

HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD

RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING MINUTES

23 February 2006

These minutes summarize the discussions and presentations from the Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) meeting held from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. Thursday, February 23, 2006, in the Alex L. Pitcher, Jr. Room at the Southeast Community Facility at Hunters Point Shipyard (HPS). A verbatim transcript was also prepared for the meeting and is available in the information repository for HPS and on the Internet at <http://www.navybracpmo.org/bracbases/california/hps/default.aspx>. The list of agenda topics is provided below. Attachment A provides a list of attendees. Attachment B includes action items that were requested or committed to by RAB members during the meeting.

**AGENDA TOPICS:**

- (1) Welcome/Introductions/Agenda Review
- (2) Approval of Meeting Minutes from the January 26, 2006, RAB Meeting
- (3) Navy Announcements
- (4) Community Co-Chair Report
- (5) Radiological Program Update
- (6) Subcommittee Reports
- (7) Community Comment Period
- (8) Adjournment

**MEETING HANDOUTS:**

- Agenda for February 23, 2006, RAB Meeting
- Meeting Minutes from January 26, 2006, RAB Meeting
- Navy Monthly Progress Report, February 23, 2006
- PowerPoint Presentation, Basewide Radiological Removal Action, Action Memorandum Revision
- PowerPoint Presentation, Radiological Program Update Hunters Point Shipyard
- Membership Bylaws Community Outreach (MBCO) Subcommittee Meeting Minutes from February 8, 2006
- Technical Subcommittee Meeting Minutes from February 9, 2006.

**Welcome/Introductions/Agenda Review**

Marsha Pendergrass, facilitator, called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. Ms. Pendergrass welcomed everyone to the meeting. All attendees introduced themselves and the organization they represent.

**Approval of Minutes from the January 26, 2006 RAB Meeting**

Ms. Pendergrass said that approval of the meeting minutes is needed for the RAB meeting on January 26, 2006. Several RAB members indicated that they had not had time to review the meeting minutes because they were distributed late. The RAB voted to postpone approval of the meeting minutes until the March 2006 RAB meeting. Ms. Pendergrass suggested that a message be sent if there is a delay in distributing the meeting minutes. Chris Hanif, RAB member, volunteered to print out a hard copy of the meeting minutes and deliver it to Charles Dacus, RAB member, if there is another delay with receiving the minutes via U.S. Mail.

1 Ms. Pendergrass addressed the status of the action items:

2 **Carry-over Action Item Number 1:** Pat Brooks, Navy Lead Remedial Project Manager  
3 (RPM), to provide a list of all businesses in the Bayview Community that have been retained by  
4 the Navy contractors. This action item will be carried over until March 2006.

5 **Carry-over Action Item Number 2:** Keith Forman, HPS Base Realignment and Closure  
6 Environmental Coordinator, (BEC) to schedule a field trip in May 2006 to visit the Parcel C  
7 Treatability Study Site. This action item will be carried over until April 2006.

8 **Carry-over Action Item Number 3:** Mr. Hanif to meet with James Morrison, RAB member,  
9 and Jesse Mason, RAB member, to debrief on the Economic Subcommittee and schedule the  
10 next meeting. Mr. Hanif expressed appreciation for Mr. Morrison stepping in to take over this  
11 subcommittee. This action item was completed and will be removed from the table.

12 **Carry-over Action Item Number 4:** Mr., Forman to provide Department of Toxic Substances  
13 Control (DTSC), San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board), U.S.  
14 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Ray Tompkins, RAB member, with a list of HPS  
15 2006 priority projects, including projects that overlap into 2007. Mr. Forman indicated that he  
16 has a list of the 15 most important documents for 2006 to give to Dr. Tompkins this evening.  
17 This action item was completed and will be removed from the table.

18 **Carry-over Item Number 5:** Mr. Forman to provide an Environmental 101 class on a Saturday  
19 once at least 3 new community members join the RAB. This action item will be removed from  
20 the table and revisited once there are at least 3 new RAB members.

21 Mr. Hanif suggested having the Environmental 101 class first and then recruiting new RAB  
22 members from the class attendees. Barbara Bushnell, Community RAB Co-chair, added that the  
23 Membership, Bylaws and Community Outreach (MBCO) Subcommittee has some  
24 recommendations for recruiting new RAB members. Mr. Forman responded that he has been  
25 working with Carolyn Hunter (Tetra Tech EMI) to host an information fair to recruit new RAB  
26 members. The Environmental 101 class would then provide in-depth information to existing and  
27 new RAB members who are committed to RAB participation. Incumbent RAB members could  
28 also take the course, but it would be best to conduct the course for all members – present and  
29 future—in a single day-long course.

