

5090
Ser 1811RP/00725

07 JUN 1991

From: Commander, Western Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command
To: Distribution

Subj: TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE (TRC) MEETING

Encl: (1) Summary of 22 May 91 Subject Meeting

1. In accordance with the Remedial Action Order (Docket No. HSA87/88-134RA) for Naval Station, Treasure Island, Hunters Point Annex, enclosure (1) is forwarded for your review and comment.

2. Should you have any questions regarding this matter, the point of contact is Commander, Western Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command (Attn: Louise T. Lew, Code 1811, (415) 244-2551).

Original signed by:
MICHAEL A. MIGUEL
By direction

Distribution:

Department of Health Services (Attn: Bill Brown)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (Attn: Chuck Flipppo)

Copy to:

Regional Water Quality Control Board (Attn: Steve Ritchie)
Bay Area Air Quality Management District (Attn: Scott Lutz)
California Dept. of Fish & Game (Attn: Mike Rugg)
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (Attn: Steve Schwarzback)
National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration (Attn: Chip Demarest)
Hunters Point Technical Review Committee Public Member (Attn: Rev. Arelious Walker)
City and County of San Francisco (Attn: David Wells)
San Francisco District Attorney (Attn: Steve Castleman)
Bay Conservation and Development Commission (Attn: Bob Merrill)
U.S. Dept. of the Interior (Attn: Bill Allen)
Blind copy to: (w/o encl) 09B, 09CPB, 09A2A.43, 24, 1811JC, 1811RC
PWC SF Bay (Code 420) (w/ encl) 1811, 1811RP, Admin. Record
COMNAVFACENGCOM (181), HLA (Attn: Ashok Verma)
OIC Treasure Island, HPA COMNAVSEASYSKOM (Attn: Robert Milner)
COMNAVBASE S.F., CNO (OP-45) Writer: Richard Powell, Code 1811RP, x2555
NAVSTA Treasure Island Typist: B. Palmer, 6 June 91, TRC ltr
PRC (Attn: Gary Welshans) File: HP/DOHS

AGENDA
Technical Review Committee Meeting*
Hunters Point Annex
May 22, 1991
Treasure Island, California

Harding Lawson Associates

- I. Approval of Minutes of Last Meeting
- II. Future Land Use Issues
- III. Federal Facility Agreement/Technical Assistance Grant
- IV. ATSDR Site Visit
- V. Removal Action Status Report
 - 1. Pickling and Plate Yard
 - 2. Tank S-505
 - 3. Tank Farm
 - 4. Sandblast Grit Fixation
- VI. Preliminary Assessment Other Areas
- VII. SI/RI Activities
 - 1. Environmental Sampling and Analysis Plan/Ecological Risk Assessment
 - 2. PHEE Plan Addenda
 - 3. Laboratory Performance
 - 4. Status of Sites PA-16 and PA-18
 - 5. Status of Operable Unit II
 - 6. Status of Operable Units I, III, and IV
 - 6.a. IR-3 Product Pumpouts
 - 6.b. Trenching
 - 6.c. RI Implementation Schedule
 - 7. Status of Operable Unit V
- VIII. Operable Unit II Summary of Findings
- IX. PHEE Approach
- X. Air Sampling
- XI. Underground Tanks
- XII. Storm Water Sampling
- XIII. Formerly Used Defense Sites
- XIV. Next Meeting
- XV. Summary of Notes

*Meeting will be held in Building I, Naval Station Treasure Island Conference Room, which is at the South end of Building I.

May 22, 1991
Technical Review Committee Meeting Summary
Hunters Point Annex
San Francisco, California
Page 1

Attendees: See attached
Agenda: See attached

General Announcements:

Harding Lawson Associates (HLA) announced changes in their management structure for RI/FS activities at Hunters Point Annex (HPA). Ashok Verma of HLA is the program manager. Mary Lucas McDonald will be on maternity leave beginning in August. David Leland will take her place as manager of the non RI tasks for HPA. Grant Ohland will be the manager of RI related tasks.

