

**DON REVIEW OF THE
“DRAFT HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN
INDUSTRIAL PROCESS EQUIPMENT SURVEY, SAMPLING, DECONTAMINATION,
AND WASTE CONSOLIDATION, PARCELS C, D, AND E
DATED MARCH 19, 2002
HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD,
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA”**

**DRAFT HEALTH AND SAFETY WORK PLAN, INDUSTRIAL PROCESS EQUIPMENT
SURVEY, SAMPLING, DECONTAMINATION, AND WASTE CONSOLIDATION, DATED
MARCH 19, 2002, HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

Document dated: April 16, 2002
Comments by: Steve Tyahla, Project Engineer

GENERAL COMMENTS

- Comment 1a:** This is a “Draft HASP.” CTO scope calls for three versions (Internal Draft, Draft and Draft Final [=Final???]). Will next version still be called a Draft or are we just going to make it a “Draft Final” or “Final” and give that version to the regulators with no need for regulatory review?
- Response 1a:** Health and Safety Plans under the Remedial Action Contract (RAC) have usually been separate submittals from all other plans. Typically, only a draft and final are prepared.
- Comment 1b:** Ultimately, what documentation will SWDIV give to FWENC to indicate “acceptance” of the HASP (perhaps, “with comments”)?
- Response 1b:** Jan Corbett, CIH, DON SWDIV, reviews and recommends acceptance of plans to Andrew Bryson, CIH, SWDIV Health and Safety Manager. They send this acceptance notice to the RPM and the ROICC. Obviously we will need to be cc’d on that.
- Comment 1c:** Overall, we liked the plan; most comments I believe help make things clearer and/or emphasize a key item or two, such as the need to have great AHAs that are detailed and communicated well, and updated as needed.
- Response 1c:** Comment noted.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

- Comment 2:** Signature page: Include phone numbers (EM 385 asks for that, plus it’s handy).
- Response 2:** The EM 385-1-1 requires phone numbers on the signature page of an Accident Prevention Plan, not a Health and Safety Plan prepared under Section 28 of the manual. The accident prevention program was a submittal that FWENC prepared in October 1998 and submitted to SWDIV for acceptance.

**DON REVIEW OF THE
“DRAFT HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN
INDUSTRIAL PROCESS EQUIPMENT SURVEY, SAMPLING, DECONTAMINATION,
AND WASTE CONSOLIDATION, PARCELS C, D, AND E
DATED MARCH 19, 2002
HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD,
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA”**

Comment 3a: Sec. 1.1; Regarding the Building-Specific HASPs (BHASPs)

How are the BHASPs going to be incorporated into the HASP? Perhaps they can be Attachment 5, and for now, FWENC can add Attachment 5 to the Contents saying “BHASPs-Future- As developed.”

Response 3a: The BHASPs will be prepared as the work plan for each building is developed. The BHASP will be a form with attachments as needed. They are not formally incorporated into the HASP, but will become part of the HASP when they are completed. The idea of adding Attachment 5 is a good one and is incorporated in the final plan.

Comment 3b: When will they be written and presumably they’ll go through same review process (i.e., to SWDIV and ROICC with SWDIV making final comments/acceptance?

Response 3b: The BHASPs are not intended to go through SWDIV for comments or acceptance since they often will be prepared only days before the work in a building is planned. As with all changes to the HASP, the changes are submitted to the SWDIV CIH within 5 days after the PESM approves them. Since the review process within the DON can take several weeks, plan changes are approved by the FWENC CIH.

Comment 4: Sec. 1.4; relating to BHASPs; last sentence, recommend inserting the words “and accepted by the Navy RPM” between “PESM” and “prior.”

Response 4: Our program does not require acceptance of plans or the BHASPs by the DON RPM, as such acceptance criteria could result in significant delay of project execution.

Comment 5: Sec. 1.5; mentions potential for low-level radioactivity. Recommend we give FWENC a copy of the recently released HRA so they know where it really is an issue, if anywhere, or give them latest update on NWT’s rad survey work if the HRA doesn’t have enough info for Parcels D, C, and E.

Response 5: This information would be appreciated. From information given to the FWENC CIH, this information is going to be needed when work is performed in all parcels.

