

N00158.AR.000552
NASJRB WILLOW GROVE
5090.3a

VALIDATED DATA PACKAGE, FA20272, FA20616 NAS WILLOW GROVE PA
11/24/2014
RESOLUTION CONSULTANTS



Data Validation Report

Project:	NAS JRB Willow Grove, PA	
Laboratory:	Accutest Laboratories	
Job Numbers:	FA20272 and FA20616	
Analyses/Method:	PFOS and PFOA by Liquid Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry/Mass Spectrometry (LC/MS/MS)/ EPA Method 537 modified	
Validation Level:	Limited	
Resolution Consultants Project Number:	60276503.SI.RP	
Prepared by:	Paula DiMattei/Resolution Consultants	Completed on: 1/05/2014
Reviewed by:	Lori Herberich /Resolution Consultants	

File Name: Willow Grove FA20272_FA20616_PFOs and PFOA

SUMMARY

The samples listed below were collected by Resolution Consultants from the NAS JRB Willow Grove, PA site on November 24, 2014 and December 9, 2014.

Sample ID ¹	Matrix/Sample Type
HWSA Well 17_11242014	Groundwater
HWSA Well 21_11242014	Groundwater
HWSA-17_12092014	Groundwater
HWSA-21_12092014	Groundwater
HWSA-10_12092014	Groundwater

¹The project database identifies the sample ID as it appears on the chain-of-custody appended with the date of sample collection.

Data validation activities were conducted with reference to:

- Accutest Laboratories SOP: Analysis of Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids by LC/MS/MS; MS 014.1, Rev. Date: 05/14
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data review (USEPA, September 2011);
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008);
- Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 4.2 (DoD, October 2010); and
- the project-specific Sampling and Analysis Plan.

In the absence of method-specific information, laboratory quality control (QC) limits, project-specific requirements and/or professional judgment were used as appropriate.

REVIEW ELEMENTS

The data were evaluated based on the following review elements (where applicable to the method):

- ✓ Data completeness (chain-of-custody (COC)/sample integrity)
- ✓ Holding times/sample preservation
- ✓ Initial calibration/initial and continuing calibration verification
- ✓ Laboratory method blanks/equipment blanks
- ✓ Surrogate recoveries
- NA Matrix spike (MS) and/or matrix spike duplicate (MSD) results
- ✓ Laboratory control sample (LCS) results
- NA Field duplicate results
- ✓ Internal standard results
- ✓ Sample results/reporting issues

The symbol (✓) indicates that no validation qualifiers were applied based on this parameter. NA indicates that the parameter was not included as part of this data set or was not applicable to this validation and therefore not reviewed. The symbol (X) indicates that a QC nonconformance resulted in the qualification of data. Any QC nonconformance that resulted in the qualification of data is discussed below. In addition, nonconformances or other issues that were noted during validation, but did not result in qualification of data, may be discussed for informational purposes only.

The data appear valid as reported and may be used for decision making purposes. Qualification of the data was not required.

RESULTS

Data Completeness/Sample Integrity

The data package was reviewed and found to meet acceptance criteria for completeness:

- The COCs were reviewed for completeness of information relevant to the samples and requested analyses, and for signatures indicating transfer of sample custody.
- The laboratory sample login sheet(s) were reviewed for issues potentially affecting sample integrity, including the condition of sample containers upon receipt at the laboratory.
- Completeness of analyses was verified by comparing the reported results to the COC requests.

Holding Times/Sample Preservation

Sample preservation and preparation/analysis holding times were reviewed for conformance with the QC acceptance criteria. All QC acceptance criteria were met.

Initial Calibration/Initial and Continuing Calibration Verification

Calibration data were reviewed for conformance with the QC acceptance criteria to ensure that:

- the initial calibration (ICAL) percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) or correlation coefficient (r)/coefficient of determination (r^2) method acceptance criteria were met;
- the initial calibration verification standard (ICV) percent recovery acceptance criteria were met; and
- the continuing calibration verification standard (CCV) frequency and method percent recovery criteria were met.

The QC acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Method Blanks/Equipment Blanks

Laboratory method blanks and equipment rinse blanks are evaluated as to whether there are contaminants detected above the detection limit (DL). An equipment blank was not submitted with this data set.

Target compounds were not detected in the laboratory method blank associated with the samples in this data set.

Surrogate Recoveries

The surrogate recoveries (%Rs) were reviewed for conformance with the QC acceptance criteria. All QC acceptance criteria were met.

MS/MSD Results

An MS/MSD analysis was not performed on a sample in this data set. No data validation actions were taken on this basis.

LCS Results

The LCS %Rs were reviewed for conformance. All QC acceptance criteria were met.

Field Duplicate Results

Field duplicate samples were not submitted with this data set. No data validation actions were taken on this basis.

Internal Standard Results

The internal standard (IS) results were reviewed for conformance with the QC acceptance criteria. All QC acceptance criteria were met.

Sample Results/Reporting Issues

If applicable, compounds detected at concentrations less than the limit of quantitation (LOQ) but greater than the DL were qualified by the laboratory as estimated (J). This "J" qualifier was retained during data validation.

QUALIFICATION ACTIONS

No sample results were qualified as a result of the validation.