

**ENVIRONMENTAL OFFICE  
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER  
33502 DECATUR ROAD, SUITE 120  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92133-1449**

**RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD**

**AGENDA**

**DATE:** Tuesday evening, 23 August 1994

**TIME:** 6:30 - 8:30 PM

**LOCATION:** NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICE  
(PAO) AUDITORIUM, BUILDING #201  
(Enter NTC Gate 1 at Lytton and Barnett; maps to building will be  
available from guard)

**6:30 - 6:35** WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

BRIEF OVERVIEW - Agenda and Meetings Objectives

MINUTES APPROVAL - August 9

**6:35 - 7:05** FINALIZE COMMENTS ON PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT

**7:05 - 8:15** DISCUSS CERFA EBS REPORT COMMENTS

RECEIVE DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN

**8:15 - 8:30** QUESTION AND ANSWER/PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

**ENVIRONMENTAL OFFICE  
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER  
33502 DECATUR ROAD, SUITE 120  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92133-1449**

**Subject: RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING MINUTES**

The twelfth Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) meeting was held on **Tuesday, August 23, 1994**, at the Naval Training Center (NTC), PAO Auditorium, #201 from 6:30 until 8:30 PM.

Mr. Jim Durbin, RAB Community Co-Chair, called the meeting to order at 6:31 PM. A new attendee introduced himself as Mr. David Wells, City of San Diego, serving as an alternate for RAB member Mr. Ted Olson. Mr. Durbin proceeded to the business for the evening: final discussion of comments on the Preliminary Assessment (PA), discussion of the CERFA Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS), and distribution of the Draft Community Relations Plan (CRP).

**Business Items**

Approval of Minutes - After a brief discussion of the minutes from the August 9, 1994 RAB meeting, it was moved to approve as written. The motion was seconded and carried.

**FINAL PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT (PA) COMMENTS**

Mr. Durbin noted that he received comments in writing from RAB members Ms. Laura Hunter of the Environmental Health Coalition, Mr. Ted Olson of the City of San Diego Waste Management Department, and Dr. Z. Kripke, and incorporated them into the handouts that were prepared for the RAB members. In addition, he received some last-minute comments from other RAB members which were incorporated into the overheads he prepared for this meeting. The overheads were made into handouts for RAB members only, as the comments are still were in draft and not yet ready to be made public. Mr. Kurt Baer, Remedial Project Manager for NTC, noted that when the RAB comments are finalized they will be placed in the information repositories for public record.

The discussion of the PA focused largely on which parts of the document to comment on and how to address individual comments. There was some disagreement whether to limit comments to only the recommendation section of the PA, or whether it was necessary to comment on the document page by page. Some RAB members believed that the RAB does indeed need to discuss the fine points such as wording and sentence structure, as these pertain directly to the conclusions, and that the "bottom line" agreement or disagreement with the report's recommendations should be made clear to the Navy. The comments

discussed below reflect an overview of RAB concerns about the PA. The final RAB comments will be available in the information repositories after September 2, 1994.

**Site 4: Classified Document Incinerator** - Basic concerns at this site were: release of contaminants into the surrounding soil; the chemicals, if any, in the sumps and soaking tanks; the draining of contaminated water from the facility into the storm channels; and insufficient research into activities at the incinerator. The RAB felt that the Navy should pursue locating past employees who can verify what occurred at the incinerator. If the Navy cannot find such employees or does not conduct sufficient research into incineration practices, the RAB may recommend that further action be taken at the site.

**Site 5: Fire Fighter Training School** - There were concerns at this site, even though it was recommended for further action: volatilizing chemicals from the old fire-fighting pit into the present Bachelor Officer's Quarters; the toxicity and carcinogenicity of the hazardous substances used as flammables; the draining of such substances into the boat channel; and the possible presence of undocumented USTs.

**Site 6: Golf Course Maintenance Shop** - This site was also recommended for further action, but the RAB had concerns, primarily focused on DDT: since DDT is volatile, it might not stay confined to the soil and may still affect the surrounding area; and since DDT may have been used over the entire golf course, the study should not be limited to the maintenance shed, but the entire golf course should be sampled. Although recommendations for future site management are not part of the RAB's charter, one RAB member recommended that Integrated Pest Management be implemented to prevent further contamination of the site.

#### **COMPREHENSIVE CERFA ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE SURVEY (CERFA EBS)**

Mr. Dyck asked if the RAB had time to look at the CERFA EBS handed out at the last meeting. He explained the purpose of CERFA (Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act) and what it is/is not achieving at NTC and other facilities. The NTC CERFA EBS reflects information gathered as of 31 December 1993 and, since then, the Navy has begun evaluating the 40 AOCs. A formal presentation on the information in the EBS was given to the RAB in May by Ms. M'balia Tagoe of Bechtel.

Mr. Durbin suggested going directly to the EBS conclusions/findings for discussion, then going back to look at the document in greater detail. He polled each RAB member directly for comments or concerns. Issues raised concerned how material from the auto hobby shop was disposed of, the locations of the steam tunnels, the fact that the JP-5 pipeline is currently in use (although it was shut down temporarily at the time the EBS report information was gathered), the accuracy of the "property suitable for transfer" map, and how lead-based paint

and asbestos might affect property transfer. These and other CERFA EBS comments will be finalized at the next meeting.

### **COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN (CRP) DISTRIBUTION**

Mr. Dyck announced that the CRP would not be distributed tonight and that he cannot guarantee its availability for distribution at the next meeting.

### **ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Mr. Dyck wrote the following document review schedule on the board:

- 23 August - Finalize Draft PA comments  
Discuss Draft CERFA EBS comments
- 13 September - Finalize Draft CERFA EBS comments  
Receive Draft Work Plan for Sites 2 and 7
- 27 September - Discuss Draft Work Plan for Sites 2 and 7
- 11 October - Finalize comments for Draft Work Plan for Sites 2 and 7  
Receive Draft Work Plan for Treatability Study at NEX Gas Station
- 25 October - Discuss Draft Work Plan for NEX Gas Station
- 8 November - Finalize comments for Draft Work Plan for NEX Gas Station

Mr. Dyck reminded the RAB to send their input for agenda items to himself or Mr. Durbin, who reiterated the importance of getting comments on the documents to him ASAP.

Mr. Durbin adjourned the meeting at 8:16 PM.