Comment 6: Sec. 2.0; main issue here is consistency of terminology/position titles. And corrections here should be made also to the Work Plan.

Response 6: Health and Safety Plans are often written in a manner that considers a primary manager, in this case a Project Manager and Supervising Manager such as a Site Superintendent. Larger jobs may have many levels of supervision. Cal-OSHA regulations and the EM 385-1-1 often refer to supervisors when referring to specific

**DON REVIEW OF THE
"DRAFT HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN
INDUSTRIAL PROCESS EQUIPMENT SURVEY, SAMPLING, DECONTAMINATION,
AND WASTE CONSOLIDATION, PARCELS C, D, AND E
DATED MARCH 19, 2002
HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD,
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA"**

requirements. For the purposes of this plan, unless specifically noted otherwise, reference to a supervisor means any person who supervises other people.

- Comment 6a:** For "Construction Manager", I also read or heard the term "Supervising Site Superintendent." For "Construction Supervisor", I read or heard the term "Site Superintendent." If FWENC is not going to refer to folks as Superintendents, then little things like Sec. 2.7, first sentence (that uses Site Superintendent) can be confusing. The ROICC is more used to "Supervising Site Superintendents" and "Superintendents."
- Response 6a:** Titles will be standardized between both plans. However, where the HASP refers to "a supervisor" it will mean any supervisor from foreman to Project Manager.
- Comment 6b:** Sec. 2.4, eighth bullet re: "Immediately notify..." add DON RPM and ROICC representatives to the list.
- Response 6b:** The bullet has been modified in the HASP.
- Comment 6c:** Sec. 2.5:
- i. at end of first sentence, add "... and future BHASPs."
 - ii. Should we add a bullet indicating the PESM's responsibility to concur with upgrades / downgrades to PPE levels? (See 4th para of Sec. 6.0 which explains how SHSS can upgrade and downgrade levels, with the PESM's concurrence.)
- Response 6c:**
- i. Wording has been changed.
 - ii. Wording has been modified.
- Comment 7:** Sec. 2.3; add bullet explaining that "Project Manager must make sure no person shall be required or instructed to work in surroundings or under conditions that are unsafe or dangerous to his or her health."
- Response 7:** A bullet has been added.
- Comment 8:** Sec. 2.4; If "Construction Manager" is equivalent to "Superintendent" he or she needs to be a competent person or qualified.

Per EM-385-1-1, "Competent person: on who can identify existing and predictable hazards in the working environment or working conditions that are dangerous to personnel and who has authorization (in writing) to take prompt

**DON REVIEW OF THE
“DRAFT HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN
INDUSTRIAL PROCESS EQUIPMENT SURVEY, SAMPLING, DECONTAMINATION,
AND WASTE CONSOLIDATION, PARCELS C, D, AND E
DATED MARCH 19, 2002
HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD,
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA”**

corrective measures to eliminate them.” “Qualified person: one who by possession of a recognized degree, certificate or professional standing or extensive knowledge, training, and experience, has successfully demonstrated his or her ability to solve or resolve the problems related to the subject matter, the work, or the project.” Did the person complete the USACE/Dept. of Navy 3-day training? Site Superintendent must be on site at all times (or alternate) when work is in progress.

- Response 8:** EM 385-1-1 does not specify that a superintendent needs to be a competent person. However, all the Construction Managers and the Construction Supervisors are selected by the Project Manager and are considered to be competent persons.
- I am not familiar with this requirement. All site personnel have completed a 40-hour HAZWOPER course and all supervisors have completed an additional 8-hour supervisors course.
- Comment 9a:** Sec. 2.6. First sentence, delete the words “as required” as the SHSS should be always be on site during the work.
- Response 9a:** The wording was not changed since not all tasks require an SHSS. This is consistent with the contract requirements. However, most work will always require an SHSS.
- Comment 9b:** First sentence mentions “...health and safety staff in the field,” who are they?
- Response 9b:** The staff can include additional personnel appointed to safety functions based on specific task needs.
- Comment 9c:** Second sentence, change “overseeing” to “ensuring.”
- Response 9c:** The word has been changed.
- Comment 9d:** First bullet under “Additional responsibilities...” should we add “incident report and investigation report preparation”?
- Response 9d:** FWENC policy is that supervisors are responsible for incident report and investigation. The SHSS can assist, but the focus of our program is on the supervisor.
- Comment 9e:** Third bullet under “Additional responsibilities..” should change to “Assuring that the HASP and AHAs are read, understood,...”
- Response 9e:** The bullet has been modified.

