

N61165.AR.004050
CNC CHARLESTON
5090.3a

ASBESTOS CONTAINING MATERIAL RE INSPECTION BUILDING 1385 VOLUME 16 CNC
CHARLESTON SC
2/15/2000
BAT ASSOCIATES, INC.

Volume 16

**Asbestos-Containing Material Re-inspection
For Building 1385
Charleston Naval Shipyard
Charleston, South Carolina**

**Contract No. N2467-96-D-0998
Delivery Order No. 0013**

Prepared for:

**Department of the Navy
Southern Division
NAVFACENGCOM
2305 Eagle Drive
North Charleston, SC 29419**

Prepared by:

**BAT Associates, Inc.
5151 Brook Hollow Parkway
Suite 250
Norcross, Georgia 30071
(770) 242-3908**

February 15, 2000

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
1.0	Executive Summary	1
2.0	Building Inspection Information Form	2
3.0	Introduction	3
4.0	Sampling Methodology	4
5.0	Asbestos Inventory and Assessment	7
6.0	Summary of Sample Analysis Results	7
7.0	Results of Quality Control Sampling	8
8.0	Physical Assessment of Identified ACM	9
9.0	Hazard Assessment of Identified ACM	14
10.0	Preliminary Cost Estimate for Removal of Identified ACM	17
11.0	Conclusions	18

Appendices

Appendix A	Sample and ACM Location Drawings
Appendix B	Photographic Documentation of Identified ACM
Appendix C	Personnel and Laboratory Accreditations
Appendix D	Laboratory Analysis Results

List of Tables and Figures

Table 1.0	Summary of Identified ACM	1
Table 2.0	Recommended Response Actions	1
Table 3.0	Summary of Identified Suspect ACM	7
Table 4.0	Summary of Sample Analysis Results	7
Table 5.0	Validation of Quality Control Sampling	8
Figure 1.0	Decision Tree Diagram For Hazard Assessment	15

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

BAT Associates, Inc. (BAT) was retained by the U.S. Department of the Navy, Southern Division (SouthDiv), Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFACENGCOM) to perform an asbestos-containing material (ACM) re-inspection of Building 1385 located at the Charleston Naval Shipyard (CNS) in Charleston, South Carolina.

A list of ACM identified in Building 1385 is summarized in Table 1.0.

Table 1.0
Summary of Identified ACM

HA No.	Material Description	Sample Analysis Results	Approx. Quantity of ACM	NESHAP Category
1	Window Glazing	Inaccessible, assumed to contain asbestos	110 LF	Regulated, friable

NOTES: HA = Homogeneous Area LF = Linear Feet

- One percent or less asbestos content is considered a non-asbestos-containing material by EPA and the State of South Carolina.
- Federal and state regulations require a minimum of three non-asbestos-containing analysis results per homogeneous area (material) to classify that material as being a non-asbestos-containing material. However, one "positive" asbestos-containing analysis result would classify that material as being an asbestos-containing material.
- No Quality Control discrepancies were noted.

BAT recommends the following management actions for the identified ACM in Building 1385 in Table 2.0.

Table 2.0
Recommended Response Actions

HA No.	Material Description	Recommended Response Action
1	Window Glazing	Removal prior to demolition

Other suspect ACM not identified could be present in areas of the building inaccessible to the asbestos building inspectors. For example, materials could exist in walls and other locations where access could only be gained by demolition of the building. Also, other materials currently not recognized by the asbestos building inspection industry could exist.

The total estimated cost for the removal of the identified and/or assumed ACM in Building 1385 is approximately \$1,500. See Section 10.0 for a break down of the preliminary cost estimate for the removal of the identified or assumed ACM.

2.0 BUILDING INSPECTION INFORMATION FORM

Building Name: Warehouse
Building Number: 1385
Facility: Charleston Naval Shipyard
Building Area (square footage): 150
Year Built: 1975
Building Type: Storage
No. of Floors in Building: One
Purpose of ACM Survey: Re-Inspection
Facility Unit Identification Code (UIC): N/A

Building Contact: Mr. Matthew Humphrey, P.E.
Contact's Telephone No.: (843) 743-9985, ext. 29
Building Survey Date(s): January 26, 2000

Asbestos Inspector's Name: Mr. Foshi Bell
Asbestos Inspector's Accreditation No: GA2900
Inspection Company: BAT Associates, Inc.
Company Telephone No. (770) 242-3908

3.0 INTRODUCTION

BAT Associates, Inc. (BAT) was retained by the U.S. Department of the Navy, Southern Division (SouthDiv), Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFACENGCOM) to perform an asbestos-containing material (ACM) re-inspection of all buildings located at the Charleston Naval Shipyard in Charleston, South Carolina. The purpose of this re-inspection was to:

1. Perform a comprehensive ACM re-inspection of 34 buildings in accordance with Federal and U.S. Navy requirements;
2. Assess the condition of previously identified friable and non-friable ACM; and
3. Provide a preliminary cost estimate for the removal of identified ACM.

The re-inspection was performed in accordance with the Navy's Asbestos Facility Inventory/Assessment Protocol (NEESA 70.2-010) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (USEPA) Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) and the Asbestos School Hazard Abatement Reauthorization Act (ASHARA).

The results of the re-inspection survey are presented in 23 separate volume reports. This report describes the results for Building 1385.

This re-inspection survey was performed by Mr. Foshi Bell, under the direct supervision of Mr. Douglas J. Milton, CIH, on January 26, 2000. Mr. Bell is an accredited asbestos building inspector. Mr. Milton, a Certified Industrial Hygienist, is an accredited asbestos inspector, management planner, and project designer.

