAO Fisheries Synopsis. No. 125, Volume11. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Márquez-M., R., P.M. Burchfield, J. Díaz-F., M. Sánchez-P., M. Carrasco-A., C. Jiménez-Q., A. Leo-P., R. Bravo-G., and J. Peña-V. 2005. Status of the Kemp's ridley sea turtle, *Lepidochelys kempii*. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 4(4):761-766.

Marquez-M., R., compiler. 1994. Synopsis of biological data on the Kemp's ridley turtle, *Lepidochelys kempi* (Garman, 1880). NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-343:1-91.

Matsuzawa, Y. 2005. Personal communication via email between Dr. Yoshi Matsuzawa, Sea Turtle Association of Japan, Nagao Hirakata, Oosaka, Japan, and Dr. Jason See, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas, 18 August 2005.

Mazzarella, K. 2001. Personal communication via email between Ms. Kristen Mazzarella, Network for Endangered Sea Turtles, Kitty Hawk, North Carolina and Ms. Yolanda Leon, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island. 11 April.

McCauley, R.D., J. Fewtrell, A.J. Duncan, C. Jenner, M.-N. Jenner, J.D. Penrose, R.I.T. Prince, A. Adhitya, J. Murdoch, and K. McCabe. 2000. Marine seismic surveys: Analysis and propagation of air-gun signals; and effects of air-gun exposure on humpback whales, sea turtles, fishes and squid. Bentley, Australia: Curtin University of Technology, Centre for Marine Science and Technology.

McDonald, D.L. and P.H. Dutton. 1996. Use of PIT tags and photoidentification to revise remigration estimates of leatherback turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*) nesting in St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands, 1979-1995. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 2(2):148-152.

McMahon, C.R. and G.C. Hays. 2006. Thermal niche, large-scale movements and implications of climate change for a critically endangered marine vertebrate. Global Change Biology 12:1-9.

Mellgren, R.L., M.A. Mann, M.E. Bushong, S.R. Harkins, and V.K. Krumke. 1994. Habitat selection in three species of captive sea turtle hatchlings. Pages 259-261 in Bjorndal, K.A., A.B. Bolten, D.A. Johnson, and P.J. Eliazar, eds. Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-351.

Mendonça, M.T. 1983. Movements and feeding ecology of immature green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) in a Florida lagoon. Copeia 1983(4):1013-1023.

Mendonça, M.T. and P.C.H. Pritchard. 1986. Offshore movements of post-nesting Kemp's ridley sea turtles (*Lepidochelys kempi*). Herpetologica 42:373-381.

Meylan, A. 1992. Hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*). Pages 95-99 in Moler, P.E., ed. Rare and endangered biota of Florida. Volume 3: Amphibians and reptiles. Gainesville, Florida: University Press of Florida.

Meylan, A. 1995. Sea turtle migration - evidence from tag returns. Pages 91-100 in Bjorndal, K.A., ed. Biology and conservation of sea turtles, Rev. ed. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Meylan, A. and A. Redlow. 2006. *Eretmochelys imbricata* - hawksbill turtle. Pages 105-127 in Meylan, P.A., ed. Biology and conservation of Florida turtles. Chelonian Research Monographs No. 3. Lunenburg, Massachusetts: Chelonian Research Foundation.

Meylan, A., B. Schroeder, and A. Mosier. 1995. Sea turtle nesting activity in the state of Florida, 1979-1992. Florida Marine Research Publications No. 52. St. Petersburg, Florida: Florida Department of Natural Resources.

Meylan, A., P. Castaneda, C. Coogan, T. Lozon, and J. Fletemeyer. 1990. First recorded nesting by Kemp's ridley in Florida, USA. Marine Turtle Newsletter 48:8-9.

Meylan, A., B.E. Witherington, B. Brost, R. Rivero, and P.S. Kubilis. 2006. Sea turtle nesting in Florida, USA: Assessments of abundance and trends for regionally significant populations of *Caretta, Chelonia,* and *Dermochelys*. Pages 306-307 in Abstracts, 26th Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. 3-8 March 2006. Island of Crete, Greece.

Meylan, A.B. 1988. Spongivory in hawksbill turtles: A diet of glass. Science 239:393-395.

Meylan, A.B. 1999. International movements of immature and adult hawksbill turtles (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) in the Caribbean region. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 3(2):189-194.

Miller, J.D. 1997. Reproduction in sea turtles. Pages 51-81 in Lutz, P.L. and J.A. Musick, eds. The biology of sea turtles. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.

Moein Bartol, S. and D.R. Ketten. 2006. Turtle and tuna hearing. Pages 98-103 in Swimmer, Y. and R. Brill, eds. Sea turtle and pelagic fish sensory biology: Developing techniques to reduce sea turtle bycatch in longline fisheries. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-PIFSC-7.

Moncada-G., F., A.M. Rodríguez, R. Márquez-M., and E. Carrillo. 2000. Report of the olive ridley turtle (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) in Cuban waters. Marine Turtle Newsletter 90:13-15.

Moncada, F. 2006. Personal communication via email between Dr. Félix Moncada, Centro de Investigaciones Pesqueras, Barlovento, Santa Fé, Ciudad de la Habana, Cuba, and Mr. William Barnhill, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas, 7 March 2006.

Morreale, S.J. and E.A. Standora. 2005. Western North Atlantic waters: Crucial developmental habitat for Kemp's ridley and loggerhead sea turtles. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 4(4):872-882.

Morreale, S.J., A. Meylan, and B. Baumann. 1989. Sea turtles in Long Island Sound, New York: An historical perspective. Pages 121-123 in Eckert, S.A., K.L. Eckert, and T.H. Richardson, eds. Proceedings of the Ninth Annual Workshop on Sea Turtle Conservation and Biology. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-232.

Morreale, S.J., A.B. Meylan, S.S. Sadove, and E.A. Standora. 1992. Annual occurrence and winter mortality of marine turtles in New York waters. Journal of Herpetology 26:301-308.

Mortimer, J.A. 1995. Feeding ecology of sea turtles. Pages 103-109 in Bjorndal, K.A., ed. Biology and conservation of sea turtles, Rev. ed. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Mrosovsky, N. 1980. Thermal biology of sea turtles. American Zoologist 20(3):531-547.

Mrosovsky, N. 1988. Pivotal temperatures for loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) from northern and southern nesting beaches. Canadian Journal of Zoology 66:661-669.

Musick, J.A. and C.J. Limpus. 1997. Habitat utilization and migration of juvenile sea turtles. Pages 137-163 in Lutz, P.L. and J.A. Musick, eds. The biology of sea turtles. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1995. Sea turtle conservation; restrictions applicable to shrimp trawl activities; leatherback conservation zone. Federal Register 60(178):47713-47715.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1998. Designated critical habitat; green and hawksbill sea turtles. Final rule. Federal Register 63(170):46693-46701.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2000. Sea turtle conservation; restrictions applicable to shrimp trawl activities; Leatherback Conservation Zone--Temporary rule. Federal Register 65(102):33779-33780.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2002. Listing endangered and threatened wildlife and designating critical habitat; 90-day finding for a petition to reclassify the Northern and Florida Panhandle subpopulations of the loggerhead as distinct population segments with endangered status and to designate critical habitat. Federal Register 67(107):38459-38461.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2006. Final environmental assessment and regulatory impact review, Regulatory Flexibility Act analysis of sea turtle conservation measures for the pound net fishery in Virginia waters of the Chesapeake Bay. Gloucester, Massachusetts: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service) and USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1991a. Recovery plan for U.S. population of loggerhead turtle. Washington, D.C.: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service) and USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1991b. Recovery plan for U.S. population of Atlantic green turtle. Washington, D.C.: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service) and USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1992. Recovery plan for leatherback turtles in the U.S. Caribbean, Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico. Washington, D.C.: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service) and USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1993. Recovery plan for hawksbill turtles in the U.S. Caribbean Sea, Atlantic Ocean, and Gulf of Mexico. St. Petersburg, Florida: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service) and USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1998. Recovery plan for U.S. Pacific populations of the olive ridley turtle (*Lepidochelys olivacea*). Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service) and USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2007a. Leatherback sea turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*). 5-year review: Summary and evaluation. Prepared by National Marine Fisheries Service, Silver Spring, Maryland and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Jacksonville, Florida.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service) and USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2007b. Hawksbill sea turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*). 5-year review: Summary and evaluation. Prepared by National Marine Fisheries Service, Silver Spring, Maryland and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Jacksonville, Florida.

NPS (National Park Service). 2003. First Kemp's ridley sea turtle nest at Cape Lookout National Seashore. Accessed 31 January 2007. http://www.nps.gov/archive/calo/press062003.htm.

NPS (National Park Service). 2006. Padre Island National Seashore, 2006 Sea turtle nesting season. Accessed 08 November 2006. http://www.nps.gov/archive/pais/website/current_season.htm.

NRC (National Research Council). 1990. Decline of the sea turtles: Causes and prevention. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.

O'Hara, J. and J.R. Wilcox. 1990. Avoidance responses of loggerhead turtles, *Caretta caretta*, to low frequency sound. Copeia 1990(2):564-567.

Ogren, L. and C. McVea, Jr. 1995. Apparent hibernation by sea turtles in North American waters. Pages 127-132 in Bjorndal, K.A., ed. Biology and conservation of sea turtles, Rev ed. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Owens, D., D. Cromwell-Comuzzie, and M.A. Grassman. 1986. Chemoreception in the homing and orientation behavior of amphibians and reptiles, with special reference to sea turtles. Pages 341-355 in Duvall, D., D. Muller-Schwarze, and R.M. Siverstein, eds. Chemical signals in vertebrates. Plenum Publishers.

Parker, L.G. 1995. Encounter with a juvenile hawksbill turtle offshore Sapelo Island, Georgia. Marine Turtle Newsletter 71:19-22.

Pepi, V.E. 2006. Personal communication via email between Ms. Vanessa E. Pepi, CIV NAVFAC PAC and Ms. Dagmar Fertl, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas. 30 May.

Peterson, C., G. Monahan, and F. Schwartz. 1985. Tagged green turtle returns and nests again in North Carolina. Marine Turtle Newsletter 35:5-6.

Plotkin, P., ed. 2007. Biology and conservation of ridley sea turtles. Baltimore, Maryland: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Plotkin, P.T., ed. 1995. National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service status reviews for sea turtles listed under the Endangered Species Act of 1973. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service.

Plotkin, P.T. and J.R. Spotila. 2002. Post-nesting migrations of loggerhead turtles *Caretta caretta* from Georgia, USA: Conservation implications for a genetically distinct subpopulation. Oryx 36(4):396-399.

Polovina, J., I. Uchida, G. Balazs, E.A. Howell, D. Parker, and P. Dutton. 2006. The Kuroshio Extension Bifurcation Region: A pelagic hotspot for juvenile loggerhead sea turtles. Deep-Sea Research II 53:326-339.

Polovina, J.J., E. Howell, D.M. Parker, and G.H. Balazs. 2003. Dive-depth distribution of loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*) and olive ridley (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) sea turtles in the central North Pacific: Might deep longline sets catch fewer turtles? Fishery Bulletin 101(1):189-193.

Polovina, J.J., G.H. Balazs, E.A. Howell, D.M. Parker, M.P. Seki, and P.H. Dutton. 2004. Forage and migration habitat of loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*) and olive ridley (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) sea turtles in the central North Pacific Ocean. Fisheries Oceanography 13(1):36-51.

Rabon, D.R., Jr. , S.A. Johnson, R. Boettcher, M. Dodd, M. Lyons, S. Murphy, S. Ramsey, S. Roff, and K. Stewart. 2003. Confirmed leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*) nests from North Carolina, with a summary of leatherback nesting activities north of Florida. Marine Turtle Newsletter 101:4-8.

Rankin-Baransky, K. 1997. Origin of loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in the western North Atlantic Ocean as determined by mtDNA analysis. Master's thesis, Drexel University.

Reich, K.J. and G.A.J. Worthy. 2006. An isotopic assessment of the feeding habits of free-ranging manatees. Marine Ecology Progress Series 322:303-309.

Reichart, H.A. 1993. Synopsis of biological data on the olive ridley sea turtle *Lepidochelys olivacea* (Eschscholtz, 1829) in the western Atlantic. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-336:1-78.

Renaud, M.L. 1995. Movements and submergence patterns of Kemp's ridley turtles (*Lepidochelys kempii*). Journal of Herpetology 29:370-374.

Renaud, M.L. and J.A. Carpenter. 1994. Movements and submergence patterns of loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in the Gulf of Mexico determined through satellite telemetry. Bulletin of Marine Science 55:1-15.

Renaud, M.L. and J.A. Williams. 2005. Kemp's ridley sea turtle movements and migrations. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 4(4):808-816.

Richardson, J.I. and P. McGillivary. 1991. Post-hatchling loggerhead turtles eat insects in *Sargassum* community. Marine Turtle Newsletter 55:2-5.

Richardson, T.H., J.I. Richardson, C. Ruckdeschel, and M.W. Dixel. 1978. Remigration patterns of loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) nesting on Little Cumberland and Cumberland Islands, Georgia. Proceedings of the Florida and Interregional Conference on Sea Turtles, 24-25 July 1976, Jensen Beach, Florida. Florida Marine Research Publications No. 33. St. Petersburg Florida: Florida Department of Natural Resources. 39-44.

Ridgway, S.H., E.G. Wever, J.G. McCormick, J. Palin, and J.H. Anderson. 1969. Hearing in the giant sea turtle, *Chelonia mydas*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 64:884-890.

Roberts, M.A., C.J. Anderson, B. Stender, A. Segars, J.D. Whittaker, J.M. Grady, and J.M. Quattro. 2005. Estimated contribution of Atlantic coastal loggerhead turtle nesting populations to offshore feeding aggregations. Conservation Genetics 6:133-139.

Rosman, I., G.S. Boland, L. Martin, and C. Chandler. 1987. Underwater sightings of sea turtles in the northern Gulf of Mexico. OCS Study MMS 87-0107 New Orleans, Louisiana: Minerals Management Service.

Rostal, D.C. 2005. Seasonal reproductive biology of the Kemp’s ridley sea turtle (*Lepidochelys kempii*): Comparison of captive and wild populations. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 4:788-800.

Saba, V.S., P. Santidrián-Tomillo, R.D. Reina, J.R. Spotila, J.A. Musick, D.A. Evans, and F.V. Paladino. 2007. The effect of the El Niño southern oscillation on the reproductive frequency fo eastern Pacfic leatherback turtles. Journal of Appiled Ecology 44:395-404.

Sakamoto, W., I. Uchida, Y. Naito, K. Kureha, M. Tujimura, and K. Sato. 1990. Deep diving behavior of the loggerhead turtle near the frontal zone. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 56(9):1435-1443.

Sale, A., P. Luschi, R. Mencacci, P. Lambardi, G.R. Hughes, G.C. Hays, S. Benvenuti, and F. Papi. 2006. Long-term monitoring of leatherback turtle diving behaviour during oceanic movements. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 328:197-210.

Salmon, M., T.T. Jones, and K.W. Horch. 2004. Ontogeny of diving and feeding behavior in juvenile seaturtles: Leatherback seaturtles (*Dermochelys coriacea* L) and green seaturtles (*Chelonia mydas* L) in the Florida Current. Journal of Herpetology 38(1):36-43.

Schmid, J.R., A.B. Bolten, K.A. Bjorndal, and W.J. Lindberg. 2002. Activity patterns of Kemp's ridley turtles, *Lepidochelys kempii*, in the coastal waters of the Cedar Keys, Florida. Marine Biology 140:215-228.

Schroeder, B.A. and N.B. Thompson. 1987. Distribution of the loggerhead turtle, *Caretta caretta*, and the leatherback turtle, *Dermochelys coriacea*, in the Cape Canaveral, Florida area: Results of aerial surveys. Pages 45-53 in Witzell, W.N., ed. Proceedings of the Cape Canaveral, Florida Sea Turtle Workshop. NOAA Technical Report NMFS 53.

Schroeder, B.A., L.M. Ehrhart, J.L. Guseman, R.D. Owen, and W.E. Redfoot. 1989. Cold stunning of marine turtles in the Indian River Lagoon system, Florida, December 1989. Pages 67-69 in Eckert, S.A., K.L. Eckert, and T.H. Richardson, eds. Proceedings of the Ninth Annual Workshop on Sea Turtle Conservation and Biology. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-232.

Schwartz, F.J. 1978. Behavioral and tolerance responses to cold water temperatures by three species of sea turtles (Reptilia, Cheloniidae) in North Carolina. Pages 16-18 in Henderson, G.E., ed. Proceedings of the Florida and Interregional Conference on Sea Turtles, 24-25 July 1976, Jensen Beach, Florida. Florida Marine Research Publications No. 33. St. Petersburg, Florida: Florida Department of Natural Resources.

Schwartz, F.J. 1989. Biology and ecology of sea turtles frequenting North Carolina. Pages 307-331 in George, R.Y. and A.W. Hulbert, eds. North Carolina Coastal Oceanography Symposium. National Undersea Research Program Research Report 89-2. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Sears, C.J., B.W. Bowen, R.W. Chapman, S.B. Galloway, S.R. Hopkins-Murphy, and C.M. Woodley. 1995. Demographic composition of the feeding population of juvenile loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) off Charleston, South Carolina: Evidence from mitochondrial DNA markers. Marine Biology 123:869-874.

Seaturtle.org. 2006. Satellite tracking: Duke Marine Lab Sea Turtle Satellite Telemetry Project in NC. Accessed 10 March 2006. http://www.seaturtle.org/tracking/?project_id=11.

Seney, E.E. and J.A. Musick. 2005. Diet analysis of Kemp's ridley sea turtles *(Lepidochelys kempii)* in Virginia. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 4(4):864-871.

Shanker, K., B. Pandav, and B.C. Choudhury. 2003. An assessment of the olive ridley turtle (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) nesting population in Orissa, India. Biological Conservation 115:149-160.

Shaver, D.J., B.A. Schroeder, R.A. Byles, P.M. Burchfield, J. Peña, R. Márquez, and H.J. Martinez. 2005. Movements and home ranges of adult male Kemp's ridley sea turtles *(Lepidochelys kempii)* in the Gulf of Mexico investigated by satellite telemetry. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 4(4):817-827.

Shoop, C.R. and T.J. Thompson. 1983. Southeast Turtle Survey (SETS). Final report to the National Marine Fisheries Service. Pelagic surveys. Contract Number NA82-GA-C-00012 Prepared for the National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, Miami, Florida by Aero-Marine Surveys Inc., Groton, Connecticut.

Shoop, C.R. and R.D. Kenney. 1992. Seasonal distributions and abundances of loggerhead and leatherback sea turtles in waters of the northeastern United States. Herpetological Monographs 6:43-67.

Southwood, A.L., R.D. Reina, V.S. Jones, and D.R. Jones. 2003. Seasonal diving patterns and body temperatures of juvenile green turtles at Heron Island, Australia. Canadian Journal of Zoology 81:1014-1024.

Spotila, J.R., M.P. O'Connor, and F.V. Paladino. 1997. Thermal biology. Pages 297-314 in Lutz, P.L. and J.A. Musick, eds. The biology of sea turtles. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.

Spotila, J.R., A.E. Dunham, A.J. Leslie, A.C. Steyermark, P.T. Plotkin, and F.V. Paladino. 1996. Worldwide population decline of *Dermochelys coriacea*: Are leatherback turtles going extinct? Chelonian Conservation and Biology 2(2):209-222.

Starbird, C.H., Z. Hillis-Starr, J.T. Harvey, and S.A. Eckert. 1999. Internesting movements and behavior of hawksbill turtles (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) around Buck Island Reef National Monument, St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 3(2):237-243.

Stewart, K. and C. Johnson. 2003. 500 Hours [sic] in a Jeep: Documenting the start of a new rookery and an explosive increase in leatherback nesting in Florida. Pages 163-164 in Seminoff, J.A., ed. Proceedings of the Twenty-Second Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-503.

Stewart, K. and C. Johnson. 2006. *Dermochelys coriacea* - Leatherback sea turtle. Pages 144-157 in Meylan, P.A., ed. Biology and conservation of Florida turtles. Chelonian Research Monographs No. 3. Lunenburg, Massachusetts: Chelonian Research Foundation.

Stewart, K.R. and J. Wyneken. 2004. Predation risk to loggerhead hatchlings at a high-density nesting beach in southeast Florida. Bulletin of Marine Science 74(2):325-335.

Still, B.M., C.R. Griffin, and R. Prescott. 2005. Climatic and oceanographic factors affecting daily patterns of juvenile sea turtle cold-stunning in Cape Cod Bay, Massachusetts. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 4(4):883-890.

Stokes, L., J. Wyneken, L.B. Crowder, and J. Marsh. 2006. The influence of temporal and spatial origin on size and early growth rates in captive loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in the United States. Herpetological Conservation and Biology 1(2):71-80.

TEWG (Turtle Expert Working Group). 2000. Assessment update for the Kemp's ridley and loggerhead sea turtle populations in the western North Atlantic. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-444:1-115.

TEWG (Turtle Expert Working Group). 2007. An assessment of the leatherback turtle population in the Atlantic Ocean. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-555:1-116.

Thompson, N.B., J.R. Schmid, S.P. Epperly, M.L. Snover, J. Braun-McNeill, W.N. Witzell, W.G. Teas, L.A. Csuzdi, and R.A. Myers. 2001. Stock assessment of leatherback sea turtles of the western North Atlantic. Pages 67-104 in NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center), ed. Stock assessments of loggerhead and leatherback sea turtles and an assessment of the impact of the pelagic longline fishery on the loggerhead and leatherback sea turtles of the western North Atlantic. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-455.

Threlfall, W. 1978. First record of the Atlantic leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*) from Labrador. Canadian Field-Naturalist 92(3):287.

Tomás, J., M. Fernández, and J.A. Raga. 2003. Sea turtles in Spanish Mediterranean waters: Surprises in 2001. Marine Turtle Newsletter 101:1-3.

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2001. Nesting loggerhead sea turtle activity report 2000 and 1980-2000 nesting summary. Prepared for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army, Norfolk, Virginia by S. Williams and J. Gallegos, Back Bay National Wildlife Refuge.

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2005. Report on the Mexico/United States of America population restoration project for the Kemp's ridley sea turtle, *Lepidochelys kempii*, on the coasts of Tamaulipas and Veracruz, Mexico, 2005. Prepared for the USFWS by the Gladys Porter Zoo, Secretaria de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales and Secretaria de Obras Plblicas Desarrollo Urbano y Ecologia. Veracruz, Mexico: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service) and NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1992. Recovery plan for the Kemp's ridley sea turtle (*Lepidochelys kempii*). St. Petersburg, Florida: National Marine Fisheries Service.

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service) and NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2003. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; 12-month finding on a petition to list the Northern and Florida Panhandle loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) subpopulations as endangered. Federal Register 68(178):53947-53955.

Vagg, R. and H. Hepworth. 2006. Migratory species and climate change: Impacts of a changing environment on wild animals. Bonn, Germany: United Nations Environment Programme and the Secretariat of the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals.

Van Dam, R.P. and C.E. Diez. 1996. Diving behavior of immature hawksbills (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) in a Caribbean cliff-wall habitat. Marine Biology 127:171-178.

Weber, M. 1995. Kemp's ridley sea turtle, *Lepidochelys kempii*. Pages 109-122 in Plotkin, P.T., ed. Status reviews of sea turtles listed under the Endangered Species Act of 1973. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service.

Webster, W.D. and K.A. Cook. 2001. Intraseasonal nesting activity of loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in southeastern North Carolina. American Midland Naturalist 145:66-73.

Weishampel, J.F., D.A. Bagley, and L.M. Ehrhart. 2004. Earlier nesting by loggerhead sea turtles following sea surface warming. Global Change Biology 10:1424-1427.

Weishampel, J.F., D.A. Bagley, and L.M. Ehrhart. 2006. Intra-annual loggerhead and green turtle spatial nesting patterns. Southeastern Naturalist 5:453-462.

Williams, K.L., M.G. Frick, and J.B. Pfaller. 2006. First report of green, *Chelonia mydas,* and Kemp's ridley, *Lepidochelys kempii* turtle nesting on Wassaw Island, Georgia, USA. Marine Turtle Newsletter 113:8.

Witherington, B. and S. Hirama. 2006. Sea turtles of the epi-pelagic sargassum drift community. Page 209 in Abstracts, Twenty-sixth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. 3-8 April 2006. Island of Crete, Greece.

Witherington, B., M. Bresette, and R. Herren. 2006a. *Chelonia mydas* - green turtle. Pages 90-104 in Meylan, P.A., ed. Biology and conservation of Florida turtles. Chelonian Research Monographs No. 3. Lunenburg, Massachusetts: Chelonian Research Foundation.

Witherington, B., R. Herren, and M. Bresette. 2006b. *Caretta caretta* - loggerhead sea turtle. Pages 74-89 in Meylan, P.A., ed. Biology and conservation of Florida turtles. Chelonian Research Monographs No. 3. Lunenburg, Massachusetts: Chelonian Research Foundation.

Witherington, B.E. 1994a. Some "lost-year" turtles found. Pages 194-197 in Schroeder, B.A. and B.E. Witherington, eds. Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-341.

Witherington, B.E. 1994b. Flotsam, jetsam, post-hatchling loggerheads, and the advecting surface smorgasbord. Pages 166-168 in Bjorndal, K.A., A.B. Bolten, D.A. Johnson, and P.J. Eliazar, eds. Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-351.

Witherington, B.E. and L.M. Ehrhart. 1989. Hypothermic stunning and mortality of marine turtles in the Indian River Lagoon System, Florida. Copeia 1989(3):696-703.

Witherington, B.E. and R.E. Martin. 2003. Understanding, assessing, and resolving light-pollution problems on sea turtle nesting beaches. Florida Marine Research Institute Technical Report TR-2. 3rd ed. rev. St. Petersburg, Florida: Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission.

Witherington, B.E. and N.B. Frazer. 2003. Social and economic aspects of sea turtle conservation. Pages 355-384 in Lutz, P.L., J.A. Musick, and J. Wyneken, eds. The biology of sea turtles, Volume 2. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.

Witherington, B., P. Kubilis, B. Brost, and A. Meylan. In review. Decreasing annual nest counts in a globally important loggerhead sea turtle population. Journal of Applied Ecology.

Witzell, W.N. 1983. Synopsis of biological data on the hawksbill turtle *Eretmochelys imbricata* (Linnaeus, 1766). FAO Fisheries Synopsis 137. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Witzell, W.N., A. Salgado-Quintero, and M. Garduño-Dionte. 2005. Reproductive parameters of the Kemp's ridley sea turtle *(Lepidochelys kempii)* at Rancho Nuevo, Tamaulipas, Mexico. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 4(4):781-787.

Wood, D.W. and K.A. Bjorndal. 2000. Relation of temperature, moisture, salinity, and slope to nest site selection in loggerhead sea turtles. Copeia 2000(1):119-128.

Wyneken, J. 1997. Sea turtle locomotion: Mechanics, behavior, and energetics. Pages 165-198 in Lutz, P.L. and J.A. Musick, eds. The biology of sea turtles. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.

Wyneken, J. 2001. The anatomy of sea turtles. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-470:1-172.

Wyneken, J., S.P. Epperly, and B. Witherington. 2005. The leatherback in U.S. east coast waters: Abundance, seasonality, anthropogenic mortaliy [sic] and management. Pages 13-15 in Coyne, M.S. and R.D. Clark, eds. Proceedings of the Twenty-first Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-528.

Zug, G.R., A.H. Wynn, and C. Ruckdeschel. 1986. Age determination of loggerhead sea turtles, *Caretta caretta*, by incremental growth marks in the skeleton. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 427:1-34.

This page intentionally left blank

3.3 FISH

Of all the fish species of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity, only the smalltooth sawfish has been given protection in the U.S. waters under the ESA. Although the NMFS, the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (SAFMC), and Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC) manage many fish species in the U.S. waters portion of the OPAREA, the smalltooth sawfish's status provides additional protection to the species (Table 3-3). The smalltooth sawfish is designated as endangered, and though it is commonly encountered in shallow waters, this species has been recorded in depths of up to 122 m (NMFS 2003; 2006; Poulakis and Seitz 2004).

Table 3-3. Protected fish species found in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA. Taxonomy follows (Nelson et al. 2004).

	Scientific Name	Status	Occurrence[1]	Designation
Class—Elasmobranchii				
Order—Pristisformes				
Family—Pristidae				
Smalltooth sawfish	*Pristis pectinata*	Endangered	Rare	NMFS, USFWS

[1] **Regular** = A species that occurs as a regular or normal part of the fauna of an area regardless of its abundance
Rare = A species that only occurs in an area sporadically
Extralimital = A species that does not normally occur in an area and occurrence is considered to be beyond the normal range of the species even though one or more occurrence records exist

- Smalltooth Sawfish *(Pristis pectinata)*

Description—The smalltooth sawfish is an elasmobranch species (cartilaginous skeleton; sharks, skates, rays) that gets its name from its long, flat snout (~25% of body length) edged with 24 to 34 sharp teeth. It has a brownish flattened body and wing-like pectoral fins. This species typically is 5.5 m in length but has been recorded to reach up to 7.6 m in length (Passarelli and Curtis 1999; Simpfendorfer 2002, 2005).

Status—The distinct population segment (DPS) of smalltooth sawfish in the U.S. was designated as endangered by the NMFS on 1 April 2003 and by the USFWS on 16 November 2005 from Florida to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina (NMFS 2003; USFWS 2005). It is the first elasmobranch species to have this status. Habitat degradation and loss, such as loss of wetlands, eutrophication, point and non-point pollution, increased sedimentation and turbitidy, and hydrologic modifications, are considered the primary factors contributing to the endangered status of this species. Entanglements in commercial and recreational fishing gear, incidental take as bycatch in various fisheries (especially gill nets), low productivity (i.e. low fecundity and long maturation rates), and the market for rostral saws sold as curios or, as in Asian markets, for medicinal purposes, have also contributed to this species' decline (Musick et al. 2000). It is believed that the current population is less than 5% of its historical size (Simpfendorfer and Wiley 2006). Currently, no critical habitat has been designated for this species because the NMFS has deemed it indeterminable. As a result of being designated as an endangered species, the taking, killing, possessing, or selling of this species is prohibited (NMFS 2003). An updated draft recovery plan produced by the Smalltooth Sawfish Recovery Team (SSRT) is expected to be completed in late 2006 (NMFS 2006; NMFS-OPR 2006). In conjunction with this plan, the NMFS is evaluating new data on habitat requirements for this species and is expected to propose a critical habitat rule once their analysis is complete. If all recovery actions are implemented in the NMFS's 2006 Recovery Plan, it will take approximately four generations, or 100 years, for complete recovery of this species (NMFS 2006). This species is also designated as critically endangered, or facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild, in the immediate future, by the IUCN Red List (Adams 2000).

Habitat Associations—The smalltooth sawfish commonly inhabits shallow subtropical-tropical estuarine and marine waters but can also be found utilizing freshwater habitats in large rivers (e.g., Mississippi and St. Johns River) (Simfendorfer 2002; Schultz 2004). It prefers remaining close to the bottom in deep holes of sand or muddy sand, and has also been reported utilizing habitats consisting of limestone hard bottom, coral reefs, and sponge bottoms (Poulakis and Seitz 2004; Schultz 2004). There is a correlation between the distance from shore and depth with the size for this species, with smaller individuals typically utilizing habitats close to shore (water < 1 m deep) in areas with inshore bars, mangroves, and seagrass beds, possibly to avoid predation by sharks, while larger individuals inhabit deeper waters commonly greater than 70 m, but up to 122 m deep (NMFS 2003; Poulakis and Seitz 2004; Simpfendorfer 2005, 2006; Simpfendorfer and Wiley 2005a, 2005b, 2006). However, recent tagging studies indicate that adults (i.e., larger individuals) spend more time in shallow water than previously suspected, and are only occasionally found in deeper waters (Simpfendorfer and Wiley 2005a). This species also associates with sea fans, artificial reefs, and oil rigs (Poulakis and Seitz 2004). Nursery areas are located in shallow nearshore regions and estuaries, especially in areas with mangroves (Seitz and Poulakis 2002; NMFS 2003, 2006; Simpfendorfer and Wiley 2005b). The lower thermal range of this species is between 16° and 18°C (SSSRT 2000).

Distribution—This species, historically, has ranged throughout the Pacific, Indian, and Atlantic oceans, as well as the Mediterranean Sea, the Caribbean Sea, and the Gulf of Mexico (Passarelli and Curtis 1999). In the western Atlantic, it was distributed from New York to Brazil. It was considered a year-round resident off Florida and only found in higher latitudes seasonally (Schultz 2004). Currently, the only remaining population in U.S. waters exists off southern Florida with the center of distribution being the Everglades National Park, including Florida Bay. The smalltooth sawfish population in U.S. waters is considered isolated from other populations, making it a DPS (NMFS 2003).

- Information Specific to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA—The current smalltooth sawfish population extends from St. John's County on the east coast of Florida through the Florida Keys and northward to Pinellas County on the western coast of Florida, north of Tampa Bay. However, there have been a few encounters north of this region. The Mote Marine Laboratory (MML) Sawfish Encounter Database, as of April 2005, had 593 verified smalltooth sawfish encounters (MML 2005; Simpfendorfer and Wiley 2005a). Only two encounters have been recorded within the boundaries of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (i.e., off Georgia [along the Georgia/South Carolina border] and south of Daytona Beach, Florida) and four within the vicinity of the OPAREA (i.e., Florida state waters) (MML 2005; Simpfendorfer and Wiley 2005a). The individual recorded off Georgia, in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, was an adult (~ 4.0 m length) caught by a bottom longline vessel in greater than 60 m depth (NMFS 2006; Simpfendorfer and Wiley 2006). This individual was encountered in 2002 (NMFS 2006). Typically, only smaller, younger, individuals are encountered off northern Florida (Simpfendorfer and Wiley 2006). Most of the Florida east coast encounters occur south of 27.2°N outside the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Within the OPAREA and vicinity, sightings range from Charleston, South Carolina, to just north of Cape Canaveral, Florida, primarily in state waters off Florida (Simpfendorfer and Wiley 2005b). It is considered rare that the smalltooth sawfish could be found within the boundaries of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, while it is more likely that an encounter would occur in Florida state waters adjacent to the OPAREA's western boundary (MML 2005; Simpfendorfer 2006; Figure 3-13).

Behavior and Life History—Little information is available on the behavior and life history of the smalltooth sawfish. It is known that this species is ovoviviparous. Gestation is believed to last approximately five months to a year, with between 15 and 20 pups born per litter during the summer months. Off southern Africa, female smalltooth sawfish have been recorded pupping in estuaries, and it is hypothesized that they may use this habitat elsewhere as well (Passarelli and Curtis 1999; SSSRT 2000; Schultz 2004). This species is predicted to live up to 30 years, reaching sexual maturity at ten years of age. The smalltooth sawfish uses its saw for obtaining prey, either by stirring up the substrate to expose benthic crustaceans, or by stunning and slashing schooling fishes (e.g., mullet and herring) (SSSRT 2000; Schultz 2004). Historic records indicate that this species use to undertake



Figure 3-13. Recent encounters, from 1998 to April 2005, of smalltooth sawfish in the Charleston/ Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: MML (2005).

seasonal migrations northward along the Atlantic coast during the summer months. However, due to the lack of encounters north of Florida, it is believed that migration is no longer occurring (Simpfendorfer and Wiley 2006).

3.3.1 Literature Cited

Adams, W.F. 2000. *Pristis pectinata* (north and southwest Atlantic subpopulation). 2006 IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 26 July 2006. http://www.redlist.org.

MML (Mote Marine Laboratory). 2005. Smalltooth sawfish encounters 1998 to present. Received August 2005 from Tonya Wiley. Sarasota, Florida: Mote Marine Laboratory, Center for Shark Research.

Musick, J.A., M.M. Harbins, S.A. Berkeley, G.H. Burgess, A.M. Eklund, L. Findley, R.G. Gilmore, J.T. Golden, D.S. Ha, G.R. Huntsman, J.C. McGovern, S.J. Parker, S.G. Poss, E. Sala, T.W. Schmidt, G.R. Sedberry, H. Weeks, and S.G. Wright. 2000. Marine, estuarine, and diadromous fish stocks at risk of extinction in North America (exclusive of Pacific salmonids). Fisheries 25(11):6-30.

Nelson, J.S., E.J. Crossman, H.Espinosa-Pérez, L.T. Findley, C.R. Gilbert, R.N. Lea, and J.D. Williams. 2004. Common and scientific names of fishes from the U.S., Canada, and Mexico, Sixth Edition. Bethesda, Maryland: American Fisheries Society.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2003. Endangered and threatened species; Final endangered status for a distinct population segment of smalltooth sawfish (*Pristis pectinata*) in the United States. Federal Register 68(62):15674-15680.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2006. Draft recovery plan for smalltooth sawfish (*Pristis pectinata*). Prepared by the Smalltooth Sawfish Recovery Team. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS-OPR (National Marine Fisheries Service-Office of Protected Resources). 2006. Smalltooth sawfish (*Pristis pectinata*). Accessed 7 August 2006. http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/species/fish /smalltoothsawfish.htm.

Passarelli, N., and T. Curtis. 1999. Biological profiles: smalltooth sawfish. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 26 July 2005. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/STSawfish /STSawfish.html.

Poulakis, G.R., and J.C. Seitz. 2004. Recent occurrence of the smalltooth sawfish, *Pristis pectinata* (Elasmorbranchiomorphi: Pristidae), in Florida Bay and the Florida Keys, with comments on sawfish ecology. Florida Scientist 67(1):27-35.

Schultz, K. 2004. Ken Schultz's field guide to saltwater fish. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Seitz, J.C., and G.R. Poulakis. 2002. Recent occurrences of sawfishes (Elasmobranchiomorphi: Pristidae) along the southwest coast of Florida (USA). Florida Scientist 65(4):256-266.

Simpfendorfer, C.A. 2002. Smalltooth sawfish: The USA's first endangered elasmobranch? Endangered Species Update 19(3):53-57.

Simpfendorfer, C.A. 2005. Threatened fishes of the world: *Pristis pectinata* Latham, 1794 (Pristidae). Environmental Biology of Fishes 73:20.

Simpfendorfer, C.A. 2006. Movement and habitat use of smalltooth sawfish. Final report to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration WC133F-04-SE-1543. Mote Marine Laboratory Technical Report 1070. Sarasota, Florida: Mote Marine Laboratory, Center for Shark Research.

Simpfendorfer, C.A., and T.R. Wiley. 2005a. Identification of priority areas for smalltooth sawfish conservation. Final report to the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation for grant #2003-0041-000. Mote Marine Laboratory Technical Report 1021. Sarasota, Florida: Mote Marine Laboratory, Center for Shark Research.

Simpfendorfer, C.A., and T.R. Wiley. 2005b. Determination of the distribution of Florida's remnant sawfish population and identification of areas critical to their conservation. Final report for Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission Project NG101-001. Tallahassee, Florida: Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission.

Simpfendorfer, C.A., and T.R. Wiley. 2006. Final report: National smalltooth sawfish encounter database. Sarasota, Florida: Mote Marine Laboratory, Center for Shark Research.

SSSRT (Smalltooth Sawfish Status Review Team). 2000. Status review of smalltooth sawfish (*Pristis pectinata*). December 2000. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Office of Protected Resources.

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2005. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; addition of white abalone and the United States distinct vertebrate population segment of the smalltooth sawfish to the list of endangered and threatened wildlife. Federal Register 70(220):69464-69466.

This page intentionally left blank

4.0 HABITATS OF CONCERN

4.1 MACROALGAE *SARGASSUM*

Brown algae (Phaeophyceae) of the genus *Sargassum* are found throughout tropical and temperate oceans of the world. Most species of *Sargassum* are benthic and grow on hard substrates (rock outcroppings) by use of basal holdfasts (Lee 1986). Two dominant species of *Sargassum* in the North Atlantic are *Sargassum natans* (Gulfweed) and *S. fluitans* (broad-toothed Gulfweed), which are free floating, continually grow in the form of clumps and mats at the sea surface, and reproduce through asexual reproduction (fragmentation) (Coston-Clements et al. 1991). Both species tolerate sea surface temperatures that change seasonally ranging from 15°C in the winter to 28°C in the summer months, have high light requirements, and tolerate salinities between 35 and 36 psu (Hanisak and Samuel 1987; Garrison 2004). *Sargassum natans*, the most abundant of the pelagic *Sargassum* comprises 90% of the total drift algae in the North Atlantic (SAFMC 2002). *Sargassum fluitans* makes up the remaining 10% of the drift *Sargassum* in the North Atlantic (Dooley 1972; Figure 4-1). Both species have leafy blades, a densely branched thallus (stem), and berry-like pneumatocysts (air bladders), and can grow to a height of up to two feet (Gosner 1978). Accumulations of *Sargassum* are important sources of protection and food for various marine fauna and flora (Dooley 1972; Coston-Clements 1991; Settle 1993). Larval fishes also use the *Sargassum* mats as modes of transportation from the Caribbean region to estuaries and waters along the eastern shores of North America (Frias-Torres and Gilmore 1999). *Sargassum* mats also provide necessary habitat for important commercial, artisanal, and recreational fisheries throughout the North Atlantic and Caribbean regions (Moser et al. 1998). Several pelagic fish species rely on this important habitat for food and shelter.

The contribution of pelagic *Sargassum* to total primary production ($gC/m^2/yr$) is variable from region to region in the western North Atlantic (Coston-Clements et al. 1991). Because pelagic *Sargassum* is found at the sea surface, many organisms such as fungi, micro and macro-epiphytes, hydroids, crustaceans, and fishes use it as a source of cover, camouflage, and food source (Butler et al. 1983; Coston-Clements et al. 1991). Free floating *Sargassum* serves as a temporary habitat for sea turtle hatchlings and larval/juvenile stages of over 100 fish species (SAFMC 2002). Four species of sea turtles (see Chapter 3 for more information) and numerous marine birds utilize *Sargassum* as habitat (SAFMC 2002). Sea turtle hatchlings associate with *Sargassum* mats during their "lost years" when they drift with the floating mats, which is thought to play a vital role in the life of young turtles (Carr 1987). Fronts and eddies of major currents located near sea turtle nesting beaches are likely places where both hatchling sea turtles and *Sargassum* occur.

Juvenile fishes are by far the dominant vertebrate inhabitants of pelagic *Sargassum* mats, yet adults of many large pelagic fish species (i.e., crevalle jacks [*Carranx hippos*], mackerel scad [*Decapterus macarellis*], dolphinfish [*Coryphaena hippurus*], and billfishes [Istiophoridae]) also swim under and around *Sargassum* mats (Dooley 1972). Fishes are attracted to the drifting algal mats for a number of reasons, including its use as a foraging area, protective habitat from larger predators, and a spawning ground (Dooley 1972). Fish abundances and diversity are dependent on mat morphology and age (i.e., more species recorded under large mats than small clumps) (Moser et al. 1998).

4.1.1 Status of Sargassum

Sargassum distribution and abundance is difficult to sample, but estimates of standing crop in the Sargasso Sea range from 4 to 11 million tons (Butler et al. 1983). Stoner (1983) sampled pelagic *Sargassum* in the North Atlantic, Caribbean, and Gulf of Mexico from 1977 to 1981 and found that based on previous studies by Parr (1939), the overall biomass of pelagic *Sargassum* in the Sargasso Sea declined by 6%. Later analysis of Stoner's (1983) data found no decline in biomass from 1933 to 1981, except in an area northeast of the Antilles and this decline was related to seasonal changes (Butler et al. 1983; Butler and Stoner 1984). *Sargassum* is susceptible to various pollution sources. Ocean pollution, such as petroleum from ships creating oil slicks which form within the *Sargassum* "windrows", gaps in the



Figure 4-1. Historical Distribution of pelagic *Sargassum* and the major surface currents in the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and North Atlantic Ocean. Source data: Dooley (1972), Pickard et al. (1982), Butler et al. (1983), and General Oceanics (1986).

mat where oil enters but remain trapped, ultimately leading to mortality in the *Sargassum* mat (Butler et al. 1983). *Sargassum* is also threatened by direct harvesting. Aqua-10 Laboratories in the past harvested from the South Atlantic Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) off North Carolina from 1976 to 1997. The harvest of *Sargassum* is now prohibited in the EEZ south of the South Carolina-North Carolina border and within 87 NM offshore of North Carolina (SAFMC 2006a). The only harvestable area for *Sargassum* designated in 2003 by the NMFS (NMFS 2003) is "South of the Atlantic EEZ that is greater than 100 NM from shore between the 34°N latitude line and the latitude line representing the North Carolina/Virginia border during the months of November through June" (Figure 4-2). In addition, the Total Allowable Catch (TAC) of *Sargassum* is not to exceed 5,000 lbs landed wet weight and all harvesting trips must have an observer present during harvesting (SAFMC 2006a). Presently, the largest harvest of *Sargassum* is the indirect bycatch associated from recreational fishermen intentionally targeting "weed lines" and entangling their gear within the mats. Commercial fishing boats tend to avoid the mats specifically because of this entanglement issue (SAFMC 2002). Since *Sargassum* provides a unique and diverse habitat for invertebrates, fishes, sea turtles, and marine birds, scientists in other countries have become more concerned with the survival of this macroalgae (Dooley 1972).

4.2 DISTRIBUTION OF *SARGASSUM*

Pelagic *Sargassum* is found in most tropical and temperate oceans and in the Red Sea. In the north Atlantic, pelagic *Sargassum* occurs mainly within the physical bounds of the north Atlantic Gyre between 20°N, 40°N, and 30°W, and the western edge of the Gulf Stream, a region known as the Sargasso Sea (SAFMC 2002). The greatest concentration in the Sargasso Sea occurs between 28°N and 34°N. The area, south of Bermuda, is the center of *Sargassum* distribution in the north Atlantic (Dooley 1972; SAFMC 2002). Some exchange occurs between the *Sargassum* populations of the Caribbean Ocean, Gulf of Mexico, and the North Atlantic. Westward-flowing currents of the southern north Atlantic Gyre carry considerable amounts of *Sargassum* to the Leeward Islands of the Antilles, and the straits between The Bahamas Banks and Cuba (Dooley 1972). Currents within the Sargasso Sea are typically calm but are surrounded by strong currents, (Florida, Gulf Stream, Canary, North Equatorial, Antilles, and Caribbean Currents), thus effectively separating the Sargasso Sea from the rest of the Atlantic. All drift material in the area eventually converges into the Sargasso Sea and remains trapped amidst the expansive *Sargassum* mats.

4.3 BENTHIC COMMUNITIES

Benthic habitats are comprised of a variety of sediments, substrates, and marine life that are commercially and economically valuable. Physical and biological ocean processes influence the types of infauna/flora, epifauna/flora, and demersal organisms that populate these habitats. Benthic organisms such as crustaceans, echinoderms, anthozoans, annelids, mollusks, and ground fish play a major role in altering underlying benthic substrates and in breaking down organic material which provides sustenance for economically important species of pelagic fish (Sumich 1988). Benthic communities can be limited by sedimentation. Increased sedimentation caused by storms, currents, waves, and anthropogenic disturbances, such as coastal development, dredging, runoff, cold-water influxes from storms, and red tides can negatively impact the benthic fauna and flora which in turn affects foodwebs and ecosystems (Jones et al. 1985; Rogers 1990; Liddell et al. 1997).

Hardbottom is a type of benthic habitat that can support sessile fauna, flora, and demersal fish species (Jones et al. 1985; Cahoon et al. 1990). Hardbottom is made up of three dimensional geologic structures (including limestone outcroppings, coquina shells, and coral skeletons) as well as artificial reefs and shipwrecks and is usually covered with a thin layer of sand (Emery and Uchupi 1972). Living organisms found on hardbottom substrates and that constitute live hardbottom communities include sea fans, sea whips, ascidians, bryozoans, hard and soft corals, hydroids, anemones, encrusting algae, sponges, sea turtles, and commercial/recreational fishes (Jones et al. 1985).



Figure 4-2. Area allowed for harvest of *Sargassum* between November and June. Source data: General Oceanics (1986), SAFMC (2005).

Within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA there is considerable hardbottom that was mapped by the Southeast Area Monitoring Program (SEAMAP) in 2001 from North Carolina to northeastern Florida (SEAMAP 2001). The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) in 1976 also performed benthic surveys along the continental shelf from North Carolina to Florida and mapped hardbottom and hardbottom communities (Figure 4-3). The benthic communities that were surveyed consisted of sponges, hard and soft corals, and various algae species (BLM 1976).

4.4 LIVE HARDBOTTOM COMMUNITIES

The underlying substrate for the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is summarized in Chapter 2. Hardbottom substrates can support sessile fauna, flora, and demersal species (Jones et al. 1985; Cahoon et al. 1990). Examples of hardbottom substrates within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA include rock outcroppings of mudstone, fossilliferous limestone, sandstone off North Carolina, natural reef (Gray's Reef National Marine Sanctuary, GA), coquina shells off the coast of northern Florida and artificial reefs scattered throughout the entire JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Jones et al. 1985; Riggs et al 1998; SEAMAP 2001; Kendall et al. 2003). Comprehensive mapping of hardbottom substrates of the U.S. southeast Atlantic Ocean was done by the Southeast Area Monitoring Program (SEAMAP 2001) and the BLM (BLM 1976) (Figure 4-3). Since then more mapping has been done on the benthic habitats such as in Gray's Reef NMS (Kendall et al. 2003). Living organisms found on hardbottom substrates (i.e., limestone substrate) and that constitute live hardbottom communities include sea fans, sea whips, ascidians, bryozoans, hard and soft corals, hydroids, anemones, encrusting algae, macroalgaes, sponges, tunicates, sea turtles, and commercial/recreational fishes (Jones et al. 1985; Kendall et al. 2003). These benthic communities live among small, isolated areas of low, rough, or broken relief consisting of naturally occurring hard or rocky outcroppings. The geological and biological architecture of these three dimensional structures provide shelter and substrate for the benthic communities and demersal organisms (Cahoon et al. 1990).

Throughout the Florida-Hatteras shelf (inner, middle, and outer), there are reefs that are composed of lower Miocene marl overgrown by encrusting algae and various calcareous organisms (Emery and Uchupi 1972). The bottom topography in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is diverse because it contains fair amounts of vertical relief, which in turn creates habitat for many marine species (i.e., fish and invertebrates). Parker et al. (1983) surveyed 24 plots (826 km^2) from Cape Fear, NC to Cape Canaveral, FL and observed 30% live bottom reef habitat from 27 to 101 m depths. Common species found inhabiting the reefs throughout the Florida-Hatteras shelf were mollusks, decapods, sponges, coral (hard and soft), bryozoans, echinoderms, cirripedia, and tunicates at depths greater than 27 m. However, several live hardbottom communities were also found at shallower depths between 16 to 27 m, especially off the coasts of North Carolina and South Carolina (BLM 1981; SAFMC 1998). In addition, benthic abundances off the coast of North Carolina on the inner shelf were higher during the summer when water temperatures were warmest (25° to 30°C) (BLM 1981; RUCOL 2005). Off the coast of South Carolina, Georgia, and northern Florida, benthic abundances remained consistent throughout the year on the inner shelf for sponges, hard and soft corals, mollusks, decapods, echinoderms, and ascidians because the water temperatures were warmer and oceanographic conditions remain consistent (Wenner et al. 1984).

4.4.1 Corals (hard and soft) and Sponges

Corals are sessile invertebrates in the Phylum Cnidaria and classes Hydrozoa (fire and lace corals) and Anthozoa (subclasses Octocoralia and Hexacoralia). Reef building corals are hexacorals and belong to the order Scleractinia. Octocorals include gorgonians, soft corals, and telastaceans. Corals exist throughout the world's oceans at all depths (Veron 2000). The most widely known corals are the true stony corals or scleractinians (i.e., hermatypic hard corals) which are coral reef frame builders. Tropical coral reefs are typically found in oligotrophic, shallow water (mostly up to a 50 m water depth) within a latitudinal range of 30°N and 30°S (Kaplan 1982; Spalding et al. 2001). There are no tropical coral reefs within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA or vicinity but there are temperate anthozoans found on the shelf that not only use photosynthesis as a mode of nutrition, but also consume zooplankton (Hunstman and Macintyre 1971; BLM 1976; Reed 1980; Miller 1995). In addition deep sea corals are found along the



Figure 4-3. Hardbottom, live hardbottom communities, and coral and sponge distributions for the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: SEAMAP (2001), NOAA (2006). Source maps (scanned): Huntsman and Macintyre (1971), BLM (1976), and Reed et al. (2006).

continental slope between 200 and 1,000 m (Reed et al. 2006) in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity and form large coral communities (see the section on deep sea corals for more information) (Reed et al. 2006). Corals are protected from harvesting under the SAFMC Fishery Management Plan (FMP) for coral. This FMP states that: "The Coral, Coral Reef, and Live/Hardbottom Habitat Plan prohibits the harvest of stony corals, sea fans, coral reefs, and live rock except as authorized for scientific and educational purposes (SAFMC 2006b)".

Temperate corals appear to be limited in their distribution by biotic factors such as competition for substrate from macroalgaes and other factors not yet clearly understood (Miller 1995). Temperate corals are capable of surviving at high latitudes where solar irradiances are much lower compared to tropical areas because of the availability of greater concentrations of phytoplankton and nutrients. Hermatypic corals can grow in high latitudes because they can capture and digest zooplankton and possibly alter their photoadaptive responses by slowing their photosynthetic and respiration rates (Jaques et al. 1977). Corals reproduce through sexual (spawning) and asexual (fragmentation) reproduction and spawning occurs seasonally (Szmant 1986). Physical-environmental factors influencing the growth of temperate corals is not as clearly understood as it is for tropical corals (Miller 1995).

Sponges found throughout the JAX/CHASN OPAREA are in the Phylum Porifera. Sponges are multicellular filter feeders (although some are carnivorous) that rely on water currents for food by ingesting microscopic organisms (including bacteria) through dermal pores (UCMP 2006). They live at all depths, temperatures, and latitudes, and can be vaselike, tubular, spherical, or fingerlike in shape (Kaplan 1982). Sponges reproduce both sexually and asexually according to the season similar to corals (UCMP 2006).

Nature and Distribution of Inner and Mid-Shelf—Surveys indicate that 30% of the shelf area from North Carolina to Cape Canaveral, FL (South Atlantic Bight) is live hardbottom habitat (SAFMC 1998). There are no true coral reefs within this area, but there are reefs (submerged ridges of rock) that occur throughout the Florida-Hatteras shelf. These reefs are hardbottom that support hard and soft corals, sponges, anemones, bryozoans, and macroalgae (SAFMC 1998). SEAMAP (2001) data shows hardbottom within Onslow Bay, NC located in the northern section of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. This hardbottom area consists of mudstone, sandstone, dolostone, and fossiliferous limestone colonized by sessile invertebrates (i.e., coral and sponge) (Riggs et al. 1998; Figure 4-3).

Onslow Bay has isolated coral patches, sea fans, algae, and sponges associated with hardbottom (Huntsman and Macintyre 1971). Water temperatures in Onslow Bay in the winter can drop to less than 10°C in the winter and rise to more than 27°C in the summer (Huntsman and Macintyre 1971; CORMP 2006). Scleractinian corals found in Onslow Bay are *Solenastrea hyades*, *Siderastrea siderea,* ivory tree coral (*Oculina varicosa*), *Astrangia astreiformis, Phyllangia americana,* and *Ballanophyllia floridana* (Huntsman and Macintyre 1971). In recent years ivory tree coral (*Oculina arbuscula*) has declined in this area because it has been out-competed by brown algae (i.e., *Sargassum*, *Dictyopterus*, *Zonaria*, and *Dictyota*) forcing it into deeper, less illuminated water (Miller and Hay 1996; Street et al. 2005). In addition to hard corals, soft corals such as *Titanedeum frauenfeldii* and *Telesto fructiculosa* and four species of sponges (*Homaxinella waltsonsmithi*, *Spheciospongia vesparium*, *Cliona caribbaea*, and *Halichondria bowerbanki*) are also abundant on hardbottom throughout the shelf (Street et al. 2005).

The southern portion of the JAX/CHASN area especially off the coast of Georgia has considerable live hardbottom (Gray's Reef). This area has more tropical coral and sponge species than North Carolina and northern sections of South Carolina due to warmer water temperatures from the Gulf Stream Current (~16°C in January to ~29°C in August), high salinities (34.3‰ to 36.6‰), and consistent circulation patterns (northward flowing current) year to year (Wenner et al. 1984; NDBC 2005; GRNMS 2006). Gray's Reef is a National Marine Sanctuary located off the coast of Georgia and is 17 NM east of Sapelo Island. Its depth ranges from 18 to 22 m (Sedberry and McGovern 1998; GDNRCRD 2001). Its bottom topography consists of low to moderate rock outcroppings and ledges that are situated in a northwest to southwest direction made of limestone (Hunt 1974; Sedberry and McGovern 1998). Gray's Reef has abundant live cover including sponges (*Aplysina fulva, Teichaxinella morchellum, Dysidea etheria, Geodia gibberosa, Anthosigmella varians, Spheciospongia vesparium, Erylus formosus, and Spongia* *obliqua*), scleractinians (smooth flower coral [*Eusimilia fastigiata*]), leathery tunicates (*Styela plicata*), common sheep's-wool bryozoans (*Amanthia convoluta*), mud urchins (*Moira atropos*), and Forbes' sea stars (*Asterias forbesi*) (GRNMS 2006).

Also off the coast of Georgia (Savannah) near St. Catherine's Island is another live hardbottom habitat supporting abundant large sponges such as finger sponges (*Haliclona oculata)*, purple vase sponges (*Ircinia campana*), boring sponges (*Cliona* spp.), whip corals (*Leptogorgia virgulata*), false sea fans (*Lophorgorgia hebes*), stick corals (*Titanideum frauenfeldii*), and scleractinians (including the ivory tree coral *O. varicosa*) (Van Dolah et al. 1987).

4.4.1.1 Deep Sea Coral and Sponges

<u>Nature and Distribution of Outer Shelf and Slope Corals and Sponges</u>—While shallow reef building corals typically contain zooxanthellae which promote calcium carbonate accretion, deep sea corals do not. Nevertheless, localized accumulations of deep sea corals (scleractinians) can form extensive bioherms (mounds made of living organisms). Deep sea corals are found within a broad depth range (39 to 3,383 m), in cool water (4° to 13ºC), and on top of seamounts, pinnacles, plateaus, edges of the continental shelf, bases of slopes, as solitary colonies, thickets, coppices, and banks (Stetson et al. 1962; Avent et al. 1977; Cairns et al. 1981; Mullins et al. 1981; Freiwald et al. 2004; Hain and Corcoran 2004). Deep sea corals are slow growing, can live thousands of years, and thrive in areas exposed to strong currents and upwelling (Stetson et al. 1962; Avent et al. 1977; Reed 1980, 2002). They reproduce sexually and asexually and grow as large as their skeleton can support (Stetson et al. 1962). Many species of deep sea scleractinians are gonochoric (separate sexes) as compared to shallow water scleractinians which are mostly hermaphroditic. Deepwater coral bioherms support hundreds of species of invertebrates and act as spawning and feeding grounds for commercially important species of fish such as grouper (SAFMC 1998). Like deep sea corals, deep sea sponges can live thousands of years (8,000+ yr) (Freiwald et al. 2004).

Threats to deep sea corals are mainly from trawling by modern fishing vessels, although gas exploration, drilling, seabed extraction, cable laying, and mining are just as destructive (Puglise et al. 2005; Morgan et al. 2006). Because deep sea corals are fragile, slow growing, and in some cases thousands of years' old, physical anthropogenic impacts have lasting devastating effects (Roberts and Hirshfield 2004). Deep sea corals are fragile habitats that are now believed to contain more species than their shallow water counterparts but face serious danger from man-made threats, such as crushing bottom fishing gear, ocean dumping, and mineral exploration (Freiwald et al. 2004). Besides world organizations such as the United Nations Environmental Programme, World Conservation Monitoring Center (UNEP-WCMC) coming together and directing attention to this serious issue, individual countries (including the U.S.) are also developing plans to protect these ecologically valuable habitats (Oceana 2004). The Mineral Management Service (MMS) supervises the drilling and exploration for energy in federal waters and is responsible for monitoring the impacts of the oil and gas industry on deep sea corals (Morgan et al. 2006). Because the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is within the SAFMC jurisdiction, the SAFMC has developed strategies and plans to protect deep sea coral and sponge habitat such as Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) designations and Proposed Habitat of Particular Concern (HAPC) (Morgan et al. 2006). For example, there is a Proposed HAPC for the Stetson Reefs, and Savannah and East Florida Lithoherms located in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, which would prohibit bottom fishing gear and anchoring (SAFMC 2006c). In addition to the proposed HAPC site, corals are currently protected under the SAFMC fishery management plan for coral. This plan states that: "The Coral, Coral Reef and Live/Hardbottom Habitat Plan prohibits the harvest of stony corals, sea fans, coral reefs, and live rock except as authorized for scientific and educational purposes (SAFMC 2006b)".

Also, in 2004 The Deep Sea Coral Protection Act was proposed to Congress but never became law. However, Oceana, a non-governmental organization petitioned the NMFS to enforce a rule to protect Deep-Sea Coral and Sponge (DSCS) habitat from the destruction of mobile bottom-tending fishing gear (NMFS 2005). The NMFS found this petition not to be warranted, but they are working with the Regional Fishery Management Councils to protect DSCS habitat when necessary (NMFS 2005). The NOAA currently has authority to protect DSCS under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and

Management Act and the National Marine Sanctuaries Act (NOAA's Coral Reef Conservation Program 2008).

Within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA there are two major topographic features that provide habitat for deep sea corals and sponges: Blake Plateau and Charleston Bump (Reed et al. 2006). The Blake Plateau consists of a flat portion of the continental slope from The Bahamas Banks to North Carolina and supports non-reefal forming corals and sponges as well as other invertebrates (including mollusks, echinoderms, and crustaceans) and fish (Milliman and Wright 1987; Popenoe and Manheim 2001). Most corals and sponges live on the inner region of the Blake Plateau north of 31°45'N (Popenoe and Manheim 2001). The Charleston Bump is situated on top of the Blake Plateau 70 to 90 NM southeast of Charleston, SC (Sedberry 2005). The Charleston Bump creates a topographic disruption in the Gulf Stream current and forms a meander and an area of intense upwelling (Bane et al. 2001). The upwelling created by the Charleston Bump and the fast flowing Gulf Stream Current produce the Charleston Gyre which helps support a diverse assemblage of marine species such as commercially important reef fish and deep sea corals (Popenoe and Manheim 2001; Figure 4-3). The two most abundant deep sea corals found in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA are *Lophelia pertusa* and *Enallopsammia profunda* (Popenoe and Manheim 2001; Reed and Ross 2005).

Lophelia pertusa is an ahermatypic hard coral found in all oceans, except polar. Its global depth range is 60 to 2,170 m, but within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA it is found in water depths between 200 and 1,000 m and temperatures around 10°C (Stetson et al. 1962; Ross 2004; NOAA 2005, 2006). *Lophelia pertusa* can form colonies as tall as 10 m creating cauliflower-like frameworks and coral banks and exhibiting growth rates similar to ivory tree coral (Wilson 1979; Reed 1992, 2002). Other benthic fauna usually associated with *L. pertusa* reefs are massive plate-like sponges (*Pachastrella monilifera*, *Phakellia ventilabrum*) and gorgonians such as *Plumarella pourtalessi* (Reed 2002).

Enallopsammia profunda is an ahermatypic hard coral found in the western Atlantic from as far north as Massachussetts and as far south as the Antilles at depths between 146 and 1,748 m (Cairns et al. 1981). *Enallopsammia profunda* is usually associated with *L. pertusa* in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and forms colonies up to 1 m in diameter (Reed 2002).

There are three areas that represent substantial deep sea coral habitat within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA: Stetson Reefs, Savannah lithoherms, and East Florida *L. pertusa* reefs (Figure 4-3). The Stetson Reefs are located off the coast of South Carolina between depths of 640 to 869 m in the Charleston Bump. They average heights between 46 to 102 m and encompass 6,174 km^2 at the base of the Florida-Hatteras slope (Stetson et al. 1962; Reed et al. 2006; SAFMC 2006c). The Stetson Reefs contain well over 200 *L. pertusa* mounds and pinnacles and some of the largest *L. pertusa* mounds (152 m tall) recorded to date (Reed et al. 2006). There is abundant live *L. pertusa* coral covering the peaks of pinnacles. Various other corals also exist amidst the Stetson Reefs biota including scleractinians (*E. profunda*, *S. variabilis*), stylasterid corals and octocorals (including Primnoidae, Paramuriceidae, Keratoisidinae, and Nephtheidae) (Reed and Ross 2005; Reed et al. 2006). Abundant sponge species grow on the flanks of the pinnacles between depths of 625 to 671 m and include tube sponges (Pachastrellidae), Corallistidae, glass sponges (Hexactinellida), *Geodia* spp., and *Leiodermatium* spp. (Reed et al. 2006).

The Savannah lithoherms consist of dense mounds of *L. pertusa* and *E. profunda* and are located off the coast of Savannah, GA (90 NM) along the western edge of the Blake Plateau in water depths of 490 to 550 m (Reed and Ross 2005; Reed et al. 2006). The *L. pertusa* mounds reach 30 to 60 m in height and form along the Florida-Hatteras slope on the Charleston Bump (450 to 850 m) (Reed et al. 2006). The north faces of the lithoherms have exposed black phosphoritic pavements that support coral mounds. The mounds have a NNE-SSW orientation, are 10 m wide, average 1 km in length, and have 25° to 37° slopes (Reed et al. 2006). In addition to *L. pertusa* there are other coral and sponge species (10% of the total live coverage) found on the north faces of the high relief mounds such as black coral (*Antipathes* sp.), octocorals (gorgonians), numerous species of sponges (fan sponges [*Phakellia* sp.], plate sponges [Astrophorida], and glass sponges [Hexactinellida]) (Reed et al. 2006). The south slopes of the lithoherms have less of a slope (10°) and 90% of their substrate consists of dead *L. pertusa* and coarse sand (Reed et al. 2006).

The Florida *L. pertusa* reefs comprise two areas: Southern Georgia to Jacksonville, FL (northern reefs) and St. Augustine to Jupiter, FL (southern reefs) both contained roughly within the 700 to 800 m depth range (Reed and Ross 2005; Reed et al. 2006). The northern reefs consist mainly of live coral thickets of *L. pertusa* that grow on top of dead coral rubble (Reed et al. 2006). The southern reefs (northern region in JAX/CHASN OPAREA) are mainly composed of *L. pertusa* and *E. profunda* that grow on top of dead coral rubble (Reed et al. 2006). Commonly found with *L. pertusa* from Jacksonville to Jupiter, FL are various sponge species (*Geodia* spp., *Phakellia* spp., *Spongosorites* spp.), black corals (*Antipathes* sp.), bamboo corals (Isididae), and octocorals (gorgonians) as well as crustaceans and fish species (Reed et al. 2006).

The Navy and Johnson-Sea-Link submersibles made several dives to the base of the Florida-Hatteras slope to document dense lithoherms that were 5 to 150 m high, up to 1,000 m long, and perpendicular to the Gulf Stream (Reed 2002). There may be over 40,000 lithoherms from northern Florida to North Carolina that potentially support dense aggregations of *L. pertusa* and *E. profunda* (Reed 2002).

4.5 CHEMOSYNTHETIC COMMUNITIES

Chemosynthetic communities are groups of marine animals such as tubeworms, clams, shrimps, crabs, and sea anemones that live near hydrothermal vents and cold seeps along ridges, submarine canyons, and whale falls. The marine animals that live near these underwater structures contain chemosynthetic bacteria in their gills and/or their tissues which allow them to convert inorganic compounds emitted from the vents and seeps into usable energy (Garrison 2004). Chemosynthetic communities are important sources of food and provide habitat (i.e., tubeworms) for various slope species (i.e., fish) as well as indicators of oil and gas sources (NOAA 2005). Due to the increased interest in ocean drilling for energy, there has been increased hydrocarbon exploration in the U.S. especially in the Gulf of Mexico and southeastern United States. Chemosynthetic communities exist in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA within the Blake Ridge System and Carolina Rise from North Carolina to Northern Florida at depths between 1,000 and 4,000 m (NOAA 2005; Figure 4-4). These two sites do not have high concentrations of gas hydrates compared to other sites (i.e., Gulf of Mexico) but they do provide valuable information about the details surrounding gas hydrate reservoirs (NOAA 2005).

4.6 ARTIFICIAL BENTHIC HABITATS

Artificial benthic habitats alter the seafloor and under the right conditions can benefit benthic communities and onshore economies. The benefits experienced by marine biological communities increase with time. When solid hard objects with numerous and varied surfaces are introduced to areas of the seafloor predominantly composed of soft sediments, they provide the appropriate substrates necessary for the settlement and colonization of epibenthic organisms such as algae, sponges, barnacles, soft corals, anemones, and hydroids among others (Bohnsack et al. 1991). As more organisms assemble at an introduced site, an interrelated community develops, ultimately attracting larger predatory game fish that in turn bring recreational and commercial fishermen. The preservation of a successful artificial habitat can have a bearing on the biological productivity and economic value of offshore areas.

Benthic artificial reefs and shipwrecks behave like natural hardbottom communities once seeded, attracting fish and sessile organisms (Fitzhardinge and Bailey-Brock 1989; Bohnsack et al. 1991). Fishermen commonly target both smaller and larger fishes (e.g., black sea bass [*Centropristis striata*] and gag [*Mycteroperca microlepis*]) that would be the most abundant forms associated with artificial reefs (SCDNR 2006). Black sea bass in the SAB aggregate around artificial reefs made of reefballs, pipes, and sunken ships (NCDMF 2005; SCDNR 2006). The process of reef colonization and community building can extend the potential range of some commercially and recreationally important fishes and invertebrates by providing more habitat and shelter (Bohnsack et al. 1991). In addition to fishes and invertebrates, sea turtles are attracted to artificial habitats for food and shelter (Bjorndal 1997).



Figure 4-4. Gas hydrates and chemosynthetic communities in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source map (scanned): NOAA (2005).

4.7 Fish Aggregating Devices

Fish aggregating devices (FADs) are apparatuses suspended in the water column or floated at the sea surface to attract pelagic fishes that fishermen target (Beets 1989). FADs have had varying levels of success in attracting species such as dolphinfish (*Coryphaena hippurus*) and kingfish (*Menticirrhus saxatilis*), possibly due to location, size of structure, fouling, and seasons (Nelson 2003). FADs are devices such as netting wrapped around floats and set adrift in the currents. However, unintentional FADs include trash, debris (i.e., washing machines and planks of wood) and oceanographic buoys deployed throughout the world's oceans (King and King 1995). Within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA pelagic *Sargassum* acts as a natural FAD attracting various fish and sea turtle species (see *Sargassum* section) and weather buoys also unintentionally attract various fish species (see chapter 6 for more information) (Coston-Clements et al. 1991). Certain disadvantages to man-made FADs exist, such as the entanglement of sharks and marine mammals in netting, a worldwide issue similar to the bycatch of sharks and marine mammals in purse seines used to harvest tuna in the western Indian Ocean (Romanov 2001). Under the UN Convention on the Law of the Sea of 1982 (Article 119, b) bycatch of associated species (marine mammals and sharks) of target fishery species is recognized for FADs along with fishery impacts (Romanov 2001). The South Atlantic Fishery Management Council currently does not enforce any regulation for the use of FADs.

4.8 Artificial Reefs

Substrate, sedimentation rate, currents, topography, depth, and turbidity are all considered when planning for the location of artificial reefs (Goodwin and Cambers 1983; Claro and Garcia-Arteaga 1999). Artificial reefs are constructed from natural materials (i.e., wood, quarry rock, and shells) and man-made materials (i.e., concrete reef ball, ships, and oil platforms) (Artificial Reef Subcommittee 1997). Originally, the primary purposes of intentionally placed artificial substrates was to enhance commercial and recreational fishing demands, draw public attention, and dispose of solid waste (Artificial Reef Subcommittee 1997). Through the deployment of artificial reefs, fishery species, and invertebrate fauna were observed inhabiting these new structures and seeking out food and shelter. Because of the success of the first artificial reefs recognized the social and economic value in developing artificial reefs, in 1984 the U.S. Congress passed the National Fishing Enhancement Act (NFEA) (Title II of Public Law [PL] 98-623). One of the primary directives of NFEA was the preparation of a long-term National Artificial Reef Plan (NARP). Section 202 of the act recognized the harmful effects of overfishing on fishery resources and proposed that properly designed, constructed, and located artificial reefs could enhance the habitat and diversity of these fishery resources. The NARP was signed in November 1985 to provide guidance and/or criteria on various aspects of artificial reef use, including types of construction materials and planning, sighting, designing, permitting, installing, maintaining, and managing artificial reefs (Gordon 1993). One of the most significant recommendations in the NARP was to encourage the development of state specific artificial reef plans.

Each state in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA maintains its own artificial reef program in conjunction with private organizations. Artificial reefs located off North Carolina in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity (Figure 4-5) are comprised of thirty reef complexes that contain over 100 reef sites made up of various material. The different types of material used as artificial reef structure are subway cars, hundreds of pieces of concrete pipe, hundreds of reefballs (igloo shaped structures made of concrete), and dozens of barges and ships (NCDMF 2005). These artificial structures have provided valuable habitat for various fish species such as black sea bass and summer flounder (*Paralichthys dentatus*) as well as structure for temperate corals (including ivory tree coral).

South Carolina's Department of Natural Resources (SCDNR) Marine Division established in 1973 an artificial reef program. The artificial reef program is managed by the Office of Fisheries Management (OFM). SCDNR sites range in depth from 3 to 33 m and up to 30 NM offshore. Sunken vessels are the most common reef material used along with concrete pipe, concrete bridges, steel docks, and military



Figure 4-5. Artificial reefs and shipwrecks in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: GDNR (2001), Veridian (2001), FFWCC (2004), NCDMF (2005), and SCMRD (2005).

aircraft (SCDNR 2006). Ten thousand reefballs were deployed off the coast of South Carolina at eleven artificial reef complexes (RBF 2003a). Various wreckfish such as black sea bass and snapper (Lutjanidae) are attracted to these artificial structures (SCDNR 2006).

Georgia's artificial reef program is maintained by Georgia's Department of Natural Resources (GDNR 2003). Hundreds of reefballs are located offshore (6 to 23 NM) and are associated with artificial reef sites (RFB 2003b). Many artificial reefs in Georgia target tuna (Scombridae) and dolphinfish. All artificial reefs beyond 3 NM have also been established as Special Management Zones (SMZ) in the state of Georgia (GDNR 2003). This designation allows handheld hook and line gear and spearfishing, including powerheads that harvest only to their specified recreational bag limits, to be used at the artificial reefs. Along with artificial reefs offshore of Georgia, there is also a natural reef area called Gray's Reef that supports many marine species (see coral reefs section for more information about Gray's Reef). The most abundant fish associated with artificial reefs in this area are grunts (Haemulidae), snappers, and groupers (*Epinephelus* spp.) (GDNR 2003).

Off the east coast of Florida there are at least 595 artificial reefs ranging in depth from 2 to 60 m, with an average depth of 21 m (SAFMC 1998). In 1978, a recognized artificial reef development program was established by the Division of Marine Resources funded by a grant from the Coastal Plains Regional Commission after years (since the 1960's) of pilot projects funded by various state governments and non-government organizations. The Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FFWCC), Division of Marine Fisheries, Bureau of Marine Fisheries Management supervises Florida's artificial reef program (FFWCC 2005). Florida has strict guidelines as to what can be used as artificial reef material and these materials are determined by the Army Corp of Engineers and Department of Environmental Protection. The most abundant fish species associated with artificial reefs in Florida are groupers (*Epinephulus* sp.), gray snappers (*Lutjanus griseus*), grunts (Haemulidae), and triggerfishes (Balistidae) (FFWCC 2005).

4.9 SHIPWRECKS

The maritime history of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is linked to natural and anthropogenic disturbances. The JAX/CHASN OPAREA is subjected to hurricanes every fall and strong currents colliding from the Gulf Stream Current flowing north and the Labrador Current flowing south. These two currents collide around Frying Pan Shoals off the coast off Wilmington, NC creating hazardous conditions for mariners. Frying Pan Shoals has claimed various warships from World War II such as the *ESSO Nashville,* which was a tanker. The *Papoose*, *WE Hutton*, and *EM Clark* are shipwrecks located off around Frying Pan Shoals in North Carolina (TWP 2006). There are over 50 shipwrecks off the coast of North Carolina (AUE 2006).

Off the coast of Charleston, South Carolina there are various Civil War ships sunk (i.e., *Housatonic*, *Palmetto State*, the *Norseman*, the *Stonewall Jackson*, *Raccoon*, *Keokuk*, *Weehawken*, *USS Patapsco*, *HMS Acteon*, and the *Ruby*) (NUMA 2006). Offshore of Georgia there are at least four ships of war that were sunk (i.e., *CSS Georgia*, *CSS Rattlesnake*, *SS Republic*, and *USS Water Witch*) (GHPD 2006).

There are numerous shipwrecks found within Florida (no historical Spanish galleons), such as casualty ships of World War II sunk by German submarines and U-boats (Singer 1998). Florida's shipping industry has grown over the years and in the late 1800s early 1900s it was a main artery for receiving goods (coffee, molasses, sugar, and rum) from the Caribbean and South American countries. Heavy shipping traffic along with World War II casualty ships, compounded by hurricanes created a wreck haven along Florida's coastlines. It is estimated that there are between 4,000-5,000 wrecks off Florida's east and west coasts (Singer 1998). Offshore of northern Florida there are some Civil War wrecks such as the *Maple Leaf* off Jacksonville, Florida.

4.10 MARINE MANAGED AREAS AND MARINE PROTECTED AREAS

Many areas of the U.S. marine environment receive some level of management protection. The Department of Commerce (DoC) and the Department of the Interior (DoI) have documented all current marine sites receiving management protection. Together the DoC and the DoI implement the Marine Protected Area (MPA) EO 13158 through the National MPA Center, a part of the NOAA. While at one time the National MPA Center was compiling a comprehensive inventory of all federal, state, tribal, and local sites that met certain criteria for designation as a Marine Managed Area (MMA) and ultimately as a MPA, work has now been concluded on the MMAs and they have been placed in an archive. The current MPA inventory is based on the MMA inventory which was active from 2001 to 2007 (NMPAC 2008). MMAs and MPAs are similar in that they both have conservation or management purposes, defined boundaries, and some legal authority to protect resources. MMAs encompass a wider range of management intents than MPAs. MMAs may include areas of protection for geological, cultural, or recreational resources that might not meet the definition provided in EO 13158 for MPAs. MMAs may also include areas that are managed for reasons other than conservation (e.g., security zones, shellfish closures, sewage discharge areas, and pipeline and cable corridors).

MPAs are defined in EO 13158 as "any area of the marine environment that has been reserved by federal, state, territorial, tribal, or local laws or regulations to provide lasting protection for part or all of the natural and cultural resources therein." Section 5 of the EO stipulates, "each Federal agency whose actions affect the natural or cultural resources that are protected by MPAs shall identify such actions. To the extent permitted by law and to the maximum extent practicable, each federal agency, in taking such actions, shall avoid harm to the natural and cultural resources that are protected by an MPA." EO 13158 also calls for the preparation of annual reports by federal agencies describing the actions they have taken over the previous year to implement the order.

EO 13158 provides a formal, albeit vague, definition of a MPA and calls for the development of a national system of MPAs. In order to clarify what specifically constitutes a MPA, the National MPA Center developed a MPA Classification System, providing definitions and qualifications for the various terms within EO 13158 (NMPAC 2005). The new MPA Classification System uses six functional criteria to objectively describe the key features of most MPAs:

(1) Primary conservation focus (i.e., natural heritage, cultural heritage, or sustainable production)

(2) Level of protection (i.e., no access, no impact, no take, zoned with no take area(s), zoned multiple use, or uniform multiple use)

(3) Permanence of protection

(4) Constancy of protection

(5) Ecological scale of protection

(6) Restrictions on extraction

These six criteria are designed to provide a clear picture of why the site was established, what it is intended to protect, and how it may affect local ecosystems and their associated human uses. In practice, the first two characteristics—(1) the primary conservation goal and (2) the level of protection—address most of the issues and concerns relevant to an individual MPA. This classification scheme allows efficient efforts to develop and disseminate the science, tools, and training needed for the effective design, management, and evaluation of the nation's system of MPAs. The designation of MPAs is considered an effective conservation tool for sustaining ocean ecosystems (Agardy 1999; NRC 2000).

The first step in designating areas of the marine environment as MPAs is to create a list or inventory of MMAs, from which MPAs are chosen. The goal of the MMA inventory is to be as inclusive as possible, while maintaining a consistent and systematic approach to adding sites to the database. Sites included in the inventory must meet criteria related to six terms: (1) Area; (2) marine; (3) reserved; (4) duration (lasting) (5) protections; and (6) cultural (NMPAC 2008). These six terms are based on the definition of an MPA as stated in EO 13158, and are intended to guide government agencies in identifying sites to include in the MMA inventory. Each selected site must possess qualities related to each criteria (excluding cultural, which is not a required attribute for a site) to be included in the inventory.

