

**HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD**  
**RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING MINUTES**  
**23 August 2007**

These minutes summarize the discussions and presentations from the Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) meeting held from 6:00 to 8:00 p.m. Thursday, August 23, 2007, in the Alex L. Pitcher, Jr. Room at the Southeast Community Facility. A verbatim transcript was also prepared for the meeting and is available in the information repository for Hunters Point Shipyard (HPS) and on the Internet at <http://www.bracpmo.navy.mil/bracbases/california/hps/default.aspx>. The list of agenda topics is provided below. Attachment A provides a list of attendees. Attachment B includes action items that were requested or committed to by RAB members during the meeting.

**AGENDA TOPICS:**

- (1) Welcome/Introductions/Agenda Review
- (2) Approval of Meeting Minutes from the July 26, 2007 RAB Meeting
- (3) Navy Announcements
- (4) Community Co-Chair Report/Other Announcements
- (5) Overview of the Parcel E Remedial Investigation (RI) Report
- (6) Technical Assistance Grant (TAG) Update
- (7) Subcommittee Reports
- (8) Community Comment Period
- (9) Adjournment

**MEETING HANDOUTS:**

- Agenda for August 23, 2007, RAB Meeting
- Meeting Minutes from the July 26, 2007 RAB Meeting
- Navy Monthly Progress Report, August 23, 2007
- Understanding Radioactivity in Soil at Hunters Point Shipyard
- Power Point Presentation, Parcel E Revised Remedial Investigation (RI) Draft Report
- Understanding Radioactivity in Soil at Hunters Point Shipyard
- Membership, Bylaws, and Community Outreach (MBCO) Subcommittee Meeting Minutes from August 16, 2007

**Welcome/Introductions/Agenda Review**

Marsha Pendergrass, facilitator, called the meeting to order at 6:03 p.m. Ms. Pendergrass welcomed everyone to the meeting. All attendees introduced themselves and the organization they represent. She confirmed that there was a quorum of community RAB members present to conduct business at the meeting.

Erich Simon introduced himself as the new San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board) representative for HPS and said he is looking forward to meeting everyone. Jim Ponton, Water Board, stated that he has appreciated working with everyone at HPS and he is looking forward to Mr. Simon joining the HPS team.

1 **Approval of Minutes from the July 26, 2007 RAB Meeting**

2 Ms. Pendergrass said that approval of the minutes is needed for the RAB meeting on July 26,  
3 2007. The RAB meeting minutes were approved as written with one abstention and were  
4 accepted into the record.

5 Ms. Pendergrass addressed the status of the action items:

6 **Carry-over Item Number 1:** Michael Cohen, San Francisco Redevelopment Agency (SFRA),  
7 to provide the RAB with the Conceptual Framework document for the combined project for  
8 Candlestick Point and HPS. Amy Brownell, San Francisco Department of Public Health, stated  
9 that all the Conceptual Framework documents for HPS are available on the Lennar website for  
10 HPS at [www.hunterspointshipyard.com](http://www.hunterspointshipyard.com). She indicated that anyone who would like a hardcopy  
11 of the documents can email her and she will forward those documents as an attachment. Ms.  
12 Pendergrass requested that the website address be provided to Carolyn Hunter, Tetra Tech EMI,  
13 for distribution to the HPS RAB. This action item was completed and will be removed from the  
14 table.

15 **Carry-over Item Number 2:** The HPS RAB will comment on the draft Parcel E-2 Remedial  
16 Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) that the RAB cannot provide significant comments based  
17 on the inadequacy of information since the Radiological Addendum has not yet been submitted.  
18 Sudeep Rao, RAB member, said that he planned to send a link to Leon Muhammad, Community  
19 RAB Co-Chair, but was unable to find the website link for submitting comments to the Navy.  
20 Keith Forman, HPS Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Environmental Coordinator (BEC),  
21 explained that there is no Navy website link for submitting comments. There are many ways to  
22 submit comments to the Navy, however, including emailing comments to him  
23 ([keith.s.forman@navy.mil](mailto:keith.s.forman@navy.mil)), to Ms. Hunter ([carolyn.hunter@ttemi.com](mailto:carolyn.hunter@ttemi.com)), or to Melanie Kito,  
24 Navy Lead Remedial Project Manager (LRPM), ([melanie.kito@navy.mil](mailto:melanie.kito@navy.mil)). Mr. Rao indicated  
25 that he would forward the RAB comment on the Parcel E-2 RI/FS to the Navy via email. This  
26 action item will be carried over until September 2007.

27 **Carry-over Item Number 3:** The RAB will contact the San Francisco Police Chief via  
28 telephone and request a response letter to be drafted to the RAB on their speeding concerns on  
29 and around HPS. Barbara Bushnell, RAB member, indicated that she placed a phone call to the  
30 police department in July 2007 and was told the department had sent a response letter to the  
31 Navy Commander in charge of real estate. When she followed up with the police department  
32 again in August 2007, the department was apologetic that the letter had not been received by the  
33 Navy, and was supposed to follow up with a second letter. She has received no further response  
34 from the police department, but she had no difficulties contacting the police chief's office.

35 Mr. Forman indicated that he has heard of no one at the Navy receiving this letter, and there is no  
36 "Navy Commander in charge of real estate." He requested a meeting with Ms. Brownell to  
37 confirm that the police department did send a letter to the Navy as he finds it difficult to believe  
38 they would not have copied many other interested parties. He and Ms. Brownell will then report  
39 back to the RAB on the status of the response. This action item will be carried over until  
40 September 2007.

41 **Carry-over Item Number 4:** The RAB will draft a follow-up letter to the San Francisco  
42 Mayors Office, the Police Chief, and the Police Department based at HPS requesting a written  
43 response to the letter submitted in March 2007. Ms. Bushnell indicated that she offered to call  
44 the police department but did not volunteer to draft a letter. Keith Tisdell, RAB member, stated  
45 that he authored the original letter the RAB sent to the police department when he was RAB

1 Community Co-chair, so the follow up letter would be handled by the current Co-Chair. Ms.  
2 Pendergrass requested that Mr. Tisdell and Mr. Muhammad work together to draft the follow up  
3 letter from the RAB. This action item will be carried over until September 2007.

4 **New Action Item Number 1:** Community RAB Members will provide contact information they  
5 are okay with the rest of the RAB members having to Ms. Hunter. A list of all approved contact  
6 information for RAB members will be distributed at the August 2007 meeting. Ms. Hunter  
7 stated that the contact list was submitted to the Navy, regulators, and RAB members today. She  
8 is still in the process of getting information from a few RAB members she has been unable to  
9 reach, so those entries were left blank on the current contact list. This action item was completed  
10 and will be removed from the table.

11 **New Action Item Number 2:** Provide an understandable correlation for picocuries per gram  
12 radiation level such as the level from a luminous watch face. Mr. Forman stated that Laurie  
13 Lowman, Navy Radiological Affairs Support Office (RASO), outdid herself providing a handout  
14 that is available this evening on the information table. The handout is titled "Understanding  
15 Radioactivity in Soil at Hunters Point Shipyard," and is in a question/answer format. Paragraph  
16 6 in the handout, "How do these dose levels compare with radiation doses in everyday life?"  
17 provides interesting comparable statistics. This action item was completed and will be removed  
18 from the table.

19 **New Action Item Number 3:** Provide the RAB with monthly air monitoring reports for  
20 Radiological Time Critical Removal Action (TCRA) activities. Mr. Forman indicated that he  
21 received the air monitoring data from Bill Dougherty, Tetra Tech ECI, and will forward it to Mr.  
22 Rao. Mr. Rao explained that his intent for this action item was for the entire RAB to receive  
23 monthly air monitoring data. Mr. Forman stated that the monthly data could be posted a website  
24 for access rather than emailing a Community Notification Plan (CNP) message each month.  
25 This action item will be carried over until September 2007.

26 **New Action Item Number 4:** The RAB will review the Monthly Progress Report (MPR) format  
27 and provide the Navy comments for providing additional information. Mr. Forman explained  
28 that the August 2007 MPR available tonight has a new format and it is colorful, straightforward,  
29 and easy to read. It has been streamlined to list current activities and upcoming activities or the  
30 next milestone for each parcel. In some cases, the next milestone for a parcel may be several  
31 years away. Robert Van Houten, RAB member, provided suggestions for a revised format so the  
32 MPR could be scanned quickly to determine the status, upcoming activities, and document  
33 comment periods for each parcel. The special recognition section for the August 2007 MPR  
34 focused on Ms. Hunter.

35 Mr. Forman indicated that this is the first attempt at creating a new improved MPR format. RAB  
36 members can provide additional suggestions for improvements during tonight's RAB meeting, or  
37 can email suggestions to him or Ms. Hunter over the next month. On page 2 of the MPR, there is  
38 a box for current field work that describes fieldwork the Navy is currently conducting at HPS.  
39 At this time, the Navy is in the field conducting radiological sanitary sewer and storm drain  
40 removal for Parcel D. Any basewide activities will be listed after the Parcel F section. The back  
41 cover of the MPR has a two-month calendar listing upcoming meetings, which is an addition,  
42 suggested by several RAB members.

43 Kristine Enea, RAB member, suggested adding public comment period deadlines to the calendar  
44 on the back cover. Mr. Forman noted that public comment periods are listed with the  
45 information for each parcel. The reason for this listing is that public comment periods are  
46 usually 45-days, and are often extended to 60 to 90 days, which is longer than the 2 month period

1 covered by the calendar. Ms. Enea suggested putting the public comment period deadlines on  
2 the back-cover calendar as well when they fall in that two month period. This action item will be  
3 carried over until September 2007 for the RAB to provide additional suggestions for  
4 improvements.

5 Mr. Muhammad stated that at the last Technical Review Subcommittee meeting, there was  
6 mention of Lennar plans for construction at Parcel B. That construction is not listed in the  
7 August 2007 MPR. Mr. Forman clarified that Mr. Muhammad is referring to Lennar plans to  
8 build bioretention ponds at Parcel B. That construction would most likely take place after the  
9 Navy conveys rights to the Parcel B property to the City of San Francisco so that is a future  
10 construction activity.

11 Mr. Muhammad stated that he understands that activities at HPS are divided into Navy activities  
12 and Lennar activities on property that has been conveyed. He suggested that the HPS RAB  
13 consider having RAB members attend the City of San Francisco Citizens Advisory Committee  
14 (CAC) meetings where Lennar activities at former Parcel A are discussed, and request copies of  
15 agendas and minutes for the CAC be provided to the RAB.

#### 16 **Community Co-Chair Report/Other Announcements**

17 Mr. Muhammad asked if Mr. Rao could provide information on a youth program he has  
18 established related to the HPS RAB. Mr. Rao explained that he is pleased to report that in efforts  
19 to better engage the community in southeast San Francisco, the Literacy for Environmental  
20 Justice (LEJ) was successful in obtaining a grant from the California Environmental Protection  
21 Agency (Cal/EPA). The grant's main objective is to engage youth in the community to learn  
22 about the HPS RAB process and assist in communicating information from the RAB back to the  
23 community.

24 Mr. Rao introduced Nancy Abdul-Shakur who is the environmental health and justice manager  
25 for the LEJ. There are also three LEJ youth interns in attendance here tonight and three more  
26 interns who were not able to make it to tonight's meeting. He asked that the HPS RAB members  
27 work to communicate with the LEJ interns to regularly share ideas. He added that he envisions  
28 the LEJ interns participating in a green economy for the community, and learning how the Navy  
29 environmental program at HPS works. This youth program is a commitment LEJ is making as  
30 an organization and as a team. He will be glad to answer questions about the program now or at  
31 the break.

32 Aleta Bryant, RAB member, asked if the LEJ youth program needs HPS RAB member  
33 volunteers, and is there a process in place for the interns to better understand activities at the  
34 RAB meetings. Mr. Rao responded that one of his responsibilities for the interns is to coordinate  
35 orientation meetings to explain the RAB process, the RAB meeting minutes, the Navy  
36 documents, and the people involved in the HPS RAB. The first orientation meeting has already  
37 taken place. The process also involves debriefing sessions after the RAB meetings for the  
38 interns to discuss information and communicate what they learned. The interns will provide  
39 quarterly reports to the HPS RAB on what they have done in the community. Quarterly articles  
40 will also be prepared for publication in the local newspaper so the broader community will know  
41 about the LEJ intern community activities. Interns will also conduct surveys to ensure they are  
42 effectively sharing information with the community and bringing community input back to the  
43 HPS RAB.

44 Mr. Muhammad asked if Mr. Rao would provide the RAB with a one-page summary on the LEJ  
45 youth program, including its objective and goals, funding, plan of action, intern selection

1 process, and connection to the HPS RAB. He added that this program plays a part in  
2 environmental sustainability. The HPS RAB may want to consider outreach to community high  
3 school students to support this program in its first year.

4 Mr. Muhammad stated that the RAB subcommittees have elected new chairs. Raymond  
5 Tompkins, RAB member, is the new chair for the Technical Review subcommittee, and Mr. Van  
6 Houten is the new chair of the MBCO Subcommittee. The Economic Subcommittee still needs  
7 to elect a new chair and that will most likely be addressed at the September 12, 2007 meeting.  
8 He asked that anyone interested in chairing the Economic Subcommittee please contact him. In  
9 electing new subcommittee chairs, the RAB is looking for a change in how the business of the  
10 subcommittees is conducted, to be more honest in policies and practices, and more progressive  
11 and community oriented. Subcommittee business should be conducted so there is no hint of  
12 favoritism or leverage used with the chairs because the subcommittee chairs help represent the  
13 HPS RAB to the local community.

14 Mr. Muhammad explained that he has a report of a notice of violation for Lennar that was issued  
15 on August 17, 2007. A Department of Health inspector observed dust plumes crossing onto  
16 Navy property from Lennar activities with truckers dumping dirt behind Building 808. Mr.  
17 Forman was not informed of this notice of violation until Mr. Muhammad contacted him, and  
18 then he discussed the violation with Amy Brownell earlier today. Mr. Muhammad requested  
19 information from the Department of Health on the policy for informing the Navy when dust  
20 plumes cross over onto Navy property. He also requested a response from the Navy on why this  
21 occurred without proper monitoring in place that would inform the Navy, and the Navy policy  
22 for responding to this type of situation.

23 Ms. Brownell explained that the Department of Health, under Article 31 of the Health Code,  
24 inspects Lennar activities on a regular basis and has been conducting inspections since Lennar's  
25 project began on April 25, 2006. An inspector observed the dust issues on Lennar property  
26 during a regular inspection on August 17, 2007. The trucks, however, were putting excess soil  
27 from grading activities into a soil pile behind Building 808 (which is not on Navy property), so  
28 this was not illegal dumping. Lennar was watering the soil, but it was not enough to prevent a  
29 dust plume.

30 Ms. Brownell stated that the dust control plan for Lennar has very stringent regulations on how  
31 long dust can be present and prohibits dust crossing the property boundary. The inspector  
32 observed that there was not enough watering, and puffs of dust from truck movement along the  
33 property boundary were crossing over onto Navy property. Nothing observed that day is a health  
34 issue. The notice of violation involves work practices and that is why the Department of Health  
35 inspects Lennar activities regularly and issues these notices to correct any dust control issues.  
36 Since there have been previous violations, Lennar activities were shut down for two days for  
37 them to review procedures and issue a response to the violation. This is part of the job the  
38 Department of Health does on a regular basis as part of our regulatory authority. The Navy was  
39 not informed of the violation because it was not a health issue, but a construction oversight issue.  
40 Ms. Brownell offered to set up a protocol to copy the HPS RAB on any future notices of  
41 violation for Lennar activities as soon as those notices are issued.

42 Mr. Muhammad asked if Ms. Brownell could read the August 17, 2007 notice of violation  
43 verbatim to the RAB because it appears that Ms. Brownell may be minimizing the violation. Ms.  
44 Brownell indicated that she could pass the notice around for the RAB members to review. She  
45 does not have enough copies for all the RAB members, but she can email a copy of the violation  
46 or mail copies to the RAB members.

1 Ms. Pendergrass asked if Mr. Muhammad would like to suggest an action item regarding this  
2 notice of violation or if he is looking for a particular outcome from this discussion. Mr.  
3 Muhammad replied that he would like to ensure a protocol is in place for the Navy to be  
4 informed of these types of occurrences, which in turn leads to the HPS RAB being informed.  
5 Ms. Pendergrass clarified that this would be an action item authored by Mr. Muhammad with a  
6 response from Ms. Brownell and Mr. Forman, to provide a written protocol for informing the  
7 Navy of dust control issues that cross over the property boundary. This action item would be  
8 addressed by September 2007.

9 Mr. Muhammad stated that there is misinformation in the local community that the HPS RAB is  
10 associated with Lennar development on former HPS property; that the Navy and Lennar are  
11 working on the same project. He explained that he thinks there needs to be a clear statement on  
12 record from the Navy that the HPS RAB and the Navy is not associated with Lennar, that there  
13 are two different entities. When RAB members hear about HPS in the community, all activities  
14 are referred to as Navy activities. The statement from the Navy could be presented here at the  
15 RAB meeting, at a CAC meeting, or RAB members could hold a town hall meeting for HPS.  
16 Ms. Pendergrass indicated that it is her understanding that RAB members have a responsibility to  
17 communicate clearly back to people in the community. Mr. Muhammad responded that the  
18 message would have more emphasis coming from the Navy.

19 Mr. Forman indicated that he can echo Mr. Muhammad's message here tonight at the RAB  
20 meeting. Community outreach, however, is the RAB members' responsibility, so they will need  
21 to communicate within their circles in the community to address these issues. He has gone to  
22 CAC meetings in the past, but any message he delivers from the Navy has a short shelf life and  
23 new people have not heard the message. Continuity for the community comes from the HPS  
24 RAB members, who can educate and inform the community based on volunteer service on the  
25 RAB, and correct misstatements made by members of the community.

26 Mr. Forman provided a statement to clarify the Navy's position on the HPS program for the  
27 record. The Department of Defense established a system for military facilities based on a  
28 program originated by Congress called "Base Realignment and Closure" (BRAC). Part of the  
29 BRAC program established RABs as a forum for the military, the Navy in the case of HPS, to  
30 inform the community of the environmental cleanup program for a particular military base that  
31 was being closed or realigned. The mission of the RAB is to understand the environmental  
32 cleanup program at the base, ask questions, review documents, and ensure that information and  
33 knowledge is shared with the community. Each RAB member, then, becomes an ambassador to  
34 the community to provide information on the environmental cleanup program for a particular  
35 base.

36 Mr. Forman explained that in the City of San Francisco, there is a parallel body called the  
37 Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC). The CAC has a different mission tied to events that occur  
38 once property is conveyed from the Navy to the City of San Francisco, which is the local reuse  
39 authority (LRA). Congress established the LRAs for bases in the BRAC program, and for HPS  
40 the LRA is the City of San Francisco. When the Navy eventually completes environmental  
41 cleanup, and approval for conveyance has been granted on a parcel-by-parcel basis, property is  
42 then ready for conveyance to the LRA. In most cases, the LRA chooses a master developer to  
43 redevelop the property, and the master developer for HPS is Lennar. This is the process for  
44 bases across the country.

45 Mr. Forman stated that the City of San Francisco also established the San Francisco  
46 Redevelopment Agency, which manages the day-to-day activities for redevelopment of HPS.

1 When property was conveyed to the City, the CAC became the forum to provide information on  
2 redevelopment to the community. The CAC has a separate mission to review plans and provide  
3 community input for redevelopment at HPS. The CAC also acts as a watchdog providing  
4 oversight for redevelopment at HPS and to provide a voice for community concerns as property  
5 is integrated back into the community.

6 Mr. Forman noted that he is unsure if there are still RAB members who regularly attend the CAC  
7 meetings, but three to five years ago there were several RAB members who regularly attended  
8 those meetings. He also attends the CAC meetings whenever he is invited, occasionally  
9 providing a presentation on a particular subject, or just as a member of the audience. In fact, it  
10 was sometimes difficult to recruit for RAB members since the RAB discusses technical  
11 environmental issues that are not glamorous topics. In contrast, the CAC talks about  
12 redeveloping HPS for it to be part of the community again. The most powerful way to support  
13 the HPS RAB at the CAC meetings is to attend the meetings, discuss the HPS program, ask  
14 questions and demand information, and ensure the developer is protective of the environment as  
15 the property is redeveloped. The Navy is required to focus the RAB meetings on what the Navy  
16 is accountable for, the environmental cleanup program at HPS, and the progress being made to  
17 reintegrate this property into the community. Consequently, the RAB and CAC provide different  
18 forums to address community concerns on different issues. Lennar is not associated with  
19 environmental cleanup at HPS and the Navy and RAB are not associated with redevelopment of  
20 HPS property.

#### 21 Navy Announcements

22 Mr. Forman stated that he wants to thank Mr. Ponton for being part of the HPS team, and this  
23 will be his last HPS RAB meeting. He has a great deal of respect for Mr. Ponton, who has  
24 worked hard on the HPS program and is a knowledgeable, action-oriented regulator.