This report discusses the sampling methodology used during the re-inspection and assessment (Section 4.0); a list of all identified suspect materials (Section 5.0); a summary of the bulk sample analysis results (Section 6.0); results of quality control sampling; (Section 7.0); physical assessments of the identified ACM (Section 8.0); a hazard assessment of the identified ACM (Section 9.0); preliminary cost estimates for removal (Section 10.0); and conclusions (Section 11.0). Appendix A contains drawings identifying the location of previous and recently collected bulk samples and the locations of identified ACM. Appendix B contains photographic documentation of identified ACM. Appendix C contains personnel and laboratory accreditations. Appendix D contains laboratory analysis results.

The assessment protocol for ACM involved three distinct steps:

1. Performed preliminary walk-through of the building to identify suspect ACM and to determine the amount of suspect ACM, to define the number of samples to be collected, to identify access problems (e.g., collection of samples in a limited access pipe chase below the building), and to determine the degree of personal protection necessary for the bulk sample collection.

2. Visually inspected the building for ACM to identify the location of the suspect ACM and to determine if the material was friable or non-friable. Suspect materials were then categorized according to the EPA National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for asbestos as: Category I non-friable materials, Category II non-friable materials, and Regulated (friable) Asbestos-Containing Materials (RACM).
3. Collected bulk samples for the analysis for asbestos content (see Section 4.0, *Sampling Methodology*, for details).

4.0 SAMPLE METHODOLOGY

Representative, randomly selected bulk samples were collected in accordance with the Navy's and AHERA sampling protocol, as described in 40 CFR 763.86, and in accordance with BAT's contract requirements. Bulk samples were collected from homogenous areas (materials) in a manner that minimized any release of airborne asbestos fibers. A homogeneous area (material) is defined as a material uniform in size, color and texture.

The minimum number of samples collected from each homogeneous area was as follows:

1. *Friable Spray-Applied or Trowel-Applied Material* (including plaster)
 - a. Less than or equal to 1,000 Square Feet (S.F.) = 3 samples
 - b. Greater than 1,000 S.F and less than or equal to 5,000 S.F. = 5 samples
 - c. Greater than 5,000 = 7 samples
2. *Pipe and Duct Insulation*
 - a. Three samples per homogeneous area of insulation.
3. *Elbows, Valves, Fittings, and Connection Mud*

Three representative samples from each type of insulated elbow, valve, fitting, and connection mud.
4. *Boiler, Tanks, and Furnaces*

A minimum of 3 samples per unit.
5. *Patchwork*

Patchwork is defined as a patch or repair to existing material based on the following quantities:

 - a. Surfacing material patches are limited to a maximum of 6 S.F.

- b. Pipe and duct insulation patches are limited to a maximum of 6 Linear Feet (L.F.) or 6 S.F.
- c. Boiler, tank, and furnace patches are limited to 6 S.F.

If the patchwork exceeded the limits prescribed above, it was sampled according to the homogeneous area protocol in items 1 to 4 above. If a material qualifies as patchwork, a single sample was collected per patch.

6. *Ceiling or Acoustical Tile*

3 samples

7. *Miscellaneous Friable Material*

3 samples

8. *Non-Friable Material*

Non-friable materials for purpose of this survey included Transite-type panels, floor tiles, floor tile mastic, and other miscellaneous materials.

Minimum of 3 samples.

The procedures followed for collection of each bulk sample is outlined briefly below:

1. The accredited inspector collecting the sample was equipped with the appropriate personal protective equipment. This included a half-mask air-purifying respirator, protective gloves and protective eyewear.
2. The surface of the material being sampled was wetted with amended water (containing a surfactant to aid penetration) mist to lessen the risk of fiber release during sampling.
3. Each sample was extracted using the appropriate equipment, (e.g., a sample container, knife, core borer). Care was taken to insure that all layers of the suspect materials, down to the substrate, were included in the sample.
4. Each sample was placed in an individual container which was then sealed and labeled with a unique identification number which was also recorded on the sample data log-in sheet.
5. After each sample was collected, the area immediately surrounding the sampling location was inspected for debris and wet-cleaned as necessary to lessen the risk of an airborne fiber release.

6. All necessary data were recorded on the BAT Suspect Material Inventory Form including sample number, sample location, type of suspect material, name of inspector collecting the sample and other relevant information.
7. Samples were then transported to Cape Environmental Management Inc. (CAPE) Asbestos Laboratories in Atlanta, Georgia, for Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) analysis. The CAPE Asbestos Laboratory participates in the National Voluntary Laboratory Assurance Program (NVLAP) for the analysis of asbestos content in suspect materials. CAPE's NVLAP Laboratory Code is 102111-0.
8. BAT collected duplicate samples during the collection of primary bulk sampling for quality control (QC) purposes. QC samples were collected at ten percent of the bulk sample locations. They were assigned unrelated sample identification numbers and analyzed by Analytical Environmental Services, Inc. (AES). AES participates in the National Voluntary Laboratory Assurance Program (NVLAP) for the analysis of asbestos content in suspect materials. AES's NVLAP Laboratory Code is 102033-0.
9. Upon receipt by the laboratory, the samples were logged in and assigned a unique laboratory identification number. The laboratory analyzed the samples in accordance with 40 CFR 763.87 subpart F. Copies of the laboratory accreditations for both laboratories may be found in Appendix C.

5.0 ASBESTOS INVENTORY AND ASSESSMENT

Table 3.0 describes the suspect ACM identified in and around Building 1385.

