

MOFFETT FEDERAL AIRFIELD

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON

DRAFT WASH RACK AREA INVESTIGATION
FIELD WORK PLAN

JULY 3, 1995

This report presents point-by-point responses to National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) comments on the Draft Wash Rack Area Investigation Field Work Plan prepared April 21, 1995 by PRC Environmental Management, Inc. (PRC) for Moffett Federal Airfield (Moffett Field), California. Ms. Sandra Olliges of the NASA submitted comments in a letter dated May 19, 1995.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Comment 1. Page 5, Section 3.3, Paragraph 1 and Figure 3. The location (symbol) of manhole 7.6 is not shown on Figure 3.

Response: Manhole 7.6 is identified as a storm drain catchment basin or manhole (open square symbol) on Figure 3.

Comment 2. Page 11, Section 4.3.1, Paragraph 2 and Figure 3. Only monitoring well numbers (WWR-x) are shown on Figure 3. But text indicates that the locations of soil borings (SBWR-x) can be found on Figure 3. For clarity in the text, perhaps indicate that WWR-x is the same as SBWR-x.

Response: Section 4.4 has been modified to indicate that monitoring well designations will be identical to soil boring designations except the leading two letters will be changed from SB to W. For example, well WWR-2 will be installed in soil boring SBWR-2.

Comment 3. Page 11, Section 4.3.1, Paragraph 4. Which investigation will be installing a soil boring near former Tank 41A?

Response: Additional investigation of the area near former Tank 41A will be conducted by PRC during summer 1995 as part of contract task order (CTO) 0267.

Comment 4. Page 14, Section 4.4, Paragraph 1. The well head box should also include the well number.

Response: Section 4.4 has been modified to indicate that the well head box also will include a well identification label.

Comment 5. Page 15, Section 4.6 and Figure 3. The location of benchmark H-111 should be indicated in Figure 3.

Response: The location of survey benchmark H-111 has been added to Figure 3.

Comment 6. Page 15, Bottom of Page. Delete duplicate title heading "5.0 Quality Assurance Project Plan" which also occurs on the top of page 17.

Response: This format error has been corrected.

Comment 7. Are any soil samples going to be taken from the cone penetrometer test (CPT)/HydroPunch locations 8, 9, 10, 11, or 15?

Response: No soil samples are planned to be collected during the CPT and HydroPunch sampling. Soil samples may be collected at CPT and HydroPunch locations if soil borings are drilled at these locations during the second phase of the investigation.