**DON REVIEW OF THE
“DRAFT HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN
INDUSTRIAL PROCESS EQUIPMENT SURVEY, SAMPLING, DECONTAMINATION,
AND WASTE CONSOLIDATION, PARCELS C, D, AND E
DATED MARCH 19, 2002
HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD,
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA”**

- Comment 9f:** Seventh bullet, should report to ROICC and RPM IMMEDIATELY. This is a key command issue and we all have cell phones. No reason it can't easily be immediate, or within minutes.
- Response 9f:** Additional wording is provided consistent with EM 385-1-1.
- Comment 10:** Sec. 2.7; first sentence, are “supervisors” equivalent to “Foreman?” Second para., fourth sentence, regarding each employee being responsible for immediate notification of any injuries, etc.; VERY GOOD, and VERY IMPORTANT to stress this at tailgates.
- Response 10:** Supervisors are any persons that supervises other employees.
- Comment 11:** Sec. 2.9; first para., need to add that subcontractors must also either follow appropriate AHAs; it is a prime responsibility to make sure ALL work activities have an AHA that has been “accepted” by the Navy, is detailed, communicated to the workers, and that communication has been documented. This is a KEY command issue.
- Response 11:** The paragraph has been modified.
- Comment 12a:** Org Chart: Figure 2-1: In ROICC office, correct Andy’s last name to “Uehisa,” and spell out all first names in chart.
- Response 12a:** Correction has been made.
- Comment 12b:** Org Chart: Figure 2-1: All blocks should have Title (that matches text and Table 2-1), first name, last name, and any relevant credential. Example: “Proj. Environmental & Safety Manager, Roger Margotto, CIH, CSP, CHMM.”
- Response 12b:** Correction has been made.
- Comment 12c:** Org Chart: Figure 2-1: Are we going to have whatever a “SHSO” is? Not in text, no name on chart.
- Response 12c:** Should be SHSS.
- Comment 12d:** Org Chart: Figure 2-1: Show block for “Senior SHSS” even if not identified, but planned per Table 2-1.
- Response 12d:** Correction has been made.
- Comment 12e:** Org Chart: Figure 2-1: Add “Site” to Carl’s title.

**DON REVIEW OF THE
“DRAFT HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN
INDUSTRIAL PROCESS EQUIPMENT SURVEY, SAMPLING, DECONTAMINATION,
AND WASTE CONSOLIDATION, PARCELS C, D, AND E
DATED MARCH 19, 2002
HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD,
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA”**

- Response 12e:** Correction has been made.
- Comment 12f:** **Org Chart: Figure 2-1: Add Chad Nichols’ name to QC block, and add him to Table 2-1.**
- Response 12f:** Corrections have been made.
- Comment 12g:** **Org Chart: Figure 2-1: Delete “Construction Team Support” position if not to be used.**
- Response 12g:** Correction has been made.
- Comment 12h:** **Org Chart: Figure 2-1: What’s the “Quality Control Manager” vs. the “Project QC Manager,” Chad Nichols?**
- Response 12h:** Correction has been made.
- Comment 12i:** **Org Chart: Figure 2-1: If sticking with “Construction Manager” and “Construction Supervisor” titles, fine as is, if going with “Superintendent” titles in plan, then update org chart.**
- Response 12i:** Correction has been made.
- Comment 13a:** **Table 2-1: Correct Tyahla’s first name to just “Steve.”**
- Response 13a:** Correction has been made.
- Comment 13b:** **Table 2-1: Correct Uehisa’s title to “Construction Management Technician.”**
- Response 13b:** Correction has been made.
- Comment 13c:** **Table 2-1: Include all cell phone numbers you can, here are a few:**
- i. Tyahla 510-915-4928**
 - ii. Uehisa 510-915-5041**
 - iii. Mentink 415-559-9851**
- Response 13c:** Corrections have been made.
- Comment 13d:** **Table 2-1: Add Construction Supervisor, Bill Williams, to table.**
- Response 13d:** Correction has been made.