I. Approval of minutes of last meeting:

No comments were received regarding the minutes for the last TRC meeting.

II. Future Land Use:

The Navy distributed copies of recent news articles relating to HPA and showed recent television news stories. In addition, copies of legislation requiring the California Department of Health Services (DHS) to establish clean up requirements and guidelines for military facilities scheduled for closure were distributed.

III. Federal Facility Agreement/Technical Assistance Grant:

The Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) is under review by the Navy and agency lawyers. The EPA has not finished their review of the application for a technical assistance grant because their coordinator has been ill.

IV. ATSDR Site Visit:

The ATSDR conducted a site visit on March 27, 28, and 29, 1991 as part of a health assessment required for all Superfund sites. HPA probably did not rank high enough to be included in their list of 16 sites for immediate assessments. The final document regarding the inspection is expected to be released within the next one to two years.

V. Removal Action Status Report:

Pickling and Plate Yard. The Navy sent out the final air model and risk assessment for the removal action at the Pickling and Plate Yard in early April 1991. The draft final removal action work plan for the Pickling and Plate Yard was submitted to the agencies on May 7, 1991. Agency comments are expected by June 7, 1991 and based on this schedule, the final work plan should be completed by July 7, 1991.

Tank S-505. The Navy expects the bid opening and award of contract for the removal action at Tank S-505 by July 1991. Construction completion is scheduled for late 1991/early 1992.

May 22, 1991
Technical Review Committee Meeting Summary
Hunters Point Annex
San Francisco, California
Page 2

Tank Farm. The Navy has received agency comments regarding the draft plans and specifications for the removal action at the Tank Farm. Revision of the plans and specifications is underway. Award of the contract for the removal action is expected by August/September 1991.

Sandblast Grit. The Navy is reviewing the draft fixation work plan for the sandblast grit and expects to distribute it to the agencies in early July.

VI. Preliminary Assessment Other Areas:

The Navy submitted the response to agency comments received regarding the draft preliminary assessment report for the other areas on March 21, 1991. The response contained a revised list of sites to be included in the site inspection (SI) report for the other areas. EPA has provided comments on the response but DHS has not completed their review.

The Navy stated that because Operable Unit (OU) V currently contains many sites that are at different stages of investigation, it may be appropriate to redefine this OU. Sites IR-19 through IR-22 may be easily moved to a new OU. It was agreed upon that the off shore sediments may also be identified as a separate OU in the future.

VII. SI/RI Activities:

Environmental Sampling and Analysis Plan/Ecological Risk Assessment. The Navy has received DHS and Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) comments regarding the draft final Environmental Sampling and Analysis Plan (ESAP), but no comments have been received from the EPA or other federal agencies. It is not certain whether the Department of the Interior (DOI) plans to provide any comment other than a previous letter to the Navy which stated that a wetlands delineation will be required at HPA. The DHS stated that a wetlands delineation should be completed between Candlestick Park and HPA.

The Navy is contracting with PRC and HLA for the preparation of an ecological risk assessment work plan for HPA which will include plans for a full wetlands delineation. The work plan will require several months to prepare. To provide a preliminary delineation of wetlands prior to preparation of the work plan, the Navy proposes to schedule a site walk with their biologists. The site walk will occur in late June and the Navy will coordinate the schedule with the regulatory agencies so that they may have representatives present. The site walk is anticipated to take place over two days. The Navy expects to use the interagency manual for their definition of wetlands.

PHEE Plan Addendum. The draft final PHEE plan addendum was submitted to the regulatory agencies on May 7, 1991.

Laboratory Performance. This subject was deferred to an afternoon session following the TRC meeting.

