

M00146.AR.005954
MCAS CHERRY POINT
5090.3a

RESPONSE TO U S NAVY COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT DECISION DOCUMENT FORMER
CAT ISLAND BOMB TARGET BT-2 MCAS CHERRY POINT NC
06/18/2015
NAVFAC MID ATLANTIC

Draft Decision Document
 Former Cat Island Bomb Target BT-2
 Marine Corps Air Station Cherry Point
 Havelock, North Carolina
 Comments provided by Patti Vanture NAVFAC on 6/18/2015

#	Section	Comment	Response
1	Page 1-9	Authorizing Signatures- Change NAVFAC signee from Bryan to me, Patricia Vanture	The text has been modified as requested.
2	Figures	Identify Emerald Isle on Figures (1-1), since parts of it are also within the SDZs	The figure has been modified as requested.
3	Page 2-1	Section 2.2 Why was Emerald Isle omitted from the discussion of the areas encompassed by the SDZs? IF this is something the Team has already agreed to, I am not reopening the issue. I just think it looks strange. Recommend adding Emerald Isle to the Site Descriptions/Figures	Section 2.2 includes " the Bogue Banks barrier island" in the SDZ discussion. The barrier island is known as Bogue Banks and includes several municipalities including Emerald Isle.
4	Section 2.7, last sentence, 1st para	The conclusion of this evaluation was that investigation and removal of all underwater anomalies within Bogue Sound is technically....Did the Partnering Team come to this conclusion? If so, I would say so here.	The Partnering Team did come to this conclusion. The text in Section 2.7 has been modified to state, "The conclusion of this evaluation, which was agreed upon by the Partnering Team" was that investigation and removal of all underwater anomalies within Bogue Sound is technically impractical at present due to the anticipated depth of some MEC/MPPEH and the number of anomalies identified within the SDZ."
Attachment 1			
5	Alt 2 Page 1 cost estimate	Revise Assumption 1. After each inspection, the need for additional MPPEH and/or sign replacement will be evaluated ("MPPEH and/or " should be deleted or something is missing).	The referenced assumption has been modified to state, "1. After each inspection, the need for sign replacement will be evaluated."

Draft Decision Document
 Former Cat Island Bomb Target BT-2
 Marine Corps Air Station Cherry Point
 Havelock, North Carolina
 Comments provided by Patti Vanture NAVFAC on 6/18/2015

#	Section	Comment	Response
6	Alt 2 Page 1 cost estimate	What happens if they come across an exposed bomb or rocket (clearly MEC) while checking on the signs? Will Base EOD handle? I think the possibility and initial response should be discussed Estimate for alternative 3 - are costs for an After Action Report(s) included. Also estimate assumes that the ESS and AAR (?) can just be updated. Has this been confirmed with MARCORSSYCOM?	Alternative 2 intentionally does not include any inspection or management of MEC/MPPEH, which is why this is ultimately an unacceptable alternative. For Alternative 3, costs associated with an Expanded SI Report and the After Action Report are included in the "Cost per Report after MPPEH Removal Event (Every 5 years for 30 = 6 events)" portion of the cost estimate. The AAR will not be submitted for MARCORSSYCOM review or finalized between surface removal efforts. Additionally, the ESS will remain active between surface removal activities and will be updated every three years. The management of the AAR and ESS in this manner was proposed by MARCORSSYCOM.
7	Alternate 3		
8	Alter 3 Page 1 cost estimate	Please fix with something like red text. After each inspection, the need for additional MEC/ MPPEH Removal and/or sign replacement will be evaluated Alternate 3, Additional MPPEH Removal (several locations in cost estimate)- Title should be MEC/MPPEH Removal rather than just MPPEH...right? I'm concerned that this alternative has gone from MEC/MPPEH to just MPPEH.	The text has been modified as requested. The Alternative 3 summary has been updated throughout to state "MEC/MPPEH".
9	Alter 3 Page 1 cost estimate		

Attachment 2

Draft Decision Document
Former Cat Island Bomb Target BT-2
Marine Corps Air Station Cherry Point
Havelock, North Carolina
Comments provided by Patti Vanture NAVFAC on 6/18/2015

#	Section	Comment	Response
10	Table 1	Revise row heights, text is hard to read.	The tables in Attachment 2 have been reformatted so they're easier to read.