

N60087.AR.003407
NAS BRUNSWICK
5090.3a

DRAFT 11 SEPTEMBER 2007 CONFERENCE CALL NOTES NAS BRUNSWICK ME
10/1/2007
BRAC PMO NORTHEAST

**NAVAL AIR STATION BRUNSWICK, MAINE
11 SEPTEMBER 2007
CONFERENCE CALL NOTES**

1. CONFERENCE CALL ATTENDEES

Lonnie Monaco, Remedial Project Manager	US Navy, NAVFAC MidAtlantic
Jennifer Wright, Biologist	US Navy, NAVFAC Atlantic
Dan Waddill	US Navy, NAVFAC Atlantic
Carolyn Lepage, BACSE TAG Consultant	Lepage Environmental Services
Claudia Sait, Remedial Project Manager	Maine Dept. of Environmental Protection
Chris Evans, Project Geologist	Maine Dept. of Environmental Protection
Christine Williams, Remedial Project Manager	US Environmental Protection Agency
Mike Daly, Remedial Project Manager	US Environmental Protection Agency
Catherine Guido, Environmental Scientist	ECC
Al Easterday, Project Manager	ECC
Jeff Donovan, Environmental Scientist	ECC
Gina Calderone, Project Manager	ECC
Lisa Joy, Environmental Director	Naval Air Station Brunswick
Joe Gallant, Facilities	Naval Air Station Brunswick
Dale Mosher, IR Coordinator	Naval Air Station Brunswick
Carol Warren, Esq.	Brunswick Local Redevelopment Authority
Arnie Ostrofsky, Project Manager	Tetra Tech NUS (TtNUS)
Linda Klink, Project Manager	Tetra Tech NUS (TtNUS)

2. CONFERENCE CALL DETAILS

The Naval Air Station Brunswick (NASB) Technical Conference Call began at 1000 hours. The conference call phone number was (888) 829-0571 and the pass code was 740074. Jeff Donovan left the call at 1115 hours, Jennifer Wright, Lisa Joy and Joe Gallant left the call at 1140 hours.

3. WELCOME & INTRODUCTIONS

Lonnie Monaco conducted a roll call of the conference call attendees. Lonnie then asked for a moment of silence to remember those lost on 11 September 2001 and for those currently in military service.

4. STATUS UPDATE OF ECC FIELD WORK

Eastern Plume Extraction Well Installation

Extraction well EW-05B was installed 12-13 July 2007. ECC is in the process of getting a contractor to lay pipe from EW-05B to EW-05A and then it will be tested. ECC hopes to submit a RFP (request for proposal) to subcontractors by the end of the week. They will have two weeks to respond and a site walk may be set up during that time.

Site 9 Southwest Corner Monitoring Well Installation

This well was developed on 29 August 2007. The drillers hit clay at about 40 feet below ground surface. The screen is at 30-40 feet below ground surface. EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) asked when logs/diagrams/report will be available. ECC said the report will be in letter report format and is slated to be submitted at the middle/end of October 2007 if waiting on surveyor data. MEDEP (Maine Department of Environmental Protection) says it can wait. MEDEP thanked ECC for keeping MEDEP informed during the well drilling. ECC said they would PDF the logs and sent them out to the group. EPA suggested that the task of updating the well log books should be added to the next contract.

As for the direct push investigation at Site 9, comments are needed from regulators on the soils QAPP (Quality Assurance Project Plan) addendum before moving forward. The work is slated to be performed at the end of November 2007. NASB representative asked that the Base's environmental team be informed of the schedule when it becomes available. EPA asked if the difference between ash and fill could be identified by sight. ECC answered that it could. ECC will be doing the direct push investigation. TtNUS will be doing the RI (Remediation Investigation). It was clarified for the new Remedial Project Manager for EPA that north of Neptune Drive is being handled with a removal action while south of Neptune Drive is being handled with a remedial action.