May 22, 1991
Technical Review Committee Meeting Summary
Hunters Point Annex
San Francisco, California
Page 3

Status of Sites PA-16 and PA-18. The field work for the site inspections (SIs) at Sites PA-16 and PA-18 was completed in February. There have been problems with the laboratory which resulted in delays in delivery of analytical results. The Navy will be requesting an extension from the agencies for submittal of the SI report.

Status of Operable Unit II. The Navy distributed copies of the report containing the results of the second round of groundwater sampling at OU II to the agencies. Recommendations for revisions to the analytical program for the third round of groundwater sampling are included. The Navy requested a response from the agencies within two weeks so that the third round of groundwater sampling can be scheduled for mid June.

Status of Operable Units I, III, and IV.

IR-3 Product Pumpouts. The Navy has completed interim pump out activities at the oil reclamation ponds (Site IR-3). The program consisted of pumping out the wells with product weekly over two three-week periods. The report presenting the results of the pumpouts was distributed to the agencies at the meeting. Only one well produced appreciable volumes of product.

Recommendations for the continuation of the program include continuation of the pumpouts at two week intervals, trenching to evaluate the extent of the oil ponds, and installation of two wells within the oil ponds. The trenching was completed last week. The wells will be installed as part of the Phase 2A activities at the oil ponds.

Trenching. HLA presented a revised trenching plan for the Bay Fill Area (Site IR-2). The revisions are necessary because the locations originally planned in the sampling plan are not located properly to meet the objectives of the trenching. The new locations have been selected based on information obtained from the reconnaissance and primary phase RI activities completed since the sampling plan was prepared. The DHS and EPA agreed to review the revisions and provide comments by May 31, 1991.

RI Implementation Schedules. The discussion of the RI implementation schedules was deferred until an afternoon session following the TRC meeting.

Operable Unit V. The Navy is awarding a contract for the implementation RIs at OU V (Sites IR-12, 13, 14, 15 and 17) at this time. The start up of field work is expected in June.

VIII Operable Unit II Summary of Findings:

The Summary of Findings Memorandum (SFM) for the OU II sites was submitted to the agencies on April 19, 1991. The DHS has requested a meeting to discuss the SFM; the meeting was scheduled for 9:00 am on June 4, 1991 in the DHS offices. The agencies would like to discuss several issues at the meeting including how the contingency phase

May 22, 1991
Technical Review Committee Meeting Summary
Hunters Point Annex
San Francisco, California
Page 4

data will be used, how the activities planned in the Tidal Influence Monitoring Plan relate to the planned contingency phase activities, and the basis for the contingency phase schedule.

IX PHEE Approach:

The Navy will be distributing a summary of the exposure pathways to be quantified for OU II as well as some of the general intake assumptions to be used by May 29, 1991. A meeting was scheduled for 9:00 am on June 13, 1991 at Treasure Island to discuss these submittals.

The Navy also informed the agencies that it is time to identify Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Regulations (ARARs) for HPA. As stated in the FFA, it is the responsibility of the DHS to compile a preliminary list of ARARs. The Navy would like the list by June because they are required by the FFA to contact those agencies who did not respond to the DHS.

X. Air Sampling:

Meteorologic equipment was set up at HPA on April 21, 1991. Sampling will begin on June 3, 1991 after two weeks of meteorologic monitoring.

XI. Underground Tanks:

The contract action for the removal and closure of 23 underground storage tanks (USTs) at HPA has been awarded by the Navy. Six tanks will be closed in place and 17 will be removed. Start up is expected by the middle of June and the removal is expected to require 6 to 8 months.

The Navy distributed the draft Removal Action Plan (RAP) for an additional 22 tanks on March 20, 1991. EPA comments have been received. DHS has verbally indicated that they have no comments. The Navy expects to submit the draft final RAP to the agencies by June 21, 1991.

XII. Storm Water Sampling:

The Navy expects to submit the draft sampling report to the agencies by the middle of June.