Table 3.0
Summary of Identified Suspect ACM

HA No.	Description of Suspect ACM	Location of Suspect ACM	AHERA Category of Material
1	Window Glazing	On the exterior of four (3' x 8') windows	Misc.
3	Roofing Shingles, gray	Roof of the building	N/A
4	Roofing Felt, black	Roof of the building	N/A

Notes: Misc. = Miscellaneous Material N/A = Not Applicable

6.0 SUMMARY OF SAMPLE ANALYSIS RESULTS

Table 4.0 contains a summary of the bulk sample analysis results for suspect ACM identified in this building.

All thermal system insulation (TSI) if present, was classified as friable material. As long as the outer covering remains intact and is in good condition the TSI can be considered non-friable (29 CFR 763.85). Ceiling tile, if asbestos is present was considered a friable material. However, if non-friable materials are drilled, sawed, ground or otherwise physically or mechanically disturbed, they may release asbestos fibers to the environment and therefore would be considered a friable material.

According to AHERA protocol, all samples within a homogeneous area must have an asbestos content of one percent or less by weight using Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) analysis before the material can be categorized as non-asbestos-containing. If one sample is determined as asbestos-containing using PLM analysis, the entire homogeneous area must be classified asbestos-containing.

Table 4.0
Summary of Sample Analysis Results

HA No.	Sample ID No.	Suspect Material Description	Asbestos Content	Friability
1	1385-1-1, 1385-1-2, 1385-1-3	Window Glazing	1-2% chrysotile	Friable
3	1385-3-1, 1385-3-2, 1385-3-3	Roofing Shingles, gray	NAD	N/A
4	1385-4-1, 1385-4-2, 1385-4-3	Roofing Felt, black	NAD	N/A

Notes: NAD = No Asbestos Detected N/A = Not Applicable

7.0 RESULTS OF QUALITY CONTROL SAMPLING

The purpose of quality control (QC) sampling was to ensure reproducibility of the primary laboratory analysis results. Duplicate samples were collected for ten percent of the total building samples for QC purposes. To bring the initial inspection into compliance with respect to Federal and Navy requirements, BAT randomly selected samples collected during the original 1990 inspection and used them as quality control samples. BAT also designated ten percent of the additional samples that BAT collected during the re-inspection for quality control purposes.

Table 5.0
Validation of Quality Control Sampling

Sample I.D. No.	Primary Laboratory Analysis Results	QC Laboratory Analysis Results
1385-1-QC	NAD	NAD

Notes: QC = Quality Control NAD = No Asbestos Detected

No discrepancies between primary laboratory and quality control laboratory bulk sample analysis were noted.

8.0 PHYSICAL ASSESSMENT OF IDENTIFIED ACM

The following sections contain a summary of the methodology BAT specialists used to conduct the physical assessment for this building. This methodology was developed in accordance with USEPA AHERA re-inspection requirements contained in 40 CFR Part 763.85.

1. Physical Assessment for Friable ACM.

A. **Condition.** Friable ACM were assigned to one of the following categories based on a visual inspection and touch test:

- 1) **Significantly Damaged Condition.** Material which met one or both of the following characteristics:
 - a. Ten percent (10%) or more of the material in the functional space is crumbled, blistered, or is hanging from the surface, deteriorated, showing adhesive failure, water stained, gouged or marred, and the damage is evenly distributed.
 - b. Twenty-five percent (25%) or more of the material in the functional space is crumbled, blistered, or is hanging from the surface, deteriorated, showing adhesive failure, water stained, gouged or marred, and the damage is localized.
- 2) **Damaged Condition.** Material which met one or both of the following characteristics:
 - a. The surface is crumbling, blistered, water stained, gouged or marred, or otherwise damaged on less than ten percent (10%) of the material in the functional space (but material is too damaged to be characterized as good condition) and the damage is evenly distributed.
 - a. The surface is crumbling, blistered, water stained, gouged or marred, or otherwise damaged on twenty-five percent (25%) or more of the material in the functional space (but material is too damaged to be characterized as good condition) and the damage is localized.
- 3) **Good Condition.** Material with very limited, or no visible damage or deterioration.

B. **Potential for Disturbance.** Friable ACM were assigned to one of the following categories based on a visual inspection and assessment of surroundings:

- 1) **Potential for Significant Damage.** Material which met one or more of the following conditions:
 - a. High potential for Contact. Service workers are in the vicinity of the material more than once each week or the material is in a public area and is accessible to building occupants.
 - b. High Potential for Vibration. Loud motors or engines present in the vicinity of the material or there are intrusive noises or easily sensed vibrations from surrounding area, such as nearby highways or airports.
 - c. High Potential for Air Erosion. High velocity air moving across or against material.

- 2) **Potential for Damage.** Material which met one or more of the following conditions for potential for significant damage:
 - a. Moderate Potential for Contact. Service workers are in the vicinity of the material at least once each month, but less than once each week or the material is in a room or office and is accessible to the occupants.
 - b. Moderate Potential for Vibration. Motors or engines present but not obtrusive or occasional loud noise in the vicinity of the material.
 - c. Moderate potential for Air Erosion. Noticeable movement of air across or against material, but not high in velocity.

- 3) **Low Potential for Damage.** Material which met one or more of the following conditions and met none of the conditions for potential for significant damage or potential for damage:
 - a. Low Potential for Contact. Service workers are in the vicinity of the material less than once each month or the material is visible but not accessible to the building occupants in the course of normal activity.
 - b. Low Potential for Vibration. None of the conditions for high or moderate potential for vibration are met.
 - c. Low Potential for Air Erosion. None of the conditions for high or moderate potential for air erosion are met.

