

5090
Ser 1811GM/L2382
31 Aug 1992

Ms. Virginia Lasky
Department of Toxic Substances Control
700 Heinz Ave., Suite 200
Berkeley, CA 94710

Subj: REVISED SCHEDULE FOR THE REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY
SCHEDULE, NAS ALAMEDA

Dear Ms. Lasky:

We are providing as enclosure (1), a revised schedule for the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) program at the Naval Air Station (NAS) Alameda. This revised schedule covers all known items of work through early 1993. We believe that the revised schedule adequately addresses the issues discussed in our 19 August 1992 meeting.

As discussed, we will meet with your office in late January 1993 to revisit the additional sampling and analysis workplan for all phases and to refine the rest of the RI/FS schedule.

If you have any further questions regarding this matter, the point of contact is Mr. Gary J. Munekawa, Code 1811GM, (415) 244-2524.

Sincerely,

original signed by:

LOUISE T. LEW
Head, Installation Restoration Section

Encl: (1) Revised Schedule for RI/FS, NAS Alameda

Copy to (w/encl.):

California Regional Water Quality Control Board (Attn: Janette Baxter)

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (Attn: Julie Anderson)

NAS Alameda (Attn: Randy Cate)

COMNAVBASE San Francisco (Attn: Randy Friedman)

Planning Research Corporation (Attn: Duane Balch)

James M. Montgomery Consulting Engineers (Attn: Ken Leung)

Blind Copy:

181; 1811; 1811GM; 1811GK;


Admin Records (3 copies)

Chron, blue, pink, green

File: NAS, Alameda

733

**Draft Proposed RI/FS Schedule - Phases 1, 2A, 2B, 3, 4, 5, and 6 Sites
NAS Alameda**




Project: RI/FS (CTOs 107 & 121)- NAS Date: 8/26/92	Navy	[DTSC Activity]	Milestone ◊	Summary	[Summary bar]	DTSC Activities	[DTSC Activity]
---	-------------	-----------------	--------------------	----------------	---------------	------------------------	-----------------

Major Assumptions:

1. Extensive effort is not required to reformat or restructure the Phases 1 and 2A site data base for QA/QC review.
2. It is assumed that DTSC will take between four to six weeks to review and comment on work plans and draft final reports for the sites at NAS Alameda under CTO Nos. 107 and 121.
3. No additional assessment work is required after the submittal of the final report on the ecological assessment (Phase 4). The phase work is anticipated to be awarded by the end of August, 1992. The actual start date of the Phase 4 work will depend on the award date of the Phase 4 work.
4. Only one additional phase of field work for Phases 1, 2A, 2B, 3, 5, and 6 will be needed for the completion of RI/FS reports.
5. Only four quarterly groundwater sampling and analyses are required for the RI/FS. No major aquifer testing is required for the RI/FS

**Draft Proposed RI/FS Schedule - Phases 1, 2A, 2B, 3, 4, 5, and 6 Sites
NAS Alameda**



Project: RI/FS (CTOs 107 & 121)- NAS Navy ■ Milestone ◆ Summary ■ DTSC Activities ■
 Date: 8/26/92

Major Assumptions:

1. Extensive effort is not required to reformat or restructure the Phases 1 and 2A site data base for QA/QC review.
2. It is assumed that DTSC will take between four to six weeks to review and comment on work plans and draft final reports for the sites at NAS Alameda under CTO Nos. 107 and 121.
3. No additional assessment work is required after the submittal of the final report on the ecological assessment (Phase 4). The phase work is anticipated to be awarded by the end of August, 1992. The actual start date of the Phase 4 work will depend on the award date of the Phase 4 work.
4. Only one additional phase of field work for Phases 1, 2A, 2B, 3, 5, and 6 will be needed for the completion of RI/FS reports.
5. Only four quarterly groundwater sampling and analyses are required for the RI/FS. No major aquifer testing is required for the RI/FS

