

N60200.AR.008775  
NAS CECIL FIELD  
5090.3a

EMAIL REGARDING REGULATORY APPROVAL OF PROPOSAL NOT TO INSTALL WELL  
CEF-BP-2S NAS CECIL FIELD FL  
5/11/2012  
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

**NAS Cecil Field  
BP Wells Site  
FDEP Approval of Proposal to not reinstall Well CEF-BP-2S**

From: Grabka, David [David.Grabka@dep.state.fl.us]  
Sent: Friday, May 11, 2012 11:28 AM  
To: Simcik, Robert; Sanford, Art F CTR NAVFACHQ, BRAC PMO; Martin, Stacin CIV NAVFAC LANT, EV; Davidson, Mark E CIV NAVFACHQ, BRAC PMO; Debbie Vaughn-Wright; [keenerj@solutions-ies.com](mailto:keenerj@solutions-ies.com); [Michael.Halil@CH2M.com](mailto:Michael.Halil@CH2M.com)  
Cc: Jonnet, Mark; Boerio, Megan; Siefken, David  
Subject: RE: Cecil Field \_ BP Well Site \_ question on reinstallation of abandoned/damaged well.

CEF-BP-2S is sidegradient/upgradient and its location is not important to our ORC work. Don't reinstall it just now and when we do replace wells or install new ones we would probably want to optimize the 2S well location to somewhere that would provide us with better data.

Dave

From: Simcik, Robert [<mailto:Robert.Simcik@tetrattech.com>]  
Sent: Friday, May 11, 2012 10:47 AM  
To: Grabka, David; Sanford, Art F CTR NAVFACHQ, BRAC PMO; Martin, Stacin CIV NAVFAC LANT, EV; Davidson, Mark E CIV NAVFACHQ, BRAC PMO; Debbie Vaughn-Wright; Jessica Keener ([keenerj@solutions-ies.com](mailto:keenerj@solutions-ies.com)); [Michael.Halil@CH2M.com](mailto:Michael.Halil@CH2M.com)  
Cc: Jonnet, Mark; Boerio, Megan; Siefken, David  
Subject: Cecil Field \_ BP Well Site \_ question on reinstallation of abandoned/damaged well.

Dave and Team, it was discovered during the survey to mark the locations for the three monitoring wells to be installed to evaluate if ORC worked, that during the BP Well Site Hangar construction monitoring well CEF-BP-2S was in the construction area and was abandoned by the contractor. This is / was a clean well. I don't see this well as a critical well in our plans and the proposal at this time is to sample only the three newly installed wells,. Therefore, my proposal is to not require the Construction Contactor to reinstall CEF-BP-2S (just to have it abandoned by the Navy later if it is not going to be sampled).

Do you agree that -2S does not need to be reinstalled OR do you feel it would be important to have this well reinstalled? The wells inside the hangar are marked now, therefore it is likely the Construction Contractor could be out there next week for the installations, so want to provide them our direction as soon as possible (hence why I didn't just wait for our meeting to discuss this).

Rob.