2. Physical Assessment for Thermal ACM.

A. **Condition.** Thermal ACMs were assigned to one of the following categories based on a visual inspection:

1) **Significantly Damaged Condition.** Material which met one or both of the following characteristics:

- a. Missing jackets, crushed, heavily gouged, or punctured insulation on equal to or greater than ten percent (10%) of the material in the functional space, and the damage is evenly distributed.
- b. Missing jackets, crushed, heavily gouged, or punctured insulation on equal to or greater than twenty-five percent (25%) of the material in the functional space, and the damage is localized.

2) **Damaged Condition.** Material which met one or both of the following characteristics:

- a. Missing jackets, crushed, heavily gouged, or punctured insulation on less than ten percent (10%) of the material in the functional space, and the damage is evenly distributed.
- b. Missing jackets, crushed, heavily gouged, or punctured insulation on greater than twenty-five percent (25%) of the material in the functional space, and the damage is localized.

3) **Good Condition.** Material with very limited, or no visible damage or deterioration.

B. **Potential for Disturbance.** Thermal ACMs were assigned to one of the following categories based on a visual inspection and assessment of surroundings:

1) **Potential for Significant Damage.** Material which met one or more of the following conditions:

- a. **High Potential for Contact.** Service workers are in the vicinity of the material more than once each week or the material is in a public area and is accessible to building occupants.
- b. **High Potential for Vibration.** Loud motors or engines present in the vicinity of the material or there are intrusive noises or easily sensed vibrations from surrounding area, such as a nearby highway or airport.

- c. High Potential for Air Erosion. High velocity air moving across or against the material.
- 2) **Potential for Damage.** Material which met one or more of the following conditions and met none of the conditions for potential for significant damage.
- a. Moderate Potential for Contact. Service workers are in the vicinity of the material at least once each month but less than once each week or the material is in a room or office and is accessible to the occupants.
 - b. Moderate Potential for Vibration. Motors or engines present but not obtrusive or occasional loud noise in the vicinity of the material.
 - c. Moderate Potential for Air Erosion. Noticeable movement of air across or against material, but not high in velocity.
- 3) **Low Potential for Damage.** Material which met one or more of the following conditions and met none of the conditions for potential for significant damage or potential for damage:
- a. Low Potential for Contact. Service workers are in the vicinity of the material less than once per month or the material is visible but not accessible to the building occupants in the course of normal activity.
 - b. Low Potential for Vibration. None of the conditions for high or moderate potential for vibration are met.
 - c. Low Potential for Air Erosion. None of the conditions for high or moderate potential for air erosion are met.

9.0 HAZARD ASSESSMENT OF IDENTIFIED ACM

AHERA describes a hazard assessment as "the means of collecting and considering whatever data were necessary for the management planner to make an informed, responsible recommendation to the LEA [Local Education Agency] consistent with response action requirements". As stated in AHERA, there is no single assessment method that is required in the regulations.


BAT adopted for this re-inspection one of the four general classes of hazard assessment models considered during the AHERA rule-making process. This method for hazard assessment is a modified decision tree as detailed in the USEPA, *Guidance for Assessing and Managing Exposure to Asbestos in Buildings*, or the *Pink Book*. Based on the physical assessment responses documented in the field, the BAT Management Planner proceeded through the decision tree process depicted in Figure 1.0 on the following page.

Only the identified and/or assumed asbestos-containing materials were assessed for hazards.

All of the identified and/or assumed asbestos-containing materials observed in this building were in good condition on the day of the survey.

Figure 1.0 Decision Tree Diagram For Hazard Assessment

Hazard rank #1 are materials of highest concern, and hazard rank #7 are the materials least likely to release asbestos fibers to the work area.



*Miscellaneous materials that are considered non-friable were placed in the Hazard Rank #8 category, which is in good condition with a low potential for damage.

**HAZARD ASSESSMENT AND RESPONSE ACTION DATA
FOR IDENTIFIED ACM**

BUILDING: Charleston Naval Shipyard, Building Number 1385

SAMPLE NUMBER(S): 1385-1-1, 1385-1-2, and 1385-1-3

HOMOGENEOUS AREA No.: 1

TYPE OF MATERIAL: Surfacing TSI Other

Description: Window Glazing

Approximate Amount of Asbestos-Containing Material (Linear or Square Foot): 110 LF

Approximate Recommended Response Action Cost: \$1,500

HAZARD ASSESSMENT

RESPONSE ACTION RECOMMENDATION

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) Significantly damaged | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) Removal |
| (2) Damaged plus potential for significant damage | (2) Encapsulation |
| (3) Damaged plus potential for damage | (3) Enclosure |
| (4) Damaged plus low potential for damage | (4) Repair |
| (5) ACM (good condition) with potential for significant damage | (5) Operations and Maintenance Program |
| (6) ACM (good condition) with potential for damage | |
| (7) Any remaining friable ACM or friable suspect ACM | |
| (8) Non-friable ACM | |

COMMENTS: None.

10.0 PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE FOR REMOVAL OF IDENTIFIED ACM

The following is a preliminary cost estimate for the abatement (removal) of identified ACM in Building 1385. This estimate is based on removing all of the materials during the same project. It does not include the cost of replacement materials. The cost estimate includes, project surveillance, air monitoring, and disposal of materials. These costs are estimates only; BAT made no attempt to obtain bids from removal contractors for this work, however, the average unit costs of three asbestos abatement contractors were used to develop the preliminary removal costs. Additionally, quantities noted are based upon engineering measurements. BAT recommends the use of architectural measurements for more accurate quantification.