There are currently 1,688 sites listed in the MPA inventory encompassing over 7 million km^2 (NMPAC 2008). Of these, 330 are federally designated, 1,238 are state designated, 66 are designated through a federal/state partnership, and 53 are designated by a U.S. territory. There is one site that is designated as local in the MPA inventory (NMPAC 2008).

4.10.1 Federally Designated Marine Protected Areas

Of the 330 federally designated MPAs in the MPA Inventory, 25 of those are located in or adjacent to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Figure 4-6; Table 4-1).

4.10.1.1 National Marine Sanctuaries

There are currently 13 National Marine Sanctuaries (NMSs) found in U.S. waters. Designated by the NOAA, these NMSs protect over 46,000 km^2 of ocean habitat. Each NMS has an established management plan that guides the sanctuary's activities and programs, sets priorities, and contains relevant regulations. More information on NMSs can be found at the NMS Program website (NOAA 2008).

Gray's Reef is the only NMS located in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Gray's Reef NMS encompasses an area of 57.4 km^2 and is located 32 km east of Sapelo Island, Georgia (NOAA 2001; NMPAC 2008; Figure 4-6; Table 4-1). It was designated in January of 1981 to protect the unique hard bottom habitat that supports a variety of sessile organisms (e.g., sponges, corals, sea fans, and barnacles). These invertebrates constitute live hard bottom and support reef fishes (e.g., black sea bass, snapper, grouper, and mackerel) as well as the

threatened loggerhead sea turtle. Gray's Reef is also known for being a winter calving ground for the highly endangered northern right whale (NOAA 2001). The reef is also a very popular dive site and the largest live bottom habitat available to recreational fishermen in the Georgia region; although, commercial fishing and military operations are restricted (NOAA 2001). It is the only protected offshore natural reef in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.

4.10.1.2 National Park System: National Seashores and National Parks/Monuments

The National Park System (NPS) is composed of 388 sites covering more than 341,000 km^2 in 49 states, the District of Columbia, American Samoa, Guam, Puerto Rico, Saipan, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. National Parks are generally large natural areas with a wide variety of attributes or significant historic assets. The American Antiquities Act of 1906 authorizes the President to publicly proclaim a landmark, structure, or other object of historic or scientific interest as a national monument if it is situated on lands owned or controlled by the federal government (16 U.S.C 431-433).

There are two National Seashores (NS), which fall under the NPS, in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA: Canaveral National Seashore located in Florida, and Cumberland Island National Seashore, located in Georgia (Figure 4-6; Table 4-1).

Canaveral National Seashore is a barrier island on the eastern central Florida coast which supports beaches, dunes, hammock, salt marsh, and pine flatland habitats. It claims the longest stretch of undeveloped beach on the east coast of Florida (39 km or 24 miles) and was established as a NS in 1975 (NMPAC 2008). Mosquito Lagoon located within the park is part of Indian River Lagoon and is a nationally recognized commercial and recreational fishery for finfish, clams, oysters, blue crabs, and shrimp. Endangered species of loggerhead, green, and leatherback sea turtles, and West Indian manatee are also found in this region (NPS 2001).

Cumberland Island National Seashore is located in southeast Georgia. It encompasses over 43.1 km^2 of marsh, mud flat, and tidal creek habitats (NMPAC 2008). The island is also a nesting ground for loggerhead sea turtles and habitat for various birds (i.e., wild turkeys) and land mammals (i.e., armadillos) (NPS 2001).



Figure 4-6. Federal Marine Protected Areas in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMPAC (2008).

Table 4-1. Summary of Federally Designated Marine Protected Areas in the Charleston/ Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity (NMPAC 2008).

MPA Type	Federally Designated MPA (State)	Area (km^2)
National Estuarine Research Reserve	Guana Tolomato Matanzas (FL)	262.0
	Sapelo Island (GA)	3.2
	North Carolina (NC)	42.9
	North Inlet—Winyah Bay (SC)	48.1
	Ashepoo–Combahee–Edisto (ACE- Basin) (SC)	571.4
Fishery Management Zone	East Florida Closed Area (FL, GA)	103,448.2
	Flynet Closure	15,675.8
Fishery Habitat Conservation Zone	Charleston Bump Closed Area (SC, GA)	125,494.3
Ecological and Historic Preserve (Federal/State Partnership)	Timucan Ecological Historic Preserve (FL)	48.6
National Park System	Canaveral National Seashore (FL)	152.8
	Cumberland Island National Seashore (GA)	43.1
	Fort Sumter National Monument (SC)	0.5
National Wildlife Refuge	Merritt Island (FL)	198.1
	Wassaw (GA)	42.7
	Wolf Island (GA)	N/A
	Blackbeard Island (GA)	1.5
	Waccamaw (SC)	213.4
	ACE Basin (SC)	78.2
	Harris Neck (GA)	4.3
	Pinckney Island (SC)	16.4
	Tybee (SC)	3.0
	Cape Romain (SC)	119.2
National Marine Sanctuary	Gray's Reef (GA)	57.4
Marine Mammal Protected Area	Mid Atlantic Waters Closure Area	N/A
	Southern Mid Atlantic Waters Closure Area	113,534.1

One National Monument which falls under the NPS, Fort Sumter, is located within the vicinity of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Fort Sumter lies at the mouth of the Charleston Harbor in South Carolina, and is famous for being the site where the first shots of the Civil War were fired on April 12, 1861. Along with its historical significance, Fort Sumter National Monument also supports marine and estuarine habitats such as barrier islands and marshes, which in turn support a variety of marine fish species and invertebrates (NMPAC 2008).

4.10.1.3 Fisheries Management Zones

One of the many responsibilities of the NMFS includes rebuilding and maintaining sustainable fisheries. To satisfy this responsibility, the NMFS uses fisheries management zones (FMZs) and fisheries habitat conservation zones (FHCZs) as tools to conserve both fish stocks and fish habitat. FMZs have a wide variety of name designations including closed area (CA), closure, and aggregation area (AA). There are two FMZs that overlap with the JAX/CHASN OPAREA: the East Florida Coast CA and the Flynet Closure. The East Florida Coast CA extends from Key West north to Jekyll Island, Georgia, and from 3 NM offshore out to the U.S. EEZ. This entire area is comprised of Pleistocene and Holocene reefs as well as diverse assemblages of fauna. It was established to reduce the number of undersized swordfish and billfish caught by longline fishing gear (NMPAC 2008). The Flynet Closure extends between 3 and 40 NM offshore of the North Carolina coast from Cape Hatteras to the South Carolina border. The purpose of the closure is to protect and rebuild the weakfish stock along the Atlantic coast (NMPAC 2008).

4.10.1.4 Fisheries Habitat Conservation Zones

Fishery Habitat Conservation Zones (FHCZs) are designated by the NMFS to protect the habitat of certain fisheries by reducing human impacts that can arise from the use of specific types of fishing gear (e.g., bottom longlines, pots and traps, and bottom trawls) as well as other forms of exploitation, such as removing corals or other marine artifacts from a reef (GMFMC 2001). There is one FHCZ in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA: the Charleston Bump CA FHCZ extends from southern North Carolina to southern Georgia covering both coastal and offshore waters of the U.S. EEZ (NMPAC 2008). The Charleston Bump is an area of high topographic relief between 700 and 300 m, which deflects the Gulf Stream Current and serves as highly productive habitat for various wreckfish species (e.g., snapper-groupers) as well as deep sea corals (e.g., *L. pertusa*) and other invertebrates (NMPAC 2008).

4.10.1.5 National Wildlife Refuges

The USFWS protects over 388,000 km^2 of habitat through the National Wildlife Refuge System, with 544 established National Wildlife Refuges (NWRs) and 37 Wetland Management Districts under its jurisdiction (USFWS 2003; USFWS 2004). The refuge system encompasses all types of habitat, including 162 refuges nation-wide that contain marine and estuarine habitat (NMPAC 2008). There are 10 NWRs in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, and half are found in South Carolina. The largest NWR, however, is Merritt Island located east of Titusville, Florida at the John F. Kennedy Space Center. It was established as an NWR in 1963 and serves as habitat for migratory birds and threatened and endangered species (NMPAC 2008). Merritt Island NWR is owned by NASA and serves the unique purpose of providing a natural buffer zone for the space center and its operations (NMPAC 2008). About half of the NWR's 198 km^2 is made up of brackish estuaries and marshes, with the remaining habitat comprised of coastal dunes, pine forests, and other vegetation.

The second largest NWR is Cape Romain which extends for 32 km along the South Carolina coast (NMPAC 2008). Cape Romain is a barrier island filled with marshes and saltwater habitat that supports nesting brown pelicans, turns, gulls, and other shore birds. It also is the largest loggerhead nesting ground outside of Florida in the U.S. and is an important refuge for the endangered red wolf (NMPAC 2008).

The largest of the four NWRs in Georgia is Wassaw NWR. Wassaw is composed of barrier island and marsh habitats and serves as a refuge for fish and other wildlife including migratory bird species.

4.10.1.6 National Estuarine Research Reserves

The National Estuarine Research Reserve System (NERRS) is a partnership between the NOAA and the coastal states. The system is currently a network of 27 reserves, including one in Puerto Rico, consisting of relatively pristine estuarine areas that contain key habitat and are protected from significant ecological change or developmental impacts (NERRS 2008). The reserves provide reference sites for research, monitoring, and educational programs that focus on functional estuarine ecosystems. NERRs provide habitat and protection for a variety of rare, endangered, and threatened species.

There are five NERRs located within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. The first and third largest NERRs by area are Ashepoo–Combahee–Edisto Basin (ACE-Basin) and North Inlet–Winyah Bay both of which are located in South Carolina (Table 4-1). ACE-Basin, named for the three rivers that form one of the largest estuarine habitats on the east coast, extends from watershed regions of the three meandering rivers to St. Helens Sound along South Carolina's southeast coast. ACE-Basin supports a diverse assemblage of marsh habitats, oyster bed communities, fisheries, and eight federally listed threatened and endangered (T&E) species (NMPAC 2008). Some of the T&E species that frequently take advantage of this pristine habitat include the West Indian manatee, peregrine falcon, wood stork, and the Kemp's ridley and green sea turtles. In addition to animal species the NERR is also archaeologically significant for its diversity civil war artifacts (NMPAC 2008).

The third largest NERR in the OPAREA and vicinity, North Inlet–Winyah Bay, is located in North Inlet Estuary about 48 km south of Myrtle Beach. The reserve supports the third largest watershed on the east coast (NMPAC 2008). North Inlet estuary and Winyah Bay are a study in contrast. Approximately 90% of the watershed surrounding North Inlet is regarded as pristine and in its natural state, whereas the Winyah Bay watershed drains a large area now supporting agriculture and other human activities that have altered the landscape and significantly lowered the water quality in the Bay (NERRS 2004). Because of the distinct differences between the two major components of this NERR, scientists are able to monitor the long term effects that anthropogenic impacts have on estuarine habitat.

Two other NERRs located in the vicinity of the OPAREA are Guana Tolomato Matanzas in Florida and Sapelo Island in Georgia. Guana Tolomato Matanzas is the second largest reserve in the region and includes everything from mangrove tidal wetlands to upland habitat. West Indian manatees use the reserve as do several protected bird species (e.g., bald eagle, rosette spoonbill, and peregrine falcon), and the offshore waters for the reserve are now breeding grounds for the severely endangered North American Right whale (NERRS 2008).

Sapelo Island is one of the larger barrier islands in Georgia and is part of the Duplin and Sapelo river watersheds. It dates back 4,000 years in human history and has some of the most well preserved estuarine habitats in the state including marshes, pine and cedar hammocks, and sand dune ridges (NMPAC 2008).

4.10.1.7 Ecological and Historic Preserve (Federal/State Partnership)

Ecological and Historical Preserves (EHPs) provide habitat for various animal species and help to preserve significant archaeological artifacts and sites. There is one EHP located in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Timucan Ecological Historic Preserve is supported by a joint agreement between the City of Jacksonville, the state of Florida, and the National Park Service (NMPAC 2008). Timucan Ecological Historic Preserve is located between the St. John's and Nassau rivers in Jacksonville and includes salt marsh and coastal dune habitats used by migratory birds, dolphins, loggerhead sea turtles, West Indian manatees, and bald eagles. In addition to the animal and plant species, Timucan Ecological Historic Preserve also protects over 200 archaeological sites dating back 6,000 years as well as a rich historical documentation of past settlements including those of the Spanish, French, English, and the Confederate states (NMPAC 2008).

4.10.1.8 Marine Mammal Protected Areas

Two federally designated Marine Mammal Protected Areas overlap the northern extent of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Both the Mid Atlantic Closure Area and the Southern Mid Atlantic Closure Area extend from the north into the OPAREA. The two areas are nearly coincident at their southern extent; however the Mid Atlantic Closure Area continues northward up to Long Island, New York, whereas the Southern Mid Atlantic Closure Area terminates just south of Delaware Bay. The Mid Atlantic Closure Area was established as part of the Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan, and the Southern Mid Atlantic Closure Area was established as part of the Harbor Porpoise Take Reduction Plan (NMPAC 2008). Both closure areas apply seasonal gear restrictions in an effort to reduce the number of takes from bycatch. In the Mid Atlantic Closure Area specific gear requirements are mandated annually from 1 December through 31 March which coincides with the usual occurrence of humpback and right whales in the area (NMPAC 2008). In the Southern Mid Atlantic Closure Area large-mesh gillnet gear cannot be used between 15 February and 15 March and certain other requirements apply to both large and small-mesh gillnet gear between 1 February and 30 April to reduce takes of harbor porpoises (NMPAC 2008).

4.10.2 State Designated Marine Protected Areas

Within the vicinity of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA there are approximately 57 state MPAs located in Florida, 21 in South Carolina, and 27 in North Carolina. There are currently not any known state MPAs in Georgia (NMPAC 2008; Table 4-2). All MPAs with available GIS data are mapped (Figure 4-7). Presently there are no GIS coordinates available for MPAs located in Florida or South Carolina, but the NMPAC website (www.mpa.gov) is constantly being updated with the latest available information and should be checked periodically for updates (NMPAC 2008). In total, 21 mapped state designated MPAs are located within North Carolina state waters adjacent to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, and most were added to the MPA inventory relatively recently. Each of the 21 state designated MPAs are categorized into one of the following 8 types of MPAs (NMPAC 2008; Figure 4-7).

- Outstanding Resource Water
- State Park
- Dedicated Nature Preserve
- Coastal Reserve
- Gear Restricted Area
- Game Land
- Federal Threatened/ Endangered Species Protected Area
- State Natural Area

State MPAs in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA mostly occur inland of the coast within estuaries and rivers but some do occur along the coast and offshore within the 3 NM limit of state waters (Figure 4-7). Because the coastal habitats in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA are all located in the South Atlantic Bight, they have similar habitat characteristics (i.e., salt marsh, coastal sand dunes, pine forests) and support similar plant and animal species such as salt marsh grasses, migratory birds, estuarine fish, and sea turtles. The MPA occurring most often near the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is the Outstanding Florida Water (OFW) MPA with 30 sites (Table 4-2). Outstanding Florida Water sites in the vicinity of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA do not have GIS data available but they are often associated with other federally designated MPAs.

State Parks (SP) have the second largest number of MPA sites near the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, most of which occur in Florida (Table 4-2). GIS data are only available for three North Carolina SP MPAs near the OPAREA: Hammocks Beach, Masonboro Island, and Carolina Beach. State Parks provide outdoor recreation opportunities in conjunction with the conservation of natural resources.

The state of North Carolina has three Coastal Reserves (CR) situated in close proximity to the OPAREA: Bald Head Woods, Bird Island, and Permuda Island. Bald Head Woods is found along Smith's Barrier Island and provides habitat for nesting loggerhead sea turtles (NMPAC 2008). Bird Island is located on the coast near the border of South Carolina. This CR is also known for its nesting beaches for loggerhead sea turtles, as well as its shoreline vegetation (NMPAC 2008). Permuda Island is located farther north in Onslow County, North Carolina.

There are also five mapped Outstanding Resource Water (ORW) MPAs in the vicinity of the OPAREA. Bogue Sound ORW is located the farthest north and is also a Gear Restricted Area (GRA). Masonboro Sound Area is the ORW located the closest to the South Carolina border. The other three ORWs are Bear Island, Stump Sound Area, and Topsail Sound and Middle Sound Area.

There are three mapped GRAs in the vicinity of the OPAREA. GRAs run all along the North Carolina coast that is adjacent to the OPAREA, and out of all the MPAs, they are the largest in area. There are also two Game Lands (GL), two mapped Dedicated Nature Preserves (DNP), one State Recreation Area (SRA), one State Natural Area (SNA), and one Federal Threatened/ Endangered Species Protected Area (FT/ESPA).

Table 4-2. Summary of State Designated Marine Protected Areas in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity (NMPAC 2008).

MPA Type	State Designated MPA (State)	Area (km^2)
Outstanding Florida Water	Amelia Island State Recreation Area (FL)	4.7
	Anastasia State Park (FL)	1.8
	Banana River Aquatic Preserve (FL)	105.8
	Big Talbot Island State Park (FL)	7.3
	Bulow Creek State Park (FL)	13.2
	Canaveral National Seashore (FL)	99.8
	Faver-Dykes State Park (FL)	5.4
	Fort Carolina National Memorial (FL)	N/A
	Fort Clinch State Park Aquatic Preserve (FL)	30.6
	Fort Clinch State Park (FL)	0.4
	Fort George Island (FL)	0.2
	Gamble Rogers Memorial State Recreation Area at Flagler Beach (FL)	0.1
	Great White Heron National Wildlife Refuge (FL)	641.5
	Guana River Marsh Aquatic Preserve (FL)	120.1
	Indian River–Malabar to Vero Beach Aquatic Preserve (FL)	108.8
	Little Talbot Island State Park (FL)	7.7
	Merritt Island national Wildlife Refuge (FL)	87.5
	Mosquito Lagoon Aquatic Preserve (FL)	5.8
	Nassau River–St. Johns River Marshes Aquatic Preserve (FL)	286.0
	Nassau valley State Reserve (FL)	165.8
	North Peninsula State Recreation Area (FL)	2.3
	Pellicer Creek Aquatic (FL)	2.7
	Spruce Creek (FL)	7.3
	Spruce Creek Special Water (FL)	19.3
	Timucan Ecological and Historic Preserve (FL)	148.8
	Tomoka Marsh Aquatic Preserve (FL)	10.3
	Tomoka River (FL)	25.4
	Tomoka State Park (FL)	0.3
	Washington Oaks State Gardens (FL)	1.7
	Fort Mose Historic State Park (FL)	0.1
State Park	Fort Mose Historic (FL)	<0.1
	North Peninsula State Recreation Area (FL)	2.2
	Washington Oaks State Gardens (FL)	1.7
	Amelia Island State Recreation Area (FL)	4.7
	Anastasia (FL)	1.8
	Bulow Creek (FL)	13.2
	Bulow Plantation Ruins Historic (FL)	0.6
	Faver-Dykes (FL)	5.4
	Fort Clinch (FL)	5.5
	Fort George Island Cultural (FL)	0.2
	Gamble Rogers Memorial State Recreation Area at Flagler Beach (FL)	0.5
	Little Talbot Island (FL)	7.2
	Tomoka (FL)	5.7
	Hammocks Beach (NC)	0.8
	Masonboro Island (NC)	0.7
	Carolina Beach (NC)	<0.1
	Fort Macon (NC)	0.7

Table 4-2. Summary of State Designated Marine Protected Areas in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity (NMPAC 2008) (cont'd).

MPA Type	State Designated MPA (State)	Area (km^2)
State Recreation Area	Fort Fisher (NC)	N/A
Dedicated Nature Preserve	Masonboro Island Estuarine Reserve (NC)	1.9
	Buckridge Coastal Reserve (NC)	67.0
	Zeke's Island Estuarine Reserve (NC)	6.4
Coastal Reserve	Bald Head Woods (NC)	0.8
	Bird Island (NC)	1.9
	Permuda Island (NC)	0.3
Outstanding Resource Water	Topsail Sound and Middle Sound Area (NC)	21.5
	Masonboro Sound Area (NC)	10.3
	Southwestern White Oak River Basin (NC)	0.0
	Core Sound, White Oak River Basin (NC)	195.8
	Back Sound (NC)	38.1
	Stump Sound Area (NC)	17.7
	Bogue Sound (NC)	57.8
	Bear Island (NC)	22.7
Gear Restricted Area	South of Onslow County Mechanical Harvesting of Oysters Prohibited Area (NC)	143.3
	White Oak River Mechanical Harvesting of Oysters (NC)	30.1
	Bogue Sound Mechanical Harvesting of Oysters Prohibited Area (NC)	96.8
	Onslow County Mechanical Harvesting of Oysters Prohibited Area (NC)	118.14
State Natural Area	Bald Head Island (NC)	24.2
Game Land	Croatan (NC)	654.3
	White Oak River Impoundment (NC)	0.7
Federal Threatened/ Endangered Species Protected Area	Sea Turtle Sanctuary (NC)	24.1
Aquatic Preserve	Banana River (FL)	105.8
	Fort Clinch State Park (FL)	30.6
	Nassau River–St. Johns River Marshes (FL)	286.0
	Tomoka (FL)	N/A
Critical Wildlife Area	Amelia Island (FL)	N/A
	Bird Islands (FL)	N/A
	Fort George Inlet (FL)	N/A
	Matanzas Inlet (FL)	N/A
	Ponce de Leon Inlet (FL)	N/A
Wildlife Management Area	Guana River Wildlife Management Area (FL)	37.3
	Bear Island (SC)	47.7
	Santee Coastal Reserve (SC)	N/A
	Santee Delta (SC)	N/A
	Samworth (SC)	N/A
	Turtle Island (SC)	6.7
	St. Helena Sound (SC)	3.0

Table 4-2. Summary of State Designated Marine Protected Areas in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity (NMPAC 2008) (cont'd).

MPA Type	State Designated MPA (State)	Area (km^2)
Natural Heritage Preserve	Bird Key Stono (SC)	0.2
	Deveaux Bank (SC)	N/A
	St. Helena Sound (SC)	N/A
	Old Island (SC)	N/A
	Daws Island (SC)	N/A
	Joiner Bank (SC)	N/A
	Bay Point Shoal (SC)	N/A
	Tom Yawkee Wildlife Center (SC)	80.3
	North Santee Bar (SC)	N/A
	Caper's Island (SC)	N/A
	Crab Bank (SC)	N/A
Historic Site	Cooper River Heritage Dive Trail (SC)	N/A
	Ashley River Heritage Canoe Trail (SC)	N/A
Surface Water Improvement Management Area	Coastal Rivers SWIM Area (FL)	N/A
	Lower St. Johns Rivers SWIM Area (FL)	N/A
State Wildlife Sanctuary	Charleston Harbor (SC)	N/A
State Fishery Management Zone	General Trawling Zone (SC)	N/A
Manatee Safety Havens	Indian River, Reliant Corporation Delespine Power Plant No Entry Zone (FL)	N/A
	Indian River, Reliant Corporation Delespine Power Plant Motorboats Prohibited Zone (FL)	N/A



Figure 4-7. State Marine Protected Areas in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMPAC (2008).

4.11 LITERATURE CITED

Agardy, T. 1999. Creating havens for marine life. Issues in Science and Technology 16(1):37-44.

Artificial Reef Subcommittee. 1997. Guidelines for marine artificial reef materials. Ocean Springs, Mississippi: Artificial Reef Subcommittee of the Technical Coordinating Committee, Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission.

AUE (Association of Underwater Explorers). 2006. North Carolina shipwrecks. Accessed 10 March 2006. http://uwex.us/northcarolinashipwrecks.htm.

Avent, R.M., M.E. King, and R.H. Gore 1977. Topographic and faunal studies of shelf-edge prominences off the central eastern Florida coast. Internationale Revue der Gesamten Hydrobiologie 62(2):185-208.

Bane, J.M. Jr., L.P. Atkinson, D.A. Brooks. 2001. Gulf Stream physical oceanography at the Charleston Bump: Deflection, bimodality, meanders, and upwelling. Pages 25-36 in G.R. Sedberry, ed. Island in the Stream, Oceanography and Fisheries of the Charleston Bump. Maryland: American Fisheries Society.

Beets, J. 1989. Experimental evaluation of fish recruitment to combinations of fish aggregating devices and benthic artificial reefs. Bulletin of Marine Science 44(2):973-983.

Bjorndal, K.A. 1997. Foraging ecology and nutrition of sea turtles. Pages 199-231 in P.L. Lutz and J.A. Musick, eds. The biology of sea turtles. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.

BLM (Bureau of Land Management). 1976. Final environmental impact statement: Proposed 1978 Outer Continental Shelf oil and gas lease sale, South Atlantic, Outer Continental Shelf sale number 43, visual number 4N and 4S: Undersea features and natural vegetation. New Orleans: Bureau of Land Management, Cape Hatteras Planning Unit, New Orleans Outer Continental Shelf Office.

BLM (Bureau of Land Management). 1981. South Atlantic OCS area living marine resources study.1: An investigation of live bottom habitats south of Cape Fear, North Carolina. New Orleans: Bureau of Land Management.

Bohnsack, J.A., D.L. Johnson, and R.F. Ambrose. 1991. Ecology of artificial reef habitats and fishes. Pages 61-107 in W. Seaman, Jr. and L.M. Sprague, eds. Artificial habitats for marine and freshwater fisheries. San Diego: Academic Press.

Butler, J.N., B.F. Morris, J. Cadwallader, and A.W. Stoner. 1983. Studies of *Sargassum* and the *Sargassum* community. Bermuda Biological Station Special Publication 22:1-85.

Butler, J.N. and A.W. Stoner. 1984. Pelagic *Sargassum*: Has its biomass changed in the last 50 years? Deep-Sea Research. 31:1259-1264.

Cahoon, L.B., D.G. Lindquist, and I.E. Claviijo. 1990. “Live bottoms” in the Florida-Hatteras shelf ecosystem: A misconception? Pages 39-47 in W.C. Japp, ed. Proceedings, The American Academy of Underwater Sciences Tenth Annual Scientific Diving Symposium. 4-7 October 1990. St. Petersburg, Florida.

Cairns, S.D. and G.D. Stanley, Jr. 1981. Ahermatypic coral banks: Living and fossil counterparts. Proceedings of the Fourth International Coral Reef Symposium, Manila.1:611-618.

Carr, A. 1987. New perspective on the pelagic stage of sea turtle development. Conservation Biology 1 (2):103-121.

Claro, R., and J.P. Garcia-Arteaga. 1999. Perspectives on an artificial habitat program for fishes of the Cuban shelf. SGEB-49. Gainesville: Florida Sea Grant College Program.

CORMP (Coastal Ocean Research and Monitoring Program). 2006. Description of Onslow Bay Coastal Climatology. Accessed 13 June 2006. http://www.cormp.org/climate/August.html.

Coston-Clements, L. L.R. Settle, D.E. Hoss, and F.A. Cross. 1991. Utilization of the *Sargassum* habitat by marine invertebrates: A review. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-296:1-26.

Dooley, J.K. 1972. Fishes associated with the pelagic *Sargassum* complex, with a discussion of the *Sargassum* community. Contributions in Marine Science 16:1-32.

Emery, K.O., and E. Uchupi. 1972. Western North Atlantic Ocean: Topography, rocks, structure, water, life, and sediments. Members 17, American Association of Petroleum Geology.

FFWCC (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission). 2004. Florida's artificial reef permit areas. GIS shapefiles received October 2005 from Bill Horn. Tallahassee, Florida: Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Artificial Reef Program.

FFWCC (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission). 2005. Artificial reefs program summary overview. Accessed 15 June 2006. http://myfwc.com/marine/ar/arOverview.html.

Fitzhardinge, R.C. and J.H. Bailey-Brock. 1989. Colonization of artificial reef materials by corals and other sessile organisms. Bulletin of Marine Science 44(2):567-579.

Freiwald, A., Fosså, J.H., Grehan, A. Koslow, T. and Roberts, J.M. 2004. Cold-water coral reefs. Cambridge, United Kingdom: United Nations Environmental Programme-World Conservation Monitoring Center.

Frias-Torres, S., and R.G. Gilmore, Jr. 1999. Transport of pelagic fish larvae in the Intra-Americas Sea: The Caribbean-Florida Connection. Abstracts of the Fifty-Second Annual Meeting of the Gulf and Fisheries Institute.

Garrison, T., ed. 2004. Oceanography, an invitation to marine science. Stamford, Connecticut: Thomson Learning.

GDNR (Georgia Department of Natural Resources). 2001. Georgia's offshore artificial reefs and the Gray's Reef Marine Sanctuary and U.S. Navy towers: descriptions and coordinates. Brunswick, Georgia: Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Coastal Resources Division.

GDNR (Georgia's Department of Natural Resources) 2003. Georgia Reefball's. Accessed 13 March 2006. Http://www.reefball.com/map/georgia.htm.

GDNR (Georgia Department of Natural Resources). 2005. Georgia's offshore artificial reefs brochure corrections and updates. Brunswick, Georgia: Georgia Department of Natural Resources Coastal Resources Division.

General Oceanics. 1986. Blake Plateau current measurement study. MMS-86-0082. New Orleans: Mineral Management Service.

George, R.Y. 2002. Ben Franklin temperate reef and deep sea 'Agassiz Coral Hills' in the Blake Plateau off North Carolina. Hydrobiologia 471: 71-81.

GHPD (Georgia Historic Preservation Society). 2006. Georgia shipwrecks and underwater archaeology sites. Accessed 14 July 2006. http://hpd.dnr.state.ga.us/content/displaycontent.asp?txtDocument =161.

GMFMC (Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council). 2001. Draft supplemental environmental impact statement: Amendment 18 to the reef fish fishery management plan for the reef fish resources of the Gulf of Mexico. Appendices. Tampa, Florida: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council.

Goodwin, M.H., and H.G. Cambers. 1983. Artificial reefs: A handbook for the eastern Caribbean. Narragansett: Environmental Research Projects.

Gordon, W.R., Jr. 1993. Atlantic coast artificial reef habitat: Program and policy guideline for comprehensive statewide planning and management. Special Report Number 31. Washington, D.C.: The Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission.

Gosner, K.L. 1978. A field guide to the Atlantic seashore. Boston, Massachusetts: Houghton Mifflin Company.

GRNMS (Gray's Reef National Marine Sanctuary). 2006. Sessile invertebrate fauna identification. Accessed 02 June 2006. http://graysreef.noaa.gov/research.html.

Hain, S. and E. Corcoran. 2004. The status of the cold water reefs of the world. Pages 115-133 in C. Wilkinson, eds. Status of Coral Reefs of the World. Townsville, Australia: Austalian Institute of Marine Science.

Hanisak, M.D., and M.A. Samuel. 1987. Growth rates in culture of several species of Sargassum from Florida, US. Pages 399-404 in M.A. Ragan and C.J. Bird, eds. Twelfth International Seaweed Symposium. Hydrobiologia 151 and 152. Netherlands: Dr. W. Junk Publishers.

Hunt, J. L., Jr. 1974. The geology and origin of Gray's Reef, Georgia continental shelf. M.S. thesis, Univ. Georgia, Athens.

Huntsman, G.R., and I.G. Macintyre. 1971. Tropical Coral patches in Onslow Bay. Underwater Naturalist 7(2):32-34.

Jacques, T.G., M.E.Q. Pilson, C. Cummings, and N. Marshall. 1977. Laboratory observations on respiration, photosynthesis, and factors affecting calcification in the temperate coral Astrangia danae. Proceedings, Third International Coral Reef Symposium, Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami, Miami, Florida. 2:455-461.

Jones, A.C., S.A. Berkeley, J.A. Bohnsack, S.A.. Bortone, D.K. Camp, G.H. Darcy, J.C. Davis, K.D. Haddad, M.Y. Hedgepeth, E.W. Irby, Jr., W.C. Jaap, F.S. Kennedy, W.G. Lyons, E.L. Nakamura, T.H. Perkins, J.K. Reed, K.A. Steidinger, J.T. Tilmant, and R.O. Williams. 1985. Ocean habitat and fishery resources of Florida. Pages 437-543 in W. Seaman, Jr., ed. Florida aquatic habitat and fishery resources. Gainesville, Florida: Florida Chapter, American Fisheries Society.

Kaplan E.H. 1982. Coral Reefs. Boston, Massachusetts: Houghton Mifflin Company.

Kendall, M.S., O.P. Jensen, G. McFall, R. Bohne, D. Field, C. Alexander, M.E. Monaco. 2003. Benthic habitats of Gray's Reef National Marine Sanctuary. NOAA/NOS/NCCOS/CCMA Biogeography Team Technical Report pages 1-16.

King, M. and S. King. 1995. The oceans and coastal areas and their resources. Samoa: United Nations Environmental Programme.

Lee, T.F. 1986. The seaweed handbook, an illustrated guide to seaweeds from North Carolina to the Arctic. New York: Dover Publications, Incorporated.

Liddell, W.D., W.E. Avery, and S.L. Olhorst. 1997. Patterns of benthic community structure, 10-250 m, The Bahamas. Proceedings of the Eighth International Coral reef Symposium 1:437-442.

Miller, W.W. 1995. Growth of a temperate coral: Effects of temperature, light, depth, and heterotrophy. Marine Ecology Progress Series 122:217-225.

Miller, M.W. and M.E. Hay. 1996. Coral-seaweed-grazer nutrient interactions on temperate reefs. Ecological Monographs 66(3): 323-344.

Milliman, J.D., and W.R. Wright. 1987. The marine environment of the U.S. Atlantic continental slope and rise. Boston/Woods Hole, Massachusetts: Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Inc.

Morgan, L.E., C.F. Tsao, and J.M. Guinotte. 2006. Status of deep sea corals in US waters with recommendations for their conservation and management. Washington: Marine Conservation Biology Institute.

Moser, M.L., P.J. Auster, and J.B. Bichy. 1998. Effects of mat morphology on large *Sargassum*-associated fishes: Observations from a remotely operated vehicle (ROV) and free-floating video camcorders. Environmental Biology of Fishes 51:391-398.

Mullins, H.T., C.R. Newton, K. Heath, and H.M. Vanburen. 1981. Modern deepwater coral mounds north of Little Bahamas bank: criteria for recognition of deepwater coral bioherms in the rock record. Journal Sedimentary Petrology 51(3):1000-1013.

NCDMF (North Carolina Department of Marine Fisheries).2005. North Carolina Artificial guide. Received March 2005 from James Francesconi. Morehead City, North Carolina: North Carolina Department of Marine Fisheries.

NDBC (National Data Buoy Center). 2005. Station 410008 Gray's Reef, historical data, climatic summary, sea temperature. Accessed 02 June 2006. http://seaboard.ndbc.noaa.gov/station_history.php ?station=41008.

Nelson, P.A. 2003. Marine Fish assemblages associated with fish aggregating devices (FADs): effects of fish removal, FAD size, fouling communities, and prior recruits. Fishery Bulletin 101:835-850.

NERRS (National Estuary Research Reserve System). 2004. National Estuary Research Reserve System home page. Accessed 3 June 2004. http://nerrs.noaa.gov/.

NERRS (National Estuarine Research Reserve System). 2008. Map of the reserves. A network of 27 protected areas. Access date: 20 August 2008. http://nerrs.noaa.gov/Reserves.html.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2003. Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Pelagic *Sargassum* Habitat of the South Atlantic Region. Federal Register 69(192):57375-57379.

NMPAC (National Marine Protected Areas Center). 2005. NOAA progress report: Status of MPA Executive Order 13158 and National Marine Protected Areas Center Fiscal Year 2004. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Protected Areas Center.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2001. Gray's Reef. Accessed 31 July 2001. http://www.sanctuaries.nos.noaa.gov/oms/omsgrays/omsgrays.html.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2005. Ocean explorer: windows to the deep exploration: hydrates. Accessed 07 July 2006. http://oceanexplorer.noaa.gov/explorations/03windows/background/hydrates/media/fig2_map.html.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2006. CoRIS: Deepwater corals. Accessed 23 January 2006. http://www.coris.noaa.gov/about/deep.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2008. National Marine Sactuary System and field sites. Accessed 2 September 2008. http://www.sanctuaries.noaa.gov/visit/welcome.html.

NOAA's Coral Reef Conservation Program. 2008. Implementation of the deep sea coral research and technology program. Silver Springs, Maryland: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

NOAA's Marine Protected Areas Center. 2008. The Marine Protected Areas Inventory. http://mpa.gov/helpful_resources/inventory.html. Electronic data. Acessed 13 April 2008.

NPS (National Park Service). 2001. The National Park System--Caring for the American legacy. Accessed 1 May 2002 and 4 June 2004. http://www.nps.gov/legacy/mission.html.

NRC (National Research Council). 2000. Marine protected areas: Tools for sustaining ocean ecosystems. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.

NUMA (National Underwater and Marine Association). 2006. Siege of Charleston expedition. Accessed 10 March 2006. http://www.numa.net/expeditions/siege_of_charleston_expedition.html.

Oceana. 2004. Rulemaking to protect deepsea coral and sponge habitat. Washington D.C., Oceana.

Parker, R.O. Jr., Colby, D.O., and Willis, T.D. 1983. Estimated amount of reef habitat on a portion of the U.S. South Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico Florida-Hatteras shelf. Bulletin of Marine Science 33(4):935-940.

Parr, A.E. 1939. Quantitative observations on the pelagic *Sargassum* vegetation of the western north Atlantic. With preliminary discussion of morphology and relationships. Bulletin of the Bingham Oceanographic Collection. New Haven, Connecticut: Bingham Oceanographic Foundation.

Pickard, G.L., and W.J. Emery. 1982. Descriptive physical oceanography. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Popenoe, P. and F.T. Manheim. 2001. Origin and history of the Charleston Bump-geological formations, currents, bottom conditions, and their relationship to wreckfish habitats on the Blake Plateau. American Fisheries Society Symposium 25:43-94.

Puglise, K.A., Brock, R.J., and McDonough III, J.J. 2005. Identifying critical information needs and developing institutional partnerships to further the understanding of Atlantic deep-sea coral ecosystems in A. Freiwald and J.M. Roberts, ed. Cold-water corals and ecosystems. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.

RBF (Reefball Foundation). 2003a. South Carolina reefballs. Accessed 13 March 2006. http://www.reefball.com/map/southcarolina.htm.

RBF (Reefball Foundation). 2003b. Georgia reefballs. Accessed 13 March 2006. http://www.reefball.com/map/georgia.htm.

Reed, J.K. 1980. Distribution and structure of deep-water *Oculina varicosa* coral reefs off central eastern Florida. Bulletin of Marine Science 30(3):667-677.

Reed, J.K. 1992. Submersible studies of deep water *Oculina* and *L. pertusa* coral banks off southeastern U.S. Proceedings of the American Academy of Underwater Sciences, Twelfth Annual Scientific Diving Symposium.

Reed, J.K. 2002. Comparison of deep-water coral reefs and lithoherms off southeastern U.S.A. Hydrobiologia 471:57-69.

Reed, J.K. and S.W. Ross. 2005. Deepwater reefs of the southeastern U.S.: Recent discoveries and research. The Journal of Marine Education 21(4):33-37.

Reed, J.K., D.C. Weaver, and S.A. Pomponi. 2006. Habitat and fauna of deep-water *L. pertusa* coral reefs off the southeastern U.S.: Blake Plateau, Straits of Florida, and Gulf of Mexico. Bulletin of Marine Science 78(2): 343-375.

Riggs, S.R., W.G. Ambrose, JR., J.W. Cook, S.W. Snyder., and S.W. Snyder. 1998. Sediment production on sediment-starved continental margins: The interrelationship between hardbottom, sedimentological and benthic community processes, and storm dynamics. Journal of Sedimentary Research 68(1): 155-168.

Roberts, S., and M. Hirshfield. 2004. Deep sea corals: out of sight but no longer out of mind. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment. 2(3): 123-130.

Rogers, C.S. 1990. Responses of coral reefs and reef organisms to sedimentation. Marine Ecology Progress Series 62: 185-202.

Romanov, E.V. 2001. Bycatch in the tuna purse-seine fisheries of the western Indian Ocean. Fishery Bulletin 100 (1):90-105.

Ross, S.W. 2004. General description of distribution, habitat, and associated fauna of deep water coral reefs on the North Carolina continental slope. Charleston, South Carolina: SAFMC.

RUCOOL (Rutgers University Coastal Ocean Observation Laboratory). 2005. Satellite images: sea surface temperature of Cape Hatteras. Accessed 19 June 2006. http://marine.rutgers .edu/mrs/sat_data/?bm=8&bd=9&by=2005&sort=date&em=9&ed=2&ey=2005&region=capehat&prod uct=sst&nothumbs=0&okb.x=11&okb.y=20.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 1998. Final habitat plan for the South Atlantic region: Essential fish habitat requirements for fishery management plans of the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council: The shrimp fishery management plan, the red drum fishery management plan, the snapper-grouper fishery management plan, the coastal migratory pelagics fishery management plan, the golden crab fishery management plan, the spiny lobster fishery management plan, the coral, coral reefs, and live/hardbottom habitat fishery management plan, the Sargassum habitat fishery management plan, and the calico scallop fishery management plan. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2002. Second Revised Final Fishery Management Plan for pelagic *Sargassum* habitat of the South Atlantic region. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2005. South Atlantic Habitat and Ecosystem IMS. Accessed 01 March 2006. http://ocean.floridamarine.org/efh_coral/ims/viewer.htm.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2006a. Library: *Sargassum*. Accessed 26 April 2006. http://www.safmc.net/Library/Sargassum/tabid/414/Default.aspx.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2006b. Fishery Management Plan for Coral. Accessed 24 May 2006. http://www.safmc.net/Library/Coral/tabid/409/Default.aspx.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2006c. Deep water coral: *L. pertusa*. Accessed 24 May 2006. http://www.safmc.net/HabitatManagement/DeepwaterCorals/L. pertusa/tabid/247/Default .aspx.

SCDNR (South Carolina Department of Natural Resources). 2006. Sea Science: marine artificial reefs. Accessed 13 march 2006. Http://www.dnr.sc.gov/marine/pub/seascience/artreef.html.

SCMRD (South Carolina Marine Resources Division). 2005. South Carolina artificial reefs-GPS update. Received March 2005 from Robert M. Martore. Charleston, South Carolina: South Carolina Marine Resources Division, Office of Fisheries Management.

SEAMAP (Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program). 2001. South Atlantic Bight bottom mapping CD-ROM, Version 1.2 Washington, D.C.: Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, SEAMAP-South Atlantic Bottom Mapping Workgroup.

Sedberry, G.R. and J.C. McGovern. 1998. A comparison of fish populations in Gray's Reef National Marine Sanctuary to similar habitats off the southeastern U.S.: Implications for reef fish and sanctuary management. Proceedings of the Annual Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute 50:452-481.

Sedberry, G.R. 2005. NOAA: A profile of the Charleston Bump. Accessed 13 June 2006. http://oceanexplorer.noaa.gov/explorations/islands01/background/islands/sup11_bump.html.

Settle, L.R. 1993. Spatial and temporal variability in the distribution and abundance of larval and juvenile fishes associated with pelagic *Sargassum*. Master's thesis, University of North Carolina.

Singer, S.D.1998. Shipwrecks of Florida, a comprehensive listing. 2d ed. Sarasota, Florida: Pineapple Press, Inc.

Spalding, M.D., C. Ravilious, and E.P. Green. 2001. World atlas of coral reefs. Berkeley, California: University of California Press.

Stetson, T.R., Squire, D.F., and Pratt, M. 1962. Coral banks occurring in deep water on the Blake Plateau. American Museum of Natural History Novitates 2114:1-39.

Stoner, A.W. 1983. Pelagic *Sargassum*: Evidence for a major decrease in biomass. Deep-Sea Research 30(4):461-474.

Street, M.W., A.S. Deaton, W.S. Chappell, and P.D. Mooreside. 2005. Coastal Habitat Protection Plan. Moorehead City: North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries.

Sumich, J.L., 1988. An introduction to the biology of marine life. 4th ed. Dubuque, Iowa: Wm. C. Brown Publishers.

Szmant, A.M. 1986. Reproductive ecology of Caribbean reef corals. Coral Reefs 5:43-54.

TWP (Tom's Wreck Page). 2006. Tom's favorite local wrecks in Wilmington, North Carolina. Accessed 10 March 2006. http://members.aol.com/fpsndiver/FPSN3.html.

UCMP (University California Berkley Museum of Paleontology). 2006. Porifera: Life history and ecology. Accessed 24 May 2006. http://www.ucmp.berkeley.edu/porifera/poriferalh.html.

USFWS (United States Fish and Wildlife Service). 2003. National Wildlife Refuge Locator. Accessed 09 February 2006. http://refuges.fws.gov/refugeLocatorMaps/index.html.

USFWS (United States Fish and Wildlife Service). 2004. America's National Wildlife System: Letter from the Chief, May 2004. Accessed 09 February 2006. http://www.fws.gov/refuges/chiefLetters /Hartwig_May_2004.html.

Van Dolah, R.F., P.H. Wendt, N. Nicholoson. 1987. Effects of a research trawl on a hardbottom assemblage of sponges and corals. Fisheries Research 5:39-54.

Veridian (Veridian Corporation). 2001. The global maritime wrecks database. Herndon, Virginia: General Dynamics Corporation.

Veron, J.E.N. 2000. Corals of the world. Australia Institute of Marine Science.

Watling, L. and P.J. Auster. 2005. Distribution of deep-water Alcyonacea off the Northeast coast of the United States. Pages 279-296 in A. Freiwald and J.M. Roberts, ed. Cold-water corals and ecosystems. Berlin Heidelber: Springer-Verlag.

Wenner E.L., P. Hinde, D.M. Knott, and R.F. Van Dolah. 1984. A temporal and spatial study of invertebrate communities associated with hardbottom habitats in the South Atlantic Bight. NOAA Technical Report NMFS 18: 1-106. Seattle, Washington, National Marine Fisheries Service.

Wilson, J.B. 1979. The distribution of the coral Lophelia pertusa (L.) [*L. prolifera* (Pallus)] in the northeast Atlantic. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 59:149-164.

This page intentionally left blank

5.0 FISH AND FISHERIES

5.1 FISH AND INVERTEBRATES

The zoogeography of marine fishes is closely tied to oceanographic processes and their position to continents (Moyle and Cech 1988). Fishes residing on continental shelves are affected by the topography of the continental shelf, water temperatures, and currents (Moyle and Cech 1988). Climates throughout the world, along with topographic features, divide the continental shelves into five categories: tropical regions, north temperate regions, south temperate regions, arctic regions, and Antarctic regions (Moyle and Cech 1988). In addition to continental shelf regions, there are also pelagic and deep sea regions that support various fish species. Fish distributions in these regions, such as the mesopelagic zone (200 to 1,000 m) and the offshore area of the epipelagic zone (0 to 200 m), are not confined to specific geographic locations (Moyle and Cech 1988). In addition, the distributions of marine invertebrates, like those of marine fishes, are also subjected to currents, ocean temperatures, and topographic features but are largely dependent upon the composition (firmness, texture, and stability) of the substrate they reside upon (Sumich 1988). Their larval stage allows extensive distributions by drifting along stretches of open water and miles of coastline (Jackson et al. 2002).

The JAX/CHASN OPAREA is located within the South Atlantic Bight (SAB). The topography in this region is divided into four distinct habitats: coastal habitats (1 to 18 m), open-shelf habitat (18 to 55 m), shelf-edge habitat (55 to 110 m), and lower-shelf habitat (110 to 182 m) (Struhsaker 1969). The JAX/CHASN OPAREA extends from southern North Carolina to northern Florida and includes various physiographic structures (i.e., Charleston Bump and Blake Plateau) that attract commercial and recreational fish species. Additionally, two main currents converge off of North Carolina: the Labrador Current flowing down from the north and the Gulf Stream Current traveling up from the south. The dynamic interplay of these currents has a profound effect on the fish fauna of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. The northern region (North Carolina) of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is considered temperate whereas the southern region (i.e., Florida) of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is considered subtropical-tropical (Moyle and Cech 1988). Fish species move in and out of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA depending upon their thermal tolerances, prey availability, and other environmental/ecological variables. Ecological groups of fishes that occur in the SAB include the estuarine-dependent community, the reef associated community, and the pelagic associated community (Schwartz 1989). As a result, fishes that are more typical of regions to the north (i.e., more temperate species) or south (i.e., more tropical species) of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA may be well represented at different times of the year (Vernberg and Vernberg 1970; Bumpus 1973; and Briggs 1974).

The northern portion (inner shelf, North Carolina) of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA has a narrowing shelf with fair amounts of hardbottom to support coastal migratory pelagic fish species (e.g., king mackerel [*Scomberomorus cavalla*], Spanish mackerel [*S. maculatus*], and cero mackerel [*S. regalis*]) (NAS 1990). The fish in this area are subjected to cold water temperatures in the winter (4° to 10°C) and fairly mild temperatures in the summer (22° to 25°C) (Huntsman and Manooch 1978). The offshore reef fish of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA inhabit deeper water that does not fluctuate in temperature as much as the nearshore. The fish residing in the offshore shelf waters of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA are considered tropical and subtropical (i.e., porgies [Sparidae], snappers [*Lutjanus* sp.], groupers [*Epinephelus* sp.], grunts [Haemulidae], and black sea bass [*Centropristis striatus*]) (Huntsman and Manooch 1978; NAS 1990; Miller and Richards 1980). The offshore reef fish reside wherever there is sufficient hardbottom. The central and southern portions of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, from South Carolina to northern Florida, has considerable hardbottom and topographic features (e.g., Gray's Reef, Charleston Bump, and Savannah Scarp) that supports many species of reef fishes on the Florida-Hatteras shelf and slope (Sedberry et al. 2001). There are also a number of artificial habitats, both shipwrecks and constructed artificial reefs, which are found throughout the JAX/CHASN OPAREA that support a diversity of fishes (see chapter 4 for more information). Reef fishes partition their environment by depth, bottom topography, and temperature, allowing for high diversity (Miller and Richards 1980; Grimes et al. 1982). The combination of habitat complexity, warm water from the Gulf Stream, and pelagic larvae of coral-associated fishes (Leis 1991) results in significant assemblages of invertebrates (i.e., sponges) and reef fishes (over 300 species) such as the redfin parrotfish (*Sparisoma rubripinne*), creole wrasse (*Clepticus parrai*), puddingwife (*Halichoeres radiatus*), and white grunt (*Haemulon plumieri*) inhabiting the inner, mid and outer shelf (Struhsaker 1969; Parker et al. 1983; Parker and Mays 1998). Common coastal fish species in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA are bluefish (*Pomatomus saltatrix*), crevalle jacks (Carangidae), winter flounder (*Pseudpoleuronectes americanus*), red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*), sheepshead (*Archosargus probatocephalus*), Atlantic spadefish (*Chaetodipterus faber*), spotted sea trout (*Cynoscion nebulosus*), and weakfish (*C. regalis*) (NAS 1990; SCDNR 2006).

Lastly, billfishes (Istiophoridae), swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*), tuna (*Thunnus* sp.), and many shark species are classified as highly migratory fishes and are distributed from coastal waters seaward into the open ocean. These species are capable of moving great distances seasonally (north to south or inshore to offshore) as well as vertically in the water column. In contrast to temperate and subtropical fishes, highly migratory species (HMS) are not correlated with areas or features that typify most fish habitat (bottom substrate or submerged vegetation) but are instead associated with physiographic and hydrographic features such as ocean fronts, current boundaries, the continental shelf margin, or sea mounts (NMFS 1999a, 1999b, 2003a).

Although the JAX/CHASN OPAREA does not include any estuarine areas (boundary is ~3 NM from shore), their importance as nursery and maturation areas for various fish species cannot be minimized (Schwartz 1989; NERR 2006). Coastal habitat is also not part of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, but many fishes residing in these areas (i.e., Onslow Bay, North Carolina) do move through the JAX/CHASN OPAREA seasonally to the outer shelf as the coastal waters become too cold (Schwartz 1989; NOAA 2005). Many of the common fishes to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, such as snapper (*Lujanus* sp.) and grouper (*Epinephelus* sp.) species are developmentally linked to estuaries (NAS 1990; SAFMC 1998). Estuaries are also important in the life history of commercially valuable invertebrates, such as crabs and shrimp (Schwartz 1989).

Species within federal waters of the study area fall primarily under the jurisdiction of two fishery management councils (FMCs) and one federal agency: the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (SAFMC; jurisdiction is federal waters from North Carolina to eastern Florida at Key West), the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC; jurisdiction is federal waters from New York to North Carolina), and the NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service; jurisdiction limited to HMS in federal waters off the U.S. Atlantic and the Gulf of Mexico). The SAFMC manages a total of 88 species of fishes and invertebrates (not including ~118 species of corals), the MAFMC manages 7 species, and the NMFS manages 68 HMS species under various Fishery Management Plans (FMPs). Additionally many species are co-managed by more than one FMC and/or commission. The SAFMC and the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management council (GMFMC) co-manage two management units (MUs): the spiny lobster MU the coastal migratory pelagic MU. The MAFMC jointly manages the bluefish MU and the summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass MU with the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASFMC). The MAFMC also co-manages the monkfish and the spiny dogfish with the New England Fishery Management Council (NEFMC). The NEFMC serves as the lead on the monkfish MU and the MAFMC the lead on the spiny dogfish MU. These FMCs and the NMFS manage the commercial and recreational fisheries for these species in federal waters, as well as designate essential fish habitat (EFH) and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC). The remainder of this chapter will focus solely on those managed species found in federal waters.

5.2 FISHERIES RESOURCES

5.2.1 Commercial Fishing

Commercial fisheries in the South Atlantic region (North Carolina through eastern Florida) are a ~$180 million annual industry (Figure 5-1; NMFS 2005a). Within this region, North Carolina ranks first in average volume of landings (Figure 5-2; NMFS 2005a). Shrimp species, especially the brown shrimp, and the summer flounder are the most commercially valuable fishery in North Carolina, while in South Carolina, Georgia, and eastern Florida the white shrimp fishery produces the most revenue (Table 5-1; NMFS 2005a).



Figure 5-1. Average landings (thousands of metric tons) and ex-vessel (price paid directly to fisherman) value (millions of dollars) for commercial fisheries by eastern U.S. regions from 1996 to 2005. Source data: NMFS (2007).



Figure 5-2. Average commercial fishing landings (millions of dollars) and mass (thousands of metric tons) for each of southeast U.S. Atlantic state from 1996 to 2005. Source data: NMFS (2007).

Table 5-1. Average annual commercial landings and ex-vessel value for fisheries in North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida waters from 1996 to 2005 by management unit (MU) and major species targeted in each fishery (NMFS 2007).

Management Unit & Species	North Carolina		South Carolina		Georgia		Florida (east coast)	
	Metric Tons	Value	Metric Tons	Value	Metric Tons	Value	Metric Tons	Value
Atlantic Herring MU								
Atlantic herring	53.0	$8,171						
Atlantic mackerel, squid, and butterfish MU								
Atlantic mackerel								
Butterfish			0.1	$138			5.9	$6,214
Longfin inshore squid								
Northern shortfin squid	294.9	$192,765	2.3	$2,879	0.4	$597	5.8	$7,765
Unidentified squid	29.3	$38,088						
Atlantic sea scallop MU								
Sea scallop	76.9	$679,255					330.3	$809,827
Bluefish MU								
Bluefish	1,487	$888,940	0.5	$321	0.2	$111	83.4	$67,974
Coastal migratory pelagics MU								
Cobia	11.2	$30,254	2.0	$7,671	0.3	$1,083	32.2	$161,887
King mackerel	462.9	$1,613,650	23.8	$82,231	3.8	$10,657	901.3	$3,154,861
Spanish mackeral	243.6	$430,425					1,055.1	$1,322,048
Dolphin Wahoo MU								
Dolphinfish	83.0	$293,104	45.7	$154,133	3.7	$9,591	102.2	$342,941
Wahoo	9.7	$45,524	2.9	$13,043	0.5	$1,960	10.5	$54,889
Golden Crab								
Golden Deep Sea Crab							166.4	$441,068

Table 5-1. Average annual commercial landings and ex-vessel value for fisheries in North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida waters from 1996 to 2005 by management unit (MU) and major species targeted in each fishery (NMFS 2007) (cont'd).

Management Unit & Species	North Carolina		South Carolina		Georgia		Florida (east coast)	
	Metric Tons	Value	Metric Tons	Value	Metric Tons	Value	Metric Tons	Value
Highly migratory species								
Sharks: Large Coastal Shark MU, Small Coastal Shark MU, Pelagic Shark MU, and Prohibited Species MU	631.0	$616,048	64.3	$94,217	9.1	$8,945	749.5	$1,374,742
Swordfish MU								
Swordfish	209.6	$1,079,639	118.4	$760,914			401.4	$2,547,755
Marlin MU								
Marlins								
Tunas MU	587.2	$2,257,367	17.2	$63,819	0.2	$216	167.0	$554,722
Tunas								
Monkfish MU								
Goosefish/monkfish	207.1	$420,667						
Northeast multispecies MU								
Atlantic cod	<0.1	$31						
Offshore hake	1.0	$719	1.7	$2,256				
Red hake								
Silver hake								
Summer flounder	1,588.9	$5,662,311	0.3	$1,146			3.5	$14,145
White hake								
Windowpane flounder								
Winter flounder								
Witch flounder	0.8	$1,119						

Table 5-1. Average annual commercial landings and ex-vessel value for fisheries in North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida waters from 1996 to 2005 by management unit (MU) and major species targeted in each fishery (NMFS 2007) (cont'd).

Management Unit & Species	North Carolina		South Carolina		Georgia		Florida (east coast)	
	Metric Tons	Value	Metric Tons	Value	Metric Tons	Value	Metric Tons	Value
Northeast skates MU								
Skates	5.3	$2,755						
Red drum MU								
Red drum	73.0	$175,315			0.5	$1,762		
Shrimp MU								
Brown shrimp	1,763	$8,008,309	708.7	$2,584,313	489.9	$2,206,367	368.5	$1,921,901
Pink shrimp	108.2	$456,802	2.4	$12,856			266.2	$1,068,652
Rock shrimp	1.7	$3,673			78.3	$164,138	2,256.3	$5,009,783
Royal red shrimp					0.5	$3,848	78.8	$347,246
White shrimp	910.3	$4,587,298	1,842.6	$9,325,512	2,004.8	$11,692,983	1,316.0	$7,887,049
Snapper-grouper MU								
Snappers	185.0	$991,471	183.2	$972,246	64.1	$311,686	208.5	$1,036,432
Groupers	298.7	$1,475,007	240.0	$1,413,199	7.8	$41,496	200.6	$1,054,089
Porgies	122.2	$203,937	25.2	$72,985	1.7	$2,589	88.1	$199,480
Jacks	60.8	$76,125	73.8	$116,704	15.2	$28,254	364.1	$667,452
Tilefishes	10.7	$49,095	52.1	$226,410			129.4	$530,794
Grunts	43.5	$70,190	6.9	$12,556			35.2	$55,497
Wrasses	4.8	$20,287	5.4	$26,014	0.0	$107	3.8	$19,403
Sea basses	322.8	$1,130,741	58.0	$190,594	0.8	$4,123	4.1	$10,458
Spiny dogfish MU								
Spiny dogfish	2,176.4	$702,303						
Spiny Lobster MU								
Caribbean spiny lobster							233.6	$2,273.955

Table 5-1. Average annual commercial landings and ex-vessel value for fisheries in North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida waters from 1996 to 2005 by management unit (MU) and major species targeted in each fishery (NMFS 2007) (cont'd).

Management Unit & Species	North Carolina		South Carolina		Georgia		Florida (east coast)	
	Metric Tons	Value	Metric Tons	Value	Metric Tons	Value	Metric Tons	Value
Summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass MU								
Black sea bass	322.8	$1,130,734	57.9	$190,504	0.8	$4,123	4.1	$10,421
Scup	1.1	$1,252	0.4	$486	1.8	$2,649	9.6	$25,973
Summer flounder	1,558.9	$5,662,311	0.3	$1,146				
Surfclam and ocean quahog MU								
Atlantic surfclam	3.2	$25,165						
Ocean quahog	280.3	$4,043,911	118.9	$1,893.670	20.1	$288,898	115.2	$2,257,322
Tilefish MU								
Tilefish	10.3	$48,691	52.1	$226,410			129.2	$529,966
Other species (non-federally managed)	58,919.4	$53,559,774	3,237.0	$7,129,820	2,324.4	$3,653,500	3,868.5	$8,174,323

Within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, there are numerous commercial fishery closures (geographic and seasonal) established to protect stocks by reducing fishing pressure. These closures may be seasonal or year-round and some are associated with a specific gear type in order to minimize their impacts on specific habitats. Additionally, many of these closure sites are also part of the Marine Managed Area (MMA) Inventory.

Harvest or possession of red drum is prohibited in federal waters of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA as well as the harvest of pelagic *Sargassum*, coral, coral reefs, and live hard bottom (SAFMC 2005a). Thus, these three fisheries will not be further discussed. In addition, to the fisheries managed or co-managed by the SAFMC, 68 highly migratory species (i.e., tuna, billfishes, sharks, and swordfish) are managed by the NMFS via several management plans (NMFS 1999a, 1999b, 2003a). These major fisheries in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA are discussed in the following sections.

5.2.1.1 Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish Fishery

Target Species—Atlantic mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*), longfin inshore squid (*Loligo pealeii*), northern shortfin squid (*Illex illecebrosus*), and butterfish (*Peprilus triacanthus*) are the species targeted in this commercial fishery.

Management—These species are managed by the MAFMC through the Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish FMP (MAFMC 2006a).

Distribution—The most concentrated commercial fishing effort for all the species in this fishery occurs north of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Atlantic mackerel are not harvested in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, but squid and butterfish are harvested (MAFMC 1998). The harvest of longfin inshore squid primarily occurs in the fall and winter, while the northern shortfin squid harvest occurs from June to September (MAFMC 2006a). The harvest of northern shortfin, longfin inshore squid, and butterfish are primarily harvested along the shelf break (MAFMC 2006a).

Gear—Bottom otter trawls are predominantly used to harvest squid species (>95% of landings) and butterfish (~88% of harvest), (Figure 5-3).

Current Regulations—Numerous regulations apply to size limits or gear usage for this fishery including restrictions from roller rig trawls and cold weather areas closed to shrimp trawlers (Figure 5-3; MAFMC 2006a). The MAFMC is considering future closures for trawl gear (MAFMC 2006a).

Status—The Atlantic mackerel, squid, and butterfish fisheries all have annual quotas. Quotas are set by quarters for the longfin inshore squid (MAFMC 2006b). In 2004, 406 permits were issued for longfin inshore squid/butterfish fishery, and 80 for the northern shortfin squid fishery (MAFMC 2005a). Only the butterfish is considered overfished, but a moratorium is currently being considered by the MAFMC on new permits for the northern shortfin squid fishery to protect it against overexploitation (MAFMC 2006a; NMFS 2006a).

5.2.1.2 Bluefish Fishery

Target Species—Bluefish (*Pomatomus saltatrix*) is the species targeted for harvest in this fishery.

Management—This species is jointly managed by the MAFMC and ASMFC through the Bluefish FMP (MAFMC and ASMFC 1998b).

Distribution—This species is harvested from Maine through Florida (MAFMC 2005b). Bluefish are harvested commercially in both state and federal waters, with effort in state waters being slightly greater (~63% of landings) (MAFMC and ASMFC 1998a). Harvest primarily occurs from May to October, with the greatest effort (>30% of total landings) occurring off Cape Hatteras, NC (MAFMC and ASMFC 1998a; MAFMC 2005b).



Figure 5-3. Distribution of fishing effort and closures relevant to the trawl gear commercial fisheries in Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Fishing effort is displayed as the average number of trips from 2000 to 2004. Source data: NMFS (2000), SAFMC (2005d, 2005e), and NOAA and DoI (2006). Source information: NOAA (1996) and ACCSP (2006a).

Gear—Handlines (~5% of landings), trawls (~22% of landings), and gillnets (65% of landings) are used to harvest bluefish primarily in the spring and fall (MAFMC and ASMFC 1998a; MAFMC 2005b) (Figures 5-3, 5-4, and 5-6).

Current Regulations—The following closure exists for this fishery:

- Mid-Atlantic coastal waters area: This closure was established as part of the Atlantic large whale take reduction plan to reduce incidental take of humpback, fin, and right whales by gillnets. Specific gear requirements are mandated from 1 December through 31 March in this region (NOAA and DoI 2006). This area is also designated as a MMA.

Status—The MAFMC annually sets commercial landing quotas for the bluefish. A current status review indicates that this species is no longer overfished (NMFS 2006a). In 2004, 2,946 vessels had commercial bluefish permits (MAFMC 2005b).

5.2.1.3 Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Fishery

Target Species—Summer flounder (*Paralichthys dentatus*), scup (*Stenotomus chrysops*), and black sea bass (*Centropristis striata*) are the species targeted in this fishery.

Management—These three fish species are jointly managed by the MAFMC and ASMFC through the (MAFMC and ASMFC 1998a). South of Cape Hatteras, NC, black sea bass and scup are managed by the SAFMC through their Snapper Grouper FMP (SAFMC 2006a).

Distribution—Black sea bass are considered one of the most heavily targeted species in the region (Harris and Machowski 2004). Summer flounder and scup are primarily harvested from Cape Cod through Cape Hatteras (Terceiro 2001a, 2001b). Scup are primarily harvested north of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA in New Jersey, Rhode Island, New York, and Massachusetts (ASMFC 2005a, 2005b). For summer flounder, the winter fishery is located offshore while in the summer fishery concentrates in coastal and inshore waters (ASMFC 2003).

Gear—Otter trawls, pots, and traps, hook-and-line, and trawl roller gear (all subject to certain restrictions) are used to harvest black sea bass in this region, with trawls (54% of total landings) and pots (~37% of catch) being the primary gear types used (MAFMC and ASMFC 1998a; MAFMC 2005c; NMFS 2005b) (Figures 5-3, 5-5, and 5-6) . Black sea bass pots are often set near shipwrecks and the fishing season runs from April to September (MAFMC and ASMFC 1998a). Otter and beam trawls (~97% of landings) are used primarily to target summer flounder in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity in depths less than 183 m (MAFMC and ASMFC 1998a; MAFMC 2005c). Trawls (beam and otter) are also the main gear used (~83% of landing) to harvest scup from winter to spring, but they are also landed using fish pots/traps and lines (MAFMC and ASMFC 1998a; MAFMC 2003, 2005c).

Current Regulations—Numerous regulations (i.e., gear restrictions and size limits) apply to this fishery in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (MAFMC 2006b), including the following (Figure 5-3):

- Scup restriction (proposed): The MAFMC has proposed that the fishing season for scup be limited to 1 January to 28 February and from 18 September to 30 November (MAFMC 2006b).

Status—Permits are required to commercially harvest all three of these species in federal waters. For the scup fishery, quotas are set in trimesters (MAFMC 2003, 2006b). In 2004, 1,009 commercial permits were issued for summer flounder, 891 for scup, and 946 for black sea bass (MAFMC 2005c). Currently, both the summer flounder and scup are subject to overfishing in the areas under MAFMC jurisdiction but only the scup is also considered overfished (NMFS 2006a). In the southeast region only (i.e., SAFMC jurisdiction), the black sea bass is considered overfished and is subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a).



Figure 5-4. Distribution of fishing effort and closures relevant to the line gear (e.g., handlines, bottom longlines, pelagic longlines) commercial fisheries in Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Fishing effort is displayed as the average number of trips from 2000 to 2004. Source data: NOAA-CSC (2002), SAFMC (2005b), and NOAA and DoI (2006). Source information: NMFS (2005e) and ACCSP (2006a).



Figure 5-5. Distribution of fishing effort and closures relevant to the other gear type (e.g., pots, spearfishing, traps, cast nets; not trawls, lines, dredges, gillnets or seines) commercial fisheries in Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Fishing effort is displayed as the average number of trips from 2000 to 2004. Source data: NOAA-CSC (2002), SAFMC (2005c), and NOAA and DoI (2006). Source map (scanned): General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (2002a, 2005a) and ACCSP (2006a).



Figure 5-6. Distribution of fishing effort and closures relevant to the gillnet commercial fisheries in Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Fishing effort is displayed as the average number of trips from 2000 to 2004. Source data: NOAA and DoI (2006). Source map (scanned): General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source information: NMFS (2005e), SAFMC (2005a), and ACCSP (2006a).

5.2.1.4 Spiny Dogfish Fishery

Target Species—Spiny dogfish (*Squalus acanthias*) are harvested in this fishery.

Management—This species is jointly managed by the MAFMC (lead) and NEFMC through their Spiny Dogfish FMP (MAFMC and NEFMC 1999).

Distribution—The spiny dogfish fishery extends from Maine to North Carolina (MAFMC and NEFMC 1999; ASMFC 2002). Massachusetts commercially harvests approximately 80% of spiny dogfish landings with Virginia (ranked 5th among states) at 2.6% (MAFMC 2006c). In recent years, North Carolina has also accounted for up to 16% of the spiny dogfish landings (MAFMC and NEFMC 1999) Harvest occurs year-round but in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is concentrated in fall and winter, with peak catches in January through April (MAFMC and NEFMC 1999; ASMFC 2002a; MAFMC 2006c). South of Cape Hatteras effort is primarily restricted to state waters (ASFMC 2002a). Approximately 99% of the landings of this species are of female spiny dogfish due to their larger size (i.e., fishery is selective for larger individuals) (ASMFC 2006).

Gear—Bottom longline (~57% of landings), gill nets (~31% of landings), and trawls (~11% landings) are the primary gear types used to harvest the spiny dogfish (ASMFC 2002a).

Current Regulations—The following closure exists for this fishery:

- <u>Mid-Atlantic coastal waters area</u>: This closure was established as part of the Atlantic large whale take reduction plan to reduce incidental take of humpback, fin, and right whales by gillnets. Specific gear requirements are mandated from 1 December through 31 March in this region (NOAA and DoI 2006). This area is also designated as a MMA.

Status—Quotas are set for this fishery and a federal permit is required to commercially harvest this species in federal waters. In 2004, 2,911 permits were issued in this fishery. Currently, no determination of an overfished status can be made since there is no definition in the Spiny Dogfish FMP of a minimum biomass target; using the recommended biomass threshold for the species, however, indications are that this species is not overfished (NMFS 2006a).

5.2.1.5 Monkfish Fishery

Target Species—Monkfish (*Lophius americanus*) or goosefish are the target species of this fishery.

Management—This species is jointly managed by the NEFMC (lead) and MAFMC under the Monkfish FMP (NEFMC and MAFMC 2004a). Management is divided into two areas: a northern fishery management area and a southern fishery management area. Only the southern stock is found within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.

Distribution—Fishery effort for the monkfish is concentrated along the edge of the continental shelf and along deepwater canyons. Deepwater corals are often found in regions where this fishery occurs. As a result, FMCs are investigating means (i.e., closed areas) to protect these areas from potential damage associated with this fishery (NEFMC and MAFMC 2004b). The majority of the fishing effort for this fishery takes place north of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (i.e., Massachusetts) (NEFMC and MAFMC 2004a; NMFS 2006b).

Gear—Otter trawls and sink gillnets are the primary gear types used for direct harvest of monkfish in this fishery (NEFMC and MAFMC 2004a) (Figures 5-3 and 5-4). Monkfish are also taken incidentally using dredges (NEFMC and MAFMC 2004a). Commercial effort typically peaks from September through April (NEFMC and MAFMC 2004a).

Current Regulations—Numerous gear regulations apply to this fishery and the following closure (MAFMC 2006b) is relevant to:

- Southern Mid-Atlantic waters closure area: This closure was established as part of the harbor porpoise take reduction plan to prevent incidental take of this species in the commercial groundfish gillnet fishery. It is closed to large mesh gillnet gear from 1 February to 15 March annually (NOAA and DoI 2006). This area is also an MMA.

Status—The monkfish is subject to overfishing and the fishery is currently considered overfished in both management areas (NMFS 2006a). In 2002, 752 limited access permits were issued for the monkfish fishery (NEFMC and MAFMC 2006).

5.2.1.6 Atlantic Surfclam and Ocean Quahog Fishery

Target Species—The Atlantic surfclam (*Spisula solidissima*) and ocean quahog (*Arctica islandica*) are the focus species for this fishery.

Management—These species are managed by the MAFMC via the Atlantic Surfclam and Ocean Quahog FMP (MAFMC 1998b).

Distribution—Commercial concentrations of ocean quahogs are found on the continental shelf to depths of 76 m (MAFMC 1998b). Commercial concentrations of surfclams are associated with sandy sediments up to depths of 55 m (MAFMC 2005d). Approximately, 70% of surfclam harvests and >90% of ocean quahog landings occur in federal waters (MAFMC 1998b). Historically, the Delmarva Peninsula was the focus of commercial fishery efforts, but effort for this fishery is currently concentrated further north (i.e., New Jersey and New York) (MAFMC 1998b, 2005d).

Gear—Dredges and trawls are the gear type used to harvest these species (MAFMC 1998b) (Figure 5-3).

Current Regulations—Currently, there are no closures for these fisheries in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (MAFMC 2006b).

Status—In 2004, a total of 50 vessels were active in both fisheries (excluding Maine participants in the ocean quahog fishery) (MAFMC 1998b, 2005d). Neither species is considered overfished (NMFS 2006a).

5.2.1.7 Calico Scallop Fishery

Target Species—Atlantic calico scallop (*Argopecten gibbus*)

Management—Atlantic calico scallops have EFH designated by the SAFMC through the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Distribution—Despite this species' range extending from Maryland to Florida, it is only successfully commercially harvested off Florida, specifically on beds that occur on the continental shelf between Ft. Pierce and St. Augustine, FL (Arnold 2000; SMS 2004). Within Florida, 99% of landings occur on the Atlantic coast with the majority of landings occurring in northern Brevard County (i.e., county encompassing Cape Canaveral) and within the region surrounding the Indian River Lagoon (FMRI 2003a; SMS 2004). The majority of the fishery occurs in federal waters (Arnold 1995). Annual catches are highly variable, which mirrors the unpredictable yearly trends of successful scallop recruitment and recent problems with parasite infection (e.g., *Marteilia* protozoan) (Arnold 1995; FMRI 2003; SMS 2004). The fishery is often described as a "boom-or-bust" fishery (Arnold 1995; FMRI 2003a).

Gear—This species is exclusively harvested using otter trawls (Arnold 2000) (Figure 5-3).

Current Regulations—The following regulation applies to this fishery in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA:

- Gray's Reef NMS restrictions: The use of wire fish traps, bottom trawls, and specimen dredges is prohibited (GRNMS 2006).

Status—The status of this species' fishery is unknown due to the unpredictable nature of this fishery annually (Arnold 1995, 2000; NMFS 2006a).

5.2.1.8 Shrimp Fishery

Target Species—Brown (*Farfantepenaeus aztecus*), pink (*F. duorarum*), white (*Litopenaeus setiferus*), royal red (*Pleoticus robustus*), and brown rock (*Sicyonia brevirostris*)

Management—These shrimp species are managed by the SAFMC through their Shrimp FMP.

Distribution—Overall, the commercial shrimp fishery is considered one of the most important and profitable fisheries off the southeastern coast (SCDNR 2004a). Brown, pink, and white shrimp, which are shallow water species, are primarily harvested compared to the deeper water brown rock and royal red shrimp (SAFMC 1993). Approximately 70% of the shrimp harvest in North Carolina occurs in inshore areas, with brown shrimp being the dominant species landed (SAFMC 1993; NRC 2002). Off South Carolina and Georgia, white shrimp are the species most often harvested, while off northeastern Florida brown shrimp are targeted in summer and white shrimp in the fall and winter. The majority of shrimp landed in Florida also occurs in state waters. Pink shrimp are primarily harvested off North Carolina or Florida due to their higher abundance of coastal seagrasses, which are used as nursery areas for this species (SCDNR 2004a). Off the U.S. south Atlantic coast, the use of trawl gear is concentrated at depths of less than 20 m (NRC 2002). Most landings occur from July to October (SAFMC 1993).

The season for rock shrimp extends from July to October and is concentrated off the east coast of Florida, specifically around Cape Canaveral and southward (NRC 2002; SAFMC 2002a). In 2000, there were 268 permitted vessels in this fishery ranging from Virginia to Florida, with 70% of these vessels being permitted in Florida (both east and west coast). Sporadic but limited harvest does occur off the coasts of North Carolina, South Carolina, and Georgia. This fishery primarily concentrates in areas with hard sand and shell hash bottoms (SAMFC 2002a).

Royal red shrimp contribute minimally to the overall commercial shrimp harvest and only contribute to the commercial landings off Georgia and Florida, primarily due to the fact that it is found in deeper waters (i.e., >180 m depth; concentrate at depths > 250 m) (SAFMC 1998) This species is primarily concentrated off the northeast Florida coast (SAFMC 1998).

Gear—Shrimp fisheries utilize otter trawls to harvest various species (SAFMC 2005a) (Figure 5-3).

Current Regulations—Numerous regulations apply to this fishery in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA:

- Gray's Reef NMS restrictions: The use of wire fish traps, bottom trawls, and specimen dredges is prohibited (GRNMS 2006).
- Shrimp cold weather closures: North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida (east coast) can request a closure in federal waters that are adjacent to closed state waters for brown, pink, or white shrimp following a severe winter that results in an 80% or greater reduction in shrimp populations. For this closure a buffer zone ranging from shore to 25 NM from shore is established where shrimping is prohibited (NOAA 1996; SAFMC 2005a).
- Bycatch reduction devices (BRDs): Shrimp trawlers utilizing a net with a mesh size of $\leq$6.35 cm must have a SAFMC certified BRD installed (i.e., extended funnel, expanded mesh, or fisheye) (SAFMC 2005a).
- Turtle excluder devices (TEDs): Brown, pink, white, and brown rock shrimp fisheries require TEDs, which are regulated by the NMFS, Southeast Regional Office (SAFMC 2005a).

Status—None of the shrimp species in this fishery are considered overfished (NMFS 2006a). For brown rock shrimp, both a commercial vessel and operator permit are required (SAFMC 2005a). Commercial vessel permits are also required for the harvest of brown, pink, and white shrimp species (SAFMC 2005a).

5.2.1.9 Snapper Grouper Fishery

Target Species—In the SAB, there are over 100 species of reef fishes (groupers, snappers, tilefishes, wrasses, jacks, triggerfishes, sea basses, spadefishes, and porgies) but only 73 are managed by the SAFMC (Table 5-2).

Management—These 73 species are managed by the SAFMC through their Snapper Grouper FMP. The black sea bass which is part of this fishery is managed by the MAFMC north of Cape Hatteras, NC through their Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass FMP.

Distribution—Black sea bass, red porgy, and vermilion snapper constitute the majority of landings from this fishery (Low 2000; Harris and Machowski 2004). Shallow-water snappers (e.g., yellowtail snapper, gray snapper, mutton snapper, lane snapper, hogfish, cubera snapper, dog snapper, schoolmaster, and mahogany snapper) and groupers (e.g., gag grouper, red grouper, scamp, black grouper, rock hind, red hind, graysby, yellowfin grouper, coney, yellowmouth grouper, and tiger grouper) also contribute significantly to this fishery and are landed primarily from March through July (SAFMC 2006a, 2006b). Deepwater species targeted include snowy grouper, red porgy, blueline tilefish, warsaw grouper, yellowedge grouper, and speckled hind (SAFMC 2003a, 2006a). Overall, the snapper grouper fishery is often one of the largest offshore commercial fisheries for most states along the southeast coast (Low 2000; NMFS 2005a)

Gear—Vertical lines (hook-and-line), spearfishing gear, powerheads, bottom longline, black sea bass pots, and sink nets (North Carolina only) are permissible gear types for these fisheries (SAFMC 2005a) (Figures 5-4 and 5-5). Most involved in this commercial fishery utilize boats less than 15 m in length (SAFMC 2006a). Those using vertical lines typically fish at depths ranging from 23 to 201 m during daylight and night hours (SAFMC 2006a). Except for the golden tilefish (longline) and black sea bass (pots), the majority of effort for this fishery results from the use of hook-and-line (SAFMC 2006a). Longline snapper grouper fisheries target primarily snowy grouper and golden tilefish. They can only operate during daylight hours and can only fish in depths greater than 91 m (SAFMC 2006a). Longline fisheries operate farther from shore and stay out for longer periods of time compared to other snapper grouper fisheries (SAFMC 2006a). Most of the fisheries for this MU operate year-round with lower effort during winter or during highly active hurricane seasons (SAFMC 2006a). Pot effort for black sea bass typically occurs more heavily (i.e., set more pots) in the winter (November through March) than in the summer (SAFMC 2006a). In North Carolina and South Carolina, the black sea bass pot fishery is more of a winter fishery with most pots set at depths ranging from 9 to 37 m deep (SAFMC 2006a). In 2003, 2267 pots were set from North Carolina through Florida, with North Carolina constituting 87% of these pots (SAFMC 2006a). In 2003, 906 vessels reported commercial landings of species within this fishery, with 81% of these vessels only operating part-time in these fisheries (SAFMC 2006a).

Current Regulations—Several closed areas/seasonal closures and various restrictions apply to this fishery in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Figures 5-4 and 5-5):

- Special Management Zones (SMZs): The use of bottom longline and sea bass pot gear types are prohibited in these areas. Furthermore, various SMZs have additional gear restrictions (NOAA 1996). Fifty-one SMZs have been designated off South Carolina, Georgia and Florida (NOAA 1996).
- Powerhead restrictions: The use of this gear type is prohibited within the EEZ of South Carolina and in certain SMZs (SAFMC 2005a).