Fall 2007 Sampling Event (including LTMP updates)

The regulators were asked to focus their efforts on commenting on the Eastern Plume Long-Term Monitoring Plan (LTMP) draft first, before the Sites 1 and 3 Landfill LTMP.

Site 7 was sampled last week. Site 17 and Site 9 will be sampled this week. Site 2, 1&3 and Eastern Plume will be sampled later in the month. Site 9 LTMP does not include DRO (diesel range organics) but wells were sampled in spring 2007 were sampled again for DRO.

MEDEP questioned if the Navy was planning to produce a data dump in the spring and an annual report in the fall, which is a modification of the current format. The MEDEP and EPA both indicated that they did not have a preference for one format over the other. It was left that ECC and Navy would discuss.

Note: After discussion, Navy decided that the monitoring event reporting format will not change with exception of Sites 1 and 3 Landfill and Eastern Plume, which will now be issued as two separate reports instead of one.

Deviation from the Agenda

There was a long discussion regarding sampling at the Naval Exchange Service Station (NEX) site. MEDEP asked about sampling at NEX and the Old Navy Fuel Farm (ONFF). ECC is responsible for sampling at ONFF and TtNUS is responsible for sampling at NEX. MEDEP will send suggested NEX sample locations to TtNUS. Sampling at NEX is not scheduled until next spring. The only sampling that has been done at NEX recently is the sampling for the pilot study. Three rounds of sampling have been conducted. Round 4 is pending because it will need a QAPP with it to address some regulator issues.

The last injection for the pilot study was performed in May 2007. No sampling has been performed since last year. There is a need to know what is going on downgradient.

Approximately five wells should be sampled because DRO/GRO (gasoline range organics) is expected in these locations. Regulators stated that Round 4 of sampling should be done with the same procedures as rounds 1-3 so that data is comparable. The LTMP/QAPP can be done at a later date. MEDEP would like samples tested for GRO. The downgradient wells should be tested for DRO/GRO. Method 8260 should be used for analyzing last round of samples.

Site 7 Monitoring Well Installation

All three wells were installed 20-21 June 2007. They were developed and sampled last week. A system is needed for naming the wells. It was suggested that a site designation be part of the naming convention.

Note: At this time, the group was asked by Navy if the next topic of discussion be the Site 9 letter work plan status instead of the agenda for the October Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) meeting because some people would need to leave the meeting early. The group agreed to discuss Site 9 letter work plan status.

5. SITE 9 LETTER WORK PLAN STATUS

Comments from regulators have been forwarded to the contractor. Responses will be submitted to Navy for review upon completion. There will be a rework start up meeting with the contractor tomorrow at 1300 hrs when responses will be discussed. Regulators were encouraged to attend. Meeting was rescheduled for 1400 hrs so that regulators could attend. The hope is to have the contractors out in the field next week. Navy thanked everyone for their cooperation. The uncovering of the piles at Site 9 is slated to begin on Monday.

6. AGENDA FOR OCTOBER RAB AND TECHNICAL MEETING

Topics for the October RAB and technical meetings were discussed. Topics included an overview of Mere Brook work including figures and a summary of results, if available; Site 17 work plan, Site 2 work plan, the outcome of the August Data Quality Objectives (DQO) meeting, the background study, a Military Munitions Response Program (MMRP) update, an update on the website, newsletter, Site Management Plan (SMP) and Community Relations Plan (CRP). MEDEP asked that items such as the website, newsletter, etc. be part of the RAB agenda but not the technical meeting so that time and effort at the technical meetings could be reserved for more technical discussions. NEX was suggested at a topic for the technical meeting by MEDEP and might benefit from the DQO process. An update on field work was a suggested topic. It was agreed that discussing Eastern Plume issues would be more appropriate for the December technical meeting.