Material Description	Unit Cost (\$)	Quantity	Total Abatement Cost (\$)
Window Glazing	1.78	110 LF	196
Handling Cost	25.00	1 EA	25
Mobilization	300.00	1 EA	300
Waste Disposal Cost	50.00	1 CY	<u>50</u>
Removal Subtotal			571
IH Supervision and Monitoring			<u>500</u>
Project Subtotal			1,071
Contingency (40%)			<u>428</u>
Project Total			1,499

LF = Linear Feet EA = Each CY = Cubic Yard

11.0 CONCLUSIONS

Inspection of Building 1385 and confirmatory laboratory bulk sample analysis of selected samples identified the following materials with asbestos concentrations greater than one percent.

<u>Identified ACM</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>NESHAP Category</u>
Window Glazing	110 LF	Regulated, friable

The following materials were not sampled in order to avoid disrupting their integrity, and they were assumed to contain asbestos:


<u>Assumed ACM</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>NESHAP Category</u>
--------------------	-----------------	------------------------

None.

Other suspect ACM not identified could be present in areas of the building inaccessible to the asbestos building inspectors. For example, material could exist in walls and other locations where access could only be gained by demolition of the building. Also, other materials currently not recognized as ACM by the asbestos building inspection industry could exist.

Rooms that were inaccessible to the asbestos building inspectors have been identified on the drawings of the building in Appendix B, *Sample and ACM Location Drawings*.

EPA rules governing the application, removal and disposal of ACM were promulgated under NESHAP [40 CFR 61 Part M]. NESHAP requires the building owner or asbestos removal contractor to notify EPA when a building containing ACM is to be renovated, ACM is to be removed, or the building is to be demolished. At least 20 days notification is required "...if less than 260 linear feet of asbestos pipe covering or 160 square feet of asbestos material are removed during building renovation". Ten days notification is required when the amount is greater than 260 linear feet or 160 square feet of friable ACM.



LEGEND

- - Sample Location
- (+) - Asbestos-Containing Sample Location
- (-) - Non-Asbestos-Containing Sample Location
- ▨ - Asbestos-Containing Window Glazing

BUILDING 1385

Sample and Asbestos-Containing Material Locations

NOTE: Drywell Was Installed in Mid 1990's And is Considered Non-Suspect Asbestos-Containing Material.



BAT Associates, Inc.
 ENVIRONMENTAL, HEALTH & SAFETY SERVICES
 5151 BROOK HOLLOW PARKWAY, SUITE 250
 NORCROSS, GA 30071

Window Glazing, HA # 1



The Georgia Institute of Technology

This is to certify that

Foshie Bell

has attended an EPA-approved half-day Continuing Education Course entitled:

Inspecting Buildings for Asbestos Containing Materials (Annual Refresher Course for Building Inspectors)

*as required by the Federal EPA AHERA Model Accreditation Plan for
re-accreditation as a Building Inspector for Asbestos (TSCA Title II).*

Georgia Tech Research Institute
Electro-Optics, Environment and Materials Laboratory
Atlanta, GA 30332
Phone: (404) 894-7430; FAX: (404) 894-1267

August 26, 1998
Dates of Attendance

August 26, 1999
Expiration Date

149-64-0385
Social Security Number

Myrtle I. Turner
Myrtle I. Turner, CET
Course Director
2900
Certificate Number

The Environmental Institute

Douglas J. Milton

Social Security Number - 266-55-7179

Has completed coursework and satisfactorily passed

an examination that meets all criteria required for

EPA/AHERA/ASHARA (TSCA Title II) Approved Reaccreditation

and NESHAP Regulations Training

Asbestos in Buildings: Inspector & Management

Planner Refresher

December 15, 1999

Course Date

6398


Certificate Number

December 15, 1999


Examination Date

December 14, 2000


Expiration Date



Tod A. Dawson - Course Director




Rachel G. McCain - Exam Administrator



TEI - 1300 Williams Drive, Suite E - Marietta, Georgia 30066 - (770) 427-3600

The
American Board of Industrial Hygiene®
ABIH®



organized to improve the practice of Industrial Hygiene
proclaims that

Douglas J. Milton

having met all requirements through
education, experience and examination,
is hereby certified in the

**COMPREHENSIVE PRACTICE
of
INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE**

and has the right to use the designations

CERTIFIED INDUSTRIAL HYGIENIST

CIH

November 12, 1997

date

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "J. Kenneth Conner".

Chair ABIH

CP 7612

certificate
number

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Roy T. Conner".

Secretary ABIH





South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control



ASBESTOS ABATEMENT LICENSE

No. 22860

This certifies that

Douglas J Milton

266-NEB-7179

doing business as *B A T Associates, Inc*

has satisfactorily completed the training required by South Carolina Regulation No. 61-86.1 and the EPA Model Accreditation Plan, 40 CFR 763 Subpart E Appendix C, for the category of

Consultant/Management Planner

The holder of this license shall comply with all the requirements of said Regulation.

This License, License Number, or any Representation thereof, is not transferable to any other licensee or company. Use of this License is only authorized for the licensee and Company whose name appears hereon and shall expire one year from

09/26/98.

The holder of this license is qualified in accordance with requirements of the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act of 1986 (AHERA) to perform as an abatement Building Inspector.

