

**MONTHLY PROGRESS REVIEW MEETING MINUTES  
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY (RI/FS)  
ALAMEDA NAVAL AIR STATION  
(Held at Building 114, Alameda Naval Air Station)**

**June 30, 1993**

Attendees:

<u>NAME</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>PHONE</u>
Tom Lanphar	Dept. Toxic Substances Control (DTSC)	(510) 540-3809
Chein Kao	DTSC	(510) 540-3822
James Nusrala	Regional Water Quality Control Board	(510) 286-0301
Ken Leung	Montgomery Watson	(510) 975-3460
Scott Weber	Montgomery Watson	(510) 975-3511
Mike Petouhoff	Naval Air Station (NAS) Alameda	(510) 263-3726
Amelia Duque	NAS Alameda	(510) 263-3715
Roger Caswell	Naval Aviation Depot	(510) 263-6241
Duane Balch	PRC Environmental Management, Inc.	(916) 852-8300
Marcelo Pascua	U.S. Navy, Western Div. (WESTDIV)	(415) 244-2522
Gary Munekawa	WESTDIV	(415) 244-2524

**AGENDA ITEMS:**

- I. Responses to Comments - Phases 2B and 3 Field Sampling Plan**
- DTSC comments on the draft field sampling plan (FSP) for the follow-on work, Phases 2B and 3 were received by the Navy on June 10, 1993. The Navy indicated that it would provide its responses to the DTSC by July 21, 1993.
- II. Responses to Comments - Phases 5 and 6 Field Sampling Plan**
- DTSC and RWQCB comments on the draft field sampling plan for the follow-on work, Phases 5 and 6 (the landfill sites) were received by the Navy on June 11, 1993. The Navy indicated that it would provide its responses to the DTSC and RWQCB by July 21, 1993.
- III. Responses to Comments - Phases 1 and 2A Data Summary Report**
- Navy stated that it had completed contracting activities to allow for the completion of responses to comments on the Phases 1 and 2A data summary report (DSR). Response to comments will be submitted to the DTSC and RWQCB by July 28, 1993.
- IV. IMF Site Removal Action**
- The Navy said it had initiated contracting activities towards implementing an interim removal action at the IMF site. Navy discussed how it intended to use Navy Public Works Center personnel under supervision of the PRC team to perform the excavation work. Navy expects to award contract to perform the removal action by July 13, 1993.

- Navy stated that it would provide an implementation work plan for agency review by August 3, 1993, and mobilize to the field (begin excavation) by mid-August.

**V. Sites 7A and 15 Interim Removal Actions**

- Navy continued contracting activities for implementing engineering evaluations/cost analyses (EE/CA) for interim removal actions at Sites 7A (the Naval Exchange service station) and at Site 15 (a former transformer storage area). Navy expects to negotiate and award contracts for these actions by late August-early September.

**VI. CTO 0252 for the Building 5 Plating Shop Site Investigation**

- Navy completed negotiations for performing the remaining site investigative work required at the Site 5 plating shop (CTO 0252) on June 29, 1993. Navy indicated that they expected to award this CTO in July or August.

**VII. Federal Facilities Site Remediation Agreement (FFSRA)**

- Navy distributed to the DTSC a working draft copy of the proposed Federal Facilities Site Remediation Agreement (FFSRA) for NAS Alameda. Navy indicated its desire to incorporate a Site Management Plan (SMP) as part of the FFSRA in order to better reflect the uncertainty of the timing of targeted activities to be performed beyond the next two years.
- Mr. Chein Kao of the DTSC initially stated his preference that the SMP be left out of the proposed FFSRA, then later indicated that he would be conferring within DTSC for comments on using an SMP as part of an FFSRA. He also stated that the Canonie-generated RI/FS schedule indicated reaching a base-wide record of decision (ROD) in 1994, and that he would need the Navy's reasons for the change to the schedule that will be submitted as part of the FFSRA and need to know what new accelerated actions will occur.
- A brief discussion was held concerning incorporating RI/FS sites into larger operable units for accelerating future remedial actions, such as at the landfill sites. Navy stated that it was currently prioritizing its RI/FS sites for future work, including the upcoming removal actions at the IMF site, and at Sites 7A and 15. As part of that prioritization the Navy indicated it would consider the formation of operable units if such an action would allow for the accelerated cleanup and closure of a group of impacted sites.
- General discussions about future reuse of NAS Alameda (NAS Alameda is proposed for closure under the 1993 Base Realignment and Closure [BRAC] action) centered on making sure that the community is actively involved early on in the process, and about Navy's intent to comply with the Community Environmental Response