#### 25 Overview of the Parcel E RI Report (Presentation)

26 Mr. Forman explained that Parcel E is the largest land parcel at HPS, and is located between  
27 Parcel D where the proposed forty-niners stadium would be located, and Parcel E-2 where the  
28 landfill is located. Parcel E is approximately 138 acres in size and has a long coastline. The  
29 MPR shows that Parcel E is in the RI phase of the Comprehensive Environmental Response,  
30 Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) process. This is a draft version of the revised  
31 Parcel E RI, so this is the first time the RAB has had a chance to look at this report.

32 Mr. Forman provided an overview of the Parcel E RI presentation. The presentation will review  
33 the purpose and objectives of the RI, a history of Parcel E, and the data evaluation and RI results.  
34 Human health and ecological risk assessments were also performed for the RI and the  
35 presentation will review that data and the risk on different portions of Parcel E. The proposed  
36 preliminary remediation objectives for the RI, summary and conclusions, and recommendations  
37 will also be covered in this presentation.

38 Mr. Forman reviewed the purpose and objectives for the revised Parcel E RI. The revised RI  
39 updates and revises the 1997 draft final Parcel E RI. The Navy has conducted a large number of  
40 investigations and collected data since the 1997 RI to prepare the improved revised RI. The RI  
41 characterizes soil and groundwater based on a conceptual site model (CSM) developed for Parcel  
42 E to better understand how chemicals move and react in the environment. A risk analysis was  
43 conducted for the revised RI based on sampling results and the CSM, to evaluate risk to humans  
44 and animals at Parcel E. The RI utilizes the risk analysis to determine potential areas that require

1 remediation also known as a clean up action. The RI also develops recommendations for  
2 cleanup actions for the next phase in the CERCLA process, the Feasibility Study (FS). It is  
3 important to understand the RI because it contains all the data for Parcel E, and is the foundation  
4 for proposing cleanup actions that need to take place at the parcel.

5 Mr. Forman provided a figure of the CERCLA process. He explained that the Navy has not only  
6 been gathering data for Parcel E, but has also conducted a number of removal actions at the  
7 parcel in the last two years. Removal actions have been conducted for the metal debris reef, the  
8 PCB hot spot, and for an area where radium dials were disposed of.

9 Mr. Forman reviewed the history of Parcel E. It is a large flat area just above sea level that was  
10 created on San Francisco Bay in 1940 using material from the surrounding hillsides, remote  
11 areas, and approximately 500,000 cubic yards of material from dry dock 4. Parcel E is mostly an  
12 artificial fill parcel, with the original shoreline at the north end of the parcel only, near Parcel A.

13 Dane Jensen, Navy RPM, provided aerial photographs from 1942 and 1946 illustrating how  
14 Parcel E was created using material pushed out into the Bay. In a 1965 photograph, there are  
15 Quonset huts, a large industrial warehouse, and old power plants as the parcel is being filled in.  
16 A 1975 photograph shows Parcel E much as it appears today, before it was leased to Triple A in  
17 1976.

18 Mr. Jensen provided a figure showing a cross section for Parcel E. This is similar to Parcels B  
19 and E-2 with fill material from Parcel A that was pushed out into the Bay. There is Bay mud that  
20 washed up onto tidal flats, and the placement of the fill material over the Bay mud created a  
21 shallow groundwater layer that is referred to as Aquifer A. Below the Bay mud is a deeper  
22 aquifer, Aquifer B. Below the deeper aquifer is another layer of Bay mud followed by  
23 Franciscan bedrock.

24 Mr. Jensen reviewed the revised Parcel E RI report organization. The RI is organized into 13  
25 redevelopment blocks that were developed by the SFRA. There are 5 industrial blocks, one  
26 maritime-industrial block, 1 mixed use block, 1 research and development block, and 5 open  
27 space blocks along the shoreline at Parcel E. The mixed use block could potentially have  
28 residential, retail, or other uses.

29 Mr. Jensen explained that the Navy has performed 20 studies at Parcel E over the last 20 years.  
30 The studies have been detailed and focused searches to identify potential sources of  
31 contamination at the parcel. The Navy looked at past historical records of industrial activity and  
32 interviewed people who worked at Parcel E to focus on areas where contaminants were  
33 potentially released. This provided a basis for a biased sampling approach as grid-based  
34 sampling was deemed not practical or effective for Parcel E. There is also groundwater data  
35 from the early 1990s through December 2004 for the Parcel E RI. In the last 3 to 4 years there  
36 has also been a robust basewide groundwater monitoring program with sampling performed  
37 quarterly.

38 Mr. Jensen provided a figure showing all the soil sampling locations from the 20 studies over 20  
39 years. Soil sampling has generally focused on the area from 0 to 10 feet below ground surface  
40 (bgs). A coring is collected and soil samples are generally collected from the top foot and at  
41 various depths depending on where contaminants are potentially located. Sediment samples  
42 were also collected along the shoreline. A figure was provided showing the groundwater  
43 monitoring wells located throughout Parcel E to determine where groundwater contaminant  
44 plumes are located. There are wells that monitor the upper aquifer and separate wells that  
45 monitor the lower aquifer because there are different contaminants and different pathways for

1 contaminants to move through the environment in the upper and lower aquifers. The parcel has  
2 many groundwater monitoring wells monitoring the aquifers for different contaminants.

3 Mr. Jensen explained that the RI evaluated 24 acres of ecological habitat at Parcel E. There is  
4 grassy habitat on the parcel and mostly rodents, and birds that feed on the rodents are found in  
5 the grassy areas. There are also hawks, foxes, raccoons, reptiles, and amphibians found in the  
6 grassy habitat. In addition, there is shoreline habitat consisting of intertidal wetlands, crabs,  
7 clams, and barnacles can be found in the wetlands.

8 Mr. Jensen reviewed the data evaluation for the Parcel E RI. All the data from the soil,  
9 groundwater, and sediment sampling are used in a nature and extent evaluation to determine the  
10 type and extent of chemicals present at the parcel based on past industrial uses. The nature and  
11 extent evaluation also determines which chemicals are likely to be of concern for human health  
12 or the environment. The nature and extent evaluation uses various screening criteria to evaluate  
13 contaminant concentrations from multiple sources at the parcel. There are screening criteria for  
14 soil and groundwater based on reuse plans for the parcel, and Hunters Point ambient levels for  
15 soil, groundwater, and sediment are also used. The nature and extent results indicate that there  
16 are metals (arsenic, copper, and lead), organics (polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs] and volatile  
17 organic compounds [VOCs]), and petroleum products present in soil and groundwater at the  
18 parcel, and there are metals and organics present in sediment.

19 Mr. Jensen reviewed the fate and transport evaluation in the Parcel E RI and provided a figure  
20 showing how the CSM was developed for the parcel. Fate and transport evaluates what could  
21 potentially occur with subsurface contamination that moves through the environment.  
22 Contaminants could leach out of soil into groundwater, groundwater contamination could reach  
23 the Bay, or soil or groundwater contaminants could vaporize into the air.

24 Mr. Jensen explained that receptors, humans and animals, can come into contact with  
25 contaminants at Parcel E so a risk assessment is performed. The risk assessment analyzes the  
26 data using future reuse scenarios including residential, industrial, and recreational. Chemicals in  
27 soil, groundwater, and sediment are identified that pose a risk to human health or the  
28 environment. The risk assessment then identifies areas with elevated risk that will require  
29 cleanup actions. Those areas are carried forward to the FS, where alternatives to cleanup  
30 contamination are developed. The human health risk assessment (HHRA) results indicate that  
31 there is risk from metals (arsenic, copper, and lead) and organics in soil and groundwater. The  
32 baseline ecological risk assessment results (ERA) indicate that there is risk from metals (copper  
33 and lead), organics, and VOCs in soil. The shoreline risk evaluation that was performed as part  
34 of a shoreline study indicates that there is human health risk for a recreational user, and  
35 ecological risk, from metals and organics in shoreline sediment.

36 Mr. Jensen explained that the soil risk assessment for soil was developed on a grid basis that  
37 breaks down the large redevelopment blocks into small grid sections. Figures are generated  
38 showing the soil risk grids for the parcel based on the planned reuse. The groundwater risk  
39 assessment identifies risk plumes with elevated groundwater contaminant concentrations and  
40 generates figures showing the risk plumes. Parcel E has groundwater risk plumes for metals,  
41 PCBs, total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), and VOCs.

42 Mr. Jensen reviewed the preliminary remedial action objectives (RAOs) developed for the Parcel  
43 E RI. The RAOs are specific to soil and groundwater and have to be protective of human health  
44 and the environment. The RAOs take into account future land use and are based on completed or  
45 potentially completed pathways for exposure to contaminants.

1 The preliminary RAOs for soil include:

- 2 • Human: Prevent exposure to chemicals in soil at concentrations above the remediation goals
- 3 based on exposure pathways
- 4 • Ecological: Prevent exposure of birds and mammals to chemicals at concentrations above
- 5 remediation goals in soil in the shoreline and open space areas.

6 The preliminary RAOs for groundwater include:

- 7 • Human
  - 8 - Prevent direct exposure to chemicals of concern in the B-Aquifer through household use
  - 9 of well water.
  - 10 - Prevent breathing in chemicals of concern from vapors coming from groundwater
  - 11 - Prevent breathing in or skin contact with chemicals of concern for construction workers
  - 12 in trenches.
- 13 • Ecological
  - 14 - Prevent or minimize chemicals of concern moving in groundwater towards the Bay.

15 The preliminary RAOs for sediment include:

- 16 • Human
  - 17 - Prevent exposure to chemicals of concern above our risk goals by eating shellfish from
  - 18 the Parcel E shoreline.
- 19 • Ecological
  - 20 - Prevent exposure to chemicals of concern above our risk goals for shellfish, worms,
  - 21 crabs, birds, and mammals.

22 Mr. Jensen reviewed the RI results for Parcel E. The RI characterized the nature and extent of  
23 contaminants in soil, groundwater, and sediment. Human health and ecological risks were  
24 characterized in the RI, and areas with elevated risks were identified. The RI also developed  
25 preliminary cleanup objectives for soil, groundwater, and sediment for the areas with elevated  
26 risks.

27 Mr. Forman explained that the next steps for Parcel E include utilizing the data analysis from the  
28 RI to develop the Parcel E FS. The FS will develop and evaluate cleanup alternatives for the  
29 parcel. The Draft Revised Parcel E RI was issued for review on July 27, 2007 with comments  
30 due back to the Navy by September 12, 2007. The Draft Final Revised RI is scheduled for  
31 submittal on December 6, 2007. The Final Revised RI is scheduled for submittal on February  
32 21, 2008. For those who do not have the time to review the entire RI, the executive summary  
33 provides a short summary of the RI.

34 Ms. Enea asked how long is the executive summary, and is the draft revised Parcel E RI  
35 available in the library. Mr. Jensen replied that the executive summary is approximately 10  
36 pages, and the RI is available in the information repository at the Anna Waden library. Ms. Enea  
37 asked if it would be possible to have copies of the executive summaries for all HPS reports  
38 provided as handouts at the RAB meetings. Mr. Forman indicated that the Navy could provide  
39 those handouts at the RAB meetings depending on the particular length of an executive  
40 summary.

41 Ms. Enea asked if the green risk grids on the figure showing soil risk are areas where there is  
42 little risk. Mr. Jensen said that the green grids are areas where risk is below elevated risk  
43 criteria. The risk areas, however, are intermixed on the redevelopment blocks. A small area

1 with elevated risk within a larger redevelopment block means that a solution is applied to the  
2 entire reuse block.

3 Harrell Powell, resident, asked why the HPS map was revised for Parcel E. Mr. Forman replied  
4 that the map was not revised, but in 2004, the Navy divided Parcel E into Parcels E and E-2 to  
5 deal with the landfill site separately. A line was drawn on the map separating Parcels E and E-2,  
6 but the HPS boundaries have not changed. Mr. Powell noted that the Parcel E boundaries also  
7 appear to have changed. He stated that he would bring an old map to the next RAB meeting to  
8 show where the boundaries have changed.

9 Mike McGowan, RAB member, stated that all the HPS reports are available at the Community  
10 Window on the Shipyard office. Anyone can visit their office and print out copies of the  
11 executive summaries. The address is 4634 Third Street.

12 Mr. Rao stated that he would suggest having a presentation on HPS reports closer to the start of  
13 the public comment period for the report. It is now less than two weeks before comments are  
14 due on the RI. Mr. Forman responded that the Technical Review Subcommittee meeting held a  
15 week ago was devoted to review of the Parcel E RI, so the RI has been reviewed at more than  
16 one meeting. The challenge has been that many major milestone documents for HPS have been  
17 issued over the last six-month period.

## 18 Subcommittee Reports

### 19 **MBCO Subcommittee**

20 Mr. Van Houten indicated that the meeting minutes for the August 16, 2007 MBCO  
21 Subcommittee meeting are available on the information table. The minutes provide an update on  
22 the MBCO Subcommittee. The minutes document the subcommittee's mission, list goals, and  
23 has a chart showing membership percentages. Community Outreach is one of the goals of the  
24 MBCO subcommittee.

25 Mr. Van Houten stated that one of his goals for the near future is to contact each RAB member to  
26 determine how they currently communicate with the community they represent and help them  
27 better communicate with the community. The next MBCO Subcommittee meeting will focus on  
28 adjustments to the HPS RAB Bylaws. He asked RAB members to please read the Bylaws and  
29 email him or Ms. Hunter with any adjustments they feel are necessary. Suggestions for  
30 adjustments can also be provided at the meeting. The adjustments to the Bylaws will be  
31 finalized at the Thursday September 13, 2007 MBCO meeting. The Bylaws will then be  
32 presented at the September 27, 2007 RAB meeting for a final RAB vote.

33 The next MBCO meeting will be held on September 13, 2007 from 6:30 to 8:30 p.m. at the Anna  
34 Waden library.

### 35 **Economic Subcommittee**

36 Mr. Mason stated that he would like to have an Economic Subcommittee meeting in August  
37 2007, but the Navy would not be able to attend. The next meeting will be on September 12,  
38 2007 from 6:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the Anna Waden library. There are some issues to discuss  
39 including the local community obtaining work at the shipyard, and the trucker situation with  
40 payment of invoices. He indicated that his main concern is how the community can get a fair  
41 share of the work with the Navy.

1 **Technical Review Subcommittee**

2 Dr. Tompkins explained that he has not had an opportunity to complete the minutes for the  
3 Technical Review Subcommittee meeting as he has been ill in the hospital and in therapy. The  
4 August 2007 subcommittee meeting was very constructive with the Navy providing an in depth  
5 presentation on the Parcel E RI. The plan is to have further review of the RI at a future meeting.

6 Dr. Tompkins indicated he has prepared a draft agenda for the September 11, 2007 meeting. He  
7 requested that the Stanford research department that is doing observational analysis at Parcel F  
8 attend the next subcommittee meeting. He would like to confirm a new location for the meeting  
9 with Mr. Muhammad so the agenda does not list an address for the meeting. The August 2007  
10 subcommittee meeting had to leave the library before all discussion was complete, so there is a  
11 need for additional time to cover everything. He asked that RAB members contact him if they  
12 would like to provide additional topics for the meeting agenda.

13 Dr. Tompkins stated that an additional topic for consideration at the next meeting is review of  
14 the Parcel E-2 RI/FS, so the RAB can vote on a formal recommendation to the Navy. Ms.  
15 Pendergrass clarified that discussion of a RAB position on the Parcel E-2 RI/FS would be  
16 discussed at the subcommittee meeting and recommendations would be brought to the RAB  
17 meeting for a vote.

18 Dr. Tompkins explained that he had an additional topic for the September 2007 Technical  
19 Review Subcommittee meeting to cover air monitoring issues. He will present Mr. Forman with  
20 a memorandum at the meeting that formally requests date specific air monitoring data. He will  
21 also discuss community concerns with air monitoring exceedances at former Parcel A and dust  
22 blowing onto Navy property. He asked if the air monitoring units in the field have tape readouts  
23 of data and if so, could the Navy please provide those readouts. The subcommittee would like to  
24 do a comparative analysis of the air monitoring data the Navy provides and the data that was  
25 provided to the public.

26 **TAG Update**

27 Dr. Tompkins explained that due to funding, a decision was made that the TAG advisors would  
28 not review the Parcel F FS. Mr. McGowan with Arc Ecology who is a scientist and marine  
29 biologist will take the lead to provide RAB comments on that report.

30 **Community Comment Period**

31 Dr. Tompkins stated that it is important for the Navy to come forth at other community meetings  
32 and state that the Navy is not a part of development activities at former Parcel A. He explained  
33 that he has occasionally ended up in arguments with people in the community who are confused  
34 about Lennar's responsibility for development on former Parcel A. He has also been accused of  
35 being a Navy or Arc Ecology employee. Mr. McGowan added that he is also aware of  
36 community confusion regarding an overlap between the missions for the HPS RAB and the  
37 CAC. He explained that he and other scientists attend the CAC meetings and provide input on  
38 redevelopment, so there are avenues for exchanging information on environmental cleanup and  
39 development.

40 Ms. Enea indicated that she has one suggestion that may meet Dr. Tompkins needs. The Navy  
41 could provide a short written statement on letterhead that the Navy is no longer involved with  
42 activities at former Parcel A, rather than making statements at various community meetings.  
43 That might be sufficient evidence that there is a distinction between Navy environmental cleanup

1 and redevelopment activities. Ms. Pendergrass added that the HPS RAB could also draft a short  
2 informational fact sheet covering the distinction between Navy activities and development on  
3 former Parcel A that could be distributed to the community. Mr. Van Houten responded that he  
4 would draft that fact sheet as part of the MBCO Subcommittee's community outreach mission.

5 John McCarthy, a member of the audience, stated that regarding the distinction between the  
6 Navy and Lennar, the City has fallen short of its duty to clarify that distinction. He is  
7 particularly concerned with the issue of site control. He has been asking questions about site  
8 control at HPS for a year and a half. He thinks the RAB would have a position on site control  
9 that could be provided to the CAC and the City since this is not a trivial issue. If these types of  
10 issues are not addressed, there will be worse issues than dust blowing onto Navy property.

11 Ms. Pendergrass adjourned the meeting at 8:03 p.m.

12 **Reminder: The next RAB meeting will be held from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m., Thursday,**  
13 **September 27, 2007, at the Alex Pitcher Jr. Room, 1800 Oakdale Avenue, San Francisco,**  
14 **California 94124.**

**ATTACHMENT A**  
**23 August 2007- RAB MEETING**  
**LIST OF ATTENDEES**

Name	Association
1. Amir Abdul-Shakur	Literacy for Environmental Justice (LEJ)
2. Nancy Adbul-Shakur	LEJ
3. Ryan Ahlersmeyer	Tetra Tech ECI
4. Brian Baltimore	Resident, Tetra Tech ECI
5. Patricia Brown	RAB member, Shipyard Artist
6. Amy Brownell	San Francisco Department of Public Health
7. Aleta Bryant	RAB member, CAMKAL Trucking
8. Barbara Bushnell	RAB member, Resident of the Southeast Sector (ROSES)
9. Charles Dacus	RAB member, Bayview/Hunters Point Resident
10. Bill Dougherty	Tetra Tech ECI
11. Jolanda Ellenberger	LEJ
12. Kristine Enea	RAB member, ROSES
13. Keith Forman	Navy RAB Co-chair
14. Larry Frias	RAB member, Waste Solutions Group
15. Steve Hall	Tetra Tech EMI
16. Wynne Hasakawa	Shipyard Artist
17. Carolyn Hunter	Tetra Tech EMI
18. Sarah Koppel	Navy Remedial Project Manager (RPM)
19. Dane Jensen	Navy RPM
20. Jackie Ann Lane	U.S. EPA Region IX
21. Tom Lanphar	Department of Toxic Substances Control
22. Mayra Madriz	RAB attendee
23. Jesse Mason	RAB member, resident
24. Lonnie Mason	First Generation
25. John V. McCarthy	Resident
26. Mike McGowan	RAB member, Arc Ecology
27. Gianna Muhammad	RAB attendee
28. Leon Muhammad	RAB member, University of Islam, Center for Self Improvement
29. Max Naver	RAB attendee
30. Christine Niccoli	Niccoli Court Reporting
31. Yohji Ono	Tetra Tech EMI
32. Marsha Paendergrass	Pendergrass & Associates
33. Karen Pierce	RAB attendee
34. Jim Ponton	San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board
35. Harrell Powell	Resident
36. Sudeep Rao	RAB member, LEJ
37. Mark Ripperda	U.S. EPA Region IX
38. John Scott	RAB attendee
39. Connie Shahid	LEJ
40. Gaynorann Siataga	RAB member, All Islanders Gathering as One
41. Erich Simon	San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board
42. Peter Stroganoff	Navy, Resident Officer in Charge of Construction (ROICC) Office
43. Keith Tisdell	RAB member, Resident
44. Raymond Tompkins	RAB member, Community First Coalition
45. Robert Van Houten	RAB member, Morgan Heights Resident
46. Angela Williams	Barajas & Associates

**ATTACHMENT B**  
**23 August 2007 – RAB MEETING**  
**ACTION ITEMS**

Item No.	Action Item	Person Authoring the Action Item	Due Date	Person/Agency Committing to Action Item	Resolution Status
<b>Carry-Over Items</b>					
1.	The HPS RAB will comment on the draft Parcel E-2 RI/FS that the RAB cannot provide significant comments based on the inadequacy of information since the Radiological Addendum has not yet been submitted.	Sudeep Rao, RAB Member	July 2007	Leon Muhammad, RAB Community Co-Chair	This action item was completed on August 27, 2007.
2.	The RAB will draft a follow-up letter to the San Francisco Mayors Office, the Police Chief, and the Police Department based at HPS requesting a written response to the letter submitted in March 2007.	Keith Tisdell, RAB Member	July 2007	Mr. Tisdell and Mr. Muhammad	This action item to be completed during September 2007.
3.	On the BRAC PMO Website, provide the RAB with monthly air monitoring reports from Radiological Time Critical Removal Action activities.	Mr. Rao	September 2007	Bill Dougherty, Tetra Tech ECI	This action item to be completed during September 2007.
4.	The RAB will review the Monthly Progress Report (MPR) format and provide the Navy comments for providing additional information.	Keith Forman, Navy	August 2007	RAB Members	This action item was completed.
<b>New Action Items</b>					
1.	Establish a policy for informing the Navy when dust plumes cross property boundary.	Mr. Muhammad	September 2007	Mr. Forman Amy Brownell Dept. of Public Health	This action was completed at the RAB meeting. The Navy BEC is now on the City's distribution list for notices on Lennar's redevelopment activities (including Notice of Violation [NOVs]).
2.	Prepare statement clarifying the distinction between Navy and RAB activities at HPS, and Lennar and CAC activities with redevelopment and former Parcel A.	Ray Tompkins, RAB member	September 2007	Robert Van Houten, RAB member	This action item to be completed during September 2007.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1

---

**HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD  
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD**

---

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MEETING

AUGUST 23, 2007

City College of San Francisco  
Southeast Campus  
Alex Pitcher, Jr., Community Room  
1800 Oakdale Avenue  
San Francisco, California

Reported by Christine M. Niccoli, RPR, C.S.R. No. 4569

---

*NICCOLI REPORTING*  
619 Pilgrim Drive  
Foster City, CA 94404-1707  
(650) 573-9339

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS SERVING THE BAY AREA

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

3

RAB MEMBERS

PATRICIA BROWN - Shipyard artist

ALETA BRYANT - CamKal Industrial Transport

BARBARA BUSHNELL - Residents of the Southeast Sector  
(R.O.S.E.S.), Silverview Terrace Homeowners  
Association, Bayview-Hunters Point Resident

CHARLES L. DACUS, SR. - Bayview-Hunters Point resident,  
Residents of the Southeast Sector (R.O.S.E.S.)