Richard D. Sharpe

Richard D. Sharpe, Director
Air Compliance Management Division
Bureau of Air Quality
South Carolina Department of Health & Environmental Control
CR-001126

07/28/99

ORIGINAL

07/28/99 14:31



South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control



ASBESTOS ABATEMENT LICENSE

No. 22859

This certifies that

Douglas J Milton

266-BOJ-7179

doing business as *B A T Associates, Inc*

has satisfactorily completed the training required by South Carolina Regulation No. 61-86.1 and the EPA Model Accreditation Plan, 40 CFR 763 Subpart E Appendix C, for the category of

Consultant/Building Inspector

The holder of this license shall comply with all the requirements of said Regulation.

This License, License Number, or any Representation thereof, is not transferable to any other licensee or company. Use of this License is only authorized for the licensee and Company whose name appears hereon and shall expire one year from

09/23/98.

Richard D. Sharpe

Richard D. Sharpe, Director
Air Compliance Management Division
Bureau of Air Quality
South Carolina Department of Health & Environmental Control
CR-001126

07/28/99

ORIGINAL

07/28/99 14:28



United States Department of Commerce
National Institute of Standards and Technology

NVLAP[®]

ISO/IEC GUIDE 25:1990
ISO 9002:1987

Certificate of Accreditation



CAPE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT, INC.
ATLANTA, GA

is recognized under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program for satisfactory compliance with criteria established in Title 15, Part 285 Code of Federal Regulations. These criteria encompass the requirements of ISO/IEC Guide 25 and the relevant requirements of ISO 9002 (ANSI/ASQC Q92-1987) as suppliers of calibration or test results. Accreditation is awarded for specific services, listed on the Scope of Accreditation for:

BULK ASBESTOS FIBER ANALYSIS

June 30, 2000

Effective through

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "James L. Galt".

For the National Institute of Standards and Technology

NVLAP Lab Code: 102111-0

United States Department of Commerce
National Institute of Standards and Technology

NVLAP[®]

ISO/IEC GUIDE 25:1990
ISO 9002:1987

Certificate of Accreditation



ANALYTICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
ATLANTA, GA

is recognized under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program for satisfactory compliance with criteria established in Title 15, Part 285 Code of Federal Regulations. These criteria encompass the requirements of ISO/IEC Guide 25 and the relevant requirements of ISO 9002 (ANSI/ASQC Q92-1987) as suppliers of calibration or test results. Accreditation is awarded for specific services, listed on the Scope of Accreditation for:

BULK ASBESTOS FIBER ANALYSIS

September 30, 2000

Effective through

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "James L. Galt".

For the National Institute of Standards and Technology

NVLAP Lab Code: 102033-0



ANALYTICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
 3125 Marjan Drive
 Atlanta, GA 30340
 Tel: (770) 457-8177
 Fax: (770) 457-8188

AES Job Number: B212
 Page 4 of 183 Total Samples
 Wednesday, February 09, 2000



BULK SAMPLE ANALYSIS

Client Name: B A T Associates, Inc. Project Number: 971001
 Project Name: Charleston Naval Shipyard AES Lab ID: 3374
 Client Sample ID: BAT 199-4-3
 Location: Not given

Sample Description: Brown semi-hard resilient with fibers and glue.

All percentages given below are visually estimated by volume

ASBESTOS FIBERS		NON-FIBROUS MATERIALS	
Chrysotile:		Vermiculite:	
Amosite:		Biotite:	
Crocidolite:		Mica:	
Anthophyllite:		Perlite:	
Tremolite:		Aggregates:	
Actinolite:		Styrofoam:	
NON-ASBESTOS FIBERS		OTHERS	
Synthetics:	1	Aluminum:	
Mineral Wool:		Bitumen:	
Fiberglass:		Resilient Material:	95
Cellulose:	1	Glue:	3
Animal Hair:		Binders:	
Antigorite:			

COMMENTS:

It is certified by the signatures below that the laboratory identified is accredited by the National Institute of Standards and Technology for Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) analysis under the EPA Interim Asbestos Bulk Sample Quality Assurance Program, Laboratory 102082-0.

Microanalyst:

Svetlana Arkhipov

QCAnalyst:

Andrew Pittman

All percentages given are by volume visually estimated. All analyses are performed in accordance with the EPA "Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Building Materials, EPA/600/R-93/116, July 1993." This report must not be reproduced except in full with the approval of Analytical Environmental Services, Inc. These test results apply only to the samples actually tested. The refractive index was determined by using "Rapidly and Accurately Determining Refractive Indices of Asbestos Fibers by Using Dispersion Staining Method" by Shu-Chun Su, Ph.D.



ANALYTICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
 3125 Marjan Drive
 Atlanta, GA 30340
 Tel: (770) 457-8177
 Fax: (770) 457-8188

AES Job Number: B212
 Page 5 of 183 Total Samples
 Wednesday, February 09, 2000



BULK SAMPLE ANALYSIS

Client Name: B A T Associates, Inc. Project Number: 971001
 Project Name: Charleston Naval Shipyard AES Lab ID: 3375
 Client Sample ID: BAT 199-21-1
 Location: Not given

Sample Description: Black semi-hard bitumenous with fibers.

All percentages given below are visually estimated by volume

ASBESTOS FIBERS		NON-FIBROUS MATERIALS	
Chrysotile:		Vermiculite:	
Amosite:		Biotite:	
Crocidolite:		Mica:	
Anthophyllite:		Perlite:	
Tremolite:		Aggregates:	
Actinolite:		Styrofoam:	
NON-ASBESTOS FIBERS		OTHERS	
Synthetics:	1	Aluminum:	
Mineral Wool:		Bitumen:	90
Fiberglass:		Resilient Material:	
Cellulose:	2	Glue:	
Animal Hair:		Binders:	7
Antigorite:			

COMMENTS:

It is certified by the signatures below that the laboratory identified is accredited by the National Institute of Standards and Technology for Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) analysis under the EPA Interim Asbestos Bulk Sample Quality Assurance Program, Laboratory 102082-0.

