

5090  
Ser 09ER3GK/L5240  
29 Mar 1995

From: Commanding Officer, Engineering Field Activity West, Naval Facilities  
Engineering Command  
To: Distribution

Subj: REVIEW OF DRAFT WORK PLAN FOR THREATENED AND ENDANGERED  
SPECIES SURVEY, NAVAL AIR STATION (NAS), ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA

Encl: (1) Document Summary for Draft Work Plan, Ecological Risk Assessment,  
Terrestrial Scoping Assessment and Threatened and Endangered Species Survey  
(2) Draft Work Plan, Ecological Risk Assessment, Terrestrial Scoping Assessment  
and Threatened and Endangered Species Survey

1. Enclosure (1), without Enclosure (2), has been submitted to RAB members not on this Document Distribution List for their information. Enclosure (2) is provided for your review and comment. Please forward written comments to my attention, Code 09ER3GK, the Navy point of contact listed below, within 45 days from receipt of this letter.

2. If you have any questions regarding this matter, I can be reached at (415) 244-2555; FAX (415) 244-2341.

**Original signed by:**

GEORGE KIKUGAWA  
By direction

Distribution:

California Department of Toxic Substances Control (Attn: Tom Lanphar)  
California Regional Water Quality Control Board (Attn: James Nusrala)  
California Department of Fish & Game (Attn: Michael Martin)  
US Environmental Protection Agency (Attn: James Ricks)  
US Fish & Wildlife Service (Attn: Steve Schwarzbach/Jim Haas)  
US Department of the Interior (Attn: Gemma Timp)  
US Army Corps of Engineers (Attn: Sharon Moreland)  
National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration (Attn: Denise Klimas)  
Bay Area Air Quality Management District (Attn: Nancy Yee)  
Bay Conservation and Development Commission (Attn: Steve McAdam)  
San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge (Attn: Elaine Harding-Smith)  
Sierra Club San Francisco Bay (Attn: Jim Royce)

5090  
09ER3GK/L5240  
29 Mar 1995

Least Tern Biologist (Laura Collins)  
National Audobon Society (Leora Feeney)  
RAB Member (Saul Bloom)  
RAB Community Co-Chair (Kathy Teller)  
RAB Natural Resources Focus Group Leader (Roberta Hough)  
RAB Reuse Focus Group Leader (Ronald Basarich)  
RAB Early Actions Focus Group Leader (Chris Bacina)  
RAB Organizational Focus Group Leader (Pam McCallum)  
RAB Technology Focus Group Leader (William Smith)  
RAB Public Education Focus Group Leader (Corinne Stefanick)

Copy to (w/encl):

PRC Environmental Management, Inc. (Attn: Duane Balch)  
Montgomery Watson (Attn: Ken Leung)  
NAS Alameda (Attn: Lt. Mike Petouhoff)  
NAS Alameda (Attn: Sherri Withrow)  
NAS Alameda (Attn: Teresa Bernhard)  
NAS Alameda (Attn: Ann Klimek)  
NADEP Alameda (Attn: Paul Pentony)  
COMNAVBASE San Francisco (Attn: Randy Friedman)  
Radiological Affairs Support Office (Attn: LCDR L. L. Fragoso)

Blind copy to:

09ER3, 09ER3GM, 09ER3DW, 09ER3GK, 09ER3 file, 09F2DP,  
Admin Record (w/3 copies)  
Chron, pink, green  
Writer: G. Kikugawa/09ER3GK/X2559  
File: Alameda/NAS

**ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT: TERRESTRIAL SCOPING ASSESSMENT  
AND THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES SURVEY  
WORK PLAN**

**DOCUMENT SUMMARY**

**RAB COMMENTS ARE NOT REQUIRED FOR THIS DOCUMENT**

**Document Type:** Work plan

**Date:** March 1995

**Version:** Draft

**Summary:**

This document describes two activities to be performed at Naval Air Station (NAS) Alameda:

- Terrestrial Scoping Assessment
- Threatened and Endangered Species Survey

These activities and their purpose are described below.