**DON REVIEW OF THE
“DRAFT HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN
INDUSTRIAL PROCESS EQUIPMENT SURVEY, SAMPLING, DECONTAMINATION,
AND WASTE CONSOLIDATION, PARCELS C, D, AND E
DATED MARCH 19, 2002
HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD,
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA”**

- Comment 14:** Sec. 4.1.1; for MSDSs, state in text where the binder(s) of MSDSs will be kept (with CQC, with SHSS, ?).
- Response 14:** The section has been modified to indicate that MSDSs are to be kept in a folder or 3-ring notebook in the main office trailer and that they are to be readily accessible.
- Comment 15:** Sec. 4.1.3, Lead Management Plan; under “Personal Protective requirements,” refers to Sec. 6.0, but still unclear what PPE level workers would start in: Level C then to D if air monitoring is negative? Should lay out monitoring or plan for meeting Pb standard.
- Response 15:** The plan requires that work start in Level C until results of air sampling are reviewed by the CIH for potential downgrade to level D if levels are below action levels.
- Comment 16:** Sec. 4.1.5, Radiation; recommend SWDIV provide FWENC with copy of recently release Historical Radiological Assessment (HRA).
- Response 16:** The PJM will request this from the RPM.
- Comment 17:** Sec. 4.2.4, Storm Protection; NOTE: this may be quite applicable to FWENC field office/trailer location near the North Slip.
- Response 17:** FWENC will employ Best Management Practices.
- Comment 18:** Sec. 4.3.8; if confined space entry (either permit-required or non-permit-required) becomes necessary, where is the applicable Confined Space Permit program going to be spelled out?
- Response 18:** The written Confined Space Entry Program is found in the FWNEC EHS Procedure 6-1. The plan requires that each confined space entry is planned with the CIH. This is the reason the plan does not describe generic confined space entries described in the FWENC written program. The CIH views all confined space entries as potentially hazardous, high-risk procedures. Therefore, the CIH desires to participate and review all plans prior to entry.
- Comment 19:** Sec. 4.3.15, Compressed Gas Cylinders; NOTE: IT had some success going back to manufacturer of cylinders.
- Response 19:** The intent would be the same here. The Cal-OSHA regulations and the Compressed Gas Association recommend this. However, the HASP mentioned the activity first to describe safe handling and then would require a specialty subcontractor if manufacturer of the cylinder cannot be ascertained.

**DON REVIEW OF THE
“DRAFT HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN
INDUSTRIAL PROCESS EQUIPMENT SURVEY, SAMPLING, DECONTAMINATION,
AND WASTE CONSOLIDATION, PARCELS C, D, AND E
DATED MARCH 19, 2002
HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD,
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA”**

Comment 20a: Sec. 5.0, Activity Hazard Analysis: Insert word “initial” after “An” in first sentence, and add “(Attachment 2)” at end of sentence. The AHAs need to be “living documents” and several of the ones presented in this draft HASP in Attachment 2 fall short of providing enough detail in the steps involved with each activity.

Response 20a: AHAs prepared in advance of a project are never meant to be the final AHAs to be used in field implementation. AHAs require modification as the precise work methods are planned for each day’s work. Often AHAs need to be prepared the evening before the next day’s tasks are performed, if a hazard analysis on a task that has been modified has not been performed.

Comment 20b: Last sentence in fourth paragraph regarding the need for more specific AHAs as the BHASPs are prepared, and the potential need for more AHAs is very, very true and accurate.

Response 20b: Comment noted.

Comment 21: Sec. 6.0; second para., where mentioned that SHSS may upgrade or downgrade PPE levels, clarify (as in 4th para) that they need to do so with concurrence of the PESM. Which leads to a question, who can the SHSS go to if the PESM is not available? This situation could unnecessarily delay work.