30 **Carry-over Item Number 2:** Ms. Hunter to circulate announcements for the upcoming MBCO  
31 Subcommittee, Technical Subcommittee, and Citizen's Advisory Committee (CAC) Meetings.  
32 This action item was completed and will be removed from the table.

### 33 Navy Announcements

34 Mr. Forman stated that he enjoyed attending the CAC, which is a parallel organization hosted by  
35 the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency (SFRA) to the RAB. Ms. Bushnell and Keith Tisdell,  
36 RAB member, attended and Mr. Forman thanked Mr. Tisdell for his help with the HPS  
37 presentation.

38 Mr. Forman said that he and Mr. Brooks as well as Charles DePew, Navy Contracting Manager,  
39 had a good meeting with Mr. Morrison today to discuss the Economic Development  
40 Subcommittee. Mr. Morrison stated that he would provide an update during the subcommittee  
41 reports.

1 **Community Co-Chair Report**

2 Ms. Bushnell said that she attended the CAC meeting, and let them know that there is a seat on  
3 the HPS RAB for a CAC representative. New RAB members were also actively recruited at the  
4 meeting. Ms. Bushnell requested that the CAC determine a representative to begin participating  
5 in the RAB. She stated that Mr. Forman provided a presentation that made it clear the CAC was  
6 thirsting for information on the HPS cleanup program. There was a prolonged discussion on  
7 Parcel A regarding dust control and truck traffic to and from the Shipyard at the CAC meeting.  
8 She added that it was great having Mr. Forman at the CAC meeting and making contacts to work  
9 more closely with the CAC in the future.

10 Mr. Tisdell announced that there is a meeting on Saturday, February 25, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. at  
11 Dago Mary's Restaurant to discuss dust control on Parcel A and truck traffic. Arc Ecology is  
12 hosting the meeting and there will be representatives from Lennar and the City of San Francisco.  
13 Pictures of truck traffic to and from HPS will be on display at the meeting.

14 **Radiological Program Update (Presentation)**

15 Ralph Pearce, Navy RPM, provided an update on the Basewide Radiological Removal Action,  
16 Action Memorandum (AM) revision. The original AM was issued in November 2001 and is the  
17 document that covers all the surveys and the Time-Critical Removal Actions (TCRAs) for the  
18 HPS Radiological Program.

19 Mr. Pearce explained that the AM is being revised because the Historical Radiological  
20 Assessment (HRA) was finalized in August 2004. The HRA identified additional sites to be  
21 included in the basewide radiological program including the sanitary sewer and storm drain  
22 systems. In addition, the HRA updated cleanup standards to current standards and included a  
23 comprehensive list of regulatory requirements. The AM also provides a summary of actions  
24 taken to date, including the TCRA at Parcel E that occurred over summer 2005.

25 Mr. Pearce covered the schedule for the revised AM. It was sent to the regulators for review on  
26 February 15, 2006, with comments due on March 17, 2006. A copy will be available at the San  
27 Francisco Main Library and the Anna Waden Bayview Library on February 24, 2006. He added  
28 that the Navy can discuss the AM in depth at a future technical subcommittee meeting if the  
29 RAB is interested in additional information.

30 Laurie Lowman, Navy Radiological Affairs Support Office (RASO), provided a status update on  
31 the radiological activities planned for HPS from March 2006 to March 2007. The presentation  
32 covered the Phase V Reports, Parcel B impacted sites, Building 813 in Parcel D, the sanitary  
33 sewer and storm drain removal, and removal of low-level radioactive waste and mixed waste.  
34 She explained that the AM will allow for continued CERCLA actions in radiologically impacted  
35 sites.

36 Ms. Lowman explained that the Phase 5 surveys were done in 2002 and 2003, prior to the  
37 publication of the HRA, so the historical information is not as comprehensive as the HRA  
38 provides. In addition, the cleanup standards used for Phase 5 were more lenient than current  
39 standards. Several limits have dropped significantly, so the Phase V Reports are being reviewed  
40 to determine if additional actions are necessary.

41 The Parcel B radiologically impacted sites are the primary focus for 2006 because that is the next  
42 parcel scheduled for transfer. Ms. Lowman stated that radiological activities at Parcel B are  
43 based on HRA recommendations, review of the Phase V reports, and site visits. Most actions  
44 will not require a work plan because there is already a Basewide Radiological Work Plan. Task  
45 Specific Plans (TSPs) based on the Basewide Work Plan will be prepared for each site as needed.

1 The activities will be structured to achieve radiological release by the State of California  
2 Department of Health Services (DHS).