**Terrestrial Scoping Assessment**

A scoping assessment is the first step in the process to evaluate the hazards contaminants may pose to the environment. The terrestrial scoping assessment described in this work plan will (1) characterize plant and animal species and their habitats at NAS Alameda, (2) identify the potential presence of contaminants that may threaten those species, and (3) identify potential exposure pathways (routes through which plants or animals are exposed to a chemical). These three tasks are described in more detail below, but first it is worthwhile to understand how the results of the scoping assessment will be used. If the scoping assessment determines that a plant or animal species may be threatened by contaminants, more detailed study will be recommended. In addition, the results of the scoping assessment will be used to identify potential assessment and measurement endpoints. An assessment endpoint is an ecological value one wishes to protect. For example, the reproductive capabilities of an endangered species or the functioning of a wetlands area may be agreed on as an assessment endpoint. Because assessment endpoints can't be measured directly, measurement endpoints are selected. A measurement endpoint is a measurable environmental characteristic that is related to the assessment endpoint. For example, if the assessment endpoint is a viable habitat for a fish-eating bird species, the measurement endpoint selected may be to study the availability and quality of the bird's main food

source -- fish. In order to effectively select assessment and measurement endpoints, one must understand the environment being studied, specifically the habitat, the contaminants, and the ways the contaminants may or may not reach animals and plants that use the habitat. Understanding the environment in this way is the goal of the scoping assessment.

The scoping assessment described in this work plan will focus on the terrestrial (pertaining to land) ecosystem at NAS Alameda; the aquatic (water) ecosystem is being addressed in the ecological assessment of OU 4. The specific areas addressed by the terrestrial scoping assessment are OUs 1, 2, 3, and the land area of OU 4 (see Figure S-1). The three tasks to be conducted as part of the terrestrial scoping assessment are described below.

**Characterize Plant and Animal Species and their Habitat.** Experienced field biologists will conduct field surveys at OUs 1, 2, 3, and the land area of OU 4. The field survey is tentatively planned for late May or early June 1995. Based on its field observations, the survey team will describe terrestrial habitat and the amount of land it covers and identify plant and animal species at NAS Alameda, including waterfowl that feed near NAS Alameda in San Francisco Bay. Before the field surveys begin, the survey contractor will review existing literature and aerial photographs to better focus the survey. Existing literature to be reviewed will include a list of habitats protected by the State of California. The appendices of the work plan include preliminary lists of plant and animal species thus far identified as likely to be present at NAS Alameda. Completion of the literature search will add to these lists.

**Identify Potential Contaminants of Concern.** Site histories and laboratory sampling results from previous investigations will be used to identify potential contaminants of concern. Potential contaminants of concern are chemicals that are determined to exist at a site at levels that are either above prescribed regulatory levels or above acceptable risk levels. As a result of this task, a list of potential contaminants of concern will be compiled and the contaminated media (soil, water, air) and the potentially affected habitats will be described.

**Identify Potentially Complete Exposure Pathways.** Potentially complete exposure pathways are known routes of chemical exposure, such as skin contact with contaminated soil or eating contaminated food. An exposure pathway is considered complete if it has a contaminated media (such as soil), a "receptor" (such as a plant), and a method for the receptor to be exposed to the contaminated media (such as the plant drawing nutrients from the soil). An example of an incomplete pathway would be contaminants located deep beneath soil that is covered by asphalt; because of the depth of contaminants and the asphalt cover, neither plants nor animals have any means of contacting the contaminants.

During the field survey, the survey team will identify potentially complete exposure pathways within OUs 1, 2, 3, and the land areas of OU 4.

The scoping assessment work plan was prepared using guidance documents distributed by the California Environmental Protection Agency, the Department of Toxic Substances Control, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. The work plan also reflects discussions among the Navy and state and federal agencies.

