



COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO  
**Office of the Governor**  
**Environmental Quality Board**

212  
Environmental Emergencies Response Area

October 6, 2008

Mr. Kevin Cloe, P.E.  
Remedial Project Manager  
Commander Atlantic Division  
Naval Facilities Engineering Command  
6506 Hampton Boulevard  
Norfolk, VA 23508-1278

**RE: DRAFT NO ACTION DECISION DOCUMENT 4 CONSENT ORDER  
SITES AND 7 PI/PAOC SITES, FORMER VIEQUES NAVAL  
TRAINING RANGE, VIEQUES, PUERTO RICO**

Dear Mr. Cloe:

The Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board (PREQB) has completed its review of the Navy's Draft No Action Decision Document 4 Consent Order Sites and 7 PI/PAOC Sites, Former Vieques Naval Training Range, Vieques, Puerto Rico, dated August 2008. Enclosed our comments.

Please contact me at (787) 767-8181 X.6141 if you have any questions or comments about our review.

Cordially,

Wilmarie Rivera  
Federal Facilities Coordinator

cc: Daniel Rodríguez - EPA  
Richard Henry - FWS  
Brett Doerr - CH2M Hill  
Daniel Hood - Navy  
Christopher Penny - Navy

**PREQB Technical Evaluation**  
**Draft No Action Decision Document 4 Consent Order Sites and 7 PI/PAOC**  
**Sites, Former Vieques Naval Training Range**  
**Vieques, Puerto Rico, August 2008**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

This evaluation is of the Draft No Action Decision Document 4 Consent Order Sites and 7 PI/PAOC Sites, Former Vieques Naval Training Range, Vieques, Puerto Rico. The Decision Document memorializes understandings and assumptions at 11 site screening areas: SWMU 5, SWMU 8, SWMU 12, AOC F, PI 11, PI 20, PAOC R, PAOC T, PAOC U, PAOC V, and PAOC W.

The Decision Document summarizes information upon which the no action determinations were proposed.

**II. PAGE-SPECIFIC COMMENTS**

1. Page 3-2, Section 3, Step 3. Small typographical error. In the last line on this page, replace “no” with “not.”
2. Table 6-1, Section 6. Table 6-1 should be revised to note that TPH-DRO and TPH-GRO were analyzed for and there were no detections. Paragraph 4 on Page 6-1 indicates samples were analyzed for TPH-GRO and TPH-DRO.
3. Page 10-3, Section 10.1, Step 4. It’s unclear why the acetone detections are not discussed. Step 2 identifies acetone detected in surface soil. It would be helpful for the text to clarify the acetone detections.
4. Figure 10-1. Minor edit: In legend, shift green circle down one row so it is inline with “EBS Surface Soil Sample Location.”