



STATE OF MAINE

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

JOHN R. McKERNAN, JR.
GOVERNOR

DEAN C. MARRIOTT
COMMISSIONER

DEBRAH RICHARD
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

April 1, 1993

Mr. James Shafer
Project Manager, Code 1821
Department of the Navy, Northern Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
10 Industrial Highway, Mailstop 82
Lester, Penn. 19112-2090

Dear Jim:

The Maine Department of Environmental Protection has received and reviewed the "Proposed Plan Sites 5 and 6", dated March 1993, for Naval Air Station Brunswick.

The Department's Technical Review comments are attached.

Please call me if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Nancy Beardsley
Federal Facilities Project Manager
Office of the Commissioner

attachment: Technical Review Comments

cc: Meghan Cassidy USEPA
Jim Carruthers NAS Brunswick
Carolyn Lepage R.G. Gerber Inc.
Beth Walter ABB ES
Rene Bernier Topsham
Sam Butcher Harpswell
Susan Weddle Brunswick
Brunswick Topsham Water District

S T A T E O F M A I N E
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS AND SOLID WASTE CONTROL

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Nancy Beardsley, Project Manager, Division of Federal
Facilities Remediation

FROM: Troy Smith, Geologist 
Division of Technical Services

DATE: March 30, 1993

SUBJECT: Review of the Proposed Plan for Sites 5 and 6,
Naval Air Station, Brunswick, Brunswick, Maine.

I have reviewed the above stated report and I offer one comment pertaining to a confirmation investigation.

Confirmation investigation is an issue that needs to be addressed. Once all visible fill material has been removed from the sites and excavation is considered to be complete, additional investigation should focus on the sidewalls and base of the excavation. Soils around the excavation and at the base excavation, considered to be "clean", should be excavated to make sure that it does not contain any material considered to be part of the disposed debris. If the material is found to be clean it can be returned to the excavation. Where possible, this investigation should extend out from the excavation several meters. This investigation would account for possible small "pockets" of fill material not identified in past investigations. Post-excavation investigations may already be planned for the sites, however no specific description was given in the above report.

If you have any comments or questions, please feel free to contact me.

S T A T E O F M A I N E

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS AND SOLID WASTE CONTROL

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mark Hyland, Federal Facilities Director
FROM: *MH* Marianne Hubert, Engineer, Technical Services
DATE: March 22, 1993
SUBJECT: Site 5 & 6, Proposed Plan,
Naval Air Station, Brunswick

I have reviewed the Brunswick Naval Air Station Proposed Plan for Site 5 and 6. The selected method of remediation at these sites is to excavate the landfill and use as subgrade material at Site 1 and 3.

As presented, this report meets MEDEP's expectations from the Navy by removing all landfill material and construction debris at Site 5 and 6 thus eliminating long term operation and maintenance costs. I have no comments concerning this finalized plan.

cc: Nancy Beardsley
Troy Smith