Table 5-2. Species managed by the SAFMC under the Snapper-Grouper MU (SAFMC 2005a).

Snappers

Blackfin snapper (*Lutjanus buccanella*)	Black snapper (*Apsilus dentatus*)	Cubera snapper (*Lutjanus cyanopterus*)	Dog snapper (*Lutjanus jocu*)	Gray snapper (*Lutjanus griseus*)
Lane snapper (*Lutjanus synagris*)	Mahogany snapper (*Lutjanus mahogoni*)	Mutton snapper (*Lutjanus analis*)	Queen snapper (*Etelis oculatus*)	Red Snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*)
Schoolmaster (*Lutjanus apodus*)	Silk snapper (*Lutjanus vivanus*)	Vermilion snapper (*Rhomboplites aurorubens*)	Yellowtail snapper (*Ocyurus chrysurus*)	

Groupers

Black grouper (*Mycteroperca bonaci*)	Coney (*Cephalopholis fulva*)	Gag (*Mycteroperca microlepis*)	Goliath grouper (*Epinephelus itajara*)	Graysby (*Cephalopholis cruentata*)
Misty grouper (*Epinephelus mystacinus*)	Nassau grouper (*Epinephelus striatus*)	Red grouper (*Epinephelus morio*)	Red hind (*Epinephelus guttatus*)	Rock hind (*Epinephelus adscensionis*)
Scamp (*Mycteroperca phenax*)	Snowy grouper (*Epinephelus niveatus*)	Speckled hind (*Epinephelus drummondhayi*)	Tiger grouper (*Mycteroperca tigris*)	Warsaw grouper (*Epinephelus nigritus*)
Wreckfish (*Polyprion americanus*)	Yellowedge grouper (*Epinephelus flavolimbatus)*			

Porgies

Grass porgy (*Calamus arctifrons*)	Jolthead porgy (*Calamus bajondo*)	Knobbed porgy (*Calamus nodosus*)	Longspine porgy (*Stenotomus caprinus*)	Red porgy (*Pagrus pagrus*)
Saucereye porgy (*Calamus calamus*)	Scup (*Stenotomus chrysops*)	Sheepshead (*Archosargus probatocephalus*)	Whitebone porgy (*Calamus leucosteus*)	

Jacks

Almaco jack (*Seriola rivoliana*)	Banded rudderfish (*Serioloa zonata*)	Bar jack (*Caranx ruber*)	Blue runner (*Caranx crysos*)	Crevalle jack (*Caranx hippos*)
Greater amberjack (*Seriola dumerili*)	Lesser amberjack (*Seriola fasciata*)	Yellow jack (*Caranx bartholomaei*)		

Table 5-2. Species managed by the SAFMC under the Snapper-Grouper MU (SAFMC 2005a) (cont'd).

Grunts				
Black margate (*Anisotremus surinamensis*)	Blue stripe grunt (*Haemulon sciurus*)	Cottonwick (*Haemulon melanurum*)	French grunt (*Haemulon flavolineatum*)	Margate (*Haemulon album*)
Porkfish (*Anisotremus virginicus*)	Sailors choice (*Haemulon parra*)	Smallmouth grunt (*Haemulon chrysargyreum*)	Spanish grunt (*Haemulon macrostomum)*	Tomtate (*Haemulon aurolineatum*)
White grunt (*Haemulon plumieri*)				
Tilefishes				
Blueline tilefish (*Caulolatilus microps*)	Golden tilefish (*Lopholatilus chamaeleonticeps*)	Sand tilefish (*Malacanthus plumieri*)		
Sea Basses				
Bank sea bass (*Centropristis ocyurus*)	Black sea bass (*Centropristis striata*)	Rock sea bass (*Centropristis philadelphica*)		
Triggerfishes				
Gray triggerfish (*Baliste capriscus*)	Ocean triggerfish (*Canthidermis sufflamen*)	Queen triggerfish (*Balistes vetula*)		
Wrasses				
Hogfish (*Lachnolaimus maximus*)	Puddingwife (*Halichoeres radiatus*)			
Spadefish				
Atlantic spadefish (*Chaetodipterus faber*)				

- Bottom longline restrictions: North of St. Lucie Inlet, FL (27°10'N), this gear type can only be used in waters of depths greater than 91 m. Furthermore, this gear type is prohibited for landing wreckfish (SAFMC 2005a).
- Black sea bass pot restrictions: The use of black sea bass pots is only permitted north of Cape Canaveral, FL (28°35.1'N) (NOAA 1996; NMFS 2005b; SAFMC 2005a).

- Longline gear species restriction: This gear type may only be used to land snowy grouper, warsaw grouper, yellowedge grouper, misty grouper, golden tilefish, blueline tilefish, and sand tilefish (SAFMC 2005a).
- Fish trap restriction: The use of fish traps to harvest species within the SAFMC's jurisdiction of this fishery is prohibited (SAFMC 2005a).
- Roller rig trawl restriction: The use of roller rig trawls to harvest species within the SAFMC's jurisdiction of this fishery is prohibited (SAFMC 2005a).
- Greater amberjack restriction: This fishery is closed during April. Additionally, no sales are allowed during this month (SAFMC 2005a).
- Red porgy restriction: From January to April, sale or purchase of this species is prohibited (SAFMC 2005a).
- Wreckfish restriction: From 15 January to 15 April, this fishery is closed to protect spawning wreckfish. Furthermore, this fishery is operating by an Individual Transferable Quota Program (i.e., no one other than shareholders may possess this species). Additionally, the use of bottom longline gear for this species is prohibited (NOAA 1996).
- Speckled hind and warsaw grouper restriction: Possession of only one of each is permitted per vessel trip within the U.S. Atlantic EEZ (NOAA 1996).
- Goliath grouper and Nassau grouper restriction: Harvest and possession is currently prohibited (SAFMC 2005a).

Status—Currently, ten species are overfished (red snapper, snowy grouper, red grouper, black sea bass speckled hind, warsaw grouper, black grouper, goliath grouper, Nassau grouper, and red porgy), while ten species are subject to overfishing (vermillion snapper, red snapper, snowy grouper, red grouper, black sea bass, gag, speckled hind, warsaw grouper, golden tilefish, and black grouper) (NMFS 2006a). The SAFMC established Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) for overfished deepwater species in this fishery through their Snapper Grouper Amendment 14, which was approved by the Council in March 2007 with the condition that a transit arrangement be instigated to allow fishermen to cross areas with fish onboard and gear stowed. The Council give final approval July 2007 (SAFMC 2007).

5.2.1.10 Coastal Migratory Pelagic Fishery

Target Species—Cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*), king mackerel (*Scomberomorus cavalla*), Spanish mackerel (*S. maculatus*), cero (*S. regalis*), and little tunny (*Euthynnus alletteratus*).

Management—All species are co-managed by the GMFMC and the SAFMC under their Coastal Migratory Pelagic Resources FMP. These species are managed and catch is regulated for the Atlantic and Gulf separately by the appropriated FMC (i.e., the SAFMC manages species within the OPAREA) (GMFMC, SAFMC, MAFMC, and NMFS 2004). King mackerel, Spanish mackerel, and cobia are managed within the coastal migratory pelagics MU and commercial harvest is regulated, while the other species are not in the MU, and thus, commercial harvest is not regulated (SAFMC 2005a). Only the king mackerel, Spanish mackerel, and cobia are managed by the SAFMC in the Mid-Atlantic (i.e., Virginia through New York) (NOAA 1996).

Distribution—Off Florida and North Carolina, the king and Spanish mackerel are regarded as the two of the mostly highly targeted commercial species (GMFMC, SAFMC, MAFMC, and NMFS 2004). Primary effort for cobia primarily occurs by recreational fisherman in state waters. For example, in South Carolina, only 3% of cobia are landed by commercial vessels (Hammond 2001).

Gear—For king mackerel, north of Cape Lookout, NC, all gear types are permitted except for drift and long gillnets (Figures 5-4 and 5-5). South of Cape Lookout, NC (34°37.3'N) automatic reel, bandit gear, handline, and rod and reel are used to harvest king mackerel (SAFMC 2005a). Automatic reel, bandit gear, handline, rod and reel, cast net, run around gillnet, and stab nets are authorized gear types for

Spanish mackerel (Figures 5-4, 5-5, and 5-6; SAFMC 2005a). Off the coast of North Carolina, the use of gill nets is predominantly in state waters (GMFMC, SAFMC, MAFMC, and NMFS 2004). The Spanish mackerel commercial fishery harvest is divided into two groups to regulate quotas (i.e., from New York to Georgia is the northern group and the southern group is from the east coast of Florida south to the Miami-Dade/Monroe county border) (SAFMC 2005a). For cobia, automatic reel, bandit gear, handline, rod and reel, and pelagic longlines are allowed (SAFMC 2005a).

Current Regulations—The following regulations apply to this fishery in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA:

- Mid-Atlantic coastal waters area: This closure was established as part of the Atlantic large whale take reduction plan to reduce incidental take of humpback, fin, and right whales by gillnets. Specific gear requirements are mandated from 1 December through 31 March in this region (NOAA and DoI 2006). This area is also designated as a MMA.
- King mackerel fishing season: Off eastern Florida, from the Volusia/Brevard county border to the Miami-Dade/Monroe county border, the king mackerel fishery is considered part of the Atlantic group from 1 April to 31 October (rest of the year, it is part of the Gulf of Mexico group) (SAFMC 2005a)
- Cobia restriction: Possession of more than two cobia per day is prohibited within the U.S. Atlantic EEZ (NOAA 1996).

Status—Commercial permits are required for king mackerel, Spanish mackerel, bluefish, and cobia. Currently, a moratorium exists for the issuing of new king mackerel permit (SAFMC 2005a). Currently, none of the three species are considered overfished or subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a).

5.2.1.11 Spiny Lobster Fishery

Target Species—The Caribbean spiny lobster (*Panulirus argus*) is the only species in the MU, but other species are taken incidentally in this fishery (spotted spiny lobster [*P. guttatus*], smooth tail lobster [*P. laevicauda*], slipper lobster [*Scyllarides nodifer*] and Spanish lobster [*S. aequinoctialis*]) (Figure 5-5; GMFMC and SAFMC 1982; GMFMC 2004).

Management—The spiny lobster fishery is co-managed by the SAFMC and GMFMC via the Spiny Lobster FMP.

Distribution—Over 60% commercial landings for this species occur in federal waters and in depths typically less than 60 m off Florida (GMFMC and SAFMC 1982). Most of the effort for this fishery occurs off the Florida Keys (90% of total landings) or Florida's west coast and not in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (SAFMC 1999a). In North Carolina, South Carolina, and Georgia year-round harvest is permitted, while in Florida, harvest is closed from 1 April to 5 August annually (SAFMC 2005a).

Gear—Traps, pots, dip nets, bully nets, or snares are permissible gear types for this fishery (SAFMC 2005a). This fishery is typically considered to be a small boat-based fishery with average vessel size ranging from 6 to 12 m (SAFMC 1999a). In 1996, 30 vessels were registered in this fishery (SAFMC 1999a).

Current Regulations—The following are a list of restrictions and major closed areas for this fishery in the OPAREA:

- Gray's Reef NMS restrictions: The use of wire fish traps, bottom trawls, and specimen dredges is prohibited (GRNMS 2006).
- Florida seasonal closure: From 1 April to 5 August, this fishery is closed in the EEZ off Florida (SAFMC 2005a).

Status—Currently, the status of this fishery is unknown (NMFS 2006a). A permit is required to harvest this species (SAFMC 2005a).

5.2.1.12 Dolphin Wahoo Fishery

Target Species—Common dolphfish (*Coryphaena hippurus*), pompano dolphinfish (*C. equiselis*), and wahoo (*Acanthocybium solandri*) (SAFMC 2003b).

Management—These species are managed by the SAFMC throughout the entire U.S. Atlantic coast (SAFMC 2003b; NMFS 2004a).

Distribution—This fishery primarily occurs along the U.S. Atlantic coast south of Virginia with harvests typically occurring from April through September (SAFMC 2003b). Those commercial harvesters that rely on longline gear also target highly migratory species (e.g., swordfish and sharks) in addition to dolphfishes and wahoo (SAFMC 2003b). The heaviest fishing effort occurs near the Gulf Stream (SAFMC 2003b). Landings are typically highest off Florida, followed by North Carolina, South Carolina, and then Georgia (SAFMC 2003b).

Gear—Pelagic longlines, hook-and-line gear, bandit gear, handline, and spearfishing gear (including powerheads) are permissible gear types for these fisheries (NMFS 2004a; SAFMC 2005a) (Figures 5-4 and 5-5). For dolphinfishes, longlines and hook-and-line are the two primary gear types utilized (SAFMC 2003b).

Current Regulations—The following is a list of major closed areas for this fishery in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA:

- <u>Charleston Bump Closed Area</u>: This area is closed from 1 February to 30 April annually to pelagic longline gear for all highly migratory species and species in the dolphin wahoo fishery (NMFS 2004a; 2005b). This area is also designated as an MMA (NOAA and DoI 2006).
- <u>East Florida Coast Closed Area</u>: This area is closed year-round to pelagic longline gear for all highly migratory species (NMFS 2005c). This area is also part of the MMA Inventory (NOAA and DoI 2006).

Status—Permits (i.e., dealer permit, commercial vessel permit, charter vessel/headboat permit, or operator permit) are required to harvest dolphinfishes and wahoo (SAFMC 2003b, NMFS 2004a). Approximately, 1,300 vessels are active in this fishery (NMFS 2004a). All species in this fishery are not overfished or subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a).

5.2.1.13 Golden Crab Fishery

Target Species—Red deepsea crab (*Geryon quinquedens*), Jonah crab (*Cancer borealis*) and golden deepsea crab (*Chaceon fenneri*).

Management—Management of the golden deepsea crab is regulated by the SAFMC under their Golden Crab FMP. Only the golden deepsea crab is part of the MU and harvest is regulated (SAFMC 1999b).

Distribution—This fishery is divided into three zones: northern (EEZ north of 28°N within the SAFMC jurisdiction [North Carolina/Virginia border]), middle (EEZ from 25°N to 28°N), and southern (EEZ from 25°N south to SAFMC jurisdiction) (SAFMC 1999b). Only the northern zone occurs within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. The greatest number of landings for this species occurs primarily off southeastern Florida from December through May (SAFMC 1999b). Little is known about the biomass or amount of suitable habitat available for this species in the SAB (SAFMC 1999b). In the SAB, most effort occurs from depths of 350 to 550 m (SAFMC 1999b).

Gear—For this fishery, traps are the only permissible gear type (SAFMC 2005a) (Figure 5-5).

Current Regulations—Numerous regulations apply to this fishery in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA:

- Golden crab depth restrictions: Harvest of this species in the northern zone must occur in depths greater than 275 m (SAFMC 2002a).
- Gray's Reef NMS restrictions: The use of wire fish traps, bottom trawls, and specimen dredges is prohibited (GRNMS 2006).

Status—Permits are required for this fishery and permits are issued by zone (SAFMC 1999b; SAFMC 2005a). In 1998, out of the 35 permits issued by NMFS for this fishery, only two were issued for the northern zone (SAFMC 1999b). This species is not considered overfished (NMFS 2006a).

5.2.1.14 Highly Migratory Species Fishery

Target Species—Highly migratory species fishery consists of tuna, marlin, oceanic sharks, sailfishes, spearfish, and swordfish species. Billfishes (marlin and sailfish) may only be harvested in recreational fisheries (NMFS 2005c). Longbill spearfish may not be landed by recreational or commercial anglers (NMFS 2005c).

Management—Highly migratory species are managed by the NMFS through their Atlantic Tunas, Swordfish, and Sharks FMP.

Distribution—Effort for these fisheries occurs throughout the U.S. Atlantic coast (NMFS 2005d). Most shark species in the region are landed off North Carolina or off eastern Florida (NMFS 2003a). North Carolina ranks second in terms of commercial tuna permits behind Massachusetts, fourth in terms of shark permits (Florida ranks first), and seventh among swordfish permits (Florida ranks first) (NMFS 2005d).

Gear—Pelagic longlines, bottom longlines, rod and reel, and bandit gear are permitted to harvest most HMS species. Gillnets are only permitted for the harvest of sharks, traps and purse seines are only permitted to target tunas (NMFS 2005c, 2005d) (Figure 5-7). Driftnets are prohibited for the harvesting of any Atlantic tuna species (NMFS 2005c).

The pelagic longline fishery primarily harvests swordfish, yellowfin tuna, and bigeye tuna and secondarily targets albacore tuna and several pelagic and large coastal shark species. Depth, hook type, and other parameters can be modified to target different species (e.g., lines are set deeper for tuna and shallower for swordfish). Pelagic longlines also incidentally catch other non-targeted species, including marine mammals and sea turtles. Purse seines most commonly land bluefin, yellowfin, and skipjack tuna species. Handgear (e.g., handlines, harpoons, rod and reel, and bandit gear) primarily target tuna and swordfish. Sharks are harvested via drift gill nets and bottom longlines. Sandbar and blacktip sharks are the two large coastal species that account for highest landings in this fishery, while the finetooth and Atlantic sharpnose shark are the two small coastal species most commonly taken. The shortfin mako is the most commonly landed pelagic shark species (NMFS 2005d).

Current Regulations—The following regulations apply to this fishery along the U.S. Atlantic Coast (Figure 5-4 and Figure 5-6). Other closures are being considered by NMFS (2005d):

- Charleston Bump Closed Area: This area is closed from 1 February to 30 April annually to pelagic longline gear for all highly migratory species (NMFS 2005d). This area is also designated as an MMA (NOAA and DoI 2006).
- Florida East Coast Closed Area: This area is closed year-round to pelagic longline gear for all highly migratory species (NOAA 2000; NMFS 2005d). It was established, in part, to protect juvenile swordfish. This area is also part of the MMA Inventory (NOAA and DoI 2006).
- Mid-Atlantic Shark Closed Area: This area is closed from 1 January through 31 July annually to bottom longline gear for all highly migratory species. (NMFS 2005d).



Figure 5-7. Distribution of fishing effort and closures relevant to the drege gear commercial fisheries in Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Fishing effort is displayed as the average number of trips from 2000 to 2004. Source data: NOAA and DoI (2006). Source information: ACCSP (2006).

- Mid-Atlantic coastal waters area: This closure was established as part of the Atlantic large whale take reduction plan to reduce incidental take of humpback, fin, and right whales by gillnets. Specific gear requirements are mandated from 1 December through 31 March in this region (NOAA and DoI 2006). This area is also designated as a MMA.
- Southwest Right Whale Calving Area: The use of shark gillnets is prohibited from 15 November to 31 March annually from Savannah, GA (32°00'N) to Sebastian Inlet, FL (27°51'N) extending from shore to 80°W (NMFS 2005e).
- Swordfish season: The swordfish season ranges from 1 June to 31 May each year (NMFS 2005d).
- Tuna season: For all species, except the bluefin tuna (see below), the fishing season ranges from 1 June to 31 May (NMFS 2005d).
- Bluefin tuna seasons (NMFS 2005d):
    - General—1 June to 31 January (or until quota is filled)
    - Harpoon—1 June to 15 November (or until quota is filled)
    - Purse seine—15 July to 31 December (or until quota is filled)
    - Longline—1 June to 31 May (or until quota is filled)
    - Trap—1 June until 31 May (or until quota is filled)

Status—Quotas for this fishery along the U.S. Atlantic coast are divided into two regions: North Atlantic (Maine to Virginia) and South Atlantic (North Carolina to east coast of Florida). For sharks, the fishing year is divided into three trimesters. The use of bottom and pelagic longline gear require federal permits. Additionally, commercial harvesting of Atlantic bluefin, bigeye, yellowfin, albacore, and skipjack tuna requires federal permits. The harvest of swordfish requires a federal permit, as well, but the NMFS is no longer issuing new permits for this species (NMFS 2005c). In 2005, 1,144 permits were issued for highly migratory species (i.e., 222 longline permits were issued for tuna, 189 permits for the direct harvest of swordfish, 90 incidental swordfish, 229 permits for the direct harvest of sharks, and 321 for the incidental harvest of sharks) (NMFS 2005e). Many of these permit holders have multiple permits (NMFS 2005d).

Twenty species of sharks may be landed and retained in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Table 5-3). Twenty-eight species of commercially harvested HMS currently have an overfished status (NMFS 2006a) (Table 5-4). Additionally, the yellowfin tuna is approaching an overfished condition (i.e., estimated that the fishery will become overfished within 2 years) (NMFS 2006a).

5.2.1.15 Other species of importance

Menhaden (*Brevoortia tyrannus*) are considered one of the largest commercial fisheries along the U.S. Atlantic coast in terms of landing size (ranked 2nd in the nation) (NMFS 2005a; SAI and Loftus 2006). This species is harvested to produce oils, meal, and other products, as well as part of a bait fishery (SAI and Loftus 2006). Menhaden is harvested using seines with Chesapeake Bay being the dominant region for this fishery (Smith 1999; SAI and Loftus 2006). North Carolina ranks second in this fishery. Effort is concentrated from June through September off Mid-Atlantic (primarily Virginia) states and from November through January off North Carolina (Smith 1999). In 2004, this fishery brought 24.1 million dollars (181,347 metric tons) to Virginia and 1.9 million dollars (24,020 metric tons) to North Carolina (NMFS 2005e). Though the majority of this fishery occurs in state waters, 10% occurs in federal waters (NMFS 2005a). The fishery occurs off South Carolina and Georgia, as well, but to a much lesser extent (Smith 1999).

Hard blue crabs (*Callinectes sapidus*) are another important species harvested in the region with North Carolina accounting for 20% of the nation's landings (2nd behind Louisiana), followed by Maryland with 19%, and Virginia with 16% valued at $20.3 million, $31.6 million, and $19.0 million respectively (NMFS

Table 5-3. Retainable Shark Species (NMFS 2005c).

Large Coastal Sharks			
Blacktip shark (*Carcharhinus limbatus*)	Bull shark (*Carcharhinus leucas*)	Great hammerhead shark (*Sphyrna mokarran*)	Lemon shark (*Negaprion brevirostris*)
Nurse shark (*Ginglymostoma cirratum*)	Sandbar shark (*Carcharhinus plumbeus*)	Scalloped hammerhead shark (*Sphyrna lewini*)	Silky shark (*Carcharhinus falciformis*)
Smooth hammerhead shark (*Sphyma zyggaena*)	Spinner shark (*Carcharhinus brevipinna*)	Tiger shark (*Galeocerdo cuvier*)	
Small Coastal Sharks			
Atlantic sharpnose shark (*Rhizoprionodon terraenovae*)	Blacknose shark (*Carcharhinus acronotus*)	Bonnethead shark (*Sphyrna tiburo*)	Finetooth shark (*Carcharhinus isodon*)
Pelagic Sharks			
Blue shark (*Prionace glauca*)	Oceanic whitetip shark (*Carcharhinus longimanus*)	Porbeagle shark (*Lamna nasus*)	Shortfin mako shark (*Isurus oxyrinchus*)
Thresher shark (*Alopias vulpinus*)			

Table 5-4. Overfished commercially harvested highly migratory species (NMFS 2005c; 2006a).

Albacore tuna (*Thunnus alalunga*)	Caribbean reef shark (*Carcharhinus perezi*)	Nurse shark (*Ginglymostoma cirratum*)	Tiger shark (*Galeocerdo cuvier*)
Basking shark (*Cetorhinus maximus*)	Dusky shark (*Carcharhinus obscurus*)	Sailfish (*Istiophorus platypterus*)	Whale shark (*Rhincodon typus*)
Bigeye sand tiger shark (*Odontaspis noronhai*)	Finetooth shark (*Carcharhinus isodon*)	Sand tiger shark (*Carcharias taurus*)	White shark (*Carcharodon carcharias*)
Bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*)	Galapagos shark (*Carcharhinus galapagensis*)	Sandbar shark (*Carcharhinus plumbeus*)	White marlin (*Tetrapturus albidus*)
Bignose shark (*Carcharhinus altimus*)	Great hammerhead shark (*Sphyrna mokarran*)	Scalloped hammerhead shark (*Sphyrna lewini*)	
Blue marlin (*Makaira nigricans*)	Lemon shark (*Negaprion brevirostris*)	Silky shark (*Carcharhinus falciformis*)	
Bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*)	Narrowtooth shark (*Carcharhinus brachyurus*)	Smooth hammerhead shark (*Sphyrna zygaena*)	
Bull shark (*Carcharhinus leucas*)	Night shark (*Carcharhinus signatus*)	Spinner shark (*Carcharhinus brevipinna*)	

2005a). This fishery is entirely in state waters (NMFS 2005a). In Georgia, hard blue crabs are the second most important fishery, both in terms of landings and value, behind shrimp, with an average yearly value of $4.9 million (GDNR 2006). In South Carolina, blue crabs account for 10% of the value of all commercial landings in the state (SCDNR 2004b).

5.2.1.16 Ports

There are 10 major ports that support the commercial fishing industry in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity. Fernandina Beach ranks highest in terms of landings mass, while Charleston-Mt. Pleasant ranks highest in terms of value (NMFS 2005f) (Table 5-5; Figure 5-8).

Table 5-5. Major commercial fishing ports in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity for 2005, unless otherwise indicated (NMFS 2007).

Port	Landings weight (metric tons)	Landing value (millions)
North Carolina		
Sneads Ferry-Swansboro *(2003 data)*	1,360.8	$5.0
South Carolina		
Beaufort *(2003 data)*	1,814.4	$5.0
Charleston-Mt.Pleasant	3,039.0	$10.4
Georgetown *(2003 data)*	1,814.4	$6.0
Georgia		
Brunswick *(2000 data)*	861.8	$5.1
Darien-Bellville	1,769.0	$5.7
Savannah *(1998 data)*	1,134.0	$5.0
Thunderbolt *(1981 data)*	2,268.0	$3.4
Florida		
Fernandina Beach *(1982 data)*	7,484.3	$4.7
Mayport	2,131.8	$8.1

5.2.2 Recreational Fishing

Marine recreational fishing is an important and growing industry along the southeastern United States coast. In 2004, marine recreational fishing effort in the U.S. had increased by an estimated 20% in the past twenty years (Sutinen and Johnston 2003). The JAX/CHASN OPAREA offers substantial opportunities for marine recreational fishing due to several physiographic and oceanographic features of the SAB. Small-scale features such as live/hard bottom (SEAMAP 2001) and large-scale features such as shelf/shelf-edge transitions provide spatial complexity, resulting in increased fish diversity in this area (Huntsman and Manooch 1978). Artificial reefs and shipwrecks within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity also contribute to habitat structure and fish abundance (Huntsman and Manooch 1978). Currents, such as the Gulf Stream, additionally contribute to the richness and abundance of fish species, with warm waters of the Gulf Stream dispersing eggs and larvae, as well as juvenile fishes to the area (Huntsman and Manooch 1978). These warm waters provides suitable habitat for shallow-water subtropical and tropical species, which are often targeted by recreational anglers (Huntsman and Manooch 1978).



Figure 5-8. Distribution of fishing effort and closures relevant to the seine gear commercial fisheries in Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Fishing effort is displayed as the average number of trips from 2000 to 2004. Source information: ACCSP (2006a).

5.2.2.1 Fishing Activity Statistics

In 2004, marine anglers took over 20.7 million recreational fishing trips in the four coastal states bordering the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Table 5-6) (ACCSP 2006b). Of these, approximately 9.9% (2,054,584) were in federal waters (3 to 200 NM offshore) (ACCSP 2006b). Eastern Florida accounted for the greatest percentage of overall fishing trips (50.9%), followed by North Carolina (33.8%), South Carolina (10.8%), and Georgia (4.5%) (Table 5-6) (ACCSP 2006b).

Table 5-6. Number of marine recreational fishing trips from North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and eastern Florida in 2004 (ACCSP 2006b).

	North Carolina	South Carolina	Georgia	Eastern Florida
Federal Waters (3 to 200 NM from shore)	534,924	103,662	28,906	1,387,092
Inshore & State Waters (< 3 NM from shore)	6,489,754	2,131,968	900,471	9,200,868
Total	7,024,678	2,235,630	929,377	10,587,960

Recreational saltwater fishing is either an onshore or boat-based activity. Three modes of fishing exist in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA: shore, private/rental, and charter. Shore-based fishing refers to fishing that occurs from the beach, jetty, bank, pier, or any shore-based structure that extends into or over the water, and accounts for a large percentage of overall fishing in region (Figure 5-9). Private and rental boat trips include any fishing that takes place from either a personal or rental boat. This mode of fishing accounts for a large percentage of overall fishing trips in Florida. Charter companies offer fishing service to those who do not own their own boats or fishing gear. A single group of fishermen usually hire charter boats on a per-trip basis, while head boats are regularly scheduled, taking groups of anglers who pay a flat rate per fishermen. Several advantages exist for charter and head boats as compared to private rentals. Charter and head boats are generally capable of traveling further distances than private boats and professional captains are typically more experienced than private boat operators (Abbas 1978). Charter and head boats typically perform full day trips, and some charter boats may occasionally spend nights at sea (Abbas 1978). However, despite their greater capabilities, charter boats and head boats are the least popular means of recreational fishing throughout the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Figure 5-10).

Recreational fishermen utilize several types of fishing gear, including rods and reels, trolling gear (i.e., lures with bait dragged behind a boat), and spearguns (Abbas 1978). Vessels rigged for trolling target fishes near the surface. These vessels may also occasionally remain stationary and fish with rod and reel, depending upon sea conditions or on the target species (Abbas 1978). While fishing with rod and reel, a vessel may remain anchored or secured to a structure or alternatively, it may be allowed to drift. Although not as common as other forms of recreational fishing, spear fishing occurs mainly near artificial reefs and shipwrecks and is done while snorkeling or scuba diving.

In addition to typical recreational fishing, North Carolina offers a recreational commercial gear license, which is an annual license that allows recreational anglers to use limited amounts of commercial gear to harvest species for their personal consumption. Such harvest cannot be sold (NCDMF 2006a). There are only certain gear authorized and various regulations associated with each (NCDMF 2005a). In 2005, of the 46,935 recreational commercial gear license trips, 48% used small and large mesh gill nets, 43% used crab pots, 5% used shrimp trawls, 2% fish pots, and the other 2% used other commercial gear types (e.g., seines) (NCDMF 2006b).

Figure 5-9. Major commercial fishing ports in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source information: NMFS (2005g).



Figure 5-10. Recreational fishing trips in North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and eastern Florida by fishing mode from 1995-2004 (NMFS 2005g).

Recreational fishing effort varies seasonally in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. The majority of boat-based fishing trips take place from July through August, while the least activity occurs during the winter months of January and February (Strand et al. 1991). Between years, recreational saltwater fishing activity fluctuates due to changes in the economy, status of fish stocks, regulations, and recreational fishing popularity. Seasonal patterns in recreational saltwater fishing appear to remain consistent throughout time (Strand et al. 1991).

5.2.2.2 Fish Species

The distribution and abundance of game fish varies seasonally as well as annually. Biotic and abiotic factors such as habitat, nutrients, prey availability, currents, spawning behavior, fishing pressure, and strength of fishery stocks play a role in determining the timing and locations of fish presences. Reef fishes and coastal pelagic fishes are targeted over the continental shelf, while big game fishes (tunas, billfishes, and sharks) are mainly targeted near the shelf break and beyond (Huntsman and Manooch 1978). Flat and open expanses of the continental shelf are considered areas of low productivity and support few recreational fish species. Preferred fishing areas are associated with bottom relief, hard-bottom communities, or canyon heads near the shelf break. Although coastal pelagic fisheries range widely over the continental shelf, greater numbers of fishes tend to be caught near artificial reefs and areas with pelagic *Sargassum* (Huntsman and Manooch 1978).

There is a variety of coastal pelagic, reef fish, bottom fish, and HMS targeted in the region. Some of the most popular recreational fishes caught from the SAB coastal pelagic community include king mackerel, Spanish mackerel, and cero mackerel, bluefish, and little tunny (Huntsman and Manooch 1978). The most shoreward areas of bottom relief are home to temperate reef fishes, such as black sea bass and pinfish, while deeper areas of bottom relief are inhabited by more subtropical and tropical reef fishes such as porgies, groupers, snappers, and grunts that are targeted by anglers (Huntsman and Manooch 1978). Snapper and grouper are more common southward over the continental shelf. In the waters off southern Georgia and northeastern Florida, tropical species appear in spring and stay until late fall (Freeman and Walford 1976a, 1976b). Dolphinfishes, barracuda, sailfish, cobia, wahoo, and king mackerel arrive in March and April, while coastal tropical species such as pompano, Spanish mackerel, tarpon, and snook are present later in the year (Freeman and Walford 1976a, 1976b). Subtropical fishes such as gag, many grouper species, and snapper species are present year-round over areas of bottom relief of the outer continental shelf, moving nearer to shore during the summer months (Freeman and Walford 1976a, 1976b). Bottom fishermen target fishes near structures such as artificial reefs, rock outcrops, and canyons. Trolling and chumming (the release of blood and fish parts into the water) target big game HMS species such as tunas, billfish, and sharks (Huntsman and Manooch 1978). Fishermen commonly fish at *Sargassum* weed lines for dolphinfish and wahoo. From 1995-2004, popular fish species targeted by recreational fishermen adjacent to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA were drums, dolphinfishes, tunas, and mackerels (Table 5-7) (NMFS 2005g).

Table 5-7. Average annual recreational landings (metric tons) of each major species group from 1996 through 2005 (NMFS 2007).

Species Group	North Carolina	South Carolina	Georgia	East Florida
Barracudas	14.1	4.9	12.6	355.7
Bluefish	411.3	40.0	3.1	309.5
Cartilaginous Fishes	7.3	18.0	11.3	51.6
Catfishes	0.2	24.3	7.6	39.6
Cods and Hakes	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4
Dolphinfishes	2,071.8	91.5	7.3	2,193.0
Drums	137.5	61.1	52.1	120.0
Flounders	67.4	30.0	8.3	44.6
Grunts	34.7	3.0	0.4	47.4
Herrings	2.0	1.6	0.0	8.6
Jacks	17.6	4.6	2.8	235.6
Mullets	103.8	27.0	9.4	232.8
Other Fishes	112.4	88.6	10.1	726.0
Porgies	33.2	31.3	20.2	147.7
Puffers	30.7	0.2	0.0	8.6
Groupers & Sea Basses	34.2	20.2	12.0	88.6
Searobins	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Snappers	4.8	9.4	5.6	92.2
Temperate Basses	270.07	3.2	0.0	1.8
Toadfishes	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triggerfishes/Filefishes	22.6	10.5	4.6	51.3
Tunas & Mackerels	884.1	70.6	17.2	686.6
Wrasses	6.4	0.0	0.1	29.5
All Species	4,227.0	540.1	184.7	5,471.5

5.2.2.3 Recreational Fishing Hotspots

Recreational anglers focus their efforts in specific locations. Most fishing hotspots are located between shore and the shelf break (Figure 5-11), given the limited range of most recreational fishing boats and the difficulty of fishing for demersal fishes in deep waters beyond the shelf break. These fishing hotspots are often associated with subtle habitat features that concentrate fishes; such features may include bottom relief, live/hard bottom communities, canyons, and artificial reefs (Freeman and Walford 1976a, 1976b). Favored fishing hotspots may change over time in response to changes in fish populations or communities, changes in preferred target species, or changes in fishing modes and styles.



Figure 5-11. Recreational fishing hotspots in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: Gusey (1981), Coastal Outdoors (2001). Map adapted from: Freeman and Walford (1976a, 1976b).

5.2.2.4 Tournaments

Organized fishing tournaments are popular in the states bordering the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Table 5-8; Figure 5-12). Some tournaments have weigh-in categories for a single species or for multiple species. Organizations and companies usually sponsor the various tournaments. Each tournament has its own set of rules, which include time limits and geographical boundaries. The maximum distance typically traveled by offshore tournament participants is 75 NM from the tournament host site. The sites fished by anglers within the tournament zones are dependent on several factors including the species targeted, tournament rules, or weather. Among the different tournaments, the level of participation varies between individual events, seasons, and years. Although most tournaments are annual events, the scheduled list of tournaments is not static. Existing tournaments may be cancelled due to a lack of participation or support, while new tournaments may be organized as well. The exact dates of annual tournaments vary slightly from year to year.

5.3 Essential Fish Habitat Distribution and Species

As mentioned in 1.3.1, the MSFCMA contains an Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) provision which was put forth to conserve fish habitat. Within the OPAREA, fishes, invertebrate, and macroalgae species are managed or co-managed by fishery management councils, a fisheries commission, and a federal agency: NEFMC (jurisdiction is federal waters from Maine to Connecticut), MAFMC (jurisdiction is federal waters from New York to North Carolina), SAFMC (jurisdiction is federal waters from North Carolina to eastern Florida at Key West), GMFMC (jurisdiction is federal waters from western Florida to Texas), ASFMC (jurisdiction is state waters from Maine through eastern Florida), and the NMFS (jurisdiction over highly migratory species is in federal waters off the U.S. Atlantic coast and the Gulf of Mexico). The councils, commission, or agency may designate EFH outside their region of jurisdiction.

EFH has been designated for 64 fish and invertebrate species, not including the numerous species of corals, within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA; hereinafter these designated species will be referred to as managed species (Tables 5-9 and 5-10). In this report, these managed species are categorized as temperate, subtropical-tropical, and highly migratory species. Of the 64 managed species with EFH designation, 4 are classified as temperate, 33 are considered subtropical-tropical (not including the coral species), and 28 are defined as highly migratory species. Several species or MU are managed by more than one FMC; the tilefish is not only managed by two FMCs due to its extensive range but is also categorized as both a temperate and subtropical-tropical species.

The FMCs classify EFH for temperate and subtropical-tropical managed species in terms of five basic lifestages: (1) Eggs; (2) Larvae; (3) Juveniles; (4) Adult; and (5) Spawning Adult (MAFMC 1998a; MAFMC and ASFMC 1998a, 1998b; NEFMC 1998, 1999, 2003). Eggs are those individuals that have been spawned but not hatched and are completely dependent on the egg's yolk for nutrition while larvae are individuals that have hatched and can capture prey; juveniles are those individuals that are not sexually mature but possess fully formed organ systems that are morphologically similar to adults whereas adults are sexually mature individuals that are not necessarily in spawning condition, while spawning adults are those individuals capable of spawning (Moyle and Cech 1988; MAFMC 1998a; MAFMC and ASFMC 1998a, 1998b; NEFMC 1998, 1999, 2003; SAFMC 1998).

Although the individual lifestage terms and definitions are the same as those defined by the FMCs, the NMFS categorizes the lifestages of managed tuna, swordfish, and billfish somewhat differently, resulting in three categories based on common habitat usage by all lifestages in each group: (1) Spawning Adult, Egg, and Larva, (2) Juvenile and Subadult or Juvenile, and (3) Adult (NMFS 2006e). The category of spawning adult, eggs, and larvae is dependent upon spawning locations and circulation patterns that control the distribution of this lifestage. Subadults are those individuals just reaching sexual maturity. The juvenile and subadult category is a cumulative group in which all lifestages between age one and maturity have been lumped. Adults are sexually mature fishes.

Table 5-8. Marine recreational fishing tournaments in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity in 2005 (Coastal Guide 2007, CyberAngler 2007, SCDNR 2007, TruePrism 2007, SCDNR 2007, SSF 2006).

Date	Weigh-In City	Tournament	Species
North Carolina			
16 May-19 May	Hatteras	Hatteras Village Offshore Open	Billfish
25 May-27 May	Manteo	Pirate's Cove Memorial Weekend Tournament	Billfish, Tuna, Dolphinfish, Wahoo
31 May-2 Jun	Bald Head Island	Annual Bald Head Island Fishing Rodeo	Wahoo, Dolphinfish, Billfish
2 Jun-3 Jun	Manteo	Pirates Cove Annual Cobia Tournament Weekend	Cobia
9-Jun	Morehead City	Lady Angler Tournament, Big Rock Blue Marlin Tournament	Blue Marlin
22 Jun-23 Jun	Manteo	Pirates Cove Annual Small Fry Tournament	Bluefish, Spot, Flounder
27 June- 28 Jun	Wrightsville Beach	Greater Wilmington Hydra Sport King Mackerel Tournament	King Mackerel
6 Jul-8 Jul	Manteo	Fourth of July Offshore Tournament	Blue Marlin, White Marlin, Sailfish
5 Jul-8 Jul	Wrightsville Beach	Cape Fear Blue Marlin Tournament	Blue Marlin
19 Jul-21 Jul	Beaufort	Barta Boys and Girls Club Billfish Tournament	Billfish
27Jul-28 July	Southport	King of the Cape Classic	King mackerel
20 Jul-22 Jul	Manteo	2nd Annual North Carolina Boat Builders Challenge	Blue Marlin, White Marlin, Sailfish, Spearfish, Wahoo, Tuna, Dolphinfish
27 Jul-29 Jul	Oriental	13th Annual Oriental Rotary Tarpon Tournament	Tarpon
3 Aug-5 Aug	Oak Island	Long Bay Lady Anglers King Mackerel Tournament	King Mackerel
11 Aug	Sneads Ferry	Sneads Ferry Rotary King Mackerel Tournament	King Mackerel
11 Aug-12 Aug	Manteo	Alice Kelly Memorial Ladies Only Billfish Tournament	Billfish
13 Aug- 18 Aug	Manteo	Pirate's Cove Annual Billfish Tournament	Billfish

Table 5-8. Major recreational fishing tournaments occurring in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity in 2005 (Coastal Guide 2007, CyberAngler 2007, SCDNR 2007, TruePrism 2007, SCDNR 2007, SSF 2006) (cont'd).

Date	Weigh-In City	Tournament	Species
North Carolina (cont'd)			
31 Aug-2 Sept.	Manteo	The 13th Annual Allison White Marlin Release Tournament	White marlin
4 Oct-6 Oct	Atlantic Beach	Atlantic Beach King Mackerel Tournament	King Mackerel
18 Oct-20 Oct	Wrightsville Beach	Wrightsville Beach King Mackerel Tournament	King Mackerel
21 Sep- 23 Sep	Swansboro	Onslow Bay Open King Mackerel Tournament	King Mackerel
4 Oct-6 Oct.	Southport	U.S. Open King Mackerel Tournament	King Mackerel
30 Nov-1 Dec	Manteo	Manteo Rotary Rockfish Rodeo	Rockfish
South Carolina			
9 May-11 May	Charleston	Edisto Marina Billfish Tournament	Billfish
14 Apr	Fripp Island	Fripp Island King Mackerel Warm-up Tournament	King Mackerel, Spanish Mackerel, Dolphinfish, Wahoo
25 Jul-28 Jul	Johns Island	Bohicket Marina Invitational Billfish Tournament	Billfish
23 May-26 May	Georgetown	Georgetown Landing Marina Blue Marlin Tournament	Billfish
26 May	Fripp Island	Fripp Island Memorial Day King Mackerel Tournament	King Mackerel, Spanish Mackerel, Dolphinfish, Wahoo
27 Jun-30 Jun	Charleston	Charleston Harbor Boater's World Marine Centers Billfish Tournament	Billfish
7 June-9 Jun	Georgetown	11th Annual Tailwalker Marine "Offshore Challenge"	King Mackerel, Dolphinfish, Tuna, Wahoo, Cobia
8 Jun-9 Jun	Charleston	Fifty-Fifty Tournament	Dolphinfishes, Wahoo, Billfish
8 Jun-9 Jun	Charleston	SCSSA Sailfish Tournament	Sailfish

Table 5-8. Major recreational fishing tournaments occurring in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity in 2005 (Coastal Guide 2007, CyberAngler 2007, SCDNR 2007, TruePrism 2007, SCDNR 2007, SSF 2006) (cont'd).

Date	Weigh-In City	Tournament	Species
South Carolina (cont'd)			
23 Jun	Florence	24th Annual J.J. Heiden Bottom Fishing Competition	Multispecies
11 Jul-14 Jul	Charleston	MegaDock Billfishing Tournament	Billfish
7 Jul	Fripp Island	Fripp Island Fireworks Fishing Tournament	King Mackerel, Spanish Mackerel, Dolphinfish, Wahoo
14 Jul	Charleston	22nd Annual Charleston Coastal Anglers Inshore/Offshore	Multispecies
16 Aug- 18 Aug	Charleston	Key West Boats "Fishing for Miracles" King Mackerel Tournament	King Mackerel
1 Sept	Fripp Island	Fripp Island Labor Day King Mackerel Tournament	King Mackerel, Spanish Mackerel, Dolphinfish, Wahoo
Georgia			
29 Jun to 30 Jun	St.Mary's	St. Mary's Kingfish Classic	Kingfish
2 Aug to 4 Aug	St.Simon's Island	Golden Isles Kingfish Classic	Kingfish
Florida			
13 May	Port Canaveral	Canaveral Mac Attack	King Mackerel
23 Jun	Port Canaveral	FSFA Offshore Slam	Dolphinfish, Wahoo, King Mackerel, Cobia, Red Snapper, Grouper
7 Jun-10 Jun	St. Augustine	Kingbuster (SKA) Tournament	King Mackerel
23 Jun	Jacksonville	Anglers for a Cure Inshore Slam	Flounder
6 Jul-8 Jul	St. Augustine	Ancient City Gamefish Association's King Mackerel Challenge (SKA)	King Mackerel
27 Jul-28 Jul	Jacksonville	Boater's World Tournament of Champions (SKA)	King Mackerel



Figure 5-12. Potential area covered by recreational fishing tournaments in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity by season. Note there are no tournaments scheduled for the winter. Source data: Coastal Guide (2005), Fish4Fun (2005), Fishing Works (2005), Florida Sportsman (2005), IBFN (2005).

Table 5-9. Fish and invertebrates for which EFH has been designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA. Taxonomy follows Nelson et al. (2004) for fishes, Turgeon et al. (1998) for mollusks, and Williams et al. (1989) for decapod crustaceans.

I. TEMPERATE SPECIES

Bluefish
Spiny dogfish
Summer flounder
Tilefish

II. SUBTROPICAL-TROPICAL SPECIES

Atlantic calico scallop
Blackfin snapper
Blueline tilefish
Brown rock shrimp
Brown shrimp
Caribbean spiny lobster
Cobia
Corals (stony corals, octocorals)
Dolfinfishes
- Dolphinfish
- Pompano dolphinfish

Golden deepsea crab
Goliath grouper
Gray snapper
Greater amberjack
King mackerel
Mutton snapper
Pink shrimp
Red drum
Red porgy
Royal red shrimp
Scamp
Silk snapper
Snowy grouper
Spanish mackerel
Speckled hind
Tilefish
Vermillion snapper
Wahoo
Warsaw grouper
White grunt
White shrimp
Wreckfish
Yellowedge grouper

III. HIGHLY MIGRATORY SPECIES

Atlantic sharpnose shark
Bignose shark
Blacknose shark
Blacktip shark
Blue marlin
Bluefin tuna
Bonnethead shark
Bull shark
Dusky shark
Finetooth shark
Great hammerhead shark
Lemon shark
Longbill spearfish
Longfin mako shark
Night shark
Nurse shark
Oceanic whitetip shark
Sailfish
Sand tiger shark
Sandbar shark
Scalloped hammerhead shark
Silky shark
Spinner shark
Swordfish
Tiger shark
White marlin
White shark
Yellowfin tuna

Table 5-10. Management units (MU) and managed species with EFH designated within the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA by management agency. Taxonomy follows Nelson et al. (2004) for fishes, Turgeon et al. (1998) for mollusks, and Williams et al. (1989) for decapod crustaceans.

MID-ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

Bluefish MU[1]
Bluefish (*Pomatomus saltatrix*)

Spiny Dogfish MU[2]
Spiny dogfish (*Squalus acanthias*)

Summer Flounder, Scup, & Black Sea Bass MU[3]
Summer flounder (*Paralichthys dentatus*)

Tilefish MU
Tilefish (*Lopholatilus chamaeleonticeps)*

SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

Calico Scallop MU
Atlantic calico scallop (*Agopecten gibbus)*

Coastal Migratory Pelagics MU[3]
Cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*)
King mackerel (*Scomberomorus cavalla*)
Spanish mackerel (*Scomberomorus maculatus*)

Coral, Coral Reefs, & Live Bottom Habitats MU
Corals (stony corals, octocorals)

Dolphin Wahoo MU
Dolphinfish (*Coryphaena hippurus*)
Pompano dolphinfish (*Coryphaena equiselis*)
Wahoo (*Acanthocybium solandri*)

Golden Crab MU
Golden deepsea crab (*Chaceon fenneri*)

Red Drum MU[4]
Red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*)

Shrimp MU
Brown rock shrimp (*Sicyonia brevirostris*)
Brown shrimp (*Farfantepenaeus aztecus*)
Pink shrimp (*Farfantepenaeus duorarum*)
Royal red shrimp (*Pleoticus robustus*)
White shrimp (*Litopenaeus setiferus*)

Snapper-Grouper MU
Blackfin snapper (*Lutjanus buccanella*)
Blueline tilefish (*Caulolatilus microps*)
Goliath grouper (*Epinephelus itajara*)
Gray snapper (*Lutjanus griseus*)
Greater amberjack (*Seriola dumerili*)
Mutton snapper (*Lutjanus analis*)
Red porgy (*Pagrus pagrus*)
Red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*)
Scamp (*Mycteroperca phenax*)
Silk snapper (*Lutjanus vivanus*)
Snowy grouper (*Epinephelus niveatus*)
Speckled hind (*Epinephelus drummondhayi)*

Snapper-Grouper MU (cont'd)
Tilefish (*Lopholatilus chamaeleonticeps*)
Vermillion snapper (*Rhomboplites aurorubens*)
Warsaw grouper (*Epinephelus nigritus)*
White grunt (*Haemulon plumieri*)
Wreckfish (*Polyprion americanus*)
Yellowedge grouper (*Epinephelus flavolimbatus*)

Spiny Lobster MU[3]
Caribbean spiny lobster (*Panulirus argus)*
Ridged slipper lobster *(Scyllarides notifer)*

NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE

Atlantic Billfish MU
Blue marlin (*Makaira nigricans*)
Longbill spearfish (*Tetrapturus pfluegeri*)
Sailfish (*Istiophorus platypterus*)
Whiite marlin (*Tetrapturus albidus*)

Tuna MU
Bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*)
Yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*)

Swordfish MU
Swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*)

Large Coastal Shark MU
Blacktip shark (*Carcharhinus limbatus*)
Bull shark (*Carcharhinus leucas*)
Great hammerhead shark (*Sphyrna mokarran*)
Lemon shark (*Negaprion brevirostris*)
Nurse shark (*Ginglymostoma cirratum*)
Sandbar shark (*Carcharhinus plumbeus*)
Scalloped hammerhead shark (*Sphyrna lewini*)
Silky shark (*Carcharhinus falciformis*)
Spinner shark (*Carcharhinus brevipinna*)
Tiger shark (*Galeocerdo cuvier)*

Small Coastal Shark MU
Atlantic sharpnose shark (*Rhizoprionodon terraenovae*)
Blacknose shark (*Carcharhinus acronotus*)
Bonnethead shark (*Sphyrna tiburo*)
Finetooth shark (*Carcharhinus isodon*)

Pelagic Shark MU
Oceanic whitetip shark (*Carcharhinus longimanus*)
Sand tiger shark (*Carcharius taurus*)

Prohibited Species MU
Bignose shark (*Carcharhinus altimus*)
Dusky shark (*Carcharhinus obscurus*)
Longfin mako shark (*Isurus paucus*)
Night shark (*Carcharhinus signatus*)
White shark (*Carcharodon carcharias*)

[1]Jointly managed by the MAFMC and the ASMFC
[2]Jointly managed by the MAFMC (lead) and the NEFMC
[3]Jointly managed by the SAFMC (lead) and the GMFMC
[4]Jointly managed by the SAFMC and the ASMFC

The NMFS now classifies EFH for sharks in terms of three lifestages based on the most current research and the general habitat shifts that accompany each developmental stage (NMFS 2006e). The three resulting lifestage categories for sharks are: (1) Neonate (primarily includes neonates and only small young-of-the-year); (2) Juvenile (includes all immature sharks from young to older/late juveniles); and (3) Adult (sexually mature sharks; largest size class) (NMFS 2006e).

The EFH that occurs within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA can be broadly typified as:

- *Benthic Habitat:* Seafloor habitats including the continental shelf and slope that consist of substrate such as rocks, gravel, cobble, pebbles, sand, clay, mud, silt, shell fragments, and hard bottom as well as the water-sediment interface used by many invertebrates (i.e., members of shrimp MU). These benthic habitats are utilized by a variety of species for spawning/nesting, development, dispersal, and feeding (NMFS 1999a, 1999b; SAFMC 1998).
- *Structured Habitats:* Areas providing shelter for a variety of species, which may include:
    - Artificial reefs—Human-made structures derived of various types of materials and used primarily by adults, especially spawning adults (Clark and Livingstone 1982; Steimle and Figley 1996; SAFMC 1998).
    - Biogenic habitat—Habitat created by living organisms including sponges, mussels, hydroids, amphipod tubes, red algae, bryozoans, and coral reefs that is used by many members of the snapper-grouper MU (NEFMC 1998; SAFMC 1998).
- *Pelagic Sargassum:* Mats of pelagic *Sargassum* (*Sargassum natans* and *S. fluitans*) provide an important habitat for numerous fishes, especially the larval lifestage (e.g., snapper-grouper MU). In the North Atlantic Ocean, *Sargassum* occurs primarily within the physical bounds of the North Atlantic Gyre between 20°N and 40°N and between 30°W and the western edge of the Gulf Stream (Dooley 1972; SAFMC 2002a). As the areal extent and abundance of *Sargassum* at any single location is very unpredictable (Butler et al. 1983), the occurrence of pelagic *Sargassum* in this report is mapped from the shoreline to the U.S. EEZ (Ruebsamen 2005).
- *Gulf Stream Current:* The Gulf Stream is the dominant surface water mass in the SAB and flows roughly parallel to the coastline from the Florida Straits to Cape Hatteras, NC, where it is deflected and begins flowing northeastward (Bumpus 1973). The Gulf Stream provides a dispersal mechanism for the larvae of many species (e.g., snapper-grouper MU, coastal migratory pelagic MU, dolphin wahoo MU, and golden deepsea crab MU) (SAFMC 1998).
- *Marine Water Column:* All waters from the surface to the ocean floor (but not including the ocean bottom). Depending upon the species, the habitat may only include part of the water column (e.g., just surface waters). This habitat is important for a wide variety of species and their lifestages (NEFMC 1998; SAFMC 1998; NMFS 1999a, 2003a).
- *Habitat Areas of Particular Concern:* Twenty-five species have designated HAPC for some or all lifestages in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and include the following habitat types:
    - All lifestages for the snapper-grouper MU (18 species)—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs (areas of known spawning aggregation); pelagic and benthic *Sargassum;* all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; FMC-designated artificial reef SMZs; areas with fishing gear restrictions or harvest regulations; and Charleston Bump (SC) are designated HAPC within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional HAPC designated for this MU include but not located in the OPAREA include: seagrass habitat, mangrove habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); Big Rock (NC), and the *Oculina* Bank HAPC.
    - All lifestages of the coastal migratory pelagic MU (cobia, king mackerel, and Spanish mackerel)—sandy shoals associated with Cape Lookout, NC, Cape Fear, NC, and Cape Hatteras, NC from shore to the ends of the respective shoals but shoreward of the Gulf Stream; Charleston Bump (SC); Hurl Rocks (SC), and pelagic *Sargassum* have been designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional areas designated as HAPC but not located in the OPAREA

include: the Point (NC); Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); Big Rock (NC); the Point off Jupiter Inlet (FL); *Phragmatopoma* (worm) reefs (central east-coast of FL); nearshore hard bottom (<4 m) south of Cape Canaveral, FL; the Hump off Islamorada, FL; the Marathon Hump (FL); and the “Wall” off the Florida Keys.

- All lifestages of the common and pompano dolphinfish—Charleston Bump (SC) and Georgetown Hole (SC) are designated HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include The Point (NC); Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); Big Rock (NC); Amberjack Lump (FL); the Hump off Islamorada (FL); Marathon Hump (FL); and the “Wall” off the Florida Keys.
- All lifestages of the wahoo—Charleston Bump (SC) and Georgetown Hole (SC) are designated HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include The Point (NC); Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); Big Rock (NC); Amberjack Lump (FL); the Hump off Islamorada (FL); Marathon Hump (FL); and the “Wall” off the Florida Keys.
- All lifestages of the sandbar shark—HAPC are designated in the shallow areas at the mouth of Great Bay, New Jersey (NJ), lower and middle Delaware Bay, lower Chesapeake Bay, and near the Outer Banks, NC in areas of Pamlico Sound adjacent to Hatteras and Ocracoke Islands; and offshore of these barrier islands, since they represent important nursery and pupping grounds. None of these are in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.
- All lifestages of the red drum—all coastal inlets; all state-designated nursery habitats of particular importance to red drum; documented sites of spawning aggregation; barrier islands and their inlets; submerged aquatic vegetation beds in Virginia, North Carolina, and Florida; the entire estuarine systems in South Carolina and Georgia; and the inlets, adjoining channels, sounds, and outer bars of ocean inlets are designated HAPC. None of these are within the boundaries of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.
- All lifestages for members of the penaeid shrimp MU (brown, pink, and white shrimp)—all coastal inlets, state-designated nursery areas, and state-identified overwintering areas are designated as HAPC. These are not located within the boundaries of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.
- All lifestages of the Caribbean spiny lobster—Florida Bay, Biscayne Bay, Card Sound, and coral/hard bottom habitat from Jupiter Inlet, FL through the Dry Tortugas, FL are designated as HAPC. These are located south of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.
- Juvenile and adult lifestages of the cobia—the portions of Broad River, SC with salinities exceeding 25 practical salinity units (psu) from May through July have been designated as HAPC. These are not located within the boundaries of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.
- Juvenile and adult lifestages of the Spanish mackerel—HAPC have been designated as the portions of Bogue Sound, NC with salinities >30 psu from May through September and the portions of New River, NC with salinities >30 psu from May through October. These areas are not located within the boundaries of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.
- Juvenile and adult lifestages of the summer flounder—all native marine and freshwater species of submerged aquatic vegetation in any size bed, as well as loose aggregations, within adult and juvenile summer flounder EFH, ranging from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras, NC, are designated as HAPC (MAFMC and ASFMC 1998a). Despite being classified as macroalgae, pelagic *Sargassum* is not designated as HAPC for the summer flounder (Hoff 2005). Thus, none of these habitats are located within the study area.

5.3.1 Temperate Water Species

- <u>Bluefish</u> (*Pomatomus saltatrix*)

  Management—The population along the east coast is thought to be comprised of a single stock, with EFH designated under Amendment 1 to the Bluefish FMP developed by the MAFMC and the ASMFC (MAFMC and ASMFC 1998b).

Status—The current status review indicates that the bluefish is no longer considered overfished (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—The bluefish is a schooling species found in most oceans of the world, except the eastern Pacific Ocean. In the western Atlantic Ocean, the bluefish distribution ranges from Nova Scotia and Bermuda to Argentina but is considered rare between southern Florida and northern South America (Fahay et al. 1999).

Habitat Associations—Bluefish is a warm-water pelagic species that rarely occurs in temperatures below 14°C and utilizes both offshore and inshore habitats (Klein-MacPhee 2002a). Bluefish eggs typically are pelagic and inhabit waters with temperatures above 18°C and salinities greater than 31 psu between the months of April and August. Bluefish larvae are pelagic and are found from April through September in waters with a temperature 18°C and salinity greater than 30 psu (MAFMC and ASMFC 1998b). Larvae are transported from spawning grounds in the SAB to northeast estuaries via the Gulf Stream (Hare and Cowen 1996). Juveniles utilize estuarine habitat in coastal southern New England from June to October, in the MAB from May through October, and in the SAB from March to December (MAFMC and ASMFC 1998b). Adult bluefish utilize offshore and estuarine habitats with water temperatures above 16°C (Fahay et al. 1999). Adults typically are found in estuaries of coastal southern New England from June through October, in the MAB from April through October, and in the SAB from May through January (MAFMC and ASMFC 1998b).

Life History—Bluefish adults are highly migratory and perform both north-south and inshore-offshore movements. Bluefish move north in the spring to summer seasons, when their highest abundance is found off the coast of New York and coastal southern New England (Klein-MacPhee 2002a). In the fall and winter, bluefish move both southward and offshore to overwinter in the SAB, between coastal Florida and the Gulf Stream. Light levels and water temperature are the primary triggers for migrational movements, but offshore and inshore migrations also parallel the movements of their prey (Klein-MacPhee 2002a). There are two discrete spawning events for the western Atlantic bluefish: (1) a spring spawning event occurs near the edge of the continental shelf in the SAB during March through May, and (2) a summer spawning event occurs over the mid-continental shelf in the MAB between June and August in waters with temperatures between 18° and 25°C and salinities from 25 to 31 psu (Fahay et al. 1999; Klein-MacPhee 2002a).

Common Prey Species—Bluefish are piscivorous and feed on a variety of species including menhaden, herring, alewife, anchovy, eel, sculpin, killifish, silverside, croaker, scup, goby, sand lance, butterfish, and mackerel. This species also feeds on invertebrates (shrimp, squid, crabs, and worms) and is known for cutting and tearing prey in pieces (Klein-MacPhee 2002a).

EFH Designations (MAFMC and ASMFC 1998b; Figure D-1)

- Egg—EFH includes mid-shelf pelagic waters over the continental shelf (from the coast to the limits of the U.S. EEZ) in areas that encompass the highest 90% of all the area where bluefish eggs were collected in MARMAP surveys, from Montauk Point, NY south to Cape Hatteras, NC. South of Cape Hatteras, EFH includes 100% of the mid-shelf pelagic waters over the continental shelf (from the coast out to the eastern wall of the Gulf Stream) south to Key West, FL.
- Larva—EFH includes pelagic waters found over the continental shelf (from the coast to the limits of the U.S. EEZ), most commonly less than 15 m, in areas that encompass the highest 90% of all the area where bluefish larvae were collected in MARMAP surveys, from Montauk Point south to Cape Hatteras, NC. South of Cape Hatteras, EFH includes 100% of the pelagic waters deeper than 15 m over the continental shelf (from the coast out to the eastern wall of the Gulf Stream) through Key West, FL. The EFH also includes the “Slope Sea” (between the continental shelf and north wall of the Gulf Stream) and Gulf Stream Current between latitudes 29° and 40°N to the limits of the U.S. EEZ.
- Juvenile—EFH includes pelagic waters found over the continental shelf (from the coast to the limits of the U.S. EEZ) in areas that encompass the highest 90% of all the area where bluefish

juveniles were collected in NEFSC trawl surveys, from Nantucket Island, MA, south to Cape Hatteras, NC. South of Cape Hatteras, EFH includes 100% of the pelagic waters over the continental shelf (from the coast out to the eastern wall of the Gulf Stream) south to Key West, FL. EFH also includes the “Slope Sea” (between the continental shelf and north wall of the Gulf Stream) and Gulf Stream between latitudes 29° and 40°N to the limits of the U.S. EEZ. The following embayments and estuaries are designated as EFH, based on salinity, for this lifestage of bluefish: Delaware Bay and inland waters, eastern shore of MD and VA, Chesapeake Bay Mainstem, Chester River, Choptank River, Patuxent River, Potomac River, Tangier/Pocomoke Sound, Rappahannock River, York River, James River, Albemarle Sound, Pamlico Sound, Pungo River, Neuse River, Bogue Sound, New River, Cape Fear River, Winyah Bay, Santeee Rivers (north and south), Charleston River, St. Helena Sound, Broad River, Savannah River, Ossabow Sound, Sapelo Sound/St. Catherine, Altamaha River, St. Andrew/St. Simon Sound, and St. John’s River.

- Adult—EFH includes pelagic waters found over the continental shelf (from the coast to the limits of the U.S. EEZ) in areas that encompass the highest 90% of all the area where bluefish adults were collected in NEFSC trawl surveys, from Cape Cod Bay, MA south to Cape Hatteras, NC. South of Cape Hatteras, EFH includes 100% of the pelagic waters over the continental shelf (from the coast out to the eastern wall of the Gulf Stream) through Key West, FL. Additionally, estuaries and embayments from southern New England through the SAB including Delaware Bay, Delaware Inland Bay, Chesapeake Bay Mainstem, Chester River, Choptank River, Patuxent River, Potomac River, Tangier/Pocomoke Sound, Rappahannock River, York River, James River, Albemarle Sound, Pamlico Sound, Pungo River, Bogue Sound, Cape Fear River, St. Helena Sound, Broad River, St. Johns River, and Indian River are designated as EFH for this lifestage.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Spiny Dogfish (*Squalus acanthias*)

Management—Spiny dogfish have EFH designated under the joint management of the MAFMC and the NEFMC through the Spiny Dogfish FMP (MAFMC and NEFMC 1999).

Status—The spiny dogfish stock is not subject to overfishing; however, there is no definition in the FMP for determining a biomass target. Based on the NMFS’ recommended biomass threshold, the current biomass level indicates that the stock is overfished (NMFS 2006a). According to the International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN) Red List, the northwest Atlantic population of this species is considered endangered or facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild (Fordham et al. 2006).

Distribution—In the northwestern Atlantic Ocean, the spiny dogfish ranges from Greenland to southern Florida and Cuba but is most abundant between Newfoundland and Georgia (Nammack et al. 1985).

Habitat Associations—Spiny dogfish are ovoviviparous and eggs develop internally (Burgess 2002). The offspring, known as pups, are born live as fully developed juveniles following a gestation period of two years (Cohen 1982). Both juvenile and adult spiny dogfish are epibenthic but move throughout the water column. They inhabit nearshore shallow waters out to depths of 900 m along the inshore and offshore continental shelf (Burgess 2002).

Life History—Spiny dogfish spawn in the winter in offshore waters (Cohen 1982; Burgess 2002). Parturition occurs between November and January in offshore wintering grounds but can occur as late as May in areas of colder temperatures (Nammack et al. 1985; McMillan and Morse 1999; Burgess 2002). Spiny dogfish migrate north in the spring and summer, typically north of Cape Cod, MA, and return south again in the fall and winter, usually off the North Carolina coast (McMillan and Morse 1999). Seasonal inshore-offshore migrations are also common for this species and are related to water temperature. Spiny dogfish overwinter in deeper offshore waters and move into the nearshore shallow waters during the summer (McMillan and Morse 1999; Burgess 2002).

Common Prey Species—Spiny dogfish are very aggressive piscivores that feed primarily on fishes, such as mackerel, herring, menhaden, sand lance, capelin, wolffish, flatfish species, cod, and haddock. They also consume mollusks, crustaceans, and other invertebrates (Burgess 2002).

EFH Designations (MAFMC and NEFMC 1999; Figure D-2)

- Juvenile—EFH is designated as the waters off the continental shelf in areas that encompass the highest 90% of all the area where juvenile dogfish were collected in NEFSC trawl surveys from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras, NC. South of Cape Hatteras and extending through Cape Canaveral, FL, EFH is designated in waters with depths to 390 m. In addition, southern New England estuaries and bays are designated as EFH for this lifestage.
- Adult—EFH is designated as the waters over the continental shelf in areas that encompass the highest 90% of all the area where adult dogfish were collected in NEFSC trawl surveys from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras, NC. South of Cape Hatteras and extending through Cape Canaveral, FL, EFH is designated in waters to depths reaching 450 m. Southern New England estuaries and embayments have also been designated as EFH for this lifestage of the spiny dogfish.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Summer Flounder *(Paralichthys dentatus)*

Management—The summer flounder stock has EFH jointly designated by the MAFMC and the ASMFC under Amendment 12 to the Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass FMP (MAFMC and ASMFC 1998a).

Status—As of 2003, the summer flounder stock is no longer overfished nor is it subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—The range of summer flounder includes the continental shelf and estuaries from Nova Scotia to Florida, but their occurrence north of Cape Cod, MA and south of Cape Hatteras, NC is rare (Byrne and Azarovitz 1982; Klein-MacPhee 2002b).

Habitat Associations—Summer flounder eggs are pelagic and occur over the continental shelf in waters with temperatures ranging from 9º to 23ºC, although the majority of eggs have been observed at temperatures between 12º and 19ºC. Eggs are most common in the MAB between Long Island, NY and Cape Hatteras, NC within 25 NM of shore. The larvae are also pelagic and found primarily over the continental shelf. Larvae thrive in waters with temperatures between 0º and 23ºC but appear with the most frequency in waters between 9º and 18ºC. Following their metamorphosis into juveniles, the summer flounder seeks inshore demersal habitats (Byrne and Azarovitz 1982). They display a preference for portions of estuaries containing sandy substrates or where there is a transition from fine sand to silt and clay and water temperatures ranging between 3º and 27ºC (Packer et al. 1999). Adults share the same temperature preferences as the juveniles but upon reaching maturity; move out of the estuaries and onto the continental shelf (Byrne and Azarovitz 1982; Packer et al. 1999).

Life History—Summer flounder have two distinct annual spawning periods. The first is also the most intense and occurs over the coastal southern New England and MAB regions during autumn and winter. The second spawning period occurs in the southern part of the MAB in the spring (Berrien and Sibunka 1999). Female summer flounder continually produce egg batches throughout the spawning period (Klein-MacPhee 2002b). Summer flounder begin moving into the inshore waters of coastal southern New England in April and continue through July or August. Those fish that move inshore from the Chesapeake Bay and north move offshore again in the fall. This offshore migration begins in

September, and by October or November, most of the summer flounder have left the northern part of their range (Klein-MacPhee 2002b).

Common Prey Species—Bony fishes (sand lance, anchovy, herring, silver hake, and flatfish species) and squid are the primary components of the summer flounder's diet (Klein-MacPhee 2002b). Summer flounder feed on benthos as well as throughout the water column to the surface (Klein-MacPhee 2002b).

EFH Designations (MAFMC and ASFMC 1998a; Figure D-3)

- Egg—EFH is designated as the pelagic waters found over the continental shelf in the highest 90% of all the area where summer flounder eggs were collected during the MARMAP surveys, from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras, NC. South of Cape Hatteras and extending to Cape Canaveral, FL, EFH is designated as waters over the continental shelf (from the coast to the U.S. EEZ) to depths of 110 m.
- Larva—EFH is designated as pelagic waters found over the continental shelf in the highest 90% of all the area where summer flounder larvae were collected during the MARMAP surveys, from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras, NC. South of Cape Hatteras and extending to Cape Canaveral, FL, EFH is designated as nearshore waters (to 44 NM from shore) of the continental shelf (from the coast to the limits of the U.S. EEZ). Additional estuaries and bays such as Delaware Inland Bays, Chesapeake Bay Mainstem, Rappahannock River, York River, James River, Albemarle Sound, Pamlico Sound, Neuse River, Bogue Sound, New River, Cape Fear River, Winyah Bay, North and South Santee rivers, Charleston Harbor, St. Helena Sound, Broad River, Savannah River, Ossabaw Sound, St. Cathe/Sapelo Sound, Altamaha River, St. Andrew/St. Simon Sound, St. Johns River, and Indian River have been designated as EFH for this lifestage of the summer flounder.
- Juvenile—EFH is designated as demersal waters over the continental shelf in the highest 90% of all the area where juvenile and adult summer flounder were collected in the NEFSC trawl surveys, from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras, NC. South of Cape Hatteras and extending to Cape Canaveral, FL, EFH is designated as waters over the continental shelf (from the coast out to the limits of the U.S. EEZ) to depths of 152 m. Delaware Bay, Delaware Inland Bays, Chicoteague Bay, Chesapeake Bay Mainstem, Chester River, Choptank River, Patuxent River, Potomac River, Tangier/Pocomoke Sound, Rappahannock River, York River, James River, Albemarle Sound, Pamlico Sound, Pamilico/Pungo rivers, Neuse River, Bogue Sound, New River, Cape Fear River, Winyah Bay, North and South Santee rivers, Charleston Harbor, St. Helena Sound, Broad River, Savannah River, Ossabaw Sound, St. Cathe/Sapelo Sound, Altamaha River, St. Andrew/St. Simon Sound, St. Johns River, and Indian River have been designated as EFH for this lifestage as well.
- Adult—EFH is designated as bottom waters over the continental shelf in the highest 90% of all the area where juvenile and adult summer flounder were collected in NEFSC trawl surveys, from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras, NC. South of Cape Hatteras and extending to Cape Canaveral, FL, EFH is designated as waters over the continental shelf (from the coast out to the limits of the U.S. EEZ) to depths of 152 m. Estuaries and bays including Delaware Bay, Delaware Inland Bays, Chicoteague Bay, Chesapeake Bay Mainstem, Chester River, Choptank River, Patuxent River, Potomac River, Tangier/Pocomoke Sound, Rappahannock River, York River, James River, Albemarle Sound, Pamlico Sound, Pamilico/Pungo rivers, Neuse River, Bogue Sound, New River, Cape Fear River, Winyah Bay, North and South Santee rivers, Charleston Harbor, St. Helena Sound, Broad River, St. Johns River, and Indian River have been designated as EFH.

HAPC Designations—(MAFMC and ASFMC 1998a; Figure D-3)

- Juvenile and Adult—All native species of macroalgae, seagrasses, and freshwater and tidal macrophytes in any size bed, as well as loose aggregations within adult and juvenile summer flounder EFH are considered as HAPC but are not within the boundaries of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Hoff 2005).

- Tilefish (*Lopholatilus chamaeleonticeps*)

This species has EFH designated by both the MAFMC and SAFMC. See Section 5.3.2 for a complete write-up on this species.

5.3.2 Subtropical-Tropical Water Species

- Atlantic Calico Scallop (*Argopecten gibbus*)

Management—Atlantic calico scallops have EFH designated by the SAFMC through the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—The status of this species' fishery is unknown (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Atlantic calico scallops have a patch distribution ranging from the Delaware Bay south through Bermuda and the Gulf of Mexico to the northern side of the Greater Antilles (SAFMC 1998; FMRI 2003a).

Habitat Associations—Larval Atlantic calico scallops are initially pelagic and planktonic but settle as spat. Spat primarily attach to shells of dead or living mollusks but also objects such as navigation buoys and other floating objects (SAFMC 1998). Upon reaching 2.5 cm, Atlantic calico scallops detach and are capable of swimming (SAFMC 1998). Larger, unattached Atlantic calico scallops prefer substrates of hard sand, sand and shell, quartz sand, smooth sand-shell-gravel, and sand and empty shells (SAFMC 1998). They are typically found ranging from depths of 10 to 400 m in open marine or saline estuarine waters (FMRI 2003a; SMS 2004).

Life History—Atlantic calico scallops are hermaphroditic and sequentially release sperm and eggs into the water where fertilization occurs (SAFMC 1998; FMRI 2003a). Spawning takes place throughout the year but occurs with the highest frequency during the late fall and spring (FMRI 2003a). They may spawn intermittently multiple times during the spawning season (SAFMC 1998).

Common Prey Species—Atlantic calico scallops primarily feed on microflora, including detritus, bacteria, and organic matter (FMRI 2003a).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; Figure D-4)

- Larva—The Gulf Stream has been designated as EFH due to its role as a dispersal mechanism for this lifestage.
- All Lifestages—EFH for Atlantic calico scallops has been designated as the unconsolidated sediments including hard-sand bottoms, sand and shell hash, quartz sand, smooth sand-shell-gravel, and dead shells in depths of 13 to 94 m from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Blackfin Snapper (*Lutjanus buccanella*)

Management—EFH for the blackfin snapper is designated by the SAFMC under Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—Currently this species is neither overfished nor is overfishing occurring (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Blackfin snapper range from Massachusetts to Brazil, including the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean, but are rare north of Cape Hatteras, NC (SAFMC 1998; Murray and Bester 1999a).

Habitat Associations—This demersal species prefers sandy or rocky habitats near ledges or drop-offs and typically occurs from bottom depths of 40 to 300 m (preference of 60 to 90 m) (Murray and Bester 1999a; SAFMC 2003a). Adults are found further offshore than juveniles, which inhabit shallow reefs and hard bottom habitats in water depths of 6 to 50 m (SAFMC 1998; Murray and Bester 1999a; SAFMC 2003a). Suitable substrate is considered a more important factor contributing to the distribution of this species than depth preferences (SAFMC 2003a). Eggs and larvae are pelagic (SAFMC 1983).

Life History—This species is capable of spawning year-round but peaks occur in April and September. Spawning locations have only been identified off the coast of Jamaica (Murray and Bester 1999a).

Common Prey Species—This species is an opportunistic feeder that preys upon benthic invertebrates and fishes (Murray and Bester 1999a). In the Charleston Bump region, swimming crab are the main component of the blackfin snapper's diet (Weaver and Sedberry 2001).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-5)

- Larva—The Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism of dispersion, and pelagic *Sargassum* are designated as EFH.
- Juvenile—EFH for this lifestage is interpreted as live/hard bottom habitat in depths of 12 to 40 m from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Adult—EFH is interpreted as hard bottom habitat in the vicinity of the continental shelf break from depths of 40 to 300 m extending from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Spawning Adult—EFH is designated as the water column above the adult habitat.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-5)

- All Lifestages—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum*; all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs, and the Charleston Bump (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

- Blueline Tilefish (*Caulolatilus microps*)

Management—Blueline tilefish have EFH designated within the SAFMC Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—Currently this species is neither overfished nor is overfishing occurring (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Blueline tilefish are distributed from Cape Charles, VA to Campeche Banks, Mexico but are primarily found south of Cape Hatteras, NC (Manooch 1988; SAFMC 1998).

Habitat Associations—This benthic species is typically found in waters with depths of 68 to 236 m and temperatures between 15° and 23°C and prefers irregular bottom habitats, such as troughs, ledges, crevices, and terraces intermingled among sand, mud, and shells along the continental shelf

(Manooch 1988; SAFMC 2003a). Blueline tilefish also inhabit cone-shaped burrows (Manooch 1988). Eggs and larvae are pelagic (SAFMC 1983).

Life History—Blueline tilefish spawning occurs from February to October, peaking in the summer and correlating with photoperiod (SAFMC 1983; Manooch 1988; Sedberry et al. 2004; Sedberry et al. in press). Off the North Carolina and South Carolina coasts, spawning was recorded in both May/June and September/October with females capable of multiple spawning events (Ross and Merriner 1983). Numerous spawning locations have been identified from off the coast of South Carolina between the 48 and 234 m from MARMAP surveys in waters with bottom temperatures ranging from 8.8° to 16.2°C (SAFMC 2004a; Sedberry et al. in press).

Common Prey Species—This species feeds on other benthic species, such as crabs, shrimp, worms, snails, urchins, and fishes (Manooch 1988).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-6)

- Egg—EFH for this lifestage is interpreted as pelagic waters from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W) and seaward to the EEZ.
- Larva—The Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism of dispersion, and pelagic *Sargassum* are designated as EFH.
- Adult—EFH is interpreted for this lifestage as irregular bottoms consisting of troughs and terraces that are intermingled with sand, mud, or shell hash along the continental shelf edge from depths of 68 to 236 m extending from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Spawning Adult—EFH is designated as the water column above the adult habitat.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-6)

- All Lifestages—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum*; all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs, and the Charleston Bump (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

- Brown Rock Shrimp (*Sicyonia brevirostris*)

Management—EFH for the brown rock shrimp is designated under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region by the SAFMC (SAFMC 1998).

Status—Currently brown rock shrimp stocks in the SAB are not considered overfished or subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Brown rock shrimp are found in the Gulf of Mexico, around Cuba, in the Bahamas, and along the U.S. Atlantic coast as far north as Virginia. Their center of abundance in the SAB occurs off northeast Florida south to Jupiter Inlet (SAFMC 1998).

Habitat Associations—Brown rock shrimp live mainly on sand or silt bottoms in water depths from a few meters to 183 m but occasionally occupy deeper waters if suitable bottom habitat exists. The largest concentrations of brown rock shrimp are found between the depths of 25 and 65 m. Brown rock shrimp are also known to utilize hard bottom and coral habitats, specifically the *Oculina* coral habitat off Florida's east coast. Development from egg to postlarvae takes approximately one month, while development into larvae from postlarvae takes an additional two to three months. Currents transport larvae into inshore areas during the spring (SAFMC 1998).

Life History—The spawning season for brown rock shrimp is variable, with peak spawning beginning between November and January and lasting three months. Peak spawning activity seems to occur monthly and coincides with the full moon. Brown rock shrimp may be present year-round in the spawning areas with no trend relative to depth, temperature, salinity, and length of moon phase. The major transport mechanisms affecting planktonic larval brown rock shrimp are the shelf current systems near Cape Canaveral, FL. These currents keep larvae on the Florida Shelf and may transport them inshore in spring. Recruitment to the area offshore of Cape Canaveral, FL occurs between April and August with two or more influxes of recruits entering within one season (SAFMC 1998).

Common Prey Species—Brown rock shrimp feed on benthic prey consisting of small bivalve mollusks and decapod crustaceans (SAFMC 2002a).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-7)

- Larva—The Gulf Stream as well as surface current systems near Cape Canaveral, FL are interpreted as EFH for this lifestage of the brown rock shrimp, as they provide a mechanism to disperse rock shrimp larvae.
- Adult—EFH interpreted for this lifestage of the brown rock shrimp includes terrigenous and biogenic sand bottom habitats located in waters from 18 to 182 m in depth extending from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Brown Shrimp (*Farfantepenaeus aztecus*)

Management—Brown shrimp EFH is designated by the SAFMC under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—The brown shrimp is neither overfished nor subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Brown shrimp occur in the U.S. Atlantic from Martha's Vineyard, MA to the Florida Keys and in the Gulf of Mexico from Apalachicola Bay, FL to the Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico. In the SAB, brown shrimp are considered the most abundant along the North Carolina coast and moderately abundant from South Carolina to Florida (Larson et al. 1989).