3 Ms. Lowman explained that there are two sources of radiological contamination at HPS. The  
4 first source is from work done at the shipyard, and tends to be sealed sources. The second source  
5 is from Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory (NRDL), which tested and evaluated all types of  
6 radiological materials. Additional surveys will be considered at any location used by the NRDL  
7 as there is an increased potential for contamination. Ms. Lowman reviewed the following  
8 radiologically impacted sites:

- 9 • **Building 103** was used by the shipyard as a decontamination center for personnel performing  
10 work on OPERATION CROSSROADS ships. The Phase V survey is being compared to  
11 current survey requirements to determine if any further action is necessary.
- 12 • **Building 113** was used by the NRDL for storage of samples from atomic weapons. Ms.  
13 Lowman explained that the NRDL participated in nuclear weapons tests and collected and  
14 stored samples from the tests. A Phase V survey was performed in 2002 and is being  
15 reviewed to determine if additional survey work is necessary.
- 16 • **Building 113A (sign on building says 114)** is a concrete storage vault enclosed by a metal-  
17 sided building used for storage of radioactive material and waste. A Phase V survey was  
18 performed in 2002 and is being reviewed to determine if any additional survey is necessary.
- 19 • **Building 114** site is the former location of an NRDL building. A scoping survey was  
20 conducted in 2005 and the report is due to RASO on March 3, 2006. Preliminary results  
21 indicate no contamination at the site.
- 22 • **Building 130** is a large building (approx. 27,000 square feet) that was used by the Navy to  
23 store hazardous material from removal actions, including radiological and investigation  
24 derived waste (IDW). A Phase V survey was performed in 2002 and is being reviewed to  
25 determine if additional survey is necessary.
- 26 • **Building 140** was used to pump water from Drydock 3 to the San Francisco Bay through the  
27 Discharge Tunnel. There is a well full of water in Building 140 with pumps located about 50  
28 feet underground, making access to those pumps challenging. No previous radiological  
29 surveys have been conducted at Building 140.
- 30 • **Building 142** is a partially demolished building that was used by NRDL for high level  
31 weapons test sample storage and low background sample counting. No previous radiological  
32 surveys have been conducted at Building 142. A TSP for a scoping survey is being prepared  
33 and the survey should start soon.
- 34 • **Building 146** was used as a radioactive waste storage area and as a site for turning in radio  
35 luminescent devices. A Phase V scoping survey was conducted in 2002 and a  
36 characterization survey was completed in 2005 based on HRA findings. The report is due to  
37 RASO on March 10, 2006. One radium source was found stuck to a door and that door has  
38 been removed and will be disposed of as radioactive waste.
- 39 • **Building 157** was used to house radioactive material used to test welds. The HRA identified  
40 this building as radiologically impacted, so no previous radiological surveys have been  
41 conducted.
- 42 • **Dry Docks 5 and 7** were used during decontamination of OPERATION CROSSROADS  
43 ships. No previous radiological surveys have been conducted at Docks 5 and 7.

- 1 • **Dry Dock 6** was used during decontamination of OPERATION CROSSROADS ships. A  
2 Phase V survey of the drydock was conducted in 2002, but did not include the discharge  
3 system. It will take some creativity to access that discharge system.
- 4 • **Installation Restoration (IR) Sites 7 and 18** are former disposal and fill areas respectively.  
5 There is a potential these areas could have been used for disposal of OPERATIONS  
6 CROSSROADS decontamination materials or radio luminescent devices. There has been  
7 considerable remediation and other activities at Sites 7 and 18, including discovery of some  
8 sandblast grit. No previous dedicated radiological surveys have been conducted at Sites 7  
9 and 18. A work plan will be prepared for survey of these sites and will be submitted to the  
10 regulators for concurrence.
- 11 • **Ships berths and piers** were used for berthing of OPERATION CROSSROADS ships and  
12 all are radiologically impacted. No previous radiological surveys have been conducted. The  
13 survey will start with the Parcel B piers, and the two piers that jut out into the bay will be  
14 removed because they are considered a coast guard hazard.
- 15 • **Parcel B shoreline** is potentially contaminated from OPERATIONS CROSSROADS ships,  
16 storm drain outfalls, and residue from fill areas. No previous radiological survey has been  
17 conducted at the Parcel B shoreline. The water areas are part of Parcel F, and will not be  
18 surveyed during Parcel B activities. The radiological activities will be conducted under a  
19 TSP.
- 20 • **Building 813** was used as a supply warehouse and for offices, and was the location of a  
21 leaking Strontium 90 source. It is in Parcel D, but is being surveyed with the same priority as  
22 the Parcel B activities. No previous radiological surveys have been conducted. Only the first  
23 floor will be surveyed as part of upcoming activities.
- 24 • **Building 819**, which is not included in the presentation handout, was the sewer pump station  
25 for the sanitary sewers and is located in the proximity of Building 813. The survey report has  
26 already been completed and no contamination was found.
- 27 • **Keel blocks** are found throughout HPS and about 2,000 of them were used in the drydocks.  
28 Eight out of 150 keel blocks surveyed to date were found to be contaminated and are being  
29 stored at Building 406 which is known to be contaminated. All sides of the blocks and the  
30 place where they were sitting were surveyed.
- 31 • **The sanitary sewer, storm drains and remnants of the septic system** were all identified as  
32 impacted during the HRA. Removal of all of those systems will be performed parcel by  
33 parcel. There is an estimated 40 linear miles of pipeline, and 40 outfalls to bay associated  
34 with the systems. The pipes are made of various materials including clay, cast iron and  
35 cement and some go down as far as 20 feet below ground surface. To perform a complete  
36 survey, the overburden will be removed from the trenches, spread out and screened for  
37 radiological contamination. In addition, one foot of material around the pipes will be  
38 removed and set aside as potentially contaminated. A final status survey of the trench  
39 surface will be performed and impacted material will be chased to ensure complete removal.  
40 Backfill will not be performed until the trenches are radiologically cleared and only clean fill  
41 will be used.
- 42 **Parcel B** will be the first parcel addressed and there is a parcel-specific work plan out for  
43 review with comments due on March 17, 2006. Parcel B contains about 5 miles of pipeline,  
44 and the removal is expected to take about five months. Mobilization is scheduled for mid  
45 March 2006, with removal activities scheduled to begin on April 3, 2006. Efforts will be