Response 21: The PESM is almost always available. The CIH maintains a 24-hour national pager and carries a cell phone. If the PESM cannot be contacted as immediately as necessary, the SHSS knows that contact with the Regional EHS Services Manager or the Corporate Director of Health and Safety programs can be made. The SHSS has access to a telephone number list of these persons as well as other FWENC CIHs. (Usually, the only time the PESM is not available is when the PESM is in aircraft while traveling.)

Comment 22: Sec. 7.0, 3rd para., unclear throughout this Chapter how frequent various monitoring will be conducted and when recorded. Having the various types of air monitoring, frequency, where (form) and who and how recorded, in a summary table would be excellent.

Response 22: The CIH prefers that air monitoring be continuous with a PID or a FID when sampling or when performing work tasks. Dust monitoring is required when work that generates dust is in progress. The section on monitoring strategy establishes the monitoring criteria. For example, it states when the multisensor gas meter will be used.

**DON REVIEW OF THE
“DRAFT HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN
INDUSTRIAL PROCESS EQUIPMENT SURVEY, SAMPLING, DECONTAMINATION,
AND WASTE CONSOLIDATION, PARCELS C, D, AND E
DATED MARCH 19, 2002
HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD,
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA”**

- Comment 23:** Sec. 7.1.3; 1st para, last sentence is confusing. Does this mean that the Action Levels listed are half the TLV values?
- Response 23:** Action levels are always half of the TLV or PEL, unless specified otherwise by regulations.
- Comment 24:** Sec. 7.3; This sounds like PID and FID monitoring will be continuous; is that true? (Table recommended in comment 22.)
- Response 24:** Yes, this monitoring is continuous during sampling or during work activities that are likely to use or generate organic vapors. The HASP calls for the use of either instrument.
- Comment 25:** Sec. 7.4.2; 1st para., 3rd sentence, should read “Attachment 4”.
- Response 25:** Correction noted and made.
- Comment 26:** Sec. 11.0, Disposal Procedures; first sentence, should clarify that the “Waste Management Plan” that is applicable is Section 6.0 of the “Environmental Protection Plan” for the project (which is Appendix C of the Work Plan). (I believe that’s the one FWENC is referring to.)
- Response 26:** The plan has been changed to indicate that this is the plan being referred to.
- Comment 27:** Sec. 12.2; Last two bullets: Posting contacts/phones and route to medical facility, in multiple places, is key. However, Figure 12-1 is in error (must be an old map): ARMY Street is now Cesar Chavez. Update map and text directions in Table 12-1. Also in Table 12-1, correct Uehisa’s title to “Construction Management Technician” (CMT).
- Response 27:** Map has been updated. The title for Mr. Uehisa has been changed.
- Comment 28a:** Sec. 12.3: Second sentence, insert “notify the DON RPM and ROICC representative and” between the words “immediately” and “investigate.”
- Response 28a:** The HASP has been modified to recognize the requirements of EM 385-1-1.
- Comment 28b:** First bullet, insert “and ROICC representative” after “RPM”.
- Response 28b:** The correction has been made.

**DON REVIEW OF THE
“DRAFT HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN
INDUSTRIAL PROCESS EQUIPMENT SURVEY, SAMPLING, DECONTAMINATION,
AND WASTE CONSOLIDATION, PARCELS C, D, AND E
DATED MARCH 19, 2002
HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD,
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA”**