XIII. Formerly Used Defense Sites:

The Army Corps of Engineers (COE) has completed their Inventory Project Report for the formerly used defense sites (FUDS). The report is comparable to a preliminary assessment of the properties. They are reviewing the report to see if any of the FUDS sites are eligible for funding to continue to the RI/FS phase of investigation; they may provide the Navy with funds to continue the investigation. The EPA requested a copy of the COE report.

**May 22, 1991
Technical Review Committee Meeting Summary
Hunters Point Annex
San Francisco, California
Page 5**

XIV. Next Meeting:

The next TRC meeting was scheduled for 0930 hours on July 24, 1991.

ATTENDANCE SIGN UP
HUNTERS POINT ANNEX
TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING
MAY 22, 1991

Name	Representing	Phone
<u>Ashok Verma</u>	<u>Harding-Lawson</u>	<u>899-7386</u>
<u>David Leland</u>	<u>Harding Lawson</u>	<u>899-7352</u>
<u>Grant Ohland</u>	<u>Harding Lawson</u>	<u>899-7387</u>
<u>Cathy Gordeni</u>	<u>Bechtel for EPA</u>	<u>768-2766</u>
<u>Chuck Flippo</u>	<u>EPA</u>	<u>944-2388</u>
<u>Jim Sullivan</u>	<u>Naval Station TI</u>	<u>395-5454</u>
<u>Dave Wells</u>	<u>SF DPH</u>	<u>554-2796</u>
<u>Kent Livezey</u>	<u>NAVY, SAN BRUNO</u>	<u>244-3730</u>
<u>Doug Pomeroy</u>	<u>Navy, San Bruno</u>	<u>244-3718</u>
<u>Louise T. Lew</u>	<u>WESTOIV (1811)</u>	<u>244-2551</u>
<u>Roni Bloetz</u>	<u>AQUA T-T</u>	<u>934-4884</u>
<u>Jim McDonald</u>	<u>Joint Military Postal Agency</u>	<u>822-7006</u>
<u>Gary Welshans</u>	<u>PRC</u>	<u>543-4880</u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

ATTENDANCE SIGN UP
HUNTERS POINT ANNEX
TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING
MAY 22, 1991

Name	Representing	Phone
<u>MARY LUCA'S McDONALD</u>	<u>HLA</u>	<u>899-7350</u>
<u>RAY CHIANG</u>	<u>WESTON, NAWY</u>	<u>244-2554</u>
<u>RICHARD POWELL</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>244-2555</u>
<u>Ewin Litush</u>	<u>PRC</u>	<u>543-4880</u>
<u>Santiago Lee</u>	<u>PRC</u>	<u>543-4880</u>
<u>Jim Polisini</u>	<u>DHS/TECH SERV.</u>	<u>(710) 323-4707</u>
<u>Steve Stelstra</u>	<u>USPS ^{ENVIRO} SEC</u>	<u>(415) 742-4658</u>
<u>NORMA JELSON</u>	<u>USPS ENVIRO SEC</u>	<u>(415) 742-4657</u>
<u>Linda Weil</u>	<u>PRC</u>	<u>543-4880</u>
<u>Randy Friedus</u>	<u>COMM BASE</u>	<u>395-3966</u>
<u>Lynn Nakashima</u>	<u>DHS/Reg 2</u>	<u>540-3818</u>
<u>Bill Brown</u>	<u>DHS/Reg. 2</u>	<u>540-3816</u>
<u>Tom Gandesbery</u>	<u>RWQCI</u>	<u>469-2841</u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