KRISTINE ENEA - Bayview-Hunters Point resident

LARRY FRIAS - Waste Solutions Group, Bayview-Hunters  
Point resident

JESSE MASON - Community Window on the Shipyard

MIKE MCGOWAN - Arc Ecology

SUDEEP M. RAO - Literacy for Environmental Justice (LEJ)

GAYNORANN SIATAGA - All Islanders Gathering As One  
(A.I.G.A. 1)

KEITH TISDELL - Bayview-Hunters Point resident

RAYMOND J. TOMPKINS - Bayview-Hunters Point Coalition on  
the Environment

ROBERT VAN HOUTEN - Morgan Heights Homeowners  
Association

---oOo---

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

2

PARTICIPANTS

FACILITATOR:  
MARSHA PENDERGRASS - Pendergrass & Associates

CO-CHAIRS:  
KEITH FORMAN - United States Navy  
Project Managers Office (PMO) West  
LEON MUHAMMAD - Center For Self Improvement,  
Muhammad University of Islam

---oOo---

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

4

PANEL OF REGULATORS & U.S. NAVY

AMY D. BROWNELL - San Francisco Department of Public  
Health

DANE JENSEN - United States Navy

SARAH KOPPEL - United States Navy

JACQUELINE ANN LANE - U.S. Environmental Protection  
Agency (EPA)

TOM P. LANPHAR - California Department of Toxic  
Substances Control (DTSC)

JAMES D. PONTON - San Francisco Bay Regional Water  
Quality Control Board

MARK RIPPERDA - U. S. Environmental Protection Agency  
(EPA)

ERICH SIMON - San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality  
Control Board

---oOo---

5

1 AUDIENCE

2

3 AMIR ABDUL-SHAKUR

4 NANCY ABDUL-SHAKUR - Literacy for Environmental Justice

5 (LEJ)

6 RYAN AHLERSMEYER - Tetra Tech ECI

7 BRIAN BALTIMORE - Tetra Tech

8 BILL DOUGHERTY - Tetra Tech ECI

9 JOLANDA ELLENBERGER

10 STEVEN HALL - Tetra Tech EMI

11 WYNNE HAYAKAWA - Shipyard artist

12 CAROLYN HUNTER - Tetra Tech EMI

13 MAYRA MADRIZ

14 LONNIE MASON - First Generation Inc.

15 JOHN V. MCCARTHY - United States Army Reserve (retired)

16 GIANNA MOHAMMAD

17 MAX NAUER

18 YOHJI ONO - Tetra Tech EMI

19 KAREN G. PIERCE

20 HARRELL POWELL - Bayview-Hunters Point resident

21 JOHN SCOTT

22 CONNIE SHAHID - Literacy for Environmental Justice (LEJ)

23 PETER STROGANOFF - United States Navy ROICC Office

24 ANGELA WILLIAMS - Barajas & Associates, Inc. (BAI)

25 --oOo--

6

1 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, AUGUST 23, 2007

2 6:01 P.M.

3 --oOo--

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Let's convene

5 tonight. Welcome, everybody, to the Hunters Point

6 Shipyard Restoration Advisory Board meeting for

7 Thursday, August 23rd, 2007.

8 Anybody in the wrong place? Just checking.

9 Just checking.

10 MR. MASON: How are you?

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: So as I welcome you to this

12 historic 298th meeting -- oh, I'm sorry. Wrong

13 meeting.

14 Oh, I don't.

15 So as I welcome you tonight, let's start with

16 introductions and being mindful -- community people,

17 just to be mindful, though, that we are in a large

18 room. We need to talk loudly so that we will be able to

19 be picked up by the microphone.

20 Identify yourself 'cause this poor,

21 industrious, hard-working court reporter, also known as

22 Christine, is actually trying to capture what we're

23 talking about, and . . . and she tries to identify who's

24 talking.

25 So if we could speak our names unless, you

7

1 know, you know her, you know, she knows your voice or

2 something.

3 So let's start with me. I'm Marsha

4 Pendergrass, and I'm your host for this wonderful

5 meeting, and we're going to start with my right.

6 MR. SIMON: Hello, everyone. I am Erich Simon.

7 I'm the new Water Board project manager for Hunters

8 Point. I'm the water resources control engineer there

9 and looking forward to meeting everybody. And that's

10 it.

11 MR. PONTON: Hi. I'm Jim Ponton. I'm your old

12 Water Board representative for the site and --

13 MR. FORMAN: Emphasis on "old."

14 MR. PONTON: Old, yeah -- and I really look

15 forward to having Erich join the group, and also I

16 really appreciate having worked with all of you. It's

17 been great. Thank you.

18 (Applause.)

19 MR. LANPHAR: Hi. I'm Tom Lanphar, and I'm

20 your still representative for the Department of Toxic

21 Substances Control.

22 MR. VAN HOUTEN: Robert Van Houten, resident,

23 RAB member.

24 MR. RIPPERDA: Mark Ripperda with the U.S. EPA.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: You're going to have to speak

8

1 louder and slower and clearer.

2 MR. RIPPERDA: Mark Ripperda with the U.S. EPA.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

4 MS. BROWN: Patricia Brown, Shipyard artist.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

6 DR. MCGOWAN: Mike McGowan, Arc Ecology.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

8 MS. BRYANT: Aleta Bryant, CamKal Industrial

9 Transport.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you. Welcome.

11 Yes, sir.

12 MR. FORMAN: Keith Forman, your RAB co-chair

13 and the BRAC environmental coordinator.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Welcome tonight.

15 MR. FORMAN: Welcome.

16 MS. KOPPEL: Sarah Koppel, acting Navy lead

17 RPM.

18 MR. JENSEN: Dane Jensen, Navy le- -- Navy

19 RPM. No longer lead.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

21 Yes, ma'am.

22 MS. BUSHNELL: Barbara Bushnell, RAB member,

23 resident.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excellent.

25 MR. FRIAS: Larry Frias, local business.

9

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you, Mr. Frias.  
 2 Mr. Mason.  
 3 MR. MASON: Maybe I'm in the wrong week. Jesse  
 4 Mason, resident.  
 5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.  
 6 MS. BROWNELL: Amy Brownell, Health Department.  
 7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Hello.  
 8 MR. TISDELL: Keith Tisdell, RAB member.  
 9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Well, welcome.  
 10 Look at this, all. You're all here with such  
 11 shiny faces.  
 12 Yes, sir.  
 13 MR. MUHAMMAD: Leon Muhammad, Muhammad  
 14 University of Islam.  
 15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you, sir.  
 16 All right. Well, let's start with our audience  
 17 here.  
 18 MR. STROGANOFF: Peter Stroganoff from the Navy  
 19 ROICC office.  
 20 MS. PENDERGRASS: That is beautiful.  
 21 (Inaudible.)  
 22 MR. MASON: Can't hear you.  
 23 MS. ABDUL-SHAKUR: Nancy Abdul-Shakur, Literacy  
 24 for Environmental Justice.  
 25 MS. PENDERGRASS: So Nancy, how do you spell

10

1 your last name?  
 2 MS. ABDUL-SHAKUR: Abdul, A-b-d-u-l, hyphen,  
 3 Shakur, S-h-a-k-u-r.  
 4 MS. PENDERGRASS: S-h-a . . . ?  
 5 MS. ABDUL-SHAKUR: -k-u-r.  
 6 MS. PENDERGRASS: -k-u-r. Thank you so much.  
 7 MR. ABDUL-SHAKUR: Amir Abdul-Shakur  
 8 [inaudible].  
 9 THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. I can't hear  
 10 you.  
 11 Where is the microphone?  
 12 (Ms. Pendergrass has spoken  
 13 simultaneously.)  
 14 MR. ABDUL-SHAKUR: Amir.  
 15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Amir.  
 16 MR. ABDUL-SHAKUR: Abdul-Shakur.  
 17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Aboul -- Aboul --  
 18 MR. ABDUL-SHAKUR: Abdul.  
 19 MS. PENDERGRASS: (Inaudible.)  
 20 MR. ABDUL-SHAKUR: S-h-a-k-u-r.  
 21 MS. PENDERGRASS: S-h-a-k-u-r. All right.  
 22 Thank you so much [inaudible].  
 23 MS. SHAHID: Connie Shahid from LEJ.  
 24 MS. PENDERGRASS: (Inaudible.)  
 25 MS. SHAHID: Connie.

11

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Connie.  
 2 MS. SHAHID: C-o-n-n-i-e.  
 3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.  
 4 MR. SHAHID: Shahid, S-h-a-h-i-d.  
 5 MS. PENDERGRASS: S-h-a-a-i-d.  
 6 MS. SHAHID: S-h-a-h- . . .  
 7 MS. PENDERGRASS: S-h-a-h-i-d. Thank you so  
 8 much. We want to make sure when we do a Goggle, they  
 9 can find you on this.  
 10 Yes, ma'am.  
 11 MS. ATTENDEE: (Inaudible.)  
 12 THE COURT REPORTER: Can't hear.  
 13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Esther Flej, Literacy  
 14 for Environmental Justice.  
 15 And your first name is?  
 16 MS. MOHAMMAD: Gianna.  
 17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Tianna?  
 18 MS. MOHAMMAD: Gianna.  
 19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Gianna. And the last name  
 20 is?  
 21 MS. MOHAMMAD: Mohammad.  
 22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mohammad. All right.  
 23 Yes, sir.  
 24 MR. NAUER: Max Nauer.  
 25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Matt?

12

1 MR. NAUER: Max.  
 2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Max. And the last name is?  
 3 MR. NAUER: Nauer.  
 4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Say it again.  
 5 MR. NAUER: Nauer.  
 6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Nauer. N-o-w-e-r?  
 7 MR. NAUER: N-a-u-e-r.  
 8 MS. PENDERGRASS: N-a-u . . . ?  
 9 MR. NAUER: -e-r.  
 10 MS. PENDERGRASS: -e-r. Okay.  
 11 Get that?  
 12 THE COURT REPORTER: N-a-u-e-r.  
 13 MS. PENDERGRASS: (Inaudible.) Okay.  
 14 (Inaudible.) Are you representing her?  
 15 MR. ATTENDEE: (Inaudible) . . . RAB meeting.  
 16 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm not hearing this.  
 17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excellent. So glad to have  
 18 you here today. Thank you for coming again. All right,  
 19 then. (Microphone now marginally functioning.)  
 20 MS. HAYAKAWA: My name is Wynne Hayakawa. I am  
 21 a Shipyard artist. H-a-y-a-k-a-w-a.  
 22 MS. PENDERGRASS: And first name is Wynne.  
 23 MR. BALTIMORE: Testing.  
 24 MS. HAYAKAWA: W-y-n-n-e.  
 25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you. Shipyard artist.

13

1 Yes, sir.  
 2 MR. ONO: My name is Yohji Ono.  
 3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yohji. Spell that.  
 4 MR. ONO: Y-o-h-j-i. La- --  
 5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Y-o-h-j-i.  
 6 MR. ONO: Last name is O-n-o.  
 7 MS. PENDERGRASS: O-n-o.  
 8 MR. ONO: I'm with Tetra Tech EMI.  
 9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh, it's Tetra Tech. Thank  
 10 you so much.  
 11 Yes, sir.  
 12 It's not working.  
 13 MR. McCARTHY: Hello. My name is John  
 14 McCarthy. I'm --  
 15 MS. PENDERGRASS: John McCarthy.  
 16 MR. McCARTHY: I'm retired army, and I'm  
 17 participating in the Technical --  
 18 MS. PENDERGRASS: O- --  
 19 MR. McCARTHY: -- Committee.  
 20 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. So retired army  
 21 and a Tech Committee participant. Thank you, sir.  
 22 Thank you for coming tonight.  
 23 Yes, sir -- ma'am. I'm sorry. (Inaudible.)  
 24 Okay.  
 25 MS. ELLENBERGER: Jolanda Ellenberger.

14

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Jolinda?  
 2 MS. ELLENBERGER: Jolanda Ellenberger.  
 3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Jolanda.  
 4 MS. ELLENBERGER: Ellenberger.  
 5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Ellenberger.  
 6 MS. ELLENBERGER: (Inaudible.)  
 7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Independent  
 8 screenwriter from Switzerland. All famous? No. All  
 9 right.  
 10 MS. LANE: Jackie Lane, EPA.  
 11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Jackie Lane, EPA.  
 12 And then we have Mr. Van Houten back there with  
 13 Miss Carolyn . . .  
 14 MS. HUNTER: Hunter --  
 15 MS. PENDERGRASS: . . . Hunter.  
 16 MS. HUNTER: -- EMI.  
 17 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. We've got a round  
 18 table over here. So let's start with our RAB member.  
 19 Yes.  
 20 MR. DACUS: Mr. Dacus.  
 21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Hello, Mr. Dacus. (Off mic)  
 22 Nice to see you.  
 23 MR. DACUS: RAB and also ROSES.  
 24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excellent. We are going to  
 25 go with you first.

15

1 MR. HALL: Steve Hall, Tetra Tech EMI.  
 2 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right, Steve.  
 3 MS. WILLIAMS: Angela Williams, Barajas &  
 4 Associates.  
 5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excellent.  
 6 DR. RAO: Sudeep Rao --  
 7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 8 DR. RAO: -- RAB.  
 9 MS. PENDERGRASS: So I think we've got  
 10 everybody. Testing 1, 2, 3?  
 11 MR. FORMAN: Testing.  
 12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Excellent.  
 13 Excellent. We're good to go.  
 14 Anybody else here?  
 15 (Microphone functions) We have got a tech guy  
 16 up here, and he's being anonymous. And we've got --  
 17 MR. BALTIMORE: -- Brian Baltimore, resident.  
 18 MS. PENDERGRASS: You're anonymous too?  
 19 Okay. Very good.  
 20 All right, then. Do we have a quorum?  
 21 MR. VAN HOUTEN: Yes.  
 22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Who was the official person  
 23 saying that?  
 24 MR. VAN HOUTEN: Robert Van Houten.  
 25 MS. PENDERGRASS: And Mr. Van Houten, you

16

1 are . . . ?  
 2 MR. VAN HOUTEN: The new chair for Membership  
 3 and Bylaws.  
 4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Woo-hoo!  
 5 (Applause.)  
 6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Not that I'm all that excited  
 7 or anything, but that's a good thing.  
 8 All right. Thank you so much. And we do have  
 9 a quorum tonight, so we can conduct official business.  
 10 So let's move right into official business of  
 11 looking at our agenda. It starts at 6 o'clock and will  
 12 end at 8 o'clock. That is barring nobody gets off track  
 13 or wanders.  
 14 Does everybody agree to not wandering tonight?  
 15 MR. MASON: We are going to try.  
 16 MS. PENDERGRASS: All rightie, then. I love  
 17 that commitment from you, Mr. Mason.  
 18 So we're going to stay on track tonight.  
 19 And so anybody have any problems with the  
 20 agenda? Are we okay with that, Mr. Muhammad and  
 21 Mr. Forman?  
 22 MR. FORMAN: Yes.  
 23 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Then let's take a  
 24 look at our minutes. We have some fabulous minutes  
 25 tonight. These minutes were created with love and care

1 from someone.  
 2 Has everybody had a chance to peruse them? We  
 3 have a nice little clip going here. They come out two  
 4 weeks ahead of the meeting. We have time to look them  
 5 over, read them for accuracy and relevance and to-do  
 6 list.  
 7 MR. TISDELL: I like to make a motion.  
 8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Not before we look at these  
 9 minutes.  
 10 MR. TISDELL: Ain't through with that? You got  
 11 two weeks.  
 12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Tisdell, what is your  
 13 motion, sir?  
 14 MR. TISDELL: I like to make a motion to pass  
 15 the minutes as they are.  
 16 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. We have a motion  
 17 on the floor. Do we have a second?  
 18 MR. DACUS: Second.  
 19 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. We have a second  
 20 from Mr. Dacus.  
 21 So with that, do we have any discussion? any  
 22 changes? any recommendations?  
 23 Great. Barring that, then I would like to call  
 24 the question. All in favor of accepting the Hunters  
 25 Point Shipyard Restoration Advisory Board meeting

1 minutes from the 26th of July 2007 meeting into record  
 2 as they stand, signify by saying, "Aye."  
 3 THE BOARD: Aye.  
 4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Any opposed? Any abstaining?  
 5 MS. BUSHNELL: (Raising her hand.)  
 6 MS. PENDERGRASS: One abstention.  
 7 With that, the ayes have it. We have minutes  
 8 that are accepted into record.  
 9 All rightie, then. Let's take a look at the  
 10 action items. Woo-hoo! So let's start with Item No. 1.  
 11 This is a carryover item. Oh, I remember this one.  
 12 Michael Cohen of the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency  
 13 to provide the RAB with the Conceptual Framework  
 14 document for the combined project for Candlestick Point  
 15 and the Hunters Point Shipyard.  
 16 Now, Dr. Tompkins was the author of this action  
 17 item, and the due date was in June. We said this was  
 18 going to happen in September. And Miss Brownell was  
 19 going to just run us amuck with this. Shall we have a  
 20 report?  
 21 MS. BROWNELL: I apologize. I didn't get my  
 22 act together. However, I do want to point out that it  
 23 is on the Web site, www.HuntersPointShipyard.com, which  
 24 is the Lennar Web site. You have to click a little  
 25 ways. But all of those Conceptual Framework documents

1 are on their Web site.  
 2 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.  
 3 MS. BROWNELL: So if that isn't enough for  
 4 someone, I would be happy to -- if you can send me an  
 5 E-mail, I would be happy to get an atta -- send it as  
 6 an attachment too.  
 7 MS. PENDERGRASS: If it please the body, might  
 8 I make a suggestion? How about an E-mail to Miss Hunter  
 9 with those links and --  
 10 MS. BROWNELL: Okay.  
 11 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- send it out to everyone?  
 12 How about that?  
 13 MS. BROWNELL: I can do that.  
 14 MS. PENDERGRASS: You can do that?  
 15 So can we consider that this action item is now  
 16 satisfied, or do we not trust Ms. Brownell to follow  
 17 through?  
 18 Woo-hoo! I think we do trust her to follow  
 19 through.  
 20 MR. FORMAN: Sure.  
 21 MS. PENDERGRASS: So we'll remove that action  
 22 item.  
 23 Mr. Rao, did you have something that you'd like  
 24 to bring to attention?  
 25 DR. RAO: (Shakes his head from side to side.)