- Comment 29:** Sec. 12.9; first sentence, insert “ROICC representative” after “RPM.” Basically, if something “significant” happens on the job, the RPM and ROICC both need to be notified ASAP.
- Response 29:** The ROICC and the RPM will be immediately notified as required by the EM 385-1-1. This change has been added.
- Comment 30:** Sec. 12.13; recommend that once the requisite site map of the field office trailer space is developed (showing utilities, hazmat, etc.) include some indicator of the location of the MSDSs.
- Response 30:** MSDSs are always located in the main office trailer, usually in a 3-ring notebook, readily accessible to all employees. The CIH also recommends that MSDSs for materials in use at locations remote from the office trailer are also maintained in a notebook at the immediate work site.
- Comment 31:** Sec. 14.1: This is all still pretty new to me. Is this correct procedure? I’m assuming the DON CIH they refer to will be the same person who “accepts” the HASP. Who is that person?
- Response 31:** The SWDIV CIH, Jan Corbett, recommends acceptance of plans to Andrew Bryson, CIH, SWDIV Health and Safety Manager, who then sends a letter of acceptance to the RPM. Per the Health and Safety program submitted to and accepted by SWDIV in 1998, the FWENC CIH can approve safety plan changes and the changes implemented before review by SWDIV. The intent of this approach was the fact that the DON would not be able to respond in a timely manner to field implemented changes and the fact that the FWENC CIH is directly involved with any changes to the plan.
- Comment 32:** Sec. 15.0; 1st and 2nd sentences, add “AHAs” among the applicable things that all personnel are required to be trained in. This is a key command item that is an excellent tool to help ensure worker safety.
- Response 32:** This is specified in Section 5.0 of the HASP , but will be reiterated in this section.
- Comment 33:** Attachment 2: Several of the AHAs do not give enough in terms of detailed steps to accomplish the activities described. Those include: “Set up site trailers and equipment yards,” “Install fence,” and “Encapsulation” (under Removal and/or Encapsulation of ACM). The AHAs, in general, need to walk thru the steps required to perform the activity and then assess and address the hazards associate with each step. Identifying the proper PPE is always good.

**DON REVIEW OF THE
“DRAFT HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN
INDUSTRIAL PROCESS EQUIPMENT SURVEY, SAMPLING, DECONTAMINATION,
AND WASTE CONSOLIDATION, PARCELS C, D, AND E
DATED MARCH 19, 2002
HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD,
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA”**

Response 33: AHAs for some tasks are often not detailed when that work is performed by subcontractors. Until the subcontractor is selected and submits the planned method for the work, the specific steps are not known. The preparation and modification of AHAs is a continuing task. The CIH has prepared a paper on the subject of AHA preparation that is based on a professional approach.

**DON REVIEW OF THE
"DRAFT HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN
INDUSTRIAL PROCESS EQUIPMENT SURVEY, SAMPLING, DECONTAMINATION,
AND WASTE CONSOLIDATION, PARCELS C, D, AND E
DATED MARCH 19, 2002
HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD,
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA"**

DRAFT HEALTH AND SAFETY WORK PLAN, INDUSTRIAL PROCESS EQUIPMENT SURVEY, SAMPLING, DECONTAMINATION, AND WASTE CONSOLIDATION, DATED MARCH 19, 2002, HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Document dated: March 26, 2002
Comments by: Jan Corbett (Code 03EN1)

GENERAL COMMENTS

Comment 1a: The Health and Safety Plan addresses the major requirements of references (a) and (c) when used in conjunction with the Foster Wheeler Corporate Health and Safety Manual, Standard Operating Procedures and Building-Specific Health and Safety Plans. This review focused on requirements of reference (c). The cognizant ROICC office will review the construction related activity hazard analyses (AHAs). These AHAs may need to be revised/expanded before actual field work begins.

Response 1a: Comment noted.

Comment 1b: Please address specific comments below.

Response 1b: Comments have been addressed.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Comment 2a: Page 12-5, Section 12.7: Please include a map of the site which shows the emergency meeting locations and evacuation routes that will be used in case of emergency.

Response 2a: FWENC has included emergency locations and evacuation routes on Figure 3-1. Figure 3-1 has been referenced in Section 12.7.

Comment 2b: Attachment 2: Due to the new focus being placed on Activity Hazard Analyses (AHAs), the cognizant ROICC office will review construction related AHAs. This may require revision/expansion of the AHAs included in this Attachment before actual field work begins.

**DON REVIEW OF THE
“DRAFT HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN
INDUSTRIAL PROCESS EQUIPMENT SURVEY, SAMPLING, DECONTAMINATION,
AND WASTE CONSOLIDATION, PARCELS C, D, AND E
DATED MARCH 19, 2002
HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD,
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA”**

- Response 2b:** Comment noted.
- Comment 2c:** **Table 12-1: Please check the hospital telephone number.**
- Response 2c:** FWENC has verified that the hospital address and phone number are correct.
- Comment 2d:** **Figure 3-1: This map would be appropriate to include emergency meeting locations and evacuation routes.**
- Response 2d:** FWENC has included emergency locations and evacuation routes on Figure 3-1.