LEGISLATIVE ADDRESS
STATE CAPITOL
P.O. BOX 942049
SACRAMENTO, CA 94249-0001
PHONE (916) 445-7587

DISTRICT OFFICE:
110 NORTH AVENUE 56
LOS ANGELES 90042
PHONE (213) 255-7111

SANDRA CHACÓN
CHIEF OF STAFF

Assembly California Legislature

RICHARD POLANCO

ASSEMBLYMAN, FIFTY-FIFTH DISTRICT

ATWATER VILLAGE, BOYLE HEIGHTS, CITY TERRACE, CYPRESS PARK,
EAGLE ROCK, EAST LOS ANGELES, ECHO PARK, EL SERENO,
ELYSIAN VALLEY, GLASSSELL PARK, HERMON, HIGHLAND PARK, LINCOLN HEIGHTS,
MONTICITO HEIGHTS, MONTEREY HILLS, MT. WASHINGTON, PASADENA, SILVERLAKE

COMMITTEES:
WAYS AND MEANS
RULES
HEALTH
GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATION
UTILITIES AND COMMERCE

CHAIRMAN:
SUBCOMMITTEE ON
MENTAL HEALTH AND
DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES
SELECT COMMITTEE ON STATE
PUBLIC PROCUREMENT
PRACTICES
JOINT COMMITTEE ON
CALIFORNIA-MEXICO AFFAIRS

April 12, 1991

Kenneth W. Kizer, Director
Department of Health Services
714/744 P Street
Sacramento, California 95814

RE ACR 166 (Polanco), Resolution Chapter 147, Statutes of 1990

Dear Director Kizer:

My office has recently received several inquiries regarding the status of implementation of ACR 166 (enclosed) by the Department of Health Services.

As you may recall, that resolution requested the Department of Health Services to develop and adopt environmental cleanup standards and guidelines in reference to California military base facilities scheduled for closure. In accordance with the intent of ACR 166, those standards and guidelines will be subject to consideration by appropriate federal agencies, as well as being available for use by local governments as a mechanism to enforce and ensure appropriate environmental mitigation of contaminated military base sites and surrounding properties.

In light of pending announcements by Secretary Cheney, United States Department of Defense, concerning recommendations for further base closures, the subject of long term environmental protection for communities housing facilities scheduled for closure becomes more acute.

Mr. Kenneth Kizer

Page 2

April 12, 1991

My office would sincerely appreciate, therefore, an update regarding Department of Health Services actions and progress toward development and implementation of the subject cleanup standards and guidelines.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Respectfully,



RICHARD G. POLANCO
Member, 55th District

Enclosure

cc: Congressman Robert Matsui
Congressman Leon Panetta
Ann Rudin, Mayor, City of Sacramento
Center for Economic Conversion
Military Toxics Network
Randal A. Friedman

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 166

RESOLUTION CHAPTER 147

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 166—Relative to environmental cleanup standards for former military installations.

[Filed with Secretary of State September 12, 1990.]

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

ACR 166, Polanco. Military installations: environmental cleanup standards.

This measure would request the State Director of Health Services to develop and adopt environmental cleanup standards and guidelines, as specified, for use by local governments and for consideration by appropriate federal agencies with respect to California military base facilities scheduled for closure, and to submit the standards and guidelines to the Governor, the Legislature, and the United States Secretary of Defense by July 31, 1991.

WHEREAS, In 1989, Congress approved the recommendations of the Commission on Base Realignment and Closure for the closure of 86 United States military bases, including six California bases; and

WHEREAS, In January 1990, the United States Secretary of Defense announced a further proposal for the closure of additional military installations, including facilities in California, and, while that recommendation is a proposal only and has not formally been submitted to Congress, it is likely that further base closures will take place as the threat of conflict with the Soviet Union diminishes; and

WHEREAS, Although military base closures may cause at least short-term economic dislocations in surrounding communities, economic recovery occurs when military land is converted to civilian uses, often creating even more jobs and economic activity than existed prior to closure; and

WHEREAS, The discovery of toxic pollution sites on many military bases is a major obstacle to reuse, and in those instances when redevelopment is delayed pending cleanup of those sites, the economic recovery of the affected communities may be substantially delayed or reduced; and

