



STATE OF MAINE

# DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

N60087.AR.000432  
NAS BRUNSWICK  
5090.3a

JOHN R. McKERNAN, JR.  
GOVERNOR

DEAN C. MARRIOTT  
COMMISSIONER

DEBRAH RICHARD  
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

July 7, 1994

Mr. Fred Evans  
Project Manager, Code 1821  
Department of the Navy, Northern Division  
Naval Facilities Engineering Command  
10 Industrial Highway, Mailstop 82  
Lester, Penn. 19112-2090

**RE: Second Draft Proposed Plan Site 9, dated June 1994, Brunswick Naval Air Station, Brunswick, Maine**

Dear Fred:

The Department has received and reviewed the second Draft Proposed Plan for Site 9 Draft for NAS, Brunswick dated June 1994. The Department's comments are provided below.

The revised report is considerably improved over the first draft. ABB deserves a lot of credit for turning this report around so quickly.

1. Page 1-3, ¶ 1, second sentence: Consider re-wording the sentence to read, "...and leachate monitoring, to maintaining institutional controls, and to conducting five-year reviews ...".
2. Page 3-6, ¶ 2, last sentence: What are solvents AH 196?
3. Page 3-11, ¶ 2: Consider moving this paragraph to the soils section. The section that follows this paragraph discusses groundwater.
4. Page 3-12, ¶ 2: The text should explain that MW-916 can no longer be considered as a background well because of proximity to the ash disposal area and because of potential contamination detected at T-23.
5. Page 3-13, Figure 3-3: Water levels were not measured at MW-909 and MW-910 at the same time as the rest of the sampling points, therefore, the 40 foot water level contour line should be dashed in this area to indicate that it is based on a separate data set.
6. Page 3-15, Table 3-1: The table should indicate the wells that were used to calculate background concentrations.

7. Page 3-19, ¶ 2: It is possible that 2-Butanone is site related. Site 9 is a past disposal area for solvents.
8. Page 3-23, ¶ 3, fifth sentence: The borings should be discussed before the TerraProbe investigations are discussed. Include the borings numbers, B-911, B-912, and B-913 in the text. Also mention that most of the ash is located below the water table.
9. Page 3-24, Figure 3-5: The location of the incinerator is approximate since there is no precise information concerning its exact location.
10. Page 3-25, ¶ 2, last sentence: It is possible that groundwater is discharging from Site 9 to the area near SW-915.
11. Page 3-27, ¶ 2: Are AWQC exceeded for inorganics at Site 9?
12. Page 3-29, ¶ 2, first sentence: Add "and MEGs" after "MCLs".
13. Page 3-29, ¶ 2, fourth sentence: The ash disposal area has not been fully characterized.
14. Page 4-8, Table 4-2: It appears that DCE, 2-Butanone, Toluene, and Mercury are missing from this Table. Please clarify.
15. Page 5-2, ¶ 1, last sentence: If the clean-up concentrations will be met in 2-15 years, consider replacing "reasonable time frame" with 2-15 years.

Sincerely,



Nancy Beardsley  
Project Manager, Federal Facilities Unit  
Office of the Commissioner

pc: Robert Lim, USEPA  
Jim Caruthers, NAS Brunswick  
Carolyn Lepage, R.G. Gerber Inc.  
**Beth Walter, ABB ES**  
Rene Bernier, Topsham  
Sam Butcher, Harpswell  
Susan Weddle, Brunswick  
Topsham Water District  
Steven Mierzykowski, USFW