



TETRA TECH NUS, INC.

661 Andersen Drive ■ Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15220-2745
(412) 921-7090 ■ FAX (412) 921-4040 ■ www.tetrattech.com

PITT-10-2-018

October 4, 2002

Project Number 7842

Commander, Southern Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Attn: Jeff Meyers (Code ES33)
2155 Eagle Drive
North Charleston, South Carolina 29406

Reference: CLEAN CONTRACT No. N62467-94-D-0888
Contract Task Order No. 0057

Subject: Remedial Action Work Plan
NIROP Fridley, Fridley Minnesota

Dear Jeff:

Please find enclosed one copy of the most recent Remedial Action Work Plan (RAWP) revision. The information in this RAWP is substantially similar to the October 2001 Annual Monitoring Report Workplan, developed to enable last year's Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) sampling, with one notable exception. The exception is that this RAWP incorporates the most recent DQO Well Selection Meeting Notes (July 16, 2002). We believe the RAWP meets the requirements of the FFA.

The October 2001 AMR Work Plan incorporated several other documents by reference, while this revision is intended to incorporate directly all this previously referenced material into a stand-alone volume. This revision incorporates the appropriate portions of the following:

- Work Plan for the Field Application to Enhance InSitu Bioremediation of Chlorinated Solvents via Vegetable Oil Injection at the Naval Industrial Reserve Ordnance Plant (NIROP) Fridley, Minnesota
- EPA Region 5 Instructions on the Preparation of a Superfund Division Quality Assurance Project Plan
- MPCA Sampling Standard Operating Procedure Template
- Regulatory comments received on the 2001 AMR
- Regulatory comments received on the 2001 AMR Work Plan.

Since we typically provide draft documents to the entire team at the same time to make the best use of time available for review, the QAPP signature page still currently requires several additional signatures. To be consistent with the 2001 AMR Work Plan, the signature page needs signatures from yourself, the Bay West Project Manager (Paul), EPA's RPM, and EPA's Quality Assurance Reviewer. Following review of this document, we anticipate that both yourself and Paul Walz will sign the signature page. At that time, we will distribute an updated signature page lacking only EPA signatures. We anticipate that this updated signature page will be available before the normal completion of the regulatory review, and therefore will not result in any review delays.

We believe that Team review of this document should be straightforward because the information in this document is the same as the approved DQO notes and last year's approved AMR Work Plan. We would note that team review would be most constructive if you request that regulatory comments provide specific replacement language versus just noting objections to existing RAWP items. If the team review schedule does get extended, one option to enable Bay West to collect samples prior to winter weather could be reuse of the previously-approved 2001 AMR WP.

Mr. Jeff Meyers
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
October 4, 2002 – Page 2

Please call me at (412) 921-8216 with any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark Sladic". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Mark" and last name "Sladic" clearly distinguishable.

Mark Sladic, PE
Task Order Manager

MS/kf

Enclosure

.cc: Dave Douglas, MPCA (2 copies)
Gary Schafer, US EPA (2 copies)
Paul Walz, Bay West (2 copies)
Tim Ruda, UDLP (1 copy)
Hal Davis, USGS (1 copy)
Venky Venkatesh, CH2MHill (1 copy)
Richard Harris, RAB Co-Chair (1 copy)
Keith Henn, TtNUS (1 copy)
John Koehnen, TechLaw (1 copy)
Richard Kuhlthau, TechLaw (1 copy)
Laura Pugh, TechLaw (1 copy)