



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION I
JOHN F. KENNEDY FEDERAL BUILDING
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02203-0001

N00129.AR.000366
NSB NEW LONDON
5090.3a

July 10, 1995

Mark Evans, Remedial Project Manager
U.S. Department of the Navy
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Northern Division
10 Industrial Highway
Code 1823, Mail Stop 82
Lester, PA 19113-2090

Re: Addendum to the Focused Feasibility Study Area A Landfill

Dear Mr. Evans:

Thank you for the *Addendum to the Focused Feasibility Study Area A Landfill*. Unfortunately, several of the comments in my May 31, 1995 letter to you were not addressed. I have summarized them on Attachment A. The Addendum should be revised and reissued accordingly.

What measures have been taken to contact the local POTW in order to determine whether they are willing to accept additional flows, how much it will cost, and whether such flows will require treatment (and what kind)?

Please do not hesitate to contact me at (617) 573-5777 should you have any questions or wish to arrange a meeting.

Sincerely,

Kimberlee Keckler, Remedial Project Manager
Federal Facilities Superfund Section

Attachment

cc: Mark Lewis, CT DEP, Hartford, CT
Andy Stackpole, NSBNL, Groton, CT
Dan Winograd, USEPA, Boston, MA
Patti Tyler, USEPA, Boston, MA
Dale Weiss, TRC, Lowell, MA



Recycled/Recyclable
Printed with Soy/Canola Ink on paper that
contains at least 75% recycled fiber

ATTACHMENT A

<u>Page</u>	<u>Comment</u>
p. 1	EPA sent letters on April 19, 1995 and May 8, 1995 regarding preliminary design issues. One of the dates is incorrectly listed as April 28, 1995, thereby directing the reader to an incorrect reference.
p. 8	The cost estimate for Alternative 1a appears to be missing a zero.
pp. 9 & 10	<p>EPA's previous comment requested that Tables 3-1 and 3-2 explain how the estimates were developed. Were the long-term benefits of a leachate collection system (stabler slope; reduced volume of contaminated groundwater) considered when generating the estimates?</p> <p>The unit cost for shallow slurry walls or geomembrane walls is about \$5.00 to \$10.00 per square foot. Therefore, the use of slurry walls or geomembrane walls instead of sheet piles (\$25.00 per square foot) will save more than \$750,000. Revise accordingly.</p>