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 2 So let's move on to Action Item No. 2. The RAB  
 3 will comment on the draft Parcel E-2 Remedial  
 4 Investigation/Feasibility Study that the "RAB cannot  
 5 provide significant comments based on the inadequacy of  
 6 information since the Radiological Addendum has not yet  
 7 been submitted."  
 8 Mr. Rao, you were the author of this action  
 9 item, and it was supposed to be for July, and  
 10 Mr. Muhammad was following up on that. Do you want to  
 11 just brief us on what happened with that, Mr. Muhammad?  
 12 MR. MUHAMMAD: I'll yield to Sudeep.  
 13 DR. RAO: Yeah. I actually tried to -- tried  
 14 to send a link to Mr. Muhammad, but I couldn't find the  
 15 exact site for it so if we can actually get the actual  
 16 location for where you can actually submit the  
 17 comments. Is that on the -- so that we can  
 18 actually submit -- I believe it's on line. Right?  
 19 MR. FORMAN: No. You submit your -- There's  
 20 many ways to submit your comments. One of them is just  
 21 to E-mail me to my E-mail address --  
 22 DR. RAO: Oh, okay.  
 23 MR. FORMAN: -- or to E-mail Carolyn Hunter at  
 24 her E-mail address or Melanie Kito at her E-mail address  
 25 and anything -- any one of those, or you can mail them.

1 DR. RAO: I see. I thought it was --  
 2 MS. PENDERGRASS: So --  
 3 DR. RAO: -- a direct --  
 4 MS. PENDERGRASS: So it has not happened is  
 5 what you're saying?  
 6 DR. RAO: Yeah, yeah. I thought that there was  
 7 actually an on-line Web site for actually submitting it,  
 8 and --  
 9 MR. FORMAN: No, sir.  
 10 DR. RAO: Okay.  
 11 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. So Mr. Rao, can  
 12 we count on you to make that E-mail or send that E-mail  
 13 this month? Shall we follow up on --  
 14 DR. RAO: Absolutely.  
 15 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- that and make sure that --  
 16 DR. RAO: Absolutely.  
 17 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- happens next month?  
 18 MR. RAO: Yes.  
 19 MS. PENDERGRASS: We should follow up. So  
 20 we'll leave that on to make sure that that's completed  
 21 in September. All right? So we'll follow up on that.  
 22 Just don't want it to fall through the cracks.  
 23 MR. FORMAN: Okay.  
 24 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. So then Action  
 25 Item No. 3: The RAB will contact the San Francisco

1 police chief via telephone and request a response letter  
 2 to be drafted to the RAB on their speeding concerns on  
 3 and around the Shipyard.  
 4 Now, Miss Bushnell was the author of this  
 5 action item, and Miss Bushnell will report on the  
 6 follow-up.  
 7 MS. BUSHNELL: I actually did reply by E-mail  
 8 to Mr. Muhammad. I did phone the police department last  
 9 month, a month ago, and was told that a response had  
 10 been sent to the commander of the Navy in charge of real  
 11 estate.  
 12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Ah so.  
 13 MS. BUSHNELL: And when I followed up again  
 14 this last month, they were apologetic that we apparently  
 15 didn't get the letter and were supposed to follow  
 16 through again.  
 17 I have had no further response, but I have no  
 18 problem in contacting the police chief's office.  
 19 MR. FORMAN: Okay.  
 20 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. So Mr. Forman, do  
 21 you mind taking that ball and making sure that it gets  
 22 in the right mit?  
 23 MR. FORMAN: In fact, I think, Amy, if we -- if  
 24 we could get together and -- I would -- if they did  
 25 indeed send that letter, I --

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: If indeed the police sent  
 2 that letter.  
 3 MR. FORMAN: -- I have a hard time believing  
 4 they would send a letter like that without cc-ing  
 5 somebody.  
 6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes.  
 7 MR. FORMAN: In other words, copying a bunch of  
 8 people.  
 9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Right.  
 10 MR. FORMAN: And I don't know of anyone who's  
 11 gotten that letter, and I've never heard of that letter,  
 12 and there's no such thing as the commander of Navy real  
 13 estate, so . . . Other than that --  
 14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 15 MR. FORMAN: So how about this? Just Amy  
 16 Brownell and I will take it from here. We will report  
 17 back to the RAB.  
 18 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. So the follow-up  
 19 on this, Miss Bushnell, since you're through with it --  
 20 you're actually through with this.  
 21 MS. BUSHNELL: Just -- yeah. I did in fact --  
 22 when I spoke to the police chief's office, I gave them  
 23 Carolyn's name and telephone number to make sure they  
 24 got the proper contact people.  
 25 MR. FORMAN: Well, okay.

1 MS. BUSHNELL: Okay? So --  
 2 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. So at this  
 3 point --  
 4 MS. BUSHNELL: -- to let you know, I let them  
 5 know what they should do.  
 6 MR. FORMAN: We can just talk later, and you  
 7 can tell me who you -- who you think you talked to.  
 8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So what I'm going to  
 9 do is we're going to change this action item. We're  
 10 going to carry it over, and Mr. Forman will be able to  
 11 at least advise us is he -- if he's sent it, received  
 12 anything, or could find anything by the next meeting.  
 13 Does that sound fair enough?  
 14 MR. FORMAN: Yes.  
 15 MS. PENDERGRASS: September meeting?  
 16 Excellent. So we'll change the action commit -- person  
 17 and agency committing to action to Mr. Forman.  
 18 MR. FORMAN: Yes.  
 19 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right, then. On No. 4,  
 20 the RAB will draft a follow-up letter to the San  
 21 Francisco Mayor's Office, the police chief, and the  
 22 police department based at Hunters Point Shipyard  
 23 requesting a written response to the letter submitted in  
 24 March 2007.  
 25 Now, Mr. Tisdell, you brought and authored that

1 action item, and Miss Bushnell was following up on that  
2 as well. Do you have a report for us today? Didn't  
3 read your minutes, huh.

4 MS. BUSHNELL: I offered to phone the police  
5 chief's office, and I did that. I never volunteered to  
6 write a letter.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: I see. All right. So this  
8 is just falling in the middle of the table. So who's  
9 picking it up? Mr. Tisdell.

10 MR. TISDELL: I got the ball. That letter was  
11 drafted with -- when I was co-chair, and it was sent off  
12 to the police department or whoever, and it was just a  
13 follow-up to where another letter goes out.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

15 MR. TISDELL: And --

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Well, Mr. Tisdell, since you  
17 have a history with --

18 MR. TISDELL: And --

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- that --

20 MR. TISDELL: And that goes to the co-chair who  
21 can sign it.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Right. But Mr. Tisdell, if  
23 you wouldn't mind, as a --

24 MR. TISDELL: Yes, I mind.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- transition agent, could

1 you and Mr. Muhammad work on that together? I'm sure I  
2 can count on your cooperation. Yeah? I can?

3 MR. TISDELL: Miss Hunter.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can I count on your  
5 cooperation to talk to Mr. Muhammad about the content  
6 and follow-up?

7 MR. TISDELL: Yes, since Miss --

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can I get that a little  
9 louder?

10 MR. TISDELL: Yes, since --

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right, then.

12 MR. TISDELL: -- Miss Niccoli is looking out  
13 the corner of her eye.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: All rightie, then. So we  
15 have follow-up by Mr. Tisdell, and we're going to change  
16 that and carry it over again until September. And  
17 Mr. Tisdell and Mr. Muhammad are now going to be named  
18 as the persons committed to action on that. Agreed?  
19 Great. Just love how we can just knock these pins  
20 down.

21 So let's move right on to the "Community RAB  
22 members will provide contact information they are okay  
23 with the rest of the RAB members having to Carolyn  
24 Hunter --" h'm.

25 MR. TISDELL: Did, done.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Community RAB members will  
2 provide contact information that they are okay with the  
3 rest of the RAB members having to Carolyn Hunter . . . a  
4 list of all approved contact information for RAB members  
5 will be distributed in August [sic].

6 Oh, Miss Hunter, help me.

7 MS. HUNTER: This action item is complete.

8 There are a couple of folks that I wasn't able to get  
9 information from. So I left their information blank.

10 So if they would just give me the okay next month, I'll  
11 put everybody's information in there, and we can go from  
12 there.

13 But the contact list I submitted to all of the  
14 Navy, the regulatory agencies, and the community RAB  
15 members today. So we're done.

16 *(Applause.)*

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Well, thank you. So we will  
18 remove that action item from our list as completed.

19 The final -- oh, no, it's not final.

20 Number 2: "Provide an understandable correlation for  
21 pic- --"

22 MR. FORMAN: Picocuries.

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: "-- picocuries per gram  
24 radiation level such as the level from a luminous watch  
25 face."

1 Now, Dr. McGowan brought that, and I thought  
2 that was great. And so Ms. Lowman was supposed to  
3 respond to that by this meeting.

4 MR. FORMAN: And she did indeed. You have as a  
5 handout. Laurie actually outdid herself here. She did  
6 a little bit more than I think Dr. McGowan even  
7 requested. It's a handout called "Understanding  
8 Radioactivity in Soil at Hunters Point Shipyard." And  
9 if you flip over -- read it, if you care to. The  
10 questions have answers to them.

11 And then in paragraph No. 6, "How do these dose  
12 levels compare with radiation doses in everyday  
13 life?" you have some interesting little comparable  
14 statistics to look at.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excellent. And she's not --  
16 we didn't pay for her trip all the way here to present  
17 this to us?

18 MR. FORMAN: No.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh, darn.

20 MR. FORMAN: No, we didn't.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Great.

22 MR. FORMAN: Which I believe she will be  
23 returning here in October.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excellent.

25 MR. FORMAN: You can say thank you to her then.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: We can say our thank you  
 2 now. Okay. Excellent. She reads these minutes  
 3 verbatim, I'm sure. So we can say thank you, Laurie,  
 4 for that, right?  
 5 MR. FORMAN: Hangs on every word.  
 6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. All right.  
 7 All right. Then that one has been completed,  
 8 satisfied, and is off the list.  
 9 Let's move on to next to last: Provide the RAB  
 10 with monthly air-monitoring reports for radiological  
 11 TCRA activities.  
 12 Now, Mr. Dougherty was supposed to handle  
 13 this -- or he authored this, and Mr. Rao was supposed to  
 14 handle it.  
 15 MR. FORMAN: Yes.  
 16 MS. PENDERGRASS: So Mr. Rao, do you have a  
 17 update on that?  
 18 DR. RAO: The other way.  
 19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Really? So let's switch  
 20 that.  
 21 Nobody caught that for the minutes?  
 22 MR. FORMAN: Yeah -- No. Sudeep is -- Sudeep  
 23 is correct. Those two should be switched.  
 24 MS. PENDERGRASS: All rightie, then.  
 25 MR. FORMAN: And --

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: So what this means is that we  
 2 need to make a correction to the minutes.  
 3 MR. FORMAN: Well, to the -- yes, to the action  
 4 item table in the minutes.  
 5 MS. PENDERGRASS: But we'll just let that  
 6 slide --  
 7 MR. FORMAN: Well --  
 8 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- since we've already  
 9 approved the minutes.  
 10 MR. FORMAN: Right. And Mr. -- what  
 11 Mr. Dougherty's done, he just presented me this week,  
 12 and I will forward those -- that to you, Sudeep, because  
 13 Bill Dougherty has completed it this week.  
 14 DR. RAO: Well, I was --  
 15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 16 DR. RAO: -- just hoping that it was for the  
 17 RAB as a whole --  
 18 MR. FORMAN: Okay.  
 19 DR. RAO: -- on a monthly basis.  
 20 MS. PENDERGRASS: So that hasn't been  
 21 completed?  
 22 DR. TOMPKINS: I couldn't hear anybody.  
 23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Yeah. I'll follow up.  
 24 Just a second. Just one minute, though,  
 25 Angela.

1 So at this point, that information has not gone  
 2 to Sudeep.  
 3 MR. FORMAN: Correct.  
 4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Correct?  
 5 MR. FORMAN: Correct.  
 6 MS. PENDERGRASS: You still have the  
 7 information, though?  
 8 MR. FORMAN: Yes.  
 9 MS. PENDERGRASS: And you are intending to what  
 10 with the information?  
 11 MR. FORMAN: I was intending on sending it to  
 12 Sudeep.  
 13 MS. PENDERGRASS: But he suggested it go to the  
 14 entire RAB.  
 15 MR. FORMAN: I could.  
 16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. All right. So do you  
 17 feel comfortable with that, Mr. Rao?  
 18 DR. RAO: Yeah. My intent was for the RAB to  
 19 receive this on a monthly basis.  
 20 MS. PENDERGRASS: On a monthly basis.  
 21 DR. RAO: Yeah.  
 22 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.  
 23 DR. RAO: That's what the action item was.  
 24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 25 DR. RAO: Thank you.

1 MR. FORMAN: How about it would be easier for  
 2 us to just -- can we post it on the Hunters Point Web  
 3 site instead?  
 4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh, that would make more  
 5 sense.  
 6 MR. FORMAN: Yeah, than sending out a CNP  
 7 message every month.  
 8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 9 MR. FORMAN: Would that be better? That's the  
 10 E-mail messages that we send out to all the RAB members  
 11 under the Community Notification Plan. If we just post  
 12 the air-monitoring report on the Web site each month,  
 13 you can look at it and you can compare the reports side  
 14 by side. It's --  
 15 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.  
 16 Does anybody have a problem with it being  
 17 posted on the Web site?  
 18 Mr. Dacus, did you have a question with that?  
 19 MR. DACUS: No.  
 20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 21 MS. WILLIAMS: I just wanted to mention that  
 22 Mr. Dougherty has specifically asked to have till the  
 23 September meeting to have this action item  
 24 accomplished. So . . .  
 25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Just overlooked that little

1 minor detail. All right. Till September. And by  
 2 September, it will be up. Make sense?  
 3 MR. FORMAN: Sure.  
 4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So we'll hold that one  
 5 over.  
 6 And the final one is: The RAB will review the  
 7 Monthly Progress Report format and provide the Navy  
 8 comments for providing additional information.  
 9 MR. FORMAN: Okay.  
 10 MS. PENDERGRASS: RAB will review it. Okay.  
 11 And so Mr. Forman, I remember this one.  
 12 MR. FORMAN: All right. So attention,  
 13 everybody. All right. We have done this. This is the  
 14 new Monthly Progress Report, extraordinarily colorful,  
 15 extraordinarily straightforward and easy to read. Among  
 16 others, Mr. Van Houten requested something that in a  
 17 mere number of seconds, you could scan the parcel and  
 18 see exactly where we're at or where we're going to be  
 19 and what the comment period is going to be. So . . .  
 20 MS. PENDERGRASS: You guys actually will listen  
 21 to us.  
 22 MR. FORMAN: Occasionally that happens.  
 23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 24 MR. FORMAN: So here's what I'd like. Oh, by  
 25 the way, before I go on, the special recognition block

1 went to Carolyn Hunter this month.  
 2 (Applause.)  
 3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Woo-hoo!  
 4 MR. FORMAN: I thought that was a darned good  
 5 photo.  
 6 Now, what I'd like is this is -- this is our  
 7 first attempt at the new and improved Monthly Progress  
 8 Report. You can come up at any time to Carolyn Hunter  
 9 or myself during the meeting or any time during the  
 10 month E-mails and say, "Hey, this was a good first try,  
 11 but this is a suggestion to make it even better," okay.  
 12 So this is an evolving work.  
 13 But I think this meets the needs. I'll let --  
 14 actually, I'll let Mr. Van Houten be the judge of that,  
 15 but all -- I think this meets the needs that you  
 16 originally outlined as being a clearer, easy document to  
 17 just scan it very quickly and know exactly where we're  
 18 at instead of having to read through paragraphs and  
 19 paragraphs.  
 20 MS. PENDERGRASS: And anything we'd like to  
 21 know, for example, you used to put in the -- your  
 22 comments in progress like in a narrative format.  
 23 MR. FORMAN: Uh-huh.  
 24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Now you would be able to --  
 25 we'd be able to get that narrative where? We wouldn't

1 or we will?  
 2 MR. FORMAN: Well, it wasn't so much  
 3 narrative. It's just a string of sort of disconnected  
 4 points to the project of where we were at.  
 5 Now it's just basically we have streamlined it  
 6 to what's immediately going to happen or what the next  
 7 milestone on the parcel is if it's -- you can see some  
 8 of these dates are a little far out but the next thing  
 9 for the RAB to look at on that parcel. So we're really  
 10 not missing anything.  
 11 Now, what I do envision here is there will be  
 12 times -- if you flip to the back of it with the  
 13 basewide -- well, wait a minute -- where we talk about  
 14 the basewide field work for the additional field work  
 15 going on, "Current Field Work" --  
 16 DR. TOMPKINS: Which page?  
 17 MR. FORMAN: -- is at the bottom of "Parcel D."  
 18 I will still in narrative format tell you if we are out  
 19 in the field on a current cleanup project.  
 20 And currently, of course, we're doing Parcel D  
 21 sanitary sewer and storm drain rad removal, and I'm  
 22 pretty specific about where we're at on the parcel. You  
 23 can come up to the map and see the exact street of where  
 24 we're at in our dig.  
 25 So I will continue to expand that as the months

1 goes go on and more things come to mind to clue you in  
 2 about what's going on on the base.  
 3 MS. PENDERGRASS: So where will you put the  
 4 basewide stuff, then?  
 5 MR. FORMAN: Where I put the "Current Field  
 6 Work," anything that we're doing field workwise. And if  
 7 there is something that's basewide, then I -- we would  
 8 put it to -- in back of "Parcel F" and --  
 9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 10 MR. FORMAN: -- "special --"  
 11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Got it. Got it. I'm just  
 12 trying to make sure I --  
 13 MR. FORMAN: No, no problem.  
 14 In addition to that, what I want you to see is  
 15 on the backside of this is the calendar that a few RAB  
 16 members requested that have meetings --  
 17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes.  
 18 MR. FORMAN: -- imprinted on them.  
 19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excellent.  
 20 MR. FORMAN: So that should also help.  
 21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excellent.  
 22 MR. FORMAN: But again, if you have more ideas,  
 23 then let Carolyn and I know, and we'll continue to  
 24 improve it.  
 25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes. Kristina. Kristine.

1 I'm sorry.

2 MS. ENEA: Hi. Kristine Enea, RAB member. I

3 just have one idea, and I wonder if we could add to this

4 calendar upcoming deadlines for community comment.

5 MR. FORMAN: Well, no.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: That's by parcel inside --

7 MR. FORMAN: Yeah.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- right?

9 MR. FORMAN: You see -- and the reason why that

10 is, if you notice, a lot our comment period days are

11 45 days or, once you ask extensions, usually closer to

12 60 or 90 days. And that exceeds the two --

13 MS. ENEA: But just for that --

14 MR. FORMAN: -- two months.

15 MS. ENEA: -- that's on the back if there are

16 any that fall in that month because we have sort of the

17 two months out so --

18 MR. FORMAN: Okay.

19 MS. ENEA: -- we know deadline comes up --

20 MR. FORMAN: So you want to put them in two

21 places. You want them on the parcel, and you want them

22 in the calendar?

23 MS. ENEA: I mean, it seems like there's room.

24 MR. FORMAN: Yeah, we could do that. That's --

25 MS. ENEA: Yeah.

1 MR. FORMAN: Okay. All right.

2 MS. ENEA: Thank you.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Muhammad, did you have

4 something burning?

5 MR. MUHAMMAD: I know it was brought up at the

6 last tech meeting --

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can you speak a little

8 louder, please?

9 MR. MUHAMMAD: I know it was brought up at the

10 last tech meeting regarding Parcel B that there was

11 plans from Lennar to use construction. I don't see

12 that. Is that had been finalized, or should that be on

13 this report? You maybe can help me out scientists down

14 there.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Say it again.

16 DR. TOMPKINS: I can't hear people.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Say it again.

18 MR. MUHAMMAD: It was supposed to be some --

19 apparently, there's some construction that's going to be

20 done on Parcel B by Lennar regarding some -- an aqua

21 fires [sic], if I'm saying that correct, that we --

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Aquifer.

23 MR. MUHAMMAD: -- discussed -- that was

24 discussed at the last tech meeting.

25 I don't see that prevalent on here, or has that

1 been --?

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So that wouldn't be

3 Navy work, though, would it?

4 MR. FORMAN: No.

5 MR. MUHAMMAD: It would not.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: So if it's not Navy work and

7 Lennar's --

8 MR. MUHAMMAD: It is on Navy property.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: If Lennar's doing it, it's

10 not on Navy property now, would it be?

11 MR. FORMAN: Correct.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: No.

13 MR. FORMAN: Yeah. Those are -- those are the

14 bioretention ponds, that apparently there's designs for

15 bioretention ponds, of which yours truly knew nothing

16 about, for Parcel B. But that would occur, to the best

17 of my knowledge, after Lennar had rights to the

18 property, and that's after the Navy conveys to the --

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Right.

20 MR. FORMAN: -- City of San Francisco in

21 whatever year we convey Parcel B in. So that's future

22 work.

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Now, you know --

24 MR. FORMAN: I --

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- Mr. Muhammad, I get the

1 point. I get the point, because there's a lot going

2 on. It's all divvied up in different pieces. The

3 Navy's responsible for some things. Some things are

4 already turned over. Lennar is working on, city's

5 working on, or what have you.

6 So there's some folks that are on the RAB that

7 are actually members of the -- isn't it the C.A.C. who's

8 getting information on the construction that Lennar's

9 doing?

10 MR. FORMAN: Correct.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: So maybe, just a thought,

12 that somebody from that group might either forward their

13 minutes and schedules on to this group so that we --

14 everybody would have it on a regular basis so they could

15 compare it, or one of the RAB members here could join

16 that group and --

17 MS. ENEA: No, you can't join that group.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- bring that information

19 or -- or sit, sit in, and bring that information back.

