

Brian J (EFANE)

N00129.AR.001293

NSB NEW LONDON

5090.3a

From: Helland, Brian J (EFANE)  
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2002 7:42 AM  
To: 'Conant, Dick GS (NSBNL)'; 'cvarner@eaest.com'  
Subject: RE: Naval Submarine Base - New London Annual Monitoring Reports

I agree, but I doubt if DEP will ever get around to reviewing the reports. I think we would be safe dropping any well that has been in compliance for at least 8 quarters - isn't that what the regs call for?

-----Original Message-----

From: Conant, Dick GS (NSBNL) [mailto:ConantD@subasenlon.navy.mil]  
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2002 7:19 AM  
To: 'cvarner@eaest.com'; Helland, Brian J (EFANE)  
Subject: RE: Naval Submarine Base - New London Annual Monitoring Reports

Curt/Brian,

I'm not in favor of "changing horses" at this point in this project and going the LEP route. If we are only talking about one well, let's plan to go ahead and sample it in August per the original sampling plan. Question: If CTDEP gets around to reviewing the report sometime this year and they concur with our findings, could the one well be eliminated from future sampling efforts? I would assume so.

Thanks, Dick

> -----Original Message-----

> From: cvarner@eaest.com [SMTP:cvarner@eaest.com]  
> Sent: Tuesday, June 25, 2002 3:47 PM  
> To: Helland, Brian J (EFANE)  
> Cc: Conant, Dick GS (NSBNL)  
> Subject: Re: Naval Submarine Base - New London Annual Monitoring  
> Reports

> Brian:

- > Please see the attached e-mail correspondence with Bill Warzecha at CTDEP.
- > CTDEP has indicated that they will not have time to review the annual  
> ground-water monitoring reports for the NSB-NLON Dolphin Mart or NEX  
> Service Station sites prior to the August 2002 sampling events. It has  
> been suggested that the Navy enter the CTDEP voluntary remediation program  
> (133y would apply) so that a CT licensed environmental professional (LEP)  
> could be assigned to review the reports and respond to the program  
> modification requests.
- > Bill Warzecha seems to be under the impression that both sites are in GB  
> areas and would therefore be assignable to a LEP. As it stands, only the  
> NEX Service Station is located in a GB area. We have a CT LEP on staff at  
> our Newburgh Office (Doug McClure) who would be able to serve in this  
> role,  
> provided CTDEP would consider assigning the site to a LEP employed by the  
> Navy's consultant.
- > Bottom line is that assigning the NEX Service Station to a LEP is probably  
> not advantageous. The Navy would have to enter into a legal covenant with  
> CTDEP and pay a fee (I believe \$5,000) to enter the voluntary remediation  
> program. Other application requirements would also likely be involved.  
> At the moment, we have requested the elimination of a single monitoring  
> well from the NEX Service Station ground-water program. The associated  
> savings would be significantly less than costs for entering the voluntary  
> remediation program.
- > The Dolphin Mart site is located in a GA area, and would therefore not be

> assignable to a LEP. The majority of our ground-water monitoring program  
> modification requests are associated with elimination of several  
> monitoring  
> wells and MTBE analyses at the Dolphin Mart site.

> I suggest that we contact Bill Warzecha and advise him that the Dolphin  
> Mart is located in a GA area and cannot be assigned to a CTLEP. Also, I  
> think the Navy would be better off with both sites remaining under direct  
> CTDEP review, since assigning the NEX Service Station to a LEP does not  
> appear to provide a cost savings at this time.

> If you would like to discuss with myself and Doug McClure (CT LEP), please  
> let me know.

> Thanks very much,

> Curt.

> ----- Forwarded by Curt Varner/Newburgh/EAEST on  
> 06/25/2002 02:51 PM -----

> "Bill Warzecha" <william.warzecha@po.state.ct.us> on 06/25/2002 10:59:07  
> AM

> To: Curt Varner/Newburgh/EAEST

> cc:

> Subject: Re: Naval Submarine Base - New London Annual  
> Monitoring Reports

> \*\* High Priority \*\*

> Curt, it is unlikely that the DEP will be able to comply with the Navy's  
> request to review the reports for either site. Therefore, I would  
> encourage your clients to enter into the DEPs voluntary remediation  
> program  
> (probably 22a-133x or maybe 133y). It is likely that we would assign the  
> project to an CTLEP who may be in a better position to meet the prescribed  
> timeframe. If this sounds like something your client would be interested  
> in, please call me at 860-424-3776, Thanks.  
> >>> <cvarner@eaest.com> 06/24/02 02:58PM >>>

> Bill:

> Don Gonyea advised me that he was going to forward you the annual  
> ground-water monitoring reports (2001-2002) prepared for the NEX Service  
> Station and Dolphin Mart sites at the New London Submarine Base. The NEX  
> Service Station is an active retail gasoline station. The Dolphin Mart  
> was  
> formerly operated as a retail gasoline station, but has been  
> decommissioned. Active remediation (SVE/AS) has been completed at both  
> sites. Ground-water monitoring programs are currently underway to  
> document  
> natural attenuation of residual petroleum hydrocarbons.

- > Based on historical ground-water analytical data, the Navy has requested
- > to
- > remove several monitoring wells from the sampling programs at each site.
- > If at all possible, the Navy would appreciate CTDEP review comments and/or
- > responses to the program modification requests prior to the next quarterly
- > sampling event, scheduled for August 2002.
- >
- > Please let me know if you have received these reports (dated 23 April
- > 2002), and if so, who I can contact to discuss CTDEP response(s) to the
- > Navy's request to modify the ground-water monitoring programs.
- >
- > Thank you very much,
- >
- > Curt Varner. << File: Internet HTML >>