### Threatened and Endangered Species Survey


In order to gain a better understanding of the environment at NAS Alameda, a survey is proposed to identify the threatened and endangered plant and animal species (such as the least tern) that use NAS Alameda habitat. The survey will consist of three components, as described below.

**Literature Review.** Published literature will be reviewed, and academic, non-profit, and government organizations will be contacted about protected species known to use NAS Alameda. The appendices of the work plan contain lists of plant and animal species thus far identified as likely to be present at NAS Alameda. The literature search will add to these lists.

**Vegetation Survey.** Following the literature review, a field biologist will conduct a field survey, using a visual search method, for specific threatened and endangered plant species that may occur at NAS Alameda. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service agrees with this approach. The survey is proposed to occur in late May or early June 1995, which appears to be the flowering period common to plant species expected to occur near the wetlands area.

**Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse Survey.** A survey will also be conducted to determine the absence or presence of the federally threatened salt marsh harvest mouse at the west beach landfill wetland and the runway wetland. This threatened species is being surveyed because it is thought to be present at NAS Alameda. (During field surveys, survey teams always look for indicators of species of special concern; the salt marsh harvest mouse has been isolated for survey because there are indicators this species is likely to be present at NAS Alameda.) The field survey is tentatively planned for late summer or early fall 1995. The survey design is being developed in consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

# NAVAL AIR STATION ALAMEDA, CA




## IR SITES

SITE #	SITE DESCRIPTION
1.	1942-1956 DISPOSAL SITE
2.	WEST BEACH LANDFILL
3.	AREA 97 (AVIATION GASOLINE TANKS)
4.	BUILDING 360 (PLATING SHOP, ENGINE CLEANING SHOP, PAINT AND STRIPPING SHOP)
5.	BUILDING 5 (PLATING SHOP, PAINT STRIPPING SHOP, CLEANING SHOP, PAINT SHOP, SELECTIVE PLATING SHOP, FORMER HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE AREA)
6.	BATTERY STORAGE AREA AND WASTE TREATMENT AREA
7.	BUILDING 41 (AIRCRAFT INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE DEPARTMENT)
8.	BUILDING 459 (7A), 162 (7B), AND 547 (7C) SERVICE STATIONS
9.	BUILDING 114 (PEST CONTROL AREA AND SEPARATOR)
10.	BUILDING 410 (PAINT STRIPPING)
11.	BUILDING 400 (10A) AND 530 (10B) (MISSILE REWORK OPERATIONS)
12.	BUILDING 14 (ENGINE TEST CELLS)
13.	BUILDING 10 (POWER PLANT)
14.	OIL REFINERY
15.	FIRE TRAINING AREA
16.	BUILDING 301 AND 389 (STORAGE AREA)
17.	CANS C-2 AREA
18.	SEAPLANE LAGOON
19.	STATION SEWER SYSTEM (NOT ON SITE)
20.	YARD D-13 (HAZARDOUS WASTE SOLVENTS)
21.	ESTUARY (OAKLAND INNER HARBOR)

## LEGEND

IRP SITES	
1	(SOIL AND GROUNDWATER)
2	(SOIL AND GROUNDWATER)
3	(SOIL AND GROUNDWATER)
4	(SEDIMENTS)



Source: Base CAD file provided by NAS Alameda Facilities Management Office, Engineering/Planning Branch (R Sites, Active/Abandoned Tows and CAD Site: revised 4-2004-02)

NAVAL AIR STATION ALAMEDA  
ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA  
SITES AND  
OPERABLE UNITS

ENCLOSURE 2

DRAFT WORK PLAN  
ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT, TERRESTRIAL  
SCOPING ASSESSMENT AND THREATENED AND  
ENDANGERED SPECIES SURVEY  
DATED 30 MARCH 2005 IS SUPERSEDED BY:

FINAL WORK PLAN  
ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT, TERRESTRIAL  
SCOPING ASSESSMENT AND THREATENED AND  
ENDANGERED SPECIES SURVEY

DATED 18 OCTOBER 1995

AND FILED AS ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD NO.

**N00236.001234**