

N60200.AR.004692
NAS CECIL FIELD, FL
5090.3a

LETTER REGARDING U S EPA REGION IV COMMENTS ON FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR
OPERABLE UNIT 9 (OU 9) SITE 59 NAS CECIL FIELD FL
1/3/2007
U S EPA REGION IV



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 4
ATLANTA FEDERAL CENTER
61 FORSYTH STREET
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-8960

January 3, 2007

CTO 354

EMAIL & US MAIL

4WD-FFB

BRAC PMO SE

Attn: Mark Davidson
4130 Faber Place Drive
Suite 202
North Charleston, SC 29405

SUBJ: OU 9, Site 59 Feasibility Study
Naval Air Station, Cecil Field, Florida

Dear Mr. Davidson:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed the subject document and has one question and one comment that we suggest be addressed before going final with the document. Specifically: What remedial alternative does the Navy propose as the preferred remedial action? Pending the Navy identifying such an alternative, EPA would be satisfied with either Alternatives 4B or 4C as long as Alternative 4B cleans up in the fringes to meet Federal and State standards. EPA recommends that the preferred Navy alternative be specifically identified in the Executive Summary and also in Section 5 of the document. Contingent upon these items being addressed, EPA approves the subject document and recommends that the document be issued as final with EPA's approval.

If you have any questions, please call me at (404) 562-8549.

Sincerely,

Doyle T. Brittain
Senior Remedial Project Manager

cc: David Grabka, FDEP
Mark Speranza, TTNUS
Mike Halil, CH2MHill

Comment not to be included per E-mail from Doyle Brittain on 1/4/07
ATTACHED