

1/2/09 - 04208

Francois, Aretha/VBO

From: Grim, Theron/CLT
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2009 9:24 AM
To: Randy McElveen; Townsend.Gena@epamail.epa.gov; 'robert.a.lowder@usmc.mil'; 'gary.tysor@usmc.mil'
Cc: Louth, Matt/VBO; Bozzini, Chris/CLT; Henderson, Kimberly/VBO; Williams, Tegwyn/CLT
Subject: MCB Camp Lejeune Site 69 Remedial Investigation Work Plan
Attachments: Response to Comments_RIWorkPlan_Site69.pdf

Good morning,

Attached are the response to comments provided by the NCDENR regarding the Site 69 Remedial Investigation Work Plan. A copy of this document and a copy of the final version of the Site 69 Remedial Investigation Work Plan have been posted to the Enterprise Portal. Please let me know if you have any questions or comments.

Thank you,

Theron

Theron Grim, P.G.
Project Manager
CH2M HILL
4824 Parkway Plaza Blvd Ste 200
Charlotte, NC 28217
Direct - 704.329.0073 x221
Fax - 704.329.0141

**Response to Comments
Draft Remedial Investigation Work Plan
Operable Unit 14, Site 69
Rifle Range Chemical Dump
MCB Camp Lejeune, North Carolina**

PREPARED FOR: Bob Lowder, MCB Camp Lejeune
Gary Tysor, NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic
Gena Townsend, EPA Region 4
Randy McElveen, NCDENR

PREPARED BY: CH2M HILL

DATE: January 2, 2009

Introduction

The purpose of this document is to address comments on the Draft Remedial Investigation Work Plan for Site 69, Operable Unit No. 14. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (NCDENR) provided the comments listed below. The responses to comments are provided in bold.

North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources Comments (Dated March 20, 2008)

Specific Comments

1. How were the surface water and sediment sample locations on Figure 4-1 determined? If the samples are not based on Site specific conditions and topography encountered in the field, they should be. The surface water and sediment sample locations should be determined by the Field Team Leader at time of sampling.

The proposed surface water and sediment sample locations are based on previous sample locations. Text has been added to indicate sample locations may be modified due to site-specific conditions. Any deviations from the proposed locations will be discussed in the RI Report.

2. The purge rate of the monitoring wells is discussed in the fourth bullett of Section 4.3.10 on page 4-9. The purge rate should not drop below 0.3 liters per minute unless absolutely necessary due to a very slow well recovery rate or high NTU readings above 20 NTUs. These wells are not delineated vertically or flow meter tested for Micro-purge sampling. The micro-purge rate is in the range of 0.3 liters per minute or less. Please make appropriate changes to the purge rate information in Section 4.3.10 and throughout the report.

Purge rate has been modified to range from 0.3 to 0.5 liters per minute throughout the text.

United States Environmental Protection Agency Comments (dated September 29, 2008)

1. No comments.

This comment has been noted.