1 made to keep streets accessible, and swales will be placed to keep the storm drain system  
2 operational. Parcel D, which has about 15 miles of pipeline, will be the second parcel to  
3 have the systems removed. A parcel specific work plan is currently being prepared for Parcel  
4 D, and the schedule will depend on progress at Parcel B.

5 Ms. Lowman stated that Buildings 406 and 211, H Street in Parcel E, and the former salvage  
6 yard are being used to store low-level radioactive waste (LLRW). Radiological material is also  
7 being shipped out of the TCRA areas, and all waste is being chemically and radiologically  
8 characterized to determine where it can be shipped. She added that California has passed a law  
9 prohibiting any radiological disposal in state. Radiological waste is being shipped either in 55  
10 gallon drums or 20-cubic-yard roll offs with most waste shipped in the roll-offs. The containers  
11 are lined and sealant is placed around the metals lids before shipment. There will not be tarps on  
12 these containers since they are completely sealed. Shipment is being handled by Department of  
13 Defense certified radioactive waste brokers. Waste is shipped as soon as lab data is available  
14 and a disposal site is identified, so shipments are leaving HPS all the time.

15 Ms. Lowman explained that there were several questions regarding reuse of the drydocks, piers  
16 and berths, and that is undecided as all are considered radiologically impacted. There were also  
17 questions about disposal sites. Waste is being shipped to a limited number of sites, and no waste  
18 is being shipped to Mobile, Alabama, New Orleans, or Mississippi.

19 Melita Rines, RAB member, asked the names of the companies accepting HPS waste. Ms.  
20 Lowman responded that EnviroCare in Clive, Utah is accepting waste, and it has actually merged  
21 with another company, and she can't remember the name of the new company at the moment.  
22 U.S. Ecology in Idaho is also accepting HPS waste.

23 Ms. Rines asked what is a swale. Chuck Holman, Tetra Tech EC, replied that a swale is a gently  
24 sloped drainage channel that is naturally gravity fed to the bay.

25 Joel A. McClure, Bayview-Hunters Point Resident, asked whether material that is removed from  
26 the trenches, is being screened and then put back into the trenches. Ms. Lowman replied that  
27 material that is screened and found to be clean will be used to backfill the trenches. Ms.  
28 Lowman added that aerial surveys would not be performed for IR Sites 7 and 18.

29 Robert Van Houten, Bayview-Hunters Point Resident, asked if waste material is shipped during  
30 the day or in the evening when fewer people are around. Ms. Lowman replied that waste  
31 shipments are made during the day between 7:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Mr. Van Houten stated that  
32 there are empty trucks coming onto HPS at 5:00 a.m., and it would be preferable to have them  
33 come in later in the morning. Since they are empty they make a lot of noise rattling over the  
34 broken pavement and that tends to wake him up.

35 Ms. Bushnell asked when the RAB would start seeing reports on all the radiological activities.  
36 Ms. Lowman responded that the sanitary sewer and storm drain removal is scheduled to start on  
37 April 3, 2006, and ongoing progress reports will most likely be provided as contamination is  
38 found and removed.

