

11/7/02-3383

Capito, Bonnie P. (EFDLANT)

From: Stevens, Kirk (EFDLANT)
Sent: Thursday, November 07, 2002 3:56 PM
To: Rich Bonelli (E-mail); Scott Bailey (E-mail)
Cc: Capito, Bonnie P. (EFDLANT)
Subject: FW: Comments on Draft PA

FYI

-----Original Message-----

From: Raines GS12 Rick H [mailto:RainesRH@lejeune.usmc.mil]
Sent: Thursday, November 07, 2002 11:33 AM
To: Stevens, Kirk (EFDLANT)
Subject: Comments on Draft PA

Overall a very thorough and well written report.

1. General comment

There are no buildings on Base with the prefix HP. All of the buildings listed for the Hadnot Point Area are given this tag in this report. To avoid confusion in the future either this tag needs to be removed or there needs to be some sort of clarifying statement to explain why this tag is being place on these buildings.

2. Executive Summary Page ES-1

2nd Paragraph, second sentence. This sentence states that this report focuses on risk posed by possible uncontrolled releases ...into the environment that MAY HAVE occurred. Please add.

3. 3rd Paragraph first sentence. This sentence states that the PA was performed by the base in keeping with their efforts of A PROACTIVE APPROACH TO THE INVESTIGATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONTAMINATION. Please rearrange wording.

4. 3rd Paragraph 4th sentence. This sentence should read that the buildings were chosen based on the fact that other buildings which housed the same types of operations were previously investigated and found to have resulted in releases of contamination into the environment.

5. 3rd Paragraph 5th sentence This sentence states that a letter was sent to the EPA to remove 8 buildings from the investigation. The same letter was also sent to NC DENR. Please include.

6. 4th Paragraph last sentence. This sentence states that sites requiring additional investigation would probably be recommended or further activities. This should be changed to will be recommended.

7. Section 1.1 Page 1-2

Last Paragraph. This section states that the Air Station and Camp Geiger are considered as on urban area. This is incorrect. Although they are collocated the Bases are considered as separate entities and should be treated as such in this report. The Air Station property is owned by the Base, but the operations are handled by the Air Station which is a tenant organization. Please correct this.

8. Section 1.2 Page 1-2

First paragraph last sentence. See comment 6

9. Section 1-2 Page 1-4

The list of documents used in this PA should reference the Navy/Marine Corps IR Manual, dated March 2000. Please correct.

10. Section 1-2 Page 1-4

The last paragraph states that the data was reviewed by assessing exposure routes or pathways and one exposure pathway. This is confusing as to what is being explained.

11. Section 1.3 Page 1-5

The first paragraph First sentence. See comment 3

12 Section 1.3 Page 1-5

The first paragraph 4th sentence describes why the sites were chosen for investigation. Please see comment 4.

13. Section 2.2.5 Page 2-20

Last Paragraph first sentence. This sentence states that Bld HP1409 as show... this should read as shown. Please correct.