20 So -- I mean, I would just suggest that. You

21 know, I understand how it overlaps, but it's not in our

22 purview. So we wouldn't be asking the Navy for that.

23 Is that okay? Make sense?

24 All right. Navy did a great job of answering

25 your suggestions.

41

1 MS. ENEA: Yes.  
 2 (Applause.)  
 3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Mason?  
 4 MR. MASON: I have one question, and I'm  
 5 looking at the Economic Subcommittee meeting on  
 6 Wednesday, which is fine with me. This is when the Navy  
 7 come up along with Charlie DePew on the 12th of  
 8 September?  
 9 MR. FORMAN: Yes. That's -- and that's --  
 10 MR. MASON: I'm not going to try to change it  
 11 because I want to have them hurry up and do it. But,  
 12 you know, we'll . . . [inaudible].  
 13 MS. PENDERGRASS: I can't hear you, Mr. Mason.  
 14 MR. MASON: I'm sorry. I'm sorry.  
 15 What I was concerned about was the  
 16 September 12th economic meeting.  
 17 MS. PENDERGRASS: The September 12th --  
 18 MR. MASON: Right.  
 19 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- economic meeting.  
 20 MR. MASON: We have some very important steps  
 21 that we want to talk about --  
 22 DR. TOMPKINS: Jesse, closer.  
 23 MR. MASON: We want -- We have some very  
 24 important steps that we want to talk about. So  
 25 actually, I want to have it on the 30th of this month,

42

1 but it's apparent that --  
 2 MS. PENDERGRASS: They won't be up here.  
 3 MR. MASON: -- that they can't come up. So  
 4 I'll accept it the way it is.  
 5 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Thank you, sir.  
 6 Thank you so much.  
 7 All right. Excellent. Excellent work. We're  
 8 just moving right along. We have got minutes accepted.  
 9 We have new format. Oh, my gosh. We've just run  
 10 through our action items. I'm so excited, I could  
 11 barely contain myself as we get to the Navy and co-chair  
 12 announcements.  
 13 Shall we start with Mr. Forman today?  
 14 Well, we can start with Mr. Muhammad.  
 15 MR. FORMAN: I will yield to Mr. Muhammad.  
 16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes.  
 17 Speak closely into your microphone. Thank you  
 18 so much.  
 19 MR. MUHAMMAD: Firstly, I want, Brother Sudeep,  
 20 if you can, give the RAB a introduction or some type of  
 21 information regarding to a youth program that he wants  
 22 to introduce to the RAB board.  
 23 Brother Sudeep, can you give us some  
 24 information about a youth program that he introduced --  
 25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

43

1 MR. MUHAMMAD: -- at the last tech meeting?  
 2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Sure.  
 3 DR. RAO: Hi, everyone. I'm very pleased to  
 4 report to you that in our efforts to engage the  
 5 community of the southeast San Francisco, we were  
 6 successful in obtaining a grant from the California EPA,  
 7 and the main objective of the grant is to engage youth  
 8 in the community to learn about the RAB process and to  
 9 serve as conduits for communicating information that  
 10 they are learning here in the RAB meetings.  
 11 And you have met our environmental health and  
 12 justice manager Nancy Abdul-Shakur.  
 13 Hi, Nancy. Can you waive your hand?  
 14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excellent.  
 15 DR. RAO: And we also have LEJ youth interns,  
 16 and there is Connie.  
 17 Hi, Connie.  
 18 And there is Jauquay [phonetic].  
 19 Jauquay.  
 20 There's Gianna Mohammad.  
 21 Gianna?  
 22 There she is.  
 23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excellent.  
 24 DR. RAO: So we also have three more who could  
 25 not make it today for other scheduling purposes, but

44

1 they will be present at the RAB meetings, and I would  
 2 like if RAB members can engage them, and they would also  
 3 be engaging you and also the regularly occurred to share  
 4 some of your ideas.  
 5 I look at them as the next green economy in  
 6 terms of participating in the green economy and learn  
 7 about how to clean up our sites.  
 8 And so that's -- and this is something that we  
 9 are committing to as an organization and also as a  
 10 team. So if there's anything -- I'll be glad to answer  
 11 any questions during the break or even now if you'd  
 12 like.  
 13 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Thank you.  
 14 Yes.  
 15 MS. BRYANT: Thank you. Thank you, Sudeep. I  
 16 was wondering, do you guys need volunteers from the RAB  
 17 board to assist you with this? Question No. 1.  
 18 And two, is there a process in place for them  
 19 to better understand what's going on in the meeting?  
 20 DR. RAO: So one of -- one of my  
 21 responsibilities in this process is to actually -- we've  
 22 already actually started that process where we had an  
 23 orientation meeting, explaining the RAB process and the  
 24 documents and who the people are involved, the community  
 25 chair and the Navy co-chair, and I'll show how the

1 minutes are outlined and who the people are who would be  
2 attending.

3 And so I appreciate your offer for support, and  
4 I think we'll take you up on that offer.

5 And the system is that after each RAB meeting,  
6 when we go back to our offices, we will have a  
7 debriefing session and communicate. And so we will have  
8 a systematic effort in terms of digesting information  
9 we're learning here.

10 And every quarter they will actually be taking  
11 up the responsibility to report to the RAB on what they  
12 have done from the community, and also every quarter  
13 they will actually write an article about what they have  
14 done during the last quarter to the local newspaper so  
15 that it gets out in the broader community.

16 And they will conduct surveys of the community  
17 also in terms so that they are actually serving to bring  
18 in a lot more community input and share that information  
19 back to the community.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Thank you. Thank  
21 you so much.

22 Mr. Muhammad, did you have --

23 MR. MUHAMMAD: Yeah.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- do you want to continue?

25 MR. MUHAMMAD: I'm just requesting from Sudeep

1 to make it more -- I know this year would just be the  
2 first year.

3 What are we looking at in regards to -- for --?  
4 MS. PENDERGRASS: So -- so -- okay. So,  
5 Mr. Rao, are you --

6 DR. RAO: Okay.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- going to take care of  
8 that?

9 All right.

10 Did you have anything else to your --

11 MR. MUHAMMAD: Oh, yes --

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- report?

13 MR. MUHAMMAD: -- ma'am.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

15 MR. MUHAMMAD: Yes, ma'am.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Continue, please.

17 MR. MUHAMMAD: We had chair nominations elected  
18 su- -- elected chairs for subcommittees. For the tech  
19 we have Ray Tompkins, who is now the new chair for the  
20 Technical Subcommittee.

21 *(Applause.)*

22 MR. MUHAMMAD: And again, and we were  
23 introduced earlier today to the Membership and Bylaws --  
24 We were introduced earlier today to the Membership and  
25 Bylaws' new chair. So we appreciate it.

1 if you can maybe just type out a brief summary of your  
2 proposal to the RAB in connection with the youth.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Mr. Muhammad, you are  
4 so low --

5 MR. MUHAMMAD: I'm sorry.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- I cannot hear you.

7 MR. MUHAMMAD: All right. I'm asking Brother  
8 Sudeep -- is that better?

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

10 MR. MUHAMMAD: I'm asking Brother Sudeep if he  
11 can just type up something like a one-page summary the  
12 youth program and its objectives, its plan of action,  
13 and its connection with the RAB because I'm for that  
14 because I'm -- this new lang- -- this new term I  
15 learned, I think, last week was called environmental  
16 sustainability, and I think that plays a part in what we  
17 are doing --

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

19 MR. MUHAMMAD: -- so that the youth are  
20 involved in environmental cleanup.

21 So if you can just present something. You  
22 know, it don't have to be elaborate, but at least it  
23 gives us an idea of the planning actions, goals, and the  
24 selection process in regards to 'cause maybe we want to  
25 outreach to the outer schools and high-school students

1 *(Applause.)*

2 MR. MUHAMMAD: We do have one more chair that  
3 we need to select in regards for the Economic  
4 Subcommittee. So I think that would be done on  
5 September 12th, if I'm not mistaken.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

7 MR. MUHAMMAD: And we need -- you know, need to  
8 get that across. And then those who are interested in  
9 regards to running for that chair, we highly suggest  
10 that you probably make your nomination, or you let us  
11 know.

12 But I know that what we are looking for in  
13 these chairs is a change in the days how we used to do  
14 business. We're looking for something that is more  
15 progressive, something that is more towards community  
16 orientated, and also things that are more towards  
17 honesty and best policies and best practices.

18 So we want to make sure that we bring that to  
19 the table. We don't want anything that is even  
20 considered to be favoritism, anything that can be  
21 considered where you can be used as a tool in regards to  
22 these chairs because these chairs are very important in  
23 regards to what you represent in the chairs, and  
24 especially to not just to the RAB, but to -- also to the  
25 community.

1 So I just wanted to share that to those  
2 chairpersons who are here and those who are requesting  
3 in the future to be nominated.

4 I do apologize. I was not supposed to be  
5 here. I had an emergency situation where I was flying  
6 out of town today to Chicago, but I had to delay it  
7 because I thought it was very important that I bring  
8 this report to the Board in regards to a situation that  
9 occurred on August 17th, if I'm not mistaken, of a  
10 notice of a violation to Lennar from the Department of  
11 Health where they were caught.

12 Investigators were on site that viewed and  
13 observed truckers dumping dirt behind a building -- I  
14 think it's Building 808 -- and also at the time dust  
15 plumes for over 90 minutes were crossing over into the  
16 Navy territory property.

17 And my concern in regards to that is that when  
18 I talked to Mr. Forman I think it was today, he had no  
19 idea about it until he had contacted Amy Brownell  
20 today. No information was sent to the Navy rep. No  
21 information. And he had no understanding of what was  
22 going on in regards to that.

23 I just want some answers in regards to what is  
24 the policy from the Department of Health in regards to  
25 situations like that in regards to responding to the

1 Navy if it goes onto the -- onto their property?

2 And what kind of -- you know, the common  
3 practice if this is going on also with the Navy -- I  
4 want a response from the Navy -- how would we allow that  
5 to occur without the proper monitoring system and  
6 regulators put in place that did not foresee this  
7 happening or see it happening? And if it did happen,  
8 what have we been doing?

9 That is the concern in regards to that, that  
10 you will have truckers illegally dumping dirt or  
11 according to the -- I don't have the NOV, but I did have  
12 it -- truckers being caught dumping dirt behind 808  
13 building, which is a violation, according to the Notice  
14 of Violation.

15 Then you have dust crossing over into the  
16 property of the Navy and that I didn't see a response  
17 from the Navy in regards to that. And then when I asked  
18 the Navy in regards to that, they didn't ha- -- they  
19 didn't know about it. So my --

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: So your -- your concern --

21 MR. MUHAMMAD: Yes.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- though -- just want to be  
23 clear about that --

24 MR. MUHAMMAD: Yes, ma'am.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- though, Mr. Muhammad.

1 Your concern was about the violation that was  
2 committed by Lennar on property that is the Navy's  
3 property?

4 MR. MUHAMMAD: Is the Navy's property.

5 MS. ENEA: No, no.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: That "is the Navy's  
7 property."

8 MR. MUHAMMAD: That crossed over -- that  
9 crossed over onto the Navy's property.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. The dumping crossed  
11 over onto the Navy's property?

12 MR. MUHAMMAD: No. The dust --

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Just the dust.

14 MR. MUHAMMAD: The dust that dumped -- that  
15 came over --

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

17 MR. MUHAMMAD: -- onto the Navy's property.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

19 MR. MUHAMMAD: And then my question in regards  
20 to that, I want some answers, if it's possible, how does  
21 the Navy respond to situations --

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Right. I --

23 MR. MUHAMMAD: -- like that?

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: I hear you.

25 MR. MUHAMMAD: And then why was the Navy

1 informed about it close to six days later?

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So that would be a  
3 question for Miss Brownell, and maybe you can kind of  
4 separate where this needs to fall.

5 MS. BROWNELL: Okay. I can give --

6 Is this working?

7 I can give a brief summary, and I'm happy to  
8 talk to anybody afterwards if we need to go into more  
9 details.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

11 MS. BROWNELL: We, the Health Department, under  
12 Article 31 of the Health Code, inspects Lennar's work on  
13 their property on a very regular basis. We have been  
14 doing inspections since their project began on  
15 April 25th of 2006. We have been doing very many  
16 inspections in the last month.

17 Anyway, so an inspector was out last Friday  
18 routinely as part of our inspections, and they observed  
19 the dust issues, and this is all on Lennar's property.  
20 It's all Lennar's trucks. This is their work.

21 They're -- This isn't illegal. This is what they are  
22 doing.

23 They are moving soil around as part of the  
24 grading. They are actually finishing the grading, and  
25 they have excess soil, and they are putting it in a big

1 soil pile. And they were watering, but they weren't  
2 watering enough.  
3 The dust control plan is very, very stringent  
4 enforcement and regulations about how long they can have  
5 dust. There's a time period, and then they can also not  
6 allow it to cross the property boundary.

7 And so the inspector observed that they had  
8 dust, and it last -- they tried to water it, but they  
9 didn't water enough, and then that some of these -- I  
10 would portray them as puffs of dust from trucks rolling  
11 along across the property boundary.

12 None of this is health -- is a health issue.  
13 This is about work practices and best work practices.  
14 And that's why we inspect and enforce so rigorously. So  
15 when we observe a problem, we issue a Notice of  
16 Violation, and we make them correct it.

17 Since they had had previous violations, which  
18 you've heard about in this case, we made them shut down  
19 for two days and review their procedures and talk to us  
20 and send us a response and -- anyway, I can get into  
21 more of those details.

22 But the short answer is, this is part of our --  
23 what we do and our regulatory authority, and the Health  
24 Department is doing its job and doing that work.

25 As far as contacting the Navy, again, this

1 anything like that. Again, all I'm here as a  
2 facilitator is just to make sure that we stick to the  
3 topics that we are trying to cover.

4 And so I'm asking, is there something that  
5 you're trying to get in terms of an action moved on  
6 this, or is this just an FYI? I'm just --

7 MR. MUHAMMAD: No.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- trying to figure out where  
9 you're trying to go.

10 MR. MUHAMMAD: It's more than an FYI.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So what is --

12 MR. MUHAMMAD: We need --

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- the action?

14 MR. MUHAMMAD: We need protocol set in place.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So --

16 MR. MUHAMMAD: That's what I'm requesting.

17 Protocol set in place where the Navy is properly --

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Informed?

19 MR. MASON: -- informed in regards --

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

21 MR. MASON: -- to situations like that --

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

23 MR. MASON: -- in regards to situa- --

24 Also, on the other item, I really do want her

25 to read -- unless she got -- you made copies for

1 isn't a health issue. This is a construction oversight  
2 issue. I'm happy -- I can -- I have some copies here,  
3 and I'm happy to -- and I'm happy to -- if the Navy  
4 wishes, to set up a protocol that when we issue it, we  
5 also cc them right away.

6 Again, it wasn't a health issue. It's just a  
7 work practices oversight issue. Thank you.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

9 MR. MUHAMMAD: Do you have the violation in  
10 front of you?

11 MS. BROWNELL: Yes. I can --

12 MR. MUHAMMAD: Could you please read the  
13 violation.

14 MS. BROWNELL: I can pass it around.

15 MR. MUHAMMAD: No, I'm not interested --

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: So, Mr. Muhammad, though --

17 MR. MUHAMMAD: The reason why I'm saying it,  
18 because it --

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Sure.

20 MR. MUHAMMAD: -- seems like she's minimizing  
21 the violation, I want it verbatim read so that everybody  
22 on the RAB -- and please pass it out in regards if you  
23 can.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Well, I'm not -- I'm not  
25 challenging what you're saying about the violation or

1 everybody.

2 MS. BROWNELL: Yeah. Unfor- --

3 MR. MUHAMMAD: She --

4 MS. BROWNELL: Unfortunately, I didn't make  
5 enough copies. I'm happy to if you can pass them out;  
6 and if people who have E-mail want -- like, if you don't  
7 get a copy, I'm happy to send it by E-mail, or I can get  
8 more copies and send them to you.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: So I'm -- But I'm going to  
10 ask again in terms of process. What exactly,  
11 Mr. Muhammad, would you like to see from this  
12 discussion? What's the outcome?

13 MR. MUHAMMAD: The outcome, once again, proper  
14 protocol.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So you're asking for  
16 proper protocol. Now, who do you want that from?

17 MR. MUHAMMAD: From the Department of Health  
18 straight to the Navy to the -- i.e. the RAB --

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So let's put --

20 MR. MUHAMMAD: -- for the RAB.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- that as an action item so  
22 it doesn't fall through the cracks or not or get  
23 overlooked.

24 And where would be the appropriate place to  
25 make sure that that happens? Is that something that the

1 Tech Committee or would you personally want to be  
 2 overseeing that, Mr. Muhammad?  
 3 MR. MUHAMMAD: I personally want to be  
 4 overseeing that myself.  
 5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So if we put it as an  
 6 action item that there's a discussion and a strategy  
 7 around the protocol for what's happening on private  
 8 property that impacts and has something to do with the  
 9 Navy property, that that's communicated.  
 10 So you want to see what that protocol is and,  
 11 if there is a written protocol, for that protocol to be  
 12 forwarded on to you. If not, was that something to be  
 13 developed? Is that the way you want it to read for the  
 14 action item?  
 15 MR. MUHAMMAD: Correct.  
 16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. And so the person  
 17 authoring that, of course, is you, Mr. Muhammad.  
 18 And Miss Brownell, would you be the person  
 19 handling the response to that, you and Mr. Forman?  
 20 MS. BROWNELL: Yeah.  
 21 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Very good. So  
 22 could we expect that by October or -- September or  
 23 October?  
 24 MS. BROWNELL: September.  
 25 MS. PENDERGRASS: September?

1 Would that time line be okay with you,  
 2 Mr. Muhammad?  
 3 MR. MUHAMMAD: That's fine.  
 4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Excellent. So I think  
 5 we're all clear about how that should proceed, and we'll  
 6 follow that, make sure it doesn't fall through.  
 7 Mr. Muhammad, would you like to continue  
 8 with --  
 9 MR. MUHAMMAD: Yes.  
 10 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- your report?  
 11 MR. MUHAMMAD: Thank you.  
 12 Also, we had requested that the Navy -- 'cause  
 13 there was sent out in the community that the RAB is  
 14 associated somewhat with Lennar in that development, and  
 15 I think there needs to be a clear statement from the  
 16 Navy or from a RAB representative in the community  
 17 setting on record that RAB and Lennar are not the same,  
 18 that they are two different entities, and that it should  
 19 be clearly stated to the community, because when we hear  
 20 about -- in the community when they hear about Shipyard,  
 21 they're connect- -- it's just "Navy." That's what's  
 22 happened.  
 23 MS. PENDERGRASS: So, Mr. Muhammad --  
 24 MR. MUHAMMAD: I'll request --  
 25 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- you obviously have a --

1 you obviously have a vision. What might --  
 2 MR. MUHAMMAD: Yes.  
 3 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- that be?  
 4 MR. MUHAMMAD: I'm visio- -- I'm asking  
 5 Mr. Forman or the Navy or a representative to clearly  
 6 state their position in regards to the Shipyard cleanup  
 7 that they are not associated with Lennar and the  
 8 developing companies so that it is clear to the  
 9 community that those two are not the same.  
 10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So where might that  
 11 happen?  
 12 DR. RAO: I think the --  
 13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Rao, just one moment,  
 14 though.  
 15 But where might that happen, Mr. Muhammad?  
 16 MR. MUHAMMAD: Either -- either here or at the  
 17 C.A.C. or even we as RAB members 'cause I know we can  
 18 get a town hall meeting at Bayview-Hunters Point.  
 19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 20 MR. MUHAMMAD: And so that that can be  
 21 addressed to the immediate community that is out there  
 22 that is associating Lennar and the Navy as one.  
 23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So is it not,  
 24 though --? And maybe I'm mistaken that it's an  
 25 underlying assumption and an underlying responsibility

1 of each RAB member to communicate clearly with people  
 2 that are in their constituency and their area of  
 3 influence around the --  
 4 MR. MUHAMMAD: But I think it should have --  
 5 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- for the RAB.  
 6 MR. MUHAMMAD: -- more emphasis if it came from  
 7 the Navy.  
 8 MS. PENDERGRASS: So was that a -- is that a  
 9 recommendation to the RAB --  
 10 MR. MUHAMMAD: Yes.  
 11 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- or is that a directive to  
 12 the Navy?  
 13 MR. MUHAMMAD: Yes.  
 14 MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm just trying to be clear.  
 15 MR. MUHAMMAD: Directive to the Navy.  
 16 MS. PENDERGRASS: A directive to the Navy.  
 17 So again, Mr. Forman, do you understand what  
 18 that would mean or how that -- you would en- -- you  
 19 would enact that?  
 20 MR. FORMAN: Well, I can say something here  
 21 tonight, but I just -- I -- other than that, I can just  
 22 echo what you said is it's the responsibility of RAB  
 23 members to do their own outreach within their own  
 24 circles within the community to get the word out on  
 25 issues like this.