39 JV McCarthy, U.S. Army Reserve (retired), stated that he attended the last CAC meeting  
40 regarding the shipyard. He said he was amazed that no entity on HPS or involved with the  
41 shipyard's closure and cleanup has control over the traffic in and out of the base. He explained  
42 that he has some military background and some of the issues relate military security or control and  
43 tracking for the entire shipyard. All activities inside the gate should be controlled in a  
44 centralized fashion. Mr. Forman responded that the military presence at HPS is minimal. The  
45 current setup has a guard shack at Innes Avenue with guards that work for SFRA. HPS is far  
46 along in the process of transferring parts of the shipyard to the City of San Francisco for

1 redevelopment. There are also about 30 to 40 tenants on the shipyard who have leases with  
2 SFRA. Some of the tenants on the shipyard have been leasing property for decades, and the Navy  
3 does not track their movements. The caretakers for HPS are located at Treasure Island, and there  
4 is a Resident Officer in Charge of Construction (ROICC), Peter Stronganoff, Navy, who acts as  
5 the eyes and ears of the Navy ensuring that fieldwork is completed. In addition, the entire Navy  
6 Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) team works with the contractors performing fieldwork at  
7 HPS. The Navy contractors are required to track their movements and fieldwork activities at the  
8 shipyard. Mr. McCarty asked if there is any permitting process for accessing HPS. Mr. Forman  
9 stated that there is no official permitting process required for entrance to the base. Mr. Hanif  
10 stated that actually there is a SFRA trailer located in the Dago Mary's parking lot that will issue  
11 permits to access the base. The guards should require an identification card from SFRA to access  
12 the base but this restriction is currently not being implemented.

13 Ms. Lowman added that any area with known radiological contamination is secured with  
14 warning signs posted. Radiation portal monitors also are required to inspect all trucks hauling  
15 waste off the shipyard to determine if there is any residual radiological contamination on the  
16 trucks. This monitoring system has been implemented to ensure nothing with radiological  
17 contamination is leaving the shipyard. The HPS radiological program meets or exceeds every  
18 Department of Transportation requirement with containers lined and sealed before leaving the  
19 shipyard.

20 Mr. Hanif asked if there are any devices or engineering controls that will be installed to prevent  
21 cross-contamination as the sanitary sewer and storm drain lines are removed. Ms. Lowman  
22 replied that each section will be plugged off to prevent residual contamination from moving  
23 either direction and to prevent contamination of any non-impacted buildings.

#### 24 **Subcommittee Reports**

##### 25 **MBCO Subcommittee**

26 Ms. Bushnell indicated that she is substituting for Mr. Tisdell this evening to provide a MBCO  
27 Subcommittee report. She stated that the MBCO Subcommittee discussed community outreach  
28 and recruitment to ensure multi-ethnic diversity on the HPS RAB. At the MBCO meeting, Ms.  
29 Hunter proposed hosting a less structured event (mentioned earlier as an information fair) – a  
30 café with tables where people could meet in small groups to talk about the HPS program. The  
31 event would be held twice in one week (one week night and one Saturday) to reach out to the  
32 most community members. These events are still in the planning stages, so no dates have been  
33 set.

34  
35 Ms. Bushnell shared that there has been a new RAB applicant who will be coming to the next  
36 MBCO Subcommittee meeting to be interviewed. If the applicant is approved by the MBCO  
37 Subcommittee, they will be presented to the RAB for approval at the March 23, 2006 meeting.  
38 The next MBCO Subcommittee meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, March 15, 2006 from 6:00  
39 to 8:00 p.m. at the Anna Waden Bayview Library.

##### 40 **Technical Review Subcommittee**

41 Ms. Bushnell stated that the Technical Review Subcommittee continued to review groundwater  
42 reports. She added that groundwater may not be visible but what is dissolved in it can be  
43 harmful. Ms. Bushnell stated that it is important for the RAB to understand that groundwater  
44 concerns are being addressed by the Navy and the regulators who are working to help the  
45 community. Ms. Bushnell encouraged the RAB member to become involved in attending the  
46 Technical Review Subcommittee meetings. The next Technical Review Subcommittee meeting  
47 is scheduled for Wednesday, February 29, 2006 from 6:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the Anna Waden