Habitat Associations—Depending upon lifestage, brown shrimp can be pelagic or benthic, oceanic or estuarine. Both eggs and larvae are found in ocean waters, although eggs occur near the seafloor while larvae most often occur in the upper part of the water column (Larson et al. 1989). Post-larvae, juveniles, and subadults inhabit estuarine habitats with soft, muddy bottoms (e.g., salt marshes and tidal creeks) and often associate with submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV; e.g., seagrass beds). Adult brown shrimp, conversely, are found in offshore waters of the upper to mid-continental shelf, where they are associated with silt, muddy sand, and sandy substrates. Brown shrimp can be euryhaline or stenohaline depending upon lifestage. This species can tolerate water temperatures from 4° to 36°C, but their preferred temperature range is between 15° and 31°C (Pattillo et al. 1997; SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002).

Life History—Brown shrimp spawn in ocean waters at depths usually exceeding 18 m (Larson et al. 1989). In the SAB, spawning occurs from North Carolina to northeast Florida throughout most of the year (Pattillo et al. 1997). While they may occur seasonally along the MAB, breeding populations of brown shrimp apparently do not range north of North Carolina (SAFMC 1998). Seasonal movements of brown shrimp are related to water temperature patterns. Migration to offshore spawning grounds occurs from May through August in waters ranging from depths of 14 to 110 m and coincides with full moons and ebb tides. Surface ocean currents transport larval shrimp to coastal areas during late winter and early spring. The larvae then move into estuaries toward nursery grounds, using tidal cycles, when temperatures rise above 11°C (Whitaker 1981). Brown shrimp migrate to nursery areas in North Carolina, Georgia, and Florida from March through June and migrate to South Carolina's estuaries between March and April (Larson et al. 1989).

Common Prey Species—Brown shrimp are omnivorous, consuming benthic invertebrates, detritus, algae, diatoms, and small fishes (Larson et al. 1989).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-8)

- Egg—Bottoms located between 13.7 and 110 m, ranging from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W), are interpreted as EFH for this lifestage.
- Larva—EFH for this lifestage is designated as the water column at depths less than 110 m, ranging from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Juvenile—Estuarine areas consisting of marshes, wetlands, tidal palustrine forested areas, mangroves, SAV, and subtidal and intertidal nonvegetated flats, ranging from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W), are interpreted as EFH for this lifestage.
- Adult—EFH for this lifestage is interpreted as silty-sand and muddy sand bottoms located on continental shelf in waters less than 110 m deep, ranging from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-8)

- All Lifestages—All coastal inlets, state-designated nursery areas, and state-identified overwintering areas are designated as HAPC for penaeid shrimp species (brown, pink, and white). None of these areas are within the boundaries of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.

- Caribbean Spiny Lobster (*Panulirus argus*)

Management—Caribbean spiny lobsters are managed jointly by the GMFMC and the SAFMC, but EFH is the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is designated only by the SAFMC under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—The current status of the Caribbean spiny lobster stock on the southeastern U.S. Atlantic coast is unknown (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Caribbean spiny lobster are found in the waters off the southeastern coast of the U.S. from Cape Hatteras, NC to southeast Florida, the Gulf of Mexico, Bermuda, the Bahamas, the Caribbean Sea, and off the coast of central Brazil (Appeldoorn et al. 1987).

Habitat Associations—The eggs of the Caribbean spiny lobster remain attached to the adult until they hatch after three weeks of embryonic development. Upon hatching, the phyllosome (leaf-bodied) larvae disperse into the offshore waters along the deeper reef fringes (Marx and Herrnkind 1986). The larvae remain in the pelagic environment for six to 12 months as plankton while developing into pueruli (post-larvae) (Appeldoorn et al. 1987). The pueruli move across the continental shelf, remaining within a few centimeters of the surface, and then settle to the benthic environment in shallow water upon reaching suitable habitat (GMFMC and SAFMC 1982; Marx and Herrnkind 1986; Appeldoorn et al. 1987). Juveniles are associated with macroalgae beds along rocky shorelines and seagrass beds. Late juveniles prefer seeking refuge in protected bays and high salinity estuaries.

Such shelters include rocky outcroppings or ledges, grass bed undercuts, large sponges, solution holes, coral heads, mangrove roots, and clumps of sea urchins. Upon reaching maturity, adult lobsters move offshore and disperse among the rocks or coral reefs (Marx and Herrnkind 1986).

Life History—Adult Caribbean spiny lobster display movement patterns in the fall and during the spring reproductive period. In the spring, female spiny lobsters migrate to deeper reefs presumably to mate and shed larvae. Following the release of their larvae, females return to shallower water (Marx and Herrnkind 1986; Appeldoorn et al. 1987). As temperatures decline and storms increase during the autumn, males and females move offshore (Marx and Herrnkind 1986). In Florida, the mating season for spiny lobster occurs from February to April at the continental shelf edge (GMFMC and SAFMC 1982; Appeldoorn et al. 1987).

Common Prey Species—Caribbean spiny lobster have a diverse diet including algae, foraminifera, sponge spicules, polychaetes, bivalves, conchs, hermit crabs, and other crustaceans (GMFMC 1998).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; Figure D-9)

- Larva—The Gulf Stream, due to its role as a dispersal mechanism, is designated as EFH.
- All Lifestages—Nearshore shelf/oceanic waters; shallow subtidal bottom; unconsolidated bottom, coral and live/hard bottom communities; sponges; seagrass and mangrove habitats; and algal (*Laurencia*) communities are designated as EFH for these lifestages ranging from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; Figure D-9)

- All Lifestages—Florida Bay, Biscayne Bay, Card Sound, and coral/hard bottom habitat from Jupiter Inlet, FL through the Dry Tortugas, FL are designated as HAPC for all lifestages. These are all located south of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.

- Cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*)

Management—Cobia off the southeast coast of the U.S. are managed jointly by the SAFMC and GMFMC, but EFH in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is only designated by the SAFMC through the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—It is unknown if this species is overfished or if overfishing is currently occurring (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Cobia are distributed worldwide throughout tropical, subtropical, and warm-temperate waters, with the exception of the eastern Pacific Ocean (Williams 2001). In the northwest Atlantic, cobia range from Massachusetts to Argentina, including Bermuda, but are most common along the U.S. coast south of Virginia and in the northern Gulf of Mexico (Franks et al. 1999; FMRI 2003b).

Habitat Associations—Cobia eggs and larvae are pelagic and found at the surface or within the upper meter of the water column (Ditty and Shaw 1992). Eggs occur between May and August and larvae are found from May through September across the continental shelf from the Gulf Stream to inshore inlets and bays (GMFMC and SAFMC 1985; Ditty and Shaw 1992; Franks et al. 1999). Eggs are found in surface water exceeding 20°C in temperature and between 19 and 35 psu in salinity. Developing larvae occupy waters with temperatures of 24.2° to 32°C, salinities between 18.9 and 37.7 psu, and depths of less than 100 m (Ditty and Shaw 1992). Juvenile and adult cobia are found in coastal bays and inlets and across the continental shelf. Juveniles occur at temperatures between 16.8° and 25.2°C and at salinities of 30 to 36.4 psu. Adults prefer temperatures of 19.6° to 28°C, salinities ranging from 24.6 to 36.4 psu, and waters ranging in depth from nearshore shallows out to 70 m (GMFMC 1998). They are closely associated with any type of structure, including artificial reefs, pilings, platforms, anchored boats, *Sargassum*, and flotsam (Bester 1999a; Williams 2001).

Life History—Spawning occurs in the daylight hours between April and September in estuarine or shelf waters (Ditty and Shaw 1992; CBP 2004). Cobia are batch spawners and form large aggregations during spawning (Bester 1999a; Williams 2001). Cobia also undergo seasonal migrations. Following the spawning season, cobia migrate south to warmer offshore waters of the Florida Keys during the autumn and winter (CBP 2004). In the spring, they begin their migration north to the poly/mesohaline waters of coastal Virginia and the Carolinas for the summer and to spawn (Williams 2001).

Common Prey Species—Demersal organisms, particularly crustaceans, make up the majority of the cobia's diet. Particularly, shrimp (mantis and penaeid), eels, and squid are consumed with the highest frequency. Several fish species have also been observed in the stomachs of cobia, including Spanish mackerel (GMFMC and SAFMC 1985). Cobia are also commonly seen in schools following sharks, turtles, and large rays as they feed, to scavenge food from the other animals (Williams 2001; CBP 2004).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; Figure D-10)

- Larva—The Gulf Stream is designated as EFH for this lifestage because it provides a mechanism for dispersal of the larvae.
- All Lifestages—EFH in the MAB and the SAB is designated as the sandy shoals of capes and offshore bars, high profile rock bottoms, and the ocean side of barrier island waters from the surf zone to the shelf break but only from the Gulf Stream shoreward, including pelagic *Sargassum*. In addition, high salinity bays, estuaries, seagrass habitat, all coastal inlets, and all state-designated nursery habitats are also designated as EFH for this species.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; Figure D-10)

- Juvenile and Adult—The portions of Broad River in South Carolina with salinities exceeding 25 psu during the months of May through July have been designated as HAPC. These areas are not within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.
- All Lifestages—Sandy shoals of Cape Lookout, NC, Cape Fear, NC, and Cape Hatteras, NC ranging from shore to the ends of the respective shoals but shoreward of the Gulf stream; Charleston Bump (SC); Hurl Rocks (SC); and pelagic *Sargassum* are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC are the Point (NC); the Ten-Fathom Ledge (NC); Big Rock (NC); The Point off Jupiter Inlet (FL); *Phragmatopoma* reefs (worm reefs) off the central east coast of Florida; nearshore hard bottom (<4 m) south of Cape Canaveral, FL; the Hump off Islamorada (FL); the Marathon Hump off Marathon (FL); and the "Wall" off the Florida Keys.

- Corals *(Stony Corals and Octocorals)*

Management—EFH for corals is designated through the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region by the SAFMC (SAFMC 1998). Coral, coral reefs, and live/hard bottom habitat are managed as one unit by the SAFMC, accounting for more than 300 species (stony corals, octocorals, gorgonians, and black corals) (SAFMC 1998).

Status—Currently, there are no species within the MU that are subject to overfishing or are overfished in the SAB (NMFS 2006a). Two species of corals are designated either as a species of concern (ivory bush corals [*Oculina varicosa*]) or a candidate species (fused-staghorn [*Acropora prolifera*]) (NMFS 2004b). The elkhorn coral (*A. palmata*) and the staghorn coral (*A. cervicornis*) have been recently designated by the NMFS as threatened (NMFS 2006b). All but the ivory bush corals are distributed south of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Since no true coral reefs occur within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, the Coral Reef Protection Executive Order 13089 does not apply.

Distribution—Coral reefs are tropical, primarily shallow water ecosystems, largely restricted to the area between 30°N and 30°S (UNEP/IUCN 1988). The Florida Reef Tract ranges from Miami, FL to the Dry Tortugas and represents the northernmost extent of true coral reefs along the eastern U.S. coast. Coral diversity and abundance abruptly declines north of Miami, although live/hard bottom communities containing stony corals and gorgonians (represented as solitary corals or deepwater banks/mounds) can be found as far north as Cape Lookout, NC (Jaap 1984). Octocorals are found commonly throughout southern Florida and the Gulf of Mexico. Although the FMP mentions that the area from southeastern Florida to North Carolina contains no distinctive octocorals elements (SAFMC 1998), octocoral species are present in these waters (Wheaton 2005). Refer to Chapter 4 for more information on coral distribution.

Habitat Associations—Corals exist in oceanic habitats ranging from the nearshore to the continental slopes and canyons, including intermediate shelf zones. Various coral species inhabit these oceanic habitats including stony corals, black corals, and octocorals (SAFMC 1998). Corals may be the primary component of a habitat (e.g., coral reefs), contribute to a habitat (e.g., live/hard bottom communities), or exist as individuals within a community characterized by other fauna (e.g., solitary corals) (SAFMC 1998).

Distribution of corals is contingent on a variety of environmental parameters. Latitude-correlated environmental parameters include temperature, light, substrate, and currents. Light availability is one of the most ecologically significant of these parameters since many corals have a symbiotic relationship with zooxanthellae, which directly influences coral growth and reef accretion. Furthermore, low temperatures (<11°C) will generally kill zooxanthellae, while high temperatures (30° to 34°C) will cause zooxanthellae to be expelled from the coral polyps, leading to coral bleaching. Non-latitude-correlated or regional environmental factors that affect coral growth include surface water circulation, substrate availability, sedimentary regimes, tidal regimes, and nutrients. The most limiting of these parameters to reef coral distribution is substrate availability (Veron 1995).

Life History—Octocorals reproduce by releasing sperm into the column with internal fertilization and development. Larvae are released and later settle on substrate to complete metamorphosis. Hermatypic stony corals have separate sexes or can be hermaphroditic, as well as being able to reproduce by external or internal fertilization (Jaap 1984).

Common Prey Species—Hermatypic coral and octocoral derive nutrition by photosynthesis via symbiotic algae (zooxanthellae) (SAFMC 1998). Ahermatypic corals feed on plankton and detritus.

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; Figure D-11)

- Hermatypic and Ahermatypic Stony Corals—Rough, hard, exposed, and stable substrate located from Palm Beach County south to the Florida Reef Tract in waters from the subtidal zone to depths of 30 m, with temperatures between 15° and 35°C, high salinity (30 to 35 psu), and turbidity levels low enough to allow an adequate amount of light for photosynthesis are designated as EFH for hermatypic coral species. Ahermatypic stony corals are not light restricted and their EFH is defined as hard substrates ranging from subtidal to outer continental shelf depths.
- Octocorals (excluding sea pens and sea pansies)—EFH is designated as rough, hard, exposed, and stable substrate with a wide range of salinity and light penetration from the subtidal zone to outer shelf depth and is located within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.
- Sea Pens and Sea Pansies (Pennatulacea)—Muddy and silty bottoms in waters with a wide range of salinity and light penetration, from the subtidal zone to outer shelf depths, are designated as EFH.
- Black Corals (*Antipatharia*)—EFH is designated as rough, hard, exposed, and stable substrate in offshore (<18 m depths), high salinity (30 to 35 psu) waters that are not light restricted.

HAPC Designations—(SAFMC 1998; Figure D-11)

- <u>All Coral Species</u> (stony corals, black corals, gorgonians, and octocorals)—Areas designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA include the Charleston Bump (SC), Hurl Rock (SC), and Gray's National Marine Sanctuary (GA). Additional designated HAPC, which are not located in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, are Ten Fathom Ledge (NC), Big Rock (NC), the Point (NC), *Oculina* Bank, *Phragmatopoma* (worm) reefs (central east coast of Florida; south of OPAREA); nearshore hard bottom (<4 m) from Cape Canaveral, FL to Broward County, FL; offshore (5 to 30 m) hard bottom from Palm Beach County, FL to Fowey Rocks, FL; Biscayne Bay, FL; Biscayne National Park FL; and the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary.

- <u>Dolphinfish</u> (*Coryphaena* spp.)

Management—There are two species of dolphinfish that have EFH designated by the SAFMC (2003b) through the FMP for the Dolphin and Wahoo Fishery of the Atlantic, the dolphinfish (*Coryphaena hippurus*) and the pompano dolphinfish (*C. equiselis*). This FMP was only partially approved by NMFS; specifically, the designation of *Sargassum* as EFH or HAPC was disapproved (NOAA 2004).

Status—It is unknown if either of the dolphinfish species are overfished or if overfishing is occurring in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Dolphinfish have a worldwide distribution throughout tropical and subtropical waters (Rivera and Appeldoorn 2000). In the western Atlantic, these species have been observed as far north as Prince Edward Island and as far south as Rio de Janeiro, but they generally prefer areas of warmer water (greater than 20°C) influenced by the Gulf Stream (Manooch 1988; Schultz 2004).

Habitat Associations—Dolphinfish eggs are found in oceanic waters over or beyond the continental shelf (Ditty et al. 1994). The larvae most often occur in water temperatures exceeding 24°C and salinities above 33 psu, with concentrations increasing with an increase in *Sargassum* abundance (Ditty et al. 1994). Juvenile dolphinfish are found throughout the Atlantic but also tend to congregate around *Sargassum* and floating debris (Beardsley 1967). Adult dolphinfish are epipelagic with the 20°C isotherm considered to be the limit of their distribution (SAFMC 2003b). Adult dolphinfish have been found in the highest concentrations in water temperatures ranging from 26° to 28°C, during late spring and summer (Beardsley 1967). Females and smaller males associate with *Sargassum* and floating debris, while larger males more often frequent the open ocean (SAFMC 2003b).

Life History—Spawning in dolphinfish takes place throughout the year in the Atlantic Ocean in waters warmer than 24°C, with peak spawning periods occurring in the spring and early fall (Beardsley 1967). Two stocks of the common dolphinfish with separate migration patterns have been proposed for the western Atlantic. The two stocks are located to the southeast and the northwest of Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands. The northwest stock moves in a clockwise circular migration pattern. It is found off Puerto Rico between December and February, between Florida and Georgia during May and June, off South Carolina and southeastern North Carolina between June and July, and around Bermuda during July through August (Rivera and Appeldoorn 2000).

Common Prey Species—Dolphinfish are nonselective, opportunistic foragers that feed during daylight hours in surface waters (SAFMC 2003b). Their diet consists of fishes and invertebrates including small oceanic pelagic fishes (e.g., flying fish, halfbeaks, and rough triggerfish), the young of large oceanic pelagic species (e.g., jacks, dolphinfish, tunas, and billfish), and the pelagic larvae of neritic, benthic species (e.g., grunts, triggerfish, pufferfish, and flying gurnards). Cephalopods, crabs, scyphozoans, and mysids are included among the invertebrate species that dolphinfish prey upon (FMRI 2003c; SAFMC 2003b).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 2003b, 2004b; Figure D-12)

- All Lifestages—Oceanographic features such as the Gulf Stream and associated eddies occurring within the EEZ, the Florida Current and associated gyres and eddies, and the Charleston Gyre have been designated as EFH for dolphinfish.

***HAPC* Designations**—(SAFMC 2003b, 2004b; Figure D-12)

- All Lifestages—The Charleston Bump (SC) and Georgetown Hole (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Also designated as HAPC are the Point (NC), the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC), Big Rock (NC), the Amberjack Lump (FL), the Hump off Islamorada (FL), the Marathon Hump (FL), and the "Wall" off the Florida Keys.

- Golden Deepsea Crab (*Chaceon fenneri*)

Management—Golden deepsea crabs have EFH designated by the SAFMC through the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—It is unknown whether or not this species is overfished or if overfishing is currently occurring (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Golden deepsea crabs are distributed on the continental slope from the Chesapeake Bay south through the Florida Straits and into the Gulf of Mexico (Wenner et al. 1987; Wenner and Barans 1990; SAFMC 1995).

Habitat Associations—Female golden deepsea crabs are typically found in shallower areas than males but they occur in highest abundance in the SAB at depths of 367 to 549 m. Their relative abundance in an area is primarily driven by sediment type, with the largest catches occurring over substrates composed of a mixture of silt-clay and foraminiferan (Wenner et al. 1987). Wenner and Barans (1990) identified seven habitats on the continental slope inhabited by the golden deepsea crab. The first and most frequently encountered habitat was a flat ooze covered bottom characterized by foraminifera and pteropod debris mixed with larger shell fragments, which occurred at depths of 405 to 567 m. Golden deepsea crabs were also found to be relatively abundant in habitats containing distinct mounds, primarily of dead coral, found between 503 and 555 m of depth. Other areas of occurrence include ripple habitat, substrates with current crescents and occasional depressions of 1-2 m (320 to 539 m); dunes (389 to 472 m); black pebble habitat (446 to 564 m); low outcrop habitat (466 to 512 m); and soft-bioturbated habitat (293 to 475 m). The SAFMC (1998) based its EFH designations on the seven habitats identified by Wenner and Barans (1990) but used additional survey data to expand the depth ranges of the habitats.

Life History—Female golden deepsea crabs release larvae from February through March, usually into prevailing currents, such as the Loop Current in the Gulf of Mexico or the Gulf Stream in the SAB (SAFMC 1998).

Common Prey Species—The feeding habits of the golden deepsea crab are not well known but they are often described as opportunistic scavengers that feed upon the dead carcasses that settle to the bottom from the overlying waters (SAFMC 1999a).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; Figure D-13)

- Larva—The Gulf Stream has been designated as EFH due to its role as a dispersal mechanism.
- All Lifestages—The continental slope from the Chesapeake Bay to the Florida Straits has been designated as EFH for golden deepsea crabs. Seven distinct habitat types on the continental slope of the SAB have specifically been designated as EFH for the golden deepsea crab: a flat foraminiferan ooze habitat (405 to 567 m); distinct mounds, primarily of dead coral (503 to 555 m); ripple habitat (320 to 539 m); dunes (389 to 472 m); black pebble habitat (446 to 564 m); low outcrop (466 to 512 m); and soft bio-turbated habitat (293 to 475 m).

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Goliath Grouper (*Epinephelus itajara*)

Management—EFH for the goliath grouper is designated under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region the SAFMC (SAFMC 1998).

Status—The goliath grouper is overfished in the SAB (NMFS 2006a). From North Carolina south to the Gulf of Mexico, goliath grouper were designated as a species of concern (former candidate species 1999) by NMFS (2004b), but a recent status report indicates that this species no longer meets the criteria to be designated as a species of concern (NMFS 2006c). They are listed as critically endangered or facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future by the IUCN Red list (Chan Tak-Chuen and Padovani Ferrera 2006).

Distribution—In the northwest Atlantic Ocean, goliath grouper are distributed from Florida to Brazil, including Bermuda, Caribbean Sea, and Gulf of Mexico (Robins 1999). They are most abundant off eastern Florida south to the Florida Keys (SAFMC 1998). This species is also found in the eastern Atlantic from Senegal to Congo, Africa and in the eastern Pacific from the Gulf of California to Peru (Robins 1999).

Habitat Associations—Rocks, corals, caves, shipwrecks, ledges, and muddy substrates, in waters with depths less than 46 m, are the preferred habitat of territorial adults, while juveniles are found in estuarine areas associated with mangroves and oyster bars (Sadovy and Eklund 1999; Robins 1999). Eggs and larvae are pelagic with larvae becoming benthic approximately 25 days after hatching (Robins 1999).

Life History—Spawning events occur around shipwrecks, rock ledges, and reefs from July through September and are correlated with lunar events (Robins 1999). Spawning aggregations containing over 100 goliath groupers have been observed with all recorded aggregations (except Bermuda) occurring between 15ºN and 26ºN latitudes (Sadovy and Eklund 1999; Robins 1999). These aggregations primarily consist of the largest and oldest individuals of the population (Coleman et al. 2000). Goliath grouper are considered sedentary and typically do not move among reefs, except to form aggregations (Sadovy and Eklund 1999).

Common Prey Species—Goliath groupers are opportunistic feeders that prey mainly on crustaceans (spiny lobster, shrimp, and crabs) and fishes (stingrays and parrotfishes) but also consume octopus and young sea turtles (Robins 1999).

EFH Designations—(SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-14)

- Larva—The Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism of dispersion and pelagic *Sargassum* are designated as EFH in Florida waters.
- Juvenile—EFH for this lifestage is interpreted as benthic habitats consisting of high relief ledges, reefs, piers, bridges, and mangrove-lined shores in waters with depths of less than 50 m throughout Florida.
- Adult—Benthic habitats consisting of high relief ledges, reefs, piers, bridges, and mangrove-lined shores in waters with depths less than 50 m throughout Florida are interpreted as EFH for this lifestage.
- Spawning Adult—EFH is designated as the water column above the adult habitat.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-14)

- All Lifestages—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum*; all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs, and the Charleston Bump (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

- Gray Snapper (*Lutjanus griseus*)

Management—Gray snapper have EFH designated by the SAFMC under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—This species is neither overfished nor is overfishing occurring (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Gray snapper range from North Carolina to Brazil, including Bermuda, the Caribbean, and northern Gulf of Mexico (SAFMC 1998; Burton 2001). Juveniles can occasionally be found as far north as Massachusetts (Manooch 1988).

Habitat Associations—Gray snapper are capable of inhabiting a wide variety of habitats. Offshore benthic habitats include shipwrecks, ledges, hard bottom, coral reefs, and rocky outcroppings to depths of 180 m, while inshore habitats consist of seagrasses, mangroves, and rock piles (Bortone and Williams 1986; Manooch 1988; Bester 1999b). Smaller, younger fish are typically found utilizing more inshore habitats, such as seagrass beds and areas of soft sediments, compared to larger, older adults (Manooch 1988; Bester 1999b). Gray snapper are especially abundant in seagrass beds of Florida Keys, which provide nursery areas for juveniles but also feeding areas for adults (Starck and Schroeder 1971). Adults and juveniles are euryhaline and can tolerate a salinity range from 0 to 37 psu and have even been recorded in freshwater lakes and rivers of southern Florida (SAFMC 1998; Bester 1999b). They also are found utilizing waters with temperatures between 13° and 32.5°C (Bortone and Williams 1986). Eggs and larvae are pelagic until larvae settle at inshore nurseries consisting of either seagrass beds, mangroves, jetties, or pilings, approximately three weeks after hatching, typically from July through September (Bortone and Williams 1986; Domeier et al. 1996; SAFMC 1998; Bester 1999b).

Life History—This species does not exhibit extensive movements and remains in the same area for extended periods of time, except during spawning season (SAFMC 1998; Bester 1999b). Gray snapper do demonstrate daily movements associated with feeding and schooling. Gray snapper migrate from inshore waters to offshore waters to spawn between April and November, with spawning correlated with lunar cycles (Manooch 1988; Domeier et al. 1996; Bester 1999b). Spawning locations have not been identified but are believed to be associated with reefs and shipwrecks (Domeier et al. 1996). Individuals are capable of spawning multiple times during a season (Bester 1999b).

Common Prey Species—This species is an opportunistic predator. Adult gray snapper prey nocturnally on fishes, shrimp, and crabs (Manooch 1988; Bester 1999b). Crustaceans are a primary component of the adult gray snapper's diet (Starck and Schroeder 1971).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NFMS 2002; Figure D-15)

- Egg—EFH is interpreted for this lifestage as pelagic waters from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Larva—Pelagic waters, pelagic *Sargassum*, and the Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism of dispersion, from North Carolina to Florida are designated as EFH for this lifestage of the gray snapper.
- Juvenile—EFH interpreted for this lifestage includes aquatic vegetation, mangroves, and muddy substrates in nearshore areas (<5 m) as well as hard bottom habitats from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).

- Adult—Bottom types such as coral reefs, hard bottom, artificial reefs, ledges of channels, mangroves, seagrass beds, and sponges in depths less than 77 m from the Virginia-North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W) is interpreted as EFH for this lifestage.
- Spawning Adult—EFH is designated as the water column above the adult habitat.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; NFMS 2002; Figure D-15)

- All Lifestages—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum*; all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs, and the Charleston Bump (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

- Greater Amberjack (*Seriola dumerili*)

Management—EFH for the greater amberjack are designated within the SAFMC Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—Currently, this species is neither overfished nor is overfishing occurring (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Greater amberjack inhabit the Pacific, Atlantic, and Indian Oceans, as well as the Mediterranean Sea (Manooch 1988). In the northwest Atlantic Ocean, their distribution ranges from Nova Scotia, Canada to Brazil, including the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea (Manooch 1988).

Habitat Associations—Greater amberjack are pelagic, as well as epibenthic, preferring habitats consisting of shipwrecks, reefs, and rocky outcrops around the continental shelf (Manooch 1988; SAFMC 2003a). Juveniles and adults also associate with floating debris and plants (*Sargassum*) in offshore waters (SAFMC 2003a; Wells and Rooker 2004). This species is commonly found inhabiting waters with depths as great as 360 m. Smaller individuals (<1 m total length [TL]) prefer depths of less the 10 m, while larger individuals have a preference for depths ranging from 18 to 72 m (Manooch and Haimovici 1983; Manooch and Potts 1997a; SAFMC 2003a).

Life History—Spawning occurs from January to July but peaks from April to June (Manooch 1988; Sedberry et al. in press). Spawning aggregations have been recorded off southeast Florida and in the Florida Keys from depths of 45 to 122 m along shelf-edge reef sites and in waters with bottom temperature around 24°C (SAFMC 1998; Sedberry et al. in press). The majority of spawning females have been collected south of 30°N (Sedberry et al. in press). Greater amberjack exhibit seasonal migrations along the U.S. Atlantic coast, moving south during December through May and northward from June through November (SAFMC 1983).

Common Prey Species—Greater amberjack feed over reefs and shipwrecks on crab, squid, and fishes (herring, scad, filefish, and little tunny) (Manooch and Haimovici 1983; Manooch 1988).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998, 2003a; NMFS 2002; Figure D-16)

- Larva—The Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism of dispersion, and pelagic *Sargassum* are designated as EFH.
- Juvenile—EFH interpreted for this lifestage includes pelagic *Sargassum* or other pelagic macroalgae and floating debris from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).

- Adult—Pelagic waters over reefs, from depths of 18 to 360 m, extending from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W) are interpreted as EFH for this lifestage.
- Spawning Adult—EFH is designated as the same pelagic waters as the adult lifestage.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998, 2003a; NMFS 2002; Figure D-16)

- All Lifestages—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum*; all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs, and the Charleston Bump (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

- King Mackerel (*Scomberomorous cavalla*)

Management—This species is managed by the GMFMC and SAFMC, but EFH for this species in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is only designated by the SAFMC under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—The king mackerel stock on the Atlantic coast of the U.S. is not overfished nor is overfishing occurring (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—King mackerel are commonly distributed along the continental shelf in the warmer waters of the western Atlantic Ocean from North Carolina to Brazil but occasionally stray as far north as Massachusetts (Gold et al. 2002; Collette 2002a). This species does not typically occur beyond the continental shelf break (GMFMC and SAFMC 1985).

Habitat Associations—The pelagic eggs of the king mackerel occur offshore over depths of 35 to 180 m during the spring and summer (GMFMC 1998). Larvae occur over the middle and outer continental shelf off the eastern coast of the U.S. from May through November in waters with temperatures ranging from 22° to 28°C, salinities between 30 and 37 psu, and over depths of 35 to 180 m (GMFMC and SAFMC 1985; Godcharles and Murphy 1986; GMFMC 1998). Juvenile and adult king mackerel can be found ranging from inshore waters to the shelf break but are commonly found at depths of less than 80 m. They prefer areas of temperatures greater than 20°C and salinities between 32 and 36 psu. As adults, king mackerel rarely enter estuaries but feed upon estuarine-dependent species (GMFMC 1998).

Life History—King mackerel are highly fecund serial spawners (Gledhill and Lyczkowski-Schultz 2000). They have a protracted spawning season, which runs from May to October (Godcharles and Murphy 1986). King mackerel exhibit seasonal movements. During the summer, these fish migrate north occurring in the waters off Virginia and the Carolinas through fall. As the waters become cooler in the winter, they migrate south again to Florida (Godcharles and Murphy 1986; Schaefer and Fable 1994).

Common Prey Species—King mackerel feed on a variety of fish species including sardines, thread herrings, menhaden, scad, jacks, snappers, mackerels, and grunts. Invertebrate species such as shrimp and squid also make up a large portion of their diet (GMFMC and SAFMC 1985; Collette 2002a).

EFH Designations—(SAFMC 1998; Figure D-10)

- Larva—The Gulf Stream is designated as EFH for this lifestage of the king mackerel because it provides a mechanism for dispersal.

- All Lifestages—EFH in the MAB and the SAB is designated as sandy shoals of capes and offshore bars, high profile rock bottoms and barrier island ocean side waters from surf zone to shelf break but only from the Gulf Stream shoreward, including pelagic *Sargassum*. Additionally, all coastal inlets and state-designated nursery areas are designated as EFH.

HAPC Designations—(SAFMC 1998; Figure D-10)

- All Lifestages—Areas designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA for this species include the sandy shoals of Cape Lookout, NC, Cape Fear, NC, and Cape Hatteras, NC ranging from shore to the ends of the respective shoals but shoreward of the Gulf Stream; Charleston Bump (SC); Hurl Rocks (SC), and pelagic *Sargassum*. Additional HAPC designated for this species are the Point (NC); Ten-Fathom Ledge (NC), Big Rock (NC), the Point off Jupiter Inlet (FL); *Phragmatopoma* reefs (worm reefs) off the central east coast of Florida; nearshore (<4 m) hard bottom south of Cape Canaveral, FL; the Hump off Islamorada (FL); Marathon Hump (FL); and the "Wall" off the Florida Keys.

- Mutton Snapper (*Lutjanus analis*)

Management—Mutton snapper have EFH designated under the SAFMC Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—This species is neither overfished nor is overfishing occurring (NMFS 2006a), but it is designated by the IUCN Red List (Huntsman 1996a) as vulnerable or facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future.

Distribution—Mutton snapper are distributed from throughout the western Atlantic Ocean from Massachusetts to Brazil, including the Gulf of Mexico but are most commonly observed in the tropical waters of Florida, the Bahamas, and the Caribbean Sea (Murray and Bester 1999b).

Habitat Associations—Adults have a diverse benthic habitat preference ranging from shallow seagrass beds to deepwater reefs (Domeier et al. 1996). Juveniles utilize inshore seagrass beds, mangroves, jetties, and pilings as nursery habitats during the months of July through September (Bortone and Williams 1986). This species has a temperature tolerance of 19° to 28°C and is most commonly found between depths of 25 and 95 m (Bortone and Williams 1986; Murray and Bester 1999b). Eggs and larvae (<10 mm in length) are planktonic (Murray and Bester 1999b). Larvae settle to inshore habitats after reaching a size of 10 to 20 mm (SAFMC 1998).

Life History—Over a period of several weeks, mutton snapper forms an aggregation when spawning (Domeier et al. 1996). They exhibit high site fidelity for spawning locations and have been recorded to spawn on the exact same days of the lunar calendar yearly, typically during a full moon (Domeier et al. 1996). Aggregations of over 1,000 fish have been recorded on Riley's Hump in the Dry Tortugas in May and June, while spawning in the northern Caribbean occurs during February (Domeier et al. 1996; Murray and Bester 1999b). This snapper species demonstrates very little movement, other than to form spawning aggregations (Bortone and Williams 1986).

Common Prey Species—This opportunistic species feeds on benthic prey as well as on species at midwater depths (Murray and Bester 1999b). Mutton snappers feed on fishes and crustaceans, with crabs forming a substantial portion of their diet (Bortone and Williams 1986).

EFH Designations—(SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-17)

- Egg—EFH for this lifestage is interpreted as the pelagic waters of Florida.
- Larva—Pelagic waters, pelagic *Sargassum*, and the Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism of dispersion, are designated as EFH throughout Florida.

- Juvenile—EFH for this lifestate is interpreted as the nearshore areas with aquatic vegetation, mangroves, and habitats with sand and mud substrates in depths <30 m in Florida.
- Adult—Reef/hard bottom benthic environments, as well as substrates of sand and mud, at depths of <100 m in Florida are interpreted as EFH for this lifestage.
- Spawning Adult—EFH is designated as the water column above the adult habitat.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-17)

- All Lifestages—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum*; all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs, and the Charleston Bump (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

- Pink Shrimp (*Farfantepenaeus duorarum*)

Management—EFH for the pink shrimp is designated by the SAFMC under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—This species of shrimp are not currently categorized as being overfished nor subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Pink shrimp occur from southern Chesapeake Bay to the Florida Keys and throughout the northern Gulf of Mexico to Cape Catoche and Isla Mujeres at the tip of the Yucatan Peninsula. Maximum abundances of pink shrimp occur off southwestern Florida and in the southeastern Gulf of Campeche, Mexico (Pattillo et al. 1997).

Habitat Associations—Pink shrimp are common in broad, shallow continental shelf areas and in shallow bays and estuaries. They are most often found in waters 11 to 37 m deep, although in some areas they may be abundant to depths of up to 65 m (Bielsa et al. 1983). Pink shrimp eggs and adults are demersal, while larvae are planktonic up until the post-larval stage. Pink shrimp occur over a range of bottom substrates including sand/shell, sand, coral-mud, and mud bottoms (Pattillo et al. 1997). Juveniles and subadults prefer sand/shell bottoms around SAV, while adults prefer calcareous sediments but can also be found on hard shell-sand bottoms in non-turbid waters (Williams 1958; NMFS 2002). This species exhibits different degrees of salinity preference at different stages of its life cycle, while tolerance to water temperature varies with latitude (Bielsa et al. 1983).

Life History—This species spawns throughout the year in waters that are 4 to 48 m in depth. Pink shrimp probably spawn in deeper waters as well, although the majority of spawning activity occurs at depths of 4 to 16 m (Pattillo et al. 1997). Spawning pink shrimp may be most abundant off Cape Canaveral, FL and Cape Lookout, NC since the species has a great affinity for hard, coarse, and particularly calcareous bottom sediments, which are very common in these two areas. In North Carolina, egg-bearing females are found as early as May, and by June, most pink shrimp are sexually mature. Off eastern Florida, peak-spawning activity occurs during the summer (Bielsa et al. 1983; Patillo et al. 1997).

Spawning occurs when water temperatures rise, as water temperature is apparently critical to reproductive development (Bielsa et al. 1983). The annual rise in sea level that occurs during warmer months, when spawning is occurring, may facilitate current-borne movement of post-larval shrimp from the continental shelf into the estuaries of the SAB and eastern Gulf of Mexico (Allen et al. 1980). Hettler (1992) reported that water temperature often determines the northern extent of their range. At the onset of cold weather, pink shrimp found in temperate waters will either move into deeper waters or bury deeply in the bottom substrate to protect themselves from winter mortality. Pink shrimp that survive the winter grow rapidly in early spring before migrating to waters further offshore.

Common Prey Species—Pink shrimp are omnivorous, consuming benthic prey including crustaceans, squid, worms, mollusks, plant material and detritus, and fishes. Feeding activity peaks during daytime and during the summer (Bielsa et al. 1983).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-18)

- Egg—EFH for this lifestage of the pink shrimp is interpreted as nearshore demersal marine habitats located between 3.7 and 16 m ranging from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Larva—Pelagic ocean waters <16 m in depth ranging from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W) are interpreted as EFH for this lifestage.
- Juvenile—Estuarine areas consisting of marshes, wetlands, tidal palustrine forested areas, mangroves, SAV, and subtidal and intertidal nonvegetated flats ranging from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W) are interpreted as EFH for this lifestage.
- Adult—EFH for this lifestage of the pink shrimp is interpreted as marine habitats with hard sand/shell bottoms located in continental shelf waters <100 m deep ranging from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-18)

- All Lifestages—All coastal inlets, state-designated nursery areas, and state-identified overwintering areas are designated as HAPC for penaeid shrimp species (brown, pink, and white). These areas are not within the boundaries of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.

- Red Drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*)

Management—Red drum EFH is designated by the SAFMC under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—The red drum stock on the Atlantic coast of the U.S. is overfished and overfishing is currently occurring (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Red drum occur throughout estuarine and coastal waters of the U.S. Atlantic coast from Massachusetts to the tip of Florida. They are also found throughout the Gulf of Mexico from southwest Florida to Tuxpan, Mexico (Reagan 1985; Manooch 1988).

Habitat Associations—Eggs and early larvae of red drum occur in high salinity waters of estuaries, as well as inside inlets and passes (Nelson et al. 1991). Late larvae and juveniles prefer the low salinity nurseries in the upper portions of estuaries (SAFMC 1998). Subadult red drum exits the shallow nursery habitats and begins utilizing a variety habitat within the estuaries. Changes in temperature and food availability have been linked to the movement of subadults within the estuaries (ASMFC 2002). Little is known about the habitat associations of adults. Adult red drum tend to spend more time in the coastal waters following sexual maturity but continue to frequent estuaries on a seasonal basis (ASMFC 2002). Adults can primarily be found in high salinity surf zones and around live/hard bottom and artificial reefs (SAFMC 1998).

Life History—Spawning occurs in nearshore areas around inlets and passes throughout their range and in high salinity estuarine areas along the southeastern coast of the U.S. from July through December, with a peak in late September and October. There is also evidence to suggest that within-season spawning peaks coincide with full moons (ASMFC 2002). Adult red drum tend to migrate offshore and south along the Atlantic coast in the fall and return north and move inshore during the spring of each year (ASMFC 2002).

Common Prey Species—Decapod crustaceans, primarily mud crabs and fiddler crabs, and fishes, mostly juvenile spot and mummichog, are the primary food items of adult red drum along the southeastern coast of the U.S. (ASMFC 2002).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; Figure D-19)

- Adult—Unconsolidated bottom (soft sediments) and artificial reefs, from shore to the 50 m isobath, extending from Virginia to the Florida Keys to a depth of 50 m have been designated as EFH in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. EFH has also been designated in coastal or nearshore areas including: tidal freshwater; estuarine emergent vegetated wetlands (flooded salt marshes, brackish marshes, and tidal creeks); estuarine scrub/shrub (mangrove fringe); submerged rooted vascular plants (seagrasses); oyster reefs and shell banks; and ocean high salinity surf zones.
- All Other Lifestages—Tidal freshwater; estuarine emergent vegetated wetlands (flooded salt marshes, brackish marshes, and tidal creeks); estuarine scrub/shrub (mangrove fringe); submerged rooted vascular plants (seagrasses); oyster reefs and shell banks; and ocean high salinity surf zones are designated as EFH.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; Figure D-19)

- All Lifestages—HAPC is designated as all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats of particular importance to red drum; documented sites of spawning aggregations; barrier islands and the passes between them; seagrass beds or SAV in Virginia, North Carolina, and Florida; the entire estuarine system from the lower salinity portions of the river systems through the inlet mouth or lower harbor areas in South Carolina and Georgia; and the inlets, adjoining channels, sounds, and outer bars of ocean inlets. These regions are not located within the boundaries of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.

- Red Porgy (*Pagrus pagrus*)

Management—EFH for the red porgy is designated by the SAFMC within Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—Red porgy are overfished (NMFS 2006a) and designated by the IUCN Red List (Huntsman 1996b) as endangered or facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future.

Distribution—This species is found throughout the Atlantic Ocean (Manooch 1988). In the northwest Atlantic, red porgy range from New York to Argentina, including the Gulf of Mexico but are most common from Cape Hatteras, NC to Cape Canaveral, FL (SAFMC 1983). Red porgy have not been reported in the Caribbean Sea (SAFMC 1998).

Habitat Associations—Red porgy are a benthic species that prefers waters with a temperature range of 15° to 23°C, depths from 18 to 280 m, and substrates consisting of rock, rubble, or sand (Manooch 1988; SAFMC 1998). Juveniles are found closer to shore than adults typically utilizing seagrass beds (SAFMC 1998). Eggs and larvae are pelagic until larvae settle on bottom habitats (Manooch 1988).

Life History—Red porgy exhibit protogynous hermaphroditism (capable of sex reversal, first mature as a female and later become a male), with most fish over 45 cm TL consisting of males (SAFMC 1983). Spawning off North Carolina occurs from December through May, peaking in March and April, in waters with depths of 21 to 100 m and bottom temperatures between 16° and 22°C (Manooch 1976; SAFMC 2003a). MARMAP surveys collected spawning females at specific shelf-edge reef sites from depths of 26 to 57 m (Sedberry et al. in press). Spawning events are correlated with increased photoperiod (SAFMC 1983). Red porgy do not undergo long distance migrations and tagging studies indicate that local movements are restricted (Grimes et al. 1982; SAFMC 1983).

Common Prey Species—Red porgy are opportunistic feeders that prey primarily upon benthic invertebrates (crabs, shrimp, squid, octopus, snails, worms, and sea urchins) but also small fishes (scad and tomtate) (Manooch 1977; SAFMC 1998). This species feeds predominantly in the morning and afternoon (Grimes et al. 1982).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-20)

- Egg—This lifestage of the red porgy has EFH interpreted as the pelagic waters from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Larva—Pelagic waters from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W) and pelagic *Sargassum*, and the Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism of dispersion, are designated as EFH for this lifestage.
- Adult—EFH for this lifestage is interpreted as rough bottoms at depths of 18 to 280 m extending from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Spawning Adult—EFH is designated as the water column above the adult habitat.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-20)

- All Lifestages—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum*; all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs, and the Charleston Bump (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

- Red Snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*)

Management—The red snapper has EFH designated by the SAFMC under Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—The stock of red snapper in the south Atlantic is overfished and is currently still subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Red snapper occur in the western Atlantic from Massachusetts south to Brazil, including the Gulf of Mexico (Bester 1999c). They are found most frequently between Cape Hatteras, NC and the Campeche banks off Mexico (Nelson and Manooch 1982).

Habitat Associations—The eggs of the red snapper are planktonic and occur in offshore waters, usually in depths of 18 to 37 m. Larval red snapper are also pelagic and occur in continental shelf waters with temperatures ranging from 17.3° to 29.7°C, salinities of 32.8 to 37.5 psu, and depths of 17 to 183 m (GMFMC 1998). Both juvenile and adult red snapper are reef or structure dependent beginning shortly after leaving the planktonic larval stage. Upon initial settlement, the smallest red snappers are able to satisfy their habitat requirements by associating with small structures, including burrows and shells. However, as they grow, they display a greater preference for larger and more complex structures (Workman et al. 2002). Juvenile and adult red snapper occur most frequently over low and high relief hard bottom and artificial structures at temperatures of 13° to 32°C, salinities ranging from 33 to 37 psu, and depths of 10 to 190 m off the southeastern U.S. (Moran 1988; Manooch and Potts 1997b; SAFMC 2003a). Juvenile red snapper are typically found in shallower waters (20 to 46 m in depth) than the adults (Moran 1988).

Life History—Spawning occurs during the warmer months of April through October along the southeastern U.S. coast, with a peak occurring between July and September (Manooch and Potts 1997b; SAFMC 2003a). Red snapper do not undergo seasonal migrations. They display a high degree of site fidelity and rarely venture far from their home reef (Szedlmayer and Shipp 1994; Workman et al. 2002). However, movements up to 189 NM have been noted for this species (Watterson et al. 1998; Patterson et al. 2001). Large-scale climatic events, such as hurricanes, have been implicated as a dispersal mechanism for red snapper (Watterson et al. 1998).

Common Prey Species—Red snapper have a diverse diet consisting of fishes, crabs, shrimps, worms, cephalopods, gastropods, tunicates, and some planktonic species (Moran 1988; SAFMC 2003a).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-21)

- Egg—EFH for this lifestage of the red snapper is interpreted as pelagic waters from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Larva—Pelagic waters from the Virginia-North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W) and pelagic *Sargassum* and the Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism of dispersion, are designated as EFH.
- Adult—EFH for this lifestage of the red snapper is interpreted as rocky bottoms located in 10 to 190 m from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Spawning Adult—EFH is designated as the water column above the adult habitat.

HAPC Designations—(SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-21)

- All Lifestages—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum*; all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs, and the Charleston Bump (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

- Ridged Slipper Lobster *(Scyllarides notifer)*

Management—Ridged slipper lobsters and Caribbean spiny lobsters are both included in the spiny lobster MU and fishery, which is managed jointly by the GMFMC and the SAFMC through the FMP for the Spiny Lobster Fishery (GMFMC and SAFMC 1982). Since the ridged slipper lobster is such a small part of the spiny lobster fishery, is so widely and sparsely distributed over the range of the MU, and is data and information deficient, the GMFMC and SAFMC generically refer to both the Caribbean spiny and ridged slipper lobsters as "spiny lobsters"; hereafter this term references both species comprising this MU (GMFMC and SAFMC 1982, 1987).

Status—The spiny lobster stock in the southeastern U.S. Atlantic is not overfished nor is overfishing currently occurring (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Spiny lobsters are found in the waters off the southeastern coast of the U.S. from North Carolina to Brazil; the ridged slipper lobster occurs uncommonly from North Carolina to the West Indies in the Atlantic and from Florida to Texas in the GOMEX (Appeldoorn et al. 1987).

Habitat Associations—The ridged slipper lobster specifically prefers benthic habitats in water depths of 2 to 100 m (most common from 30 to 42 m) consisting of sand or mud mixed with shell or coral (GMFMC 2004). The larvae of ridged slipper lobsters remains in the pelagic environment as plankton; upon reaching maturity, adult lobsters are found on soft substrates or reefs (GMFMC 2004).

Life History—The eggs of the ridged slipper lobster remain attached to the adult for at least 30 days (GMFMC 2004). Upon hatching, the phyllosome (leaf-bodied) larvae disperse into offshore waters (Marx and Herrnkind 1986). The adult lifestage of the ridged slipper lobsters is demersal with adults moving to shallow, warm waters off Florida to spawn over areas of soft sediments from April through August (GMFMC 2004).

Common Prey Species—Ridged slipper lobsters are nocturnal predators that feed on a diverse range of food, including algae, foraminifera, sponge spicules, polychaetes, bivalves, conchs, hermit crabs, and other crustaceans (GMFMC 1998, 2004).

EFH Designations—(SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-9)

- Larva—The Gulf Stream, due to its role as a dispersal mechanism, is designated as EFH for this lifestage.
- All Lifestages—Nearshore shelf/oceanic waters; seagrass habitat, unconsolidated bottom (soft sediments), coral and live/hard bottom habitat, and sponges from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary at 83°W) are designated as EFH. Additional EFH designated, but not occurring in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, includes mangrove habitats, shallow subtidal bottom, and red algal (*Laurencia*) communities.

HAPC Designations—(SAFMC 1998; Figure D-9)

- All Lifestages—Florida Bay, Biscayne Bay, FL, Card Sound, FL, and coral/hard bottom habitat from Jupiter Inlet, FL through the Dry Tortugas NP, FL are designated as HAPC. These areas are not within the boundaries of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.

- Royal Red Shrimp (*Pleoticus robustus*)

Management—Royal red shrimp have EFH designated under the SAFMC Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—Although not considered overfished nor subject to overfishing, little information is available on the status of the royal red shrimp in the SAB (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Royal red shrimp are found throughout the U.S. Atlantic (from Cape Cod, MA) and Gulf of Mexico waters. In U.S. waters, royal red shrimp are found in large concentrations primarily around St Augustine, FL; the Dry Tortugas, FL; and the Mississippi River Delta (Anderson and Linder 1971).

Habitat Associations—Little is known about the habitat associations of this deepwater shrimp species. Unlike the penaeid shrimp species (brown, pink, white), royal red shrimp are not estuarine dependent (SAFMC 1993, 1998). They are typically found at depths ranging from 180 to 730 m but are most abundant between 250 and 550 m depths over soft substrates consisting primarily of mud (Anderson and Linder 1971; GMFMC 1998).

Life History—Spawning is believed to occur year-round but peaks in January through May. Spawning sites have been recorded off St. Augustine, FL (Anderson and Linder 1971).

Common Prey Species—Royal red shrimp consume benthic invertebrates and have been observed burrowing into the substrate in search of food (Anderson and Linder 1971).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-22)

- <u>Larva</u>—The Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism to disperse royal red shrimp larvae, is designated as EFH.
- <u>Adult</u>—EFH is designated as the upper regions of the continental slope from depths of 180 to 730 m over blue/black mud, sand, muddy sand, or white calcareous mud bottoms ranging from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- <u>Scamp</u> (*Mycteroperca phenax*)

Management—EFH for scamp are designated by the SAFMC under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—This species is neither overfished nor is overfishing occurring (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—The distribution of scamp ranges from North Carolina to Florida, the Gulf of Mexico, and southern Caribbean Sea. Juveniles have been captured as far north as Massachusetts but are considered rare in these higher latitudes (Manooch 1988; SAFMC 2003a).

Habitat Associations—This species prefers low relief live/hard bottom habitats, though they can also be found associating with shipwrecks and rock outcroppings (Manooch 1988). Adult scamp are typically found in waters with depths of 30 to 100 m, while juveniles are found closer to shore (SAFMC 2003a). Eggs and larvae are pelagic (SAFMC 1983). Larvae associate with surface waters before settling to benthic habitats.

Life History—Scamp are protogynous hermaphrodites with females comprising the majority of fishes less than 70 cm (SAFMC 2003a). Numerous spawning locations at shelf-edge reef sites, 33 to 93 m in depth, from North Carolina to Florida, have been identified from MARMAP survey data (SAFMC 2004b; Sedberry et al. in press). Spawning occurs offshore of the Carolinas in April and September, peaking in May and June when bottom water temperatures are between 22° and 25°C (Manooch 1988; Matheson et al. 1986; Manooch et al. 1998a). Spawning aggregations of over 100 fish have been observed off the east coast of Florida in April and September (Manooch et al. 1998a). These aggregations primarily consist of the largest and oldest individuals of the population with spawning occurring between afternoon and night (Coleman et al. 2000; Sedberry et al. 2004). Scamp have been recorded moving to deeper waters during the winter, and tagging studies indicate that this species migrates to specific areas to spawn (SAFMC 1983; Sedberry et al. in press).

Common Prey Species—Scamp feed opportunistically on crab, shrimp, and benthic fishes (scad, tomtate, and vermilion snapper) (Matheson et al. 1986; Manooch 1988).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-23)

- <u>Larva</u>—EFH for this lifestage of scamp is designated as pelagic waters, including the Gulf Stream, from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W) and pelagic *Sargassum*, and the Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism of dispersion.
- <u>Adult</u>—Benthic communities consisting of low and high profile rock outcroppings encrusted with soft corals, sponges, hydroids, and bryozoa in water depths of 20 to 100 m, ranging from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W), are interpreted as EFH for this lifestage.
- <u>Spawning Adult</u>—EFH is designated as the water column above the adult habitat.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-23)

- All Lifestages—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum*; all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs, and the Charleston Bump (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

- Silk Snapper (*Lutjanus vivanus*)

Management—Silk snapper have EFH designated by the SAFMC under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—Currently, this species is neither overfished nor is overfishing occurring (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Silk snapper are distributed in the western Atlantic Ocean from Cape Hatteras, NC and Bermuda south to the Caribbean Sea and Brazil (Manooch 1988).

Habitat Associations—Off the Carolinas, adult silk snapper typically inhabit waters with depths ranging from 64 to 242 m and associate with limestone cliffs and rocky ledge habitats along the continental shelf edge (SAFMC 1998). From North Carolina to the Florida Keys, adult silk snapper primarily occur from depths of 25 to 72 m (Cummings 2003). Young adults and juveniles generally are found at shallower depths than adults (SAFMC 1998). Bottom habitat type is considered more important in influencing distribution of this species than depth (SAFMC 2003a). Eggs and larvae are pelagic (SAFMC 1998).

Life History—Silk snapper are capable of spawning year-round but generally form aggregations either from July to September or from October through December (SAFMC 1998). Spawning has been recorded from June through August off North Carolina and from March through May and September through November in the Caribbean Sea (SAFMC 1983). Year-round spawning has been recorded in Puerto Rico and Jamaica (SAFMC 1983).

Common Prey Species—This species feeds opportunistically on invertebrates (e.g., shrimp, crabs, and shovel-nose lobster) and fishes (Manooch 1988). Silk snapper typically move to shallower water to feed at night (Cummings 2003).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-24)

- Larva—Pelagic *Sargassum* and the Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism of dispersion, are designated as EFH.
- Juvenile—EFH is interpreted for this lifestage of the silk snapper as areas with structure and hard bottom habitat from depths of 12 to 242 m extending from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Adult—The continental shelf vicinity (limestone cliffs and ledges) at depths of 64 to 242 m from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W) is interpreted as EFH for this lifestage.
- Spawning Adult—EFH is designated as the water column above the adult habitat.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-24)

- All Lifestages—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum*; all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs, and the Charleston Bump (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.

Additional designated HAPC include mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

- Snowy Grouper (*Epinephelus niveatus*)

Management—EFH for the snowy grouper are designated by the SAFMC under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—The snowy grouper stock is overfished and is subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a). The IUCN Red List designates this species as vulnerable to extinction or facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future (Huntsman 1996c).

Distribution—In the western Atlantic Ocean, this species is found from Massachusetts to Brazil, including the Gulf of Mexico, the Lesser Antilles, and Cuba (Manooch 1988). Only juvenile snowy grouper utilize the northern extreme of this range, while adults are typically found only as far north as North Carolina (Manooch 1988; SAFMC 1998). Snowy grouper can also occur in the eastern Pacific from Baja California to Panama (Manooch 1988; SAFMC 1998).

Habitat Associations—This benthic species is found in water depths from 30 to 525 m (SAFMC 2003a). Eggs and larvae are pelagic (SAFMC 1998). Juveniles and small adults (<40 cm TL) are typically found closer to shore out to depths of 61 m in bottom waters with temperatures ranging from 15° to 29°C (Matheson and Huntsman 1984; SAFMC 1998). Adults are territorial and inhabit irregular benthic habitats of boulders and limestone ridges interspersed with sand, broken shells, and rock fragments, and they prefer waters with temperatures from 16° to 29°C (Manooch 1988; SAFMC 1998).

Life History—This species is a protogynous hermaphrodite with spawning occurring from April through September north of Cape Canaveral, FL and from May through July south of Cape Canaveral (Manooch 1988; SAFMC 1998; Wyanski et al. 2000; SAFMC 2003a). Numerous spawning locations have been identified off the coast of South Carolina, from MARMAP surveys, at depths from 187 to 302 m (SAFMC 2004a; Sedberry et al. in press). Adults are typically sedentary but do undergo migrations to form spawning aggregations (Moore and Labisky 1984).

Common Prey Species—This species is an ambush predator that feeds opportunistically on fishes (snappers and porgies), cephalopods, and crustaceans (Manooch 1988; SAFMC 1998). On the Charleston Bump, swimming crabs and other benthic crustaceans are the major components of this species' diet (Weaver and Sedberry 2001).

EFH Designations—(SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-25)

- Egg—EFH for this lifestage of the snowy grouper is interpreted as pelagic waters from the Virginia-North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Larva—The Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism of dispersion, and pelagic *Sargassum*, from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W) are designated as EFH.
- Adult—This lifestage of the snowy grouper has EFH interpreted as bottoms consisting of boulders and limestone ridges, with vertical relief up to 10 m, interspersed with sand, broken shells, and rock fragments in depths less than 180 m, from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Spawning Adult—EFH is designated as the water column above the adult habitat.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-25)

- <u>All Lifestages</u>—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum*; all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs, and the Charleston Bump (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

- <u>Spanish Mackerel</u> (*Scomberomorous maculatus*)

Management—Spanish mackerel are managed jointly by the SAFMC and the GMFMC, but EFH in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is only designated by the SAFMC under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—The stock is not currently being overexploited nor is it considered to be overfished (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Spanish mackerel are abundant from Chesapeake Bay south through the Gulf of Mexico; however, they occasionally occur as far north as coastal southern New England (Collette 2002a).

Habitat Associations—The eggs of Spanish mackerel are pelagic and usually occur over depths of less than 50 m along the inner continental shelf during the spring and summer (Godcharles and Murphy 1986; GMFMC 1998). Larvae occur in coastal waters with temperatures ranging from 20° to 32°C, salinities between 28 and 37 psu, and depths of 9 to 84 m (most abundant in waters of <50 m) (Godcharles and Murphy 1986; GMFMC 1998). They occur between May and September off the southeast U.S. coast (GMFMC and SAFMC 1985). Juvenile Spanish mackerel utilize a variety of habitats as nursery grounds ranging from low salinity estuaries to high salinity nearshore waters (Godcharles and Murphy 1986). They prefer water temperatures greater than 25°C and tolerate a wide range of salinities, typically greater than 10 psu (GMFMC 1998). Adults are surface feeders that form large schools of similar-sized fish and often frequent nearshore coastal waters. They also frequently enter tidal estuaries, bays, and lagoons (GMFMC and SAFMC 1985). Adult Spanish mackerel are found in waters exceeding 20°C and at depths of less than 75 m (GMFMC 1998).

Life History—Spanish mackerel have a protracted spawning season, which runs from April to September (GMFMC and SAFMC 1985; Godcharles and Murphy 1986). The onset of spawning progresses from south to north and occurs over the inner continental shelf in waters 12 to 34 m deep. Spawning starts in April off the Carolinas, in mid-June in the Chesapeake Bay, and from late August into September off the coasts of New Jersey and New York (Godcharles and Murphy 1986; Collette 2002a). Spanish mackerel make seasonal migrations along the Atlantic coast. They are found off Florida during the winter and migrate north as the waters warm. They arrive off the Carolinas in April, off Virginia by May, and as far north as Narragansett Bay by July, in some years. They remain in the cooler northern waters until September before beginning their migration south again (GMFMC and SAFMC 1985).

Common Prey Species—Spanish mackerel feed primarily on small fishes, including round herring, menhaden, alewives, anchovies, pilchards, and mullets. This species also preys upon shrimp, crabs, and squid (GMFMC and SAFMC 1985; Collette 2002a).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; Figure D-10)

- <u>Larva</u>—The Gulf Stream is designated as EFH for this lifestage because it provides a mechanism for dispersal.

- <u>All Lifestages</u>—EFH in the MAB and the SAB includes sandy shoals off capes and offshore bars, high profile rock bottoms, and the seaward regions off barrier islands from the surf zone to the shelf break, shoreward of the Gulf Stream, including pelagic *Sargassum*. Additionally, all coastal inlets and state-designated nursery areas are designated as EFH.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; Figure D-10)

- Juvenile and Adult—The portions of Bogue Sound, NC with salinities exceeding 30 psu during May through September and the portions of New River, NC with salinities exceeding 30 psu during May through October have been designated as HAPC but are not located within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.
- All Lifestages—Areas that are designated as HAPC include the sandy shoals of Cape Lookout, NC, Cape Fear, NC, and Cape Hatteras, NC from shore to the ends of the respective shoals, but shoreward of the Gulf Stream); Charleston Bump (SC); Hurl Rocks (SC); and pelagic *Sargassum*. Additional HAPC have also been designated, including the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); Big Rock (NC); The Point off Jupiter Inlet (FL); *Phragmatopoma* reefs (worm reefs) off the central east coast of Florida; nearshore hard bottom (<4 m) south of Cape Canaveral, FL; the Hump off Islamorada, FL; the Marathon Hump off Marathon, FL; and the "Wall" off the Florida Keys.

- Speckled Hind (*Epinephelus drummondhayi*)

Management—Speckled hind have EFH designated under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region by the SAFMC (SAFMC 1998).

Status—Speckled hind are overfished and subject to overfishing in the SAB (NMFS 2006a). This species is designated as a species of concern (formerly a candidate species in 1999) by the NMFS from North Carolina southward through the Gulf of Mexico (NMFS 2004b) and is listed by the IUCN Red List as critically endangered or facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future (Chuen and Huntsman 2005a).

Distribution—The speckled hind's range in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean is North Carolina and Bermuda south to the Bahamas, Cuba, and the Gulf of Mexico (Manooch 1988).

Habitat Associations—This species typically inhabits warm waters with depths 25 to 400 m (most common from 60 to 120 m) and temperatures of 15.5° to 29.4°C (Manooch 1988; SAFMC 2003a). Smaller individuals are found utilizing more inshore waters than larger adults. Eggs are pelagic, while larvae utilize surface waters before migrating to bottom habitats (Manooch 1988). Adults, which are typically solitary, are found utilizing high and low profile hard bottom habitats (SAFMC 1998, 2003a).

Life History—Speckled hind are protogynous hermaphrodites; males comprise the majority of older, larger fish (Manooch 1988). Spawning aggregations are formed from July to September offshore with specific locations recorded off South Carolina (Manooch 1988; SAFMC 2003a; Sedberry et al. in press).

Common Prey Species—This species feeds on benthic prey, including crab, shrimp, mollusk, squid, octopus, and fish (Manooch 1988; SAFMC 1998).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-26)

- Larva—Pelagic waters, including the Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism for dispersion, and pelagic *Sargassum*, from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W) are designated as EFH.
- Adult—EFH for this lifestage is interpreted as bottoms consisting of high and low relief hard bottom in waters depths of 27 to 122 m from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).

- Spawning Adult—EFH is designated as the water column above the adult habitat.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-26)

- All Lifestages—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum*; all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs, and the Charleston Bump (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

- Tilefish (*Lopholatilus chamaeleonticeps*)

Management—There are two tilefish stocks recognized in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean. The northern stock is found primarily in the MAB while the southern stock ranges from south of Cape Hatteras, NC to the Gulf of Mexico (Steimle et al. 1999). The northern tilefish stock has EFH designated by the MAFMC through the Tilefish FMP (MAFMC 2000), while the southern stock has EFH designated by the SAFMC under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—Tilefish, in the Southeast region (i.e., SAFMC jurisdiction) are overfished and overfishing is currently occurring (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Tilefish occur over the outer continental shelf and upper slope ranging from Nova Scotia to Florida, throughout the Gulf of Mexico to Campeche Bank, and in South America off Venezuela, Guyana, and Surinam (Freeman and Turner 1982).

Habitat Associations—Tilefish eggs have been most commonly collected in waters ranging from 8º to 19ºC and at depths of 80 to 800 m. It has been suggested, that larval tilefish are planktonic and prefer a relatively narrow temperature range from 13º to 18ºC in shallow waters with depths ranging between 50 and 150 m (Steimle et al. 1999). Both juvenile and adult tilefish are shelter seekers and typically inhabit burrows, the size and shape of which varied depending on the size of the fish and the proximity of associated species (Able et al. 1982). Juveniles are believed to be more tolerant of low temperatures than adult tilefish. The majority of the observations of juvenile tilefish are from waters with temperatures of 9º to 11ºC (24% of tilefish were observed in waters of 8ºC or less) and depths between 90 and 170 m (some were collected in water as deep as 264 m) (Steimle et al. 1999). Juveniles have been observed using structures such as lobster and crab pots and traps, shipwrecks, and other solid structures as shelter (Freeman and Turner 1982) but more commonly inhabit simple vertical shaft burrows in semi-lithified clay (Able et al. 1982). Adults prefer waters ranging from 8º to 18ºC and depths of 105 to 274 m. They are primarily associated with both horizontal and vertical burrows in semi-lithified clay outcrops along the shoulders, flanks, and upper slopes of submarine canyons but also have been observed using rocks, boulders, and exposed rocky ledges as shelters (Able et al. 1982; Steimle et al. 1999).

Life History—Spawning in tilefish generally occurs from March to November, with a peak during May through September (Able 2002). Female tilefish are fractional spawners, only releasing small batches of eggs at a time (Grimes et al. 1988). Tilefish have no discernable movement patterns (Freeman and Turner 1982).

Common Prey Species—Adult tilefish prey upon a wide range of vertebrates and invertebrates. Their diets consist of a variety of fishes, shrimp, crabs, squid, sea cucumbers, sea urchins, worms, tunicates, and anemones (SAFMC 1998).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; MAFMC 2000; NMFS 2002; Figure D-27)—Since tilefish stocks are managed by two different FMPs, the tilefish have EFH and HAPC designated by two FMCs (MAFMC and SAFMC).

- Egg—EFH is designated by the MAFMC as the water column in the area between the 76 and 366 m isobaths from the U.S./Canada boundary to the Virginia/North Carolina boundary. This designation is not within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA or vicinity. EFH has not been designated for this lifestage by the SAFMC.
- Larva—EFH is designated by the MAFMC as the water column in the area between the 76 and 366 m isobaths from the U.S./Canada boundary to the Virginia/North Carolina boundary and is not located within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Pelagic *Sargassum* and the Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism of dispersion, are designated as EFH by the SAFMC as extending from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W) and seaward to the extent of the EEZ. The SAFMC designation is within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA boundaries.
- Juvenile—EFH is designated by the MAFMC as the water column in the area between the 76 and 366 m isobaths from the U.S./Canada boundary to the Virginia/North Carolina boundary and is not located within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA or vicinity. EFH has not been designated for this lifestage by the SAFMC.
- Adult—EFH is designated by the MAFMC as the water column in the area between the 76 and 366 m isobaths from the U.S./Canada boundary to the Virginia/North Carolina boundary. From the SAFMC EFH for this lifestage is interpreted as clay substrate found in water depths of 76 to 457 m from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Spawning Adult—EFH is designated as the water column above the adult habitat designated by the SAFMC.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; MAFMC 2000; NMFS 2002; Figure D-27)—Additionally, tilefish have EFH and HAPC designated by two FMCs (MAFMC and SAFMC).

- Juvenile and Adult—HAPC have been designated for this lifestage by the MAFMC as the rocky, exposed ledges and stiff clay substrate between 76 and 366 m in the northeastern region of statistical areas 616 and 537. These areas are not located within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.
- All Lifestages—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum*; all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs, and the Charleston Bump (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

- Vermilion Snapper (*Rhomboplites aurorubens*)

Management—EFH for the vermilion snapper is designated under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region by the SAFMC (SAFMC 1998).

Status—The vermilion snapper are not overfished but are subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Vermilion snapper range from Cape Hatteras, NC and Bermuda, the Caribbean Sea, the Gulf of Mexico, to Brazil (Manooch 1988). This species is most abundant in the Gulf of Mexico and off the southeastern U.S. (SAFMC 2003a).

Habitat Associations—Vermilion snapper prefer benthic habitats near the continental shelf consisting of sand, gravel, or rock from depths of 180 to 300 m and typically utilize the part of the water column that is 2 to 6 m above the bottom (Dixon 1975; SAFMC 2003a). Habitat preference is influenced more by substrate type rather than depth (SAFMC 2003a). Eggs are pelagic and hatch after several days (Manooch et al. 1998b). Larvae, also pelagic, have been collected in waters with temperatures less than 27°C and depths of less than 22 m (SAFMC 1983).

Life History—Vermilion snapper spawn in continental shelf waters at depths of 31 to 119 m (Manooch et al. 1998b). Recently, numerous spawning locations, identified from MARMAP surveys, range from the coast of North Carolina to Florida at depths from 18 to 97 m (SAFMC 2004a; Sedberry et al. in press). Spawning aggregations occur in waters with temperature between 21° and 25°C from April through September (Manooch 1988; Manooch et al. 1998b). This species is capable of spawning multiple times during a season off the U.S. coast but spawn year round in more tropical waters (Manooch 1988). This species does not demonstrate seasonal movements (Grimes et al. 1982; Manooch et al. 1998b).

Common Prey Species—This species feeds opportunistically throughout the water column, primarily during the late afternoon and early evening (Dixon 1975; Grimes et al. 1982). Vermilion snapper examined from North Carolina were found to have fed primarily on small invertebrates, specifically amphipods, and partially on fishes and fish eggs (Dixon 1975; Manooch 1988).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-28)

- Egg—This lifestage has interpreted as EFH in pelagic waters from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Larva—Pelagic waters from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W) including pelagic *Sargassum* and the Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism of dispersion, are designated as EFH.
- Juvenile and Adult—Reefs and hard bottom at depths from 20 to 200 m extending from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W) is interpreted as EFH for this lifestage.
- Spawning Adult—EFH is designated as the water column above the adult habitat.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-28)

- All Lifestages—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum*; all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs, and the Charleston Bump (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

- Wahoo (*Acanthocybium solandri*)

Management—Wahoo have EFH designated by the SAFMC (2003b) through the FMP for the Dolphin and Wahoo Fishery of the Atlantic. This FMP was only partially approved by NMFS; specifically, the designation of *Sargassum* as EFH or HAPC was disapproved (NOAA 2004).

Status—The wahoo stock in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean is not overfished nor is it subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Wahoo are found throughout the tropical and subtropical waters of the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian Oceans (Manooch 1988). In the western Atlantic Ocean, wahoo have been reported from

New York to Columbia, as well as in the Gulf of Mexico, the Caribbean Sea, Bermuda, and the Bahamas (SAFMC 2003b).

Habitat Associations—There are currently no data available for the Atlantic Ocean on the habitat use of wahoo eggs (SAFMC 2003b). The only reported wahoo larvae in the Atlantic were obtained in the Straits of Florida and Yucatan in depths exceeding 400 m (with the exception of one larva which was collected at 32 m). It is speculated that the larvae display a preference for depths of 100 m or greater (Wollam 1969). No data exist on the habitat associations of juvenile wahoo, although it is believed that they are associated with pelagic *Sargassum* and prefer water temperatures ranging from 22° to 30°C (SAFMC 2003b). Adult wahoo are pelagic and commonly found near *Sargassum* mats. They prefer waters with temperatures ranging from 22° to 28°C (SAFMC 2003b).

Life History—Wahoo have a long spawning season that runs from May to October. The peak spawning period occurs in June and occurs near Cuba in the Straits of Florida and Yucatan (Wollam 1969). Wahoo are believed to undergo migrations through the Florida Straits and the Gulf Stream (Wollam 1969).

Common Prey Species—Wahoo are primarily piscivorous, preying upon mackerels, scads, jacks, flying fish, butterfishes, pompanos, and porcupine fish, among others. Their diet also infrequently includes invertebrates such as squid and the paper nautilus (SAFMC 2003b).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 2003b, 2004b; Figure D-12)

- All Lifestages—The Gulf Stream and associated eddies occurring in the Atlantic EEZ, the Florida Current and associated eddies, and the Charleston Gyre have been designated as EFH for wahoo in the western North Atlantic Ocean.

HAPC Designations—(SAFMC 2003b, 2004b; Figure D-12)

- All Lifestages—The Charleston Bump (SC) and Georgetown Hole (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Also designated as HAPC are the Point (NC), the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC), Big Rock (NC), the Amberjack Lump (FL), the Hump off Islamorada (FL), the Marathon Hump (FL), and the "Wall" off the Florida Keys.

- Warsaw Grouper (*Epinephelus nigritus*)

Management—Warsaw grouper have EFH designated by the SAFMC under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—Warsaw grouper are overfished and subject to overfishing in the SAB (NMFS 2006a). This species is also designated as a species of concern (formerly a candidate species) by the NMFS from Massachusetts to the Gulf of Mexico (NMFS 2004b) and listed as critically endangered or facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future by the IUCN Red List (Chuen and Huntsman 2005b).

Distribution—Warsaw grouper distribution typically ranges from North Carolina south to the Florida Keys, Caribbean Sea, Gulf of Mexico, and northern coast of South America, though it has been reported as far north as Massachusetts (Manooch 1988; SAFMC 2003a).

Habitat Associations—Adults utilize irregular benthic habitats, including steep cliffs, notches, valleys, rocky ledges, and drop-offs at depths ranging from 76 to 219 m (Manooch 1988; SAFMC 1998). Juveniles are found closer to shore around jetties or shallow reefs (SAFMC 2003a). Eggs and larvae are pelagic (SAFMC 1998).

Life History—Few data exist on the reproductive habits and spawning locations of this species. Spawning has been reported off Cuba from April to May (SAFMC 2003a). Not enough data exist to determine if this species forms spawning aggregations (Coleman et al. 2000).

Common Prey Species—The warsaw grouper preys opportunistically on benthic fishes and crustaceans (SAFMC 1998).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-29)

- Egg—EFH is interpreted for this lifestage as pelagic waters from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Larva—Pelagic waters from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W) including pelagic *Sargassum* and the Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism of dispersion, are designated as EFH.
- Adult—This lifestage of the Warsaw grouper has EFH interpreted as bottoms consisting of cliffs, notches, and rocky ledges from depths of 76 to 219 m, ranging from the Virginia-North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Spawning Adult—EFH is designated as the water column above the adult habitat.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-29)

- All Lifestages—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum*; all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs, and the Charleston Bump (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

- White Grunt (*Haemulon plumieri*)

Management—EFH for the white grunt is designated by the SAFMC under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—Currently, this species is neither overfished nor is overfishing occurring (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—White grunt are distributed from Virginia and Bermuda south to Brazil, including the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea (Manooch 1988). White grunt are most numerous and regarded as two separate stocks off the Carolinas and from Palm Beach south through the Florida Keys but are considered rare off Georgia and northeast Florida (Potts and Manooch 2001).

Habitat Associations—Juvenile and adult white grunt inhabit waters from the shore to depths of at least 35 m and utilize substrates consisting of reefs, hard bottom, seagrasses, and mangroves (SAFMC 1998). Eggs and larvae are pelagic (SAFMC 1983).

Life History—White grunt do not exhibit long-range migrations, but they have been recorded moving to deeper waters in the winter (SAFMC 1983). Juveniles also move from reef habitats to feeding grounds in seagrass beds at night (SAFMC 1983). Off the southeastern U.S. coast, spawning can occur throughout the year but peaks from May to July (Manooch 1988; SAFMC 1998). White grunt typically spawn in warmer waters (bottom temperatures from 18.9° to 27.4°C) than most members of the snapper-grouper MU (Sedberry et al. in press).

Common Prey Species—White grunt are opportunistic feeders that prey upon benthic invertebrates (worms, crabs, shrimp, and mollusks) and fishes (Manooch 1988).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-30)

- Egg—EFH for this lifestage is interpreted as the pelagic waters from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Larva—Pelagic waters from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W) including pelagic *Sargassum* and the Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism of dispersion, are designated as EFH.
- Juvenile and Adult—EFH for this lifestage is interpreted as reef, hard bottom, grass flats, and mangrove habitats from shore to depths of 35 m extending from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Spawning Adult—EFH is designated as the water column above the adult habitat.

HAPC Designation —(SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-30)

- All Lifestages—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum*; all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs, and the Charleston Bump (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

- White Shrimp (*Litopenaeus setiferus*)

Management—White shrimp have EFH designated under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region by the SAFMC (SAFMC 1998).

Status—This group of shrimp are neither classified as overfished nor subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—In the U.S. Atlantic Ocean, white shrimp range from Fire Island, NY to the St. Lucie Inlet, FL. In the Gulf of Mexico, this species is found from Ochlockonee River of Apalachee Bay, FL to Ciudad Campeche, Mexico. Along the U.S. Atlantic coast, the white shrimp has centers of abundance in each of the southeast states: North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and northeast Florida (Whitaker 1981).

Habitat Associations—White shrimp are generally concentrated in waters less than 27 m deep, although they are occasionally found in deeper waters of the mid-continental shelf (up to 82 m) (Muncy 1984). White shrimp can be pelagic or benthic, oceanic or estuarine, depending upon the lifestage. Eggs and larvae are oceanic, although the former are demersal and the latter are mainly pelagic. Post-larvae, juveniles, and subadults are benthic and estuarine, inhabiting mostly mud or peat bottoms with large quantities of decaying organic matter or vegetative cover (Pattillo et al. 1997). Adults are oceanic and found on soft mud or silt bottoms in shallow, continental shelf waters (Williams 1984). This species is tolerant of temperatures ranging from approximately 7° to 38°C and can be considered euryhaline, since most lifestages tolerate fairly wide salinity ranges (Pattillo et al. 1997).

Life History—Water temperatures can directly or indirectly influence white shrimp spawning, growth, habitat selection, osmoregulation, movement, migration, and mortality (Muncy 1984). Spring water temperature increases (to between 22° and 29°C) trigger spawning, and rapid water temperature declines (to below 20°C) in the fall signify the end of spawning. Along the U.S. Atlantic coast, spawning begins in May and extends through September in offshore waters with depths of 9 to 34 m. Peaks in spawning activity occur in the summer from June through July (Pattillo et al. 1997). White shrimp migrate southward along the U.S. Atlantic coast during fall and early winter and then move northward in late winter and early spring. Off the southeast U.S. coast, the major southerly migration occurs from North Carolina to Cape Canaveral, FL in the fall and the northerly migration from Cape Canaveral begins in the spring (Pattillo et al. 1997). Fall and winter migration of white shrimp from estuaries along the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf coasts is governed largely by body size, age, and environmental conditions (Muncy 1984).

Common Prey Species—White shrimp are omnivorous, feeding on detritus, gastropods, annelids, sponges, corals, algae, vascular plants, and small fishes (Muncy 1984).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-31)

- Egg—Nearshore, demersal marine habitats from 6.1 to 24.4 m in depth ranging from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the St. Lucie Inlet, FL are interpreted as EFH for this lifestage.
- Larva—Pelagic ocean waters <24.4 m deep, ranging from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the St. Lucie Inlet, FL, are interpreted as EFH for this lifestage of the white shrimp.
- Juvenile—Estuarine areas consisting of marshes, wetlands, tidal palustrine-forested areas, mangroves, SAV, and subtidal and intertidal nonvegetated flats ranging from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the St. Lucie Inlet, FL, are interpreted as EFH for this lifestage but are located adjacent to the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.
- Adult—Soft mud bottoms located shoreward of the 27 m ranging from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the St. Lucie Inlet, FL are interpreted as EFH for this lifestage.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-31)

- All Lifestages—All coastal inlets, state-designated nursery areas, and state-identified overwintering areas are designated as HAPC for penaeid shrimp species (brown, pink, and white). None of these are within the boundaries of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.

- Wreckfish (*Polyprion americanus*)

Management—EFH for wreckfish are designated within the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region by the SAFMC (SAFMC 1998).

Status—Currently, this species is neither overfished nor is overfishing occurring (NMFS 2006a). However, it is designated by the IUCN Red List as data deficient, with the possibility that future research may warrant a threatened classification (Sadovy 2003).

Distribution—Wreckfish are found in the Mediterranean Sea, as well as the Indian, Pacific, and Atlantic Oceans (McClane 1978). In the western Atlantic Ocean, wreckfish are distributed from Newfoundland to Argentina (SAFMC 1998). Juveniles are more abundant in the eastern than in the western Atlantic Ocean (Vaughan et al. 2001).