Site 17 and the background study were also suggested as sites that could benefit from the DQO process. The DQO process takes a lot of time. Usually at least two days are needed to be productive. The group explored the idea of a DQO meeting for the background study. The first day of meetings would be a full day as opposed to the usual later start time. Handouts could be made for other topic discussion on the third day, if time allowed. To make the most of the background study DQO meeting, it was suggested that regulators

provide a list of major concerns for the group to go over. MEDEP stated that some major concerns were the locations, soil types, etc. The background study written by Battelle, for Naval Computer and Telecommunications Area Master Station Atlantic Detachment Cutler, Maine was cited as a good example. Discussion was had about what is Navy versus non-Navy impact and what is a Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) release versus a non-CERCLA release. Storm water, roadways, appropriate use of pesticides, etc. were examples of non-CERCLA releases provided.

Note: At this time it was agreed to that the group should stop the meeting to break for lunch. The group agreed to resume the conference call at 1300 hours to discuss the rest of the agenda topics. Attendees that joined the meeting at 1300 hours included Claudia Sait, Chris Evans, Carolyn Lepage, Mike Daly, Arnie Ostrofsky, Linda Klink, Gina Calderone, Catherine Guido, Al Easterday, Lonnie Monaco and Dan Waddill. Dan Waddill left the call at 1330 hours and Linda Klink left the call at 1355 hours.

NEX, ONFF and Topsham (and/or other off Base activities) were suggested by Brunswick Area Citizens for a Safe Environment (BACSE) representative as possible RAB topics. She did not know of any topics that BACSE were particularly interested in hearing about. Navy took this as a sign that they are keeping the citizens informed. The BACSE representative then stated that the group would expect to hear an update on the stipulated penalties. The Mere Brook fish tissue study was suggested as a topic.

7. 1,4 DIOXANE INVESTIGATION UPDATE

TtNUS will be preparing the RI work plan and it is slated to be delivered to regulators 19 October 2007. The hope is to implement the plan this field season but it might not be possible. The hope is to do a total characterization including nature and extent. The plan is to do the work all in one phase and fix the problem with an extraction well in the middle of the hot spot. The RI will be in a new format based on Navy guidance. Instead of the usual work plan format, QAPP worksheets will provide the same level of detailed information.

8. STATUS OF DELIVERABLES

Monitoring Event Reports

All April 2007 draft monitoring event reports are out for review by regulators. ECC is responding to comments received. MEDEP commended ECC on the job they have been doing by providing lists of deliverables for the group to keep them focused.

Community Relations Plan

The plan is expected to be to regulators before the end of October 2007.

Website

The website is expected to be launched in October 2007.

Newsletter

The newsletter is slated to be sent to Navy for review this week. The public release of the next newsletter is scheduled for late October 2007.

Other Deliverables (Site 2 Work Plan, Site Management Plan, etc.)

Site Management Plan - The Navy is working with their council on what to distribute as the Site Management Plan. A printed copy for the public and a working electronic copy for internal Navy use may be what are distributed. There was concern about two versions of the same document and this being construed as keeping information from the public. The Navy assured the group that this would not be the case.

Site 2 Work Plan –TtNUS needs a scope of work before developing the plan. A plan might be developed by the end of October 2007.

Sites 1 and 3 Operation and Maintenance Plan – ECC is going through the comments received and developing response to comments as well as editing the plan.

9. MEETING DATE TO DISCUSS BACKGROUND STUDY WORK PLAN

The group discussed using the technical meetings in October to assess the background study with the DQO process. Regulators will have to check with their technical staff (chemists, risk assessors, etc.) to see if they can attend the meeting. If not, another time will have to be set aside for the process to take place. Dates were suggested for an alternative meeting time. Dates in November 2007 were discussed and MEDEP was asked to host the alternative meeting in Portland, Maine. TtNUS was asked to provide a facilitator. The dates of 7-9 November 2007 and 17-18 November 2007 were suggested. Everyone will check for availability on these dates. If they are not needed for the background study DQO meeting then they can be reserved for a DQO meeting of another site such as Site 17.

10. ACTION ITEMS

The action items from the June 2007 and July 2007 meetings were reviewed and labeled with status. A new action item list was generated as a result of the September 2007 meeting. Action item lists are attached.

The conference call ended at 1415 hours.