1 Bayview Library. The Technical Review Subcommittee will review groundwater sewer removal  
2 actions.

### 3 **Economic Development Subcommittee**

4 Mr. Morrison stated that his objective for the meeting earlier today with Mr. Forman, Mr.  
5 Brooks, and Mr. Depew of the Navy, was to get a good assessment of what the economic issues  
6 are and how to increase community participation in the cleanup of HPS. . Mr. Morrison  
7 explained that the Bayview-Hunters Point community has been getting a small slice of the  
8 financial activity at HPS. The Economic Development Subcommittee is going to address ways  
9 for the Bayview-Hunters Point community to get a much larger share of the cleanup activity at  
10 HPS. Mr. Morrison stated that community has to start holding Tetra Tech accountable for  
11 training and hiring employees and subcontractors who are part of the community. There is a  
12 need to examine how more community members can profit from the HPS infrastructure, receive  
13 training, resources, and money. He said that his plan is to document all Economic Development  
14 Subcommittee actions in agendas and meeting minutes. The Economic Development  
15 Subcommittee wants to bring more of the Bayview-Hunters Point community members and  
16 businesses into the cleanup. Mr. Morrison stated that an honest and thorough search to find  
17 qualified individuals from this community is necessary. Mr. Forman stated that he should clarify  
18 that the Navy is responsible for their choice of contractors. Tetra Tech is one of the prime  
19 contractors at HPS. The Navy is the one who should be held accountable with the Bayview-  
20 Hunters Point community, not individual contractors hired by the Navy.

21

22 The next Economic Development Subcommittee meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, March  
23 22, 2006 at 5:00 p.m., at the Bayview Police Station Community Room.

### 24 **RAB Comment Period**

25 Mr. Hanif announced that in the next 3 ½ months, Young Community Developers (YCD) will  
26 have a website with a Bayview-Hunters Point community events calendar that the RAB can  
27 place announcements on. Ms. Bushnell added that she would like to encourage YCD members  
28 to come to the HPS RAB meetings.

29 Mr. Morrison asked if EPA can provide a status update on the Technical Assistance Grant  
30 (TAG). He stated that he is interested in having the TAG re-bid so it is given to a more qualified  
31 company who is can produce results and is interested in the Bayview-Hunters Point community. .  
32 Jacqueline Ann Lane, EPA, explained that she has to go through all the records on the HPS TAG  
33 and provide a report to the EPA. She added that she has not received a letter that Mr. Morrison  
34 was going to send asking for an evaluation of the TAG.

35 Rodney Hampton Jr., RAB member, announced that he is running for County Supervisor for  
36 District 10 representing Bayview-Hunters Point, Sunnydale, and Potrero Hill communities. He  
37 stated he will be a hands-on supervisor who will represent the community to San Francisco  
38 County. The community views are compelling and need to be included in the overall growth for  
39 Bayview-Hunters Point. Since there is major development occurring in the Bayview-Hunters  
40 Point community Mr. Hampton wants to make sure that everyone is happy with the end result.

### 41 **Comments on Making the HPS RAB Meetings More Productive**

42 Ms. Pendergrass explained that she wanted to complete the second part of the exercise that was  
43 started at the January 2006 RAB meeting. She had asked everyone to reflect on why they are  
44 RAB members and the benefits of being a RAB member. There were quite an array of  
45 comments and also a lot of common threads through those comments.

1 Ms. Pendergrass asked a follow up question to think of how the group can make each two-hour  
2 RAB meeting more productive, effective, and relevant to the community.

3 Tom Lanphar, DTSC, asked if the RAB would like to hear more regarding the regulators views  
4 and responsibilities on the HPS program. Ms. Pendergrass asked if in the capacity as a regulator,  
5 there is more information that can be provided to the RAB attendees for a better understanding of  
6 the efforts to cleanup HPS. Mr. Lanphar explained that the regulators have views, look at issues  
7 carefully, and work hard to address those issues. The regulators were agreeable to providing  
8 more information or presentations to the RAB.

9 Michael Work, EPA, said that he's really hopeful that the MBCO will increase the ranks of  
10 community members on the HPS RAB. A big part of EPA's objective is to get information out  
11 to the community and get information to the EPA from the community, so he would like to see  
12 more community members on the RAB.

13 Jim Ponton, Water Board, stated that what Mr. Lanphar and Mr. Work shared are good ideas. He  
14 explained that the Water Board's mission is to protect water quality. He suggested having the  
15 RAB meetings a little later to get better attendance, as there are often RAB members getting to  
16 the meeting a little late.

17 Mr. Morrison said that he thinks the HPS RAB membership would improve once the focus is on  
18 accountability, transparency, and a product that is beneficial to the community's economy.  
19 Otherwise, there is no need to participate. The word has not gotten out to the Bayview-Hunters  
20 Point Community that the RAB meetings have become more productive. The goal is to cleanup  
21 the shipyard, but it needs to be done in a way that the community appreciates. Mr. Morrison  
22 stated that millions of dollars have been spent with environmental groups to talk about HPS and  
23 the RAB and information is not getting out to the community.