1 And as one voice, I can go to meetings -- and I  
 2 have in the past -- and speak, but there's a shelf life  
 3 to what I say. People don't remember things forever  
 4 and --  
 5 MS. PENDERGRASS: And new people come along.  
 6 MR. FORMAN: -- and new people coming along.  
 7 The continuity here is the RAB members, and  
 8 part of what you can do through the month when you hear  
 9 things or when you hear people misstate things, correct  
 10 them and inform them and educate them based upon your --  
 11 the volunteer service that you provide by coming to the  
 12 RAB.  
 13 But I can make a statement now, if you wish,  
 14 that clarifies the position.  
 15 MR. MUHAMMAD: Clarify the position for the  
 16 record.  
 17 MR. FORMAN: Sure.  
 18 MS. PENDERGRASS: But is this like preaching to  
 19 the choir?  
 20 MR. MUHAMMAD: It's not. No, ma'am.  
 21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 22 MR. MUHAMMAD: It's not.  
 23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. I got it.  
 24 Before Mr. Forman is going to go ahead and  
 25 speak, so Mr. Tompkins can --

1 Dr. Tompkins, can you hold that for just a  
 2 second?  
 3 DR. TOMPKINS: Certainly.  
 4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 5 Mr. Forman, can you make a statement for the  
 6 record?  
 7 MR. FORMAN: Yes, I can.  
 8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.  
 9 MR. FORMAN: Okay. The Department of Defense  
 10 set up a system when their -- when the Congress  
 11 initiated something called base realignment and closure,  
 12 called "BRAC."  
 13 Part of the program was to establish  
 14 restoration advisory boards, RABs. And these RABs were  
 15 the forum, the main forum, for the Navy in this case or  
 16 any other branch to go to a community and to directly  
 17 relate to that community and inform the community of the  
 18 status of the environmental cleanup program on that base  
 19 that was either being closed or realigned.  
 20 The mission of the RAB is very closely tied to  
 21 that. The RAB -- the RAB members should understand --  
 22 understand what's going on on the base -- in this case,  
 23 what the Navy is doing for environmental cleanup --  
 24 review documents, ask a lot of questions, and then push  
 25 that information and that new knowledge out to the

1 community.  
 2 So each RAB member becomes ambassador to the  
 3 community to help extend the knowledge of what the Navy  
 4 is doing in the environmental cleanup program on the  
 5 base.  
 6 Now, having said that, there's another -- in  
 7 San Francisco here, there's another parallel body that  
 8 has members, and it's called the Citizens Advisory  
 9 Committee, the C.A.C, the CAC. And the C.A.C. has a  
 10 different mission that is tied to events that occur once  
 11 the property is conveyed from the Navy to the local  
 12 reuse authority, the LRA.  
 13 And the Congress has established who the LRAs  
 14 are. In this case, for Hunters Point, the Congress said  
 15 the local reuse authority is the City of San Francisco.  
 16 So when the Navy finally finishes environmental  
 17 cleanup and gets all the approvals on a parcel-by-parcel  
 18 basis, we are then ready to convey the federal property,  
 19 the Navy base property, to the City of San Francisco,  
 20 the LRA.  
 21 The local reuse authority, the LRA, then  
 22 picks -- in most cases, doesn't hold onto the property  
 23 as a government entity. They pick an agent, a master  
 24 developer, another party, to flip the property to. This  
 25 is done across the country in very similar manner to the

1 manner in which City of San Francisco is approaching  
 2 it. In this case, the master developer is Lennar.  
 3 Now, there's another agency in the City of San  
 4 Francisco that the City set up called the San Francisco  
 5 Redevelopment Agency, the SFRA, which does many of the  
 6 day-to-day activities in managing this large project.  
 7 But Lennar is the master developer. And when  
 8 the property is finally flipped to Lennar or, as in the  
 9 case of Parcel A East and Parcel A West, that was the  
 10 case, then that property transfers hands. That then  
 11 becomes under the purview of the Citizens Advisory  
 12 Committee.  
 13 And in that committee, they have a completely  
 14 distinct and separate mission. And that mission is to  
 15 review plans for redevelopment, provide input for  
 16 redevelopment, be a watchdog to the development and to  
 17 the developer and everything they are doing, and to give  
 18 voice to the concerns of the community as that property  
 19 is integrated back into the community now that it's no  
 20 longer federal property. Okay?  
 21 So it's a completely separate mission from the  
 22 Restoration Advisory Board.  
 23 And I don't know here tonight how many people  
 24 are members of both or attend both. But about -- about  
 25 three, four, or five years ago there was a good size

1 number of RAB members who also attended a lot of  
2 Citizens Advisory Committee members [sic].  
3 And I can remember -- I go to Citizens  
4 Advisory Committee meetings occasionally. I go every  
5 time I'm invited, and sometimes I speak there on  
6 subjects, and sometimes I'm just in the audience.

7 But it's a very different kind of meeting. And  
8 in fact, the problem that I was having about five years  
9 ago is it was difficult to recruit for the RAB meetings  
10 because we talk about technical environmental issues,  
11 and sometimes that's not really interesting. It's not a  
12 glamorous topic.

13 The Citizens Advisory Committee has kind of an  
14 edge on us in that for a lot of people, they talk about  
15 very dynamic, exciting things. They talk about  
16 redeveloping federal property that was an industrial  
17 base into something that's going to be part of the  
18 community again. So they have a very exciting topic, a  
19 lot of graphics, a lot of exciting things that happen.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: But just stick to the RAB.

21 MR. FORMAN: Well, but I want to make the  
22 distinction that if the com- -- the best most powerful  
23 way that you can speak out if you had a choice of going  
24 to the RAB or the Citizens Advisory Committee is to go  
25 to that Citizens Advisory Committee and to talk about

1 what's going on and to, if you feel that you don't have  
2 enough information, demand information about what's  
3 going on on the programs there and making sure that they  
4 are protective as they develop the property.

5 Here I'm required to focus the RAB meeting on  
6 what the Navy's accountable for in the environmental  
7 cleanup program and the progress that we are making so  
8 that we can some day reintegrate this property into the  
9 community.

10 So it's two different missions, two different  
11 focuses. And you can have interest in both, but I think  
12 we need to make --

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: But the --

14 MR. FORMAN: -- it very clear that there are  
15 different places and different forums for discussing  
16 developer concerns.

17 MR. MUHAMMAD: So --

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: So Mr. Muhammad --

19 MR. MUHAMMAD: -- in a roundabout way to answer  
20 is --

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: You have to -- just a moment.

22 Mr. Muhammad, can you --?

23 MR. MUHAMMAD: I'm sorry.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm sorry.

25 MR. MUHAMMAD: So --

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Say it again, sir.

2 MR. MUHAMMAD: In a roundabout -- thank you for  
3 the historical overview. So in a roundabout way, is the  
4 Navy and Lennar working together or associated in the  
5 environmental cleanup of the Shipyard? Yes or no.

6 MR. FORMAN: No.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: No.

8 MR. MUHAMMAD: That's all we wanted to know --

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So now that you have  
10 the answer --

11 MR. MUHAMMAD: That's all we wanted to know.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: So that now that you have  
13 that -- okay.

14 MR. MUHAMMAD: -- Navy to state --

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Fine.

16 MR. MUHAMMAD: -- Lennar and the Navy are not  
17 associated in any way --

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Right.

19 MR. MUHAMMAD: -- regarding development, best  
20 practices --

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: No.

22 MR. MUHAMMAD: -- and whatever.

23 That's all we wanted to know.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yeah, okay. So that's clear  
25 now, sir. And be -- I'm sorry --

1 MR. FORMAN: Well, I'm --

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- but I'm not going -- no.

3 MR. FORMAN: I'm not sure that is clear.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: What's clear is that there's  
5 the RAB and the area of responsibility and focus.

6 MR. FORMAN: Right.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: And what's clear is that  
8 there's a C.A.C. and its area of focus. You were very  
9 clear about that.

10 Mr. Muhammad had a very straightforward  
11 question, which was he wanted to know where the  
12 differentiation was and whether there was any overlap.  
13 You've answered that question. There is no overlap  
14 between the Navy and Lennar in terms of areas of  
15 responsibility. There is not.

16 MR. FORMAN: Correct.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So with that said --  
18 and I know that we're two hands up -- if we could --  
19 three -- we're going to hold those because we're running  
20 long, and we do have an opportunity today to get a  
21 little deeper into cleanup efforts. So we want to make  
22 sure we have time for this presentation and barring --

23 Mr. Muhammad, did you have any other  
24 community --

25 MR. MUHAMMAD: No.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- comments?  
 2 Okay.  
 3 Then Mr. Forman, do you have any comments that  
 4 you wanted to say before we get into talking about  
 5 cleanup efforts? And then we can have more discussion  
 6 on these areas after -- after our presentation. So can  
 7 we do that?  
 8 MR. FORMAN: Yes.  
 9 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. So did you want  
 10 to go ahead and finish your -- in the announcements you  
 11 have from the Navy? No. Mr. Forman.  
 12 MR. FORMAN: I only have one. I just wanted to  
 13 quickly say thank you to Jim Ponton because this is his  
 14 final RAB meeting. I've got a lot of respect for this  
 15 guy. He's worked very hard on this base and is a very  
 16 knowledgeable, action-oriented regulator.  
 17 And I think you've done a great job.  
 18 MR. PONTON: Thank you.  
 19 MR. FORMAN: And I appreciate being associated  
 20 with you.  
 21 *(Applause.)*  
 22 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. And I know  
 23 Mr. Ponton would love to have a few words. Sorry. No.  
 24 I'm just -- Can --? Maybe we could have a few words  
 25 after.

1 MR. PONTON: Okay.  
 2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 3 So --  
 4 Yes, sir.  
 5 MR. FORMAN: For the sake of the person having  
 6 to do all the work tonight, should we take a break  
 7 before we launch into --?  
 8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yeah, that's exactly where I  
 9 was going to go with that. All rightie. So let's take  
 10 a ten-minute break, and then we'll come right back and  
 11 have our presentation and then have some room for  
 12 comments.  
 13 *(Whereupon, the meeting recesses*  
 14 *from 7:01 p.m. to 7:11 p.m.)*  
 15 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. We'd like to  
 16 reconvene our meeting and continue on with the  
 17 presentation on Parcel E revised remedial investigation  
 18 draft report. Let's see, how much time do you have for  
 19 this? You have, I don't know, 30 minutes.  
 20 MR. JENSEN: I think we can -- unfortunately,  
 21 we're a little pressed for time, but I think we can do  
 22 about maybe 25 minutes or so?  
 23 MS. PENDERGRASS: If we could do that so that  
 24 we won't have to squeeze everybody out of their  
 25 subcommittee reports.

1 MR. FORMAN: Right. So what we'll do is let's  
 2 work together on this. We'll try and speak very  
 3 clearly, but we will go a little faster than normal and  
 4 if we can have your complete undivided attention for  
 5 about 20, 25 minutes.  
 6 MS. PENDERGRASS: And if you could speak into a  
 7 microphone, that would help us.  
 8 MR. FORMAN: Okay.  
 9 MS. PENDERGRASS: I -- We can't hear you.  
 10 Yeah.  
 11 MR. FORMAN: Really? I thought I was pretty  
 12 loud. Okay.  
 13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Little closer.  
 14 MR. FORMAN: Serious?  
 15 MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm serious.  
 16 MR. FORMAN: All right.  
 17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.  
 18 MR. FORMAN: This is good?  
 19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Perfect.  
 20 MR. FORMAN: All right.  
 21 ATTENDEE: Thank you.  
 22 MR. FORMAN: I need to get out of the way.  
 23 MR. JENSEN: Because of the cord length.  
 24 MS. PENDERGRASS: He likes to dance on the  
 25 left.

1 MR. FORMAN: In more ways than one.  
 2 Okay. Are we ready? Okay. Tonight we're  
 3 going to talk about Parcel E, the largest -- this is not  
 4 a good time for it to fail. Okay -- Parcel E, the  
 5 largest land parcel on Hunters Point. It's stuck  
 6 between D and E-2. E-2 is that famous parcel that you  
 7 know about because it has the landfill on it.  
 8 Parcel D is the relatively clean parcel where  
 9 the proposed 49ers stadium would reside, at least  
 10 theoretically resides now.  
 11 So this is Parcel E. It's got a long  
 12 coastline, about 138 acres. That's what we're going to  
 13 talk about tonight.  
 14 Now, if you turn to your Monthly Progress  
 15 Report and look at "Parcel E," you'll know what phase of  
 16 CERCLA we are on. We are on the remedial investigation,  
 17 the RI. Now, remember that because I don't want to say  
 18 "remedial investigation" a lot. I want to just call it  
 19 an "RI" tonight. But that's what I'm talking about.  
 20 All right. The draft report, draft meeting,  
 21 it's the first iteration of the report, your first  
 22 chance to chew on it, is -- is what we're going to talk  
 23 about tonight.  
 24 Okay. I'm going to give a little bit of an  
 25 overview here on the purpose and objectives of an RI,

1 remedial investigation, a little bit about Parcel E and  
2 what it's all about, on what we do in the RI, which is  
3 we look over a lot of data; we do some evaluation of the  
4 data, and we tell you what the results of the data look  
5 like.

6 And then the other part of an RI, a remedial  
7 investigation, is that we then perform risk assessments;  
8 and we crunch the numbers on that data and are able to  
9 show people what the risk is on different grids,  
10 different portions of the Parcel.

11 And then we also propose some remediation.  
12 Remediation means cleanup, environmental cleanup. We  
13 propose preliminary cleanup remediation objectives. And  
14 then I'm going to go over some summaries and some  
15 recommendations.

16 Next slide.

17 Okay. So we are -- we're at the RI phase,  
18 remedial investigation phase. Here -- and here's some  
19 of the purpose and objectives of it.

20 We are going to update and revise the original  
21 RI that was done back in 1997. It wasn't adequate. And  
22 it's taken us a while, but we have done a lot of  
23 investigations, gathered a lot of data, and now we're  
24 putting out a new and improved RI.

25 What we are going to do, as we talk about in

1 Environmental 101, we characterize the soil and the  
2 groundwater; and then we develop a model that shows the  
3 different pack rates, right, for the soil and the  
4 groundwater and how it interacts with two things:  
5 people, people doing various things, and the animals and  
6 the critters, the ecology of the site.

7 Okay. We then -- We also do a risk analysis,  
8 and we use this risk analysis once we crunch the numbers  
9 and do the risk to determine potential areas that  
10 require remediation, better known as cleanup action.  
11 Okay?

12 Now, all this is a preliminary to what a lot of  
13 you want to know, which will come out in the next phase,  
14 which is the feasibility study. Feasibility study,  
15 again, we've gone over a lot of those this last year.  
16 Feasibility study is where the Navy actually proposes  
17 different cleanup alternatives.

18 We are not quite there yet, but it's really  
19 important if you want to really understand this parcel  
20 to understand the RI because that's where all the data  
21 resides, and that's what helps makes -- that's what  
22 helps us to make decisions like offer alternatives on  
23 what kind of cleanup the Navy is going to propose to do  
24 and where the cleanup action needs to take place. And  
25 the foundation for that is the remedial investigation.

1 Okay. In the CERCLA process, we are right  
2 here. And you can check that out again in your Monthly  
3 Progress Report. On the next-to-the-last page there, we  
4 have a little chart that explains each step of the  
5 CERCLA process. And you can see we are on the remedial  
6 investigation.

7 What have we been doing all these years,  
8 though? Not only have we been gathering data, as many  
9 of you know, we have done a lot of removal actions. We  
10 have been out there digging things up. Some things  
11 we've completely removed, like a metal reef that we  
12 talked about and metal slag areas and a PCB hot spot  
13 area and even an area where they stored radium dials.

14 All of those removal actions, as you can see  
15 here, have taken place in the last two years, okay, that  
16 involve portions of Parcel E. While we weren't do --  
17 When we weren't doing that, we were going out and  
18 gathering more data in the soil and in the groundwater.

19 Next.

20 All right. Parcel E. It's a large, flat  
21 area. Those of you that have toured the base know  
22 this. It's not very far above sea level, and it  
23 basically was created from the surrounding hillsides  
24 here [indicating] and from other areas where they  
25 brought in a bunch of excess soil.

1 One of the other sites was -- when they dug out  
2 Dry Dock 4, the second largest dry dock on the West  
3 Coast, they had about 500,000 cubic yards of bedrock and  
4 soil. They put much of that over into this area  
5 [indicating]. And in a few photographs, Dane will show  
6 you further on here how Parcel A actually was built out  
7 into San Francisco Bay.

8 Okay. So the original shoreline, if you want  
9 to go back to it, is back -- basically back up against  
10 this area [indicating]. So really Parcel E is one of  
11 the most artificial parcels on the base. It's mostly  
12 fill.

13 Next.

14 Okay.

15 MR. JENSEN: As Keith talked here, he talked  
16 about how the Navy putting out to the ocean there into  
17 the bay and developed Parcel E by essentially excavating  
18 and digging out the top of the hilltop here  
19 [indicating], which is your -- your Parcel A that's been  
20 transferred now.

21 We're looking here -- this is north, and this  
22 is in 1942. We are looking as they start pushing down  
23 this dirt into the bay and filling in "D" here, and "E"  
24 is all in here [indicating]; and it hasn't even really  
25 been developed yet.

1 Slide, please.

2 This is 1946. So in a matter of four years, we

3 have almost essentially started pushing out the dirt

4 into Parcel E, and it's -- it's -- again, as Keith said,

5 it's coming down off the hillside out of the dry docks

6 into -- into the bay to create Parcel E.

7 Slide, please.

8 In 1965 it's -- it's just about there built

9 out. You start seeing all these Quonset huts whatnot,

10 some of the large more industrial warehouse buildings

11 here [indicating], one of the old power plants down here

12 [indicating], and a big open space out here and fill

13 area, and E-2 is starting to be filled in.

14 Slide, please.

15 By 1975 it looks much as it does today, and

16 this is about the last time the Navy really occupied it

17 before it leased it to Triple A in 1976. But this

18 provides a good pictorial history of -- history of how

19 our Parcel E was developed.

20 Slide, please.

21 If we were to look at that and what we see --

22 After we develop and push all this fill into the ground

23 and take a side view and take kind of a cutout side

24 view, what we would see is something similar to Parcel B

25 and E-2 and that you've got fill material that was

1 pushed down from "A" into the bay.

2 This is the old bay mud in here [indicating],

3 and the bay used to come up in here and wa- -- you know,

4 wash up on the old tidal flats there. But then we put

5 all this material in. And by putting that in there, we

6 have a groundwater layer. And some of us have talked in

7 Environmental 101 about Aquifer A, and that's that upper

8 aquifer.

9 Below that old bay mud there's another

10 aquifer. It's a deeper aquifer, and it's called

11 Aquifer B.

12 And then below that there's more bay mud and

13 sediments until you reach this bedrock that goes up to

14 "A," and that's that Franciscan bedrock we've talked

15 about in the past.

16 And this is what we look at to see -- that goes

17 into our conceptual site model as far as how chemicals

18 and contaminants that -- if they were released, how they

19 move and where they could go and what that transport

20 pathway is of those chemicals.

21 Slide, please.

22 So in this remedial investigation, in this RI,

23 we develop it and organize it by the redevelopment

24 blocks. And these redevelopment blocks were developed

25 by the city -- the San Francisco Redevelopment

1 Association.

2 And in Parcel E, we've got a total of

3 13 redevelopment blocks. Five of these are industrial.

4 There's one maritime industrial, one mixed use, which

5 means it's a mixed use of potentially residential or

6 shops and vending -- vendors or -- and then there's a

7 one research and development section, and there's five

8 open spaces. Parcel E along the shoreline there has a

9 large open space proposed plan.

10 Slide, please.

11 So this graph here show -- this picture figure

12 shows the redevelopment blocks. And unfortunately, the

13 colors are a little washed out. But what we'll do is

14 we'll show this center block here; this is all proposed

15 re- -- industrial reuse.

16 Down here along the old pier, the old piers,

17 this is EMI-1. This is maritime industrial reuse.

18 The shoreline here is titled "EOS," E open

19 space. There's 1, 2, 3 and then the old railings that

20 come in two, four, and five which transfers up over

21 here. These are all open space.

22 Open space, what we do is we look at a

23 recreational reuse -- and these are all industrial

24 areas -- and then Block 45, which is a very light yellow

25 here, the old rail yard, that's going to be R & D. And

1 then 31A here is a mixed use. R & D, research and

2 development.

3 Slide, please.

4 Now, over the last 20 years here, as Keith

5 talked about, we have done a lot of studies. We have

6 done 20 studies, and these sales -- these studies have

7 been rather detailed and focused searches to identify

8 potential sources of contaminants out there.

9 And we looked at our past historical records of

10 what type of industrial activities we did out there,

11 reports and records from the people that worked out

12 there, what we know about the site, and we look at areas

13 where we think there's potentially spills. So they're

14 very focused and they are focused, and it's called a by

15 sampling approach.

16 We also have groundwater-monitoring data that

17 starts from the early 1990s. And in the last almost

18 three or four years now, we have done a fairly robust

19 basewide groundwater sampling program that happens every

20 quarter where we go out every three months and sample.

21 Slide, please.

22 And these -- these 20 years of 20 studies have

23 resulted in this. What we see here is all these dots

24 here represent a place where we have gone out and taken

25 a soil sample. When we sample, we'll take samples -- we

1 will take corings, and they go down various depths. But  
2 what we generally focus on is 0 to 10 feet.