Habitat Associations—Wreckfish are a deepwater species typically found to depths of 610 m (with minimum and maximum reported depths of 42 and 1,000 m, respectively) and are associated with rocky ledges, seamounts, pinnacles, and shipwrecks (SAFMC 1998; Schultz 2004). In the northwest Atlantic, adult wreckfish have only been reported occurring on the Blake Plateau and in the Florida Straits from depths of 400 to 650 m (Sedberry et al. 2001). The Charleston Bump has been identified as an important habitat (shelter, feeding, spawning) for this species (Popenoe and Manheim 2001). This species is predominantly pelagic, associating with floating debris during its early lifestages (≤60 cm TL) (Sedberry et al. 1996; SAFMC 1998). Juveniles inhabit surface waters for a period lasting from several months to two years (Sedberry et al. 1996; Sedberry et al. 1999). As the species matures, it begins to utilize bottom habitats (Klein-MacPhee 2002c). Eggs and larvae are pelagic, with the Gulf Stream playing an essential role in dispersal (Klein-MacPhee 2002c).

Life History—There are few data available on the life history of this species. Wreckfish spawn from November to May (peaking from February and March) along the Charleston Bump, which is the only known spawning site for this species in the northwest Atlantic Ocean (Sedberry et al. 1996; Sedberry et al. in press). Specifically, spawning females have been collected at depths of 433 to 595 m (Sedberry et al. in press). Insufficient data exist to determine if this species forms aggregations to spawn (Coleman et al. 2000).

Common Prey Species—This species feeds on crustaceans, mollusks, and fishes located near underwater objects, such as shipwrecks (Schultz 2004). Off the Carolinas, wreckfish have been reported to specifically feed on eels, black-belly rosefish, snake mackerels, shrimp, squid, and mesopelagic fishes (Klein-MacPhee 2002c). Squid are the predominant prey species eaten by wreckfish in the vicinity of the Charleston Bump (Sedberry et al. 2001).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-32)

- Larva—The Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism of dispersion, and pelagic *Sargassum* are designated as EFH.
- Juvenile—EFH for this lifestage is interpreted as all pelagic waters and floating debris within the jurisdiction of the SAFMC.
- Adult—EFH for this lifestage is interpreted as areas of significant relief on the Blake Plateau, such as manganese-phosphate pavement, phosphorite slabs, as well as coral banks and mounds at depths less than 1,000 m ranging from North Carolina south to Florida (Blake Plateau).
- Spawning Adult—EFH is designated as the water column above the adult habitat.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-32)

- All Lifestages—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum;* all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs; Charleston Bump (SC); and Hoyt Hills (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Also designated as HAPC, but not occurring in the OPAREA, are the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

- Yellowedge Grouper (*Epinephelus flavolimbatus*)

Management—Yellowedge grouper have EFH designated by the SAFMC under the Final Habitat Plan for the South Atlantic Region (SAFMC 1998).

Status—Currently this species is neither overfished nor is overfishing occurring (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—This grouper species ranges from North Carolina to Brazil, including the Caribbean Sea and Gulf of Mexico (Manooch 1988). The yellowedge grouper is considered more abundant in the western Gulf of Mexico than in the Atlantic Ocean (SAFMC 1998).

Habitat Associations—The yellowedge grouper is a demersal species found at depths ranging from 64 to 365 m (Cass-Calay and Bahnick 2002; SAFMC 2003a). Solitary adults inhabit regions of the continental shelf break distinguished by drop-offs, troughs, and terraces (Manooch 1988). This species also utilizes hard bottom or soft bottom habitats (sand or mud) (SAFMC 2003a). Eggs and larvae are pelagic (Manooch 1988). Larval yellowedge grouper cannot be distinguished from the snowy grouper so little is known about the early lifestages of this species (Cass-Calay and Bahnick 2002).

Life History—The yellowedge grouper is a protogynous hermaphrodite and males are typically larger individuals (>76 cm) (Manooch 1988). In the Atlantic Ocean, spawning occurs offshore from April to October, peaking in September (Manooch 1988). Spawning females have been collected at depths from 160 to 194 m with bottom water temperatures of 14.5°C (Sedberry et al. in press). Insufficient data exist to determine if this species forms aggregations to spawn (Coleman et al. 2000).

Common Prey Species—The yellowedge grouper feeds opportunistically on squid, octopus, eel, crab, and fish (seahorses, scorpionfish, searobin, and lizardfish) (Manooch 1988).

EFH Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-33)

- Egg—This lifestage has EFH interpreted as pelagic waters from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Larva—Pelagic waters, including the Gulf Stream, which provides a mechanism of dispersion, and pelagic *Sargassum*, from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W) are designated as EFH.
- Adult—This lifestage has EFH interpreted as habitats consisting of hard bottom and rocky outcropping from depths of 190 to 220 m ranging from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the Florida Keys (SAFMC jurisdictional boundary 83°W).
- Spawning Adult—EFH is designated as the water column above the adult habitat.

HAPC Designations (SAFMC 1998; NMFS 2002; Figure D-33)

- All Lifestages—Medium to high profile, offshore, hard bottom habitat where spawning normally occurs; areas of known spawning aggregations; pelagic *Sargassum*; all hermatypic coral habitats and reefs; manganese outcroppings on the Blake Plateau; council-designated Artificial Reef SMZs, and the Charleston Bump (SC) are designated as HAPC in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Additional designated HAPC include mangrove habitat, seagrass habitat, oyster/shell habitat, all coastal inlets, all state-designated nursery habitats, nearshore hard bottom habitat (<4 m), the Point (NC); the Ten Fathom Ledge (NC); and Big Rock (NC), and *Oculina* Bank HAPC.

5.3.3 Highly Migratory Species

Each taxon group of HMS is managed as discrete MUs (NOAA 2006a), but recently the FMPs for all HMS taxa were consolidated into one FMP, the Final Consolidated Atlantic Highly Migratory Species FMP (NMFS 2006e). The HMS are presented below in alphabetical order as a group.

- Atlantic Sharpnose Shark (*Rhizoprionodon terraenovae*)

Management—The Atlantic sharpnose shark is managed under the Small Coastal Shark MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—This species is not overfished or subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—This shark is a subtropical-tropical species found throughout the Atlantic Ocean. The Atlantic sharpnose shark inhabits the waters of the northeastern coast of North America from New Brunswick to Florida, extending to the Yucatan area in the Gulf of Mexico (Castro 1983; Delius and Morgan 1999). This shark is a common year-round coastal inhabitant from South Carolina south to the Gulf of Mexico and is a seasonally abundant migrant off Virginia (NMFS 1999a).

Habitat Associations—The Atlantic sharpnose shark is most abundant in warm-temperate to subtropical waters of the continental shelf, from inshore areas such as estuaries to the surf zone and out over the shelf in water as deep as 280 m, but it mostly remains in waters less than 10 m deep (Delius and Morgan 1999). This demersal shark has a broad salinity tolerance and has been found up rivers, such as the Pascagoula River in Mississippi (Allen 1999). This species and its nursery areas can also be found in estuarine habitats, including Bulls Bay, SC (Castro 1993).

Life History—The Atlantic sharpnose shark performs inshore-offshore movements seasonally, moving into deeper offshore waters during winter as water temperatures fall (Compagno 1984a; Delius and Morgan 1999). Atlantic sharpnose sharks typically mate in late spring and early summer with females migrating offshore during their pregnancy (Delius and Morgan 1999). This species moves back inshore to give birth to live young in shallow, protected areas during the late spring to early summer of the following year, from North Carolina to central Florida (Castro 1983, 1993). Off North Carolina, Atlantic sharpnose sharks typically give birth starting in May (Castro 1993).

Common Prey Species—This species feeds on fishes (menhaden, eel, silverside, wrasse, jack, toadfish, filefish, smallmouth flounder, herring, anchovy, pipefish, sea robin stargazer, and puffer), worms, shrimp, crabs, and mollusks (Delius and Morgan 1999; Branstetter 2002a).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2006e; Figure D-34)

- Neonate (≤40 cm TL)—EFH designated for this lifestage of the Atlantic sharpnose shark within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA includes shallow coastal areas such as bays and estuaries out to a 25 m isobath from Cape Hatteras, NC south to Daytona Beach, FL and within the Gulf of Mexico.
- Juvenile (41 to 78 cm TL)—EFH designated for this lifestage of the Atlantic sharpnose shark includes shallow regions out to the 50 m isobath of Cape Hatteras, NC. EFH designated for this lifestage south of Cape Hatteras, NC to Daytona, FL is from shallow areas out to a 40 m isobath. EFH for this lifestage is also designated within the Gulf of Mexico.
- Adult (≥79 cm TL)—EFH designated for this lifestage of the Atlantic sharpnose shark within the CHPT OPAREA includes shallow areas out to the 50 m isobath off Cape Hatteras, NC and south to Hilton Head, SC between 25 and 100 m isobath. EFH for this lifestage is also from St. Augustine, FL to Cape Canaveral, FL and within the Gulf of Mexico.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Bignose Shark *(Carcharhinus altimus)*

Management—The bignose shark is managed under the Prohibited Species MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—Possession of this shark is prohibited in the U.S. by NMFS (1999a) as a precautionary measure so that directed fisheries do not develop. Its stock is overfished and currently subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—The bignose shark frequents the tropical and subtropical regions of the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian Oceans (Castro 1983). In the western Atlantic Ocean, this species is found in the Gulf of Mexico, the Caribbean Sea, and from Florida northward to the Delaware/Maryland border (NMFS 1999a).

Habitat Associations—The bignose shark is a bottom-dwelling species that inhabits the deeper waters of the continental shelf and insular slope (Bester 1999d; NMFS 1999a). While this species has been observed from the surface to as deep as 430 m, it most frequently occurs at depths exceeding 90 m. Juveniles, however, tend to inhabit shallower waters than the adults (Bester 1999d).

Life History—The bignose shark is viviparous and gives birth to live young in the summer. Little else is known about the reproductive history of this shark. These sharks occasionally migrate vertically at night into the upper levels of the ocean (Castro 1983).

Common Prey Species—The diet of the bignose shark consists of other cartilaginous fishes including chimaeras, smaller sharks, dogfish, catsharks, and stingrays; bony fishes such as mackerels, soles, and batfish; and cephalopods including squid and octopuses (Castro 1983; Bester 1999d).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2006e; Figure D-35)

- Neonate (≤67 cm TL)— EFH designated for this lifestage is the area offshore of the Delmarva Peninsula (38°N) southward to offshore of Bull's Bay, SC (32°N), between the 100 and 200 m isobaths.
- Juvenile (68 to 225 cm TL)—EFH designated for this lifestage is from offshore the Delmarva Peninsula at (38°N), to offshore Bull's Bay, SC at (32°N) between the 100 and 500 m isobaths, as well as offshore St. Augustine, FL (30□N), southward to offshore West Palm Beach, FL (27°N).
- Adult (≥226 cm TL)— At this time there is no designated EFH for this lifestage.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Blacknose Shark *(Carcharhinus acronotus*)

Management—The blacknose shark is managed under the Small Coastal Sharks MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—This species is neither overfished nor is subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—The blacknose shark ranges from North Carolina to southeastern Brazil in the western Atlantic, including the Caribbean Sea, Bahamas, and Gulf of Mexico (Castro 1983; Bester 1999e). During the summer and fall, this species can be found with the greatest abundance from the Carolinas to Florida and in the Gulf of Mexico (Castro 1983).

Habitat Associations—In the western Atlantic Ocean, this shark is found in coastal tropical and warm temperate waters of the continental shelf over sandy and coral bottoms. This species commonly segregates by size, with juveniles being found in shallow water and adults located at greater depths, typically over 9 m (Bester 1999e).

Life History—The blacknose shark is viviparous. The birthing season occurs from January to April off Florida, during late May to early June off the Carolinas, and from May through early June in the Gulf of Mexico (Castro 1983; Driggers et al. 2004). This species is considered nonmigratory off Florida, which may indicate the presence of nursery areas in this area. Nursery areas have also been identified in shallow water areas of South Carolina, including Bull's Bay (Castro 1993; NMFS 1999a).

Common Prey Species—The blacknose shark feeds on small fishes including croakers, pigfish, porgies, porcupine fish, spiny boxfishes, and anchovies as well as octopus (Bester 1999e).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2006e; Figure D-36)

- Neonate (≤52 cm TL)—EFH is designated as shallow coastal waters to 25 m of water depth from the North Carolina/South Carolina border south to Cape Canaveral, FL. Additional EFH is designated for this lifestage of the blacknose shark is located off western Florida.
- Juvenile (53 to 106 cm TL)—Shallow coastal waters to 25 m depth are designated as EFH from the Georgia/Florida border south to West Palm Beach, FL and in the Florida Keys and off western Florida.

- Adult (≥107 cm TL)—For this lifestage of the blacknose shark, EFH is designated as shallow coastal waters to the 25 m isobath from St. Augustine, FL south to Cape Canaveral, FL and in the Florida Keys, off western Florida, and in the Gulf of Mexico.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Blacktip Shark *(Carchahinus limbatus)*

Management—The blacktip shark is managed under the Large Coastal Shark MU in the Shark MU through the Final Atlantic Consolidated FMP for HMS (NMFS 2006e).

Status—.The IUCN currently designates the northwest Atlantic subpopulation of the blacktip shark as vulnerable or facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future (Shark Specialist Group 2000a). This species is not considered overfished nor is overfishing occurring (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—This shark is found worldwide in predominantly tropical seas but occurs seasonally in warm-temperate coastal waters. In the western Atlantic Ocean, it ranges from coastal southern New England southward to southern Brazil, encompassing nearly all of the eastern U.S., Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean Sea (Garrick 1982). The blacktip is considered rare in New England and is most abundant off South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida in summer (Castro 1983).

Habitat Associations—The blacktip shark ranges from inshore estuarine waters, including bays and mangrove swamps, to offshore habitats (coral reefs) but rarely is found at depths greater than 30 m. This species often stays near the surface. Although often recorded offshore, it is not considered a true oceanic shark species. It has a wide salinity tolerance but generally does not move far into riverine systems (Compagno 1984a). Neonate and juvenile sharks utilize nursery areas and can remain there for up to a year. Blacktip shark nurseries have been identified in nearshore and estuarine waters (muddy substrates or seagrass beds with depths of 2 to 4 m) from North Carolina through the Gulf of Mexico, including Bulls Bay, SC (Castro 1993; NMFS 1999a; McCandless et al. 2002). Recent analysis has determined that sharks in Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic nurseries are genetically distinct and separate from one another (Keeney et al. 2003).

Life History—Large schools of blacktip sharks, off the coast of Florida, seasonally migrate north to south along the coast up to 1,159 NM (NMFS 1999a; Keeney et al. 2003). This species migrates to deeper waters during the winter and utilizes coastal waters of the southeastern U.S. during the summer (Castro 1983; Manooch 1988). Blacktip sharks give birth to live young in inshore nursery grounds, during late spring to early summer (April to June) after 10 to 11 months gestation period (Castro 1983; Compagno 1984a).

Common Prey Species—Blacktip sharks are active mid-water hunters, feeding on benthic and pelagic fishes (menhaden, rays, herring, butterfish, sardines, and other shark species), cephalopods (squids), and other invertebrates (Compagno 1984a; Manooch 1988).

EFH Designations (NMFS 2003a, 2006e; Figure D-37)

- Neonate (≤69 cm TL)—EFH designated for this lifestage is from shallow coastal waters to the 25 m isobath from Bull's Bay, SC (33.5°N) south to Cape Canaveral, FL (28.5°N) off the western Florida coast, and in the Gulf of Mexico.
- Juvenile (69 to 155 cm TL)—EFH designated for this lifestage is from shallow coastal waters from the shoreline to 25 m from Cape Hatteras, NC (35.25°N) to Ponce de Leon Inlet, FL (29°N). Additional EFH is designated for this lifestage off western Florida and in the Gulf of Mexico.
- Adult (≥155 cm TL)—EFH designated for this lifestage is from coastal waters of the Outer Banks, NC (between 36°N and 34.5°N) to the 200 m isobath; shallow coastal waters offshore to the 50 m isobath from Cumberland Island, GA (30.9°N) to Cape Canaveral, FL (28.5°N), excluding areas

south from Apalachicola Bay to Tarpon Springs (28.2°N). EFH is also designated for this lifestage off western Florida.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Blue Marlin (*Makaira nigricans*)

Management—The blue marlin is managed under the Billfish MU, through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—Currently, blue marlin are overfished and overfishing is occurring (NMFS 2004c, 2006b).

Distribution—Blue marlin occur in oceanic and continental shelf waters throughout the tropical and subtropical waters of the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian Oceans. The geographic distribution of this species ranges from 45°N to 35°S, and in the western Atlantic, this species is found from southern Georges Bank through the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea and the waters of Bermuda south to the Guinea Current off the coast of Brazil (NMFS 1999b; Schultz 2004).

Habitat Associations—This epipelagic (surface to a depth of 91 m) and oceanic species typically inhabits deep waters that have a temperature range from 22° to 31°C (NMFS 1999b; Collette 2002b). Blue marlin can also be found utilizing coastal habitats, such as those found near the Mississippi River Delta (Gardieff 1999a). In the northern Gulf of Mexico, they are associated with the Loop Current and are found in blue waters of low productivity. Eggs are planktonic (NMFS 1999b).

Life History—Blue marlin are generally solitary and do not occur in schools. They undergo extensive migrations including trans-equatorial and trans-Atlantic migrations in response to changing sea surface temperatures (Gusey 1981; Nakamura 1985; Gardieff 1999a; NMFS 1999b). Two seasonal concentrations occur in the Atlantic: in the southwest Atlantic (5°S to 30°S) from January to April and in the northwest Atlantic (10° to 35°N) from June to October (NMFS 1999b; Schultz 2004). The months of May, November, and December are considered transitional months. Tag-recapture data from the northern Gulf of Mexico and the Bahamas suggest seasonal movements between the former in summer and the latter in the winter. Spawning in the north Atlantic Ocean is believed to occur between the period of May to November, with May and June as the peak spawning months off Florida and the Bahamas (Prince et al. 1991; de Sylva and Breder 1997; NMFS 1999b).

Common Prey Species—Blue marlin feed primarily on near-surface pelagic fishes (tuna, dolphin fishes, and mackerel) as well as deep-sea fish species and cephalopods (Gardieff 1999a; NMFS 1999b).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999b, 2006e; Figure D-38)

- Spawning Adult, Egg, and Larva—EFH designated for this lifestage is from 100 m to 43 NM seaward (79.25°W) from Ponce de Leon Inlet, FL (29.5°N) south to Melbourne, FL. EFH for this lifestage is also designated off southeast Florida, in the Florida Keys, and off Puerto Rico.
- Juvenile and Subadult (20 to 189 cm lower jaw fork length [LJFL])—EFH designated for this lifestage includes pelagic surface waters from 100 to 2,000 m with temperatures ≥24°C from offshore of Delaware Bay to Cape Lookout, NC and extending further offshore from 200 to 2,000 m at 73.25°W, 35°N from Cape Lookout, NC south to Cumberland Island, GA (30.75°N). Additional EFH designated for this lifestage not within the CHPT OPAREA includes St. Augustine, FL (30°N) south to Fort Lauderdale, FL (26°N) from 100 m seaward, and south to the U.S. EEZ boundary at 29°N south. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is off southwest Florida and in the Gulf of Mexico.
- Adult (≥190 cm LJFL)—EFH is designated as pelagic waters with temperatures not less than 24°C from offshore Delaware Bay (38.5°N) south to offshore Wilmington, NC (33.5°N) between

100 and 2,000 m; from Charleston, SC (32°N) to the Georgia/Florida border from 100 m to 78°W; and from Ponce de Leon Inlet, FL (29.5°N) south to offshore Melbourne, FL from 100 m to 79.25°W. Additionally, EFH is designated for this lifestage off southwest Florida, in the Gulf of Mexico, and off Puerto Rico.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Bluefin Tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*)

Management—Atlantic bluefin tuna are managed under the Tuna MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—The current stock assessment and fishery evaluation reports by the NMFS (2004b, 2006a) indicate that bluefin tuna in the western Atlantic are overfished and overfishing occurs, and this stock is listed as critically endangered or facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild according to the IUCN Red List (Safina 1996a).

Distribution—Bluefin tuna have a worldwide distribution in tropical and temperate waters, from Argentina and South Africa north to Labrador and northern Scandinavia in the Atlantic Ocean, including the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea (Schultz 2004). In the western Atlantic Ocean, bluefin tuna typically range from 0°N to 45°N but have been reported as far north as 55°N (Collette and Nauen 1983; NMFS 1999a).

Habitat Associations—This species can tolerate a considerable range of temperatures and has been observed at depths greater than 1,000 m (Block et al. 2001). Although bluefin tuna are epipelagic and oceanic, they often occur over continental shelf waters and in embayments during the summer months (Collette 2002a). Juveniles typically inhabit regions off the continental shelf, from North Carolina to Rhode Island, in waters with depths less than 40 m and temperatures greater than 20°C in the summer (June and July) (Schuck 1982; Brill et al. 2002). Juveniles along the continental shelf utilize the entire water column including the benthic habitat but spend the majority of their time near the surface (Brill et al. 2002). Fertilized eggs are buoyant (Collette 2002a). Larvae are believed to associate with the Gulf Stream along the continental shelf that produces regions of upwelling (NMFS 1999a).

Life History—The western Atlantic bluefin tuna spawns from mid-April to mid-June in the Gulf of Mexico, the Florida Straits, western edge of the Bahamas Banks, and along the eastern portion of the Florida current at temperatures of 24.9° to 29.5°C (Gusey 1981; Collette and Nauen 1983; NMFS 1999a). The Gulf of Mexico spawning site is considered the primary spawning area of the northwest Atlantic (Mather et al. 1995; Block et al. 2001). The adult bluefin tuna moves seasonally from offshore spawning grounds in the Gulf of Mexico through the Straits of Florida to inshore seasonal feeding grounds in the northern part of their range in the northwestern Atlantic (Jeffreys Ledge, Stellwagen Bank, Cape Cod Bay, Great South Channel, and south of Martha's Vineyard) in the early spring and summer and finally to North Carolina, Blake Plateau, or the Bahamas for the winter (Gusey 1981; Schuck 1982; Block et al. 2001; Chase 2002). Data on the three-way movements of adults from these feeding areas to wintering areas and back to breeding areas are limited. It is postulated that juveniles have a shorter two-way movement from feeding to wintering areas (Mather et al. 1995; Chase 2002).

Common Prey Species—Bluefin tuna prey upon squid, pelagic crustaceans, and school fishes (anchovies, sauries, and hakes) (Schuck 1982; NMFS 1999a).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2006e; Figure D-39)

- Spawning Adult, Egg, and Larva—EFH for this lifestage is designated as pelagic and near coastal surface waters for this lifestage from the North Carolina/South Carolina border (33.5°N) south to Cape Canaveral, FL from 13 NM offshore to 200 m and all waters off the coast of Cape

Canaveral, FL (28.25°N) south around peninsular Florida to the U.S./Mexico border ranging from 13 NM offshore to the U.S. EEZ boundary.

- Juvenile (<145 cm TL)—EFH for this lifestage is designated as all inshore and pelagic surface waters warmer than 12°C from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Cod Bay (from Cape Ann [~42.75°N]) east to 69.75°W, (including waters of the Great South Channel west of 69.75°W) and Nantucket Shoals (70.5°W) south to Cape Hatteras, NC (~35.5°N) between the 25 and 200 m isobaths are designated as EFH. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is in the Florida Straits.
- Adult (≥145 cm TL)—EFH for this lifestage is designated as pelagic waters from 39°N to Cape Lookout, NC (34.5°N) from the 50 m isobath to the 2,000 m isobath and pelagic waters from Daytona Beach, FL (29.5°N) south of Key West, FL (82°W) from the 100 m isobath to the U.S. EEZ boundary. Additional EFH designated outside the CHPT OPAREA is in the Gulf of Maine, Georges Bank, and Gulf of Mexico.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Bonnethead Shark (*Sphyrna tiburo*)

Management—The bonnethead shark is managed under the Small Coastal Shark MU in the Shark MU through the Final Atlantic Consolidated FMP for HMS (NMFS 2006e).

Status—This species is neither overfished nor is overfishing currently occurring (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—The bonnethead shark is limited to warm waters in the Atlantic Ocean ranging from coastal southern New England south to the Gulf of Mexico and Brazil and is most common in the Caribbean Sea, including Cuba and the Bahamas. In the Pacific, this shark species also ranges from southern California to Ecuador (Castro 1983).

Habitat Associations—Bonnethead sharks inhabits shallow coastal waters, where they are typically associated with sandy or muddy substrates (Castro et al. 1999). This species inhabits continental and insular shelves, over reefs, estuaries, seagrass beds, and shallow bays from depths of 10 to 80 m (Compagno 1984b). Bonnethead shark nurseries have been identified in estuaries from South Carolina south along the Atlantic coast into the Gulf of Mexico (McCandless et al. 2002).

Life History—Bonnethead sharks prefer water temperatures warmer than 21°C and migrate accordingly back and forth to the equator throughout the year. This species migrates to inshore areas of the North Carolina, South Carolina, and Georgia during the summer and off Florida and the Gulf of Mexico from spring through fall. During the winter, it moves southward to deeper waters. This species mates, off the coast of Florida, during the spring and autumn and gives birth to live young during the late summer through early fall in shallow waters (Tampa Bay, Florida Bay) (Castro 1983; Branstetter 2002b; Lombardi-Carlson et al. 2003).

Common Prey Species—Bonnethead sharks prey primarily upon benthic species, including shrimp (mantis and pink), crab (blue, spider, purse, and stone), octopus, and fishes during the daytime (Castro 1983; Branstetter 2002b).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2006e; Figure D-40)

- Neonate (≥38 cm TL)—EFH is designated as shallow coastal waters, inlets, and estuaries less than 25 m deep from Jekyll Island, GA to just north of Cape Canaveral, FL. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is also designated in the Florida Keys
- Juvenile (39 to 82 cm TL)—EFH is designated as shallow coastal waters, inlets, and estuaries from Cape Fear, NC southward to West Palm Beach, FL in waters less than 25 m deep.

Additional EFH for this lifestage is designated off southeastern Florida, in the Florida Keys, and in the Gulf of Mexico.

- Adult (≥83 cm TL)—EFH is designated as shallow coastal waters, inlets, and estuaries from Cape Fear, NC to Cape Canaveral, FL. Additional EFH for this lifestage is designated in the Florida Keys and the Gulf of Mexico

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Bull Shark (*Carchahinus leucas*)

Management—The bull shark is managed under the Large Coastal Shark MU in the Shark MU through the Final Atlantic Consolidated FMP for HMS (NMFS 2006e).

Status—This species is subject to overfishing, as well as classified as overfished (NMFS 2006a). The IUCN Red List currently lists the bull shark as a near threatened species (taxa which are not conservation dependent but are close to qualifying as a vulnerable species) (Simpfendorfer and Burgess 2000).

Distribution—Bull sharks are a circumglobal species and in the northwest Atlantic are distributed from Massachusetts to Florida, including the Gulf of Mexico. The shark is considered most common off southern Florida and in the Gulf of Mexico (Castro 1983; Compagno 1984b).

Habitat Associations—This shallow-water species is common in both tropical and subtropical regions and in marine, estuarine, and freshwater habitats and can journey long distances up large rivers (NMFS 1999a). The bull shark typically occupies shallow coastal waters less than 30 m deep but has been observed at depths to 152 m deep. Adults occupy deeper waters than juveniles. Bull sharks typically stay near the bottom, rarely utilizing surface waters (Compagno 1984b). Bull shark nurseries have been recorded in low salinity estuaries extending from North Carolina to the Gulf of Mexico (McCandless et al. 2002).

Life History—Bull sharks migrate north, as far as Massachusetts, along the coast during the summer and then return south as waters cool (Compagno 1984b). Mating occurs in late spring or early summer (June or July), with birth to live young occurring in estuaries and river mouths the following year, from April to June (Castro 1983; Compagno 1984b).

Common Prey Species—Bull sharks are opportunistic feeders that prey on a wide variety of bony fishes, shark species, and invertebrates. Additionally, stomach contents have revealed that this species also consumes sea turtles, sea birds, and marine mammals (Compagno 1984b).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2006e; Figure D-41)

- Neonate (≤83 cm TL)—EFH is designated as shallow coastal waters, including inlets and estuaries in waters less than 25 m deep from 29°N to just south of Cape Canaveral, FL (28°N). Additional EFH is also designated for this lifestage off western Florida and in the Gulf of Mexico.
- Juvenile (84 to 225 cm TL)—EFH is designated as shallow coastal waters, inlets, and estuaries in waters less than 25 m deep ranging from Savannah Beach, GA (32°N) southward to Dry Tortugas, FL. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is off western Florida and in the Gulf of Mexico
- Adult (≥226 cm TL)—EFH designated for this lifestage is in western Florida.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Dusky Shark (*Carcharhinus obscurus*)

Management—The dusky shark is managed under the Prohibited Shark MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—The dusky shark is currently identified as a species of concern (formerly a candidate species) by the NMFS (2004a) and is considered overfished, as well as subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a). The IUCN Red List designated the northwest Atlantic dusky shark population as vulnerable or facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future (Shark Specialist Group 2000b).

Distribution—This species has a wide-ranging distribution in warm-temperate and tropical continental waters throughout the world and can be found in the western Atlantic from southern Massachusetts and the Georges Bank southward through the northern Caribbean Sea and Gulf of Mexico to Nicaragua and southern Brazil (Compagno 1984a; Castro 1993).

Habitat Associations—Dusky sharks are coastal and pelagic in distribution and occur from the surf zone to well offshore and from surface waters to depths of 400 m (Compagno 1984a; Branstetter 2002a). Major nursery areas have been identified in coastal waters from Massachusetts to the South Carolina coast, including Bulls Bay, SC (Castro 1993; McCandless et al. 2002).

Life History—Mating for this species in the western Atlantic occurs in the spring, and birth to live young can occur over several months from late winter to summer (Compagno 1984a). In Bull's Bay, NC, dusky sharks typically give birth from April to May, while in the Chesapeake Bay, this occurs in June and July (NMFS 2003a). Females mate in alternate years as a result of their long gestation period (9 to 16 months). The dusky shark undertakes long seasonal, temperature-related migrations. On both coasts of the U.S., this species migrates northward in summer as the waters warm and retreats southward in fall as water temperatures decline (Compagno 1984a; NMFS 2003a).

Common Prey Species—Bony fishes (eels, menhaden, herring, anchovies, hakes, goosefish, black sea bass, scups, croakers, bluefish, sand lance, mackerels, tunas, and flatfish) are the most important component of the dusky shark's diet, but they also prey upon sharks, crustaceans, and squid (Branstetter 2002a).

EFH Designations (NMFS 2003a, 2006e; Figure D-42)

- Neonate[1] (≤110 cm TL)—EFH for this lifestage is designated as shallow coastal waters, inlets, and estuaries as well as offshore areas to the 90 m isobath extending from Cape Lookout, NC (34.5°N) to West Palm Beach, FL (27.5°N). Additional EFH designated for this lifestage, but not located within the CHPT OPAREA, includes regions north of Cape Lookout, NC.
- Juvenile (110 to 299 cm TL)—EFH designated for this lifestage include coastal and pelagic waters between 25 and 200 m from the coast of southern New England (70°W); shallow coastal waters, inlets, and estuaries to the 200 m isobath from Assateague Island at the Virginia/Maryland border (38°N) to Jacksonville, FL (30°N); and shallow coastal waters, inlets, and estuaries to the 500 m isobath and continuing south to Dry Tortugas, FL (83°W)

[1]There is a discrepancy between the EFH text description in the FMP and that presented in the GIS data provided by the NMFS (2003b) for the neonate lifestage of the dusky shark. Dr. Chris Rilling, NMFS HMS Division, was consulted about this discrepancy and indicated that the NMFS was aware of the discrepancy but that it would not be addressed until sometime in the future; the discrepancy was not resolved in the recent Final Consolidated FMP for HMS (NMFS 2006e). Until the NMFS addresses the discrepancy, neither the GIS data depictions nor the text designations are to be altered (Rilling 2007).

- Adult (≥299 cm TL)—EFH is designated for this lifestage include pelagic waters offshore of the Virginia/North Carolina border (36.5°N) south to Cape Romain, SC out to the 25 m isobath; from Cape Romain south to the Georgia/Florida border (30.8°N), the EFH consists of waters between the 25 and 200 m isobaths; and coastal waters out to the 200 m isobath from the Georgia/Florida border south to Cape Canaveral, FL (28.5°)

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Finetooth Shark (*Carcharhinus isodon*)

Management—The finetooth shark is managed under the Small Coastal Shark MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—Currently, this species is subject to overfishing but is not overfished (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—In the western Atlantic Ocean, the finetooth shark is distributed from North Carolina south to Cuba and southern Brazil, including the Gulf of Mexico (Compagno 1984a).

Habitat Associations—Not a lot is known about habitat associations of this species. Finetooth sharks form large schools and are located in waters close to shore to depths of 10 m (Compagno 1984a). Finetooth shark estuarine nursery areas have been documented from South Carolina (e.g., Bulls Bay, SC) to the Gulf of Mexico (Castro 1993; McCandless et al. 2002).

Life History—In the shallow coastal waters off the coast of South Carolina, adults and juveniles are common during the warm summer months, migrating south when surface water temperatures drop below 20°C and spend the winter months in the waters off the coast of Florida. Finetooth sharks give birth to live young from May to June (Bester 1999e).

Common Prey Species—This species feeds on bony fishes (mullet, Spanish mackerel, spot, and menhaden), crustaceans, and cephalopods (Compagno 1984a; Bester 1999e).

EFH Designation (NMFS 2003a; Figure D-43)

- Neonate (≤65 cm TL)—EFH is designated as the shallow coastal waters of South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida out to the 25 m isobath from 30°N to 33°N. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is located in the Gulf of Mexico.
- Juvenile (65 to 135 cm TL)—EFH is designated as the shallow coastal waters of North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida out to the 25 m isobath from 30°N to 35.5°N. Additional EFH is also designated for this lifestage in the Gulf of Mexico.
- Adult (≥135 cm TL)—EFH is designated as the shallow coastal waters of North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida out to the 25 m isobath from 30°N to 35.5°N. EFH for this lifestage is also designated in the Gulf of Mexico.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Great Hammerhead Shark (*Sphyrna mokarran*)

Management—The great hammerhead shark is managed under the Large Coastal Shark MU in the Shark MU through the Final Atlantic Consolidated FMP for HMS (NMFS 2006e).

Status—This species is currently overfished and subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a). The great hammerhead shark is considered data deficient by the IUCN, due to the lack of adequate information to make a direct, or indirect, assessment of its risk of extinction based on its distribution and/or population status (Denham 2000).

Distribution—This species has a circumtropical distribution (40°N to 37°S), and in the western Atlantic ranges from North Carolina south to Uruguay, including the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean regions (Compagno 1984b; Bester 1999f).

Habitat Associations—The great hammerhead is a large coastal/semi-oceanic shark found offshore at depths of 300 m, as well as in shallow coastal areas such as over continental shelves and lagoons (Compagno 1984b; Bester 1999f). Known nursery areas occur in Tampa Bay and Charlotte Harbor, FL, as well as estuarine and offshore waters of the Gulf of Mexico (McCandless et al. 2002).

Life History—The great hammerhead is considered a HMS and moves poleward to cooler water during the summer months. Mating has been recorded in surface waters in contrast with most other shark species, which mate near the bottom. This species gives birth to live young in the spring and summer (Compagno 1984b; Bester 1999f).

Common Prey Species—This species feeds on rays, small sharks, bony fishes, and invertebrates (crab, lobster, squid, and octopus) with stingrays being most preferred (Castro 1983). Great hammerhead sharks feed at dusk using electroreception to locate prey (Bester 1999f).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2006e; Figure D-44)

- Neonate (≤74 cm TL)—Currently there is no information available for the identification of of EFH for this lifestage.
- Juvenile (71 to 209 cm TL)—EFH is designated as shallow coastal waters to 100 m off the Florida coast ranging from 30°N to south around peninsular Florida and extending to 82.5°W. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is in Florida Bay
- Adult (≥210 cm TL)—EFH is designated as waters off the entire east coast of Florida and all shallow coastal waters seaward to the 100 m isobath south of 30°N. Additional EFH for this lifestage is designated off western Florida.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Lemon Shark (*Negaprion brevirostris*)

Management—The lemon shark is managed under the Large Coastal Shark MU in the Shark MU through the Final Atlantic Consolidated FMP for HMS (NMFS 2006e).

Status—The IUCN lists this species as lower risk or near threatened (Gruber and Sundström 2000). This shark is also regarded as overfished as well as being subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—The species is found in the temperate/tropical regions of the Atlantic, Pacific and Caribbean. In the northwest Atlantic, its distribution ranges from New Jersey to southern Brazil, including the Gulf of Mexico (Compagno 1984b; Morgan 1999). The primary population in U.S. waters is located off southern Florida (NMFS 1999a).

Habitat Associations—Utilization of diverse habitat is characteristic of the species and includes oceanic waters, coral reefs, mangroves, bays, sounds, estuaries, and river mouths (Morgan 1999). The lemon shark is found from surface waters to depths of 90 m (Morgan 1999). Young sharks are typically found utilizing habitats closer to shore than adults (Compagno 1984b). Lemon shark nurseries have been recorded in the Florida Keys, Tampa Bay, FL, and along the Gulf coast of Texas (McCandless et al. 2002).

Life History—Lemon sharks typically inhabit deeper waters during the daytime and move to shallower waters at night (Morgan 1999). Off Florida, this species also migrates south into deeper water during the winter (Compagno 1984b). Lemon sharks mate and give birth to live young during the spring and summer, from May to September (Compagno 1984b).

Common Prey Species—Lemon sharks consume a variety of crustaceans, mollusks, and fishes (croaker, jack, mullet, ray, and shark) located over sandy or muddy substrates (Compagno 1984b; Morgan 1999).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2006e; Figure D-45)

- Neonate (≤68 cm TL)—EFH is designated as shallow coastal waters, inlets, and estuaries out to the 25 m isobath from Savannah, GA (32°N) south to Indian River Inlet, FL (29°N). Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is found off southeastern Florida, western Florida, and in the Gulf of Mexico.
- Juvenile (69 to 235 cm TL)—EFH is designated as shallow coastal waters, inlets, and estuaries out to the 25 m isobath west of 79.75°W from Bull's Bay, SC to south of Cape Canaveral, FL (28°N). Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is off southeastern Florida, western Florida, and Puerto Rico.
- Adult (≥236 cm TL)—EFH is designated as shallow coastal waters, inlets, and estuaries offshore to the 25 m isobath from Cumberland Island, GA (31°N) to St. Augustine, FL (30°N). Additional EFH has been designated for this lifestage off southeastern and western Florida.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Longbill Spearfish (*Tetrapturus pfluegeri*)

Management—The longbill spearfish is managed under the Billfish MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—This species is neither overfished nor subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—The longbill spearfish ranges from 40°N to 35°S in the Atlantic and occurs in the western Atlantic Ocean from Georges Bank south through the Gulf of Mexico to Brazil (Manooch 1988; NMFS 1999b).

Habitat Associations—Little is known about the habitat associations of this species (Nakamura 1985; de Sylva and Breder 1997). Longbill spearfish are an epipelagic, oceanic species usually inhabiting waters above the thermocline and are found further offshore than other billfish species (Nakamura 1985). Larvae have been collected near the mid-Atlantic Ridge and in the Caribbean from December to February (NMFS 1999b).

Life History—Few data exist on reproductive behavior or locations for this species, but spawning is thought to occur in widespread areas in the tropical and subtropical Atlantic Ocean, well offshore, from November through May (Manooch 1988; de Sylva and Breder 1997).

Common Prey Species—Longbill spearfish, which are surface feeders, prey primarily on pelagic fishes (anchovy and dolphinfish) and squid (NMFS 1999b). Feeding occurs during both daylight and night hours, and it is not known if this species uses its bill to aid in capturing prey (Manooch 1988).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999b, 2006e; Figure D-46)

- Spawning Adult, Egg, and Larva—At this time there is no available information to describe and identify EFH for this lifestage.

- Juvenile and Subadult—(~20 to 182 cm LJFL)—EFH is designated in offshore North Carolina (36.5°N to 35°N) from the 200 m isobath to the U.S. EEZ boundary.
- Adult (≥183 cm LJFL)—EFH is designated at the Charleston Bump area from 78°W to 79°W and from 37°N to 31°N. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage, but not found within the OPAREA, is off of the U.S. Virgin Islands.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Longfin Mako Shark (*Isurus paucus*)

Management—The longfin mako shark is managed under the Prohibited Species MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—The NMFS prohibits possession of this species as a precautionary measure (NMFS 1999a). Additionally, the IUCN Red List designates this species as vulnerable or facing a high risk of extinction in the wild (Reardon et al. 2005).

Distribution—In the western Atlantic Ocean, this species can be found from Georges Bank to the Gulf of Mexico, is common in the southern sections of the Gulf Stream, and probably has a wider distribution than is currently known (Castro 1983).

Habitat Associations—Longfin mako sharks prefer deep tropical to warm-temperate oceanic waters and have been recorded at depths from 18 m to at least 219 m deep (Castro 1983). There is very little information available on habitat associations of this species.

Life History—Specifics of the location and reproductive behavior for this ovoviviparous species is unknown, but they are believed to come close to shore to give birth. Specific information on migrational patterns of the longfin mako shark does not exist (Castro 1983; Compagno 2001).

Common Prey Species—Longfin mako sharks primarily prey upon schooling fish species and pelagic cephalopods (Compagno 2001).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2006e; Figure D-47)

- Neonate (≤149 cm TL)—EFH for this lifestage is designated from the 100 m isobath off the northeast coast out to the EEZ boundary, from south Georges Bank to 35°N. Additional EFH is from 35°N south 28.25°N off Cape Canaveral, FL, from the 100 m isobath seaward to the 500 m isobath and in the Gulf of Mexico.
- Juvenile (150 to 244 cm TL)—(EFH for this lifestage is identical to the neonate lifestage) designated from the 100 m isobath off the northeast coast out to the EEZ boundary, from south Georges Bank to 35°N. Additional EFH is from 35°N south 28.25°N off Cape Canaveral, FL, from the 100 m isobath seaward to the 500 m isobath and in the Gulf of Mexico
- Adult (≥245 cm TL)—(EFH for this lifestage is identical to the neonate lifestage) designated from the 100 m isobath off the northeast coast out to the EEZ boundary, from south Georges Bank to 35°N. Additional EFH is from 35°N south 28.25°N off Cape Canaveral, FL, from the 100 m isobath seaward to the 500 m isobath and in the Gulf of Mexico.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Night Shark *(Carchahinus signatus)*

Management—The night shark is managed under the Prohibited Species MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—Night sharks are overfished and subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a). The Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico populations of the night shark are currently identified as species of concern (formerly a candidate species) by the NMFS (2004b). Additionally, it is designated by the IUCN Red list as vulnerable or facing a high risk of extinction in the wild (Santana et al. 2005).

Distribution—Night sharks inhabit the waters of the Atlantic Ocean and, in the northwest Atlantic range from Delaware south to Argentina, including the Gulf of Mexico (Barzan 1999).

Habitat Associations—This benthopelagic, coastal, and semi-oceanic species is found on or along the outer continental and insular shelves and off the upper slopes (Compagno 1984a). Night sharks prefer depths from 50 to 100 m but have been recorded in waters up to 600 m deep (Compagno 1984a). No information exists on nursery locations for this species (NMFS 1999a).

Life History—Night sharks exhibit vertical migrations and are found in shallower waters at night (to 183 m) rather than during the daytime (to 366 m) (NMFS 1999a). Off Cuba, this species has been recorded making seasonal migrations (Compagno 1984a). Little information has been collected on the reproductive behavior or locations of this species, but it is known that they give birth to live young (Castro 1983; NMFS 1999a).

Common Prey Species—Night sharks feed primarily on bony fishes, including butterfish, flyingfish, tuna, mackerel, and sea bass, as well as squid (Compagno 1984a).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2006e; Figure D-48)

- Neonate (≤70 cm TL)—At this time, there is no available information to identify EFH for this lifestage.
- Juvenile (71 to 177 cm TL)—EFH is designated for this lifestage from Assateague Island, VA (38°N) south to offshore Cape Fear, NC (33.5°N) from 100 to 2,000 m.
- Adult (≥178 cm TL)—EFH is designated for this lifestage from Oregon Inlet, NC (36°N) to 25.5°N, off the coast of Miami, FL in waters bounded by the 100 m isobath and whichever of the following is nearest: the 2,000 m isobath, a distance 87 NM from shore, or the U.S. EEZ boundary.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Nurse Shark *(Ginglymostoma cirratum*)

Management—The nurse shark is managed under the Large Coastal Shark MU in the Shark MU through the Final Atlantic Consolidated FMP for HMS (NMFS 2006e).

Status—This species is overfished and subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a). Additionally, the nurse shark's western Atlantic subpopulation is designated near threatened or close to qualifying for or is likely to qualify for a threatened category in the near future on the IUCN Red List (Rosa et al. 2005).

Distribution—The nurse shark is found in the Atlantic and Pacific oceans. In the northwest Atlantic, it ranges from Cape Hatteras, NC to Brazil (Guarracino 1999).

Habitat Associations—This nocturnal species is usually benthic, lying on sandy substrates or beneath coral reefs, crevices, or rocks (Castro et al. 1999; Guarracino 1999). They often congregate in groups, even lying on top of each other, with juveniles typically found in shallower waters than adults (Guarracino 1999). Nurse shark nurseries have been recorded in Florida (Charlotte Harbor, Florida Keys, and Tampa Bay) and the northeastern Gulf of Mexico (Apalachee Bay, Apalachicola Bay, and Crooked Island Sound) (McCandless et al. 2002).

Life History—Nurse sharks do not exhibit seasonal movements, but larger individuals inhabit deeper waters during the day (up to 75 m) and migrate to shallower waters at night (<20 m). Nurse sharks are ovoviviparous with mating in the summer, typically June and July, and births in November and December. Reproductive behavior has been observed in the Florida Keys, Dry Tortugas, and Bahamas in shallow seagrass beds or coral reefs (4 to 6 m) (Guarracino 1999; NMFS 1999a; Pratt and Carrier 2001).

Common Prey Species—Nurse sharks feed at night on fishes, especially stingrays, mollusks (octopus, squid, and clam), and crustaceans (lobster, shrimp, and crab) via suction (Castro 1983; Guarracino 1999; Robinson and Motta 2002).

EFH Designations (NMFS 2003a; Figure D-49)

- Neonate (≤36 cm TL)—EFH is designated for this lifestage but is not within the study area (southeastern and western Florida).
- Juvenile (37 to 221 cm TL)—EFH is designated as shallow coastal waters from the shoreline to 25 m ranging from Cumberland Island, GA (30.5°N) to the Dry Tortugas, FL. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage, but not found within the study area, is off western Florida, Puerto Rico, and in the Gulf of Mexico
- Adult (≥221 cm TL)—Shallow coastal waters from the shoreline to 25 ranging from Cumberland Island, GA (30.5°N) to the Dry Tortugas, FL are designated as EFH. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage, but not found within the study area, is off western Florida and Puerto Rico.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Oceanic Whitetip Shark (*Carcharhinus longimanus*)

Management—The oceanic whitetip shark is managed under the Pelagic Shark MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—Currently, they are not overfished or subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a). This species is designated as vulnerable or facing a high risk of extinction in the wild by the IUCN Red List (Baum et al. 2005).

Distribution—This shark species is the most common large shark in warm oceanic waters and is circumtropical (20°N to 20°S). In the western Atlantic, this species ranges from Georges Banks to Argentina, including the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean (Compagno 1984a).

Habitat Associations—This species is most abundant in the tropics but can occur far beyond its normal range, when it moves in conjunction with warm-water masses The oceanic whitetip shark seldom swims into shallow waters less than 37 m deep and is most often found offshore in the open ocean. This shark typically inhabits waters deeper than 180 m with temperatures above 21°C (Compagno 1984a). Nurseries are believed to be located in offshore waters over the continental shelf (NMFS 1999a).

Life History—Oceanic whitetip sharks give birth to live young during the early summer in the north Atlantic. Few data exist on the migratory patterns of this species (Compagno 1984a).

Common Prey Species—This species feeds in schools on fishes (lancetfish, oarfish, threadfin, barracuda, jacks, dolphinfish, tuna, marlin, and stingray), squid, crustaceans, sea birds, sea turtles, dead marine mammals, and garbage (Compagno 1984a; Bester 1999g).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2006e; Figure D-50)

- Neonate (≤83 cm TL)—EFH is designated for this lifestage from 200 to 2,000 m off the Charleston Bump, SC (32.5°N and 31°N).
- Juvenile (84 to 136 cm TL)—EFH is designated for this lifestage from 32°N to 26°N in waters bounded by the 200 m isobath and either the U.S. EEZ or 75°W, whichever is closer to shore.
- Adult (≥137 cm TL)—EFH is designated from 200 m seaward to the U.S. EEZ boundary between 36°N and 30°N. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is in the Caribbean Sea.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Sailfish *(Istiophorus platypterus)*

Management—The sailfish is managed under the Billfish MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—Sailfish are subject to overfishing and are considered overfished (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—Sailfish have a circumtropical distribution ranging from Massachusetts south to Brazil, including the Caribbean and the Gulf of Mexico (40°N to 40°S) in the western Atlantic Ocean (Manooch 1988). Sailfish are concentrated off Florida, in the Caribbean Sea, and in the Gulf of Mexico and are considered more rare north of Virginia (Gusey 1981; Gardieff 1999b).

Habitat Associations—Sailfish are epipelagic, coastal to oceanic associating primarily with waters above the thermocline with a temperature range between 21° and 28°C and depths between 10 and 250 m (Gardieff 1999b). However, they do occasionally dive into deeper, colder waters. Sailfish are found over the continental shelf edge and are often associated with land masses, including islands and reefs, and the inside edge of the Gulf Stream (Jolley 1977; Gusey 1981). Larvae are initially associated with the Gulf Stream and then move inshore to mature further (NMFS 1999b).

Life History—During the summer, sailfish move north along the western wall of the Gulf Stream, and during winter, sailfish regroup off the east coast of Florida, Florida Keys, Caribbean, and offshore waters in the Gulf of Mexico (NMFS 1999b). No trans-Atlantic migrations have been documented for this species. Sailfish are multiple spawners, with spawning activity moving northward as summer progresses (de Sylva and Breder 1997). From the presence of larvae recorded from the Carolinas to Cuba, spawning is believed to occur in depths greater than 100 m from April to September and in the Gulf of Mexico from March to October. Spawning events have been recorded from Palm Beach, FL to the Florida Keys in shallow waters with depths from 9 to 12 m (de Sylva and Breder 1997; NMFS 1999b).

Common Prey Species—Sailfish prey opportunistically on pelagic fishes, such as little tunny, halfbeaks, mackerels, tunas, cutlassfish, rudderfish, jacks, and pinfish, as well as squid and octopus, at the surface or mid-water depths (Jolley 1977; Manooch 1988; Gardieff 1999b). They have also been reported to feed on demersal species (sea robin, cephalopods, and gastropods). Feeding occurs during daylight hours (Manooch 1988; NMFS 1999b).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999b, 2006e; Figure D-51)

- Spawning Adult, Egg, and Larva—EFH is designated from 28.25°N south to Key West, FL in waters associated with the Gulf Stream and the Florida Straits from 4 NM off shore to the U.S. EEZ boundary. These areas are not located within the boundaries of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.
- Juvenile and Subadult (20 to 142 cm LJFL)—EFH is designated as pelagic and coastal surface waters between 21° and 28°C and from 32°N south to Key West, FL between 4 and 109 NM offshore or to the U.S. EEZ boundary, whichever is closer to shore. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage in the Gulf of Mexico.

➢ Adult (≥143 cm LJFL)—EFH is designated as pelagic and coastal surface waters between 21° and 28°C from 4 NM offshore to 2,000 m between 36°N and 34°N; south of 34°N to Key West, FL, EFH extends from 4 to 109 NM offshore or to the U.S. EEZ boundary, whichever is nearer to shore. Additional EFH for this lifestage is also designated in the Gulf of Mexico.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Sand Tiger Shark (*Carcharias taurus*)

Management—The sand tiger shark is managed under the Prohibited Species MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—Under this FMP, the sand tiger shark receives full protection from harvest on the Atlantic coast. The Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico populations of the sand tiger shark are currently identified as a species of concern (formerly a candidate species) by the NMFS (2004b). This species is also considered vulnerable or facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future according to the IUCN Red List (Pollard and Smith 2000).

Distribution—Sand tiger sharks are known to have a broad inshore distribution in tropical and warm-temperate waters throughout the world but are nonexistent in the eastern Pacific Ocean (Castro 1983; Branstetter 2002b). In the western Atlantic, the sand tiger shark occurs from the Gulf of Maine to Florida, the northern Gulf of Mexico, the Bahamas, and Bermuda and southward to Argentina (Castro 1983; Compagno 1984b). In warmer months, this species is common from Cape Cod, MA to the Delaware Bay (Castro 1983).

Habitat Associations—Sand tiger sharks are demersal sharks primarily found in shallow bays and around coral or rocky reefs (depths <20 m) but also can be found to depths of 191 m over the continental shelf (Compagno 1984b; NMFS 1999a; Branstetter 2002b). Neonate and juvenile sand tiger sharks utilize estuarine waters as nurseries from Massachusetts to South Carolina (McCandless et al. 2002).

Life History—Sand tiger sharks mate in the winter and spring, with parturition beginning during the winter from late October to the end of November (NMFS 1999a; Branstetter 2002b). In Florida, sand tiger sharks are born from November to February (Castro 1983). The neonates then migrate northward to summer nurseries. Sand tiger sharks are migratory in the northern portion of its range moving northward and inshore during the summer and south to deeper waters in the fall and winter (Castro 1983; Compagno 1984b).

Common Prey Species—Sand tiger sharks feed primarily on fishes (skates, goosefish, sea robin, scup, spot, bluefish, and butterfish), specifically summer flounder, as well as invertebrates (lobster, crab, and squid) (Branstetter 2002b).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2006e; Figure D-52)

➢ Neonate (≤117 cm TL)—EFH for this lifestage is designated as the shallow coastal waters to 25 m from Barnegat Inlet, NJ to Cape Canaveral, FL.

➢ Juvenile (118 to 236 cm TL)—At this time, there is no available information for the identification of EFH for this lifestage.

➢ Adult (≥237 cm TL)—EFH is designated for this lifestage as the shallow coastal waters to 25 m from Barnegat Inlet, NJ to Cape Lookout, NC and from St. Augustine, FL to Cape Canaveral, FL.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Sandbar Shark *(Carcharhinus plumbeus)*

Management—The sandbar shark is managed under the Large Coastal Shark MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—This species does not have an overfished status but is considered subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a). The IUCN Red List designates the northwest Atlantic stock as a lower risk but conservation dependent (Shark Specialist Group 2000c).

Distribution—Sandbar sharks are cosmopolitan in distribution, found in shallow coastal waters from Cape Cod, MA, southward to Brazil, including the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea but are most common from South Carolina to Florida and in the eastern Gulf of Mexico (Castro 1983; Branstetter 2002a).

Habitat Associations—This bottom-dwelling species is found in temperate to tropical waters over the continental shelf and in deepwater adjacent to the shelf break. Sandbar sharks are found in water depths ranging from the intertidal zone to 280 m during migration but are common in 20 to 65 m depths (Compagno 1984a; Knickle 1999a). Sandbar sharks avoid surf zones, coral reefs, or rough benthic substrates, preferring smooth substrates (Castro 1983; Compagno 1984a). It is common in inshore areas with mud or sand substrates such as estuaries, river mouths, and harbors but does not enter freshwater (Compagno 1984a).

Life History—The sandbar shark makes an extensive seasonal migration, where it moves to the northern part of its range in the summer and the southern part during the winter (Castro 1983). Seasonal temperature changes are the primary trigger for the migration; however, oceanographic features also influence this behavior (Compagno 1984a). Male sandbar sharks typically migrate earlier in the year and to deeper waters than females (Knickle 1999a). In the northwest Atlantic, mating occurs from May to June with young being born from March to August after a gestation period of approximately one year (Castro 1983; Knickle 1999a; NMFS 1999a). This species segregates by sex with large females dominating shallow, nursery areas from Delaware Bay to Cape Canaveral, FL, as well as the Gulf of Mexico (Castro 1983, 1993; McCandless et al. 2002). The Chesapeake Bay is regarded as one of the primary nursery grounds in the mid-Atlantic (Branstetter 2002a).

Common Prey Species—Sandbar sharks feed opportunistically on benthic prey, such as fishes (eels, skates, rays, and dogfish) and invertebrates (squid, octopus, bivalves, shrimp, and crabs). They feed all day but are most active at night (Knickle 1999a).

EFH Designations—(NMFS 2003a, 2006e; Figure D-53)

- Neonate (≤71 cm TL)—EFH for this lifestage is designated as shallow coastal areas seaward to 25 m from Montauk, Long Island, NY (72°W) south to Cape Canaveral, FL (80.5°W), except from the Virginia/Maryland border (37.8°N) south to Pamlico Sound, NC, where the seaward extent of the EFH is 17 NM from shore. Seasonally (summer), nursery areas within the shallow coastal waters from Great Bay, NJ to Cape Canaveral, FL, especially the Delaware and Chesapeake bays, are designated as EFH. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is in the Florida Keys and off western Florida.
- Juvenile (71 to 147 cm TL)—EFH for this lifestage is designated as all coastal and pelagic waters offshore from Cape Poge Bay and the south shore of Cape Cod, MA to Long Island, NY (north of 40°N and west of 70°W); shallow coastal areas out to the 25 m isobath from Barnegat Inlet, NJ (40°N) to Cape Canaveral, FL (27.5°N); and in the MAB (39° to 36°N) during the winter, the benthic areas underlying the shelf break between the 90 and 200 m isobaths. EFH excludes areas from 39.2°N off the coast of New Jersey south to 35.2°N off Cape Hatteras, NC (finger-like projection roughly following the 200 m isobath). Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is in the Florida Keys and off western Florida.

- Adult (≥147 cm TL)—EFH for this lifestage is shallow coastal waters to the 25 m isobath from Barnegat Inlet, NJ (40°N) to south of Cape Canaveral, FL at 27.5°N. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage, are areas north of Barnegat Inlet, NJ and regions off western Florida.

HAPC Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2003a; Figure D-53)

- All Lifestages—HAPC are designated in the shallow areas at the mouth of Great Bay, NJ, lower and middle Delaware Bay, lower Chesapeake Bay, MD, and near the Outer Banks, NC, in areas of Pamlico Sound adjacent to Hatteras and Ocracoke islands, and offshore of these barrier islands, since they represent important nursery and pupping grounds. None of these HAPC are located within the boundaries of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.

- Scalloped Hammerhead Shark (*Sphyrna lewini*)

Management—The scalloped hammerhead shark is managed under the Large Coastal Shark MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—Scalloped hammerhead sharks are found in warm-temperate to tropical waters worldwide over the continental shelf and slope (Castro 1983; Compagno 1984a). In the western Atlantic, the scalloped hammerhead's range extends from New Jersey to Brazil, as well as the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea (Bester 1999g).

Distribution—Scalloped hammerhead sharks are found in warm-temperate to tropical waters worldwide over the continental shelf and slope (Castro 1983; Compagno 1984a). In the western Atlantic, the scalloped hammerhead's range extends from New Jersey to Brazil, as well as the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea (Bester 1999h).

Habitat Associations—This species inhabits waters from the surface to depths of 275 m and is found close to shore, in bays and estuaries, preferring water temperatures of at least 22°C (Castro 1983; Compagno 1984a). Typically, scalloped hammerhead sharks spend the day close to shore and move to deeper waters at night to feed (Bester 1999h).

Life History—Scalloped hammerheads give birth once a year in the summer starting around June in shallow coastal nurseries found from Virginia to the Gulf of Mexico, including Bulls Bay, SC (Castro 1993; McCandless et al. 2002). This species forms large schools when it migrates seasonally north to south along the eastern U.S. coast (NMFS 1999a).

Common Prey Species—Scalloped hammerhead sharks consume a wide variety fishes, as well as invertebrates, and have been reported feeding only at night (Compagno 1984a).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2006e; Figure D-54)

- Neonate (≤62 cm TL)—EFH for this lifestage is designated as shallow coastal waters of the SAB from the shoreline to the 22 NM offshore from South Carolina to Florida (west of 79.5°W and north of 30°N). Additional EFH for this lifestage of the scalloped hammerhead is designated in the Gulf of Mexico.
- Juvenile (63 to 227 cm TL)—EFH for this lifestage is designated as all shallow coastal waters, from shoreline to the 200 m isobath, extending from 39°N southward to the vicinity of the Dry Tortugas and the Florida Keys (82°W). The Gulf of Mexico is also designated as EFH for this lifestage.
- Adult (≥250 cm TL)—EFH is designated for this lifestage is the SAB from 25 to 200 m from 36.5°N to 33°N; from 33°N south to 30°N from the 50 to 200 m isobath; and from 25 to 200 m from 30°N south to 28°N. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is in the Florida Keys.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Silky Shark *(Carcharhinus falciformis)*

  Management—The silky shark is managed under the Large Coastal Shark MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

  Status—This species is considered subject to overfishing, as well as being overfished (NMFS 2006a).

  Distribution—Silky sharks are found in the Pacific, Indian, and Atlantic oceans. In the western Atlantic, this species ranges from Massachusetts to southern Brazil, including the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea (Manooch 1988).

  Habitat Associations—The silky shark inhabits tropical to warm-temperate waters (23° to 24°C) from depths of 18 to 500 m and associates with deepwater reefs and shelf edges (Compagno 1984a). Nurseries have been recorded in offshore waters of Florida and Texas, as well as in the Caribbean (Compagno 1984a; McCandless et al. 2002). Campeche Bank is considered the primary nursery area in the region (NMFS 1999a). Adults are typically found further offshore than younger sharks. Neonates utilize reef habitats (Knickle 1999b).

  Life History—This species mates and gives birth to live young in late spring (May through June) during alternating years (Knickle 1999b). Juvenile silky sharks migrate inshore during the summer (NMFS 1999a).

  Common Prey Species—Silky sharks feed on fishes (mullet, mackerel, and tuna), crab, and squid (Compagno 1984a; Manooch 1988).

  EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2006e; Figure D-55)

  - Neonate (≤85 cm TL)—EFH is designated as waters off Cape Hatteras, NC between depths of 100 and 2,000 m; in shallow coastal waters just north and immediately east of Cape Hatteras; and between depths of 25 and 1,000 m from St. Augustine, FL south to Miami, FL (likely along the west edge of the Gulf Stream). Additional EFH designated for this lifestage of the silky shark is within the Gulf of Mexico.
  - Juvenile (86 to 231 cm TL)—EFH is designated from the mouth of the Chesapeake Bay south to the North Carolina/South Carolina border in waters from 50 to 2,000 m and from the North Carolina/South Carolina border south to Key West, FL paralleling the 200 m isobath. The Gulf of Mexico is also designated as EFH for this lifestage of the silky shark.
  - Adult (≥232 cm TL)—At this time, there is no available EFH identification for this lifestage.

  HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Spinner Shark *(Carchahinus brevipinna)*

  Management—The spinner shark is managed under the Large Coastal Shark MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

  Status—The IUCN lists the northwest Atlantic subpopulation of spinner shark as vulnerable or facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future (Burgess 2000). Spinner sharks are also considered overfished and subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006e).

  Distribution—Spinner sharks are found in the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian Oceans, as well as the Mediterranean Sea. In the western Atlantic, the spinner shark ranges from North Carolina to Argentina, including the northern Gulf of Mexico, Cuba, and the Bahamas (Manooch 1988).

Habitat Associations—The spinner shark ranges from inshore to offshore waters over continental and insular shelves and is typically found in depths ranging from of less than 30 m to depths of more 75 m (Compagno 1984a; Bester 1999i). Juveniles inhabit shallower waters, including lower portions of bays (Bester 1999i). Spinner shark nurseries have been recorded from Cape Hatteras, NC through the Gulf of Mexico, including Bulls Bay, SC (Castro 1993; McCandless et al. 2002).

Life History—The spinner shark is considered a highly migratory species that moves south and into deeper waters during autumn and winter months and inshore for reproducing or feeding in the spring and summer. They usually migrate in schools. In the Gulf of Mexico and off Florida, live young are born in spring to early summer (Compagno 1984a).

Common Prey Species—Spinner sharks feed on schooling fishes (sardines, herring, and anchovies), squid, skates, rays, and other sharks (Manooch 1988). This species is often seen in schools, leaping out of the water while spinning in pursuit of prey (Bester 1999i).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2006e; Figure D-56)

- Neonate (≤ 71 cm TL)—EFH is designated as shallow coastal waters seaward to the 25 m isobath from Cape Hatteras, NC (35.25°N) south around peninsular Florida, including Florida Bay and the Florida Keys, and north to 29.25°N. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is in the Gulf of Mexico.
- Juvenile (72 to 184 cm TL)—EFH is designated as shallow coastal waters to the 200 m isobath ranging from the Florida/Georgia border (30.7°N) south to Cape Kennedy, FL (28.5°N).
- Adult (≥185 cm TL)—EFH is designated as shallow coastal waters seaward to the 100 m isobath from 30°N to Cape Kennedy, FL (28.5°N).

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Swordfish *(Xiphias gladius)*

Management—The swordfish is managed under the Swordfish MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—According to current stock assessment and fishery evaluation reports by the NMFS (2006a), the north Atlantic swordfish stock is overfished, but overfishing is not occurring and the stock is in recovery. The north Atlantic stock is designated as endangered or facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future according to the IUCN Red List (Safina 1996b).

Distribution—Swordfish inhabit the tropical, temperate, and sometimes cold water regions of all the world's oceans and seas (Nakamura 1985). In the northwest Atlantic, they occur from Cape Breton Island, Nova Scotia to Jamaica, including Cuba and Bermuda. It is also common in the Gulf of St. Lawrence and on the Grand Banks. Its presence in the waters of the western Atlantic is generally restricted to the warmer seasons (Gusey 1981).

Habitat Associations—Eggs of swordfish are pelagic, buoyant, and present in offshore waters throughout the year but are most common between April and November (Palko et al. 1981; Gardieff 1999c; Govoni et al. 2003). The distribution of larval swordfish is relative to surface water temperatures, with larvae commonly occurring at temperatures ranging between 24° and 29°C (Palko et al. 1981; Govoni et al. 2003). The greatest densities of larvae in the northwest Atlantic occur between the Straits of Florida and Cape Hatteras, NC (Palko et al. 1981). Adults are oceanic, midwater fish that primarily occupy depths of 200 to 600 m, although they can be found throughout the water column ranging from the surface to depths of 650 m. They also display a preference for water temperatures of 18° to 22°C but can tolerate a range from 5° to 27°C (Gardieff 1999c).

Life History—Swordfish spawn year-round in the northwest Atlantic, with variations in occurrence depending on area and season (Palko et al. 1981; Arocha 1997; Govoni et al. 2003). Peak spawning occurs between April and September (Palko et al. 1981; Nakamura 1985). It is believed that spawning occurs near the Yucatan Channel and the Straits of Florida and also south of the Sargasso Sea (Gusey 1981; Arocha 1997). Water temperatures in spawning grounds typically exceed 20° to 22°C, and spawning occurs at salinities of 33.8 to 37.4 psu and depths up to 75 m (Nakamura 1985; Gardieff 1999c). In the northwest Atlantic, as the waters warm in the summer months, swordfish migrate north and east along the edge of the continental shelf. They return south and west in autumn. There is also evidence suggesting that other groups of swordfish may migrate toward the continental shelf from deeper waters in the summer and return in the fall (Gusey 1981).

Common Prey Species—Swordfish are opportunistic predators that prey primarily upon pelagic fishes but also feed on squid and demersal fishes. They use their sword to slash and obtain larger prey, while consuming smaller prey whole (Gardieff 1999c).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2006e; Figure D-57)

- Spawning Adult, Egg, and Larva—EFH is designated from offshore Cape Hatteras, NC (~35°N) south around peninsular Florida and through the Gulf of Mexico to the U.S./Mexico border from 200 m to the U.S. EEZ boundary. EFH is associated with the Loop Current boundaries in the Gulf and the western edge of the Gulf Stream in the Atlantic. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is in the Caribbean Sea.
- Juvenile and Subadult (<180 cm LJFL)—EFH is designated in pelagic waters warmer than 18°C from the surface to a depth of 500 m: from offshore Manasquan Inlet, NJ (40°N) east to 73°N and south to off Georgia (31.5°N) between the 25 and 2,000 m isobaths, and from 100 m to the U.S. EEZ boundary (south and east) extending from Cape Canaveral, FL (~29°N) around peninsular Florida. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is in the Gulf of Mexico.
- Adult (≥180 cm LJFL)—EFH is designated as pelagic waters warmer than 13°C from the surface to 500 m extending from the southeast of Cape Cod, MA to Biscayne Bay, FL (25.5°N), from the 100 to 2,000 m isobath or the U.S. EEZ boundary (whichever is closer to shore). Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is in the Gulf of Mexico.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Tiger Shark *(Galeocerdo cuvier)*

Management—The tiger shark is managed under the Large Coastal Shark MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—This species is considered overfished in the northwest Atlantic, as well as subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a). The IUCN Red List has designated the species as one of lower risk but near threatened (Simpfendorfer 2000).

Distribution—Tiger sharks are found throughout the temperate and tropical coastal waters of the world, with the exception of the Mediterranean Sea (Knickle 1999c; Natanson et al. 1999). In the northwest Atlantic, they are year-round residents in the coastal waters of Florida but make seasonal migrations ranging from Cuba to as far north as Nova Scotia (Natanson et al. 1999).

Habitat Associations—Tiger sharks are present over a wide variety of marine habitats but display a preference for cloudy or turbid coastal waters (Compagno 1984a; Knickle 1999c; Ferrari and Ferrari 2002). They are found across the continental shelf, as well as in estuaries, harbors, and inlets, and from surface waters to depths of up to 350 m (Compagno 1984a; Knickle 1999c). They also prefer waters with temperatures exceeding 18°C (Branstetter 2002a). Tiger sharks are nocturnal, hunting in shallow waters of bays, estuaries, and lagoons, then returning to deeper waters during daylight hours (Compagno 1984a; Tricas et al. 1997; Ferrari and Ferrari 2002).

Life History—Tiger sharks are ovoviviparous. In the northern hemisphere, mating occurs between March and May, and pupping is reported to occur from April to June of the following year (Compagno 1984a; Knickle 1999c). This species undergoes extensive seasonal migrations throughout the north Atlantic, traveling distances of 1,242 NM to as far as Cuba and Africa (Natanson et al. 1999; Ferrari and Ferrari 2002).

Common Prey Species—Tiger sharks feed on a wider variety of prey than most other shark species, including other sharks, skates, fishes (goosefish and bluefish), squid, horseshoe crab, crab, conch, birds, marine mammals, and sea turtles (Branstetter 2002a).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a; Figure D-58)

- Neonate (≤90 cm TL)—EFH is designated as shallow coastal areas out to 200 m, from offshore Montauk, Long Island, NY south to Cape Canaveral, FL. EFH is also designated for this lifestage of the tiger shark in the Gulf of Mexico.
- Juvenile (91 to 296 cm TL)—EFH is designated as shallow coastal areas, 25 to 100 m isobath, from offshore Montauk, Long Island, NY to north of the mouth of the Chesapeake Bay; from south of the Chesapeake Bay to south of Cape Lookout, NC from shore to the 100 m isobath; from Cape Lookout south to the Florida/Georgia border from the 25 to 100 m isobath; and from the Florida/Georgia border south around peninsular Florida from shore to the 100 m isobath. Additional EFH is also designated for this lifestage in the Gulf of Mexico and off Puerto Rico.
- Adult (≥297 cm TL)—EFH is designated offshore from the Chesapeake Bay south to Ft. Lauderdale, FL, along the western edge of the Gulf Stream. Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is in the Gulf of Mexico and off Puerto Rico.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- White Marlin *(Tetrapturus albidus)*

Management—The white marlin is managed under the Billfish MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—The stock in the northwest Atlantic Ocean is overfished and overfishing is occurring NMFS (2004c, 2006a). Additionally, the NMFS (2004a) has included the Atlantic stock of white marlin on their species of concern list.

Distribution—White marlin are an oceanic, epipelagic species that occurs only in the Atlantic (NMFS 1999b). They are commonly distributed from Cuba, the Bahamas, and southern Florida to the Delaware Bay in the northwest Atlantic but extend as far as southern New England in lesser abundance during warmer months (Collette 2002b).

Habitat Associations—White marlin prefer oceanic waters exceeding 100 m in depth, with temperatures between 20° and 29°C and salinities of 35 to 37 psu (Gardieff 1999d; Collette 2002b). They often occur in the upper 20 to 30 m of the water column but are found down to depths of 200 to 250 m when the thermocline is deep (NMFS 1999b). In addition, they typically frequent oceanic currents with flow rates of 0.8 to 3.7 kilometers per hour and are often associated with rip currents, weed lines, areas of upwellings, and regions with benthic geographic features including drop-offs, shoals, and submarine canyons (Gardieff 1999d; NMFS 1999b).

Life History—The spawning season for white marlin occurs between March and June, with females spawning up to four times per season. Spawning occurs in deep oceanic waters with surface temperatures between 20° and 29°C and high salinities in excess of 35 psu (Gardieff 1999d; NMFS 1999a). White marlin migrate extensively over large distances, some recorded making trans-Atlantic movements (NMFS 1999b).

Common Prey Species—In the Atlantic, white marlin feed primarily on round herring and squid but also consume jacks, mackerels, triggerfish, filefish, dolphinfish, flyingfish, and crabs (NMFS 1999a). As with other billfishes, white marlins are suspected to use their spear to stun prey species (Manooch 1988).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999b, 2006e; Figure D-59)

- Spawning Adult, Egg, Larva—At this time, there is no available information to identify this EFH lifestage.
- Juvenile (20 to 158 cm LJFL)—EFH is designated as pelagic waters with temperatures warmer than 22°C, from 50 to 2,000 m, extending from the U.S. EEZ at Georges Bank (41°N) south to offshore Miami, FL (25.25°N). Additional EFH designated for this lifestage is in the Gulf of Mexico.
- Adult (≥159 cm LJFL)—EFH is designated as pelagic waters with temperatures warmer than 22°C that occurs offshore of the northeast U.S coast (33.75°N to 39.25°N) from the 50 to 2,000 m isobaths and extending along 39.25°N out to the EEZ boundary; off the coast of South Carolina in the Charleston Bump area starting from the 200 m isobath (32.25°N) east to 78.25°W, south to 31°N, west to 79.5°W, and north to the 200 m isobath; and offshore Cape Canaveral, FL from the 200 m isobath, east at 29°N to the U.S. EEZ boundary, south along the 200 m isobath, and out to the U.S. EEZ boundary to 82°W, in the vicinity of Key West, FL. The Gulf of Mexico is also designated as EFH for this lifestage.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- White Shark *(Carcharodon carcharias*)

Management—The white shark is managed under the Prohibited Species MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—This species is designated as vulnerable or facing a high risk of extinction in the wild on the IUCN Red List (Fergusson et al. 2000). Currently, this species has an overfished status, as well as being subject to overfishing (NMFS 2006a).

Distribution—White sharks are found worldwide in temperate, subtropical, and tropical waters. In the northwest Atlantic, it occurs from Newfoundland to Florida, the northern Gulf of Mexico, the Bahamas, and Cuba, as well as from Brazil to Argentina (Castro 1983; Compagno 1984b). The white shark is rare south of Cape Hatteras, NC and in the Gulf of Mexico except during the winter (Castro 1983).

Habitat Associations—This species is principally an epipelagic shark but can be found utilizing depths of over 250 m ranging from the surfzone to offshore, including oceanic islands (Castro 1983; Compagno 1984b; Martins and Knickle 1999). This shark commonly occurs in areas of small coastal archipelagos inhabited by pinnipeds (main prey), offshore reefs, banks, and shoals, as well as rocky headlands where deeper water is closer to shore (Martins and Knickle 1999). Larger individuals are more common in subtropical and tropical waters than smaller white sharks (<3 m in length), which typically are confined to temperate waters (Compagno 1984b).

Life History—Very little is known of the white shark's reproductive behavior and habitat association, but records indicate that live young are born in temperate shelf waters during the spring to late summer (Martins and Knickle 1999). The white shark inhabits waters over the continental shelf in the summer and migrates to warmer waters during the winter months (Castro 1983).

Common Prey Species—White shark feed on marine mammals, such as seals, sea lions, dolphins, and also fishes during the day (sharks, tuna, and rays) (Martins and Knickle 1999; Branstetter 2002a). They have also been reported to feed on sea turtles and have a complex predatory behavior repertoire (Martins and Knickle 1999).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a; Figure D-60)

- Neonate (≤166 cm TL)—Currently, there is no available information to designate this lifestage.
- Juvenile (167 to 479 cm TL)—Offshore northern NJ and Long Island, NY inpelagic waters from the 25 to 100 m isobath in the NY Bight area bounded to the east at 71.5°W and to the south at 39.5°N. It also has EFH offshore Cape Canaveral, FL between the 25 and 100 m isobaths from the 29.5°N south to 28°N.
- Adult (≥480 cm TL)—At this time there is no available information to designate this lifestage.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

- Yellowfin Tuna (*Thunnus albacares*)

Management— The Atlantic yellowfin tuna is managed under the Tuna MU through the Final Consolidated Atlantic HMS FMP (NMFS 2006e).

Status—According to current stock assessment and fishery evaluation reports by the NMFS (2004c), the Atlantic yellowfin tuna is approaching an overfished condition (i.e., estimated that the fishery will become overfished within 2 years) (NMFS 2006a). Additionally, this species is listed as lower risk/least concern on the IUCN Red List (Punt 1996).

Distribution—Yellowfin tuna are circumglobal in tropical and subtropical seas of the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian oceans but are absent from the Mediterranean Sea. In the western Atlantic, yellowfin tuna range from 45°N to 40°S, including the area from Massachusetts to Brazil as well as Bermuda, the Gulf of Mexico, and the Caribbean (Gusey 1981; Gardieff 1999e; NMFS 1999a).

Habitat Associations—Yellowfin tuna are an epipelagic, oceanic species found in waters with temperatures between 18° and 31°C. Adult yellowfin tuna typically only utilize the top 100 m of the water column due to their intolerance of oxygen concentrations less than 2 milliliters per liter (ml/l) (Collette and Nauen 1983). It is a schooling species, segregated primarily by size in groups of its own species, with other tuna species (Atlantic skipjack and Atlantic bigeye tuna), or floating objects (e.g., driftwood, seagrass, boats, and marine mammals) (Collette and Nauen 1983; Gardieff 1999e). As this species moves away from the surface, it is less likely to be found aggregating in schools. Larger tuna typically inhabit deeper waters and higher latitudes than smaller individuals, which are found closer to shore (NMFS 1999a). Larval distribution is restricted to waters above the thermocline with temperatures above 24°C and salinities greater than 33 psu (Collette and Nauen 1983; NMFS 1999a).

Life History—Spawning occurs throughout the year in waters with temperatures greater than 26°C, but peaks in the summer, in the Atlantic Ocean between 15°N and 15°S and also in the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea (Gardieff 1999e; NMFS 1999a). Larvae have been previously collected in the northern Gulf of Mexico, along the Mississippi Delta, in September (NMFS 1999b). Movement patterns for this HMS are not well documented, but tuna spawned in the Gulf of Guinea, off central Africa, are believed to migrate toward the U.S. coast (Collette and Nauen 1983; NMFS 1999a).

Common Prey Species—Yellowfin tuna feed opportunistically on fishes (dolphin, pilchard, anchovy, flying fish, mackerel, lanternfish, squirrelfish, and other tuna species) and invertebrates (cuttlefish, squid, octopus, shrimp, lobster, and crabs) from the surface to depths of 100 m (Gardieff 1999e;

NMFS 1999a). *Sargassum* and *Sargassum*-associated species have been recorded in yellowfin tuna stomach contents (NMFS 1999a). They are considered sight-oriented predators that feed during daylight hours (Gardieff 1999e).

EFH Designations (NMFS 1999a, 2006e; Figure D-61)

- Spawning Adult, Egg, and Larva—EFH is designated in offshore waters from 200 m seaward to the U.S. EEZ boundary, from 28.25°N south around peninsular Florida into the Gulf of Mexico to the U.S./Mexico border. The Caribbean Sea is also designated as EFH for this lifestage of the yellowfin tuna.
- Juvenile and Subadult (<110 cm fork length)—EFH is designated as pelagic waters from the surface to 100 m, with a temperature between 18° and 31°C from offshore Cape Cod, MA (70°W) southward to Jekyll Island, GA (31°N) between the 500 and 2,000 m isobaths and off Cape Canaveral, FL (29°N) south to the U.S. EEZ (approximately 28.25°N) and from 79°W east to the U.S EEZ (approximately 76.75°N). Additional EFH is designated for this lifestage in the Gulf of Mexico.
- Adult (≥110 cm fork length)—EFH is designated as pelagic waters from the surface to 100 m, with temperatures between 18° and 31°C, from offshore Cape Cod, MA (70°W) southward to Jekyll Island, GA (31°N) between the 500 and 2,000 m isobaths and off Cape Canaveral, FL (29°N) south to the U.S. EEZ (approximately 28.25°N) and from 79°W east to the U.S EEZ (approximately 76.75°N). The Gulf of Mexico is designated as EFH for this lifestage as well.

