M60050.000345
MCAS EL TORO
SSIC # 5090.3

California Integrated Waste Management Board

Dan Eaton, Chairman
8800 Cal Center Drive • Sacramento California 95826 • (916) 255-2200
www.ciwmb.ca.gov

Winston H. Hickox
Secretary for Environmental Protection

Gray Davis
Governor

March 6, 2000

Ms. Trish Chesney
Department of Toxic Substances Control
Office of Military Facilities
5796 Corporate Avenue
Cypress, CA 90630

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO COMMENTS AND FINAL INTERIM RECORD OF DECISION (WORKING DRAFT), OPERABLE UNIT 2B, LANDFILL SITES 2 AND 17, MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, EL TORO, CA, FEBRUARY 2000.

Dear Ms. Chesney:

The California Integrated Waste Management Board (IWMB) Closure, Remediation & Technical Services Branch received the subject Response to Comments on February 11, 2000, and the subject ROD on February 15, 2000. IWMB staff appreciates the opportunity to provide you with input during the closure process of the Site 2 and 17 landfills. Both the IWMB and the Orange County Local Enforcement Agency (LEA) have reviewed and accept the Response to Comments and the revised ROD.

IWMB and the LEA concur with the Response to Comments with the following clarifications:

1. Based on the February 11, 2000, telephone conference call, it is the understanding of IWMB and the LEA that the institutional controls described in Section 7.2.1.2 will be included in the "mutually agreed upon" MOU or deed restrictions discussed in Section 7.2.1.
2. DON will continue to work with the County of Orange in order to assess any potential impacts to the Site 2 landfill caused by the Alton Parkway extension.
3. The IWMB and the LEA reserve the right to review and make comments on plans and specifications during the design process. Drainage control and erosion are of particular concern due to the Alton Parkway expansion and the rapid industrial and residential development on nearby property. The final design, when completed, must be reviewed by the IWMB and the LEA.

Based on the review of the revised ROD, staff has the following specific concern:

1. Figure 7-3. Monitoring probe spacing along the property boundary is inadequate. As per 27 CCR Section 20925, Perimeter Monitoring Network, the lateral spacing between adjacent monitoring probes should not exceed 1000 feet. Justification is required if probe spacing exceeds 1000 feet measured around the perimeter.

If you have any comments or concerns regarding these comments, please contact me at (916) 255-3831.

Sincerely,

Melissa K. Gunter
Waste Management Engineer
Remediation, Closure & Technical Services