WHEREAS, The federal government's Installation Restoration Program, which is designed to clean up these hazardous waste sites, is being implemented at all military installations where toxic contamination exists and will continue independently of base closure or realignment actions; and

WHEREAS, United States Department of Defense policy requires the funding of projects for cleanup on a "worst-first" system of priorities, and those military facilities scheduled for closure will not

receive any increased priority for environmental restoration; and

WHEREAS, Short-term redevelopment plans may necessitate reuse of uncontaminated portions of military base property; and

WHEREAS, Protection of public health should be considered foremost in the base reuse process; and

WHEREAS, There is need for the development of clear technical cleanup standards and guidelines to guide local governments in facility reuse planning, allowing the reuse of uncontaminated sections of those bases which are scheduled for closure; and

WHEREAS, It is the intent of the Legislature that the long-term goal of the Installation Restoration Program should be to achieve cleanup that will permit maximum flexibility in future land use; and

WHEREAS, No portion of a military installation subject to the Installation Restoration Program should be transferred or put to other uses before a thorough investigation of all contiguous base property has determined that any area to be transferred or put to other uses is not environmentally affected by contaminated portions of base property or would impede the cleanup of contaminated property; and

WHEREAS, All contaminated sites and treatment facilities, where there is a potential for public contact with toxic materials, should be fenced and posted with appropriate warnings; and

WHEREAS, Buffer zones of minimum distances determined by health officials should separate inhabited or occupied areas from surface contamination and treatment facilities, if appropriate, and the size of these zones should reflect the nature of the particular contamination; and

WHEREAS, All documents covering the sale, lease, rental, or other transfer of property which was originally part of a former military installation where contamination has been found should inform the transferee that the installation was listed as a hazardous waste site; and

WHEREAS, The Legislature recognizes that the public participation process is an essential ingredient in the conduct of the Installation Restoration Program for these bases; and

WHEREAS, It is the intent of the Legislature that environmental mitigation of base property should be integrated into local reuse planning so that, to the extent possible, those cleanup activities are compatible with site redevelopment plans; and

WHEREAS, Actual cleanup levels should not be specified until the investigation and public participation process for each site has been completed; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Assembly of the State of California, the Senate thereof concurring, That the Legislature hereby requests the State Director of Health Services to develop and adopt environmental cleanup standards and guidelines consistent with this resolution for consideration by the appropriate federal agencies and for use by local governments with respect to California military base facilities

scheduled for closure; and be it further

Resolved, That the State Director of Health Services is further requested, prior to final adoption of environmental cleanup standards and guidelines, to obtain public input through two or more public workshops, with at least one workshop to be held in the southern part of the state and one in the northern part of the state; and be it further

Resolved, That the State Director of Health Services submit environmental cleanup standards and guidelines to the Governor, the Legislature, and the United States Secretary of Defense not later than July 31, 1991; and be it further

Resolved, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copies of this resolution to the Governor, the State Director of Health Services, the United States Secretary of Defense, and to each Senator and Representative from California in the Congress of the United States

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 9, 1991

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—1991-92 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 2248

Introduced by Assembly Member Farr

April 18, 1991

An act to add and repeal Chapter 5.7 (commencing with Section 8330) of Division 1 of Title 2 of the Government Code, relating to military base closures, making an appropriation therefor, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 2248, as amended, Farr. Base Closures Task Force. Existing law does not provide for a task force to address the economic dislocation expected from the pending closure or reorganization of federal military bases in California.

This bill would create a base closures task force, with a membership of 17 persons, and one ex officio, nonvoting member, as specified, to be selected by the Secretary of the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency, and to include representatives of a state agency and departments and various other elected and nonelected representatives, as specified.

This bill would require the task force to act as an advocate at the state level on local reuse issues and community adjustment problems, assist in the coordination of state services and resources to respond to the education and retraining of displaced workers, and to environmental, health, and economic conversion issues arising from military base closures, among other things.

