



UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS

MARINE CORPS BASE
PSC BOX 20004
CAMP LEJEUNE, NORTH CAROLINA 28542-0004

IN REPLY REFER TO:

6286
BEMD
25 JAN 1999

From: Commanding General, Marine Corps Base, Camp Lejeune
To: Commander, Atlantic Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command,
(Code 1823), 1510 Gilbert Street, Norfolk, Virginia 23511-2699

Subj: DRAFT NO FURTHER RESPONSE ACTION PLAN DECISION DOCUMENT SITE 76

Encl: (1) Comments on the Draft No Further Action Plan Decision Document, Site 76,
Marine Corps Base, Camp Lejeune

1. The subject document has been reviewed by the Installation Restoration Division. Our comments are contained in the enclosure.
2. It is requested that the Installation Restoration Division, Environmental Management Department, Marine Corps Base, Camp Lejeune, be notified of the actions taken to accommodate the comments.
3. If you have any questions or comments, please contact Mr. Jeff Wyrick, Installation Restoration Division, Environmental Management Department, at DSN 751-5068 or commercial telephone number (910) 451-5068.

SCOTT A. BREWER, PE
By direction

**Comments on the Draft No Further Action Plan Decision Document, Site 76,
Marine Corps Base, Camp Lejeune**

Specific Comments

1. Page v, Declaration Page, Declaration Statement. The last sentence in the statement is repetitive relative to statements second sentence; therefore, please omit the last sentence. Also, please correct the Deputy Assistant Chief of Staff's name from "Brewster" to "Brewer".
2. Page 1-5,1-6, Section 1.2.1.2, Pre-RI Screening Study. The fourth sentence in the sixth paragraph states that only arsenic and iron exceeded residential RBC values; however, the eleventh paragraph indicates that aluminum, arsenic, and iron were above residential RBC values. Please clarify.
3. Page 1-5,1-6, Section 1.2.1.2, Pre-RI Screening Study. The fifth sentence in the sixth paragraph states that only iron and selenium exceeded the North Carolina Risk Analysis Framework standards, S3:G1; however, the eleventh paragraph indicates that iron, mercury, and selenium were in excess of the Method I category S3:G1 Target Concentrations. Please clarify.
4. Page 1-6, Section 1.2.1.2, Pre-RI Screening Study, paragraph 15. Explain in further detail, why the four metals that were found at concentrations exceeding state and/or federal regulatory levels should receive a no further response action decision.
5. Page 3-1, Section 3.0, Data Analysis/Risk Assessment, paragraph 5. We recommend that a table be inserted into the document that will show calculated values from the risk assessment for each contaminant of concern above RBC values for all media.