Facilitation Act (CERFA). Lt. Mike Petouhoff indicated that the NAS Alameda Environmental Affairs Office was cognizant of the CERFA requirements and that the Navy was looking into appropriate responses and opportunities to involve the public.

- Other related discussion concerned DTSC desire to provide for the integration of RCRA Part B corrective actions into the FFSRA. This relates to the recent decision by to DTSC (June 24, 1993) to grant a Part B RCRA permit to the facility. Navy indicated that it was already reviewing its RCRA sites with respect to ongoing activities at the RI/FS study sites.
- A tentative meeting was set for July 27, 1993, at the DTSC office in Berkeley to discuss the FFSRA in general and to talk about the primary and secondary documents and deliverable schedule (this meeting was later moved to July 19, 1993).

#### **VIII. RI/FS Schedule**

- No further revisions to the draft RI/FS schedule have been made since the May 12, 1993, progress review meeting. In light of efforts to enter into an FFSRA with the State, it was determined that this activity will continue as part of generation of the FFSRA.

#### **IX. Phase 2A Follow-on Field Sampling Plan**

- Navy awarded the contract for preparation of the Phase 2A FSP (CTO 0121 Modification 02) on June 11, 1993. Navy told the DTSC and RWQCB that the target date for getting a draft Phase 2A FSP to them was now August 17, 1993.

#### **X. RI/FS Work Plan Revision**

- The RI/FS Work Plan Revision is nearing completion. Navy submitted portions of the revised health and safety plan to the Naval Environmental Health Center in Virginia for their concurrent review. NEHC comments are expected in mid-July.

#### **XI. Status of Ecological Assessment**

- The Navy indicated that field sampling activities were nearing completion and that the bioassay work on previously collected samples was continuing. Work was begun on generation of the draft ecological assessment report.

#### **XII. Other Issues**

- The next progress review meeting is scheduled for July 28, 1993, at the DTSC office in Berkeley at 0900.



TRANSMITTAL/DELIVERABLE RECEIPT

Contract No. N68711-00-D-0005

Document Control No. TC . A021 . 10075

TO: Mr. Ron Fuller, Code 02R1.RF
Contracting Officer
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Southwest Division
1230 Columbia Street, Suite 1100
San Diego, CA 92101-8517

DATE: 04/03/03
DO: 021
LOCATION: Alameda Point, Alameda, California

FROM: Michael Wanta, Contract Manager

DOCUMENT TITLE AND DATE:

BRAC Cleanup Team After Action Reports from 1990 through 2000 and 2001 through 2002

April 2, 2003

TYPE: Contractual Deliverable (checkbox), Technical Deliverable (DS) (checkbox), Other (TC) (checked)

VERSION: NA REVISION #: NA
(e.g., Draft, Draft Final, Final)

ADMIN RECORD: Yes (checked) No (checkbox) CATEGORY: Confidential (checkbox)

SCHEDULED DELIVERY DATE: NA ACTUAL DELIVERY DATE: 04/03/03

NUMBER OF COPIES SUBMITTED TO NAVY: O/3C/4E
O = original transmittal form
C = copy of transmittal form
E = enclosure

COPIES TO: (Include Name, Navy Mail Code, and Number of Copies)

Table with 3 columns: NAVY, TETRA TECH, OTHER. Rows include M. McClelland (06CAMM) O/1E, Diane Silva (05G.DS)\* 3C/3E, File/Doc Control 1C/1E (w/QC), Courtney Colvin 1C/1E.

Date/Time Received