Microanalyst:

Svetlana Arkhipov

QCAlyst:

Andrew Pittman

All percentages given are by volume visually estimated. All analyses are performed in accordance with the EPA "Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Building Materials, EPA/600/R-93/116, July 1993." This report must not be reproduced except in full with the approval of Analytical Environmental Services, Inc. These test results apply only to the samples actually tested. The refractive index was determined by using "Rapidly and Accurately Determining Refractive Indices of Asbestos Fibers by Using Dispersion Staining Method" by Shu-Chun Su, Ph.D.



ANALYTICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
 3125 Marjan Drive
 Atlanta, GA 30340
 Tel: (770) 457-8177
 Fax: (770) 457-8188

AES Job Number: B212
 Page 6 of 183 Total Samples
 Wednesday, February 09, 2000



BULK SAMPLE ANALYSIS

Client Name: B A T Associates, Inc. Project Number 971001
 Project Name: Charleston Naval Shipyard AES Lab ID: 3376
 Client Sample ID: BAT 199-21-2
 Location: Not given

Sample Description: Black semi-hard bitumenous with fibers.

All percentages given below are visually estimated by volume

ASBESTOS FIBERS		NON-FIBROUS MATERIALS	
Chrysotile:		Vermiculite:	
Amosite:		Biotite:	
Crocidolite:		Mica:	
Anthophyllite:		Perlite:	
Tremolite:		Aggregates:	
Actinolite:		Styrofoam:	
NON-ASBESTOS FIBERS		OTHERS	
Synthetics:	1	Aluminum:	
Mineral Wool:		Bitumen:	90
Fiberglass:		Resilient Material:	
Cellulose:	2	Glue:	
Animal Hair:		Binders:	7
Antigorite:			

COMMENTS:

It is certified by the signatures below that the laboratory identified is accredited by the National Institute of Standards and Technology for Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) analysis under the EPA Interim Asbestos Bulk Sample Quality Assurance Program, Laboratory 102082-0.

Microanalyst:

Svetlana Arkhipov

QCAlyst:

Andrew Pittman

All percentages given are by volume visually estimated. All analyses are performed in accordance with the EPA "Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Building Materials, EPA/600/R-93/116, July 1993." This report must not be reproduced except in full with the approval of Analytical Environmental Services, Inc. These test results apply only to the samples actually tested. The refractive index was determined by using "Rapidly and Accurately Determining Refractive Indices of Asbestos Fibers by Using Dispersion Staining Method" by Shu-Chun Su, Ph.D.



ANALYTICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
 3125 Marjan Drive
 Atlanta, GA 30340
 Tel: (770) 457-8177
 Fax: (770) 457-8188

AES Job Number: **B212**
 Page 7 of 183 Total Samples
 Wednesday, February 09, 2000



BULK SAMPLE ANALYSIS

Client Name: B A T Associates, Inc. Project Number: 971001
 Project Name: Charleston Naval Shipyard AES Lab ID: 3377
 Client Sample ID: BAT 199-21-3
 Location: Not given

Sample Description: Black semi-hard bitumenous with fibers.

All percentages given below are visually estimated by volume

ASBESTOS FIBERS		NON-FIBROUS MATERIALS	
Chrysotile:		Vermiculite:	
Amosite:		Biotite:	
Crocidolite:		Mica:	
Anthophyllite:		Perlite:	
Tremolite:		Aggregates:	
Actinolite:		Styrofoam:	
NON-ASBESTOS FIBERS		OTHERS	
Synthetics:	1	Aluminum:	
Mineral Wool:		Bitumen:	90
Fiberglass:		Resilient Material:	
Cellulose:	2	Glue:	
Animal Hair:		Binders:	7
Antigorite:			

COMMENTS:

It is certified by the signatures below that the laboratory identified is accredited by the National Institute of Standards and Technology for Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) analysis under the EPA Interim Asbestos Bulk Sample Quality Assurance Program, Laboratory 102082-0.

Microanalyst:

Svetlana Arkhipov

QCAlyst:

Andrew Pittman

All percentages given are by volume visually estimated. All analyses are performed in accordance with the EPA "Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Building Materials, EPA/600/R-93/116, July 1993." This report must not be reproduced except in full with the approval of Analytical Environmental Services, Inc. These test results apply only to the samples actually tested. The refractive index was determined by using "Rapidly and Accurately Determining Refractive Indices of Asbestos Fibers by Using Dispersion Staining Method" by Shu-Chun Su, Ph.D.

BAT

BAT Associates, Inc.

ENVIRONMENTAL, HEALTH & SAFETY SERVICES

5151 Brook Hollow Pkwy., Suite 250

Norcross, GA 30071

Phone: (770) 242-3908

Fax: (770) 242-3912

CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM

BAT PROJECT CONTACT		DOUGLAS J. MILTON	
BAT JOB NAME		BAT JOB NO.	TASK NO.
Charleston Naval Shipyard		971001	13.03
ANALYSIS REQUESTED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PLM <input type="checkbox"/> PCM <input type="checkbox"/> AAS For Lead Content <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER _____			
CHECK ONE: <input type="checkbox"/> ROUTINE			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ROUTINE - FAX (HANDWRITTEN)		AS SOON AS POSSIBLE	
<input type="checkbox"/> RUSH - FAX (HANDWRITTEN)		AS SOON AS POSSIBLE	
SAMPLE ID		SAMPLE ID	
1. 1385-3-1		16.	
2. 1385-3-2		17.	
3. 1385-3-3		18.	
4. 1385-4-1		19.	
5. 1385-4-2		20.	
6. 1385-4-3		21.	
7.		22.	
8.		23.	
9.		24.	
10.		25.	
11.		26.	
12.		27.	
13.		28.	
14.		29.	
15.		30.	
SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: <i>Analyze each homogeneous area till positive</i>			
Relinquished by: <i>Foshe Bell</i>		Received by: <i>Inspector Ross</i>	
Date: <i>1/31/00</i>	Time: <i>1357</i>	Date: FEB 01 2000	Time:

