

N62604.AR.000552  
NCBC GULFPORT  
5090.3a

LETTER AND COMMENTS FROM MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL  
QUALITY REGARDING REVIEW OF ADDENDUM TO SITE EVALUATION REPORT SITE 10  
NCBC GULFPORT MS  
11/27/2002  
MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



STATE OF MISSISSIPPI  
DAVID RONALD MUSGROVE, GOVERNOR  
MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY  
CHARLES H. CHISOLM, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

27 November 2002

Art Conrad  
Naval Facilities Engineering Command  
Southern Division  
2155 Eagle Drive  
P.O. Box 190010  
North Charleston, South Carolina 29419-9010

Re: Addendum to Site Evaluation Report IRA for Site 10, Naval Construction Battalion Center Gulfport, Mississippi, Draft, November 2002.

The Mississippi Office of Pollution Control has reviewed the above referenced document and offers the following comments.

1. The title of the document should reflect the type and purpose of the document. Specifically, this is a Sampling Report and Workplan for the PCB Site (Site 10) located near the Parade Field as referenced in titles of previous documents concerning this site. This will afford proper cataloguing for archiving documents.

This concern has appeared in previous comment letters concerning this and other sites at this facility.

2. Clarification is needed concerning groundwater concentrations of Pesticide/PCB compounds. Page 2 (paragraph 2) states that Pesticide/PCB concentrations exceed Tier 1 TRG values in the soil and groundwater medium and lists five groundwater monitoring wells in which excessive concentrations reportedly occurred. Paragraph 6 states that concentrations in the groundwater, surface water and ditch-bed sediments do not exist above the MDEQ Tier 1 TRG values. Data tables for groundwater samples given in Appendix A lists all concentrations as undetected (U) with the exception of sample NCBC10G02, wherein 1,3 Dichlorobenzene is reported at a concentration of 2.70 ppb.
3. The medium in which the "kidney shaped PCB plume" described on page 2 (paragraph 4) is located should be specified.

4. The text (beginning on page 2, paragraphs 5 and 6) does not describe PCB compounds as contaminants of concern although this investigation is primarily aimed at PCB compounds.
5. Sample location maps are not appropriately labeled with figure numbers and the pages on which they occur are not numbered.
6. A symbol for surface water is shown in the legend of each of the sample location maps, although the symbol does not appear in appropriate areas of the map.

Please feel free to contact me if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

  
Bob Merrill

cc. Elizabeth Wilde, USEPA