3 So we will go and take a coring; and at each  
4 coring, we'll take a concentratio- -- maybe at the top  
5 foot, then maybe 3 foot down and 6 feet down. It really  
6 depends on what we think is at the site, what  
7 contaminants are out there, and the characteristics of  
8 the site. But they -- they go down various steps.

9 We also have sediment sampling along the  
10 shoreline here, and it talks about samples with various  
11 chemical analysis that we perform.

12 Slide, please.

13 We also have multiple groundwater-monitoring  
14 wells out there throughout the -- the site to determine  
15 where groundwater constituents and contamination may  
16 occur and that -- may -- may occur and to try to  
17 determine where their major concentrations or plumes of  
18 contaminants.

19 And these show a difference between if we're  
20 monitoring in that upper A aquifer that we saw in that  
21 slice that side, some wells monitor that upper aquifer,  
22 and other wells monitor that lower aquifer because  
23 there's different chemicals and there's different  
24 pathways and how the chemicals may move in the  
25 environment in those aquifers.

1 So these again shows that the site has many  
2 wells throughout it that monitor different -- different  
3 aquifers for different constituents and contaminants.

4 Slide, please.

5 We also went out there and looked at the  
6 ecological habitat out there. And this ecological  
7 habitat, all our -- you know, most of our critters are  
8 along that shoreline out there. And if you've been out  
9 there, lot of this is grassy area.

10 There's almost 16, 17 acres or so of ruderal  
11 habitat: And that's grass habitat; that's grasslands;  
12 it's shrubs; it's grassy habitat out there.

13 And what we see out there are the animals that  
14 we find out there. It's mostly rodents. It's mice and  
15 then rats and whatnot. But what feed on those rodents  
16 are a lot of birds. You know, you'll see some hawks out  
17 there, and you'll also see some fox and some raccoons  
18 and whatnot.

19 We've also got shoreline that we evaluate in  
20 the shoreline sediment habitats and intertidal wetlands  
21 where you'll see your crabs and clams and barnacles and  
22 whatnot.

23 Slide, please.

24 So what we do when we get all these samples and  
25 this data from both soil, groundwater, and sediment out

1 there, the first thing in the remedial investigation  
2 that we do is we do a nature and extent to determine  
3 what type of chemicals are out there, how much of it is  
4 out there and what the extent of it is out there.

5 And this nature and extent determines that  
6 presence and extent of chemicals based on our past  
7 industrial uses and based on the sampling data we have  
8 out there that may pose a human health or an ecological  
9 concern.

10 Slide, please.

11 And by doing this -- we take this data out  
12 there, the sample concentration data, and there's  
13 multiple sources. The EPA's got sources. Cal EPA has  
14 got sources, and they've got these residential  
15 rescreening criteria.

16 And we talked about what the reuse plan is, and  
17 each of these different reuse plans has a different  
18 screening criteria that's very conservative to make sure  
19 that it's safer for people to go back in there.

20 So we take these criteria and compare them to  
21 what are --

22 THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me.

23 MR. JENSEN: Yes.

24 THE COURT REPORTER: You know, I can't write  
25 that fast.

1 MR. JENSEN: I'm sorry. I'm trying to rush  
2 through this.

3 THE COURT REPORTER: I just can't do it.

4 MR. JENSEN: Okay.

5 THE COURT REPORTER: And I don't want to do it  
6 again later when I have to work on it.

7 MR. JENSEN: I will slow down.

8 THE COURT REPORTER: So you're going to have to  
9 slow down.

10 MR. JENSEN: Yes.

11 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.

12 MR. JENSEN: What we do with this is we take  
13 these soils concentration samples, and then we compare  
14 them to these screening values. And that gives us an  
15 idea of how much of our chemical's out there and what we  
16 need to be concerned with.

17 We do this for soil, we do this for  
18 groundwater, and we do this for sediment. There's many  
19 different criteria that we looked at.

20 Slide, please.

21 So what did we find out there? In the soil, we  
22 know that there's some metals out there, and  
23 particularly arsenic is of concern out there. There's  
24 some copper and lead that's out there.

25 We look at organics. In organics we find that

1 there's some PCBs, and we talked about PCBs in this past  
2 rad presentation at our Technical Subcommittee meeting.

3 We know that there's volatile organic compounds  
4 out there, and there's some petroleum products that we  
5 need to look at too.

6 In groundwater it's much the same. We see some  
7 of the same constituents. But by what we know about  
8 these chemicals and constituents, they -- they  
9 preferentially go -- they like certain habitats. They  
10 like -- Whether it's groundwater, whether it's soil,  
11 they go to different areas.

12 But we -- we determine what type of metals,  
13 what type of organics, and what type of petroleum  
14 products are out there; and we carry that forward.

15 Slide, please.

16 This kind of shows how we look at this data.  
17 It kind of shows how we develop our conceptual site  
18 model.

19 What we have is if you had subsurface  
20 contamination, what could potentially happen with that?  
21 Is the contaminate going to leach out and then go into  
22 the groundwater below? This line represents maybe what  
23 the groundwater might be at, how far down it is.

24 In Parcel E, groundwater is generally between  
25 5 feet below ground surface down to 15 feet below the

1 there that we talked about earlier: industrial  
2 scenario, residential scenario, and our recreational  
3 scenario.

4 We identify chemicals and media that pose human  
5 health and environmental risk concerns out of this risk  
6 assessment.

7 And then from this risk assessment, those areas  
8 where there's elevated risk concerns, we look at what  
9 areas need to have cleanup actions taken, and those  
10 areas are carried forward in the feasibility study, and  
11 that's where we start developing how we clean it up, and  
12 we propose that in the next step.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Take a breath. Count to 10.

14 MR. JENSEN: Trying to rush through this.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Take a breath.

16 MR. JENSEN: Okay.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Continue.

18 MR. JENSEN: Slide, please.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

20 MR. JENSEN: Thank you.

21 Again, this just shows briefly your reuse plan,  
22 and it's a little bit washed out. But there's an  
23 industrial portion here; there's open space down here  
24 recreational, and we're looking at a residential  
25 scenario up here for our reuse plan and our risk

1 ground surface and on average about 8 feet down. So if  
2 you were to dig 8 feet into Parcel E dirt, you'd run  
3 into groundwater.

4 And that groundwater potentially could go to  
5 the bay. Sometimes the soil contaminants the -- will  
6 vaporize. It will turn back into a gas and leach out  
7 through the -- through the soil and come back into the  
8 air.

9 Sometimes you'll have a receptor, whether it's  
10 a person or an animal, come into contact by either, you  
11 know, touching this -- this soil on their skin and have  
12 that become a receptor.

13 So this develops. How does the chemical move  
14 throughout the environment, and how potentially could it  
15 expose a person or an animal?

16 Slide, please.

17 So as is the nature the risk -- the nature and  
18 extent section, we go into a risk assessment section.  
19 And this again is what you alluded earlier. We -- we do  
20 a lot of number crunching. We do a lot of data analysis  
21 and mathematics. We utilize existing models that are  
22 out there to do this analysis.

23 So we conduct the risk assessment; and based on  
24 this risk assessment, we use it -- we conduct the risk  
25 assessment based on the future scenarios that are out

1 assessment.

2 Slide, please.

3 So with our risk assessment results, what do we  
4 see? In soil much of it again is driven by arsenic. We  
5 also have concerns with copper and lead and some other  
6 metals. In groundwater it's a similar characteristic.  
7 For ecological risk assessment, for soil it's copper and  
8 lead.

9 We've also got some volatile organic compounds  
10 out there and some petroleum products and some organics  
11 that include PCBs and pesticides.

12 And for shoreline risk evaluation, it's  
13 similar. It's the metals and it's the organic  
14 compounds.

15 Slide, please.

16 This is much the same. And what -- what we'll  
17 do is this report has a lot of data in it. In some  
18 ways, it's kind of hard to break down. But if we go to  
19 the next slide, we talk about human health risk  
20 drivers. Again, metals and organics reoccur as the  
21 primary driver.

22 Slide, please.

23 In the ecological risk asse- -- discussion --  
24 excuse me -- metals, organics, and the volatile organic  
25 compounds.

89

1 And next slide, please.

2 We also did our shoreline tech memorandum,

3 technical memorandum, which -- which really did this and

4 dug into it; and it talks about the human health and the

5 recreational user. And the ecological receptors, we're

6 looking at the shellfish and other animals in the

7 sediment, the birds and the mammals out there in the

8 shoreline there.

9 Slide, please.

10 And what we do when we develop these risk

11 assessments, we look at it on a grid-by-grid basis. We

12 break these large redevelopment blocks down into smaller

13 blocks and take samples out of those smaller blocks and

14 perform the risk analysis. And we develop these large

15 figures on, again, what areas to carry forward into the

16 FS.

17 And this an example of a particular scenario

18 that's been run, and this is for incremental risk for

19 surface soil based on planned reuse. And it's a

20 mouthful.

21 But what it essentially comes down to is that

22 the areas that are pink, blue, and this light, light

23 green that's a little bit washed out in this -- this

24 hatched area, these are areas that pose elevated risk;

25 and these are areas that need to get taken forward into

90

1 the FS.

2 And as you see, much of Parcel E, because of

3 its past use in historical precedents out there and what

4 we gain through our sampling data, we really need to

5 look for -- we need to look at it in the feasibility

6 study and what cleanup actions need to take place and

7 how to clean it up out there. So this is for soil.

8 Slide, please.

9 For groundwater, again it's washed out here.

10 But we've got -- we've got risk areas and plumes

11 identified that show where elevated concentrations are.

12 So this area right here, this is a TPH form.

13 And this was where they used to take bilge oil and put

14 it in the dirts out here into a pond area, to another

15 TPH out here. TPH is total petroleum hydrocarbons,

16 basically essentially a gasoline and diesel and motor

17 oil. We know that's a problem out there.

18 We know there's some volatile organic compounds

19 in these green areas here. These are solvents that have

20 leaked into the groundwater or whatnot, something like

21 benzene or TCE. It's a solvent base that we need to

22 look at.

23 There's also metals out here, predominantly

24 again copper and lead in these red areas. And these are

25 all areas that we're proposing based on risk. And what

91

1 that means, the risk analysis that we perform and what

2 our current situation out there is to need to get taken

3 care of in the feasibility study and how we develop a

4 cleanup role -- or cleanup action. Excuse me.

5 Slide, please.

6 So based on what we found in our data analysis,

7 we start to develop our remedial action objectives.

8 These remedial action objectives are what we want to do

9 to clean this up and how we want to prevent risk

10 exposure in the future. And we develop in specific to

11 soil and groundwater.

12 These remedial action objectives also need to

13 be protective of human health and the environment, and

14 they need to take into account the future land use.

15 They are also based completely on completed or

16 potentially completed pathways. Even if we think we

17 might have a pathway in the future that -- that's out

18 there, we need to make sure our remedial action takes

19 care of it.

20 Slide, please.

21 So for soil essentially what we want to do is

22 prevent exposure to chemicals in soil at concentrations

23 above our remediation goals, and these remediation goals

24 will get really set out in the feasibility study.

25 Same thing for ecological. We want to prevent

92

1 exposure to birds and mammals for these soils that are

2 above our remediation goals with high concentrations.

3 Slide, please.

4 For groundwater it's similar. We want to

5 prevent direct exposure to chemicals of concern in the

6 B aquifer for essentially household use of well water.

7 Now, household use of well water from Hunters Point most

8 likely will not happen because we can't drill wells out

9 there for household use. But we still have to look at

10 it 'cause it -- you know, slight possibility if it does

11 happen, what would happen.

12 We also need to make sure that we prevent

13 breathing chemicals of concern from those vapors that

14 emit from some of those to- -- those contaminated

15 groundwater plumes areas.

16 We also want to thoroughly prevent breathing in

17 or skin contact with that construction work that may be

18 out there in a trench some day working on a utility

19 line.

20 For ecological we want to prevent or minimize

21 chemicals of concern moving into groundwater towards the

22 bay.

23 Slide, please.

24 For shoreline sediment, it's much the same. We

25 just want to make sure we prevent exposure to chemicals

1 of concern above our risk goals in the Parcel E  
 2 shoreline.  
 3 And for ecological, much the same. We want to  
 4 prevent that exposure to birds and animals and crabs and  
 5 whatnot out there.  
 6 Slide, please.  
 7 So in this remedial investigation, what we've  
 8 done, we've looked at the soil, groundwater, and  
 9 sediment. We looked at how far the extent of  
 10 contaminants are out there.  
 11 We characterized our human and health and  
 12 that's ecological risks through that risk assessment,  
 13 and we identified areas where there are elevated risks  
 14 through multiple scenarios where those highlighted  
 15 figures and boxes throughout the site and the -- the  
 16 groundwater risk plumes. And we put forth what we want  
 17 to do for preliminary cleanup objectives.  
 18 Slide, please.  
 19 And Keith is going to talk about kind of the  
 20 next steps as we continue to develop and refine this RI.  
 21 MR. FORMAN: Okay. All right. So the next  
 22 steps are we're going to take the -- this is the draft  
 23 remedial investigation. You still have the draft final  
 24 and the final, okay.  
 25 The remedial investigation, again, when you

1 those -- the chemicals in those areas that are really of  
 2 concern. It's a good broad overview.  
 3 MS. ENEA: Thank you.  
 4 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.  
 5 MR. FORMAN: Okay.  
 6 MS. PENDERGRASS: So we can bring the lights  
 7 back up.  
 8 And Ms. Enea, I guess you have a third question  
 9 here?  
 10 MS. ENEA: Yes, please.  
 11 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.  
 12 Is there anyone else?  
 13 Okay.  
 14 MS. ENEA: Would it be possible going forward  
 15 in the cases where executive summaries or these types of  
 16 documents are as short as eight or ten pages to bring  
 17 copies, or has that already happened?  
 18 MR. JENSEN: No.  
 19 MS. ENEA: Okay.  
 20 MR. JENSEN: Unfortunately, it didn't. We  
 21 talked briefly about this, among other issues, in the  
 22 last Technical Subcommittee meeting; but we can make  
 23 effort whenever we do bring a document forward or have  
 24 the revisions with document and present executive  
 25 summary a handful of those that you can take home.

1 review it, if you don't have time to look at the whole  
 2 document, just review the executive summary at the  
 3 beginning of the document, and it will tell you the  
 4 *Reader's Digest* version of what we found and what we are  
 5 going to bring forward -- what areas of the parcel we're  
 6 going to bring forward that need cleanup action. Okay?  
 7 And again, this is distinct from the  
 8 feasibility study, the next phase where we will actually  
 9 evaluate different alternatives, choices we have, of how  
 10 we're going to do remediation on the parcel.  
 11 Okay. So the Draft Revised Remedial  
 12 Investigation, the RI, it was issued on July 27, and  
 13 comments are due back to the Navy on September 12th.  
 14 The draft final version, as it stands now, would then be  
 15 issued on December 6th, and then we'll have a Final  
 16 Remedial Investigation on February 21st, 2008.  
 17 MS. ENEA: Could I just ask one clarification?  
 18 The Draft Revised RI is available at the library?  
 19 MR. FORMAN: Yes.  
 20 MR. JENSEN: Correct. The document in the  
 21 back.  
 22 MS. ENEA: And the executive summary is roughly  
 23 how long?  
 24 MR. JENSEN: It's maybe eight to ten pages, I  
 25 want to say. It's very, very -- again, highlights

1 MS. ENEA: That would be great.  
 2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So would that be  
 3 something that you would bring to the Technical  
 4 Subcommittee --  
 5 MR. FORMAN: We could do that.  
 6 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- copies or --?  
 7 MR. FORMAN: That's probably the best time to  
 8 do that.  
 9 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Very fine.  
 10 Miss Enea?  
 11 MS. ENEA: It would be great if you could also  
 12 bring it so they'd be to the full body and --  
 13 MS. ATTENDEE: Can't hear.  
 14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 15 MS. ENEA: Sorry.  
 16 MS. PENDERGRASS: So Miss Enea -- Miss Enea's  
 17 asking or requesting that the Navy bring -- if it's a  
 18 short document to bring it if it's ten pages or less is  
 19 what you said, bring it to -- bring copies to the RAB  
 20 meeting. Is that something that the Navy can  
 21 facilitate, Mr. Forman?  
 22 MR. FORMAN: Pardon? I'm sorry.  
 23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Is that --?  
 24 MR. FORMAN: Yes, we can.  
 25 MS. ENEA: Thank you.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: All rightie, then. Okay.  
 2 Are there any other questions? I didn't --  
 3 Oh, just one second, though.  
 4 Are there any questions from the RAB before we  
 5 go out to the audience?  
 6 Miss Enea.  
 7 Just one moment, sir.  
 8 MS. ENEA: Should I wait for microphone or --?  
 9 MS. PENDERGRASS: No, if you speak loudly,  
 10 please.  
 11 MR. FORMAN: Okay.  
 12 MS. ENEA: Okay. I just had one last question  
 13 on the --  
 14 MR. FORMAN: Sure, Kristine.  
 15 MS. ENEA: -- the slide you showed that had the  
 16 different colors grids --  
 17 MR. FORMAN: Can we go back? It's towards -- I  
 18 don't know --  
 19 MS. ENEA: That's the one.  
 20 MR. FORMAN: Right there? Okay.  
 21 MS. ENEA: So the ones that are bright green,  
 22 are you saying those are the ones where there is  
 23 basically little risk?  
 24 MR. FORMAN: Right.  
 25 MR. JENSEN: These here, those are the areas

1 where the risk is less than our elevated risk criteria.  
 2 MS. ENEA: Okay.  
 3 MR. JENSEN: So as you see, though, they are  
 4 intermixed together. What we do is when we have  
 5 redevelopment block -- it's a rather large redevelopment  
 6 block. The small area inside that block, they may pose  
 7 up there for that. We have to look for the solution for  
 8 the whole block at that point.  
 9 MR. FORMAN: Right.  
 10 MS. ENEA: Okay.  
 11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. All right.  
 12 So yes, sir. Thank you, sir. Appreciate your  
 13 patience.  
 14 And then Mr. McCarthy, just a moment.  
 15 MR. POWELL: Good evening, everyone.  
 16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Just go ahead.  
 17 MR. FORMAN: Yes.  
 18 MR. POWELL: My name is Harrell Powell, and my  
 19 question is, Why was the map revised?  
 20 MR. ATTENDEE: Speak up.  
 21 MR. POWELL: Why was the map revised?  
 22 MR. JENSEN: The map revised? Are you  
 23 talking the parcel itself?  
 24 MR. POWELL: Well, the parcel -- parcel sitting  
 25 up asking -- inquiring about Section E and E-2 seem to

1 be have been revised, remapped?  
 2 MR. JENSEN: The -- the map wasn't revised.  
 3 What happened was in 2004 it was all "E." It was one  
 4 parcel. And in 2004 the Navy decided in order to work  
 5 with the landfill in E-2, it was best to split them up,  
 6 because it was such a large and complex parcel, they  
 7 decided to split it up.  
 8 MR. POWELL: It seemed like you remapped it  
 9 again.  
 10 MR. FORMAN: Pardon?  
 11 MR. POWELL: You seemed to have remapped it  
 12 again, Section E -- E and E-2, seemed like you remapped  
 13 it again.  
 14 MR. FORMAN: No, sir. This all -- What we did  
 15 is we drew a line down to separate E from E-2, but the  
 16 actual boundaries haven't changed.  
 17 MR. POWELL: Oh, yes, they have, which have  
 18 about --  
 19 MR. FORMAN: Well, could you -- could you show  
 20 me?  
 21 MR. JENSEN: Any of these ones.  
 22 MR. FORMAN: Sure, sure.  
 23 MR. POWELL: Well, before "E" was going back up  
 24 here, and this is part of "E," you know, and this part  
 25 here.