This bill would appropriate the sum of \$75,000 from the General Fund to the Business, Transportation and Housing

Agency for administrative and staff support for the task force for the purposes of this bill. This bill would provide that in no event shall the amount expended for purposes of the task force exceed \$150,000 in any fiscal year.

These provisions would become inoperative on September 30, 1995, and, on January 1, 1996, would be repealed.

The bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.

Vote: $\frac{2}{3}$. Appropriation: yes. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Chapter 5.7 (commencing with Section
2 8330) is added to Division 1 of Title 2 of the Government
3 Code, to read:

4
5 CHAPTER 5.7. BASE CLOSURES TASK FORCE

6
7 8330. (a) The Legislature finds and declares as
8 follows:

9 ~~(1) On December 29, 1988, the Base Realignment and~~
10 ~~Closure Commission recommended closure of 86 United~~
11 ~~States military bases, including six California bases which~~
12 ~~include: George Air Force Base, Victorville, Hamilton~~
13 ~~Army Airfield, Novato, Mather Air Force Base,~~
14 ~~Sacramento, Norton Air Force Base, San Bernardino, the~~
15 ~~Presidio of San Francisco, and Salton Sea Test Base, North~~
16 ~~Shore. The commission's proposal also includes~~
17 ~~reorganization of five additional bases across the state.~~

18 (1) On April 12, 1991, the Secretary of Defense
19 submitted to the Base Realignment and Closure
20 Commission a list of 31 United States military bases
21 recommended for closure, including 11 California bases
22 which include: Sacramento Army Depot, Fort Ord Army
23 Base, Castle Air Force Base, Naval Air Station Moffett
24 Field, MCAS Tustin, Hunter Point Annex, ICSTF San
25 Diego, NAVSTA Long Beach, NSSA Los Angeles, NESEC
26 Vallejo, and NESEC San Diego.

27 (2) Following Congressional approval of the Base

1 Realignment and Closure Commission proposal, base
2 closure activities shall be implemented between January
3 1, 1990, and September 30, 1995.

4 (3) It is estimated that the statewide impact on civilian
5 employment resulting from base closures in California
6 will total 4,500 jobs. These base closures will also affect
7 another 12,800 military personnel. will total more than
8 40,000 jobs.

9 (4) The amelioration of economic dislocation is a
10 complicated substantive and managerial task, and the
11 successful outcome of such a dislocation is directly
12 dependent on the effective coordination of
13 governmental participants at all levels, including federal,
14 state, and local, to support the needs of affected
15 communities.

16 (5) The executive and legislative branches must have
17 access to coordinated, timely, and objective information
18 on the impact of base closures on California's economy
19 and employment.

20 (b) It is the intent of the Legislature that the task force
21 established by this chapter shall serve to support, rather
22 than supplant, the efforts of local task forces established
23 in communities affected, and that local community
24 concerns be given a priority for the implementation of
25 reuse plans.

26 8330.5. A base closures task force shall be established
27 by the Secretary of Business, Transportation and
28 Housing. The secretary shall make the appointments of
29 the members of the task force by not later than July 1,
30 1991.

31 8331. (a) The task force shall consist of the following
32 persons:

33 (1) Five representatives consisting of one from the
34 community within which each base, except the Salton
35 Sea Test Base, is being closed. Each representative shall
36 be from the community's base reuse commission or other
37 local government.

38 (2) The director or secretary, or his or her designee, of
39 the following departments and agency:

40 (A) The Department of Commerce.

