

N60200.AR.003344
NAS CECIL FIELD, FL
5090.3a

"EMAIL REGARDING U S EPA REGION IV COMMENTS ON DRAFT RECORDS OF
DECISION FOR OPERABLE UNIT 12 (OU 12) SITE 42, SITE 44 AND OLD GOLF COURSE
NAS CECIL FIELD FL"
6/3/2002
U S EPA REGION IV

-----Original Message-----

From: Taylor.Dawn@epamail.epa.gov [SMTP:Taylor.Dawn@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, June 03, 2002 10:53 AM
To: Speranza, Mark; Davidson, Mark (Efdsouth); glasssa@efdsouth.navfac.navy.mil; David.Grabka@dep.state.fl.us; sross@vnet.net; Taylor.Dawn@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Comments on draft RODs - Sites 42 & 44, OGC

EPA's comments on the draft Proposed Plans also pertain to the draft RODs (see below). EPA has no additional comments on the draft RODs. I am sending this electronic message in lieu of regular mail.
Dawn

----- Forwarded by Dawn Taylor/R4/USEPA/US on 06/03/2002 10:49 AM -----

Dawn Taylor

To: "Davidson, Mark (Efdsouth)"
05/22/2002 06:57 AM <DavidsonME@EFDSOUTH.NAVFAC.NAVY.mil>, glasssa@efdsouth.navfac.navy.mil, "Speranza, Mark" <SperanzaM@itnus.com>, David.Grabka@dep.state.fl.us, sross@vnet.net, Dawn Taylor/R4/USEPA/US@EPA
cc:
Subject: Comments on Proposed Plans - Sites 42 & 44, OGC

Here are my comments on the Proposed Plans. I am sending this electronic message in lieu of regular mail.

Comments on Site 42, Proposed Plan:

1. Was the no further action for GW made b/c the GW contained no COCs or because the COCs were below certain levels? Specify the standard against which the no further action determination for groundwater was made.
2. There is no guidance for no further action PP but the no action ROD guidance does not require a discussion of the 9 criteria so this section can be eliminated. If retained, then the PP must identify what ARARs were used as a reference.
3. State that EPA has concurred with the remedy.

Comments on Site 44, Proposed Plan:

1. State that although programmed for industrial development, the contaminant levels are such that the site can support residential development
2. There is no guidance for no further action PP but the no action ROD

guidance does not require a discussion of the 9 criteria so this section can be eliminated. If retained, then the PP must identify what ARARs were used as a reference.

3. State that EPA has concurred with the remedy.

Comments on OGC, Proposed Plan:

1. There is no guidance for no further action PP but the no action ROD guidance does not require a discussion of the 9 criteria so this section can be eliminated. If retained, then the PP must identify what ARARs were used as a reference.

2. State that EPA has concurred with the remedy.

Let me know if you have any questions

Thanks,

Dawn