

Minutes of
Technical Review Committee

December 3, 1987

Naval Air Station, Brunswick

NAS BRUNSWICK NPL
ADMINISTRATIVE
RECORD

Attendees:

CDR Geoff Cullison	Public Works Officer, NAS Brunswick
LCDR Bill Mc Loughlin	Public Affairs Office, NAS Brunswick
LT Dan O'Toole	Legal Officer, NAS Brunswick
Mr. Greg Apraham	Environmental Engineer, NAS Brunswick
Mr. Tom Sheckels	Head, Code 1421, NORTHNAVFACENGCOM
Mr. Ron Springfield	Project Manager, NORTHNAVFACENGCOM
Ms. Adrienne Townsel	Tech Rep, NORTHNAVFACENGCOM
Mr. Autar Rampertaap	Geologist, NORTHNAVFACENGCOM
Mr. David Webster	U.S. EPA
Ms. Charlotte Head	U.S. EPA
Mr. Matt Hoagland	U.S. EPA
Mr. Ken Finkelstein	NOAA Representative
Ms. Cynthia Kuhns	Maine DEP
Ms. Carolyn Lepage	Maine DEP
Mr. C.B. Osgood	Town of Brunswick, Public Works
Mr. Peleg Bradford	Brunswick-Topsham Water District

1. Capt E.B. Darsey, Commanding Officer, Naval Air Station, Brunswick, welcomed the attendees and expressed his concerns for the purpose of the meeting and his desire that the Navy's efforts clearly comply with the schedules required by SARA.

2. Cdr. Cullison initiated individual introductions.

3. Cdr. Cullison presented a brief history of the Navy's Installation Restoration Program at Naval Air Station, Brunswick.

4. Mr. Sheckels described the role of NORTHNAVFACENGCOM as providing technical support and project management for the Installation Restoration Program at NAS Brunswick.

5. The Navy has amended the IR Program contract to more closely conform to current EPA terminology and guidance documents. EPA expressed concerns about the revised statement of work (SOW) being flexible enough. The Navy supplied a copy to EPA for comments. EPA mentioned that the guidance documents on which the SOW was based have been updated. EPA will supply NORTHNAVFACENGCOM with additional information.

6. Mr. Springfield discussed each site individually. He provided a description of the site, a summary of previous investigations and a description of proposed additional work.

NAS BRUNSWICK NPL
ADMINISTRATIVE
RECORD

7. Sites 5 and 6, both former Asbestos Disposal Areas were discussed. Even though these two sites did not appear in the HRS ranking, EPA advised they must be considered as any other site. EPA requested documentation on how they were closed. If sufficient data is not available, SI work may have to be initiated to gather enough data to remove the sites from further considerations. It was agreed that the existing data will be evaluated and reviewed with EPA. If sufficient data is lacking, the contract to E.C. Jordan will be amended to include gathering of the required data.

8. NAS Brunswick asked about the establishment of allowable limits for contaminants. EPA stated that they often look to the natural resources trustee (NOAA) for this. NOAA stated that the limits would in all probability be based on Water Quality Standards and would be readily accessible.

9. EPA suggested using soil gas analysis in lieu of drilling additional wells at Site 4.

10. A discussion followed on when the RI/FS actually commences at a site. EPA definition includes signing of an Interagency Agreement (IAG). NAS Brunswick asked that EPA comment on whether enough technical work has been accomplished to qualify for commencing the RI/FS, aside from the IAG. EPA will attempt to clarify their definition and comment at a later date. EPA mentioned that if the RI/FS is started for one operable unit (for example Sites 1, 2 and 3), the RI/FS for the entire site is considered started.

11. A tour of the individual sites was taken by the Committee members.

12. A Community Relations Plan was discussed. EPA agreed to supply NAS Brunswick with a successful Community Relations Plan to use as a model. The community as a whole is aware of the problem and is understanding. NAS Brunswick currently participates Military Community Affairs Council to keep the community informed of all its activities. An environmental committee is included in the Council. This arrangement appears to be working well at keeping the community informed concerning the NPL sites.

13. The Administrative Record will be managed by (NAS Brunswick) in close cooperation with the (NORTHNAVFACENGCOM). The Record will be kept at NAS Brunswick with a duplicate copy kept at the Brunswick Public Library or such other publicly accessible location as the Town Manager suggests.

14. A schedule was discussed for future RI/FS work. EPA and the state of Maine have the responsibility to publish a schedule six months after a facility is listed in the NPL. EPA desires a detailed schedule that leads to sufficient data gathering activities to prepare an integrated RI/FS and to support the selection of long term remedial actions. The Navy is concerned with the many unknowns that remain and an early commitment to a specific time schedule. EPA suggested setting time periods for study phases in lieu of specific dates to be met. EPA will study this concept further and will respond to the Navy at a later date.

15. A meeting or a conference call will be set-up in January to resolve the remaining issues regarding a schedule.

16. NOAA requested that a copy of the meeting minutes be sent directly to his attention.

17. EPA suggested that Ken Carr of Fish and Wildlife be on the distribution for the minutes. EPA will supply an address.

18. The next meeting is scheduled for mid-February to discuss the amended Work Plan for RI/FS work at sites 1, 2 and 3 and Extended SI work at Sites 4, 7, 8 and 9.

NAS BRUNSWICK NPL
ADMINISTRATIVE
RECORD