- 1 (B) The Department of Veterans Affairs.
 2 (C) The State Department of Education.
 3 (D) The Department of General Services.
 4 (E) The Environmental Affairs Agency.
 5 (3) Five representatives consisting of one from each of
 6 the following groups:
 7 (A) Local elected officials.
 8 (B) Nonelected local officials.
 9 (C) Business persons.
 10 (D) Public interest groups.
 11 (E) Labor organizations.
 12 (4) The Director of Employment Development, or his
 13 or her designee, and the Chairperson of the Employment
 14 Training Panel of the Employment Development
 15 Department, or his or her designee.
 16 (5) One member of the California Congressional
 17 Delegation's Bipartisan Task Force on Base Closing, or
 18 his or her designee, as a nonvoting, ex officio member of
 19 the task force.
 20 (b) At least five of the nonstate members of the task
 21 force shall be selected by the secretary from a list of
 22 names which may be submitted for that purpose on or
 23 before June 1, 1991, by the Members of the Legislature
 24 representing each of the following legislative districts:
 25 (1) Senate District 1.
 26 (2) Senate District 3.
 27 (3) Senate District 25.
 28 (4) Senate District 34.
 29 (5) Assembly District 6.
 30 (6) Assembly District 9.
 31 (7) Assembly District 17.
 32 (8) Assembly District 61.
 33 (9) Assembly District 65.
 34 (10) Assembly District 66.
 35 8331.5. The task force shall serve the following
 36 functions:
 37 (a) Serve as an advocate at the state level to assist
 38 affected communities to address local reuse issues and
 39 community adjustment problems.
 40 (b) Assist in coordination of state services and

- 1 resources to address local needs in responding to
 2 education and retraining of displaced workers, and
 3 environmental, health, and economic conversion issues.
 4 (c) Make recommendations to state agencies
 5 regarding the most effective use of state resources.
 6 (d) Coordinate its activities with the California
 7 Congressional Delegation's Bipartisan Task Force
 8 Base Closures, which was formed to facilitate the
 9 appropriate allocation of federal resources to affect
 10 local communities, and the state's activities to mitigate
 11 the economic and environmental effects of base closures.
 12 (e) Develop recommendations to improve
 13 coordination of resources at the state and federal level
 14 assist communities in implementation of base reuse plans.
 15 (f) Develop policies and recommendations for the
 16 Legislature to respond to anticipated future reductions
 17 military facilities or expenditures, or both, in California.
 18 (g) Make other policy recommendations to the
 19 Legislature and the Governor, as needed, to assure
 20 prompt and effective response to pressing local concerns.
 21 8332. (a) The task force shall, at its first meeting,
 22 determine the feasibility of participation by appropriate
 23 state boards and commissions including, but not limited
 24 to, the State Lands Commission and the California
 25 Commission on Aviation and Airports.
 26 (b) It is the intent of the Legislature that no action be
 27 taken by the task force relative to reuse of aviation
 28 facilities on closed military bases without prior
 29 consultation with and recommendations by the
 30 California Commission on Aviation and Airports.
 31 8332.5. The Secretary of the Business, Transportation
 32 and Housing Agency shall develop appropriate policies,
 33 and procedures for implementation of this chapter.
 34 8333. This chapter shall become inoperative on
 35 September 30, 1995, and, as of January 1, 1996, is repealed,
 36 unless a later enacted statute, which becomes effective
 37 on or before January 1, 1996, deletes or extends the dates
 38 on which it becomes inoperative and is repealed.
 39 SEC. 2. The sum of seventy-five thousand dollars
 40 (\$75,000) is hereby appropriated from the General Fund

1 to the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency for
2 administrative and staff support for the Base Closures
3 Task Force for purposes of Chapter 5.7 (commencing
4 with Section 8330) of Division 1 of Title 2 of the
5 Government Code. In no event shall the amount
6 expended for purposes of the Base Closures Task Force
7 exceed one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) in
8 any fiscal year.

9 SEC. 3. This act is an urgency statute necessary for
10 the immediate preservation of the public peace, health,
11 or safety within the meaning of Article IV of the
12 Constitution and shall go into immediate effect. The facts
13 constituting the necessity are:

14 The recent announcement regarding additional
15 military base closures by the federal government
16 requires that this act take effect immediately.