

N00164.AR.002553  
NSA CRANE  
5090.3a

EMAIL AND THE U S EPA REGION V APPROVAL OF THE DRAFT FINAL TECHNICAL  
MEMORANDUM ADDENDUM REPORT SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT UNIT 3 (SWMU 3)  
AMMUNITION BURNING GROUNDS JEEP TRAIL AREA NSA CRANE IN  
12/04/2015  
U S EPA REGION V CHICAGO IL

## Cohen, Deborah

---

**From:** Ramanauskas, Peter <ramanauskas.peter@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Friday, December 04, 2015 10:06 AM  
**To:** Brent, Thomas CIV NAVFAC MIDLANT, PWD Crane  
**Cc:** Cole, Linda L CIV NAVFAC MIDLANT, IPTNE; Lyons, Karen; Cohen, Deborah; Basinski, Ralph  
**Subject:** RE: SWMUJ 3 Soil Tech Memo (RTCs)

Thanks, Tom. Please go ahead and issue as final.  
Pete

-----Original Message-----

From: Brent, Thomas CIV NAVFAC MIDLANT, PWD Crane  
[mailto:thomas.brent@navy.mil]  
Sent: Friday, December 04, 2015 8:34 AM  
To: Ramanauskas, Peter <ramanauskas.peter@epa.gov>  
Cc: Cole, Linda L CIV NAVFAC MIDLANT, IPTNE <linda.cole@navy.mil>; Lyons, Karen <Karen.Lyons@tetrattech.com>; Cohen, Deborah <Deborah.Cohen@tetrattech.com>; Basinski, Ralph <Ralph.Basinski@tetrattech.com>  
Subject: RE: SWMUJ 3 Soil Tech Memo (RTCs)

Pete,

Attached are the responses to your June 2015 comments on the ABG (SWM 3) OJT TM Addendum Report of additional delineation sampling. Please let us know if you have any further comments or if we can issue the final.

Thanks,  
Tom

-----Original Message-----

From: Ramanauskas, Peter [mailto:ramanauskas.peter@epa.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2015 2:17 PM  
To: Brent, Thomas CIV NAVFAC MIDLANT, PWD Crane  
Cc: Cole, Linda L CIV NAVFAC MIDLANT, IPTNE  
Subject: SWMUJ 3 Soil Tech Memo

Hi Tom,

Hope the rest of your vacation in Florida was a good one!

I read through the May 6, 2015 Technical Memorandum Addendum Report - SWMU 3 Soil and had a few comments:

1) On page 4, Southern Study Area Boring 03SB48: The last sentence of the paragraph states that lead contamination is contained within the upper two feet of soil, which doesn't appear accurate.

2) Referring to Figure 3-2, were certain locations not able to be vertically bounded due to refusal (e.g. 03SB147, 03SB185)?

3) The second to last sentence of the first paragraph of Section 3.5 states that no step-out or step-down samples were warranted in this area, but it seems that intervals deeper than 6 feet were analyzed in 2014.

Thanks,

Pete