HAPC Designations—No HAPC are identified for this species.

5.4 LITERATURE CITED

Abbas, L.E. 1978. The North Carolina charter boat industry. Pages 89-95 in H. Clepper, ed. Marine Recreational Fisheries 3: Proceedings of the Second Annual Marine Recreational Fisheries Symposium. 29-30 March 1978. Norfolk, Virginia. Washington, D.C.: Sport Fishing Institute.

Able, K.W. 2002. Tilefishes. Family Malacanthidae. Pages 397-400 in B.B. Collette and G. Klein-MacPhee, eds. Bigelow and Schroeder's fishes of the Gulf of Maine. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Able, K.W., C.B. Grimes, R.A. Cooper, and J.R. Uzmann. 1982. Burrow construction and behavior of tilefish, *Lopholatilus chamaeleonticeps*, in the Hudson submarine canyon. Environmental Biology of Fishes 7:199-205.

ACCSP (Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program). 2006a. Commercial fisheries effort data along the U.S. Atlantic coast; Excel spreadsheet. Data received April 2006 from Geoffrey White. Washington, D.C.: Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program.

ACCSP (Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program). 2006b. Recreational fishing data along the U.S. Atlantic coast; Excel spreadsheet. Data received April 2006 from Geoffrey White. Washington, D.C.: Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program.

Allen, T.B. 1999. The shark almanac. New York: Lyons Press.

Allen, D.M., H. Hudson, and T.J. Costello. 1980. Postlarval shrimp (*Penaeus*) in the Florida Keys: Species, size, and seasonal abundance. Bulletin of Marine Science 30(1):21-33.

Amato, R.V. 1994. Sand and gravel maps of the Atlantic continental shelf with explanatory text. OCS Monograph MMS 93-0037. New Orleans: Minerals Management Service.

Anderson, W.W., and M.J. Linder. 1971. Contributions to the biology of the royal red shrimp, *Hymenopenaeus robustus* Smith. Fishery Bulletin 69(2):313-336.

Appeldoorn, R.S., G.D. Dennis, and O.M. Lopez. 1987. Review of shared demersal resources of Puerto Rico and the Lesser Antilles Region. FAO Fisheries Report 383:36-104.

Arnold, W.S. 1995. Summary report on the calico scallop (*Argopecten gibbus*) fishery of the southeastern United States. St. Petersburg, Florida: Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Florida Marine Research Institute.

Arnold, W.S. 2000. Status report on the calico scallop (*Argopecten gibbus*) fishery in Florida. St. Petersburg, Florida: Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Florida Marine Research Institute.

Arocha, F. 1997. The reproductive dynamics of swordfish *Xiphias gladius* L. and management implications in the northwestern Atlantic. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Miami.

ASMFC (Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission). 2002. Amendment 2 to the interstate fishery management plan for red drum. Washington, D.C.: Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission.

ASMFC (Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission). 2003. Species profile: Summer flounder. Washington, D.C.: Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission.

ASMFC (Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission). 2005a. Species profile: Scup. ASMFC Fisheries Focus 14(3): 1-2.

ASMFC (Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission). 2005b. 2005 Review of the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission Fishery Management Plan Scup (*Stenotomus chrysops*). Washington, D.C.: Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission.

ASMFC (Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission). 2006. Review of the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission's interstate fishery management plan for spiny dogfish (*Squalus acanthias*), May 2004-April 2005 fishing year. Washington, D.C.: Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission.

Barzan, K. 1999. Biological profiles: night shark. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 23 February 2005. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/NightShark/NightShark.html.

Baum, J., E. Medina, J.A. Musick, and M. Smale. 2005. *Carcharhinus longimanus*. 2006 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Accessed 11 May 2006. www.redlist.org.

Beardsley, G.L., Jr. 1967. Age, growth, and reproduction of the dolphin, *Coryphaena hippurus*, in the Straits of Florida. Copeia 1967:441-451.

Berrien, P., and J. Sibunka. 1999. Distribution patterns of fish eggs in the U.S. northeast continental shelf ecosystem, 1977-1987. NOAA Technical Report 145:1-310.

Bester, C. 1999a. Biological profiles: cobia. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 29 December 2004. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/Cobia/Cobia.html.

Bester, C. 1999b. Biological profiles: gray snapper. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 26 January 2005. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/GraySnapper/Graysnapper.html.

Bester, C. 1999c. Biological profiles: northern red snapper. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 29 December 2004. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/RedSnapper/RedSnapper.html.

Bester, C. 1999d. Biological profiles: bignose shark. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 16 February 2005. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/BignoseShark/BignoseShark.html.

Bester, C. 1999e. Biological profiles: blacknose shark. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 16 February 2005. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/BlacknoseShark/BlacknoseShark.html.

Bester, C. 1999f. Biological profiles: great hammerhead shark. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 24 February 2005. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/GreatHammerhead/GHammerhead.html.

Bester, C. 1999g. Biological profiles: oceanic whitetip shark. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 24 February 2005. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/OceanicWT/OceanicWT.html.

Bester, C. 1999h. Biological profiles: scalloped hammerhead shark. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 28 February 2005. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/Schammer/ScallopedHammerhead.html.

Bester, C. 1999i. Biological profiles: spinner shark. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 24 February 2005. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/spinnershark/spinnershark.html.

Bielsa, L.M., W.H. Murdich, and R.F. Labisky. 1983. Species profiles: Life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates (South Florida)—pink shrimp. USFWS Biological Report 82(11.17). Vicksburg, Mississippi: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

BLM (Bureau of Land Management). 1976. Final environmental impact statement: Proposed 1978 Outer Continental Shelf oil and gas lease sale, South Atlantic, Outer Continental Shelf sale number 43, visual number 4N & 4S: Undersea features and natural vegetation. New Orleans: Bureau of Land Management, Cape Hatteras Planning Unit, New Orleans Outer Continental Shelf Office.

BLM (Bureau of Land Management). 1981. Final report. South Atlantic OCS area living marine resource study. Volume I. An investigation of live bottom habitat south of Cape Fear, North Carolina. Contract AA551-CT9-27. Prepared for Bureau of Land Management, Washington D.C. by Marine Resources Research Institute, Charleston, South Carolina and Coastal Resources Division, Brunsick, Georgia.

Block, B.A., H. Dewar, S.B. Blackwell, T.D. Williams, E.D. Prince, C.J. Farwell, A. Boustany, S.L.H Teo, A. Seitz, A. Walli, and E. Fudge. 2001. Migratory movements, depth preferences, and thermal biology of Atlantic bluefin tuna. Science 293:1310-1314.

Bortone, S.A., and J.L. Williams. 1986. Species profiles: life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates (south Florida) gray, lane, mutton, and yellowtail snappers. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior.

Branstetter, S. 2002a. Requiem sharks. Family Carcharhinidae. Pages 38-45 in B.B. Collette and G. Klein-MacPhee, eds. Bigelow and Schroeder's fishes of the Gulf of Maine. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Branstetter, S. 2002b. Mackerel sharks. Family Lamnidae. Pages 27-32 in B.B. Collette and G. Klein-MacPhee, eds. Bigelow and Schroeder's fishes of the Gulf of Maine. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Briggs, J.C. 1974. Marine Zoogeography. New York, New York, McGraw Hill book company.

Brill, R., M. Lutcavage, G. Metzger, P. Bushnell, M. Arendt, J. Lucy, C. Watson, and D. Foley. 2002. Horizontal and vertical movements of juvenile bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*), in relation to oceanographic conditions of the western North Atlantic, determined with ultrasonic telemetry. Fishery Bulletin 100:155-167.

Bumpus, D.F. 1973. A description of the circulation on the continental shelf of the east coast of the U.S. Progress in Oceanography 6:111-157.

Burgess, G.H. 2000. *Carcharhinus brevipinna* (Northwest Atlantic subpopulation). 2004 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Accessed 23 February 2005. http://www.redlist.org.

Burgess, G.H. 2002. Spiny dogfishes. Family Squalidae. Pages 48-57 in B.B. Collette, and G. Klein-MacPhee, eds. Bigelow and Schroeder's Fishes of the Gulf of Maine. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Burgess, G.H., and S. Branstetter. 2000. *Carcharhinus limbatus* (Northwest Atlantic subpopulation). 2004 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Accessed 28 February 2005. www.redlist.org.

Butler, J.N., B.F. Morris, J. Cadwallader, and A.W. Stoner. 1983. Studies of *Sargassum* and the *Sargassum* community. Bermuda Biological Station Special Publication 22:1-85.

Burton, M.L. 2001. Age, growth, and mortality of gray snapper, *Lutjanus griseus*, from the east coast of Florida. Fishery Bulletin 99:254-265.

Byrne, C.J., and T.R. Azarovitz. 1982. Summer flounder *Paralichthys dentatus*. Pages 109-113 in M.D. Grosslein and T.R. Azarovitz, eds. Fish distribution. Marine EcoSystem Analysis (MESA) New York Bight Atlas Monograph 15. Albany: New York Sea Grant Institute.

Camhi, M., J.A. Musick, and C. Simpendorfer. 2000. *Carcharhinus obscurus* (Northwest Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico subpopulation). 2004 IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 13 December 2004. http://www.redlist.org.

Cass-Calay, S.L., and M. Bahnick. 2002. Status of the yellowedge grouper fishery in the Gulf of Mexico. Miami: Southeast Fisheries Science Center.

Castro, J.I. 1983. The sharks of North American waters. College Station: Texas A&M University Press.

Castro, J.I. 1993. The shark nursery of Bulls Bay, South Carolina, with a review of the shark nurseries of the southeastern coast of the U.S. Environmental Biology of Fishes 38:37-48.

CBP (Chesapeake Bay Program). 2004. Cobia. Accessed 29 December 2004. http://www. chesapeakebay.net/ cobia.htm.

CG (Coastal Guide). 2005. North Carolina saltwater and freshwater fishing tournament calendar. Accessed 16 November 2005. http://www.coastalguide.com/fishing/ncfishingtournaments.shtml.

Chan Tak-Chuen, T, and B. Padovani Ferrera. 2006. *Epinephelus itajara*. 2006. IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 11 May 2006. http://www.redlist.org.

Chase, B.C. 2002. Differences in the diet of Atlantic bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) at five seasonal feeding grounds on the New England continental shelf. Fishery Bulletin 100(2):168-180.

Chuen, N.W. and G. Huntsman. 2005a. *Epinephelus drummondhayi*. 2006. IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 11 May 2006. http://www.redlist.org.

Chuen, N.W., and G, Huntsman. 2005b. *Epinephelus nigritus*. 2006. IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 11 May 2006. http://www.redlist.org.

Coastal Guide. 2007. North Carolina Coastal Fishing Tournaments calendar. Accessed 12 June 2007. http://www.coastalguide.com/fishing/NC_FISHCAL_J-D.shtml.

Cohen, E. 1982. Spiny dogfish *Squalus acanthias*. Pages 49-50 in M.D. Grosslein and T.R. Azarovitz, eds. Fish distribution. Marine EcoSystem Analysis (MESA) New York Bight Atlas Monograph 15. Albany: New York Sea Grant Institute.

Coleman, F.C., C.C. Koenig, G.R. Huntsman, J.A. Musick, A.M. Eklund, J.C. McGovern, R.W. Chapman, G.R. Sedberry, and C.B. Grimes. 2000. Long-lived reef fishes: the grouper-snapper complex. Fisheries 25(3):14-20.

Collette, B.B. 2002a. Mackerels, Family Scombridae. Pages 516-536 in B.B. Collette and G. Klein-MacPhee, eds. Bigelow and Schroeder's Fishes of the Gulf of Maine. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Collette, B.B. 2002b. Billfishes. Family Istiophoridae. Pages 514-516 in B.B. Collette and G. Klein-MacPhee, eds. Bigelow and Schroeder's Fishes of the Gulf of Maine. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Collette, B.B., and C.E. Nauen. 1983. FAO species Catalogue Volume 2 - Scombrids of the world: An annotated and illustrated catalogue of tunas, mackerels, bonitos and related species known to date. FAO Fisheries Synopsis Number 125, Volume 2. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Compagno, L.J.V. 1984a. FAO species catalogue. Volume 4 - Sharks of the world: An annotated and illustrated catalogue of shark species known to date. Part 2: Carcharhiniformes. FAO Fisheries Synopsis Volume 4, Part 2. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Compagno, L.J.V. 1984b. FAO species catalogue. Volume 4 - Sharks of the world: An annotated and illustrated catalogue of shark species known to date. Part 1: Hexanchiformes and Lamniformes. FAO Fisheries Synopsis Volume 4, Part 1. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Compagno, L.J.V. 2001. Sharks of the world: An annotated and illustrated catalogue of shark species known to date. Volume 2: Bullhead, mackerel and carpet sharks (Heterodontiformes, Lamniformes and Orectolobiformes). FAO Species Catalogue for Fishery Purposes. Number 1, Volume 2. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Cummings, N.J. 2003. Information on the general biology of silk and Queen snapper in the Caribbean. Miami: Southeast Fisheries Science Center, Sustainable Fisheries Division.

CyberAngler. 2007. Fishing tournaments. Accessed 11 May 2007. http://cyberangler.com/tournaments/.

de Sylva, D.P., and P.R. Breder. 1997. Reproduction, gonad histology, and spawning cycles of North Atlantic billfish (Istiophoridae*).* Bulletin of Marine Science 60:668-697.

Delius, B., and A. Morgan. 1999. Biological profiles: Atlantic sharpnose shark. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 2 December 2004. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/AtlanticSharpnoseShark/AtlSharpnoseshark.html.

Ditty, J.G., and R.F. Shaw. 1992. Larval development, distribution, and ecology of cobia, *Rachycentron canadum* (Family: Rachycentridae) in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Fishery Bulletin 90:668-677.

Ditty, J.G., R.F. Shaw, C.B. Grimes, and J.S. Cope. 1994. Larval development, distribution, and abundance of common dolphin, *Coryphaena hippurus*, and pompano dolphin, *C. equiselis* (family: Coryphaenidae), in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Fishery Bulletin 92:275-291.

Dixon, R.L. 1975. Evidence for mesopelagic feeding by the vermilion snapper, *Rhomboplites aurorubens*. The Journal of the Elisha Mitchell Scientific Society 91(4):240-242.

Domeier, M.L., C. Koenig, and F. Coleman. 1996. Reproductive biology to the gray snapper (*Lutjanus griseus*), with notes on spawning for other western Atlantic snappers (Lutjanidae). Pages 189-201 in F. Arreguín-Sánchez, J.L. Munro, M.C. Balgos, and D. Pauly, eds. Biology and culture of tropical groupers and snappers. ICLARM Conference Proceedings.

Dooley, J.K. 1972. Fishes associated with the pelagic *Sargassum* complex, with a discussion of the *Sargassum* community*.* Contributions in Marine Science 16:1-32.

Driggers, W.B., III, D.A. Oakley, G. Ulrich, J.K. Carlson, B.J. Cullum, and J.M. Dean. 2004. Reproductive biology of *Carcharhinus acronotus* in the coastal waters of South Carolina. Journal of Fish Biology 64:1540-1551.

Fahay, M.P., P.L. Berrien, D.L. Johnson, and W.W. Morse. 1999. Essential fish habitat source document: Bluefish, *Pomatomus saltatrix*, life history and habitat characteristics. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE-144:1-68.

Fergusson, I., L. Compagno, and M. Marks. 2000. *Carchardon carcharias*. 2004 IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 05 June 2007. http://www.iucnredlist.org/search/details.php/3855/summ.

Ferrari, A., and A. Ferrari. 2002. Sharks. Toronto: Firefly Books Ltd.

FFWCC (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission). 2005. Florida's artificial reef coordinates; Data. Received March 2005 from Bill Horn. Tallahassee, Florida: Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Artificial Reef Program.

Fish4Fun. 2005. Fishing tournaments and boating events calendar. Accessed 14 November 2005. http://www.fish4fun.com/tourname.htm.

Fishing Works. 2005. Fishing Tournaments-Georgia. Accessed 17 November 2005. http://www.fishingworks.com/tournaments/location.cfm/GA?letter=W&sort2=city&sort3=list_title.

Florida Sportsman. 2005. Tournaments. Accessed 31 October 2005. http://www.floridasportsman.com /tournaments.

FMRI (Florida Marine Research Institute). 2003a. Calico scallop, *Argopecten gibbus*. Accessed 17 July 2006. http://research.myfwc.com/features/.

FMRI (Florida Marine Research Institute). 2003b. Cobia, *Rachycentron canadum*. Accessed 28 December 2004. http://research.myfwc.com/features/.

FMRI (Florida Marine Research Institute). 2003c. Dolphin, *Coryphaena hippurus*. Accessed 28 December 2004. http://research.myfwc.com/features/.

Fordham S., S.L. Fowler, R. Coelho, K.J. Goldman, and M. Francis. 2006. *Squalus acanthias* (Northwest Atlantic population). 2006 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Accessed 11 May 2006. http://www.redlist.org.

Francesconi, J. 2005. Personal communication via email between Dr. James Francesconi, Artificial Reef Coordinator, North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries, Morehead City, North Carolina, and Dr. Amy R. Scholik, Geo-Marine, Inc., Newport News, Virginia, 13 June.

Franks, J.S., J.R. Warren, and M.V. Buchanan. 1999. Age and growth of cobia, *Rachycentron canadum*, from the northeastern Gulf of Mexico. Fisheries Bulletin 97:459-471.

Freeman, B.L., and S.C. Turner. 1982. Tilefish *Lopholatilus chamaeleonticeps*. Pages 83-86 in M.D. Grosslein and T.R. Azarovitz, eds. Fish distribution. Marine EcoSystem Analysis (MESA) New York Bight Atlas Monograph 15. Albany: New York Sea Grant Institute.

Freeman, B.L. and L.A. Walford. 1976a. Angler's guide to the United States Atlantic coast: Fish, fishing grounds, and fishing facilities. Section 7: False Cape, Virginia to Altamaha Sound, Georgia. Seattle: National Marine Fisheries Service.

Freeman, B.L. and L.A. Walford. 1976b. Angler's guide to the United States Atlantic coast: Fish, fishing grounds, and fishing facilities. Section 7: Altamaha Sound, Georgia to Fort Pierce Inlet, Florida. Seattle: National Marine Fisheries Service.

Gardieff, S. 1999a. Biological profiles: Atlantic blue marlin. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 1 April 2005. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/BlueMarlin/ BlueMarlin.html.

Gardieff, S. 1999b. Biological profiles: sailfish. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 23 February 2005. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/gallery/descript/sailfish/sailfish.html.

Gardieff, S. 1999c. Biological profiles: swordfish. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 1 April 2004. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/Swordfish/Swordfish.html.

Gardieff, S. 1999d. Biological profiles: white marlin. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 1 April 2004. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/WhiteMarlin/WhiteMarlin.html.

Gardieff, S. 1999e. Biological profiles: yellowfin tuna. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 1 April 2004. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/YellowfinTuna/YellowfinTuna.html.

Garrick, J.A.F. 1982. Sharks of the Genus *Carcharhinus*. 1982. NOAA Technical Report NMFS Circular 445:1-194.

GDAIS (General Dynamics Advanced Information Systems). 2005. Global maritime boundaries database. Herndon, Virginia: General Dynamics.

GDNR (Georgia Department of Natural Resources). 2001. Georgia's offshore artificial reefs and the Gray's Reef National Marine Sanctuary and U.S. Navy towers: Descriptions and coordinates. Brunswick: Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Coastal Resources Division.

GDNR (Georgia Department of Natural Resources). 2005. Georgia's offshore artificial reef brochure corrections & updates. Brunswick, Georgia: Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Coastal Resources Division.

GDNR (Georgia Department of Natural Resources). 2006. Georgia's shrimp fishery: Management for the 21st century. Brunswick, Georgia: Georgia Department of Natural Resources.

General Oceanics Inc., 1986. Blake Plateau current measurement study. New Orleans, Louisiana: Minerals Management Service.

Gledhill, C.T., and J. Lyczkowski-Schultz. 2000. Indices of larval king mackerel (*Scomberomorus cavalla*) abundance in the Gulf of Mexico for use in population assessments. Fisheries Bulletin 98:684-691.

GMFMC (Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council). 1998. Generic amendment for addressing essential fish habitat requirements in the following fishery management plans of the Gulf of Mexico: shrimp fishery of the Gulf of Mexico, U.S. waters; red drum fishery of the Gulf of Mexico; reef fish fishery of the Gulf of Mexico; coastal migratory pelagic resources (mackerels) in the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic; stone crab fishery of the Gulf of Mexico; spiny lobster in the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic; coral and coral reefs of the Gulf of Mexico (includes environmental assessment). Tampa, Florida: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council.

GMFMC (Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council). 2004a. Final environmental impact statement for the generic essential fish habitat amendment to the following fishery management plans of the Gulf of Mexico (GOM): shrimp fishery of the Gulf of Mexico; red drum fishery of the Gulf of Mexico; reef fish fishery of the Gulf of Mexico; stone crab fishery of the Gulf of Mexico; coral and coral reef fishery of the Gulf of Mexico; spiny lobster fishery of the Gulf of Mexico and south Atlantic; coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and south Atlantic Volumes I and II. Tampa, Florida: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council.

GMFMC (Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council) and SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 1982. Fishery management plan, environmental impact statement, and regulatory review for spiny lobster in the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic. Tampa, Florida and Charleston, South Carolina: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council and South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

GMFMC (Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council) and SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 1985. Final amendment 1 to the fishery management plan and environmental impact statement for coastal migratory pelagic resources (mackerels) in the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic Region. Tampa, Florida and Charleston, South Carolina: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council and South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

GMFMC (Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council), SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council), MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council), and NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2004. Final amendment 15 to the fishery management plan for coastal migratory pelagic resources in the Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico including environmental assessment, regulatory impact review, and regulatory flexibility act analysis. Tampa, Florida: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, Charleston, SC: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, Dover, Delaware: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, and St. Petersburg, Florida: National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Regional Office.

Godcharles, M.F., and M.D. Murphy. 1986. Species profiles: Life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates (South Florida)—king mackerel and Spanish mackerel. USFWS Biological Report 82(11.58) and USACE TR El-82-4.

Gold, J.R., E. Pak, and D.A. DeVries. 2002. Population structure of king mackerel (*Scomberomorous cavalla*) around peninsular Florida, as revealed by microsatellite DNA. Fisheries Bulletin 100:491-509.

Govoni, J.J., E.H. Laban, and J.A. Hare. 2003. The early life history of swordfish (*Xiphas gladius*) in the western North Atlantic. Fishery Bulletin 101(4):778-789.

Grimes, C.B., C.S. Manooch, and G.R. Huntsman. 1982. Reef and rock outcropping fishes of the outer continental shelf of North Carolina and South Carolina, and ecological notes on the red porgy and vermilion snapper. Bulletin of Marine Science 32(1):277-289.

Grimes, C.B., C.F. Idelberger, K.W. Able, and S.C. Turner. 1988. The reproductive biology of tilefish, *Lopholatilus chamaeleonticeps* Goode and Bean, from the U.S. Mid-Atlantic Bight, and the effects of fishing on the breeding system. Fishery Bulletin 86:745-762.

GRNMS (Gray's Reef National Marine Sanctuary). 2006. Gray's Reef program boundaries, regulations, and permitting. Accessed 6 June 2006. http://graysreef.noaa.gov/regs.html.

Guarracino, M. 1999. Biological profiles: nurse shark. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 24 February 2005. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/nurseshark/nurseshark.htm.

Gusey, W.F. 1981. The fish and wildlife resources of the South Atlantic Coast. Houston, Texas: Shell Oil Company.

Hammond, D.L. 2001. Status of the South Carolina fisheries for cobia. Technical Report Number 89. Charleston, South Carolina: South Carolina Department of Natural Resources, Marine Resources Division.

Hare, J.A., and R.K. Cowen. 1996. Transport mechanisms of larval and pelagic juvenile bluefish (Pomatomus saltatrix) from south Atlantic bight spawning grounds to middle Atlantic bight nursery habitats. Limnology and Oceanography 41(16):1264-1280.

Harris, P.J., and D.J. Machowski. 2004. Data report on the status of some reef fish stocks off the southeastern United States, 1983-2004. Charleston, North Carolina: South Carolina Department of Natural Resources.

Hettler, W.F. 1992. Correlation of winter temperature and landings of pink shrimp *Penaeus duorarum* in North Carolina. Fishery Bulletin 90:405-406.

Hoff, T. 2005. Personal communication via email between Mr. Thomas Hoff, Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, Dover, Delaware, and Dr. Amy R. Scholik, Geo-Marine, Inc., Hampton, Virginia, 7 November.

Huntsman, G. 1996a. *Lutjanus analis*. 2004. IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 25 January 2005. http://www.redlist.org.

Huntsman, G. 1996b. *Pagrus pagrus*. 2004. 2004 IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 25 January 2005. http://www.redlist.org.

Huntsman, G. 1996c. *Epinephelus niveatus*. 2004. IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 25 January 2005. http://www.redlist.org.

Huntsman, G.R., and I.G. Macintyre. 1971. Tropical coral patches in Onslow Bay. Underwater Naturalist 7(2):32-34.

Huntsman, G.R., and C.S. Manooch III. 1978. Coastal pelagic and reef fishes in the South Atlantic Bight. Pages 97-105 in H. Clepper, ed. Marine recreational fisheries. Washington, D.C.: Sport Fishing Institution.

IBFN (International Big Fish Network). 2005. Fishing Tournaments. Accessed 02 November 2005. http://www.ibfn.org/community/tournament/list.asp.

Jaap, W.C. 1984. The ecology of the South Florida coral reefs: A community profile. FWS/OBS-82/08. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Jackson, D., S.P. Leys, V.F. Hinman, R. Woods, M.F. Lavin, and B.M. Degnan. 2002. Ecologic regulation of development: induction of marine invertebrate metamorphosis. International Journal of Developmental Biology 46: 679-686.

Jolley, J.W. 1977. The biology and fishery of Atlantic sailfish *Istiophorus platypterus*, from southeast Florida. St. Petersburg, Florida: Florida Department of Natural Resources, Marine Research Laboratory.

Keeney, D.B., M. Heupel, R.E. Hueter, and E.J. Heist. 2003. Genetic heterogeneity among blackip shark, *Carcharhinus limbatus*, continental nurseries along the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico. Marine Biology 143:1039-1046.

Klein-MacPhee, G. 2002a. Bluefish. Family Pomatomidae. Pages 400-406 in B.B. Collette and G. Klein-MacPhee, eds. Bigelow and Schroeder's fishes of the Gulf of Maine. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Klein-MacPhee, G. 2002b. Sand flounders. Family Paralichthyidae. Pages 551-560 in B.B. Collette and G. Klein-MacPhee, eds. Bigelow and Schroeder's fishes of the Gulf of Maine. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Klein-MacPhee, G. 2002c. Wreckfishes: Family Polyprionidae. Pages 389-391 in B.B. Collette and G. Klein-MacPhee, eds. Bigelow and Schroeder's fishes of the Gulf of Maine. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Knickle, C. 1999a. Biological profiles: sandbar shark. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 3 December 2004. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/Sandbarshark/sandbarshark.html.

Knickle, C. 1999b. Biological profiles: silky shark. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 24 February 2005. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/silkyshark/silkyshark.html.

Knickle, C. 1999c. Biological profiles: tiger shark. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 3 December 2004. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/Tigershark/tigershark.html.

Kotas, J.E. 2000. *Sphyrna lewini*. 2004 IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 10 December 2004. http://www.redlist.org.

Larson, S.C., M.J. Van Den Avyle, and E.L. Bozeman, Jr. 1989. Species profiles: Life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates (South Atlantic)—brown shrimp. USFWS Biological Report 82(11.90). Vicksburg, Mississippi: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Leis, J.M. 1991. The pelagic stage of reef fishes: The larval biology of coral reef fishes. In the ecology of fishes on coral reefs, ed. P.F. Sale. 183-230. New York: Academic Press.

Lombardi-Carlson, L.A., E. Cortés, G.R. Parsons, and C.A. Manire. 2003. Latitudinal variation in life-history traits of bonnethead sharks, *Sphyrna tiburo* (Carhchariniformes: Sphyrindae), from the eastern Gulf of Mexico. Marine and Freshwater Research 54:875-883.

Low, R.A. 2000. South Carolina marine fisheries. Charleston, South Carolina: South Carolina Department of Natural Resources, Marine Resources Division.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 1998a. Amendment #8 to the Atlantic mackerel, squid, and butterfish fishery management plan. Dover, Delaware: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council in cooperation with National Marine Fisheries Service, New England Fishery Management Council, and South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 1998b. Amendment #12 to the Atlantic surfclam and ocean quahog fishery management plan. Dover, Delaware: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council in cooperation with National Marine Fisheries Service and New England Fishery Management Council.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2000. Tilefish fishery management plan. Volumes I and II. Dover, Delaware: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2003. Framework adjustment 4 to the summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fishery management plan. Dover, Delaware: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2005a. 2006 Atlantic mackerel, *Loligo*, *Illex*, and butterfish specifications, environmental assessment, regulatory impact review, initial regulatory flexibility analysis, and EFH assessment. Dover, Delaware: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2005b. 2006 bluefish specifications: environmental assessment, essential fish habitat assessment, regulatory impact review, and initial regulatory flexibility analysis. Dover, Delaware: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2005c. 2006, 2007, and 2008 summer flounder, and 2006 scup and black sea bass specifications, environmental assessment, regulatory impact review, initial regulatory flexibility analysis, and essential fish habitat assessment. Dover, Delaware: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2005d. Mid-cycle adjustment to the ocean quahog specifications for 2006 and 2007. Dover, Delaware: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2006a. Amendment 9 (draft) to the Atlantic mackerel, squid, and butterfish fishery management plan (includes draft supplemental environmental impact statement, preliminary regulatory economic evaluation and essential fish habitat assessment) Volume I. Dover, Delaware: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2006b. Proposed and final federal commercial and recreational management measures. Dover, Delaware: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2006c. Spiny dogfish specifications, draft environmental assessment, regulatory impact review, and initial regulatory flexibility analysis. Dover, Delaware: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council) and ASMFC (Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission). 1998a. Amendment #12 to the summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fishery management plan. Dover, Delaware: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council and Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission in cooperation with National Marine Fisheries Service, New England Fishery Management Council, and South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fisheries Management Council and ASMFC (Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission). 1998b. Amendment #1 to the bluefish fishery management plan. Volumes 1 and 2. Dover, Delaware: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council and Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission in cooperation with National Marine Fisheries Service, New England Fishery Management Council, and South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council) and NEFMC (New England Fishery Management Council). 1999. Spiny dogfish fishery management plan. Dover, Delaware: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council and New England Fishery Management Council.

Manooch, C.S. 1976. Reproductive cycle, fecundity, and sex ratios of the red porgy, *Pagrus pagrus* (Pisces: Sparidae) in North Carolina. Fishery Bulletin 74(4):775-781.

Manooch, C.S. 1977. Foods of the red porgy, *Pagrus pagrus* Linnaeus (Pisces: Sparidae), from North Carolina and South Carolina. Bulletin of Marine Science 27:776-787.

Manooch, C.S., III. 1988. Fisherman's guide: Fishes of the southeastern U.S. Raleigh: North Carolina State Museum of Natural History.

Manooch, C.S., and M. Haimovici. 1983. Foods of greater amberjack, *Seriola dumerili*, and almaco jack, *Seriola rivoliana* (Pisces: Carangidae), from the South Atlantic Bight. The Journal of the Elisha Mitchell Scientific Society 99(1):1-9.

Manooch, C.S., and J.C. Potts. 1997a. Age, growth, and mortality of greater amberjack, *Seriola dumerili*, from the U.S. Gulf of Mexico headboat fishery. Bulletin of Marine Science 61(3):671-683.

Manooch, C.S., III, and J.C. Potts. 1997b. Age and growth of red snapper, *Lutjanus campechanus*, Lutjanidae, collected along the southeastern U.S. from North Carolina through the East Coast of Florida. The Elisha Mitchell Scientific Society 113(3):111-122.

Manooch, C.S., J.C. Potts, M.L. Burton, and P.J. Harris. 1998a. Population Assessment of the scamp, *Mycteroperca phenax*, from the southeastern U.S. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-410. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Commerce.

Manooch, C.S., J.C. Potts, M.L. Burton, and D.S. Vaughan. 1998b. Population assessment of the vermilion snapper, *Rhomboplites aurorubens*, from the southeastern U.S. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-411. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Commerce.

Martins, C., and C. Knickle. 1999. Biological profiles: white shark. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 3 December 2004. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/WhiteShark/whiteshark.html.

Marx, J.M., and W.F. Herrnkind. 1986. Species profiles: life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates (south Florida)—spiny lobster. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 82 (11.81). U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, TR EL-82-4.

Mather, F.J., J.M. Mason, and A.C. Jones. 1995. Historical document: Life history and fisheries of Atlantic bluefin tuna. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-379:1-165. Woods Hole, Massachusetts: National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center.

Matheson, R.H., and G.R. Huntsman. 1984. Growth, mortality, and yield-per-recruit models for speckled hind and snowy grouper from the U.S. South Atlantic Bight. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 113:607-616.

Matheson, R.H., G.R. Huntsman, and C.S. Manooch. 1986. Age, growth, mortality, food and reproduction of the scamp, Mycteroperca phenax, collected off North Carolina and South Carolina. Bulletin of Marine Science 38(2):300-312.

McCandless, C.T., H.L. Pratt, Jr., and N.E. Kohler, eds. 2002. Shark nursery grounds of the Gulf of Mexico and east coast of the U.S.: An overview. An internal report to NOAA's Highly Migratory Species Office. Narragansett, Rhode Island: NOAA Fisheries Narragansett Lab.

McClane, A.J. 1978. Field guide to the saltwater fishes of North America. New York: Henry Holt and Company.

McMillan, D.G., and W.W. Morse. 1999. Essential fish habitat source document: Spiny dogfish, *Squalus acanthias*, life history and habitat characteristics. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE-150:1-19. 19 p.

Miller, G.C. and Richards, W.J. 1980. Reef fish habitat, faunal assemblages, and factors determining distributions in the South Atlantic Bight. Proceedings of the Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute 32:114-130.

Moore, C.M., and R.F. Labisky. 1984. Population parameters of a relatively unexploited stock of snowy grouper in the lower Florida Keys. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 113:322-329.

Moran, D. 1988. Species profiles: life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates (Gulf of Mexico)—red snapper. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 82(11.83). U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, TR EL-82-4.

Morgan, A. 1999. Biological profiles: lemon shark. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 23 February 2005. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/LemonShark/LemonShark.html.

Moyle, P.B., and J.J. Cech. 1988. Fishes: An introduction to ichthyology, second edition. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Muncy, R.J. 1984. Species profiles: Life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates (South Atlantic) -- white shrimp. USFWS Biological Report 82(11.27). Vicksburg, Mississippi: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Murray, R., and C. Bester. 1999a. Biological profiles: blackfin snapper. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 25 January 2005. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/BlackfinSnapper/BlackfinSnapper.html.

Murray, R., and C. Bester. 1999b. Biological profiles: mutton snapper. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 26 January 2005. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/MuttonSnapper/MuttonSnapper.html.

Musick, J.A. 2000. *Carcharhinus plubeus* (northwest Atlantic subpopulation). 2004 IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 13 December 2004. http://www.redlist.org.

Nakamura, I. 1985. FAO species catalogue Volume 5 - Billfishes of the world: An annotated and illustrated catalogue of marlins, sailfishes, spearfishes, and swordfishes known to date. FAO Fisheries Synopsis Volume 125, Number 5. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Nammack, M.F., J.A. Musick, and J.A. Colvocoresses. 1985. Life history of spiny dogfish off the northeastern U.S. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114:367-376.

NAS (National Audubon Society). 1990. Field guide to North American fishes, whales and dolphins. New York: Alfred A. Knopf Publishers.

Natanson, L.J., J.G. Casey, N.E. Kohler, and T. Colket IV. 1999. Growth of the tiger shark, *Galeocerdo curvier*, in the western North Atlantic based on tag returns and length frequencies; and a note on the effects of tagging. Fishery Bulletin 97(4):944-953.

NCDMF (North Carolina Department of Marine Fisheries). 2005a. Rules summary for the recreational commercial gear license (RCGL). Morehead City, North Carolina: North Carolina Department of Marine Fisheries.

NCDMF (North Carolina Department of Marine Fisheries). 2005b. North Carolina artificial guide. Received March 2005 from James Francesconi. Morehead City, North Carolina: North Carolina Department of Marine Fisheries.

NCDMF (North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries). 2006a Recreational commercial gear license. Accessed 7 June 2006. http://www.ncdmf.net/license/RCGL.htm.

NCDMF (North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries). 2006b. Annual fisheries bulletin, 2005 commercial & recreational statistics. Morehead City, North Carolina: North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries, License and Statistics Section.

NEFMC (New England Fishery Management Council). 1998. Final amendment #11 to the northeast multispecies fishery management plan, Amendment #9 to the Atlantic sea scallop fishery management plan, Amendment #1 to the monkfish fishery management plan, Amendment #1 to the Atlantic salmon fishery management plan, Components of the proposed Atlantic herring fishery management plan for essential fish habitat: Incorporating the environmental assessment. Newburyport, Massachusetts: New England Fishery Management Council in consultation with National Marine Fisheries Service.

NEFMC (New England Fishery Management Council). 1999. Final amendment #12 to the northeast multispecies fishery management plan (whiting, red hake, and offshore hake). Volume 1. Saugus, Massachusetts: New England Fishery Management Council.

NEFMC (New England Fishery Management Council). 2003. Fishery management plan for the northeast skate complex. Newburyport, Massachusetts: New England Fishery Management Council.

NEFMC (New England Fishery Management Council) and MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2004a. Amendment 2 to the monkfish fishery management plan (including a final supplemental environmental impact statement [DSEIS], regulatory impact review, and stock assessment and fishery evaluation [SAFE] report for the 2002 fishing year. Newburyport, Massachusetts: New England Fishery Management Council.

NEFMC (New England Fishery Management Council) and MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2004b. Public hearing document, Amendment 2 to the monkfish fishery management plan. Newburyport, Massachusetts: New England Fishery Management Council.

NEFMC (New England Fishery Management Council) and MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2006. Monkfish fishery management plan annual specifications for the 2006 fishing year, incorporating stock assessment and fishery evaluation (SAFE) report for the 2004 fishing year and the environmental assessment and regulatory impact review. Newburyport, Massachusetts: New England Fishery Management Council.

Nelson, R.S., and C.S. Manooch, III. 1982. Growth and mortality of red snappers in the west-central Atlantic Ocean and northern Gulf of Mexico. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 111:463-475.

Nelson, D.M., E.A. Irlandi, L.R. Settle, M.E. Monaco, and L. Coston-Clements. 1991. Distribution and Abundance of Fishes and Invertebrates in Southeast Estuaries. ELMR Rep. No. 9. NOAA/NOS Strategic Environmental Assessments Division, Silver Spring, Maryland. 167 p.

Nelson, J.S., E.J. Crossman, H.Espinosa-Pérez, L.T. Findley, C.R. Gilbert, R.N. Lea, and J.D. Williams. 2004. Common and scientific names of fishes from the U.S., Canada, and Mexico, Sixth Edition. Bethesda, Maryland: American Fisheries Society.

NERR (National Estuarine Research Reserve). 2006. North Inlet-Winyah Bay Reserve, South Carolina. Accessed 29 June 2006. http://www.nerrs.noaa.gov/NorthInlet/welcome.html.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1999a. Final fishery management plan for Atlantic tuna, swordfish, and sharks. Volumes I and II. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1999b. Amendment 1 to the Atlantic billfish fishery management plan. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1999c. Highly Migratory Species Essential Fish Habitat; GIS shapefiles. Received December 2003 from Chris Rilling. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Highly Migratory Division.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2000. Fisheries of the northeastern United States; summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries; 2000 specifications. Federal Register 65(19):4547-4555.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2002. Essential fish habitat: a marine fish habitat conservation mandate for federal agencies, South Atlantic Region. St. Petersburg, Florida: National Marine Fisheries Service, Habitat Conservation Division, Southeast Regional Office.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2003a. Final amendment #1 to the fishery management plan for Atlantic tunas, swordfish, and sharks. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2003b. Highly Migratory Species Essential Fish Habitat; GIS shapefiles. Received December 2003 from Chris Rilling. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Highly Migratory Division.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2004a. Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Dolphin and wahoo fishery off the Atlantic states. Federal Register 69(103):30235-30243.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2004b. Endangered and threatened species; establishment of species of concern list, addition of species to species of concern list, description of factors for identifying species of concern, and revision of candidate species list under the endangered species act. Federal Register 69(73):19975-19979.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2004c. Stock assessment and fishery evaluation (SAFE) for Atlantic highly migratory species. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2005a. Commercial fisheries statistics; Data. Accessed 8 June 2006. http://www.st.nmfs.gov/st1/commercial/index.html.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2005b. Commercial black sea bass fishery; summary of regulations. NMFS Northeast Regional information sheet 13. Gloucester, Massachusetts: National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Regional Office.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2005c. 2005 Guide for complying with the regulations for Atlantic tunas, swordfish, sharks, and billfish. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service, Highly Migratory Species Division.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2005d. Draft consolidated Atlantic highly migratory species fishery management plan Volume I and II, including: a draft environmental impact statement, a draft regulatory impact review, an initial regulatory flexibility analysis, and framework actions. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Marine Fisheries Service, Highly Migratory Species Management Division, Office of Sustainable Fisheries.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2005e. NMFS landing query results, menhaden, Atlantic; Data. Accessed 6 June 2006. http://www.st.nmfs.gov/pls/webpls/FT_HELP.SPECIES.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2007. Total commercial fishery landings at an individual U.S. port for all years after 1980; Data. Accessed 6 June 2007. http://www.st.nmfs.gov /st1/commercial/landings/lport_hist.html.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2005g. Recreational fisheries statistics: Data Accessed 10 July 2006. http://www.st.nmfs.gov/st1/recreational/queries/catch/snapshot.html.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2006a. 2005 Status of U.S. Fisheries. Downloaded from: NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service), Office of Sustainable Fisheries.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2006b. Endangered and threatened species: Final listing determinations for elkhorn and staghorn coral. Federal Register 71(89):26,852-26,872.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2006c. Status report on the continental United States distinct population segment of the goliath grouper (*Epinephelus itajara*). St. Petersburg, Florida: National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Regional Office.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2007. Commercial fisheries statistics data. Accessed 11 April 2007. http://www.st.nmfs.gov/st1/commercial/index.html.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 1996. Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Interim final rule. Federal Register 61(129):34930-34964.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2004. Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; dolphin and wahoo fishery off the Atlantic States. Federal Register 69(103):30235-30243.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2005. Ocean explorer: A profile of Cape Fear Terrace. Accessed 29 June 2006. http://www.oceanexplorer.noaa.gov/explorations/islands01/background/islands/sup9_capefear.html.

NOAA and DoI (National Atmospheric and Oceanic Administration and Department of the Interior). 2006. Marine protected areas of the United States: Marine managed areas inventory site profile. NOAA's Monitor National Marine Sanctuary. Accessed 24 May 2006. http://www.mpa.gov.

NOAA-CSC (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration-Coastal Services Center). 2002. Special management zones in the southeast; GIS shapefile. Charleston, South Carolina: NOAA Coastal Services Center.

NRC (National Research Council). 2002. Effects of trawling and dredging on seafloor habitat. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.

Packer, D.B., S.J. Griesbach, P.L. Berrien, C.A. Zetlin, D.L. Johnson, and W.W. Morse. 1999. Essential fish habitat source document: Summer flounder, *Paralichthys dentatus*, life history and habitat characteristics. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE-151:1-88.

Palko, B.J., G.L. Beardsley, and W.J. Richards. 1981. Synopsis of the biology of the swordfish, *Xiphias gladius* Linnaeus. NOAA Technical Report NMFS Circular 441 and FAO Fisheries Synopsis 127:1-21.

Parker, R.O. Jr., Colby, D.O., and Willis, T.D. 1983. Estimated amount of reef habitat on a portion of the U.S. South Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico Florida-Hatteras shelf. Bulletin of Marine Science 33(4):935-940.

Parker, R.O., Jr., and R.W. Mays. 1998. Southeastern U.S. deepwater reef assemblages, habitat characteristics, catches, and life history summaries. NOAA Technical Report NMFS 138. Seattle: National Marine Fisheries Service.

Patterson, W.F., III, J.C. Watterson, R.L. Shipp, and J.H. Cowan, Jr. 2001. Movement of tagged red snapper in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 130:533-545.

Pattillo, M.E., T.E. Czapla, D.M. Nelson, and M.E. Monaco. 1997. Distribution and abundance of fishes and invertebrates in Gulf of Mexico estuaries. Volume II: Species life histories. Estuarine Living Marine Resources Report Number 11. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Pollard, D., and A. Smith. 2000. *Carcharias taurus*. 2004 IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 10 December 2004. http://www.redlist.org.

Popenoe, P., and F.T. Manheim. 2001. Origin and history of the Charleston Bump-geological formations, currents, bottom conditions, and their relationship to wreckfish habitats on the Blake Plateau. American Fisheries Society Symposium 25:43-94.

Potts, J.C., and C.S Manooch. 2001. Differences in the age and growth of white grunt (*Haemulon plumieri*) from North Carolina and South Carolina compared with southeast Florida. Bulletin of Marine Science 68(1):1-12.

Pratt, H.L., and J.C. Carrier. 2001. A review of elasmobranch reproductive behavior with a case study on the nurse shark, *Ginglymostoma cirratum*. Environmental Biology of Fishes 60:157-188.

Prince, E.D., D.W. Lee, J.R. Weifel, and E.B. Brothers. 1991. Estimating age and growth of young Atlantic blue marlin *Macira nigricans* from otolith microstructure. Fishery Bulletin 89:441-459.

Punt, A. 1996. *Thunnus albacares*. 2006. IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 07 August 2006. http://www.redlist.org.

Reagan, R.E. 1985. Species profiles: life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates (Gulf of Mexico) - red drum. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 82(11.36). U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, TR EL-82-4. 16 p.

Reardon, M.B., L. Gerber, and R.D. Cavanagh. 2005. *Isurus paucus.* 2006 IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 11 May 2006. http://www.redlist.org.

Reed, J.K. 1980. Distribution and structure of deep-water *Oculina varicosa* coral reefs off central eastern Florida. Bulletin of Marine Science 30(3):667-677.

Reed, J.K., 1992. Submersible studies of deep-water *Oculina* and *Lophelia* coral banks off southeastern U.S.A. Proceedings of the American Academy of Underwater Sciences, Annual Scientific Diving Symposium 12:143-153.

Riggs, S., S. Snyder, D. Mearns, and A. Hine. 1986. Hardbottom distribution map, Onslow Bay, North Carolina. University of North Carolina Sea Grant Publication UNC-8G-86-25.

Rilling, C. 2007. Personal communication via telephone between Dr. Chris Rilling, National Marine Fisheries Service, Highly Migratory Species Management Division, Silver Spring, Maryland, and Mr. Carter Watterson, Geo-Marine, Inc., Hampton, Virginia, 13 February.

Rivera, G.A., and R.S. Appeldoorn. 2000. Age and growth of dolphinfish, *Coryphaena hippurus*, off Puerto Rico. Fisheries Bulletin 98:345-352.

Robins, R.H. 1999. Biological profiles: goliath grouper. Florida Museum of Natural History. Accessed 25 January 2005. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Gallery/Descript/GoliathGrouper/GoliathGrouper.html.

Rosa, R.S., Castro, A.L.F., Furtado, M., Monzini, J. & Grubbs, R.D. 2005. *Ginglymostoma cirratum* (Western Atlantic subpopulation). 2006. IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 5 June 2007. http://www.iucnredlist.org/search/details.php/60223/summ.

Ross, J.L., and J.V. Merriner. 1983. Reproductive biology of the blueline tilefish, *Caulolatilus microps*, off North Carolina and South Carolina. Fishery Bulletin 81(3):553-568.

Ruebsamen, R. 2005. Personal communication via meeting between Dr. Ric Ruebsamen, EFH Coordinator for the National Marine Fisheries Service Southeast Region, Panama City, Florida, and Dr. Amy R. Scholik, Geo-Marine, Inc., Newport News, Virginia, 13 April.

Sadovy, Y. 2003. *Polyprion americanus*. 2004. IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 25 January 2005. http://www.redlist.org.

Sadovy, Y., and A.M. Eklund. 1999. Synopsis of biological data on the Nassau grouper, *Epinephelus striatus* (Bloch 1792), and the jewfish, *E. itajara* (Lichtenstein 1822). NOAA Technical Report NMFS 146. Seattle, Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce.

Safina, C. 1996a. *Thunnus thynnus*. 2004 IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 13 December 2004. http://www.redlist.org.

Safina, C. 1996b. *Xiphias gladius*. 2004 IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 13 December 2004. http://www.redlist.org.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 1983. Source document for the snapper-grouper fishery of the south Atlantic region. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 1993. Fishery management plan for shrimp fishery of the south Atlantic region including a Final Environmental Impact Statement and regulatory impact review. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 1995. Final fishery management plan (including regulatory impact review, environmental assessment, and social impact assessment) for the golden crab fishery of the south Atlantic region. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 1998. Final habitat plan for the South Atlantic Region: Essential fish habitat requirements for fishery management plans of the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council—The shrimp fishery management plan, the red drum fishery management plan, the snapper-grouper fishery management plan, the coastal migratory pelagics fishery management plan, the golden crab fishery management plan, the spiny lobster fishery management plan, the coral, coral reefs, and live/hard bottom habitat fishery management plan, the *Sargassum* habitat fishery management plan, and the calico scallop fishery management plan. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 1999a. Stock assessment and fishery evaluation report for spiny lobster. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 1999b. Stock assessment and fishery evaluation report for golden crab. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2002a. Final amendment 5 to the fishery management plan for the shrimp fishery of the South Atlantic region (rock shrimp) including a final supplemental environmental impact statement, initial regulatory flexibility analysis, regulatory impact review, and social impact assessment/fishery impact statement. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2002b. Final fishery management plan for pelagic *Sargassum* habitat of the South Atlantic Region. Second revised final. Charleston: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2003a. Final amendment 13A to the fishery management plan for the snapper-grouper fishery of the south Atlantic region including an environmental assessment, initial regulatory flexibility analysis, regulatory impact review and social impact assessment/fishery impact statement. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2003b. Fishery management plan for the dolphin and wahoo fishery of the Atlantic. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2004a. Informational public hearing document on marine protected areas to be included in amendment 15 to the fishery management plan for the snapper-grouper fishery of the south Atlantic region. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2004b.Dolphin/wahoo final rule implements federal regulations for Atlantic fishery. Press Release. 28 May. St. Petersburg, Florida: NOAA Fisheries Southeast Regional Office.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2005a. Fishing regulations for U.S. South Atlantic federal waters, May 2005. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2005b. Roller rig trawl restriction; GIS shapefile. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2005c. Pelagic *Sargassum* seasonal and year-round closure; GIS shapefile. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2005d. Bottom longline closed area; GIS shapefile. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2005e. Fish trap restriction; GIS shapefile. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2006a. Final snapper grouper amendment 13C. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2006b. Draft snapper grouper amendment 14. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2007. Snapper-grouper amendment 14-MPAs. Accessed 19 April 2007. http://www.safmc.net/MPAInformationPage/tabid/469/Default.aspx.

SAI and Loftus (Southwick Associates, Inc. and Andrew J. Loftus, Loftus Consulting). 2006. Menhaden math: The economic impact of Atlantic menhaden on Virginia's recreational and commercial fisheries. Fernandina Beach, Florida: Southwick Associates, Inc.

Santana, F.M., R. Lessa, and J. Carlson. 2005. *Carcharhinus signatus.* 2006 IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 11 May 2006. http://www.redlist.org.

Schaefer, H.C., and W.A. Fable, Jr. 1994. King mackerel, *Scomberomorus cavalla*, mark-recapture studies off Florida's east coast. Marine Fisheries Review 56:13-23.

Schuck, H.A. 1982. Bluefin tuna *Thunnus thynnus*. Pages 102-105 in M.D. Grosslein and T.R. Azarovitz, eds. Fish distribution. Marine EcoSystem Analysis (MESA) New York Bight Atlas Monograph 15. Albany: New York Sea Grant Institute.

Schultz, K. 2004. Ken Schultz's field guide to saltwater fish. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Schwartz, F.J. 1989. Zoogeography and ecology of fishes inhabiting North Carolina's marine waters to depths of 600 meters. NOAA-NURP Report 89-2.Morehead City, North Carolina: University of North Carolina.

SCDNR (South Carolina Department of Natural Resources). 2004a. State of South Carolina's coastal resources: Penaied shrimp. Charleston, South Carolina: South Carolina Department of Natural Resources.

SCDNR (South Carolina Department of Natural Resources). 2004b. State of South Carolina's coastal resources: Blue crab. Charleston, South Carolina: South Carolina Department of Natural Resources.

SCDNR (South Carolina Department of Natural Resources). 2006. Marine artificial reefs. Sea Science. Accessed 13 March 2006. Http://www.dnr.sc.gov/marine/pub/seascience/artreef.html.

SCDNR (South Carolina Department of Natural Resources). 2007. Fishing Tournaments. Accessed 11 May 2007. https://www.dnr.sc.gov/Calendar/ViewCal.html?calendar_id=82&approved=1&dropdown=1

SCMRD (South Carolina Marine Resources Division). 2005. South Carolina artificial reef-GPS update. Received March 2005 from Robert M. Martore. Charleston, South Carolina: South Carolina Marine Resources Division, Office of Fisheries Management.

SEAMAP (Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program). 2001. South Atlantic Bight bottom mapping CD-ROM, version 1.2. Washington, D.C.: Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, SEAMAP-South Atlantic Bottom Mapping Workgroup.

Sedberry, G.R. 2005. Spawning locations for Atlantic reef fishes; GIS shapefiles. Received April 2005 from Dr. George Sedberry. Charleston, South Carolina: South Carolina Department of Natural Resources.

Sedberry, G.R., J.L. Carlin, R.W. Chapman, and B. Eleby. 1996. Population structure in the pan-oceanic wreckfish, *Polyprion americanus* (Telestei: Polyprionidae), as indicated by mtDNA variation. Journal of Fish Biology 49:318-329.

Sedberry, G.R., C.A.P. Andrade, J.L. Carlin, R.W. Chapman, B.E. Luckhurst, C.S. Manooch, G. Menezes, B. Thomsen, and G.E. Ulrich. 1999. Wreckfish *Polyprion americanus* in the north Atlantic: fisheries, biology, and management of a widely distributed and long-lived fish. American Fisheries Society Symposium 23:27-50.

Sedberry, G.R., J.C. McGovern, and O. Pashuk. 2001. The Charleston Bump: an island of essential fish habitat in the Gulf Stream. American Fisheries Society Symposium 25:3-24.

Sedberry, G.R., C.L. Cooksey, S.E. Crowe, J. Hyland, P.C. Jutte, C.M. Ralph, and L.R. Sautter. 2004. Characterization of deep reef habitat off the southeastern U.S., with particular emphasis on discovery, exploration and description of reef fish spawning site. Charleston, South Carolina: South Carolina Department of Natural Resources.

Sedberry, G.R., O. Pashuk, D.M. Wyanski, J.A. Stephen, and P. Weinbach. In press. Spawning locations for Atlantic reef fishes off the southeastern U.S. Proceedings of the Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute 57.

Simpfendorfer, C. 2000. *Galeocerdo cuvier*. 2004 IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 10 December 2004. http://www.redlist.org.

Simpfendorfer, C., and G.H. Burgess. 2000. *Carcharhinus leucas*. 2004 IUCN Red List of threatened species. Accessed 28 February 2005. www.redlist.org.

Smith, J.W. 1999. Distribution of Atlantic menhaden, *Brevoortia tyrannus*, purse-seine sets and catches from southern New England to North Carolina, 1985-96. NOAA Technical Report 144: 1-22.

SMS (Smithsonian Marine Station). 2004. Field guide to the Indian River Lagoon, Florida: Atlantic calico scallop, *Argopecten gibbus*. Accessed 17 February 2005. http://www.sms.si.edu/IRLFieldGuide/Argope_gibbis.htm.

SSF (SaltShakerFishing). 2006. Fishing events. Accessed 14 May 2007. http://saltshakerfishing.com/fishing%20events.htm.

Starck, W.A., and R.E. Schroeder. 1971. Investigations on the gray snapper, *Lutjanus griseus*. Studies in Tropical Oceanography 10:1-150.

Steimle, F.W., C.A. Zetlin, P.L. Berrien, D.L. Johnson, and S. Chang. 1999. Essential fish habitat source document: Tilefish, *Lopholatilus chamaeleonticeps*, life history and habitat characteristics. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE-152:1-30.

Strand, I.E., K.E. McConnell, N.E. Bockstael, and D.G. Swartz. 1991. Marine recreational fishing in the Middle and South Atlantic: A descriptive study. Report on cooperative agreement #CR-811043-01-0 between the University of Maryland and the Environmental Protection Agency, National Marine Fisheries Service, and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. College Park 226.

Struhsaker, P. 1969. Demersal fish resources: Composition, distribution, and commercial potential of the continental shelf stocks off southeastern U.S. Fishery Industrial Research 4(7):261-300.

Sumich, J.L., 1988. An introduction to the biology of marine life. 4th ed. Dubuque, Iowa: Wm. C. Brown Publishers.

Suntinen, J. and R.J. Johnston. 2003. Angling management organizations: integrating the recreational sector into fisheries management. Marine Policy 27(6):471-487.

Szedlmayer, S.T., and R.L. Shipp. 1994. Movement and growth of red snapper, *Lutjanus campechanus*, from an artificial reef area in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Bulletin of Marine Science 55(2-3):887-896.

Terceiro, M. 2001a. Scup. In S.H. Clark, ed. Status of the fishery resources off the northeastern U.S., revised in 2001. Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE-115. Woods Hole, Massachusetts: National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Region.

Terceiro, M. 2001b. Summer flounder. In S.H. Clark, ed. Status of the fishery resources off the northeastern U.S., revised in 2001. Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE-115. Woods Hole, Massachusetts: National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Region.

Tricas, T.C., K. Deacon, P. Last, J.E. McCosker, T.I. Walker, and L. Taylor. 1997. Sharks and rays. Singapore: Time-Life Books.

TruePrism 2007. Fripp Island marina. Accessed 11 May 2007. http://corporate.frippislandresort.com/marina.html.

Turgeon, D.D., J.F. Quinn, Jr., A.E. Bogan, E.V. Coan, F.G. Hochberg, W.G. Lyons, P.M. Mikkelsen, R.J. Neves, C.F.E. Roper, G. Rosenburg, B. Roth, A. Scheltema, F.G. Thompson, M. Vecchione, and J.D. Williams. 1998. Common and scientific names of aquatic invertebrates from the U.S. and Canada: Mollusks, Second Edition. Bethesda, Maryland: American Fisheries Society.

UNEP/IUCN (United Nations Environment Programme/International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources). 1988. Coral reefs of the world. Volume 1: Atlantic and eastern Pacific. UNEP regional seas directories and bibliographies. Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, United Kingdom: International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources. Nairobi, Kenya: United Nations Environment Programme.

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). 1993. Geologic interpretation of the U.S. East Coast Geologic LOng-Range Inclined Asdic (GLORIA) sidescan sonar mosaic; GIS shapefiles. Woods Hole, Massachusetts: U.S. Department of Interior, U.S. Geological Survey.

Vaughan, D.S., C.S. Manooch, and J.C. Potts. 2001. Assessment of the wreckfish fishery on the Blake Plateau. American Fisheries Society Symposium 25:105-120.

Vernberg, F.J., and W.B. Vernberg 1970. Lethal limits and the zoogeography of the faunal assemblages of coastal Carolina waters. Marine Biology 6: 26-32.

Veron, J.E.N. 1995. Corals in space and time: the biogeography and evolution of the Scleractinia. Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press.

Watterson, J.C., W.F. Patterson III, R.L. Shipp, and J.H. Cowan, Jr. 1998. Movement of red snapper, *Lutjanus campechanus*, in the north central Gulf of Mexico: potential effects of hurricanes. Gulf of Mexico Science 16(1):92-104.

Weaver, D.C., and G.R. Sedberry. 2001. Trophic subsidies at the Charleston Bump: Food web structure of reef fishes on the continental slope of the southeastern U.S. American Fisheries Society Symposium 25:137-152.

Wells, R.J.D., and J.R. Rooker. 2004. Distribution, age, and growth of young-of-the-year greater amberjack (*Seriola dumerili*) associated with pelagic *Sargassum*. Fishery Bulletin 102:545-554.

Wenner, E.L., P. Hinde, D.M. Knott, and R.F. Van Dolah. 1984. A temporal and spatial study of invertebrate communities associated with hard-bottom habitats in the South Atlantic Bight. NOAA Technical Report NMFS-18. Seattle, Washington: National Marine Fisheries Service.

Wenner, E.L., and C.A. Barans. 1990. In situ estimates of density of golden crab, *Chaceon fenneri*, from habitats on the continental slope, southeastern U.S. Bulletin of Marine Science 46(3):723-734.

Wenner, E.L., G.F. Ulrich, and J.B. Wise. 1987. Exploration for golden crab, *Geryon fenneri*, in the South Atlantic Bight: distribution, population structure, and gear assessment. Fishery Bulletin 85(3):547-560.

Wheaton, J. 2005. Personal communication via telephone between Dr. Jennifer Wheaton, Coral Biologist, Florida Fish and Wildlife Research Institute, St. Petersburg, Florida, and Dr. Amy R. Scholik, Geo-Marine, Inc., Newport News, Virginia, 18 May.

Whitaker, J.D. 1981. Description of the stock comprising the Management Unit. Pages 5.1-5.54 in M.D. McKenzie, ed. Profile of the penaeid shrimp fishery in the South Atlantic. Charleston, South Carolina: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council.

Williams, A.B. 1958. Substrates as a factor in shrimp distribution. Limnology and Oceanography 3(3):283-290.

Williams, A.B. 1984. Shrimps, lobsters, and crabs of the Atlantic coast of the eastern U.S., Maine to Florida. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Williams, E. 2001. Assessment of cobia, *Rachycentron canadum*, in the waters of the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. Beaufort, North Carolina: National Marine Fisheries Service.

Williams, A.B., L.G. Abele, D.L. Felder, H.H. Hobbs, Jr., R.B. Manning, P.A. McLaughlin, and I.P. Farfante. 1989. Common and scientific names of aquatic invertebrates from the U.S. and Canada: Decapod Crustaceans. Bethesda, Maryland: American Fisheries Society.

Wollam, M.B. 1969. Larval wahoo, *Acanthocybium solandri* (Cuvier), (Scombridae) from the straits of Yucatan and Florida. Leaflet Series: Volume IV—Immature Vertebrates, Part 1 (Pisces) No. 12. St. Petersburg, Florida: Department of Natural Resources.

Workman, I., A. Shah, D. Foster, and B. Hataway. 2002. Habitat preferences and site fidelity of juvenile red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*). ICES Journal of Marine Science 59:1-8.

Wyanski, D.M., D.B. White, and C.A. Barans. 2000. Growth, population age structure, and aspects of the reproductive biology of snowy grouper, *Epinephelus niveatus*, off North Carolina and South Carolina. Fishery Bulletin 98:199-218

6.0 ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

6.1 MARITIME BOUNDARIES: TERRITORIAL WATERS, CONTIGUOUS ZONE, AND EXCLUSIVE ECONOMIC ZONE

Maritime boundaries delimit the extent of a nation's sovereignty, exclusive rights, jurisdiction, and control over the ocean areas off its coast. They are critical elements that affect the planning of activities in the marine environment (GDAIS 2005). Maritime boundaries may include a 12 NM territorial sea, an 18 to 24 NM contiguous zone, and a 200 NM exclusive economic zone (EEZ) (Figure 6-1). Maritime boundaries are delimited, rather than demarcated, so there is generally no physical evidence of the boundary. As a result, there can be confusion and disagreement among nations and/or territories as to the exact location of marine boundaries (NOAA 2005).

Historically the U.S., as well as other nations, have used 3 NM as their seaward territorial limit; although, some American states, such as Texas and Florida (along its Gulf coast), and U.S. territories, such as Puerto Rico, established seaward boundaries of three marine leagues or 9 NM. Maritime boundaries, including these territorial limits, are measured from the baseline of each nation or state. The U.S. has traditionally used the "rule of the tidemark" to establish the baseline from which to measure the seaward extent of its territorial waters. This baseline coincides with the low-water, or low-tide, line found along the coast and is often termed the "normal" baseline (Kapoor and Kerr 1986; Prescott 1987). At the mouths of bays, rivers, or other areas where the coastline is not continuous, a straight baseline is drawn across the coastal feature (Figure 6-1). Rather than use the normal baseline, an increasing number of countries use either the straight baseline or an archipelagic baseline from which to measure their territorial waters (Kapoor and Kerr 1986; Prescott 1987).

The 3 NM limit was the standard until the latter half of the twentieth century when the extent of the U.S. territorial waters was redefined. In 1945, President Truman issued Proclamation Number 2667, which claimed jurisdiction and control over all natural resources of the seabed and subsoil on the U.S. continental shelf. In 1953, Proclamation Number 2667 was nullified and replaced by the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Lands Act (Table 6-1), which, similarly, placed the subsoil and seabed and all natural resources therein under U.S. jurisdiction. Section 1331 of this act defines the OCS as "…all submerged lands lying seaward and outside of the area of lands beneath navigable waters as defined in section 1301 of this title, and of which the subsoil and seabed appertain to the United States and are subject to its jurisdiction and control…". As with Proclamation Number 2667, the OCS Lands Act did not give the U.S. authority over the waters above the continental shelf seabed, leaving them open to navigation and fishing.

It is important to clarify that the continental shelf, as defined in the OCS Lands Act, differs from the geologic definition of the continental shelf. The continental shelf, as it is used in the OCS Lands Act, is not limited to that portion of the continental margin located landward of the shelf break (the geologically defined boundary of the continental shelf), but actually includes the entire continental margin as defined in chapter two of this MRA. In fact, the U.S. claims a portion of the seabed and seafloor located well beyond the shelf break as a part of its "continental shelf." Article 76 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) addresses this inconsistency between the legal and geologic definitions of the continental shelf and allows nations to base their claims on the extent of the continental margin instead of the continental shelf; however, claims are limited to 350 NM from a nation's baseline and 100 NM from the 2,500 m isobath. These restrictions prevent claims by any nation to the deep ocean basin (CIA 2006a).

Following the trend established in the United Nations (U.N.), the U.S., with the 1976 Fishery Conservation and Management Act (FCMA), established a 200 NM fishery conservation zone extending outward from its baseline or contiguous to its territorial seas. This 200 NM zone was designed to protect and conserve the fisheries of the U.S and its territories.



Figure 6-1. Generic three-dimensional representation of maritime boundaries with the baseline defined as the mean low water/tide line along the coast or a straight line drawn across coastal bays or other inlets. Adapted from NOAA (2005).

Table 6-1. Timeline detailing the establishment of U.S. jurisdiction and maritime boundaries in or in the vicinity of the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA as determined by treaty, legislation, and presidential proclamation (DoS 1977; DOALOS 2005, 2006a; Rosenberg 2005).

- **From Antiquity to the Early Twentieth Century:** nations individually established seaward boundaries of 3 to 9 NM under the "cannon shot" concept.
- **1945–Truman Presidential Proclamation Number 2667 on the Continental Shelf:** for the purpose of conserving and utilizing natural resources, the U.S. claimed jurisdiction and control of the subsoil and seabed of the continental shelf contiguous to its coast. The waters overlying the continental shelf were not affected. Proclamation 2667 is viewed as an important legal landmark in establishing a nation's jurisdiction over submarine territory and in creating a legal definition of the continental shelf.
- **1945–Truman Presidential Proclamation Number 2668 on Coastal Fisheries:** conservation zones were established in areas of the high seas contiguous to U.S. coasts for the purpose of protecting coastal fishery resources.
- **1953–Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act:** the subsoil and seabed of the OCS was declared to be under U.S. jurisdiction, control, and power. The waters overlying the OCS were not affected by this act, so fishing and navigation were unrestricted. This act nullified Presidential Proclamation Number 2667 (67 Stat. 462, 43 U.S.C. 1331 et seq.).

Table 6-1. Timeline detailing the establishment of U.S. jurisdiction and maritime boundaries in or in the vicinity of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA as determined by treaty, legislation, and presidential proclamation (DoS 1977; DOALOS 2005, 2006a; Rosenberg 2005) (cont'd).

- **1958–U.N. Convention on the Law of the Sea I:** the U.N. convened the first international conference on maritime boundaries.
- **1960–U.N. Convention on the Law of the Sea II:** the second U.N. conference convened on international maritime boundaries.
- **1973–U.N. Convention on the Law of the Sea III:** the third U.N. conference convened on international maritime boundaries.
- **1976–Fishery Conservation and Management Act:** this legislation established a fishery conservation zone extending 200 NM from the U.S. baseline, except in several areas such as the Caribbean Sea, where to the west, south, and east of Puerto Rico and the USVI, the limit of the fishery conservation zone was determined by geodetic or straight lines connecting points of latitude and longitude that were delineated in the act.
- **1977–Fishery Conservation and Management Act:** the fishery conservation zone, established by the 1976 Fishery Conservation and Management Act, went into effect.
- **1982–U.N. Convention on the Law of the Sea Treaty:** an international treaty developed by the U.N. but not yet ratified by the U.S. Most nations, including the U.S., adhere to its guidelines for maritime boundaries, including territorial seas, contiguous zones, and EEZs.
- **1983–Reagan Presidential Proclamation Number 5030 on the EEZ:** an EEZ was formally established to facilitate wise development and use of the oceans consistent with international law as well as to recognize the zone adjacent to a nation's territorial seas where a nation may assert certain sovereign rights over natural resources. Establishment of the U.S. EEZ advanced the development of ocean resources and promoted protection of the marine environment but did not affect other lawful uses of the zone, including navigation and overflight. This proclamation set the EEZ at 200 NM from the baselines of the U.S. and its territories, except where nations are less than 400 NM apart. In such cases, lines equidistant from each nation's baseline delineated the EEZ boundary. The EEZ boundaries coincided with those established by the 1976 Fishery Conservation and Management Act. This proclamation did not affect existing U.S. policies concerning the continental shelf, marine mammals, or fisheries. Jurisdiction and sovereign rights will be exercised in accordance with rules of international law.
- **1988–Reagan Presidential Proclamation Number 5928 on the Territorial Sea:** the seaward extent of the U.S. territorial sea was extended to 12 NM from the baseline of the nation and its territories by this proclamation. The territorial sea is the zone over which the U.S. exercises supreme sovereignty and jurisdiction from the airspace over the sea to the seabed and its soil. This extension of the territorial sea advanced national security and other interests of the U.S. This proclamation did not extend or alter existing federal or state laws (jurisdiction, rights, legal interests, or obligations).
- **1994–U.N. Convention on the Law of the Sea:** the U.N. entered into force the 1982 Law of the Sea Treaty. It has yet to be ratified by the U.S.
- **1999–Clinton Presidential Proclamation Number 7219 on the Contiguous Zone:** the contiguous zone of the U.S. was established 24 NM from the U.S. baseline by this proclamation. The contiguous zone is the area where the U.S. exercises the control necessary to prevent and punish infringement of its fiscal, customs, immigration, or sanitary laws and regulations within its territorial sea. Establishment of the U.S. contiguous zone advanced the law enforcement and public health interests of the nation. This proclamation did not change existing federal or states law and did not alter the rights of the U.S. in the EEZ.

Once the FCMA went into effect in 1977, the U.S. formally claimed a 200 NM fishery conservation zone (except where countries were closer than 400 NM) in which it exercised exclusive fishery management authority. Pending the establishment of permanent maritime boundaries by treaty or agreement between nations located within 400 NM, the FCMA set forth fishery limits based on a median line drawn equidistantly between each nation (DoS 1977).

By the early 1980s, it was evident that the U.S. needed to control more than fisheries outside of its territorial waters. In 1983, President Reagan recognized the necessity of protecting, controlling, and developing the ocean area adjacent to the territorial waters of the U.S. by issuing Presidential Proclamation Number 5030. This proclamation established a 200 NM EEZ from the U.S. baseline that included all areas adjoining the territorial waters of the U.S. and its territories, except where another country lies closer than 400 NM from the U.S. Such a case occurs off the southeast U.S. coast where The Bahamas is approximately 50 NM from the east coast of Florida (Figure 6-1).

The establishment of an EEZ gave the U.S. sovereign rights over the natural resources within the 200 NM zone (or less depending on the proximity of a neighboring nation). Sovereign rights include the rights to explore, exploit, conserve, and manage the natural resources located within the U.S. EEZ, but sovereignty does not affect the lawful use of an EEZ by other nations for navigation or overflight (Table 6-2).

Table 6-2. Maritime boundaries and jurisdictional extent associated with the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA (DOALOS 2006b).

Maritime Boundary	Seaward Extent of Boundary	Jurisdictional Extent
State Waters	3 or 9 NM from U.S. baseline (depending on state's historical maritime boundary)	State jurisdiction of the air, sea, and seabed
U.S. Territorial Waters	12 NM from the U.S. baseline	Full territorial jurisdiction of the air, sea, and seabed at the federal level of government.
U.S. Contiguous Zone	24 NM from the U.S. baseline	Power to prevent and punish infringement of fiscal, customs, immigration, and sanitary laws or regulations
Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ)	200 NM from the U.S. baseline (unless a neighboring nation is less than 400 NM away)	Sovereign rights over all natural resources and jurisdiction to protect the marine environment

The U.N. Law of the Sea Treaty (created in 1982, entered into force in 1994) delimits the international maritime sovereignties of coastal nations as 12 NM for territorial seas, 18 to 24 NM for a contiguous zone, and 200 NM for an EEZ (U.N. 2001). While the U.S. has not yet signed the Law of the Sea Treaty, it does recognize and abide by many of its rules. For instance, in 1988, U.S. Presidential Proclamation Number 5928 extended the seaward territorial limit of the U.S. to 12 NM from the U.S. baseline. This expansion of federal territorial waters from 3 NM (or in some cases 9 NM) to 12 NM provided the U.S. with jurisdiction and supreme power over this area. The seabed and its resources, the biota found in the water column, and the airspace above the territorial seas, as well as the use of surface waters, are all under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Although the territorial waters of the U.S. extend 12 NM seaward from its baseline, the part of the territorial sea closest to shore (3 or 9 NM) remains under the jurisdiction of each coastal state. U.S. control over the waters adjacent to its shores was further solidified in 1999 when President Clinton's Presidential Proclamation Number 7219 extended U.S. federal jurisdiction by the additional 12

NM maximum allowed by international law. This 24 NM contiguous zone is measured from the U.S. baseline and, as its name implies, is an area contiguous or next to a nation's territorial waters that provides an added area of limited jurisdiction. The U.S. makes no territorial claims within its contiguous zone, but it does, however, claim the right to exercise the control necessary to prevent infringement of its fiscal, customs, immigration, or sanitary laws/regulations and to punish infringement of these laws/regulations committed within the zone. Additionally, the establishment of the U.S. contiguous zone advances both the law enforcement and public health interests of the nation.

6.1.1 Maritime Boundaries of the Commonwealth of The Bahamas

The EEZ of The Bahamas encroaches on the JAX/CHASN OPAREA from the south, and the rights to a region of the continental margin that overlaps the southeastern corner of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA is currently claimed by both the U.S. and The Bahamas (Figure 6-2; GDAIS 2005; Turnquest 2005; CIA 2006b). A brief summary of the Bahamian maritime boundaries as well as details on the disputed region follows.

In 1970, the government of the Bahamas enacted the Continental Shelf Act claiming for The Bahamas "the seabed and subsoil of the submarine areas adjacent to the coasts of The Bahamas, to a depth of two hundred meters or, beyond that limit, to where the depth of the superjacent waters admits to the exploration of the natural resources of the said areas" (Law Reform and Revision Commission 2002). Much like the U.S. Outer Continental Shelf Act of 1953, the Bahamian Act establishes the right to explore the continental shelf, as it is defined above, and to exploit all natural resources (e.g., petroleum) found on the shelf. The Act also claims ownership of any structure placed on or above the shelf for the purpose of exercising those rights and sets forth rules of navigation that restricts passage by any unauthorized vessel to within 500 m of the structure.

In 1977, The Bahamas passed the Fisheries Resources (Jurisdiction and Conservation) Act, which, much like the U.S. Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976, established an exclusive fishery zone extending 200 NM from the baseline from which the Bahamian territorial sea is measured (Parliament of the Bahamas 1977). Within the exclusive fishery zone, The Bahamas claims sovereign rights and exclusive authority over the seabed, subsoil, and all associated waters for the purposes of exploration, exploitation, conservation, and management of fishery resources.

The Archipelagic Waters and Maritime Jurisdiction Act of The Bahamas was enacted by the Parliament of The Bahamas in 1993, and came into force in 1996. The Act establishes a 200 NM EEZ and extends the territorial waters of the Bahamas from 6 to 12 NM from an archipelagic baseline (Parliament of The Bahamas 1993; Figure 6-2). An archipelagic baseline differs from a traditional baseline, which uses the low-tide mark along a nation's coastline to establish maritime boundaries, in that it joins the traditional baselines of two or more islands in an archipelago by extending a straight line, or series of lines, across open water (Parliament of The Bahamas 1993). According to the Act, points by which the archipelagic baseline of The Bahamas may be delineated can include any charted physical feature or simply geographical coordinates. Even though The Bahamas declared its right to establish an archipelagic baseline in the 1993 Act, it has yet to delimit such a baseline (Turnquest 2005). A recent case study sponsored by the U.N. and the Nippon Foundation concluded that the drawing of an archipelagic baseline is an integral step for The Bahamas in establishing a claim to any portion of the continental shelf beyond 200 NM (Turnquest 2005).

The 1993 Act also grants the Governor-General the power to define internal waters of The Bahamas as those waters extending landward from closing lines, which like the archipelagic baseline, are lines delineated between the islands of The Bahamas using selected geographical coordinates or mapped physical features.



Figure 6-2. Proximity of Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA to maritime boundaries of the U.S. and The Bahamas. The territorial waters (12 NM), U.S. contiguous zone (24 NM), and exclusive economic zones (EEZs) (200 NM) of each nation are measured outward from their respective baselines (usually the mean low-tide line along the shore). Source data: GDAIS (2005).

A region of the continental margin located partially within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and extending south and east beyond the OPAREA is currently claimed by both the U.S. and The Bahamas (GDAIS 2005; Turnquest 2005; CIA 2006b). The region is approximately 33,000 km^2 and represents an area where the EEZs of the U.S. and The Bahamas overlap, and also includes a portion of the continental margin beyond 200 NM claimed by both nations under their respective continental shelf acts. As a signatory to the UNCLOS, The Bahamas has until 13 May 2009 to submit a claim for any portion of the continental margin beyond 200 NM to the U.N. Commission on the Outer Limits of the Continental Shelf (Turnquest 2005). Since the U.S. has not ratified the UNCLOS, the requirement to submit a claim does not apply. Unless a bilateral agreement between the U.S. and The Bahamas is reached, it is possible that a third party, such as the International Court of Justice, could be called upon to settle the dispute and help delineate the maritime boundary in this area.

6.1.2 U.S. Maritime Boundary Effects on Federal Legislation and Executive Orders

The establishment of maritime boundaries by the U.S. defines the jurisdictional extent of laws and executive orders governing the actions of the U.S. and its citizens. The following laws and executive orders relevant to this MRA are affected by maritime boundaries.

- The Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) protects, conserves, and manages marine mammals in waters under the jurisdiction of the U.S., which are defined by the MMPA as the U.S. territorial seas, EEZ, and the eastern special areas between the U.S. and Russia. The act further regulates “takes” of marine mammals on the global commons (i.e., the high seas or Antarctica) by vessels or persons under U.S. jurisdiction.

- The Endangered Species Act (ESA) regulates the protection, conservation, or management of endangered species in the U.S. territorial land and seas as well as on the high seas.

- The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSFCMA), also known as the Sustainable Fisheries Act (SFA), claims sovereign rights over fish and fishery management in the U.S. EEZ (except for highly migratory species). The U.S. cooperates with nations or international organizations involved in fisheries for the highly migratory species in order to conserve and promote optimum yields of the species in their entire range in and beyond the U.S. EEZ.

- The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) establishes a Council on Environmental Quality and a national policy that will encourage productive harmony between humans and their environment and prevents or eliminates damage to the environment; boundaries include the territorial lands and waters of the U. S. to the limit of the territorial seas.

- Executive Order 12114 extends environmental impact evaluation requirements beyond the territorial seas and contiguous zone of the U.S. to include the environment of other nations and the global commons outside the jurisdiction of any nation.

- The Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act (MPRSA) regulates the dumping of materials in the ocean. It is applicable to material transported by any U.S. person, vessel, aircraft, or agency from any location in the world and by any person outside the U.S. intending to dump materials in U.S. territorial seas and the contiguous zone.

- The Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act (MPPRCA) prevents pollution of the marine environment by any vessel with U.S. registry or under U.S. authority and all vessels in the U.S. territorial waters or EEZ.