21100 NAMY BILL RATE

**ENVIRONMENTAL
MANAGEMENT
INC**

TEL: (770) 908-7200 FAX: (770) 908-7219

Page 3 of 4

PLM ANALYSIS ASBESTOS SUMMARY *

CLIENT NAME: **BAT ASSOCIATES** PROJECT NO: **00003.000.000**
 PROJECT NAME: **CHARLESTON NSY / 971001-13.03** LAB JOB NO: **B0018** DATE RCVD: **2/1/00**

SAMPLE LAB ID	SAMPLE FIELD ID	LAYER NUMBER	APPEARANCE	LOCATION / DESCRIPTION	% ASBESTOS (COMMENTS)
32 1044	1385-4-1QC		BLACK SOFT BITUMINOUS TO FIBROUS		-
33 1045	BAT180-11-1QC		GRAY HARD CEMENTITIOUS TO GRANULAR		-
34 1046	BAT180-3-1QC		GRAY HARD RESILIENT TO GRANULAR WITH BLACK MASTIC		-
35 1047	BAT180-33-1QC		BLACK SOFT RESILIENT WITH AGGREGATES AND PAINT		-
36 1048	BAT180-35-1QC		BLACK SOFT BITUMINOUS TO FIBROUS		-
37 1049	BAT180-30-1QC		BLACK SOFT RESILIENT		-
38 1050	BAT180-15-1QC		YELLOW SOFT FIBROUS WITH PAINT-MUD		-
39 1051	BAT180-26-1QC		GRAY HARD RESILIENT TO GRANULAR WITH MASTIC		-
40 1052	BAT180-4-1QC		BROWN SEMI-HARD RESILIENT		-
41 1053	BAT180-8-1QC		BLACK SEMI-HARD RESILIENT WITH WHITE MASTIC		-
42 1054	BAT180-9-1QC		GRAY HARD RESILIENT TO GRANULAR WITH YELLOW MASTIC		-
43 1055	BAT180-24-1QC		YELLOW SOFT FIBROUS WITH PAINT		-
44 1056	BAT8-14-1QC		BROWN HARD SILTY MASTIC		-
45 1057	BAT199-14-1QC		DUPLICATE COC ENTRY. SEE LAB REPORT #1042		(NOT ANALYZED)
46 1058	BAT6-1-1QC		GRAY POWDERY TO GRANULAR		-
47 1059	33-4-1QC		BLUE HARD RESILIENT TO GRANULAR WITH GLUE		-
48 1060	86-1-1QC		GREEN HARD RESILIENT TO GRANULAR WITH BLACK MASTIC		6%CHR (NO ASBESTOS DETECTED IN BLACK MASTIC)

* If box "QC" is not checked, these results are provided before full QC is completed and therefore could be changed.

QC

--- = NO ASBESTOS DETECTED

02/14/00 MON 11:59 FAX 770 908 7200 CAFE ENVIRO. 009

BAT

BAT Associates, Inc.
ENVIRONMENTAL, HEALTH & SAFETY SERVICES

5151 Brook Hollow Pkwy., Suite 250
 Norcross, GA 30071
 Phone: (770) 242-3908
 Fax: (770) 242-3912

CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM

BAT PROJECT CONTACT		DOUGLAS J. MILTON	
BAT JOB NAME	Charleston Naval Shipyard	BAT JOB NO. 971001	TASK NO. 13.03
ANALYSIS REQUESTED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PLM <input type="checkbox"/> PCM <input type="checkbox"/> AAS For Lead Content <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER _____			
CHECK ONE: <input type="checkbox"/> ROUTINE			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ROUTINE - FAX (HANDWRITTEN)		AS SOON AS POSSIBLE	
<input type="checkbox"/> RUSH - FAX (HANDWRITTEN)		AS SOON AS POSSIBLE	
SAMPLE ID		SAMPLE ID	
1. 1777-1-1QC		16. BAT6-1QC	
2. 1385-4-QC		17. 33-4-1QC	
3. BAT180-11-1QC		18. 86-1-1QC	
4. BAT180-3-1QC		19. 86-2-1QC	
5. BAT180-33-1QC		20. 86-3-1QC	
6. BAT180-35-1QC		21. 86-4-1QC	
7. BAT180-30-1QC		22. 86-9-1QC	
8. BAT180-15-1QC		23. 86-15-1QC	
9. BAT180-26-1QC		24. 86-22-1QC	
10. BAT180-4-1QC		25. 86-24-1QC	
11. BAT180-8-1QC		26.	
12. BAT180-29-1QC		27.	
13. BAT180-24-1QC		28.	
14. BAT8-14-1QC		29.	
15. BAT199-17-1QC		30.	
SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:			
Relinquished by: <i>John Bell</i>		Received by: <i>[Signature]</i>	
Date: <i>12/22/99</i>	Time:	Date: <i>2/1/00</i>	Time: <i>2:30</i>

2/1/00