1 MR. JENSEN: Correct. And that -- and "E" was  
 2 before 2004 this whole piece in here.  
 3 MR. FORMAN: Was "E."  
 4 MR. JENSEN: Was "E," yes. And in 2004 we  
 5 decided, because it was -- it's a complex and large  
 6 site, it's easier to break it up into bite-size pieces.  
 7 So we cut out E-2 here.  
 8 MR. POWELL: The previous maps that we had --  
 9 the previous maps that we had just before it, E-2 was  
 10 down here, and E was over here [indicating].  
 11 MR. FORMAN: No.  
 12 MR. POWELL: Yes.  
 13 MS. PENDERGRASS: It was all "E" is what he's  
 14 saying. It was all "E."  
 15 MR. FORMAN: But the boundaries of the base  
 16 haven't changed. This is still -- When you look at the  
 17 outer part here --  
 18 MR. POWELL: I --  
 19 MR. FORMAN: -- okay, this is the end of the  
 20 base, right? So there was never any -- this is not --  
 21 this is not a parcel.  
 22 MR. POWELL: Okay. Where's Navy Road?  
 23 MR. FORMAN: "Navy Road."  
 24 MR. POWELL: Old Navy Road.  
 25 MR. FORMAN: Oh, you mea-- Oh, you're

101

1 talking about -- This is -- this is A East. This is A  
 2 West. This used to be -- We have conveyed this.  
 3 MR. POWELL: Right.  
 4 MR. FORMAN: Okay.  
 5 MR. POWELL: I understand.  
 6 MR. FORMAN: Okay.  
 7 MR. POWELL: But Section E was part of -- was  
 8 part of boundary with Section A and E, E-2 [inaudible]  
 9 here.  
 10 THE COURT REPORTER: I can't hear you.  
 11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 12 MR. MASON: Can't hear you.  
 13 THE COURT REPORTER: It's not going to make  
 14 sense in the record.  
 15 MS. PENDERGRASS: That's okay.  
 16 MR. FORMAN: Well, sir, if you could show me a  
 17 map that you're referring to because --  
 18 MR. POWELL: [Inaudible] but I'll bring it the  
 19 next.  
 20 MR. FORMAN: Okay. That's fine.  
 21 MS. PENDERGRASS: But I'd like to just ask,  
 22 what would that have --? I mean, you're saying that the  
 23 boundaries have shifted.  
 24 MR. POWELL: Yes.  
 25 MS. PENDERGRASS: But what would that --? I

102

1 mean, is there some relevance to that?  
 2 MR. POWELL: I just wanted -- just wanted to  
 3 know why they -- they redid it. That's all.  
 4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Okay. Well, that  
 5 question's still open. So we could --  
 6 MR. FORMAN: Well --  
 7 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- handle that off line in  
 8 terms of comparing maps if necessary?  
 9 MR. FORMAN: Sure.  
 10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 11 So let's continue. Are there any other  
 12 questions around the presentation on this particular  
 13 parcel? Okay.  
 14 Yes, sir.  
 15 DR. MCGOWAN: It's not a question. It's  
 16 just -- it's just a point of clarification with regard  
 17 to Miss Enea's request for executive summaries.  
 18 I'd just like to mention that at the Community  
 19 Window on the Shipyard, we have these reports also; and  
 20 we have a printer, and anyone who wants to can come  
 21 print out the executive summary for these reports right  
 22 there. It's 4634 Third Street.  
 23 MS. PENDERGRASS: 4634 Third --  
 24 DR. MCGOWAN: Third Street.  
 25 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- Street is Windows on the

103

1 Bay -- or on the Shipyard. Thank you so much.  
 2 MR. MASON: I like the "Bay," though.  
 3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So Mr. Rao, is there a  
 4 question regarding this report so that we can . . . ?  
 5 DR. RAO: Actually, I just have a suggestion in  
 6 terms of since regarding where Parcel E RI --  
 7 MR. FORMAN: Yes.  
 8 DR. RAO: -- if there was a -- if there was a  
 9 way to sort of make the presentation more closer to the  
 10 beginning of the comment period.  
 11 Right now we are in the middle -- end of  
 12 August. So the comment period has already begun on  
 13 July 27.  
 14 But just for future, if we can have the pres-  
 15 -- if it's possible to have the presentation more closer  
 16 to the beginning of the comment period.  
 17 So right now there's only about less than two  
 18 weeks for the draft RI.  
 19 MR. FORMAN: Okay.  
 20 DR. RAO: Just a suggestion.  
 21 MR. FORMAN: Sure. What we try to do is we  
 22 have a Technical Subcommittee devoted to this -- what,  
 23 in -- was it -- about a week ago, yeah. So we've had  
 24 more than one meeting dealing with Parcel E. But I  
 25 understand what you're saying.

104

1 Our challenge this year is that there is a lot  
 2 of major milestone documents coming out in about a  
 3 six-month period. But I under- -- I understand your  
 4 concern.  
 5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. All right.  
 6 And yes, sir. I'm sorry.  
 7 MR. MCCARTHY: Yes. The issue that was -- The  
 8 issue I was hearing before about the lack of control  
 9 relating to trucks --  
 10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay, but we're talking about  
 11 this particular presentation right now. So can we hold  
 12 that to the community comment period --  
 13 MR. MCCARTHY: Oh, okay.  
 14 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- if it's around dust and  
 15 the trucks?  
 16 MR. MCCARTHY: Okay.  
 17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. I appreciate that.  
 18 MR. MCCARTHY: I started raising questions  
 19 between a year and year and a half about that and --  
 20 MS. PENDERGRASS: But does this have to do with  
 21 Parcel E? Okay. I'm sorry. I'm trying to keep us into  
 22 this if there's any more questions around the  
 23 presentation.  
 24 Anybody else have any questions around the  
 25 presentation? Thank you so much.

1 MR. FORMAN: Thank you.  
 2 (Applause.)  
 3 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. I'm trying to  
 4 move us along. We only have a few minutes. We do need  
 5 to have our subcommittee reports. If there's any  
 6 motions or -- of interest to the -- to the entire RAB,  
 7 that needs to be brought forward right now.  
 8 So let's start with the Membership and Bylaws  
 9 and Community Outreach Committee; and again, do you have  
 10 a summary of your report?  
 11 MR. VAN HOUTEN: Can I have a microphone?  
 12 Everybody get a copy of my report?  
 13 MS. ENEA: Yes.  
 14 MR. MASON: No.  
 15 MR. VAN HOUTEN: Okay.  
 16 MS. PENDERGRASS: It's a nice color copy  
 17 report. Everybody got it? It's coming around. All  
 18 right. So we can all read this.  
 19 So do you have, yeah, your report?  
 20 MR. VAN HOUTEN: Okay. So basically, you'll  
 21 see this is kind of just an update of where the  
 22 committee is, what the membership's -- you can see the  
 23 chart there, what our percentages are, what they kind of  
 24 -- they are supposed to be, what our mission is.  
 25 And you can see the goals down there. And one

1 of the things I wanted to bring up earlier was we were  
 2 talking about outreach, and that is one of the goals of  
 3 the committee.  
 4 And part of my thing in the future will be  
 5 coming to each member who represents a community and  
 6 finding out from them how to communicate to that  
 7 community and how they themselves are doing that  
 8 presently and how we can help them to communicate to  
 9 their community.  
 10 So, other than that, you'll see the next  
 11 meeting date. My contact's at the bottom.  
 12 Basically, what we are working on at the next  
 13 meeting is the adjustment, any adjustments to the  
 14 bylaws. And so if you have any -- I think they have  
 15 been sent out several times if you have them. Please  
 16 read them. Just read them clearly.  
 17 And if you have anything that you think that  
 18 needs to be adjusted, either E-mail me or call me, or  
 19 you can get ahold of Carolyn as well and/or come to next  
 20 meeting. I really like to have everybody there. We're  
 21 going to finalize any adjustment at the next meeting.  
 22 We'll be presenting those at the next full RAB in  
 23 September for a final vote.  
 24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So the next meeting is  
 25 Thursday, September 13th, from 6:00 to 8:00 at the

1 library.  
 2 Yes, ma'am.  
 3 MS. BRYANT: I was just wondering, at that  
 4 meeting, will we be able to offer those suggestions or  
 5 just finalizing what's already on the table?  
 6 MR. VAN HOUTEN: No. We'll be taking more  
 7 suggestions and looking at more adjustments. So if you  
 8 have any suggestions, like I said, you can contact me  
 9 prior to that if you can't make the meeting, or come to  
 10 the meeting with them, and we will go over them; and we  
 11 will vote as a committee and then we'll move them.  
 12 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. So we are going  
 13 to do the Economic Committee, and then we're going to  
 14 combine the Technical Subcommittee report and the  
 15 Technical Assistance Grant update.  
 16 So Mr. Mason, did -- are you prepared with that  
 17 tonight?  
 18 MR. MASON: Well, the unfortunate thing is  
 19 that -- that we wanted to have -- the important thing is  
 20 that we want to have an economic meeting in September,  
 21 but we couldn't because of --  
 22 MS. PENDERGRASS: It's only August.  
 23 MR. MASON: -- because the -- what's going on.  
 24 MS. ENEA: August.  
 25 MR. MASON: I mean August. I'm --

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yeah.  
 2 MR. MASON: -- sorry.  
 3 MS. PENDERGRASS: That's okay.  
 4 MR. MASON: We had set one for August; but, you  
 5 know, the Navy and the contract compliance officer  
 6 couldn't come up. So we decided that we would forgo it  
 7 until September 12th, I guess. That's what we have down  
 8 here.  
 9 And, you know, we have some very interesting  
 10 topics that we need to talk about, you know, that the  
 11 community is doing some work in the Shipyard. There's  
 12 some things that we have to settle, the trucker  
 13 situation that we have to talk about, an economic  
 14 situation, some payment invoices that we're talking  
 15 about.  
 16 We're also talking about community, which is a  
 17 big concern of mine when we talk about economics.  
 18 Community is my biggest concern, how much -- how does  
 19 the community fair in the economic play with the Navy --  
 20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 21 MR. MASON: -- on the cleanup.  
 22 MS. PENDERGRASS: So your next meeting is --  
 23 MR. MASON: -- September 12, 6 o'clock --  
 24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 25 MR. MASON: -- to 8 o'clock at Anna Waden

1 Library; and I like folks that are interested in  
 2 economics --  
 3 MS. PENDERGRASS: To come on out.  
 4 MR. MASON: -- and community business  
 5 opportunities, you know, to show up.  
 6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. All right.  
 7 MR. MASON: We need some suggestions also.  
 8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you so much,  
 9 Mr. Mason.  
 10 All right. So Mr. -- Dr. Tompkins, if you  
 11 could give us your Technical Subcommittee report and  
 12 then a brief report on the TAG or however you want to  
 13 handle that, and if, you know, coup- --  
 14 DR. TOMPKINS: Okay.  
 15 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- take a couple extra  
 16 minutes, but not --  
 17 DR. TOMPKINS: Okay.  
 18 One, I have to apologize. I did get the  
 19 agenda. I didn't get an opportunity to do the minutes.  
 20 Unfortunately, I'm sharing with Barbara. I've been ill,  
 21 and I've been in the hospital and therapy, so, like --  
 22 like, this morning.  
 23 You see on your agenda there's some era --  
 24 errors. My left side doesn't work too good some days,  
 25 so I'm dealing one hand tied.

1 One, the technical meeting we had was a very  
 2 constructive one. The Navy did in-depth presentation on  
 3 Parcel E. We plan to do a follow-up on that. There are  
 4 some questions and other topics, and I'd like to add --  
 5 thank you.  
 6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Sure.  
 7 DR. TOMPKINS: Thank you. That's better.  
 8 I'd like to add on there additional topics for  
 9 consideration, that this is just an outline, a  
 10 suggestion, for topics for the agenda.  
 11 Also, I purposely left out the address 'cause I  
 12 needed to confirm with Mr. -- Leon Muhammad as to the  
 13 address of where we could hold our tech meeting, because  
 14 we ran out of time the last time around. We really  
 15 could have gone for another two, three hours. But the  
 16 library pushed us out at two and just -- like, some of  
 17 our topics are in depth, and we need to really have that  
 18 time to set aside to really work these out.  
 19 Couple other topics for the coming-up meeting  
 20 as well is dealing with air-monitoring data. As I said  
 21 in the meeting, I would present a memo to Mr. Forman  
 22 formally making a request of the data on the air  
 23 monitoring and a date specific as well because I have  
 24 concerns that was brought up from the community as well  
 25 when they have exceedancies [sic] over on Parcel A that

1 it's blowing over to the Shipyard possibility.  
 2 And we'd like to see and do a comparative  
 3 analysis between the data that's at the Navy and the  
 4 data that was made public to us on air monitoring as  
 5 well.  
 6 And additional, if you -- in your monitoring,  
 7 do you have -- on the units in the field, do you have a  
 8 tape readout --  
 9 MR. FORMAN: I'm not going to --  
 10 DR. TOMPKINS: -- of that information?  
 11 MR. FORMAN: I'm not going to -- This is a  
 12 subcommittee report. This isn't questions. Please --  
 13 DR. TOMPKINS: No. I'm asking for -- for --  
 14 this is a request here that I'm doing for me for the  
 15 data for the next subcommittee.  
 16 But I'm asking for in the monitoring of the  
 17 units, does it have a tape that reads out on the unit  
 18 air monitoring for particulates or --  
 19 MS. PENDERGRASS: But since that requires kind  
 20 of a --  
 21 DR. TOMPKINS: -- arsenic?  
 22 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- an in-depth to think about  
 23 that, can you just go ahead and make your -- continue  
 24 your report --  
 25 DR. TOMPKINS: I'd like to include that --

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- and then --?  
 2 DR. TOMPKINS: -- in the request --  
 3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 4 DR. TOMPKINS: -- if it exists.  
 5 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.  
 6 DR. TOMPKINS: That will summarize. Please  
 7 refer to agenda. If there's any additional items that  
 8 you'd like included, please feel free to contact me, and  
 9 I'll include that on the next agenda. And I'll have the  
 10 minutes ready for the tech.  
 11 And I'll defer over to the TAG grant.  
 12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes.  
 13 DR. TOMPKINS: It was decided due to funds on  
 14 the tech -- for the technical advisers, they will not  
 15 review Parcel F but will let Mike, who's a marine  
 16 biologist, and Arc Ecology to take the lead on comments  
 17 on that; and we will stay focused on Parcel E-2, E, B,  
 18 and C as well. Okay. It was matter of time allotment  
 19 and financial reasons.  
 20 MS. ENEA: You said Mike?  
 21 DR. TOMPKINS: Pardon?  
 22 MS. ENEA: You said Mike?  
 23 DR. TOMPKINS: Mike from Arc Ecology.  
 24 MS. ENEA: Oh, okay.  
 25 DR. TOMPKINS: He's a -- he's a scientist as

113

1 well.

2 MS. ENEA: Our Mike.

3 DR. TOMPKINS: Our Mike. Our Mike.

4 MS. ENEA: Okay.

5 DR. TOMPKINS: And he'll take the lead -- Mike

6 will take the lead on community comments.

7 Also, one other thing. I'm sorry. On -- For

8 the agenda for the Tech Committee meeting, I would also

9 like us to review E-2 and the comments so that we could

10 present to the board positions so that the Board can

11 take an official vote on their view and perspective on

12 Parcel E-2 and present it as a formal recommendation to

13 the Navy.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: So the -- just to be clear on

15 the process, though --

16 That's okay.

17 Just to be clear on the process, the way that

18 would work now is the subcommittee would hash it out,

19 talk about it, make sure everybody's clear that you all

20 were thinking about it. Then you would summarize what

21 you heard and make some recommendations. And if those

22 recommendations involve the Board making some type of

23 motion, that's when it would happen.

24 Does that make sense to you? I mean, that's

25 the process. Okay.

114

1 Mr. Muhammad.

2 MR. MUHAMMAD: I know that talking to the

3 scientist Mike over here --

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Speak louder, please.

5 MR. MUHAMMAD: Talking to the scientist Mike --

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mike.

7 MR. MUHAMMAD: -- right here.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay, our Mike.

9 MR. MUHAMMAD: Our Mike. He did say that Arc

10 Ecology is available for this date.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Excellent.

12 MR. MUHAMMAD: Will be there.

13 And I don't know, maybe in the next meeting if

14 you have can have that Stanford research department

15 that's doing some observation analysis out there, they

16 could just come to the Tech Subcommittee, you know --

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: So can you work that out with

18 Dr. Tompkins --

19 MR. MUHAMMAD: Yeah.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- in terms of the

21 subcommittee --?

22 Yes. Ms. Enea?

23 MS. ENEA: And Arc Ecology is located on Third

24 Street?

25 DR. MCGOWAN: 4634 Third Street.

115

1 MS. ENEA: For the record.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you. Thank you very

3 much.

4 DR. MCGOWAN: It's on the contact list with my

5 address.

6 MS. ENEA: I know where it is, but I don't know

7 if everyone else knows where it is.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Very fine. All

9 right.

10 Dr. Tompkins, are you finished with your TAG

11 grant update as well? So you're okay with that?

12 DR. TOMPKINS: Yes.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Thank you very --

14 Very good.

15 All right. Just want to make sure that there

16 were a couple of questions that we had in terms of some

17 of the dust and the overflow from the Lennar property to

18 this property. We had Dr. Tompkins and our Mike had a

19 cou- -- had their hands up, and I wanted to make sure

20 that we allowed that comment before we leave. Don't

21 want to leave anybody out.

22 So, Dr. Tompkins, did you have a question about

23 that or a comment that you'd like to make? Why don't we

24 do it right here. There you go.

25 DR. TOMPKINS: As I talked to Mr. Forman during

116

1 the break, wanted to show the rest of the RAB that it's

2 important that the Navy come forth and -- to some of the

3 other community town meetings present -- make that

4 delineation that they are not part of the development of

5 Parcel A, 'cause I personally have gotten into arguments

6 with people in the community and -- face-to-face

7 arguments and meetings afterward.

8 And it's just important 'cause there's a lot of

9 confusion and misinformation being presented as fact.

10 And I was accused of being an employee of the Navy and

11 working for Arc Ecology. So it's like I'm trying to put

12 the truth out there and not just misinformation.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Dr. McGowan?

14 DR. MCGOWAN: I just wanted to agree with

15 Mr. Muhammad that there's a lot of confusion and overlap

16 between the C.A.C. --

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

18 DR. MCGOWAN: -- and the RAB.

19 And on the C.A.C., there is an Environmental

20 Advisory Subcommittee, and I and some other scientists

21 attend the meetings to provide input through that

22 mechanism.

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excellent.

24 DR. MCGOWAN: So there is a way to exchange and

25 share the information about the cleanup and the

1 development.  
 2 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Really good.  
 3 Miss Enea, and then we had one other comment  
 4 before we close tonight.  
 5 MS. ENEA: I just had one suggestion, and I  
 6 wondered if this would meet Dr. Tompkin's needs: If the  
 7 lady -- If the Navy could write something short on Navy  
 8 letterhead rather than present themselves physically at  
 9 community -- community meetings is something to consider  
 10 to -- to just clarify that the Navy no longer is  
 11 involved with par -- the former Parcel A or whatever  
 12 you -- information you feel you need if that would  
 13 suffice as sort of evidence that there's a distinction  
 14 there.  
 15 And also, I wonder if I could suggest, since  
 16 our Mike is on the C.A.C. and the C.A.C. has a  
 17 exceptionally -- oh, Robert's not on the C.A.C. So  
 18 nobody here is on the C.A.C.?  
 19 MR. VAN HOUTEN: No, and we are supposed to be.  
 20 MS. ENEA: That's really a shame. Okay. Then  
 21 nix that suggestion.  
 22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. I mean, your point's  
 23 well made, and I think you guys keep hammering on this,  
 24 but you're asking the Navy to do this.  
 25 But this is something that you all as a RAB can

1 someone else has another comment.  
 2 MR. McCARTHY: Well --  
 3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes.  
 4 MR. McCARTHY: -- regarding this identification  
 5 issue between Lennar and anybody else --  
 6 MS. PENDERGRASS: You're going to have to talk  
 7 a little louder.  
 8 MR. McCARTHY: Regarding this identification  
 9 issue between Lennar and anybody else, it's been my  
 10 impression that the City has been considerably short in  
 11 its clar- -- its efforts at clarifying a lot of things.  
 12 But I'm particularly concerned with this  
 13 business of site control. I've been asking questions  
 14 about site control concerning anywhere and everywhere  
 15 inside that yard for going back a year and a half.  
 16 And I just would think that the RAB has a  
 17 position that it could speak to that issue to the C.A.C.  
 18 and to the City that this is not a trivial issue. Site  
 19 control is important.  
 20 And you know, if site control issues as they  
 21 come up, such as this dumping that took place on  
 22 Navy-controlled property, if those issues are just left  
 23 to blow with the wind any old way, they are going to  
 24 continue to have problems like this and maybe worse.  
 25 God knows, maybe the length and width of the yard at any

1 do. You all can do a little advisory summary about the  
 2 difference and have it sent out and -- I mean, you  
 3 can -- and you can wave that whenever you want. So if  
 4 you need to do a little informational summary fact  
 5 sheet, you might want to do that.  
 6 Yes, and then we need to go to our comments.  
 7 MR. VAN HOUTEN: That's part of my outreach --  
 8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh, isn't that nice.  
 9 MR. VAN HOUTEN: -- of my committee. So we're  
 10 going to be working on that kind of information out to  
 11 the community.  
 12 And real quick, Carolyn just told me my handout  
 13 didn't have the update that our meeting is starting at  
 14 6:30 instead of at 6:00, so my handout is half an hour  
 15 off.  
 16 So --  
 17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.  
 18 MR. VAN HOUTEN: -- if you get there early,  
 19 that's why nobody's there.  
 20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Great.  
 21 All right. And then you had a comment that you  
 22 wanted to make earlier, and I made you hold it. So if  
 23 you don't mind, you can do it now and then --  
 24 MR. MASON: Keep it short.  
 25 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- that'll be -- unless

1 one or another given point.  
 2 Well, this is not a new issue. It was an issue  
 3 with dust and dirt blowing off the trucks. It's an  
 4 issue -- It's an on-going issue.  
 5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Well, thank you. Thank you  
 6 for that comment. We appreciate that.  
 7 Any other business that's pertinent or urgent  
 8 before we can adjourn before -- to our next meeting?  
 9 Thank you so much. We are adjourned.  
 10 (Off record at 8:03 p.m., 8/23/07.)  
 11 ---oOo---

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

I, CHRISTINE M. NICCOLI, Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting was reported by me stenographically to the best of my ability at the time and place aforementioned.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 7th day of September, 2007.

*Christine M. Niccoli*  
CHRISTINE M. NICCOLI, C.S.R. NO. 4569