6.2 COMMERCIALLY NAVIGABLE WATERWAYS

Navigable waterways of the U.S. are those waters that are presently used to transport interstate or foreign commerce. A determination of navigability, once made, applies laterally over the entire surface of the water body and is not extinguished by later actions or events that impede or destroy navigable capacity (33 CFR 329.4). More than 40,000 km (21,000 NM) of commercially navigable waterways exist within the U.S. transportation system (BTS 2004a).

The western North Atlantic supports a large volume of both domestic and international maritime traffic. Ships transiting within or in the vicinity of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA may use any one of over 20 major waterways that intersect the OPAREA (Figure 6-3). One waterway runs roughly parallel to the coastline and serves as a connecting point between the six waterways entering the OPAREA from the southeast and the multiple waterways oriented perpendicular to the coast that provide access to major port cities in the region. Three additional waterways enter the OPAREA from the south and also intersect the waterway running parallel to the coastline either within the OPAREA or farther to the north.

The JAX/CHASN OPAREA lies just offshore of several major commercial shipping ports including: Jacksonville, Florida; Savannah, Georgia; and Charleston, South Carolina. The port of Jacksonville handles both cargo ships and passenger cruise ships. In Fiscal Year (FY) 2005, 8.4 million tons of cargo comprised of automobiles, goods shipped in containers, and bulk goods, passed through the port with the majority of automobiles and bulk goods inbound and the majority of containerized goods outbound. A burgeoning cruise ship industry has seen the number of cruise vessel calls increase from zero in FY 2003 to 86 in FY 2005 with over 275,000 passengers served (JAXPORT 2006). Twenty-one million tons of goods moved through the port of Savannah in 2003, which accounted for two percent of all waterborne tonnage shipped in the U.S. Savannah is both a major domestic and international port with imports (e.g., petroleum products) arriving from Central and South America and exports (e.g., wood and paper products) departing to Japan as well as other Asian countries (BTS 2004b). The port of Charleston ranked as the fifth busiest U.S. port for international trade by shipment value in 2003 (BTS 2004c). Major destinations of exports such as food, paper and wood products, and chemicals include Germany and other European nations, and imports of food, machinery, consumer goods, and textiles are predominantly from Latin America (BTS 2004c). In terms of the total number of vessel calls in 2004, the ports of Savannah and Charleston ranked as the ninth and tenth busiest U.S. ports, respectively (DoT 2005).

Major ports connected by navigable waterways extending to the north and south of the OPAREA include Baltimore, Philadelphia, and New York to the north and Miami as well as access into the Gulf of Mexico to the south.

6.3 SCUBA DIVING SITES

The JAX/CHASN OPAREA contains a vast number of popular sites for both recreational scuba diving and snorkeling (Figure 6-4). Dive sites in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA are typically associated with artificial habitats, such as live hard bottom (i.e., natural reefs), artificial reefs (i.e., reefballs), and shipwrecks. These structures range widely in size, type, and architecture.

The entire JAX/CHASN OPAREA has considerable hard bottom that can support sessile fauna, flora, and demersal species (Jones et al. 1985; Cahoon et al. 1990). Examples of hard bottom substrates within the OPAREA include rock outcroppings of mudstone, fossilliferous limestone, sandstone off North Carolina, natural reef (e.g., Gray's Reef off Georgia), limestone outcroppings, coquina shells off the coast of northern Florida, and artificial reefs scattered throughout the entire OPAREA (Jones et al. 1985; Riggs et al 1998; SEAMAP 2001).

Gray's Reef is a National Marine Sanctuary located off the coast of Georgia and is 17 NM east of Sapelo Island. Its depth ranges from 18 to 22 m (Sedberry and McGovern 1998). Its bottom topography consists of low to moderate rock outcroppings and ledges that are situated in a northwest to southwest direction (Hunt 1974); Sedberry and McGovern 1998). It has an abundant amount of coral and sponge coverage as well as numerous tropical fish species.



Figure 6-3. Commercially navigable waterways found in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: PHMSA (2008).



Figure 6-4. Popular recreational dive sites in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: Deloach (2000), NMSP (2003), FFWCC (2008), GDNR (2001), GDNR (2005), NCDMF (2005), SCMRD (2005), and Waterproof Charts, Inc. (n.d.), and (2003).

Along with natural reefs, there are also a considerable number of artificial reef habitats found throughout the JAX/CHASN OPAREA that are both fished and dived frequently. The offshore waters of South Carolina and Georgia contain the bulk of the artificial reefs in the OPAREA (see chapter 4 for a more detailed description of artificial reefs in the region).

Because Florida waters have the warmest water temperatures throughout the year, diving can occur off Florida in any season, but the best times are during summer when the winds are light and turbidity is at its lowest (Deloach 2000). Jacksonville is a very popular area for diving in northeastern Florida where waters can attain visibility up to 37 m (Deloach 2000).

Various reefs and limestone ledges throughout the Jacksonville coastal zone and offshore region create habitat for vast amounts of coral, sponge, and tropical fish species. Clayton's Hollar is the most popular dive spot off Jacksonville and has three relatively large reefs about 1.6 km in length and between 26 and 29 m in depth (Deloach 2000). Amberjack Hole is a natural reef that has 3 m ledges found at depths between 23 and 26 m. St. Augustine and Daytona Beach also have numerous dive sites created by natural reefs and artificial habitats (i.e., shipwrecks) with a similar assemblage of marine species.

Shipwrecks throughout the JAX/CHASN OPAREA contribute considerably to recreational diving. The offshore waters of North Carolina have some of the most shipwrecks on the east coast due in large part to its three treacherous capes: Cape Hatteras, Cape Lookout, and Cape Fear. Diving occurs throughout the year in North Carolina but the popular recreational season is from May to June at depths between 25 and 38 m (Seldon 2004). A number of shipwrecks are found in Onslow Bay, between Cape Lookout and Cape Fear, and around the point of Cape Fear (AUE 2006). Many divers are also attracted to North Carolina waters because it's a congregating site for sand tiger sharks which are especially abundant around shipwrecks (TDP 2006). Shipwrecks throughout South Carolina, Georgia, and northern Florida also contribute to recreational diving (see chapter 4 for additional information on shipwrecks in the region).

6.4 OCEANOGRAPHIC BUOYS, LIGHT TOWERS, AND NAVY TOWERS

There are 10 oceanographic weather buoys moored and maintained by NOAA's National Data Buoy Center (NDBC) located in or near the JAX/CHASN OPAREA (Figure 6-5). In addition there is also one light tower platform with a Coastal-Marine Automated Network (C-MAN) site attached and maintained by the NDBC located in the OPAREA. Most of the weather buoys and C-MAN sites, which are strategically placed on lighthouses, offshore platforms, capes, nearshore islands, and beaches, were established by the NDBC to serve as data gathering sites for the National Weather Service. C-MAN sites are capable of monitoring wind direction, wind speed and gust, air temperature, and barometric pressure; however some sites also measure relative humidity, precipitation, sea surface temperature, and visibility (NOAA 2002a). The moored oceanographic buoys maintained by the NDBC monitor most of the same parameters as the C-MAN sites as well as wave energy spectra which allow the calculation of wave height, dominant and average wave period, and in some cases, the direction of wave propagation (NOAA 2002b). The Navy also maintains eight offshore platforms outfitted with observational oceanographic and meteorological equipment that are used for flight training, which are collectively called the South Atlantic Bight Synoptic Offshore Observational Network (SABSOON) (SIO 2006).



Figure 6-5. Oceanographic Buoys, Light Towers, and Navy Towers in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NOAA (2002a).

6.5 LITERATURE CITED

AUE (Association of Underwater Explorers). 2006. North Carolina shipwrecks. Accessed 10 march 2006. http://uwex.us/northcarolinashipwrecks.htm.

BTS (Bureau of Transportation Statistics). 2004a. National transportation statistics 2004, BTS04-08, Washington, DC, U.S. Government Printing Office.

BTS (Bureau of Transportation Statistics). 2004b. Port of Savannah, Georgia—water gateway. Accessed 19 July 2006. http://www.bts.gov/publications/americas_freight_transportation_gateways/highlights_of_top_25_freight_gateways_by_shipment_value/port_of_savannah/.

BTS (Bureau of Transportation Statistics). 2004c. Port of Charleston, South Carolina—water gateway. Accessed 19 July 2006. http://www.bts.gov/publications/americas_freight_transportation_gateways/highlights_of_top_25_freight_gateways_by_shipment_value/port_of_charleston/.

Cahoon, L.B., D.G. Lindquist, and I.E. Claviijo. 1990. “Live bottoms” in the Florida-Hatteras shelf ecosystem: A misconception? Pages 39-47 in W.C. Japp, ed. Proceedings, The American Academy of Underwater Sciences Tenth Annual Scientific Diving Symposium. 4-7 October 1990. St. Petersburg, Florida.

CIA (Central Intelligence Agency). 2006a. The World Fact Book: United States. Accessed 3 March 2006. http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/docs/notesanddefs.html#2106.

CIA (Central Intelligence Agency). 2006b. The World Fact Book: The Bahamas. Accessed 19 April 2006. http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/geos/bf.html.

DeLoach, N. 2000. Diving guide to underwater Florida. Up-Dated 10th ed. Jacksonville, Florida: New World Publications.

DOALOS (Division for Ocean Affairs and the Law of the Sea). 2005. Maritime Space: Maritime Zones and Maritime Delimitiations: United States of America. Accessed 20 June 2006. http://www.un.org/Depts/los/LEGISLATIONANDTREATIES/STATEFILES/USA.htm.

DOALOS (Division for Ocean Affairs and the Law of the Sea). 2006a. United Nations convention on the Law of the Sea of 10 September 1982: Overview and full text. Accessed 16 June 2006. http://www.un.org/Depts/los/convention_agreements/convention_overview_convention.htm.

DOALOS (Division for Ocean Affairs and the Law of the Sea). 2006b. The United Nations convention on the Law of the Sea: A historical perspective. Accessed 16 June 2006. http://www.un.org/Depts/los/convention_agreements/convention_historical_perspective.htm#Setting%20Limits.

DoS (Department of State). 1977. Fishery conservation zones. Federal Register 43(44):12936-12940.

DoT (Department of Transportation). 2005. Vessel calls at U.S. ports 2004. U.S. Maritime Administration. Downloaded from: www.marad.dot.gov/marad_statistics.

FFWCC (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission). 2008. South Atlantic habitat and ecosystem IMS. Electronic data. Download date: 11 August 2008. http://ocean.floridamarine.org/efh_coral/ims/MapFrame.htm.

GDNR (Georgia Department of Natural Resources). 2001. Georgia's offshore artificial, reefs and the Gray's Reef National Marine Sanctuary and U.S. Navy towers: Descriptions and coordinates. Brunswick, Georgia: Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Coastal Resources Division.

GDNR (Georgia Department of Natural Resources). 2005. Georgia's offshore artificial reefs brochure corrections & updates. Brunswick, Georgia: Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Coastal Resources Division. 4 pp.

Hunt, J.L. 1974. The geology and origin of Gray's Reef, Georgia continental shelf. Master's thesis, University of Georgia.

JAXPORT (Jacksonville Port Authority). 2006. Maritime statistics. Accessed 19 July 2006. http://www.jaxport.com/sea/g_stats.cfm.

Jones, A.C., S.A. Berkeley, J.A. Bohnsack, S.A.. Bortone, D.K. Camp, G.H. Darcy, J.C. Davis, K.D. Haddad, M.Y. Hedgepeth, E.W. Irby, Jr., W.C. Jaap, F.S. Kennedy, W.G. Lyons, E.L. Nakamura, T.H. Perkins, J.K. Reed, K.A. Steidinger, J.T. Tilmant, and R.O. Williams. 1985. Ocean habitat and fishery resources of Florida. Pages 437-543 in W. Seaman, Jr., ed. Florida aquatic habitat and fishery resources. Gainesville, Florida: Florida Chapter, American Fisheries Society.

Kapoor, D.C., and A.J. Kerr. 1986. A guide to maritime boundary delimitation. Toronto: The Carswell Company, Ltd.

Law Reform and Revision Commission 2002. Continental Shelf Act of 1970. The statute law of The Bahamas, 1799-2002. Volume I. chapter 5. Lansdowne, South Africa: Juta and Company, Limited.

NCDMF (North Carolina Department of Marine Fisheries).2005. North Carolina artificial guide. Received March 2005 from James Francesconi. Morehead City, North Carolina: North Carolina Department of Marine Fisheries.

NMSP (National Marine Sanctuary Program). 2003. Gray's Reef National Marine Sanctuary regulations. Federal Register 68(211):62033-62040.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2002a. The C-MAN program. Accessed 03 March 2006 http://www.ndbc.noaa.gov/cman.php.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2002b. National data buoy center. Accessed 03 March 2006 http://www.ndbc.noaa.gov/hull.shtml.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2005. U.S. Marine Cadastre. Accessed 20 April 2005. http://www.csc.noaa.gov/mbwg/htm/cadastre.htm.

Parliament of the Bahamas. 1977. Fisheries Resources (Jurisdiction and Conservation) Act of 1977. Downloaded 31 August 2005 from http://www.un.org/Depts/los/LEGISLATIONANDTREATIES/PDFFILES/BHS_1977_13.pdf

Parliament of The Bahamas. 1993. Archipelagic Waters and Maritime Jurisdiction Act, 1993. Downloaded 31 August 2005 from http://www.un.org/Depts/los/LEGISLATIONANDTREATIES/STATEFILES/BHS.htm.

PHMSA (Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration). 2008. Commercially navigable waterways. Electroinic data. Download date: 14 August 2008.

Prescott, J.R.V. 1987. Straight and archipelagic baselines. Pages 39-51 in G.H. Blake, ed. Maritime boundaries and ocean resources. Totowa, New Jersey: Rowman and Littlefield Publishing.

Riggs, S.R., W.G. Ambrose, JR., J.W. Cook, S.W. Snyder., and S.W. Snyder. 1998. Sediment production on sediment-starved continental margins: The interrelationship between hard bottom, sedimentological and benthic community processes, and storm dynamics. Journal of Sedimentary Research 68(1): 155-168.

Rosenberg, M. 2005. Political Geography of the Oceans. Accessed 26 April 2005. http://geography.about.com/od/politicalgeography/a/politicaloceans.htm.

SCMRD (South Carolina Marine Resources Division). 2005. South Carolina artificial reef-GPS update. Electronic data. Received March 2005 from Robert M. Martore. Charleston, South Carolina: South Carolina Marine Resources Division, Office of Fisheries Management.

SEAMAP (Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program). 2001. South Atlantic Bight bottom mapping CD-ROM, Version 1.2 Washington, D.C.: Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, SEAMAP-South Atlantic Bottom Mapping Workgroup.

Sedberry, G.R. and J.C. McGovern. 1998. A comparison of fish populations in Gray's Reef National Marine Sanctuary to similar habitats off the southeastern U.S.: Implications for reef fish and sanctuary management. Proceedings of the Annual Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute 50:452-481.

Seldon, L. 2004. North Carolina's coast, a wreck diver's dream. Accessed 20 April 2006. http://www.wetdawg.com/pages/under/scuba_nc_wrecks/index_sc.php.

SIO (Skidway Institute of Oceanography) 2006. South Atlantic Bight synoptic offshore observational network (SABSOON). Accessed 08 March 2006 http://www.skio.peachnet.edu/research/sabsoon/.

TDP (Tom's Dive Page). 2006. Cape Fear diving. Accessed 07 June 2006. http://members.aol.com /fpsndiver/fpsn4.html.

Turnquest, N. 2005. Delimitation of the maritime boundary between the Commonwealth of The Bahamas and the United States of America: A case study. New York: United Nations. Downloaded 2 February 2006 from http://www.un.org/depts/los/nippon/unnff_programme_home/fellows_pages/fellows_papers/turnquest_0506_bahamas.pdf.

U.N. (United Nations). 2001. United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea Agreement Relating to the Implementation of Part XI of the Convention. Accessed 27 April 2005. http://www.un.org/Depts/los/convention_agreements/texts/unclos/closindx.htm.

Waterproof Charts Inc. 2003. Charleston, S.C. to North Florida F/D. Waterproof Charts, Inc.

Waterproof Charts Inc. n.d. Waterproof chart #95F: Charleston to Cape Lookout. Punta Gorda, Florida: Waterproof Charts, Inc.

This page intentionally left blank

7.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

The JAX/CHASN OPAREA is located within the South Atlantic Bight. It is a dynamic region that has been studied and surveyed heavily by various universities (i.e., University of North Carolina Wilmington and Virginia Institute of Marine Science), government agencies (i.e., NOAA, MMS, BLM, DoN, and USGS), and academic institutions (i.e., Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute and Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute). Despite the wealth of scientific knowledge for the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity, much remains to be learned to support Navy environmental planning. The following recommendations are designed to improve our understanding of the marine resources of the South Atlantic Bight waters, especially those resources that may be potentially affected by Navy operations.

Each recommendation presented in this chapter is assigned a priority ranking of 1, 2, or 3 with 1 being the highest and 3 the lowest priority. The priority designations are relative to one another and in no way reflect a project's overall value. The relative cost of each recommendation is characterized as low, moderate, or high. Low-cost recommendations may be completed at a cost of several hundred to a few thousand dollars. Moderate-cost projects could range from thousands to tens of thousands of dollars, while high-cost research initiatives range from tens to hundreds of thousands of dollars. The recommendations are ordered by priority ranking (i.e., Priority 1 projects are listed first) and are grouped into those related to the production and evaluation of this MRA and those needed to adequately complete environmental documentation for the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.

7.1 MARINE RESOURCE ASSESSMENTS

- Develop an improved approach to updating and maintaining data and information within the context of the MRA Program. Due to the rapidly developing nature of marine resources information, the Navy should work towards implementing a more dynamic MRA program with the ability to continuously update text and incorporate data as it becomes available. This will help ensure the best and most current information and data are available for use in planning and compliance analyses on an ongoing basis rather than relying on a 5-year update schedule that can become time consuming and cumbersome. This new system should be based primarily on online access to text, data, and maps, as well as establish a process for evaluating new information as it becomes available. **Cost**: High. **Priority**: 1.

- Subject this MRA to peer review. Peer review by regulatory agencies (e.g., NMFS), the scientific community, and potential government users will only increase the quality and effectiveness of this document. Scientists and specialists in fields relevant to this MRA can provide critical comments and reviews that can only improve the usability, content, and quality of the MRAs (Table 7-1). **Cost**: Low to Moderate. **Priority**: 1.

- Obtain marine mammal and sea turtle datasets for the study area that were not available for inclusion in this assessment. While all comprehensive data have been included (see Appendix A—Table A-1), acquiring the following datasets may ensure more complete data coverage:

  - Southeast turtle surveys (SETS) for 1982 through 1984 from NMFS-SEFSC; although we have occurrence data for two sea turtle species (loggerheads and leatherbacks) from these surveys, data for the remaining turtle species would be most useful in delineating the seasonal distributions of those species.

  - Mid-Atlantic *Tursiops* surveys (MATS) for 1994 to complete our MATS inventory from NMFS-SEFSC; although sea turtle records were provided for the 1994 survey, a complete dataset would also provide the marine mammal records.

- Acquisition and analysis of existing data will be less expensive than generating new data. The potential contribution of these datasets to our understanding of the distribution of these protected species is high, and the acquisition should be a very low cost. **Cost**: Low. **Priority**: 2.

Table 7-1. Suggested expert reviewers for the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA MRA.

Name	Affiliation	Area of Expertise
Dr. Steve Ross	University of North Carolina, Wilmington	Deep sea corals
Mr. Joseph Uravitch	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association	Marine protected areas
Dr. Aleta Hohn	NMFS Southeast Fishery Science Center	Marine mammal population dynamics
Dr. Ric Ruebsamen	NMFS Panama City Habitat	Essential fish habitat
Dr. Dawn Wright	Oregon State University	Oceanography and marine geospatial resources
Dr. Andy Read	Duke University, Nicholas School of the Environment and Earth Sciences	Marine mammal ecology
Dr. Lance Garrison	NMFS Southeast Fishery Science Center	Spatial ecology of marine mammals
Mr. Matthew Godfrey	North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission	Sea turtle reproduction and conservation
Dr. Scott Eckert	Duke University, Nicholas School of the Environment and Earth Sciences – Marine Sciences & Conservation	Sea turtle ecology
Mr. David Taylor	NCDMF Morehead City Office	Fisheries management
Dr. Michael Coyne	Duke University, Nicholas School of the Environment and Earth Sciences – Environmental Sciences & Policy	Sea turtle biology and Spatial ecology of marine protected species

7.2 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION

- Support dedicated marine mammal and sea turtle aerial and/or shipboard surveys in the sections of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA not covered or inadequately covered by previous survey efforts (Figure 7-1). While it is essential to continue surveying in previously studied areas to account for seasonal and inter-annual variation in distribution and abundance of protected species stocks, it is critical to gather data for areas where survey effort has not taken place (or has occurred at lower levels). By focusing attention on these areas, a more complete concept of marine mammal and sea turtle distribution may emerge. Surveys are recommended in the deepwaters of the northeastern section of the study area beyond the U.S. EEZ during all seasons as very little data beyond fisheries bycatch exist for this region. **Cost**: High. **Priority**: 2.



Figure 7-1. Spatial coverage of shipboard and aerial survey effort for protected species in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity.

- Winter—Continental shelf waters have been sampled by ship and aerial surveys during this time of year but little to no effort has been conducted in deeper waters beyond the shelf break (Figure 7-1). Additional shipboard surveys should be conducted in winter in deeper waters of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Aerial surveys of the continental shelf waters should continue in this season, and optimally a combined shipboard and aerial survey effort could be made of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA during this season to provide the best comprehensive record of sea turtle and marine mammal distribution.

- Spring—Shipboard surveys of the deeper waters of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA beyond the continental shelf break are needed during spring.

  A combined shipboard and aerial survey program designed to cover not only the shallower, continental shelf waters, which are currently the most heavily surveyed, but also the deeper waters at least once during spring would be optimal.

- Summer—During this season most of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA has been surveyed at least once except for a few areas in the deeper waters beyond the shelf break, where little to no effort has taken place. Although additional shipboard surveys of the deepest waters of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA would be optimal, summer would be the season in which the least sighting effort would be recommended since many of the migrating baleen whales are already on their feeding grounds further north, and the coastal waters are well-documented for dolphin occurrence.

- Fall—Considerable survey effort has taken place over the shelf region of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA during this season, little to no survey effort has been expended in much of the deepwaters of the OPAREA. Shipboard surveys of these deepwaters in which no survey effort has occurred, would provide the additional seasonal data necessary to fully document occurrence patterns on an annual cycle.

➢ Support Efforts that allow experienced observers to collect marine mammal and sea turtle sighting data during NMFS ichthyoplankton, fish, or other dedicated surveys. Providing experienced observers can be done at relatively low cost (primarily the salaries of the observers) since the monitoring would occur simultaneously during ongoing ships surveys. Existing research cruises provide a valuable chance to collect data opportunistically that would overwise only be collected during dedicated cruises, which are very expensive. **Cost**: Low. **Priority**: 3.

➢ Support marine mammal and sea turtle stranding networks and their analysis of their collected data, efforts to rehabilitate and release stranded marine mammals and sea turtles, and the tagging and tracking of released animals with satellite or radio telemetry tags. Stranding data is a vital adjunct to sighting and fisheries bycatch data in discerning occurrence patterns of protected species, particularly of sea turtles. Stranding networks are generally understaffed and under-funded, resulting in less than desirable data management and quality assurance. Tracking of released animals provides information on habitat associations and movement patterns of individual animals that would otherwise be unknown. **Cost**: Low. **Priority**: 3.

➢ Sponsor habitat mapping and classification research of deepsea corals in the U.S. Atlantic waters. The importance of deepwater corals has been recognized and steps to federally protect these corals are underway (Deep Sea Coral Protection Act). If enacted, occurrence information on deepwater corals will be vital for compliance with the statute and to ensure that Naval operations are conducted to reduce detrimental impacts to these deepwater habitats. **Cost**: Moderate to High. **Priority**: 2.

➢ One effort to acquire this much needed data and information on deepwater corals is the NOAA sponsored Southeastern Deep Sea Coral (SEADESC) Initiative, which involved dives of submersibles and remotely operated vehicles off North Carolina and other areas. During the dives, video and occurrence data on deepsea corals, especially of *Lophelia,* were collected. One of the project's investigators, Dr. Steve Ross (University of North Carolina), is searching for funding to initiate the second phase of the project to analyze the video footage and produce an atlas of the deep sea corals in the region of North Carolina already surveyed. The atlas will include all published and unpublished data in a searchable electronic format.

- Promote efforts of the SAFMC to update and provide clear and concise EFH/HAPC designations, including maps, for the waters of the southeastern U.S. Currently, the majority of the SAFMC's designations do not comply with the EFH Final Rule (January 2002) (i.e., designations are made for MU rather than individual species) and no maps of the designated EFH are provided in the SAFMC FMPs. Thus, life history information must be interpreted to provide EFH designations for individual species. Since interpretations are subjective, concerns exist should EFH consultations be required. Supporting and encouraging EFH revision efforts by the SAFMC would ensure that the most accurate EFH/HAPC designations and maps would be available so the extent of protected fish habitat areas is apparent and no interpretations are necessary. **Cost**: Low. **Priority**: 1.

- Declassify Navy deepwater (>200 m) bathymetry data. High-resolution deepwater bathymetry data are not publicly available except for selected areas of the U.S. Atlantic. The Navy, however, has collected oceanographic, including bathymetry, data for decades and possesses data that is nonexistent elsewhere. The U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy, under the Oceans Act of 2000, has recommended that the U.S. Navy periodically declassify relevant oceanographic data. Not only would civilian scientists benefit from access to these data, but Navy environmental planners would as well. The declassification would also fulfill the Navy's responsibility regarding aspects of national ocean policy. **Cost**: Low. **Priority**: 3.

- Support and/or fund the augmentation of marine mammal shipboard surveys with passive acoustic surveys or with the deployment and monitoring of sonobuoys. Acoustic surveys have been conducted in conjunction with some sighting surveys and are particularly useful for identifying and tracking vocal, deep-diving species such as sperm whales, which spend less time at the surface and are often missed during visual sighting surveys. **Cost**: Moderate to High. **Priority**: 2.

- Utilize satellite-tracking technology to monitor the movements of species of special interest. Several species of endangered cetaceans and sea turtles occur in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, yet little is known about their seasonal movements in or through the OPAREA. Satellite-tracking programs are expensive, precluding the study of more than a few individuals. While insights on an individual's behaviors or movements may be gained, questions at the population level may go unanswered. **Cost**: Moderate. **Priority**: 3.

- Sponsor sea turtle telemetry studies along coastal North Carolina. Such research is necessary to further understand turtle migrations along the U.S. coast as well as in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean. Tagging studies should focus on post-nesting females as well as adults and juveniles stranded and rehabilitated along the U.S. Atlantic coast. Tracking rehabilitated animals may also provide insight into successful rehabilitation techniques and optimal rehabilitation durations. **Cost**: Moderate. **Priority**: 3.

- Fund research efforts utilizing land-based radar to acquire data on surface and subsurface ocean currents, which contribute to the overall circulation on the continental shelf; the ultimate goal of this research is the identification of circulation patterns in this highly dynamic environment. Recent studies measuring the speed and direction of surface currents using land-based radar systems have provided near real-time data on wind-driven circulation at the shelf edge (Shen et al. 2000; Gangopadhyay et al. 2005). An advantage of having real-time information to detect transient circulation, such as regional upwelling events, is the increased predictive capability to identify areas of marine mammal occurrence. **Cost**: Low. **Priority**: 3.

- Collect limited temperature and depth (oceanographic) data with Navy ships. The deployment of expendable bathythermographs (XBTs) from Navy ships transiting the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and vicinity would provide a means to collect low-cost information from areas not routinely surveyed by oceanographic cruises and would help to ground-truth data acquired from satellite remotely sensed ocean temperature data. This approach would be similar to the successful "ship of opportunity" program where hydrographic data are collected aboard commercial merchant vessels. **Cost**: Low. **Priority**: 3.

- Support efforts of the Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program (ACCSP). The ACCSP is a cooperative state-federal program whose goal is to design, implement, and conduct marine fisheries statistics data collection programs (both commercial and recreational fisheries) and to integrate those data into a single data management system that will meet the needs of fishery managers, scientists, and fishermen. Access to the fisheries data compiled by this program would provide Navy environmental planners with the location of recreational and commercial fisheries, data that is often difficult to acquire. **Cost**: Low. **Priority**: 3.

7.3 LITERATURE CITED

Gangopadhyay, A., C.Y. Shen, G.O. Marmorino, R.P. Mied, and G.J. Lindemann. 2005. An extended velocity projection method for estimating the subsurface current and density structure for coastal plume regions: An application to the Chesapeake Bay outflow plume. Continental Shelf Research 25:1303-1319.

Shen, C.Y. R.A. Fusina, and L.K. Shay. 2000. An assessment of local coastal dynamics observed with high-frequency radar. Journal of Geophysical Research 105(C3):6517-6530.

8.0 LIST OF PREPARERS

NAME/TITLE/AFFILIATION	EDUCATION	PROJECT ROLE
Joel T. Bell Marine Protected Species Biologist Atlantic Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Norfolk, Virginia	M.E.M., Coastal Environmental Management Duke University B.S., Marine Science Kutztown University	Navy Technical Representative
Dan L. Wilkinson Vice President, Natural Resources Division Geo-Marine, Inc. Plano, Texas	Ph.D., Botany Texas A&M University M.S., Zoology Stephen F. Austin State University B.S., Biology Central State University	Program Director
Jason See Manager, Marine Sciences Geo-Marine, Inc. Plano, Texas	Ph.D., Marine Sciences Virginia Institute of Marine Sciences B.S., Zoology Texas A&M University	Project Manager, Technical Review, Report Layout and Production
Anurag Kumar Marine Scientist/Acoustician Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	M.S., Marine Science Moss Landing Marine Laboratories, California State University B.S., Biology California State University	Project Manager, Data Analysis, and Technical Review, Report layout and Production
Elizabeth Pruitt Project Manager Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	M.S., Biology Old Dominion University B.S., Biology Old Dominion University	Project Manager, Technical Editor and Review, Report layout and Production
Cheryl Schroeder Manager, Marine Sciences/ Senior Oceanographer Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	M.S., Biological Oceanography University of Rhode Island B.S., Marine Biology Texas A&M University	Fisheries Contributor and Technical Review
Brian Bloodworth Marine Scientist Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	M.S., Wildlife and Fisheries Sciences Texas A&M University B.S., Marine Biology Texas A&M University	Protected Species, Executive Summary, and Introduction Contributor
Chad Burrows Fisheries Biologist Geo-Marine, Inc. Plano, Texas	M.S., Environmental Science Stephen F. Austin State University B.S., Limnology Stephen F. Austin State University	Technical Review

NAME/TITLE/AFFILIATION	EDUCATION	PROJECT ROLE
Bryan Chastain GIS Analyst Geo-Marine, Inc. Plano, Texas	M.A., Geography Ohio State University B.S., Computer Science Baylor University	Data Quality Control
Ross Crossland GIS Analyst Geo-Marine, Inc. Plano, Texas	B.S., Geography Texas A&M University	GIS Data/Map Preparation and Introduction Contributor
Ken Deslarzes Senior Marine Ecologist Geo-Marine, Inc. Plano, Texas	Ph.D., Oceanography Texas A&M University Diploma Biology University of Lausanne, Switzerland License of Biology University of Lausanne, Switzerland	Technical Review
Amy Drohan Marine Scientist Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	M.S., Biology Hofstra University B.S., Marine Science Richard Stockton State College	PI Habitats of Concern, PI Glossary, PI Recommendations, and Additional Considerations Contributor
Meredith Fagan Marine Scientist Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	B.S., Biology University of Virginia	Protected Species
Nicholas Farrar GIS Analyst Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	B.S., Geography University of North Carolina-Appalachian State	GIS Data/Map Preparation and Deliverables Production
Dagmar Fertl Senior Marine Mammal Biologist Geo-Marine, Inc. Plano, Texas	M.S., Wildlife and Fisheries Sciences Texas A&M University B.S., Biology Trinity University	PI for Protected Species and Technical Review
Phyllis Fletcher Administrative Assistant Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	Certified Computer Operator ECPI College of Technology	Final Report Preparation, Layout, and Production
Courtney Ford Marine Scientist Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, VA	M.S., Fisheries Auburn University B.S., Zoology Auburn University	Oceanography, Habitats of Concern, Fish and Fisheries, Map Coordination, Technical Review
Kayla Gibbs Administrative Assistant Geo-Marine, Inc. Plano, Texas	B.S., Biology Northern Arizona University	Literature and Library Management

NAME/TITLE/AFFILIATION	EDUCATION	PROJECT ROLE
Peter Gehring Manager, GIS Geo-Marine, Inc. Plano, Texas	M.S., Environmental Science Miami University B.S., Biology Miami University	GIS Map Coordination, GIS Map/Data Preparation, and Introduction Contributor
Nora Gluch Marine Scientist Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	M.E.M., Coastal Environmental Management Duke University B.A., Sociology Grinnell College	Contributor–Protected Species
Hilary Goodwin Biological Technician Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	B.A., International Relations The College of William and Mary	Literature and Library Management
Joseph Kaskey Senior Marine Scientist Geo-Marine, Inc. Plano, Texas	M.S., Botany Southern Illinois University B.A., Biological Sciences Southern Illinois University	Technical Review
Robert D. Kenney Marine Research Scientist Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island Narragansett, Rhode Island	Ph.D., Biological Oceanography University of Rhode Island B.S., Natural Resources/Aquatic Science Cornell University	Data Analysis and Technical Review
Kevin Knight Senior GIS Analyst Geo-Marine, Inc. Plano, Texas	B.S., Geology University of Texas	Data Manager, GIS Documentation, GIS Map/Data Preparation, and Quality Control
Jason Lyon GIS Analyst Geo-Marine, Inc. Plano, Texas	M.P.A., Urban Planning University of North Texas B.A., Geography University of North Texas	GIS Data/Map Preparation
Kyle Mallas GIS Analyst Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	B.S., Forest Management Colorado State University	GIS Data/Map Preparation and Deliverables Production
Rhianna McCarter GIS Analyst Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	B.S., Geography Old Dominion University	GIS Data/Map Preparation
Chris Meyer Manager, GIS Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	B.S., Geography Old Dominion University	GIS Map Coordination and Quality Control

NAME/TITLE/AFFILIATION	EDUCATION	PROJECT ROLE
Matthew Moore GIS Analyst Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	B.S., Geography Old Dominion University	GIS Data/Map Preparation, Graphic Design, Deliverables Production, and Data Management
Amy Scholik Fisheries Biologist/ Bioacoustician Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	Ph.D., Biology University of Kentucky B.S., Fisheries Management Ohio State University	Introduction and Protected Species Contributor and PI Fishes
J. Carter Watterson Marine Scientist/Fisheries Biologist Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	M.S., Marine Sciences University of South Alabama B.A., Biology University of Richmond	Technical Review
Jessica Weiss Marine Scientist Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	M.E.M., Coastal Environmental Management Duke University B.S., Marine Science Rutgers University	Protected Species and Additional Considerations Contributor and PI Data Sources and Recommendations
Leslie Whaylen Marine Scientist Geo-Marine, Inc. Plano, Texas	B.S., Marine Biology Texas A&M University at Galveston	Technical Review
Amy Whitt Marine Mammal Biologist Geo-Marine, Inc. Plano, Texas	M.E.M., Coastal Environmental Management Duke University B.S., Biology Lyon College	Protected Species
Matthew Wryk GIS Analyst Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	B.S., Biology Old Dominion University	GIS Map Coordination and Quality Control, GIS Data/Map Preparation and Deliverables Production
Michael Zickel Marine Scientist Geo-Marine, Inc. Hampton, Virginia	M.S., Marine, Estuarine, Environmental Sciences The University of Maryland-College Park B.S., Physics The College of William and Mary	PI for Physical Environment, PI for Additional Considerations, Introduction Contributor, and Map Coordination

9.0 GLOSSARY

Abiotic—non-living factor

Abundant—an indication of the plentifulness of a species at a particular place and time; an abundant species is more plentiful than an occasional or rare species

Abyssal plain—flat, sediment-covered part of the ocean floor between the continental rise and the mid-ocean ridge at a depth greater than 4,000 to 5,000 m

Adult—developmental stage characterized by sexual or physical (full size and strength) maturity

Aggregation—group of animals that forms when individuals are attracted to an environmental resource to which each responds independently; the term does not imply any social organization

Ahermatypic coral—non-reef building types of coral that lack symbiotic zooxanthallae and are not restricted by depth, temperature, or light penetration; may be solitary or colonial

Amphipods—a large group of crustacean with a shrimp-like appearance, usually with a laterally compressed body

Anadromous—referring to the life cycle of fishes, such as salmon, in which adults travel upriver from the sea to breed, usually returning to the area where they were born

Anomaly—something irregular or abnormal

Anthropogenic—describing a phenomenon or condition created, directly or indirectly, as a result of human activity

Anticyclonic—clockwise circulation in the Northern Hemisphere and counterclockwise circulation in the Southern Hemisphere; in oceanography, synonymous with the warm-core ring

Aquatic resources—those plants and animals that live within or are entirely dependent upon the water to survive; living resources found in aquatic habitats

Arribada—a large aggregation of female sea turtles exiting the ocean together to nest at the same place and time

Artificial reefs—human-made structures (sunken ships, concrete igloos, rubble) purposefully placed into the navigable waters of the U.S. or into the marine waters overlying the continental shelf to attract aquatic life; the SAFMC defines these as habitat areas within marine waters in which suitable structures or materials have intentionally been placed by humans for the purpose of creating, restoring, or improving long-term habitat for the eventual exploitation, conservation, or preservation of the resulting marine ecosystems that are naturally established on these materials (shipwrecks are not considered artificial reefs under this definition); the GMFMC defines these areas to include shipwrecks as well as oil and gas platforms.

Assemblage—the populations of various species from a larger taxon characteristically associated with a particular environment that can be used as an indicator of the environment

Attribute table—database management system (DBMS) or other tabular file consisting of rows and columns; these tables are associated with geographic features where each row represents a type of data and each column represents one attribute of the data

Audiogram—a hearing sensitivity curve drawn as a function of frequency and sound pressure level; describes the hearing ability of an animal

Auditory brainstem response (ABR)- a technique for measuring hearing sensitivity by which electrodes measure the brain's electrical output that results from sound stimuli

Autotroph—an organism that produces or synthesizes the organic materials they require from inorganic sources; organisms, such as plants, that produce their food are autotrophs

Baleen—the interleaved, hard, fibrous plates made of keratin (protein in fingernails and hair) that hang side by side in rows from the roof of the mouth of mysticete whales; baleen takes the place of teeth and serves to filter the whale's food from the water

Bank—a submerged ridge, shoal, sandbar, or other unconsolidated material that rises from the seafloor to near the water's surface, sometimes creating a navigational hazard

Baroclinic—conditions or flow in which surfaces of constant density (isopycnals) and surfaces of constant pressure (isobars) in the water column are inclined with respect to each other (i.e., slopes of the surfaces intersect). Under baroclinic conditions horizontal gradients in density are present which increasingly affect the pressure surfaces with increasing depth. At shallow depths, isobars are parallel to the sea surface, but with increasing depth the influence of sea surface height decreases and the influence of the horizontal density gradient increases, and the slope of the isobars no longer resembles the slope of the sea surface. Geostrophic flow at depth will be affected by this change and will not be the same throughout the water column.

Barotropic—conditions or flow in which surfaces of constant density (isopycnals) and surfaces of constant pressure (isobars) in the water column are parallel (i.e., slopes of the surfaces are equal with depth). Under barotropic conditions geostrophic flow is constant with depth and at right angles to the horizontal pressure gradient

Baseline—the line from which maritime boundaries (exclusive economic zone, contiguous zone, territorial waters) are measured; in the U.S., the baseline is the low tide line except at the mouths of inland water bodies (bays) where a closing line (straight-line) is drawn

Bathymetry—the topography of the ocean floor

Behavioral audiogram—a graphic representation of an animal's auditory threshold that is determined by tests with trained animals; measures the hearing ability of an animal

Benthic—in, on, or near the ocean floor; the term is used irrespective of whether the sea is shallow or deep

Benthopelagic—the ecological zone from the seabed to 100 m above the seabed; living and feeding near the bottom, mid-water, or near the surface

Benthos—organisms that live in, on, near, or are attached to the ocean bottom substrate

Biogenic structure—feature created by an organism while it is still living (e.g., tubes, shells)

Biogenic—originating from living organisms

Biomass—the amount of living matter per unit of water surface or water volume

Biotic—pertaining to life or living organisms

Bivalve—a group of marine or freshwater mollusks that consists of a soft body protected by two hinging shells (e.g., scallops and oysters)

Bloom—the seasonal dense growth of algae or phytoplankton that is triggered by an increase in the nutrient concentration or increased availability of light

Blow—air exhaled through the blowhole of a cetacean mixed with surrounding water that is displaced by the exhalation

Blowhole—the nostrils or nasal openings on top of the head of a cetacean

Blubber—a specialized layer of fat found between the skin and underlying muscle of many marine mammals; it is used primarily for insulation and energy storage

Bottlenose dolphin—the former common name for *Tursiops truncatus,* now called the common bottlenose dolphin

Bottom longline—a longline that is not suspended in the water with floats and uses weights or anchors to ensure gear is placed on or close to the ocean floor

Brachipods—lamp shells; a type of bivalve lophophorate that differ from mollusks, are generally benthic, and belong to the phylum Brachiopoda

Broadcast spawner—a fish that releases its gametes into the water, where fertilization occurs; without parental care

Bryozoan—phylum of small, aquatic colonial animals that are commonly called moss animals; each zooid or animal in the colony has a crown of ciliated tentacles

Bubble-net—the deployment of bubbles in columns, curtains, nets, and clouds to concentrate prey aggregations

Buffer—polygon or area that is a specified, equal distance around a geospatial feature

Burst-pulse—an impulse sound in which peak amplitude is reached very quickly

Calving—the process of giving birth by a whale, dolphin, porpoise, or manatee

Candidate species—refers to species that are subject of petition to list and for which NMFS has determined that listing may be warranted in pursuant to ESA section 4(b)(3)(A), and species for which NMS has determined, following a status review, that listing is warranted

Cape[1]—a darker region on the back of many species of dolphins and small whales, generally with a distinct margin

Cape[2]—a point or head of land (e.g., a peninsula) projecting into a body of water (e.g., Cape Hatteras or Cape Lookout).

Carapace width—the distance between the tips of the lateral spines on the sides of the crab; often used to used to enforce size limit for harvestable crabs

Carapace—the outer covering on the back of a sea turtle, which is bony for all sea turtle species with the exception of the leatherback, which has a leathery covering

Carbonate—type of rock or sediment formed of carbonate (CO_3^{-2}) and another elements such as calcium or magnesium; limestone and dolomite are common carbonate rocks

Carnivore—an animal that feeds exclusively on another animal's tissue

Cell size—the length and width of a raster cell in map units

Centripetal—moving or pulling toward a center or axis

Cephalopods—any marine mollusk of the class Cephalopoda, with the mouth and head surrounded by tentacles (squid, octopus, nautilus, and cuttlefish)

Cetaceans—aquatic mammals of the order Cetacea; whales, dolphins, and porpoises

Charter boat—a vessel typically less than 91 metric ton that carries six or fewer passengers for hire

Chelae—claws

Cheloniidae—the family of hard-shelled sea turtles that include the green, hawksbill, Kemp's ridley, and loggerhead turtles

Chemoautotroph—an organism that obtains its nutritive energy through inorganic chemical oxidation

Chemosynthesis/Chemosynthetic—the autotrophic, microbial process in which organic (carbon) compounds are synthesized via oxidation; chemical rather than solar energy (as in photosynthesis) drives the process

Chevron—a V-shaped stripe

Circumglobal—the distribution pattern displayed by organisms around the world, within a range of latitudes

Clastic—types of sediments or rocks composed of fragments derived from pre-existing rocks or minerals that have been transported a good distance from their place of origin

Click—a broad-frequency sound used by toothed whales for echolocation and which may serve a communicative function; usually with peak energy between 10 kHz and 200 kHz

Clutch—a total number of eggs from one nesting

Cnidarians—animals of the phylum Cnidaria that includes corals, sea fans, sea anemones, hydroids, and jellyfish known for the stinging cells on their tentacles; these animals exhibit two body types, polyps (may be attached or planktonic) or medusa, sometimes at different periods of one species' development

Coastal water—water that is along, near, or relating to a coast

Coast—geographic term that refers to the zone of contact between land and water

Cochlea—a spiral bony structure in the inner ear that looks like a snail shell and contains over 10,000 tiny hair cells, which are the receptor organs essential for hearing and that bend in response to sound waves, the bending of the hair cells stimulates nerve cells to send messages to the brain, which the brain interprets as sound

Coda—a patterned series of 3 to 20 clicks lasting about 0.5 to 2.5 seconds, used by sperm whales for communication

Cold-core eddy/ring—an eddy or circular current of cold water; in the North Atlantic Ocean, the water in cold-core rings circulates cyclonically (counterclockwise)

Cold-stunning—the behavior exhibited by sea turtles in response to cold water temperatures; the turtle becomes lethargic and adopts a stunned floating posture

Common—in the case of sea turtles, common means that sea turtles have been recorded in all, or nearly all, proper habitats, but some areas of the presumed habitat are occupied sparsely or not at all and/or the region regularly hosts large numbers of the species

Competitive exclusion—a concept that two or more resource-limited species having identical patterns of resource use cannot coexist in a stable environment

Congener—a member of the same species or genus

Conspecific—member of the same species, and in many cases, the same age or even sex

Continental margin—the boundary or transition between the continents and the ocean basins that consists of the physiographic provinces of the continental shelf, continental slope, and continental rise

Continental rise—the province of the continental margin with a sloping seabed (1:100-1:700 gradient change) and a generally smooth surface, which lies between the abyssal plains and continental slope

Continental shelf break—the area of the continental margin where the gradient of the seafloor rapidly changes from gently sloping (~1:1,000) to steeply sloping (~1:40) and where the continental shelf transitions into the continental slope

Continental shelf—the province of the continental margin with a gently seaward-sloping seabed (1:1,000 gradient change) extending from the low-tide line of the shoreline to 100 to 200 m water depth where there is a rapid gradient change

Continental slope—the province of the continental margin with a relatively-steeply sloping seabed (1:6 to 1:40 gradient change) that begins at the continental shelf break (usually around 100 to 200 m) and extends down to the continental rise; along many coasts of the world, the slope is furrowed by deep submarine canyons

Contour—a line of connected points of equal value on a surface

Coordinate system—set of numbers used to assign a location in a given reference system (x and y in a planar coordinate system and x, y, and z in a three-dimensional coordinate system); a pair of coordinates represents a location on the earth's surface relative to other locations

Copepods—very small planktonic crustaceans present in a wide variety and great abundance in marine habitats, forming an important basis of ecosystems; they are a major food of many marine animals and are the main link between phytoplankton and higher trophic levels

Coral habitat areas of particular concern (C-HAPC)—C-HAPC are a management concept, conceived by the SAFMC, designed to identify and focus regulatory and enforcement abilities on areas of special significance to the managed species

Coral reef—a massive, wave-resistant structure built largely by colonial, stony coral via deposition of calcium carbonate

Coriolis effect—results from the Earth's rotation which causes objects in motion to be deflected to the right in the northern hemisphere and to the left in the southern hemisphere (centripetal force)

Cosmopolitan—having a broad, wide-ranging distribution

Coverage—a file-based, vector data storage format used to store the location, shape, and attributes of geographic features; a coverage maintains geographic features as primary features (e.g., arcs, nodes, polygons) and secondary features (e.g., tics, map extent, links, annotation)

Cranial—of or relating to the skull or cranium

Crinoid—class of sessile echinoderms commonly called sea lilies and feather stars; these animals have a cup-shaped body that attaches to the substratum by a stalk (sea lilies) and feathery arms

Critical habitat—the portion (minimum) of the habitat that is essential for the survival of threatened and endangered species and may include areas essential for feeding or reproduction by those species as designated by NMFS or USFWS

Crustaceans—arthropods that have two pairs of antennae and a hard exoskeleton, such as lobster, shrimp, and crabs

Crustose—forming a thin crust on a substrate, as certain sponges do

Cyclonic—counterclockwise circulation in the Northern Hemisphere or clockwise in the Southern Hemisphere; in oceanography, synonymous with cold-core ring

Datum—set of parameters and control points used to define the three-dimensional shape of the earth and which defines part of a geographic coordinate system that is the basis or backbone for a planar coordinate system

Dead zone—an area of oxygen-depleted bottom water spaning an average of 12,700 km^2 that stretches along the coast of Louisiana to Texas; occurs seasonally in the summer and is caused by nutrification and subsequent eutrophication when Mississippi River water flows onto the Louisiana and Texas continental shelves

Decibel (dB)—a logarithmic measure of sound strength; it is a ratio of intensity (pressure) at a reference range compared with a reference level; in air, the reference pressure is 20 μPa and the reference range is 1 m, while for underwater sound, the reference is 1 μPa and the reference range is also at 1 m

Decimal degrees—degrees of latitude and longitude in decimal format instead of degrees, minutes, and seconds

Decompression sickness—disease occurring as a result of release of nitrogen bubbles in tissue upon too rapid ascent after time spent in high pressure environments, such as encountered by deep-diving marine mammals

Deep scattering layer—a layer of dense aggregation of fishes, squid, and other species found at depth that migrate vertically in the water column each day; the layer of organisms moves toward the surface at night to feed and returns to depth at dawn

Deepsea corals—fragile, long-lived, slow growing stony and soft-branching corals that are found in dark, cold oceanic waters (200 to 1,500 m) worldwide

Deepwater—the area of the ocean that is past the continental shelf break, deeper than 100 to 200 m of water

Delimitation—fixing a boundary

Delta—fan-shaped deposit of sediments such as sand and clay that is formed at the mouth of a river

Demersal—applied to fishes that live close to the seafloor, such as cod and hake

Density—physical property measured by mass per unit volume; in biology, the number of organisms per unit of distance

Dermochelyidae—the family of sea turtles that includes only one species, the leatherback turtle

Developmental habitat—an environment crucial to the growth of late-stage juvenile animals; for some sea turtles, this environment can be a shallow, sheltered habitat where forage items such as seagrasses, sponges, mollusks, and crustaceans are abundant

Diel—refers to 24-hour activity cycle based on daily periods of light and dark

Digitizing—encoding geographic features into a digital geographically referenced form

Distinct Population Segment—distinct population segment, as defined by NMFS, is a vertebrate population or group of populations that is discrete from other populations of the species and significant in relation to the entire species

Diurnal—active or occurring during daylight hours; having a daily cycle

Dominant frequency range—the frequencies over which hearing is most sensitive

Dominant species—species most prevalent in a particular community or at a given period

Dorsal—relating to the upper surface of an animal

Downwelling—downward movement or sinking of surface water towards the ocean bottom; may be caused by convergent currents or density differences

Echinoderms—marine invertebrates of the phylum Echinodermata, characterized by radial symmetry, a calcareous endoskeleton, and a water vascular system; sea stars and sea urchins are common examples

Echinoid—referring to echinoderms (e.g., sea urchins and sand dollars)

Echolocation—the production of high-frequency sound waves and reception of echoes to locate objects and investigate the surrounding environment

Echo-ranging—the emission of sound and reception of return echoes to judge distance

Ecosystem—a system of ecological relationships in a local environment comprising both organisms and their nonliving environment, intimately linked by a variety of biological, chemical, and physical processes

Eddy—the circular movement of water

El Niño—the interannular climatic change that results in the warming of waters in the equatorial Pacific Ocean and the suppression of upwelling into the euphotic zone of nutrient rich waters off the coast of Peru; also referred to as the El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO)

Elasmobranch—fishes of the class Chondrichthyes that are characterized by having a cartilaginous skeleton; includes sharks, skates, and rays

Embayment—an indentation in the shoreline that forms a bay

Endangered species—any animal or plant species in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range; the authority to list a species is shared by the USFWS (terrestrial species, sea turtles on land, manatees) and NMFS (most marine species) under provisions of the Endangered Species Act (ESA); endangered species and their habitats are protected by ESA

Endogenous—originating within or produced by the body

Energy flux density—the average rate of sound energy flow per area for one period

Enter into force—point in time from which a treaty is enforced for those states that gave consent

Entrainment—the process of picking up and carrying along

Environmental impact statement (EIS)—a detailed written statement that helps public officials make decisions that are based on understanding of environmental consequences and to take actions that protect, restore, and enhance the environment

Ephemeral—lasting a day

Epibenthic—refers to organisms living on the ocean floor

Epifauna—animals living on the surface of the ocean floor; any encrusting fauna

Epi-pelagic—the oceanic zone from the surface to 200 m

Epiphyte—a plant that uses another plant for support but does not depend on it for nutrition

Equidistant line or equidistance—a median line, every point of which is the same distance from the nearest points on the baselines of two countries

Escarpment—a steep slope in topography, as along the continental slope, generally separating two elevated levels

Essential fish habitat (EFH)—those waters and substrate necessary to fish or invertebrates for spawning, breeding, feeding, and growth to maturity (16 U.S.C. 1802[10])

Estuary—a semi-enclosed body of water where freshwater mixes with saltwater; often an area of high biological productivity and important as nursery areas for many marine species

Euphotic zone—the uppermost area of the ocean (up to 150 m) that is sufficiently illuminated to permit photosynthesis by phytoplankton, algae, and submerged aquatic vegetation

Eurybathic—an organism that can tolerate a wide range of water depths

Euryhaline—an organism that can tolerate waters with a wide range of salinity

Eurythermal—an organism that can tolerate a wide range of temperatures

Eutrophication—the process by which nutrient-rich water promotes a rapid growth of algae and phytoplankton, which reduces the water's dissolved oxygen content

Exclusive economic zone (EEZ)—all waters from the low-tide line outwards to 200 NM (except for those that are close together, i.e., Mediterranean countries) in which the inner boundary of that zone is a line coterminous with the seaward boundary of each of the coastal states; the country has the power to manage all natural resources

Extent—coordinate pairs that define the rectangular boundary (xmin, ymin and xmax, ymax) of a data source and in which all the coordinates for that data source fall

Extralimital—outside the normal limits of an animal's distributional range

Extrapolate—to estimate a value that falls outside a range of known values

Falcate—sickle-shaped and curved (refers to the dorsal fin of some cetaceans)

False crawl—an abandoned sea turtle nesting attempt or simply a U-shaped crawl from the ocean up the beach, and then back to the water

Fauna—animal life of a region

Fish aggregating device (FAD)—single or multiple floating structures that are connected to the ocean floor by ballast or anchors; device used to attract fishes

Fish haven—an off-shore artificial reef preservation site

Fishery management plan—a plan created by a regional Fishery Management Council to achieve specified management goals for a fishery; it includes data, analyses, and management measures (including guidelines for harvest) for a fishery

Flora—plant species of a given area

Flukes—the horizontally spread tail of a cetacean

Forage—search for food

Fork length—length of a fish measured from the tip of the snout to the fork of the tail

Fundamental frequency—lowest frequency of a harmonic series; generally equals the rotation or blade rate (*q.v.*), in Hz, of the source

Fusiform—spindle-shaped or torpedo-shaped and tapering at one or both ends

Galumph—to move with a clumsy heavy tread

Gape—the mouth in cetaceans, usually referring to the junction of upper and lower lips

Gas embolism—the sudden obstruction of a blood vessel by an obstruction, such as a gas bubble

Gastropods—class of symmetrical, univalve mollusks that have a true head, an unsegmented body, and a broad, flat foot

Geographic coordinate system—reference system of latitude and longitude that defines the locations of points on the surface of a sphere or spheroid

Geographic coordinates—location on the earth's surface expressed in degrees of latitude and longitude

Georeference—the method of defining how data are situated in map coordinates

Geostrophic adjustment—the process by which a balance between the large-scale pressure gradient force and the Coriolis effect is achieved following a perturbation that disrupts a previously established geostrophic balance

Gestation—period of development in the uterus from conception until birth (pregnancy)

Gillnet—a type of fishing gear made of rectangular mesh panels that are set more or less vertically in the water so that fish swimming into it are entangled by their gills; they can be set to fish at the surface, midwater, or on the bottom of the water column

Gorgonians—any of the various corals, such as sea fans, in the order Gorgonacea

Gregarious—used to describe animals that form social groups

Grid—geographic depiction of the world as a group of equally sized square cells arranged in rows and columns

Groundfish—group of fishes that spends most of its life on or near the ocean floors (e.g., cod, haddock, hakes, and flounders); also known as demersal species

Gulf of Mexico—a semi-enclosed body of water that opens into the Atlantic Ocean and Caribbean Sea; is bordered by the southern United States, eastern Mexico, and Cuba

Gulp—a feeding technique performed by, mainly, rorquals thrusting forward with open mouths and taking in a large quantity of prey; synonymous with lunge feeding

Gyre—circular movement of waters, larger than an eddy; usually applied to oceanic systems

Habitat areas of particular concern—legally these areas are defined as subsets of EFH identified based on one or more of the following considerations: (1) the importance of the ecological function, (2) extent to which the habitat is sensitive to human-induced degradation, (3) whether, and to what extent, development activities are stressing the habitat type, or (4) rarity of habitat type (50 CFR 600.815[a][8])

Habitat preference—the choice by an organism of a particular habitat over other available habitats

Habitat—the living place of an organism or community of organisms that is characterized by its physical or living properties

Handgear—term used for types of fishing gear that are mainly operated by hand including harpoons, handlines, rods and reels

Handline—fishing gear that is set and pulled by hand and consists of one vertical line to which may be attached leader lines with hooks

Hard bottom community—area of bottom habitat with three-dimensional character providing physically stable shelter and substrate for large populations of sessile or attached invertebrates and fishes

Hard bottom—area of the sea floor, usually on the continental shelf, associated with hard substrate such as outcroppings of limestone or sandstone that may serve as attachment locations for organisms such as corals, sponges, and other invertebrates or algae

Hatchling—a newly hatched bird, amphibian, fish, or reptile; in reference to sea turtles, recently hatched individuals still dependent upon the internalized yolk sac for nutrients

Haul-out—the act of a seal leaving the ocean and crawling onto land or ice

Haven—refuge or sanctuary

Hematology—a medical science that deals with the blood and blood-forming organs

Herbivore—an animal that eats plants as its main source of energy

Hermaphrodite—an organism that has both male and female sex organs

Hermatypic coral—reef-building coral containing symbiotic, unicellular zooxanthallae in their endodermal tissue; usually colonial, may be solitary, found in shallow, warm, and sunlit waters

Holopelagic—an organism that remains pelagic throughout its entire life

Hydrography—the science of measuring and describing the surface waters of the Earth

Hydroids—class of solitary or colonial coelenterates that have a hollow cylindrical body closed at one end and a mouth surrounded by tentacles at the other end

Hydrophone—transducer for detecting underwater sound pressures; an underwater microphone

Hypoxia—waters with a low oxygen concentration, usually less than 2.0 milligrams per liter; hypoxic waters are considered oxygen-depleted

Ichthyofauna—all fish that live in a particular area

Ichthyoplankton—fish eggs and larvae drifting in the water column

In situ—in the natural or original position

Incidental fisheries bycatch—the catch of additional species, such as fishes, turtles, or marine mammals, that are not targeted by a fishery but are harvested in addition to the target or sought after species

Incubation time—the length of time it takes for sea turtle embryos to develop within the eggs in a nest

Infrasonic—sound at frequencies too low to be audible to humans, generally below 20 Hz

Inshore—lying close to the shore or coast

Insular—pertaining to or situated on an island

Inter-nesting interval—the amount of time between successive sea turtle nesting events during the nesting season

Interpolate—extrapolation to predict values for a parameter between limited data points

Intertidal—the area of shore exposed between high and low tide

Irregular bottom features—the GMFMC defines these features as live bottom, coral reefs, geologic features, and artificial reefs (i.e., shipwrecks, artificial reefs, and oil and gas platforms)

Irruptive—entering an area where not characteristically seen

Isobath—bathymetric contour of equal depth; usually shown as a line linking points of the same depth

Isopods—large group of small crustaceans lacking a carapace, having a set of seven pairs of legs, and usually having a depressed body

Isotherm—contour of equal temperature; usually shown as a line linking points of the same temperature

Juvenile—mostly similar in form to an adult but not yet sexually mature; a smaller replica of the adult

Kilopascal (kPa)—standard unit of pressure in the International System of measurements

Kogia—the genus comprised of the pygmy sperm whale (*Kogia breviceps)* and dwarf sperm whale (*Kogia sima*)

Kriging—geostatistical interpolation method derived from statistical models that weight the measured values in relation to unknown values to derive a prediction for an unmeasured location

La Niña—when ocean temperatures in the eastern equatorial Pacific are unusually cold; it is essentially the opposite of the El Niño phenomenon; La Niña sometimes is referred to as the cold phase of an El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO) event

Lactation—secretion or formation of milk by the mammary glands for the purpose of nursing offspring

Lagoon—a shallow body of water, especially one separated from the sea by dunes, sandbars, or coral reefs

Lateral—situated on, directed towards, or coming from the side

Ledge—rocky outcrop; an underwater ridge of rocks, especially near the shore

Life history—a history of the changes through which an organism passes in its development from the primary stage to its natural death

Lithoherm—high relief, lithified carbonate limestone mounds

Littoral—the zone or division of the ocean bottom that lies between the high and low tide lines; intertidal

Live bottom community—a concentration of benthic invertebrates and demersal fishes that is associated with a region of vertical relief and structural complexity that can be organic (e.g., coral skeletons) and inorganic (e.g., rocks) in origin; such oasis-like communities are often surrounded by expanses of bottom with little relief or structure

Live rock—as defined by the SAFMC for live rock aquaculture harvests, living marine organisms or assemblages attached to hard substrate, including dead coral or rock and excluding individual mollusk shells

Longline—a type of fishing gear using a buoyed line onto which are attached numerous branch lines each terminating in a baited hook; longlines may extend for tens of kilometers and are usually left to drift in surface waters or near the seafloor

Lost year—the early juvenile stage (first years of life) of most sea turtle species that is spent far offshore; few turtles are observed during this time

Lower jaw fork length—longest distance from tip of lower jaw to midline of the tail fin; used to measure billfish

Lunge—a term for a thrusting of the forward part of an animal through the water surface, showing less than 40% of the body (often the result of feeding at the surface)

Macro algae—true oceanic plants, large in size, including bubble algae, large varieties of kelp, and *Sargassum*

Mangrove—a variety of salt-tolerant trees and shrubs that inhabit the intertidal zones of tropical and subtropical regions; tropical equivalent of salt marshes

Map projection—a mathematical formulation that transforms feature locations on the Earth's curved surface (three-dimensional) to a map's flat surface (two dimensions)

Marine managed area—any area of the marine environment set aside by federal, state, local, or tribal governments to protect geological, cultural, or recreational resources, which currently may not be protected as marine protected areas; marine managed areas encompass a broader spectrum of management purposes than marine protected areas

Marine protected area—any area of the marine environment reserved by federal, state, territorial, tribal, or local laws or regulations to provide lasting protection for part or all of the natural and cultural resources within the area

Mean—(arithmetic) average

Megalopa—postlarval stage of a crab

Melon—a fatty cushion forming a bulbous "forehead" in toothed whales; may act to focus sound for echolocation

Meristics—counting of serial or segmental structures (e.g., fin rays, scales**)**

Mermaid purse—an egg-case of an Elasmobranch fish, usually oblong in shape with horns or tendrils

Mesohaline—water with salinity of 5 to 18 practical salinity units (psu)

Mesopelagic—occurring in the oceanic zone from 200 to 1,000 m

Mesoplodon—a genus of beaked whales, which includes the Blainville's beaked whale, Gervais' beaked whale, and Sowerby's beaked whale

Mesoplodont—any member of the beaked whale genus *Mesoplodon*

Mesoscale—large scale

Metabolism—all biochemical reactions that take place in an organism necessary for the maintenance of life

Metadata—documentation or information about geospatial data (such as GIS shapefile or coverage file) that describes the source of the data or information, the creation date, the data format, the projection, the scale, the accuracy, and the reliability of the GIS file with regard to some standard

Migration—the periodic movement between one habitat and one or more other habitats involving either the entire or significant component of an animal population; this adaptation allows an animal to monopolize areas where favorable environmental conditions exist for feeding, breeding, and/or other phases of the animal's life history

Mollusk—members of the Phylum Mollusca; a group of marine and terrestrial invertebrates consisting of snails, slugs, squids, octopus, clams, and others

Morphology—the form and structure of an organism considered as a whole; appearance

Morphometric—the study of comparative morphological measurements

Mysticeti—suborder of cetaceans comprised of the baleen whales

Nautical mile (NM)—a distance unit used in the marine environment that is equal to one minute of latitude or 1.85 km

Navigable waters—those waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce

Nearshore—an indefinite zone that extends seaward from the shoreline; for this report, this term is defined as waters from shore out to 3 NM

Neonate—a newborn

Neritic zone—the shallow portion of pelagic ocean waters; ocean waters that lie over the continental shelf, usually no deeper than 200 m

Niche segregation—partitioning of resources by individuals, populations, or species to reduce competition

No effort occurrence—area where the likelihood of encountering a protected species is not known because no line-transect surveys have been completed in that area (e.g., zero survey effort), resulting in a lack of sighting data and no possible calculation of sightings per unit effort

Nocturnal—applied to events that occur during nighttime hours

North Atlantic—the part of the Atlantic Ocean found north of the Equator

North Atlantic Oscillation—the climatic phenomenon leading to warmer winter ocean and atmospheric temperatures from the east coast of the U.S. to Siberia and from the Arctic Ocean to the subtropical Atlantic Ocean; this phenomenon is caused by a north-south atmospheric pressure shift and this oscillation leads to mild, rainy weather in Europe while causing cold, dry weather in the northeastern U.S. and Canada

North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) Index—the difference of sea-level atmospheric pressure between two stations situated over Iceland and the Azores

Northwest Atlantic—the part of the Atlantic Ocean found north of the Equator and west of the mid-ocean ridge (or roughly the area between Iceland and Greenland); synonymous with western North Atlantic Ocean

Nursery habitat—an environment crucial for the development of early-stage animals; for some sea turtles, this environment is often an open-ocean area characterized by the presence of *Sargassum* rafts and/or ocean current convergence fronts

Nutrification—process by which saltwater or freshwater systems develop high nutrient concentrations

Occurrence record—a marine mammal or sea turtle sighting (aerial or shipboard survey), stranding, incidental fisheries bycatch, nesting, or tagging data record for which location information is available. A single occurrence record may represent multiple individuals

Ocean corridor—a type of ecological corridor; a narrow area of the ocean used by sea turtles for migration and selected for this purpose based upon location, habitat, or a variety of other favorable ecological characteristics of the area

Ocean front—a boundary between two water or air masses that have different densities; water density differences are caused by differences in temperature or salinity

Oceanic zone—the deepwater portion of pelagic ocean waters; ocean waters beyond the continental shelf or that are deeper than the depth of water overlying the continental shelf break (typically 100 to 200 m deep)

Oceanography—the scientific study of the oceans, including the chemistry, biology, geology, and physics of the ocean environment

Odontoceti—the suborder of cetaceans comprised of toothed whales (e.g., beaked whales, dolphins, porpoises, sperm whale)

Offshore—open ocean waters over the continental slope and beyond that are deeper than 200 m; water seaward of the continental shelf break

Olfactory—relating to the sense of smell

Oligohaline—water with salinity of 0.5 to 5.0 practical salinity units (psu)

Oligotrophic—water that is lacking in nutrients, which results in low primary production

Omnivore—an animal that feeds on both plant and animal tissue

Ophuiroid—referring to brittle stars and basket stars

Opportunistic—used to describe organisms that take advantage of all feeding opportunities and do not prey on a few specific items

Otolith—a calcareous concentration in the inner ear of a vertebrate or in the otocyst of an invertebrate

Otter trawl—a type of bottom trawl gear that utilizes two wooden doors (otter doors) to keep the mouth of the trawl net open while being dragged along the seafloor

Overfish—a rate or level of fishing mortality that jeopardizes the capacity of a fishery to produce the maximum sustainable yield on a continuing basis

Overfished—a stock size that is below a prescribed biomass threshold

Overwinter—staying the winter in one area

Ovoviviparous—giving birth to live young which have developed from eggs that hatched within the mother's body

Pagophylic—associated with ice

Pantropical—-distributed throughout tropical regions

Peak frequency—the frequency (period/wavelength) of waves represented by a peak (maximum energy) in the wave spectrum; sometimes known as the dominant frequency

Peak sensitivity—the frequency at which hearing is most sensitive and amplitude is lowest for a perceived sound

Pectoral fin—flipper; flattened fore-limb of a cetacean (supported by bone); for fishes, this fin is part of pair, which is supported by the pectoral girdle and usually located just behind the gill opening

Pelage—the hairy covering of a mammal

Pelagic—the water or ocean environment, excluding the ocean bottom; the major environmental division or zone in the ocean that included the entire water column and can be subdivided into the neritic (waters over the continental shelf) and oceanic (deeper waters seaward of the continental shelf) zones

Pelagic longline—a longline suspended by floats in the water column (i.e., not fixed or in contact with the ocean bottom)

Pelecypod—marine or freshwater mollusks having a soft body with platelike gills enclosed within two hinged shells

Penaeid—a group of shrimp, chiefly found in warm water

Philopatry—when an animal migrates from a breeding area to a feeding area and then back again

Photic zone—the uppermost zone in the water where sunlight penetrates and permits photosynthesis

Photosynthesis—the autotrophic process in which solar energy is converted into organic matter by synthesizing water and carbon dioxide with chlorophyll; plants, algae, and phytoplankton synthesize organic compounds via this process

Physiography—physical geography of the ocean bottom and continental margins

Phytoplankton—microscopic, photosynthetic plankton, which are the base of the food chain on which ultimately most shellfish, fishes, birds, and marine mammals depend

Pinnacle—a high tower or spire-shaped pillar of rock or coral found on the seafloor

Planktivore—an animal that feeds on plankton

Plankton—organisms that drift in the water column or on the water's surface by either passively floating or weakly swimming

Plastron—bony shield composing the ventral side of a turtle's shell

Platform—offshore structure from which development wells are drilled

Plume—a column of water

Point—single x, y coordinate pair that represents a single geographic feature (e.g., sea turtle sighting)

Polygon—area represented by a two-dimensional feature

Polyhaline—water with salinity of 18 to 30 practical salinity units (psu)

Population—a group of individuals of the same species occupying the same area

Portunid—crab of the family Portunidae, which includes the swimming crabs (i.e., blue crab)

Posterior—situated near or toward the back of an animal's body

Post-hatchlings—sea turtles that are larger and older than those of the hatchling stage, yet not large enough or old enough to be considered juveniles

Practical salinity unit (psu)—the currently used dimensionless unit for salinity, replacing parts per thousand (ppt)

Precision—number of significant digits used to store coordinate values; imperative for accurate feature representation, analysis, and mapping

Primary producer—an autotroph or organism able to utilize inorganic sources of carbon and nitrogen as starting materials for biosynthesis; uses either solar or chemical energy

Projection—mathematical formula that transforms the three-dimensional real world features and their locations on the Earth's curved surface into a mapped, two-dimensional surface; projections cause distortions in one or more of the following spatial properties: distance, area, shape, and direction

Propagule—a part of a plant or fungus such as a bud or a spore that becomes detached from the rest and forms a new organism

Protogynous hermaphrodite—Sequential hermaphrodite in which the fish functions first as a female and then changes to a male

Purse seine—a large commercial fishing net pulled by two boats, with ends that are pulled together around a shoal of fish so that the net forms a pouch or "purse"

Quartile—the values that divide a frequency distribution into four parts, each containing a quarter of the sample population

Query—a question or request that is often a statement or logical expression to select specific features of data

Rare—a plant or animal restricted in distribution or number; in the case of sea turtles, rare means that a species occurs, or probably occurs, regularly within the region but in very small numbers

Raster—any data source that stores geographic information in a grid structure

Ratify—to affirm or approve; in the case of a treaty, to agree to be bound by the treaty

Recreational fishing—fishing for sport or pleasure

Relief—the inequalities (elevations and depressions) of the sea bottom

Remigration interval—the amount of time between successive sea turtle nesting seasons

Robust—powerfully built

Rookery—an animal's breeding ground; for sea turtles, it is the specific beach on which they nest

Rorqual—any of six species of baleen whales (the minke, blue, humpback, fin, Bryde's, or sei whale) belonging to the family Balaenopteridae; characterized by a variable number of pleats that run longitudinally from the chin to near the umbilicus; the pleats expand during feeding to increase the capacity of the mouth

Rostrum—the snout or beak of a cetacean; in fish, a forward projection of the snout

Saddle—a light-colored patch behind the dorsal fin of some cetaceans

Salinity—the concentration of salts in water, measured in practical salinity units (psu)

Sargasso Sea—the oligotrophic central portion (North Atlantic gyre) of the North Atlantic Ocean bounded in the west by the Gulf Stream

Sargassum—a genus of brown algae commonly found in temperate and tropical waters both as pelagic and benthic forms

School—a social group of fish, drawn together by social attraction, whose members are usually of the same species, size, and age; the members of a school move in unison along parallel paths in the same direction

Scleractinian—hard or stony corals known as true corals that dominate reef ecosystems; they have a compact calcareous skeleton and polyps with no siphonoglyphs (grooves)

Scutes—long, thickened scales that cover underlying bony plates of carapace and plastron of sea turtles that are used for protection

Scyphozoans—characterized by the absence of a velum and by a polyp stage that is very small or lacking entirely (e.g., true jellyfish)

Sea anemones—large, heavy, complex polyps that belong to the cnidarian class Anthozoa

Sediment—solid fragmented material, either mineral or organic, that is deposited by ice, water, or air

Serial spawner—a fish that spawns in bursts or pulses more than once in a spawning season in response to an environment stimulus

Sessile—used to describe an animal that is attached to something, such as substrate, rather than free moving

Sexually dimorphic—differences in the appearance, such as size, body shape or color, of the sexes of a species

Sexual maturity—age when animals first produce eggs or viable sperm

Shallow water—water that is between the shore and the continental shelf break or shallower than 200 m

Shapefile—vector data storage format used to store the location, shape, and attributes of geographic features; a shapefile must be one and only one of three possible feature classes: lines, points, and polygons

Shelf break (continental)—region where the slope of the seabed rapidly changes from gently to steeply sloping and the continental shelf gives way to the continental slope; the shelf break usually occurs in waters with a depth of 100 to 200 m

Shelf break region—the geographic area surrounding the continental shelf break and including waters overlying both the outer continental shelf and upper continental slope

Shoals—a submerged ridge, bank, or bar consisting of, or covered by, unconsolidated sediments (mud, sand, gravel) which is at or near enough to the water surface to constitute a danger to navigation

Sirenia—the order of marine mammals that consists of manatees and the dugong

Skim—feeding behavior in which whales swim through swarms of plankton with their mouths open

South Atlantic—the part of the Atlantic Ocean found south of the Equator; the NMFS and the general public often erroneously refer to the region between Cape Hatteras and Cape Canaveral as the South Atlantic, which, however commonly used, is incorrectly applied

South Atlantic Bight (SAB)—that part of the ocean coastal region extending from Cape Hatteras, North Carolina south to Cape Canaveral, Floirda.

Spatial analysis—study of and relationship between the locations and shapes of geographic features and the process of analyzing, modeling, and interpreting those results; there are four main types or categories of spatial analysis: topological overlay and contiguity analysis; surface analysis; linear analysis; and raster analysis

Spawn—the release of eggs and sperm during mating

Special management zones (SMZs)—established by the SAFMC, SMZs are established off South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida to provide gear and harvest regulations for members of the snapper grouper complex; the purpose of SMZs is to reduce user conflicts via gear and harvest regulations at locations that feature limited resources and are managed for a specific user group, as well as prevent overfishing of these resources

Species—a population or series of populations of organisms that can interbreed freely with each other but not with members of the other species

Species diversity—the number of different species in a given area

Species of concern—identifies species about which NMFS has some concerns regarding status and threats, but for which insufficient information is available to indicate a need to list the species under the ESA

Spline—interpolation method that minimizes the overall surface curvature for a coverage using a mathematical function that estimates cell values, creating a smoother surface that passes exactly through the input points

Standard deviation—a statistical measure of the amount by which a set of values differs from the arithmetical means; simply, a measure of how widely values are dispersed from the mean

Standard length—the length of a fish measured from the tip of the snout to the the end of the backbone and does not include the tail

Stenella—the genus of oceanic dolphins consisting of striped, Atlantic spotted, pantropical spotted, Clymene, and spinner dolphins, which are similar in appearance

Stenellid—refers to dolphins of the genus *Stenella*

Stock structure—the genetic diversity of a stock

Stock—a group of individuals of a species that can be regarded as an entity for management or assessment purposes; a separate breeding population of a species

Straight carapace length—the body length of sea turtles; it is a straight-line measurement from the rear of the eye socket parallel to the center line of the carapace to the posterior edge of the carapace

Stranding—the act of marine mammals or sea turtles accidentally coming ashore, either alive or dead

Strategic stock—any marine mammal stock: (1) from which the level of direct human-caused mortality exceeds the potential biological removal level; (2) which is declining and likely to be listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act; or (3) which is listed as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act or as depleted under the Marine Mammal Protection Act

Subadult—maturing individuals that are not yet sexually mature

Submarine canyon—deep, steep-sided valley cut into the continental shelf or slope

Subpopulations—an identifiable fraction or subdivision of a population

Substrate—the material to which an organism is attached or in which it grows and lives; also, the underlying layer or substance

Subtropical fishes—species that tolerate a minimum water temperature between 10º to 20ºC

Subtropical—the regions lying between the tropical and temperate latitudes

Surface-active—behaviors of whale groups performed at the surface

Symbiont—organism involved in a mutualisitc (both species benefit) symbiotic relationship

Symbiosis—the interrelationship between individuals of two different species; both species benefit in a symbiotic relationship

Sympatric—species or subspecies occurring together; having overlapping areas of distribution

Tailstock—peduncle; region from just behind the dorsal fin to the flukes

Target species—species of fish or invertebrate specifically sought by a fishery

Taxa (taxon)—a defined unit (e.g., species, genus, or family) in the classification of living organisms

Taxonomy—the study of the rules, principles, and practice of classification, especially of living organisms

Teleost—bony fishes in the of the subclass Teleostei

Temperate—the region of the Earth at the mid-latitudes that is characterized by a mild, seasonally changing climate

Temperate fishes—species that prefer water temperatures of 10ºC or below, with a maximum temperature tolerance of 15ºC

Terrigenous—derived from land or a continent

Thermocline—the depth in the ocean (water column) in which there is an abrupt temperature change

Thermohaline circulation—density-driven water circulation caused by differences in temperature and/or salinity

Thermoregulatory—an organism’s ability to maintain a specific body temperature regardless of the environmental temperature

Thickets—dense growth of *Oculina* colonies

Threatened species—any plant or animal species likely to become endangered within the foreseeable future throughout all or a part of its range; the authority to designate a species as threatened is shared by the USFWS (terrestrial species, sea turtles on land, manatees) and National Marine Fisheries Service (most marine species) under provisions of the ESA

Tolerance—numerical value defining the acceptable error range a feature will have from its actual point found on earth; these tolerance values are used as defaults in many automation, editing, and processing operations

Tombolo—a sandbar that connects an island to the mainland or to another island

Topography—physical features of the ocean floor, such as mounds or ridges

Topology—spatial relationship between connecting or adjacent features (e.g., arcs, nodes, polygons, or points); topological associations are built from simple elements into complex elements, points, arcs (sets of connected points), areas (sets of connected arcs), and routes (sets of sections, which are arcs or portions of arcs)

Total length—the longest measurable distance from the outermost portion of a fish’s snout lengthwise to the outermost portion of the tail fin

Trap—a portable, enclosed type of baited fishing gear used to capture fishes or crustaceans (lobsters and crabs) that possesses one or more entrances but no exits and one or more lines attached to surface floats; can be made of many types of materials (wood, reeds, or wire) and in many shapes or configurations; “trap” and “pot” are fairly synonymous

Trawl net—a towed fishing gear or net that consists of a cod-end or bag for collecting the fish or other target species; trawls can be towed at any depth of the water column

Triangular irregular networks (TINs)—surface representation developed from sample points and breakline features that contains topological relationships between points and their neighboring triangles where each sample point has an x and y coordinate and a z value; these points are connected by edges, which make up a set of non-overlapping triangles that represent the surface

Trip—fishing during part or all of one waking day

Trophic level—a step in the transfer of food or energy within a chain

Tropical—the geographic region found in the low latitudes (30º north of the equator to 30º south of the equator) characterized by a warm climate

Tropical fishes—species that prefer a water temperature of 20ºC or above

Tunicates—primitive marine animals having a saclike, unsegmented body enclosed in a tough outer covering (e.g., sea squirts, salps)

Tursiops—the genus of bottlenose dolphins comprised of the common bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) and the Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops aduncus*)

Turtle excluder device (TED)—fitted into the neck of a shrimp trawl, a grid of bars with an opening either at the top or the bottom of a trawl net designed to release large bycatch from the net while retaining in the net small targeted animals, such as shrimp. Shrimp trawlers in the Atlantic or Gulf areas are required by NMFS regulations to have a federally approved TED installed into each net rigged for fishing.