24 Mr. Hampton Jr. stated that there are many methods to utilize for community outreach to  
25 encourage participation and recruit volunteers to join the HPS RAB. There are media channels,  
26 like Channel 29 and KPOO radio that actually target this community. He explained that his  
27 biggest issue with the Bayview-Hunters Point district is the inclusion of residents. The  
28 community has not been receptive to the literature provided on HPS, so the RAB needs to be  
29 more accountable for directly communicating with the smaller neighborhoods. Door-to-door  
30 direct conversation with the RAB members to the community would have an effect on RAB  
31 meeting participation.

32 Ms. Bushnell suggested that everyone on the RAB could bring a friend to the meetings. She  
33 added that she would not want to put the regulators on the spot every month, so possibly have  
34 one agency a month provide an update on activities. Advertising is a good idea, too, and the  
35 Bayview Police Department has a weekly newsletter that could possibly publish notices for the  
36 HPS RAB meetings.

37 Mr. Forman explained that the biggest challenge for improving the HPS RAB is making the  
38 cleanup presentations and discussions interesting and understandable for the average person.  
39 This is a continuing challenge and the Navy is always looking for input to make presentations  
40 more community-friendly.

41 Patricia Brown, RAB member, stated that having more RAB members would improve the  
42 meetings.

43 Mr. Hanif stated that there are a number of issues that could change to improve the HPS RAB  
44 meetings. One is providing a better understanding of acronyms and highly technical concepts.  
45 Another issue is helping community members see how the HPS cleanup program affects them.

1 It's important to make the benefits clear or they will not come to the meetings. HPS RAB  
2 participation is not what the community sees as necessary to survive or get ahead in their daily  
3 life which is an issue.

4 Mr. Dacus said that the one thing he would like to see continue is the staying on track with the  
5 agenda as has happened the last two RAB meetings. In addition, there has not been infighting  
6 amongst the RAB members recently, which drove away many previous members. The RAB  
7 should look into contacting previous members to let them know that the RAB meeting  
8 atmosphere has changed and encourage them to come back.

9  
10 Ms. Rines stated that the RAB has reached a point where meetings are a lot calmer and more  
11 business is conducted. It is still going to be hard to get the community interested, however,  
12 because even though it is important information, it is dense, boring subject matter. It's important  
13 to talk up the RAB to community members, but they will not come if they don't want to. She  
14 recommended working with what we have, so even with a small RAB membership the job will  
15 get done.

16 Mr. Morrison asked if it's possible to have the regulators give five to ten minute presentations on  
17 what they actually do. Ms. Pendergrass responded that at some RAB meetings, the regulators  
18 could provide presentations on their role and responsibilities in the cleanup of HPS. She added  
19 that as a resident, she does not always know what is being done with her tax money and would  
20 like more insight on the regulatory agencies. It would therefore be beneficial to have a  
21 presentation from the regulators every other month.

22  
23 Ms. Pendergrass thanked everyone for their comments, and suggested that the RAB take these  
24 things to heart and move forward to a more productive year. She stated that the Point Molate  
25 RAB in Richmond, California has been dissolved and that entire base has been turned over to the  
26 community, and the HPS RAB will reach an end at some point in the future. She commented  
27 that the RAB members will be the people that come to mind when there is a playground, a house,  
28 beautiful scenery, the bay trail, in the future.

29 Ms. Pendergrass adjourned the meeting at 7:59 p.m.

30 **Reminder: The next RAB meeting will be held from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m., Thursday,**  
31 **March 23, 2006, at the Southeast Community Commission Facility, Alex Pitcher Jr. Room,**  
32 **1800 Oakdale Avenue, San Francisco, California 94124.**

