

11/25/08 - 04238

**Response to Comments  
Non-Time Critical Removal Action Report  
Site 35, Operable Unit 10  
MCB Camp Lejeune, North Carolina**

---

**PREPARED FOR:** Bob Lowder, MCB Camp Lejeune  
Gary Tysor, NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic  
Gena Townsend, EPA Region 4  
Randy McElveen, NCDENR

**PREPARED BY:** CH2M HILL

**DATE:** November 25, 2008

**Introduction**

The purpose of this document is to address comments on the Non-Time Critical Removal Action Report for Site 35, Operable Unit 10. Comments were provided by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (NCDENR). The responses to comments are provided in bold.

**Comments from Gena Townsend  
United States Environmental Protection Agency  
Dated November 17, 2008**

No Comment. EPA accepts the findings that are presented in this report.

**Comments from Randy McElveen  
North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources  
Dated November 24, 2008**

As noted in the Executive Summary of the Report and in the body of the report, the objectives of the NTCRA were not achieved since the 80% reduction of contaminants of concern (COCs) were not achieved and therefore the reduction in the potential for contaminant dispersion from "hot spots" to surrounding areas was not achieved. The causes attributing to the failure to achieve these objectives was described in the Observations and Conclusions, Section 7, of the report. Microbial sampling indicated that the appropriate dechlorinating bacteria were not present in the NTCRA area at levels to promote reductive dechlorination and the spacing of the injection points was too far apart.

It would be appropriate to meet the original 80% reduction in contaminant concentrations in the two "hot spot" areas in order to meet the remedial action objectives for the site prior to implementing Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA) at Site 35. By achieving these objectives it will further reduce potential risk and reduce monitoring requirements (smaller plume) and the time it takes to achieve groundwater standards.

**Noted. The results are as discussed in the report. As such, the Camp Lejeune Partnering Team should discuss the site approach and any future actions for further reducing contaminant concentrations.**