

DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL

700 HEINZ AVE., SUITE 200
BERKELEY, CA 94710

N60028_000060
TREASURE ISLAND
SSIC NO. 5090.3.A



December 6, 1991

Commanding Officer
Western Division
Attn: Mr. Ernesto Galang, Code 1813
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
900 Commodore Drive
San Bruno, California 94066-0720

Dear Mr. Galang:

**DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL REVIEW AND COMMENT ON THE
WORK PLAN (DATED 10/12/91) AND SAMPLING PLAN (DATED 10/12/91) FOR
THE REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/ FEASIBILITY STUDY AT NAVAL STATION
TREASURE ISLAND, CALIFORNIA**

On November 5, 1991, the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) received two (2) copies of each of the above mentioned reports. The reports were submitted along with a response memo by PRC Environmental Management, Inc. (PRC) to comments forwarded by DTSC on September 4, 1991 to the Navy. On November 14, 1991, the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) submitted to the Navy comments to these reports.

DTSC completed the review of these reports and the response memo. DTSC finds that the Navy has addressed the majority of DTSC comments. However, there are two DTSC comments that need further attention by the Navy. The first one is in reference to the first DTSC general comment. Although the Navy has provided additional information about the past and on-going investigations at sites 6, 14, and 20, it is not clear in the reports or in the response memo how and when the transition(s) from the current on-going investigations to the RI/FS activities will proceed at these sites. The Navy should explain how the on-going activities will fit into the RI/FS schedules. Please provide the State time lines of these on-going investigations. On a similar note, DTSC would like to simplify the project management and regulatory oversight of these sites. This may not be possible if two separate groups (i.e., UST and IRP) within the Navy are implementing different contract task orders at these sites.


The second DTSC comment that requires Navy's further attention is in reference to the second specific comment to the draft work plan. DTSC requested the Navy to submit a copy of the Preliminary Risk Assessment Report (1991) that was written for the Old Bunker Area (Site 12). To date, DTSC has not received a copy of this document.

60
DIN 8

Mr. Ernesto Galang
December 6, 1991
Page Two

DTSC final approval of the reports is contingent upon Navy's submittal of the following: (1) a response memo to address the above mentioned comments and; (2) a response memo to address the RWQCB's comment letter dated November 14, 1991. If you have questions or comments, please feel free to call me at (510) 540-3815.

Sincerely,



Romy F. Fuentes
Waste Management Engineer
Site Mitigation Branch
Region 2

cc: Commanding Officer
Building One, Code 84
Attn: Mr. Jim Sullivan
Staff Civil Engineers Office
NAVSTA Treasure Island
San Francisco, California 94103

Ms. Barbara Smith
Regional Water Quality Control Board
2101 Webster Street, Suite 500
Oakland, California 94612

ADMN RECORD (3 Copies)