**ATTACHMENT A**  
**23 February 2006 - RAB MEETING**  
**LIST OF ATTENDEES**

Name	Association
1. Brian Baltimore	Young Community Developers (YCD)
2. Patricia Brown	Shipyard Artist
3. Sharae Brown	YCD
4. Barbara Bushnell	RAB Co-chair, Resident of the Southeast Sector (ROSES)
5. Charles Dacus	RAB member, ROSES
6. Daryl DeLong	Tetra Tech EC
7. Rodney Hampton, Jr.	YCD
8. Keith Forman	Navy RAB Co-chair
9. Marc Ellen Hamel	Shipyard Artist
10. Chris Hanif	RAB member, YCD
11. Chuck Holman	Tetra Tech EC
12. Antoinette Hughes	Bayview Resident
13. Carolyn Hunter	Tetra Tech EMI
14. Michael Jocbovitz	MACTEC
15. Melanie Kito	Navy RPM
16. Jaqueline Ann Lane	U.S. EPA Region IX
17. Tom Lanphar	California Department of Toxic Substances Control
18. Laurie Lowman	Navy Radiological Affairs Support Office (RASO)
19. J. V. McCarthy	U.S. Air Reserves (Retired) and Bayview Resident
20. Joel McClure	W.J Robinson and Associates Inc.
21. James Morrison	RAB member, ROSES
22. Christine M. Niccoli	Niccoli Reporting, court reporter
23. Ralph Pearce	Navy RPM
24. Marsha Pendergrass	Pendergrass & Associates
25. Jim Ponton	San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board
26. Melita Rines	RAB member, India Basin Neighborhood Association
27. Dennis Robinson	Shaw Environmental
28. Matt Slack	Navy RASO
29. Gerard Slattery	Tetra Tech EC
30. Peter Stroganoff	Navy, Resident Officer in Charge of Construction (ROICC) Office
31. Keith Tisdell	RAB member, Resident
32. Robert Van Houten	Bayview Resident
33. Julia Vetromile	Tetra Tech EMI
34. Mark Walden	Navy RPM
35. Angela Williams	Barajas & Associates
36. Michael Work	U.S. EPA Region IX

**ATTACHMENT B  
23 FEBRUARY 2006 – RAB MEETING  
ACTION ITEMS**

Item No.	Action Item	Person Authoring the Action Item	Due Date	Person/Agency Committing to Action Item	Resolution Status
<b>Carry-Over Items</b>					
1.	The Navy will provide a list of all businesses in the Bayview Community that have been retained by the Navy Contractors	James Morrison RAB member	1/26/06	Pat Brooks Navy Lead Remedial Project Manager	An extension was requested at the 2/23/06 RAB meeting. The new deadline is 3/23/06
2.	The Navy will schedule a RAB field trip in May 2006 to visit the Parcel C Treatability Study Site.	Keith Forman Navy RAB Co-chair	2/23/06	Mr. Forman	This action item will be revisited at the 4/27/06 RAB Meeting
3.	Chris Hanif, Young Community Developers, to meet with James Morrison (RAB member), and Jesse Mason (RAB member) to debrief on the Economic Subcommittee and schedule the next meeting.	Mr. Hanif	2/23/06	Mr. Hanif	This action item was completed
4.	The Navy will provide the RAB, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Toxic Substances Control, and San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board a list of the HPS Priority Projects in 2006 (including projects that overlap into 2007).	Ray Tompkins RAB member	2/23/06	Mr. Forman	This action item was completed
5.	The Navy will schedule a HPS Environmental 101 class on a Saturday in April 2006 once at least 3 new community members join the RAB.	Mr. Forman	2/23/06	Mr. Forman	This action item will be tabled until there are at least 3 new RAB members
6.	Carolyn Hunter, Tetra Tech EMI, to circulate announcements for the upcoming Membership Bylaws and Community Outreach Subcommittee, Technical Subcommittee, and Citizen's Advisory Committee Meetings.	Marsha. Pendergrass Facilitator	ASAP	Ms. Hunter	This action item was completed on 2/1/06

Item No.	Action Item	Person Authoring the Action Item	Due Date	Person/Agency Committing to Action Item	Resolution Status
<b>New Action Items</b>					
1.	The RAB will review and approve the January 27, 2006 meeting minutes at the March 23, 2006 meeting.	Barbara Bushnell Community RAB Co-chair	3/23/06	RAB Members	
2.	The regulatory agencies will provide updates to the RAB on their roles and responsibilities in the HPS cleanup process.	Marsha Pendergrass	3/23/06	Regulatory Agencies	

June 5, 2006

Diane Silva  
SWDIV Records Manager  
Facilities Engineering Command  
1220 Pacific Highway  
San Diego, CA 92132

Subject: Hunters Point Shipyard Information Repository/Administrative Record Submittals

Dear Ms. Silva,

Enclosed are three copies of the following documents for submittal to the Hunters Point Shipyard Information Repository/Administrative Record:

- Final January 26, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final February 23, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final February 23, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Transcript
- Final March 23, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final March 23, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Transcript
- Final April 27, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final April 27, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Transcript

Please feel free to contact me or Angela Williams (Community Relations Specialist [619-338-0798, ext. 12]) if you have any questions.

Thank you,



Saravanan (Eli) Vedagiri, P.E.  
Program Manager  
Barajas and Associates, Inc.  
Phone: (619) 338-0798, ext. 11  
Fax: (619) 338-0617  
E-mail: eliv@barajas.cc