Upwelling—upward movement or rising of deep, usually nutrient- and oxygen-rich, water to the surface; may be caused by wind-forcing, divergent currents, or density differences

Vector—coordinate-based data structure most commonly used to represent linear geographic features; each feature is written or represented as an ordered list of vertices

Ventral—relating to the underside (or belly side) of an animal

Vermetid reefs—a buildup of worm-like gastropod *Petaloconchus* mollusks

Vertebrates—animals with a backbone

Warm-core eddy/ring—an eddy or circular current of warm water; in the North Atlantic Ocean and Gulf of Mexico, the water in warm-core rings circulates anticyclonically (clockwise) and the rings are formed when meanders pinch off the northern side of the warm Gulf Stream and Loop Current

Water column—a vertical column of seawater extending from the surface to the sea bottom

Water mass—a body of water that can be identified by a specific temperature or salinity

Weaning—age at which offspring first ingest a food source other than mother’s milk

Weed line—line of floating algae usually concentrated by the wind or currents

Well—a hole bored or drilled into the earth for the purpose of obtaining hydrocarbons or water

Western North Atlantic—the part of the Atlantic Ocean found north of the Equator and west of the mid-ocean ridge (or roughly the area between Iceland and Greenland); synonymous with Northwest Atlantic Ocean

Wetland—an area inundated by water (either freshwater or saltwater) frequently enough to support vegetation that requires saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction; generally includes swamps, marshes, springs, seeps, or wet meadows

Whistle—a narrow-band frequency sound produced by some toothed whales and used for communication; they typically have energy below 20 kHz

Young-of- the-year (YOY)—a juvenile fish less than one year old

Zoeal—larval stage of crabs

Zoogeography—the geographic distribution of animal species

Zooplankton—diverse group of non-photosynthesizing organisms that drift freely in the water or its surface; zooplankton are composed of a wide range of invertebrates, including larval forms of fish and shellfish

Zooxanthallae—single-celled algae that live symbiotically within certain types of coral; it is the presence of these organisms that gives coral its color

Z-value—value that represents elevation or depth (i.e., water depth or depth beneath the water's surface) and lies on the z-axis within a three-dimensional x, y, and z coordinate system

This page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX A: DATA SOURCES

List of Tables

List of Figures

This page intentionally left blank

Appendix A-1. Data confidence and geographic information systems (GIS).

The level of data confidence is dependent upon three factors: precision, accuracy, and currency. Each of these three factors is affected by all the variables involved in obtaining data and putting the data into a GIS to display the data on a map. The following is a brief description of the three main factors and some of the subsequent variables that figure into overall level of confidence.

- ***Precision***—Refers to whether or not the description of the data is specific or non-specific. It is possible to have data recorded very precisely but with very low accuracy. In other words we may say that 2 + 2 = 5.12546732, where the sum is given very precisely but inaccurately. Global positioning systems (GPS) offer the highest level of precision for recording locations.
- ***Accuracy***—Refers to how well the data reflect reality. There may be 10 sightings of harbor porpoises in an area, but they may actually have been common dolphins. Even if the locations were precisely recorded, the data are still not accurate. Some variables that affect accuracy are who originally recorded the data (source reliability), how many people have processed/altered the data since it originated (number of iterations), and the method used to record the data.
- ***Currency***—Refers to how recently the data were obtained. Because recent developments in equipment and methods have improved precision and accuracy, confidence is higher for data that have been recorded more recently.

Appendix A-2. Map projections.

Understanding the role map projections play in the creation of valid and usable maps is critical. A geographic reference system (such as latitude and longitude) is based on the angles measured from the earth's center. A planar coordinate system, on the other hand, is based on measurements on the surface of the earth. To meaningfully transfer real world coordinates (in three dimensions) to planar coordinates (in two dimensions), a transformation process has to be applied. This transformation process is called a projection. Such a transformation involves the distortion of one or more of the following elements: shape, area, distance, and/or direction. The user typically dictates the choice of a projection type to ensure the least distortion to one or more of the four elements. Choice of a particular projection is dictated by issues such as the location of the place on Earth, purpose of the project, user constraints, and others.

The length of one degree of longitude will vary depending upon at what latitude on Earth the measurement is taken. The geographic coordinate system measures the angles of longitude from the center of the Earth and not distance on the Earth's surface. One degree of longitude at the equator measures 111 kilometers versus zero kilometers at the poles. Using a map projection mitigates this difference or seeming distortion when using geographic coordinates. However, when multiple data sources with multiple projection systems are used, the most flexible system to standardize the disparate data is to keep all data unprojected. Thus, the maps in this marine resource assessment (MRA) are untransformed, meaning they are shown unprojected on the map figures and their associated geographic data are delivered unprojected.

Since the measurement units for unprojected, geographic coordinates are not associated with a standard length, they cannot be used as an accurate measure of distance. Since the maps in this MRA are in geographic coordinates, the map figures should not be used for measurement as the scale information only provides approximate distances. The map scales and reference datum used on all maps in this MRA are presented in nautical miles.

Appendix A-3. Overview of research efforts that provide occurrence information for marine mammals and sea turtles in the study area for the JAX/CHASN OPAREA.

The following is a review of the federal, state, non-profit, and academic research efforts from which marine mammal and sea turtle data were pulled to describe occurrence patterns in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. For a variety of reasons, it was not possible to obtain data from every known source; all sighting, stranding, incidental fisheries bycatch, and tagging data available were included in this MRA report (Table A-1). The areal coverage of the shipboard and aerial surveys included in this report is shown in Figures A-1 through A-4. Data that were used to generate the SPUE surfaces are denoted by a double asterisk (**).

For a brief description of how aerial and shipboard surveys are conducted, see Henwood and Epperly (1999) or Forney (2002). Aerial or shipboard observers collect line-transect data during daylight hours, weather-permitting (i.e., no rain, Beaufort sea state <4). Surveys are conducted along pre-designated transect lines following established sampling methods that allow for abundance estimates in an area of interest. Any animal(s) sighted while the observation platform (e.g., ship or plane) is traveling along the transect line (and observers are actively searching for animals) is "on-effort" and is included in the abundance estimation. Any animal or group sighted while the observation platform is diverted from the transect line is recorded as "off-effort." Sightings made while the plane or ship is in transit to and from the actual survey transect line(s) are also considered off-effort. While off-effort sightings may not be used for abundance estimates, these sightings are useful in providing more information on the occurrence patterns of a species. Only sighting effort from line-transect and "platforms of opportunity" (POP) surveys meeting a set of minimum standards were used to determine the seasonal occurrence patterns for marine mammals and sea turtles (Figure A-5).

National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) Shipboard Surveys

Shipboard surveys conducted by the NMFS are designed to collect data to address many informational needs. To meet the mandate established in Section 117 of the amended MMPA, NMFS and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) must prepare, in consultation with regional Scientific Review Groups, assessments for each marine mammal stock that occurs in U.S. waters. These stock assessment reports contain several items, including a description of the stock and its distribution, as well as a minimum population estimate (Wade and Angliss 1997). One of the primary ways NMFS collects marine mammal population data to use in stock assessments is from shipboard surveys.

NMFS is also responsible for assessing and monitoring sea turtle stocks, which requires current distribution information and population estimates to establish temporal trends in the populations or stocks in U.S. waters. While shipboard surveys are not the optimal survey technique to gather sea turtle population data, sighting data from shipboard surveys often provides valuable information that can be used in the calculation of sea turtle abundance estimates.

The NMFS-Southeast Fisheries Science Center (NMFS-SEFSC) often "piggy-backs" marine mammal and sea turtle observers on research cruises or surveys designed to collect other information. This method becomes a cost-effective means to collect marine mammal or sea turtle population information.

- **From **3 January to 11 February 1992**, the ***Oregon II*** **Cruise 92-01 (198)** studied marine mammals and apex pelagic predators in the Atlantic Ocean, from the Blake Plateau (between 28º and 35ºN) to the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) (NMFS-SEFSC 1992a; Hansen et al. 1994). The objectives of the study were to: (1) complete a line-transect survey for marine mammals during the daylight hours; (2) deploy longline fishing gear during the evening hours for the purpose of catching and sampling pelagic apex predators (primarily swordfish, other billfish, tunas, and sharks); and (3) collect associated oceanographic data.

Table A-1. Data sources for marine mammal and sea turtle occurrence records that are included in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA MRA. Data used to generate the SPUE surfaces are denoted by a double asterisk (**).

DATA	YEAR(S)
Shipboard Sighting Surveys	
**NMFS-SEFSC R/V *Oregon II* Cruise 92-01 (198)	1992
**NMFS-SEFSC R/V *Relentless* Cruise 98-01 (003)	1998
**NMFS-SEFSC R/V *Oregon II* Cruise 99-05 (236)	1999
**NMFS-SEFSC R/V *Gordon Gunter* Cruise GU-02-01 (021)	2002
**NMFS-SEFSC R/V *Gordon Gunter* Cruise GU-04-03 (028)	2004
**NMFS-SEFSC R/V *Gordon Gunter* Cruise GU-05-03 (062)	2005
DoN Marine Animal Recovery Team (MART)/SEAWOLF	2001
North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium (NARWC) Database	1762-2001
**CETAP Shipboard Survey	1978-1982
Aerial Sighting Surveys	
NMFS-SEFSC Southeast Turtle Surveys (SETS)	1982-1984
**DoN-Continental Shelf and Associates, Inc. (CSA)	1996-1999
NMFS-SEFSC Mid-Atlantic *Tursiops* Surveys (MATS)	2002; 2004-2005
**DoN SEAWOLF Mayport Shock Trial	1995; 1997
**DoN *Winston S. Churchill* Shock Trial	1999
**NMFS-SEFSC Southeast Cetacean Aerial Surveys (SECAS)	1992; 1995
North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium (NARWC) Database	1762-2001
**New England Aquarium (NEA) (pre-Early Warning System [EWS])	1984-1993
**New England Aquarium (NEA) (EWS)	1993-2005
**New England Aquarium (NEA) Core of Engineers (COE)	1989-1993
**Georgia Department of Natural Resources (GADNR) (EWS)	1993-2002
**Florida Marine Research Institute (FMRI) (EWS)	1992-2005
** Associated Scientists at Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (ASWHOI) Airship (blimp) Survey	1991-1993; 2001
**CETAP Aerial Survey	1978-1982
**Offshore Surveys (GADNR and FMRI)	1996-2002
**University of North Carolina at Wilmington (UNCW) Aerial Survey (EWS)	2001-2002
**University of Rhode Island (URI) Aerial Survey	1987
**Wildlife Trust (WLT) Aerial Survey (EWS)	2002-2005
Miscellaneous Opportunistic Sightings	n/a
UNCW Right Whale Aerial Surveys	2005-2008
Tagging	
NMFS-SEFSC Incidental Sea Turtle Tagging Program	1986-2001
Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program (SEAMAP)/South Carolina Department Natural Resources (SCDNR) Sea Turtle Tagging Program	1989-2001
Incidental Fisheries Bycatch	
Cape Canaveral Sea Turtle Fisheries Bycatch	1978-1984
NMFS-SEFSC Pelagic Observer Program (Longline Fishery Bycatch)	1992-2004

Table A-1. Data sources for marine mammal and sea turtle occurrence records that are included in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA MRA. Data used to generate the SPUE surfaces are denoted by a double asterisk (**) (cont'd).

Strandings	
Florida Sea Turtle Stranding (FMRI)	1989-2001
NMFS-Southeast Region (NMFS-SER) Marine Mammal Stranding Network	2001-2006
Smithsonian Marine Mammal Database	1564-2001
Mixed/Miscellaneous	
NMFS-SEFSC Sea Turtle Sighting Program	1988-1992
NMFS-NEFSC SAS Opportunistic Sightings	2001-2005
Published Literature and Reports	
Frick et al.	2000
Fritts et al.	1983
Moore	1953
Parker	1995
Schmidly	1981
Winn et al.	1979
Schwartz	1995



Figure A-1. Tracklines and transect coordinates for aerial and shipboard visual surveys in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA during the winter season. Source data: DON (1997a, 1998a); NMFS-SEFSC (1992b, 1992c, 1995a, 2002a, 2002b, 2005a); URI (1992). Source map (scanned): DON (2002a).



Figure A-2. Tracklines and transect coordinates for aerial and shipboard visual surveys in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA during the spring season. Source data: DON (1995, 1998b); NMFS-SEFSC (1995b, 1998, 2002b, 2004a, 2005b). Source map (scanned): DON (1999).



Figure A-3. Tracklines and transect coordinates for aerial and shipboard visual surveys in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA during the summer season. Source data: DON (1995, 1998b); NMFS-SEFSC (1995b, 1998, 1999a, 2002a, 2004a, 2004b, 2005b). Source map (scanned): DON (1999).



Figure A-4. Tracklines and transect coordinates for aerial and shipboard visual surveys in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA during the fall season. Source data: DON (1995, 1997a, 1998a, 1998b); NMFS-SEFSC (1999a); URI (1992).



Figure A-5. Grid cells (10-minute2) in which there were greater than 5-km of dedicated survey effort in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA. Survey effort was summed for all years of dedicated survey data in each grid cell; the summed effort was used in the derivation of sightings-per-unit-effort values for each grid cell. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

- **From **08 July to 17 August 1998**, the ***Relentless*** **Cruise 98-01(003)** surveyed between Maryland and central Florida to establish baseline estimates of cetacean abundances in the western North Atlantic (Mullin 1999). Line-transect surveys were conducted between 38°N and 28°N, from the 10 m isobath to the boundary of the EEZ, approximately 200 nm from the coast (Mullin 1999; Mullin and Fulling 2003). The specific objectives of this cruise were to obtain abundance, distribution, and stock structure information on cetaceans.
- **From **4 August to 30 September 1999**, the ***Oregon II*** **Cruise 99-05 (236)** collected data used for abundance, distribution, and stock structure evaluations of cetaceans in southeastern U.S. Atlantic waters (NMFS-SEFSC 1999). The cruise consisted of three legs and covered the ocean area from the 10 m isobath to 185 km offshore from Cape Canaveral, FL north to the Delaware Bay. The objectives of this survey were to: (1) obtain abundance estimates for each cetacean species sighted; (2) collect biopsy tissue samples to evaluate stock structure; (3) establish and build time-series databases for monitoring trends in abundance; (4) examine distribution in relation to physiographic and oceanographic features; and (5) obtain photographs and video images of selected species for photo-identification studies.
- **The ***Gordon Gunter*** **Cruise GU-02-01** took place from **10 February to 8 April 2002**. This survey covered the continental shelf and inner continental slope of the U.S. Atlantic from Cape Canaveral, FL to Delaware Bay (NMFS-SEFSC 2002c). The cruise, requested by the Navy, had the following objectives: (1) conduct a visual line-transect survey of the mid-Atlantic to determine distribution and abundance of marine mammals; (2) conduct a passive acoustic survey using sonobuoys and two- and five-element towed hydrophone arrays; (3) obtain biopsy samples of skin and blubber from selected cetacean species; (4) obtain photographs of selected cetacean species for photo-identification studies; (5) collect data on distribution and abundance of sea turtles, seabirds, and other marine life; and (6) collect associated environmental data.
- **The ***Gordon Gunter*** **Cruise GU-04-03 (028)** took place from **22 June to 19 August 2004** (NMFS-SEFSC 2004c). Line-transect surveys occurred in the waters from the 50 m isobath seaward to the EEZ from the Maryland/Delaware border south to southern Florida (NMFS-SEFSC 2004c). The purpose of this survey was to update marine mammal abundance estimates in the mid-Atlantic in order to evaluate the current status of stocks (NMFS-SEFSC 2004c). The specific objectives of this survey were to: (1) conduct visual line-transect surveys for cetacean abundance and distribution estimations; (2) conduct passive hydro-acoustic surveys concurrent with visual detection efforts; (3) collect biopsy samples; (4) conduct photo-identification on cetaceans; (5) collect data on sea turtle and sea bird distribution and abundances, and (5) collect oceanographic and environmental information to aid in quantifying the degree to which fishes and zooplankton contribute to acoustic backscatter (NMFS-SEFSC 2004c).
- **The ***Gordon Gunter*** **Cruise GU-05-03 (062)** took place from **14 June to 16 August 2005**. The primary objective of this cruise was to collect tissue samples of bottlenose dolphins and pilot whales to assess their population structure in the mid-Atlantic Ocean (NMFS-SEFSC 2005c). Study area and specific objectives for line-transect surveys varied by cruise leg. The first leg of the cruise focused survey effort on the continental shelf between Florida and South Carolina and collected biopsy samples from nearshore and offshore bottlenose dolphins. The second leg covered the mid-Atlantic Bight along the shelf break and collected biopsy samples from pilot whales. The third leg concentrated on areas along and inshore of the shelf break, from approximately Cape Fear, NC to Fort Pierce, FL with the purpose of observing and tagging nearshore and offshore bottlenose dolphins (NMFS-SEFSC 2005c).

NMFS Aerial Surveys

The typical goal of a NMFS aerial survey is to estimate the density or abundance of a given marine mammal or sea turtle population. Later surveys then monitor trends in seasonal or annual variations in distribution and abundance patterns. Aerial surveys are appropriate when little is known about the distribution and abundance of a population or species over relatively large areas. Such surveys help identify “hot spots” for future studies. Aircraft are also used in fine-scale surveys of a study area subregion.

- The **Southeast Turtle Survey (SETS)** was an aerial survey research program conducted by the NMFS-SEFSC from **1982 through 1984.** Surveys were run from Cape Hatteras, NC to Key West, FL over coastal waters from the shoreline to the approximate mean western boundary of the Gulf Stream (Thompson 1984). Surveys that corresponded to spring (April/May) and summer (July/August) were completed in all three years. Fall (October/November) surveys were completed in 1982 and 1983 and a single winter survey was completed in January/February 1983 (Thompson and Huang 1993). The purpose of the surveys was to: (1) define sea turtle distributions within the study area; (2) determine what environmental and behavioral factors affect sea turtle sightability; (3) estimate sea turtle density and abundance by species to be used in projection population models; and (4) determine the utility of pelagic surveys to describe distributions and estimate sea turtle abundance. Scott (1990) noted that data sufficient for estimating the abundance of bottlenose dolphins and other cetaceans in the South Atlantic Bight waters were also collected. Leatherback and loggerhead sea turtle data from this dataset were supplied to the Navy by the NMFS-SEFSC.
- **The **Southeast Cetacean Aerial Surveys (SECAS)** were conducted during **1992 and 1995** by the NMFS-SEFSC. The purpose of these surveys was to estimate cetacean abundance in the region. Sightings of sea turtles and fishes were also recorded. Survey results provided an index of the abundance for the coastal bottlenose dolphin population, which had a significant die-off in 1987. SECAS ‘92 replicated the survey block design of SETS but deleted one block (Blaylock and Hoggard 1994). SECAS ‘92 was a survey of the U.S. Atlantic coast from Cape Hatteras, NC to Key West, FL conducted during **January to March 1992** (NMFS-SEFSC 1992d). Transects extended from shore to approximately 9.25 km past the western wall of the Gulf Stream into waters as deep as 140 m (Blaylock and Hoggard 1994). SECAS ‘95 followed nearly the same design as SECAS ‘92 but was a two-season survey conducted over two different areas. The summer aerial survey was conducted between **1 July and 14 August 1995**, covering the area between Cape Hatteras, NC and Sandy Hook, NJ from the shore to the 25 m isobath (Garrison and Yeung 2001). The winter survey was conducted between **27 January and 6 March 1995**, covering the area from Cape Hatteras, NC to Fort Pierce, FL from the shore to 9.25 km beyond the inshore edge of the Gulf Stream or <200 km offshore (Garrison and Yeung 2001).
- The NMFS-SEFSC initiated the **Mid-Atlantic *Tursiops* Surveys (MATS)** in 1994. MATS were conducted during the 1994 and 1995 seasons. They resumed again in 2002 and were conducted during the winter (**15 January to 28 February 2002**) and summer (**15 July to 31 August 2002**). The MATS Winter 2002 survey spanned the region from the Georgia/Florida state line to southern Delaware Bay (Waring et al. 2006). MATS Summer 2002 extended the study area north and south to cover waters between Sandy Hook, NJ and Vero Beach, FL (Hoggard 2002; Waring et al. 2006). Surveys were flown perpendicular to shore, covering coastal waters out to the 40 m isobath (Waring et al. 2006). The primary objective was to compare bottlenose dolphin seasonal distribution and abundance estimates (Hoggard 2002; Garrison et al. 2003). Another purpose was to update the MATS 1995 abundance estimates based upon the stock structure of seasonal management units (Garrison et al. 2003). Data from 1994 and 1995 are not included in this report.
- **MATS for the 2004/2005 season took place in the summer **(16 July and 31 August 2004)** (Fertl and Fulling 2007) and winter **(30 January to 09 March 2005)** (Mullin 2004). The survey area during the summer included waters from Sandy Hook, NJ south to Cape Canaveral, FL. The MATS Winter 2005 surveys were conducted in waters from the southern eastern shore of Virginia south to Cape Canaveral, FL and out to the 40 m isobath. The specific objectives of the 2004/2005 MATS were to delineate bottlenose dolphin seasonal distribution and abundance.
- **The **University of North Carolina at Wilmington (UNCW)** conducted seven aerial surveys from **27 January through 08 February 2000** to investigate right whale occurrence north of the Florida and Georgia calving grounds. Surveys were conducted off the coast of North Carolina and South Carolina (Martin et al. 2001), spanning from Savannah, Georgia to Cape Lookout, North Carolina. Transects were spaced 4 nm apart and ranged 30 nm from shore (Martin et al. 2001). In 2001 and 2002, these tracklines were extended north to Chesapeake Bay (McLellan et al. 2001; Neuhauser 2002). Aerial surveys took place from **06 February to 02 March 2001** and **22 January to 19 March 2002** (McLellan et al. 2001; McLellan et al. 2002). These surveys were sponsored by the NMFS-SEFSC, but the data were provided for this report through the NARWC database. Data from 2001 and 2002

are included in this report. From **October 2005 to April 2006** and **December 2006 to May 2007**, UNCW conducted right whale aerial surveys from the South Carolina/North Carolina border to the southern end of Assateague Island, Virginia. Additional surveys were flown from South Carolina/North Carolina border, across the Chesapeake Bay mouth, and to the southern tip of Cape Charles, Virginia during **February to June 2008**. Data from these 2005-2008 surveys are included in this report.

NMFS Fisheries Bycatch Data

- From **1978 to 1984**, NMFS fishery observers tagged sea turtles caught in shrimp trawls in the waters off of Cape Canaveral, FL. Research trawls were also conducted to assess the seasonal occurrence, size composition, and movement patterns of Kemp's ridley, green, and loggerhead sea turtles (Henwood 1987; Henwood and Ogren 1987). The study area encompassed the coastal waters of eastern FL from 28°15"N north to 28°30'N. For Kemp's ridley turtles, the study area was extended north to the Georgia and South Carolina coastlines (Henwood and Ogren 1987).
- The **Pelagic Longline Observer Program** began in **1992**, when systematic sampling by scientific observers on board U.S. pelagic longline vessels (permitted to land and sell swordfish) was mandated by the 1991 amendments to the U.S. Fishery Management Plan for Swordfish (Yeung 1999). Since October 1995, the NMFS-SEFSC has had sole responsibility for implementation and data management of the observer program for the entire Atlantic longline fishery (previously, responsibility was vested in the NMFS-NEFSC as well) (Yeung 1999). The focus of the Observer Program is the pelagic longline fishery operating in the Atlantic Ocean, Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean Sea. The target species are swordfish and tuna. Bycatch and incidental catch of undersized swordfish, Atlantic billfish (marlins and sailfish), sea turtles, marine mammals, and other nontarget species by pelagic longline gear has been a major concern for several years. The program's mission is collect data on effort, directed catch and bycatch quantity, morphometrics, biological characteristics, and the interaction of the fishery with marine mammals, sea turtles, and birds. Data on bycaught species from **1992 through 2004** are included in this report.

NMFS Stranding Data

- **Marine mammal stranding networks** are under the jurisdiction of the NMFS and are nominally based on the administrative regions of NMFS; stranding oversight for the study area is vested in the NMFS-Southeast Region (Geraci and Lounsbury 1993). Wilkinson and Worthy (1999) discuss the genesis of marine mammal stranding networks in the U.S. Legal authority for the U.S. stranding response network is contained in the MMPA. Through the Marine Mammal Health and Stranding Response Act (14 U.S.C. §1421), Congress made it national policy to monitor the various factors affecting the health of marine mammal populations**.** Collection and analyses of stranded marine mammals have contributed much to what is known about each species. Volunteer stranding networks were established in all coastal states, which are part of the Southeast Region Marine Mammal Stranding Network. The NMFS is responsible for cetaceans and all pinnipeds in the vicinity of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, while manatees are under the jurisdiction of the USFWS. The Smithsonian traditionally has been the final repository of stranding data; much of the marine mammal stranding data included in this report were received from Dr. James Mead of the Smithsonian. Additional data were received from the NMFS-SER marine mammal stranding network.
- The **Sea Turtle Stranding and Salvage Network** is a network of private citizens, state, and federal agencies from the coastal states of the Atlantic (and Gulf of Mexico) established to document and collect important information on sea turtles that strand along the coast. It too is under the jurisdiction of NMFS. Sea turtle strandings along the Atlantic coast have been recorded since 1980 (Shaver and Teas 1999). The Department of Natural Resources from each state collects the data, which are then reported to NMFS-SEFSC. Species, size, location, condition, and final disposition of stressed or dead turtles are recorded. For this report, permission for NMFS-SEFSC (Wendy Teas) to release turtle stranding data was requested from each state bordering the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. While permission was granted by each state, only South Carolina's data have been provided by NMFS-SEFSC. Sea turtle stranding data for FL were provided directly by the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FFWCC). No stranding data were made available for North Carolina or Georgia.

NMFS Multiple-Source Data

- **NMFS-SEFSC** (Joanne McNeil) provided sea turtle data from offshore North Carolina aerial surveys, tagging information collected from fishery-captured sea turtles, and data from a public sighting program. The data cover the area just north of Cape Hatteras, NC south to near Cape Canaveral, FL. NMFS-SEFSC employs two techniques to obtain public sighting data: utilization of the Marine Recreational Fishery Statistics Survey (sponsored since 1979) and voluntary reporting by the public (since 1989) (Epperly et al. 1995b). Sightings of live turtles in the Atlantic Ocean are reported during statistical survey interviews; recreational fishermen and boaters typically report inshore sightings. A limited number of fishermen from Core Sound and eastern Pamlico Sound in NC were trained to identify and tag sea turtles. Most of the cooperating fishermen used pound nets. Some fishermen were also involved in other fishing operations throughout the year and report incidental captures from those activities as well. The tagging data were collected from **June 1988 through December 1992**. Aerial surveys covered Raleigh, Onslow, and Long bays, NC during **November 1991 through March 1992** (Epperly et al. 1995a).
- Since 1996, the **NMFS Northeast Fishery Science Center (NMFS-NEFSC)** has operated a sighting advisory system (SAS) to inform commercial and recreational vessel traffic of the presence of North Atlantic right whales from **January to July** in the waters off the northeastern U.S. (NMFS-NEFSC 2008). Aerial and shipboard surveys take place within the critical habitat areas in Cape Cod Bay and the Great South Channel. Opportunistic sighting information is also provided to the SAS by other organizations, including state, federal, and non-profit organizations (NMFS-NEFSC 2008). The information from the SAS is processed and managed, and disseminated to mariners by the NMFS. Sightings information is also incorporated into the NARWC database. SAS opportunistic sightings from **2001 to 2005** are included in this report.

North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium Database

In 1986, a cooperative research program, the **North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium (NARWC)**, was initiated to focus on North Atlantic right whales (Kenney 2001). Every organization and agency conducting right whale surveys submits their data for inclusion in this database, which is supported by NMFS. The database contains over 20,000 sightings of right whales, as well as more than 70 other species including other whales, dolphins, seals, manatees, sea turtles, sharks, rays, and other fishes–214,000 sightings in total (Kenney 2001). Most of the sightings are between FL and Nova Scotia. The NARWC also attempts to include any other marine mammal survey data for the Atlantic U.S., which means there are sighting data within, as well as outside, of the boundaries of the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Effort sources are either dedicated or opportunistic (IWC 2001). Opportunistic sightings are those coming from observers on aircraft and vessels of opportunity (such as the U.S. Coast Guard [USCG] and NMFS shipboard and aerial surveys). Listed below are the majority of data sources within the NARWC database that provide data for the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Descriptions of data provided by the NARWC database but resulting from studies sponsored by NMFS or the Department of the Navy (DoN) are included in other sections. Dedicated or directed aerial surveys for right whales have only been conducted since the early 1980s (DoN 1996).

- **The **Cetacean and Turtle Assessment Program (CETAP)** was initiated by the University of Rhode Island, with support from the Bureau of Land Management (Scott and Gilbert 1982). The study took place from **October 1978 to January 1982**. CETAP used both aerial surveys and shipboard observers to collect data on cetaceans and sea turtles in outer continental shelf waters between Cape Hatteras, NC, and Nova Scotia. The study area ran from the shore out to 5NM seaward of the 2,000 m isobath. The objectives of CETAP were: (1) to determine the species composition of cetaceans and sea turtles in the mid and North Atlantic region; (2) to identify and describe geographic areas important to the life history of cetaceans and turtles in the region; (3) to determine the distribution in space and time of cetaceans and sea turtles in the region; (4) to make behavioral observations of cetaceans and sea turtles in the region; (5) to determine population size and extent in this region; and (6) to focus on describing these characteristics for threatened and endangered species in the region (Scott and Gilbert 1982). Data from CETAP included in this report come from both aerial and shipboard platforms. CETAP opportunistic sightings were not used to generate the SPUE surfaces.

- **From **1984 to 1988**, the **New England Aquarium (NEA)** conducted a series of winter aerial surveys along the southeastern U.S. with the support of volunteer pilots to identify the distribution and abundance of right whales in the region (DoN 1996). During the winters of 1984 to 1988, the surveys covered the coastal waters of Georgia out to 74 km, and those from the Georgia/Florida border to Cape Canaveral, FL, out to 28 km (Kraus et al. 1988). In 1984, Kraus et al. surveyed the coastal waters from Cape Canaveral, FL to Jupiter Inlet, FL, out to 18.5 km, and in 1986 and 1987 this coverage was extended southward to Boca Raton, FL (Kraus et al. 1988). In 1985, survey coverage was extended northward nearly to Cape Hatteras, NC. In 1986, 1987, and 1988, survey flights also included tracklines at 1 NM and 4 NM parallel to the Florida coast from Cape Canaveral nearly to Miami (Kraus et al. 1993). The first year that the NARWC has in its database is 1988.
- **The **MMS** sponsored **NEA** aerial surveys in the winters from **1989 to 1992** along the southeastern coast of the United States (Kraus et al. 1993). Right whale distribution, abundance, seasonality, and habitat use patterns in the southeastern U.S. during the winter were poorly defined prior to those studies, and the MMS needed the information to assess the impacts of offshore petroleum activity on endangered whales. Survey lines within the study area south of Savannah, GA were spaced 4 NM apart. The trackline orientation was east-west from Savannah south to Jacksonville, FL. From Jacksonville to Miami, FL, the tracklines were parallel to the coast at 1, 4, 8, and 12 NM intervals. In March 1991, aerial surveys were conducted between Savannah, GA and Cape Hatteras, NC from the coast out to the 180 m isobath. In September 1991, the tracklines off North Carolina were shortened to go from the coast to the western margin of the Gulf Stream, and by adding tracklines at 5 NM intervals, more trackline coverage in this area was provided. Tracklines south of Cape Canaveral, FL were dropped in 1991 due to a low number of right whale sightings relative to effort. All sightings of cetaceans, sea turtles, and large fishes were recorded during all surveys.
- ****Beginning in the winter of 1989**, the **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)** and/or their dredging subcontractors supported aerial surveys, performed by the NEA, to detect right whales in the vicinity of dredging activities in the Brunswick and St. Mary's entrance channels (Kraus et al. 1993; Hain et al. 1999; Slay et al. 2001). The surveys were part of a mitigation program that initially centered on the channel dredging for Kings Bay submarine base in southeastern Georgia (Hain et al. 1999). Surveys cover an area from 10 NM north to 10 NM south of the Georgia/Florida border and out to 17 NM offshore. Dredging to maintain required depths in these channels occurs in the winter to avoid impacts to sea turtles that occur here in the summer (Slay et al. 2001).

The **Early Warning System (EWS)** was **established in the winter of 1993 through 1994** to alert ships transiting the winter calving grounds off the southeastern U.S. to the presence of right whales (Hain et al. 1999; MMC 2002). This is a cooperative effort by the Navy, USCG, USACE, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FFWCC), Georgia Department of Natural Resources, and NEA (MMC 2002) and is an expansion of an aerial monitoring program for dredging (see above). The EWS relies on comprehensive daily surveys to locate right whales and provide whale detection services to all mariners in the calving ground, including the Navy, USACE, USCG, port authorities, and harbor pilots (Slay et al. 2001). These surveys are conducted over the core of the calving grounds from mid-December through March (MMC 2002). These groups have used the sighting information in their efforts to avoid collisions with right whales (Colborn et al. 1998). The USACE, USCG, and the Navy fund these surveys, with additional support by NMFS.

Mandatory ship reporting systems have been established in the right whale calving grounds and in feeding areas off Massachusetts (USCG 1999; USCG 2001). The systems require that operators of commercial vessels greater than 300 gross tons contact a shore station for information on right whales upon entering both areas. Messages are automatically sent to ships by a satellite communications system, advising mariners of recent right whale sighting locations, the need for caution to avoid whales, and the availability of related advice in regional *Coast Pilots*. The ships also must provide information on intended destinations, routes, and speeds to help monitor and assess vessel traffic patterns through right whale habitats.

The Navy serves a key role as the relay point for sightings information generated by these surveys. Whale sightings from surveys, and reports from Navy vessels and other sources, are coordinated by the Navy's Fleet Area Control and Surveillance Facility in Jacksonville, FL (FACSFACJAX) as the Northern

Right Whale Project (for more information, see Navy-supported surveys). Information includes the time and location of the sighting, along with the number of individuals, age class (adult, juvenile, or calf), and direction of travel. The sighting is then issued a number, and the information is forwarded to Navy vessels and to the USCG for relaying as Broadcast Notice to Mariners (Colborn et al. 1998).

- ****NEA** flies surveys daily, **December through March**, from 18.5 km north of Brunswick, GA to 18.5 km south of Jacksonville, FL, over an area of approximately 1,850 km^2 (1,000 NM^2) (Slay et al. 2001). During the 2000 season, surveys were also flown off the coast of South Carolina (Slay et al. 2001). All sightings of marine animals (except birds) are recorded, as well as vessel traffic. Additionally, right whales are located and photographed for scientific purposes. NEA EWS data from 1994 to 2005 are included in this report. Data from 2003 to 2005 were not used to create the SPUE surfaces.
- **The Right Whale Conservation Project of the **Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation's Commission (FFWCC) Florida Marine Research Institute (FMRI)** has been performing aerial surveys to detect and report the presence of right whales in FL waters **since 1991** with funding from NMFS (Kraus et al. 1993; Taylor Thomas and Ciano 2000; Ciano and Taylor Thomas 2001). These surveys cover the Florida coast farther south than the NEA surveys, from Jacksonville Beach to approximately Ormond Beach, and occasionally farther south. FMRI surveys from Ponte Vedra Beach, FL to Fort Pierce, FL are from the shoreline to 5 to 20 NM offshore. A full survey consists of 10 lines flown perpendicular to the shoreline from 30°12'N to 29°45'N out to 81°00'W followed by two lines flown parallel to the shoreline (coastal track lines) from Mantazas Inlet, FL to Fort Pierce, FL, 30 NM south of the southern boundary of the critical habitat (Taylor Thomas and Ciano 2000; Ciano and Taylor Thomas 2001). Coastal track lines are flown parallel to the shoreline at distances of approximately 1 NM and 4 NM (Taylor Thomas and Ciano 2000; Ciano and Taylor Thomas 2001). Each completed survey has a length of 537 NM (including transits) and observer coverage constitutes an area approximately 1,500 NM^2. Ancillary flights may be used to verify opportunistic sightings of marine mammals in Florida waters by mariners and coastal residents, dependent on budget constraints (Taylor Thomas and Ciano 2000; Ciano and Taylor Thomas 2001). In the winter of 1995/1996, surveys were broadened to include water farther offshore of Florida, where right whales have been sighted. This information may be used to expand the boundaries of the critical habitat sometime in the future. The NARWC database includes FMRI surveys completed from **January 1992 through 2005**. Data from 2004 and 2005 were not used to create the SPUE surfaces.
- ****Since 1995**, **FMRI and state of GA biologists** have jointly operated offshore aerial surveys for right whales. The area covered is offshore of the EWS survey area out to 40 NM. These surveys were conducted either by NEA crew (with joint funding from Florida and Georgia), by FMRI biologists, or by state of Georgia biologists. The NARWC database has sighting data for 1996 through 2002.
- **A team from **GA's Department of Natural Resources (GADNR)** flies surveys farther north, from north of Brunswick up the coast to Savannah, with funding provided by NMFS. These surveys have been conducted **since 1993** with state and NMFS funding (DoN 1996). The NARWC database has sighting information from the GADNR through 2002.
- **Opportunistic surveys of the coastal waters of northeastern Florida (Amelia Island to Cape Canaveral) have been conducted **since 1990** from **airships** (blimps) by Associated Scientists at Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (Hain 1991). The airships have been used for behavioral studies of North Atlantic right whales **(9 through 17 January 1992**, **5 January to 12 February 1993**, and **15 through 26 February 1995)** (Hain et al. 1999). Survey altitude for these studies was 228 m. As noted by Hain et al. (1999), the ability of an airship to maintain position near sightings makes extended behavioral observations and photography possible. Airship data from 1992, 1993, 1995 and 2001 are included in this report.
- **In **February and March of 1987**, six aerial surveys were conducted by the **University of Rhode Island (URI)** off the coasts of Georgia and Florida (Kraus et al. 1993). The purpose of these surveys was to collect information on the distribution and abundance of North Atlantic right whales in the waters of the southeastern U.S. These data are included in this report.
- ****The Wildlife Trust** has been flying North Atlantic right whale aerial surveys since **2001** in support of the EWS (Taylor 2005). From calving season 2001/2002 to 2003/2004, these surveys took place only

off the coast of Georgia. In the 2004/2005 season, the Wildlife Trust expanded their coverage to include the waters off of South Carolina. Aerial survey flights take place daily between **December and March** in Georgia waters and between **November 15 and April 15** in South Carolina waters (Taylor 2005). These data (2002-2005) are available in the NARWC database and were included in this report. Data from the 2004/2005 season off South Carolina were not used to generate the SPUE surfaces.

- **Opportunistic sightings** from commercial vessels, private pleasure craft, fishing vessels, Navy vessels and aircraft, harbor pilots, volunteer networks, and the general public are reported to various Georgia and Florida state agencies and are all forwarded to the NEA (Kraus et al. 1993). Since 1994, the Marine Resources Council of East Florida has coordinated a network of volunteer spotters, living in high-rise condos beachside, which report right whale sightings (DoN 1996). Sighting logs are also maintained by the Navy (DoN 1996). The following organizations/programs provided to the NARWC database the opportunistic sightings included in this report: CETAP, DoN CSA aerial survey, FACSFACJAX, FMRI, NEA, NMFS-NEFSC, URI, Wildlife Trust, and PIROP.

Navy-supported Surveys

- **To supplement information for the proposed shock testing of the **SEAWOLF** submarine in the Mayport test area (Jacksonville, FL), monthly aerial surveys of marine mammals and sea turtles were conducted by Continental Shelf Associates, Inc. to determine the temporal and spatial distribution of marine mammals and sea turtles from **April through September 1995** (DoN 1995) and from **May through September 1997** (DoN 1998b). The survey transects were over the 91 to 213 m (300 to 700 ft) depth contour and centered on the shelf edge.
- The mitigation plan for the SEAWOLF shock testing included pre- and post-detonation monitoring (DoN 1998b). Starting 6 hours before each test, aerial and shipboard observers would search for marine mammals and sea turtles at the test site. After the explosion during the shock trial, these observers would again survey the test site. A **Marine Animal Recovery Team (MART)** led by a marine mammal veterinarian would attempt to recover and treat any injured animals. Marine animal sightings from the 1995 and 1997 surveys, as well as those made by MART observers **during 2001,** were provided by the Navy and used in this report.
- **From **3 to 8 June 1999** and **16 to 18 August 1999**, aerial surveys for marine mammals and sea turtles were conducted at the Mayport test area to supplement information for the proposed shock testing of the ***Winston S. Churchill*** (DoN 1999). Continental Shelf Associates, Inc. flew surveys at an altitude of 230 m (750 ft) over continental slope waters off northeast Florida and southern Georgia. These data were included in this report.
- **Between the winter seasons of **1996/1997 and 1998/1999**, **Continental Shelf Associates, Inc.** was contracted by the Navy to collect data pertaining to the spatial and temporal distribution and abundances of marine mammal and sea turtle species relative to local Navy aerial, surface, and subsurface operations within selected OPAREAs offshore of South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida (DoN 1997b; 2002b). The study area and timing of the surveys were based on: (1) high historical frequency and volume of Navy ship traffic and areas subject to other potential impacts associated with offshore Navy activities; (2) the seasonal migration of North Atlantic right whales in the coastal waters off southeastern Georgia and northeastern Florida, and (3) logistical limitations of fuel, daylight limitations, and expected survey observer fatigue (DoN 1996). The survey area was modified during the 1997/1998 surveys so that greater emphasis could be placed on select Navy high-use areas, as well as high-use areas for North Atlantic right whales determined during the 1996/1997 surveys (DoN 2000). The 1998/1999 Year 3 survey design included a significant reduction in the geographic size of the survey area from areas surveyed during Year 1 and Year 2 in part due to the increase in the repetitive survey coverage of selected Navy areas of concern and to avoid overlap of survey area coverage by other organizations (FMRI, GADNR, NEA) (DoN 2001; 2002b). The NARWC database contains the sightings from Year 1 (calving season 1996/1997) and Year 2 (calving season 1997/1998). Year 3 is not contained in the NARWC database. Data files for all three survey years were provided by the Navy and are included in this report. Only data from the 1996/1997 season were used to generate the SPUE models.

- The **Northern Right Whale Project** is managed by **FACSFACJAX**, as directed by CINCLANTLFT. The Navy partially funds state fish and wildlife agencies' efforts to patrol North Atlantic right whale migration routes with light aircraft to spot and report whale sightings. Sightings are used to coordinate Navy ship and aircraft clearance into the critical habitat and the surrounding OPAREA based on a host of factors, including the frequency of whale sightings. North Atlantic right whale sightings are reported to ships, submarines, and aircraft. All sightings made during aerial surveys are reported to FACSFACJAX in real time and then relayed to the EWS. FACSFACJAX has a communications network and reporting system that ensures the widest possible exchange and distribution of right whale sighting information to Department of Defense and civilian shipping. The database that FACSFACJAX maintains is accessible to the public at its website (http://www.facsfacjax.navy.mil) and contains sighting information from EWS flights (NEA, FMRI, GADNR), shore-based observers, Navy, USCG, and private boaters. All of the data available from FACSFACJAX are also available in the NARWC database.

Additional Projects

- The **Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program (SEAMAP)** is a joint governmental and academic program for the collection, management, and dissemination of fishery-independent data (information collected without direct reliance on statistics reported by commercial or recreational fishermen) in U.S. waters (SEAMAP 2001). SEAMAP's organizational structure includes three operational components, SEAMAP-Gulf of Mexico (formed in 1981), SEAMAP-South Atlantic (1983), and SEAMAP-Caribbean (1988). In the South Atlantic region, surveys include a shallow water trawl survey, the Pamlico Sound survey, benthic characterization, and a bottom mapping project. A major purpose of SEAMAP is to provide resource survey data to state and federal management agencies and universities participating in SEAMAP activities. Sea turtle data were collected during shallow water trawl surveys, which were conducted from Cape Hatteras, NC to Cape Canaveral, FL from **April 1989 through April 2001** (winters were not sampled) in waters 15 to 30 ft in depth. Whenever sea turtles were caught, the location was recorded and the turtle was measured, weighed, and tagged. These trawl surveys were both SEAMAP- and SCDNR-directed sea turtle surveys. The dataset was received from the SCDNR.

- There are several published papers that contain data on strandings or opportunistic sightings within the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Papers from which data were taken for this report are summarized below.

  - **Moore (1953)** compiled reports of marine mammal occurrences in Florida waters to compose the first list and identification key for Florida marine mammal species. Information dated from as early as 1513 to 1953.

  - In **1979**, the Center for Natural Areas updated the previous (1974) Virginia Institue of Marine Sciences report for the Bureau of Land Management, expanding the geographic coverage to the 1,500 m isobath. This report not only reviewed published literature but also unpublished data and ongoing research programs and identified information gaps. **Winn et al. (1979)** summarizes marine mammal information from this study.

  - During **April 1980 through April 1981** the **USFWS** conducted systematic aerial surveys over the outer continental shelf and adjacent waters of the western Atlantic Ocean and Gulf of Mexico from Cape Hatteras, NC to the U.S.-Mexican border near Brownsville, Texas (Fritts et al. 1983). These surveys are often referred to as the "Fritts surveys." The Merritt Island, FL subunit was the only subunit in Fritts et al. (1983) that was outside of the Gulf of Mexico. The northwestern margin of this subunit lay east of Cape Canaveral and Merritt Island, while the southwestern extreme was adjacent to the barrier island east of Fort Pierce, FL. The offshore parts of the subunit lay north of the Bahamas Bank. Opportunistic flights (in addition to the actual surveys) were conducted off NC, off the mouth of the Mississippi River, near the Dry Tortugas, and in several areas between survey subunits (including near Merritt Island, FL). The purpose of these surveys was to: (1) determine the faunal composition of the areas surveyed (marine mammals, sea turtles, and birds), (2) estimate faunal densities related to geographic and seasonal parameters, and (3) identify areas of major biological importance for decision-making related to offshore oil and gas resource development (Fritts et al. 1983). An electronic version of this dataset apparently does not exist, so the few positional sighting data that are found in Fritts et al. (1983) were digitized for inclusion in this report. Only one green sea turtle sighting for the JAX/CHASN OPAREA was listed in Fritts et al. (1983).

- **Schmidly (1981)** synthesized all available data and literature about cetaceans and pinnipeds from Cape Hatteras, NC to the Florida Keys (and from the FL Keys to the U.S./Mexico boundary near Port Isabel/Brownsville, TX) for the BLM and the USFWS. Unfortunately, in many cases only the year for the sighting or stranding was reported, so these compilations could not be used to determine seasonal occurrence patterns for whales, dolphins, or porpoises in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA. Very little information in the report was relevant for the JAX/CHASN OPAREA and even less of the information was useable.
- **Parker (1995)** is a record of a juvenile hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) sighted off of the southeastern U.S. 37 nautical miles from the coast of Georgia. The juvenile hawksbill was associated with floating *Sargassum*.
- **Schwartz (1995)** summarized occurrences of West Indian manatees (*Trichechus manatus*) in North Carolina from 1919 to 1994. Records of manatees in the OPAREA and just inshore of the OPAREA boundary are included in this report.
- North Atlantic right whale aerial surveys flown by the NEA from **01 December 1997 to 01 April 1998** also recorded information on other species of marine animals, including sea turtles. Behavioral observations of loggerhead turtles made during these surveys were reviewed for accounts of loggerhead courtship behavior in the coastal waters of southeastern Georgia and northeastern Florida (Frick et al. 2000). Surveys were conducted as a collaborative effort between the New England Aquarium, Georgia Department of Natural Resources, and Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Loggerhead data as published in Frick et al. (2000) are included in this report.

Literature Cited

Blaylock, R.A. and W. Hoggard. 1994. Preliminary estimates of bottlenose dolphin abundance in southern U.S. Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico continental shelf waters. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-356:1-10.

Ciano, J.N. and C. Taylor Thomas. 2001. Aerial surveys of the coastal waters of Florida to detect the presence of North Atlantic right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*): December 2000 - March 2001. Jacksonville, Florida: Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Florida Marine Research Institute.

Colborn, K., G. Silber, and C. Slay. 1998. Avoiding collisions with right whales. Professional Mariner 35:24-26.

DoN (Department of the Navy). 1995. Aerial census survey report of marine mammals and sea turtles within candidate test sites off Norfolk, Virginia and Mayport, Florida. Summary Report - Surveys 1-6. Prepared for the Southern Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Charleston, South Carolina by Continental Shelf Associates, Inc., Jupiter, Florida.

DoN (Department of the Navy). 1996. Aerial survey plan for northern right whales and other listed species in Atlantic waters from Charleston, South Carolina to Cape Canaveral, Florida. Prepared for the Southern Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, North Charleston, South Carolina by Continental Shelf Associates, Inc., Jupiter, Florida.

DoN (Department of the Navy). 1997a. Geographic coordinate data for aerial survey tracklines for CSA aerial surveys 1996-1997. Data received from Dr. Bob Kenney on 30 January 2006. University of Rhode Island, North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium.

DoN (Department of the Navy). 1997b. Data report for 1996-1997 aerial surveys for northern right whales and other listed species in Atlantic waters from Charleston, South Carolina to Cape Canaveral, Florida. Prepared for the Southern Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, North Charleston, South Carolina by Continental Shelf Associates, Inc., Jupiter, Florida.

DoN (Department of the Navy). 1998a. Aerial census survey report of marine mammals and sea turtles within the candidate test area off Mayport, Florida. Surveys 1-5: May-September 1997. Prepared for the Southern Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, North Charleston, South Carolina by Continental Shelf Associates, Inc., Jupiter, Florida.

DoN (Department of the Navy) 1998b. Geographic coordinates data for aerial survey tracklines for CSA aerial surveys 1997-1998. Data received from Dr. Bob Kenney on 30 January 2006. University of Rhode Island, North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium.

DoN (Department of the Navy). 1999. Aerial survey report for Mayport testing area, June and August 1999. Prepared for the Southern Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, North Charleston, South Carolina by Continental Shelf Associates, Inc., Jupiter, Florida.

DoN (Department of the Navy). 2000. Data report for the 1997-1998 Year 2 aerial surveys of northern right whales and other listed species in Atlantic waters from Charleston, South Carolina to Cape Canaveral, Florida. Prepared for the Southern Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, North Charleston, South Carolina by Continental Shelf Associates, Inc., Jupiter, Florida.

DoN (Department of the Navy). 2001. Data report for the 1998-1999 Year 3 aerial surveys of northern right whales and other listed species in Atlantic waters from Charleston, South Carolina to Cape Canaveral, Florida. Prepared for the Southern Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, North Charleston, South Carolina by Continental Shelf Associates, Inc., Jupiter, Florida.

DoN (Department of the Navy). 2002a. Summary report on aerial surveys (1996/97, 1997/98, 1998/99) of northern right whales and other listed species in Atlantic waters from Charleston, South Carolina to Cape Canaveral, Florida. for the Southern Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command by Continental Shelf Associates, Inc. 77.

DoN (Department of the Navy). 2002b. Aerial surveys of marine mammal and sea turtles offshore Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, and Virginia funded by the Department of the Navy and conducted by Continental Shelf Associates, Inc. (CSA) from 1995 to 1999. [CD-ROM]. February.

Epperly, S.P., J. Braun, and A.J. Chester. 1995a. Aerial surveys for sea turtles in North Carolina inshore waters. Fishery Bulletin 93:254-261.

Epperly, S.P., J. Braun, and A. Veishlow. 1995b. Sea turtles in North Carolina waters. Conservation Biology 9:384-394.

Fertl, D. and G.L. Fulling. 2007. Interactions between marine mammals and turtles. Marine Turtle Newsletter 115:4-8.

Forney, K.A. 2002. Surveys. Pages 1203-1205 in Perrin, W.F., B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Frick, M.G., C.K. Slay, and C.A. Quinn. 2000. Aerial observations of courtship behavior in loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) from southeastern Georgia and northeastern Florida. Journal of Herpetology 34(1):153-158.

Fritts, T.H., A.B. Irvine, R.D. Jennings, L.A. Collum, W. Hoffman, and M.A. McGehee. 1983. Turtles, birds, and mammals in the northern Gulf of Mexico and nearby Atlantic waters. FWS/OBS-82/65 Washington, D.C.: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Garrison, L. and C. Yeung. 2001. Abundance estimates for Atlantic bottlenose dolphin stocks during summer and winter, 1995. Unpublished document prepared for the Take Reduction Team on Coastal Bottlenose Dolphins in the Western Atlantic.

Garrison, L.P., P.E. Rosel, A. Hohn, R. Baird, and W. Hoggard. 2003. Abundance of the coastal morphotype of bottlenose dolphin, *Tursiops truncatus*, in U.S. continental shelf waters between New Jersey and Florida during winter and summer 2002. Unpublished document prepared for the Take Reduction Team on Coastal Bottlenose Dolphins in the Western Atlantic.

Geraci, J.R. and V.J. Lounsbury. 1993. Marine mammals ashore: A field guide for strandings. Galveston, Texas: Texas A&M Sea Grant.

Hain, J.H.W. 1991. Airships for marine mammal research: Evaluation and recommendations. Contract No. T68108863. Prepared for the U.S. Marine Mammal Commission, Washington, D.C.

Hain, J.H.W., S.L. Ellis, R.D. Kenney, and C.K. Slay. 1999. Sightability of right whales in coastal waters of the southeastern United States with implications for the aerial monitoring program. Pages 191-207 in Garner, G.W., S.C. Amstrup, J.L. Laake, B.F.J. Manly, L.L. McDonald, and D.G. Robertson, eds. Marine mammal survey and assessment methods. Rotterdam, Netherlands: A.A. Balkema.

Hansen, L.J., K.D. Mullin, and C.L. Roden. 1994. Preliminary estimates of cetacean abundance in the northern Gulf of Mexico, and of selected cetacean species in the U.S. Atlantic Exclusive Economic Zone from vessel surveys. Contribution Number MIA-93/94-58 Miami: National Marine Fisheries Service.

Henwood, T.A. 1987. Movements and seasonal changes in loggerhead turtle *Caretta caretta* aggregations in the vicinity of Cape Canaveral, Florida (1978-84). Biological Conservation 40:191-202.

Henwood, T.A. and L.H. Ogren. 1987. Distribution and migrations of immature Kemp's ridley turtles (*Lepidochelys kempi*) and green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) off Florida, Georgia, and South Carolina. Northeast Gulf Science 9(2):153-159.

Henwood, T.A. and S.P. Epperly. 1999. Aerial surveys in foraging habitats. Pages 65-66 in Eckert, K.L., K.A. Bjorndal, F.A. Abreu-Grobois, and M. Donnelly, eds. Research and management techniques for the conservation of sea turtles. Publication No. 4. Washington, D.C.: IUCN/SSC Marine Turtle Specialist Group.

Hoggard, W. 2002. Mid Atlantic bottlenose dolphin aerial surveys. Accessed 2 February 2006. http://www.aoc.noaa.gov/article_midatlantic.htm.

IWC (International Whaling Commission). 2001. Report of the Workshop on Status and Trends of Western North Atlantic Right Whales. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue 2):61-87.

Kenney, R.D. 2001. The North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium databases. Maritimes 43(2):3-5.

Kraus, S.D., J.D. Prescott, and A. Knowlton. 1988. Wintering right whales along the southeastern U.S.: A primary calving ground. Pages 148-158 in Proceedings, Third Southeastern Nongame Wildlife Symposium. 8-10 August 1987. Athens, Georgia.

Kraus, S.D., R.D. Kenney, A.R. Knowlton, and J.N. Ciano. 1993. Endangered right whales of the southwestern North Atlantic. OCS Study MMS 93-0024. Herndon, Virginia: Minerals Management Service.

Martin, S.M., C.K. Slay, S.L. Swartz, B. McLellan, J. Tobias, and A. Knowlton. 2001. Right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*) aerial surveys off the Carolina coast: Winter 2000. Page 12 in Southeast and Mid-Atlantic Marine Mammal Symposium. 30 March-1 April 2001. Beaufort, North Carolina.

McLellan, W.A., K.M. Lefler, G. Jones, K. Hardcastle, and D.A. Pabst. 2001. Winter right whale surveys from Savannah, Georgia to Chesapeake Bay, Virginia February-March 2001. Contract Report #40WCNF1A0249 Prepared for NMFS-SEFSC, Miami, Florida by Biological Sciences Center for Marine Science Research, University of North Carolina, Wilmington, North Carolina.

McLellan, W.A., E.A. Meagher, L.G. Torres, G.G. Lovewell, and D.A. Pabst. 2002. Winter right whale surveys, Savannah, Georgia to Chesapeake Bay, Virginia, January 22, 2002-March 19, 2002. Contract Report #50WCNF2060001 Prepared for the NMFS-SEFSC, Miami, Florida by Biological Sciences Center for Marine Science Research, University of North Carolina, Wilmington, North Carolina.

MMC (Marine Mammal Commission). 2002. Annual report to Congress 2001. Bethesda, Maryland: Marine Mammal Commission.

Moore, J.C. 1953. Distribution of marine mammals to Florida waters. American Midland Naturalist 49:117-158.

Mullin, K.D. 1999. Abundance and distribution of cetaceans in the southern U.S. Atlantic Ocean during summer 1998. IWC Working Document SC/51/03 presented to the IWC Scientific Committee. 24-28 May. Grenada.

Mullin, K.D. 2004. Personal communication via email between Dr. Keith D. Mullin, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, Pascagoula, Mississippi, and Ms. Dagmar Fertl, Geo-Marine, Inc., Plano, Texas, 3 November 2004.

Mullin, K.D. and G.L. Fulling. 2003. Abundance of cetaceans in the southern U.S. North Atlantic Ocean during summer 1998. Fishery Bulletin 101:603-613.

Neuhauser, H., ed. 2002. 21 calves this year! Right Whale News 9(2):4-5.

NMFS-NEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Northeast Fisheries Science Center). 2008. Northeast US right whale sighting advisory system (SAS). Accessed 08 August 2008. http://rwhalesightings.nefsc.noaa.gov/.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 1992a. *Oregon II* cruise 92-01 (198), 3 January-11 February 1992. Unpublished cruise report. Pascagoula, Mississippi: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 1992b. Geographic coordinates data for aerial survey track line for SECAS 1992 aerial survey. Data received from Dr. Keith Mullin on 15 November 2001. National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 1992c. Geographic coordinates for shipboard survey track line for the Oregon II 92-01 1992 shipboard survey. Data received from Dr. Keith Mullin on 15 September 2005. National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 1992d. Southeast cetacean aerial survey, January-March 1992. Contribution no. MIA-91/92-69. Unpublished survey and data report Pascagoula, Mississippi: Marine Mammal Research Program.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 1995a. Geographic coordinates data for aerial survey track line for SECAS 1995 aerial survey. Data received from Dr. Keith Mullin on 15 November 2001. National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 1995b. Shapefile of trackline for the MATS 1995 aerial survey. Data received from Dr. Lance Garrison on 31 May 2006. National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 1998. Geographic coordinates for shipboard survey track line from *Relentless* 98-01 (3) 1998 shipboard survey. Data received from Dr. Keith Mullin on 05 September 2005. National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 1999a. Geographic coordinates for shipboard survey track line from *Oregon II* 99-05(236) shipboard survey. Data received from Dr. Keith Mullin on 05 September 2005. National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 1999b. Cruise results, summer Atlantic Ocean marine mammal survey, NOAA Ship *Oregon II* cruise OT 99-05 (236). Unpublished cruise report. Pascagoula, Mississippi: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 2002a. Shapefiles of track lines for MATS 2002 aerial survey. Data received from Dr. Lance Garrison on 31 May 2006. National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 2002b. Shapefile of trackline for Gordon Gunter cruise GU-0201(021). Data received from Dr. Lance Garrison on 31 May 2006. National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 2002c. Cruise results, NOAA Ship *Gordon Gunter* cruise GU-02-01, 6 February-8 April 2002, Mid Atlantic Cetacean Survey ("MACS"). Unpublished cruise report. Pascagoula, Mississippi: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 2004a. Shapefile of trackline for the Gordon Gunter cruise GU-04-03. Data received from Dr. Lance Garrison on 31 May 2006. National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 2004b. Shapefile of trackline for the MATS summer 2004 aerial survey. Data received from Dr. Lance Garrison on 05 December 2005. National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 2004c. Cruise results, NOAA Ship Gordon Gunter cruise GU-04-03 (028), 22 June - 19 August: A survey for abundance and distribution of cetaceans in the U.S. mid-Atlantic with an emphasis on pilot whales. Unpublished cruise report. Pascagoula, Mississippi: National Marine Fisheries Service.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 2005a. Shapefile of trackline for the MATS winter 2005 aerial survey. Data received from Dr. Lance Garrison on 05 December 2005. National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 2005b. Shapefile of trackline for the Gordon Gunter cruise GU-05-03. Data received from Dr. Lance Garrison on 31 May 2006. National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center.

NMFS-SEFSC (National Marine Fisheries Service-Southeast Fisheries Science Center). 2005c. Cruise results, NOAA Ship *Gordon Gunter* cruise GU-05-03, 14 June - 16 August, 2005: A survey of the U.S. mid-Atlantic to collect biopsy samples for analysis of population structure in bottlenose dolphins and pilot whales. Unpublished cruise report. Pascagoula, Mississippi: National Marine Fisheries Service.

Parker, L.G. 1995. Encounter with a juvenile hawksbill turtle offshore Sapelo Island, Georgia. Marine Turtle Newsletter 71:19-22.

Schmidly, D.J. 1981. Marine mammals of the southeastern United States coast and the Gulf of Mexico. FWS/OBS-80/41 Washington, D.C.: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Schwartz, F.J. 1995. Florida manatees, *Trichechus manatus* (Sirenia: Trichechidae), in North Carolina 1919-1994. Brimleyana 22:53-60.

Scott, G.P. 1990. Management-oriented research on bottlenose dolphins by the Southeast Fisheries Center. Pages 623-639 in Leatherwood, S. and R.R. Reeves, eds. The bottlenose dolphin. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

Scott, G.P. and J.R. Gilbert. 1982. Problems and progress in the US BLM-sponsored CETAP surveys. Reports of the International Whaling Commission 32:587-600.

SEAMAP (Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program). 2001. Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program (SEAMAP). Accessed 5 December 2005. http://www.gsmfc.org/sm_ov.html.

Shaver, D.J. and W.G. Teas. 1999. Stranding and salvage networks. Pages 152-155 in Eckert, K.L., K.A. Bjorndal, F.A. Abreu-Grobois, and M. Donnelly, eds. Research and management techniques for the conservation of sea turtles. Publication No. 4. Washington, D.C.: IUCN/SSC Marine Turtle Specialist Group.

Slay, C.K., C. Emmons, E. LaBrecque, A. Windham-Reid, M. Zani, S.D. Kraus, and R. Kenney. 2001. Early Warning System 1994 - 2001: Aerial surveys to reduce ship/whale collisions in the North Atlantic right whale calving ground. Charleston, South Carolina: National Ocean Service, Center for Coastal Environmental Health and Biomolecular Research.

Taylor, C. 2005. In search of the North Atlantic right whale; Wildlife Trust scientists work to conserve the endangered whale. Accessed 07 August 2008. http://www.wildlifetrust.org/news/2005/0701_whales.htm.

Taylor Thomas, C. and J.N. Ciano. 2000. Aerial surveys of the coastal waters of Florida to detect the presence of northern right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*): December 1999 - March 2000. Jacksonville, Florida: Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Florida Marine Research Institute.

Thompson, N.B. 1984. Progress report on estimating density and abundance of marine turtles: Results of first year pelagic surveys in the southeast U.S. Miami, Florida: National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center.

Thompson, N.B. and H. Huang. 1993. Leatherback turtles in southeast U.S. waters. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-318:1-25.

URI (University of Rhode Island). 1992. Geographic coordinates data for track line for NEA aerial surveys. Data received from Dr. Bob Kenney on 17 January 2006. University of Rhode Island, North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium.

USCG (U.S. Coast Guard). 1999. Mandatory ship reporting systems. Federal Register 64(104):29229-29235.

USCG (U.S. Coast Guard). 2001. Mandatory ship reporting systems--Final rule. Federal Register 66(224):58066-58070.

Wade, P.R. and R.P. Angliss. 1997. Guidelines for assessing marine mammal stocks. Report of the GAMMS (Guidelines for Assessing Marine Mammal Stocks) Workshop April 3-5, 1996, Seattle, Washington. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-OPR-12:1-93.

Waring, G.T., E. Josephson, C.P. Fairfield, and K. Maze-Foley, eds. 2006. U.S. Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico marine mammal stock assessments -- 2005. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE-194:1-346.

Wilkinson, D. and G.A. Worthy. 1999. Marine mammal stranding networks. Pages 396-411 in Twiss, J.R., Jr. and R.R. Reeves, eds. Conservation and management of marine mammals. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Winn, L.K., H.E. Winn, D.K. Caldwell, M.C. Caldwell, and J.L. Dunn. 1979. Marine mammals. Pages 1-117 in CNA (Center for Natural Areas), ed. A summary and analysis of environmental information on the continental shelf and Blake Plateau from Cape Hatteras to Cape Canaveral (1977). Volume 1: Book 2. Washington, D.C.: Bureau of Land Management.

Yeung, C. 1999. Estimates of marine mammal and marine turtle bycatch by the U.S. Atlantic pelagic longline fleet in 1998. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC 430:1-26.

APPENDIX B: MARINE MAMMALS

List of Figures

List of Figures

List of Figures

This page intentionally left blank

This page intentionally left blank



Figure B-1-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of endangered marine mammals in the Virginia Capes, Cherry Point, and Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-1-2. Seasonal occurrence of endangered marine mammals in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank

This page intentionally left blank



Figure B-2-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of the North Atlantic right whale in the Virginia Capes, Cherry Point, and Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-2-2. Seasonal occurrence of the North Atlantic right whale in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank

This page intentionally left blank



Figure B-3-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of the humpback whale in the Virginia Capes, Cherry Point, and Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-3-2. Seasonal occurrence of the humpback whale in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank

This page intentionally left blank



Figure B-4-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of the fin whale in the Virginia Capes, Cherry Point, and Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-4-2. Seasonal occurrence of the fin whale in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank

This page intentionally left blank



Figure B-5-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of the sperm whale in the Virginia Capes, Cherry Point, and Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-5-2. Seasonal occurrence of the sperm whale in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-6. Seasonal occurrence records of the West Indian manatee in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank



Figure B-7-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of the minke whale in the Virginia Capes, Cherry Point, and Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-7-2. Seasonal occurrence of the minke whale in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-8. Seasonal occurrence records of the Bryde's and sei whales in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank



Figure B-9-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of Kogia spp. in the Virginia Capes, Cherry Point, and Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-9-2. Seasonal occurrence of Kogia spp. in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank

This page intentionally left blank



Figure B-10-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of beaked whales in the Virginia Capes, Cherry Point, and Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-10-2. Seasonal occurrence of beaked whales in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-11. Seasonal occurrence records of the rough-toothed dolphin in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank



Figure B-12-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of the bottlenose dolphin in the Virginia Capes, Cherry Point, and Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-12-2. Seasonal occurrence of the bottlenose dolphin in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank.

This page intentionally left blank



Figure B-13-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of the pantropical spotted dolphin in the Virginia Capes, Cherry Point, and Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-13-2. Seasonal occurrence of the pantropical spotted dolphin in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Seasonal occurrence records of unidentified spotted dolphins are also depicted in the figure. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank

This page intentionally left blank



Figure B-14-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of the Atlantic spotted dolphin in the Virginia Capes, Cherry Point, and Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-14-2. Seasonal occurrence of the Atlantic spotted dolphin in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Seasonal occurrence records of unidentified spotted dolphins are also depicted in the figure. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-15. Seasonal occurrence records of the spinner dolphin in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank



Figure B-16-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of the striped dolphin in the Virginia Capes, Cherry Point, and Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-16-2. Seasonal occurrence of the striped dolphin in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-17. Seasonal occurrence records of the Clymene dolphin in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank



Figure B-18-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of the common dolphin in the Virginia Capes, Cherry Point, and Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-18-2. Seasonal occurrence of the common dolphin in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank.

This page intentionally left blank



Figure B-19-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of the Risso's dolphin in the Virginia Capes, Cherry Point, and Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-19-2. Seasonal occurrence of the Risso's dolphin in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-20. Seasonal occurrence records of melon-headed and pygmy killer whales in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-21. Seasonal occurrence records of the false killer whale in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-22. Seasonal occurrence records of the killer whale in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank



Figure B-23-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of pilot whales in the Virginia Capes, Cherry Point, and Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-23-2. Seasonal occurrence of pilot whales in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank

This page intentionally left blank



Figure B-24-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of the harbor porpoise in the Virginia Capes, Cherry Point, and Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-24-2. Seasonal occurrence of the harbor porpoise in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure B-25. Seasonal occurrence records of seals in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

APPENDIX C: SEA TURTLES

List of Figures

This page intentionally left blank

This page intentionally left blank



Figure C-1-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of all sea turtles in the VACAPES, CHPT, and JAX/CHASN OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure C-1-2. Seasonal occurrence of all sea turtles in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, incidental fisheries bycatch, and nesting records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank

This page intentionally left blank



Figure C-2-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of the leatherback sea turtle in the VACAPES, CHPT, and JAX/CHASN OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure C-2-2. Seasonal occurrence of the leatherback sea turtle in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, incidental fisheries bycatch, and nesting records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank

This page intentionally left blank



Figure C-3-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of the loggerhead sea turtle in the VACAPES, CHPT, and JAX/CHASN OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure C-3-2. Seasonal occurrence of the loggerhead sea turtle in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, incidental fisheries bycatch, and nesting records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank

This page intentionally left blank



Figure C-4-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of the green sea turtle in the VACAPES, CHPT, and JAX/CHASN OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure C-4-2. Seasonal occurrence of the green sea turtle in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, incidental fisheries bycatch, and nesting records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure C-5. Seasonal occurrence records of the hawksbill sea turtle in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, and incidental fisheries bycatch, and nesting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank



Figure C-6-1. Seasonal SPUE/model output of the Kemp's ridley sea turtle in the VACAPES, CHPT, and JAX/CHASN OPAREAs. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure C-6-2. Seasonal occurrence of the Kemp's ridley sea turtle in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, incidental fisheries bycatch, and nesting records. Model output was derived using sighting-per-unit-effort (SPUE) data, which were calculated only from line-transect and platform-of-opportunity sighting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.



Figure C-7. Seasonal occurrence records of the olive ridley sea turtle in the JAX/CHASN OPAREA, including all available sighting, stranding, incidental fisheries bycatch, and nesting data. Source data: refer to Table A-1.

APPENDIX D: ESSENTIAL FISH HABITAT

List of Tables

List of Figures

List of Figures (cont'd)

List of Figures (cont'd)

List of Figures (cont'd)

Table D-1. Source data, source maps, and source information used to map EFH and HAPC for subtropical-tropical managed species in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity.

Figure	Species	Source Type
D-5	Blackfin snapper	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SEAMAP (2001), SAMFC (2003b), NOAA (2002), and GDAIS (2005). Source map (scanned): Huntsman and Mcintyre (1971), BLM (1976), Wenner et al. (1984), and General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998, 2003b) and NMFS (2002).
D-6	Blueline tilefish	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SAFMC (2003b), GDAIS (2005), and Sedberry (2005). Source map (scanned): Huntsman and Mcintyre (1971), General Oceanics, Inc. (1986), and Amato (1994). Source information: SAFMC (1998, 2003b) and NMFS (2002).
D-10	Cobia, king mackerel, and Spanish mackerel	Source data: SAFMC (2003b) and GDAIS (2005). Source map (scanned): General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998; 2003b) and NMFS (2002).
D-14	Goliath grouper	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SAFMC (2003b), GDAIS (2005), and NCDMF (2005b). Source map (scanned): Huntsman and Macintyre (1971). (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998, 2003b), NMFS (2002), and Francesconi (2005).
D-15	Gray snapper	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SEAMAP (2001), SAFMC (2003b), GDAIS (2005), and NCDMF (2005b). Source maps (scanned): Huntsman and Macintyre (1971), BLM (1976), General Oceanics, Inc. (1986), and Riggs et al. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998, 2003b), NMFS (2002), and Francesconi (2005).
D-16	Greater amberjack	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SAFMC (2003b), GDAIS (2005), NCDMF (2005b), and Sedberry (2005). Source maps (scanned): Huntsman and Macintyre (1971), and General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998, 2003a, 2003b), NMFS (2002), and Francesconi (2005).
D-17	Mutton snapper	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SAFMC (2003b), GDAIS (2005). Source maps (scanned): Huntsman and Macintyre (1971) and General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998, 2003b) and NMFS (2002).
D-20	Red porgy	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SEAMAP (2001), SAFMC (2003b), and GDAIS (2005). Source maps (scanned): Huntsman and Macintyre (1971), General Oceanics, Inc. (1986), and Riggs et al. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998) and NMFS (2002).
D-21	Red snapper	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SEAMAP (2001), and SAFMC (2003b). Source maps (scanned): Huntsman and Macintyre (1971), BLM (1976), General Oceanics, Inc. (1986), and Riggs et al. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998) and NMFS (2002).

Table D-1. Source data, source maps, and source information used to map EFH and HAPC for subtropical-tropical managed species in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity (cont'd).

Figure	Species	Source Type
D-23	Scamp	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SAFMC (2003b), GDAIS (2005), and Sedberry (2005). Source maps (scanned): Huntsman and Macintyre (1971), BLM (1976), and General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998, 2003b) and NMFS (2002).
D-24	Silk snapper	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SEAMAP (2001), SAFMC (2003b), GDAIS (2005), and NCDMF (2005b). Source map (scanned): Huntsman and Macintyre (1971), BLM (1976), General Oceanics, Inc. (1986), and Riggs et al. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998, 2003b), NMFS (2002), and Francesconi (2005).
D-25	Snowy grouper	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SAFMC (2003b), GDAIS (2005), and Sedberry (2005). Source maps (scanned): Huntsman and Macintyre (1971) and General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998, 2003b) and NMFS (2002).
D-26	Speckled hind	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SEAMAP (2001), SAFMC (2003b), GDAIS (2005), and Sedberry (2005). Source maps (scanned): Huntsman and Macintyre (1971), BLM (1976), General Oceanics, Inc. (1986), and Riggs et al. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998, 2003b) and NMFS (2002).
D-27	Tilefish	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SAFMC (2003b), GDAIS (2005), and Sedberry (2005). Source maps (scanned): Huntsman and Macintyre (1971) and General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998, 2003b) and NMFS (2002).
D-28	Vermillion snapper	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SEAMAP (2001), SAFMC (2003b), GDAIS (2005), and Sedberry (2005). Source map (scanned): Huntsman and Macintyre (1971), BLM (1976), General Oceanics, Inc. (1986), and Riggs et al. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998, 2003b) and NMFS (2002).
D-29	Warsaw grouper	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SEAMAP (2001), SAFMC (2003b), GDAIS (2005). Source maps (scanned): Huntsman and Macintyre (1971), BLM (1976), and General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998, 2003b) and NMFS (2002).
D-30	White grunt	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SEAMAP (2001), SAFMC (2003b), GDAIS (2005), and Sedberry (2005). Source maps (scanned): Huntsman and Macintyre (1971), BLM (1976), General Oceanics, Inc. (1986), and Riggs et al. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998, 2003b) and NMFS (2002).

Table D-1. Source data, source maps, and source information used to map EFH and HAPC for subtropical-tropical managed species in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity (cont'd).

Figure	Species	Source Type
D-32	Wreckfish	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SAFMC (2003b), and GDAIS (2005). Source maps (scanned): Huntsman and Macintyre (1971) and General Oceanics, Inc. (1986).Source information: SAFMC (1998, 2003b) and NMFS (2002).
D-33	Yellowedge grouper	Source data: Reed (1980), USGS (1993), SAFMC (2003b), GDAIS (2005), and Sedberry (2005). Source maps (scanned): Huntsman and Macintyre (1971), BLM (1976), and General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998, 2003b) and NMFS (2002).

This page intentionally left blank



Figure D-1. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the bluefish designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source maps (scanned): MAFMC and ASMFC (1998b). Source information: MAFMC and ASMFC (1998b).



Figure D-2. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the spiny dogfish designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source maps (scanned): MAFMC and NEFMC (1999). Source information: MAFMC and NEFMC (1999).



Figure D-3. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the summer flounder designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source maps (scanned): MAFMC and ASMFC (1998a). Source Information: MAFMC and ASMFC (1998a).



Figure D-4. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the Atlantic calico scallop designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source map (scanned): General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998).



Figure D-5. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the blackfin snapper designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-6. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the blueline tilefish designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-7. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the brown rock shrimp designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source map (scanned): General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998) and NMFS (2002).



Figure D-8. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the brown shrimp designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source map (scanned): Amato (1994). Source information: SAFMC (1998) and NMFS (2002).



Figure D-9. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the Caribbean spiny lobster designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source map (scanned): General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998).



Figure D-10. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for all lifestages of the cobia, king, and Spanish mackerel designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-11. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for all lifestages of the coral and coral reefs designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: SEAMAP (2001) and SAFMC (2003b). Source map (scanned): BLM (1976) and Riggs et al. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (2003b).



Figure D-12. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for all lifestages of the dolphinfishes and wahoo designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: SAFMC (2003b).Source map (scanned): General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (2003b).



Figure D-13. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the golden deepsea crab designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source map (scanned): General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998).



Figure D-14. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for all lifestages of the goliath grouper designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-15. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for all lifestages of the gray snapper designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-16. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for all lifestages of the greater amberjack designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-17. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for all lifestages of the mutton snapper designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-18. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the pink shrimp designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source map (scanned): Amato (1994). Source information: SAFMC (1998) and NMFS (2002).



Figure D-19. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern for all lifestages of the red drum designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: GDNR (2001, 2005), FFWCC (2005), NCDMF (2005), and SCDMR (2005). Source information: SAFMC (1998), NMFS (2002), and Francesconi (2005).



Figure D-20. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for all lifestages of the red porgy designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-21. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for all life stages of the red snapper designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-22. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for all lifestages of the royal red shrimp designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source map (scanned): General Oceanics, Inc. (1986). Source information: SAFMC (1998) and NMFS (2002).



Figure D-23. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern for all lifestages of the scamp designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-24. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for all lifestages of the silk snapper designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-25. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for all lifestages of the snowy grouper designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-26. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for all lifestages of the speckled hind designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-27. Essential fish habitat and Habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for all lifestages of the tilefish designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-28. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for all lifestages of the vermillion snapper designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-29. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for all lifestage of the warsaw grouper designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-30. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for all lifestages of the white grunt designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-31. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the white shrimp designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source map (scanned): Amato (1994). Source information: SAFMC (1998) and NMFS (2002).



Figure D-32. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the wreckfish designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-33. Essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) for the all lifestages of the yellowedge grouper designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data/source maps/source information: refer to Table D-1.



Figure D-34. Essential fish habitat for the all lifestages of the Atlantic sharpnose shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c). Source information: Rilling (2007).



Figure D-35. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the bignose shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c). Source information: Rilling (2007).



Figure D-36. Essential fish habitat for the all lifestages of the blacknose shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c). Source information: Rilling (2007).



Figure D-37. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the blacktip shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (2003b).



Figure D-38. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the blue marlin designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c).



Figure D-39. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the bluefin tuna designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c).



Figure D-40. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the bonnethead shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c). Source information: Rilling (2007).



Figure D-41. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the bull shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c). Source information: Rilling (2007).



Figure D-42. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the dusky shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. EFH designation for the neonate lifestage depicted here does not match text designation. Source data: NMFS (2003b).



Figure D-43. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the finetooth shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (2003b).



Figure D-44. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the great hammerhead shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c). Source information: Rilling (2007).



Figure D-45. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the lemon shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c).



Figure D-46. Essential fish habitat for the juvenile and adult lifestages of the longbill spearfish designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. EFH designation for the adult lifestage depicted here does not match text designation. Source data: NMFS (1999c).



Figure D-47. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the longfin mako shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c).



Figure D-48. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the night shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c). Source information: Rilling (2007).



Figure D-49. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the nurse shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (2003b).



Figure D-50. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the oceanic whitetip shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c). Source information: Rilling (2007).



Figure D-51. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the sailfish designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source map: NMFS (1999c).



Figure D-52. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the sand tiger shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c). Source information: Rilling (2007).



Figure D-53. Essential fish habitat for the juvenile and adult lifestages of the sandbar shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (2003b).



Figure D-54. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the scalloped hammerhead shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c). Source information: Rilling (2007).



Figure D-55. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the silky shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c). Source information: Rilling (2007).



Figure D-56. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the spinner shark in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c). Source information: Rilling (2007).



Figure D-57. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the swordfish designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c).



Figure D-58. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the tiger shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c). Source information: Rilling (2007).



Figure D-59. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the white marlin designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c). Source information: Rilling (2007).



Figure D-60. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the white shark designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c). Source information: Rilling (2007).



Figure D-61. Essential fish habitat for all lifestages of the yellowfin tuna designated in the Charleston/Jacksonville OPAREA and vicinity. Source data: NMFS (1999c).

This page intentionally left blank