

M00263.AR.000363
MCRD PARRIS ISLAND
5090.3a

EMAIL REGARDING STATUS OF U S NAVY RESPONSE TO U S EPA REGION IV
COMMENTS ON PROPOSED PLAN FOR SITE 12 JERICOH ISLAND DISPOSAL AREA
MCRD PARRIS ISLAND SC
6/16/2005
U S EPA REGION IV

Sladic, Mark

From: Koroma-Llamas.Lila@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2005 4:29 PM
To: Sladic, Mark; Sanford, Art F CIV EFDSOUTH; Diane Duncan (E-mail); amanda flake; Stacey French; leon fulmer; Don Hargrove; Debra Kraemer (E-mail); mac mcrae; David M. Scaturo (E-mail); tim harrington (email); Tom Dillon (E-mail); Priscilla Wendt (E-mail)
Cc: Sanford, Art F CIV EFDSOUTH; Diane Duncan (E-mail); amanda flake; Stacey French; leon fulmer; Don Hargrove; Debra Kraemer (E-mail); mac mcrae; David M. Scaturo (E-mail); tim harrington (email); Tom Dillon (E-mail); Priscilla Wendt (E-mail)
Subject: Site 12 PP Public Notice AND Re: MCRD PI document status



deliverables.doc
(53 KB)

Hey there folks,

Am I having a senior moment???? After having sent the Navy my redline on RTC for PP12 and requesting a redline PP back, I quickly went to my Sladic "deliverables" file to see what was my next priority. But first, I wanted to mark up SWMU 12 to reflect the status, and saw in bold a statement I can't recall for the life of me agreeing to, being "Team concurs with revising document per the RTC and distributing it to the public." Maybe the TRC members said something like that at the last meeting, but not me. I had just gotten a hard copy of the RTC from Mac while sitting at the table. I said I would look it over and get back to you as soon as possible (that's where I goofed and took way too long).

However, I would not have agreed to have it go public without seeing the rewrite at least. The draft minutes have similar language in that section, so maybe it was misunderstood from when DHEC said they had seen the RTC and agreed to them, but in their e-mail documentation of that, Leon requested a PP revision be sent. Additionally, it was not captured as a Team Decision in the decision box at the end of the minutes.

Luckily, I called Tim and he says it has not gone out yet, so I hope we can get this resolved quickly. He also stated that as long as he has a revised PP ready to be published and notices out in the paper by mid-July he should be o.k. We need to back calculate to meet that need.

I am willing and ready to be on calls, or look at redlines, or whatever is necessary to make that happen. However, I will be on vacation July 9

- 16, so we really need to be prepared to knock this out as Final on the July 6, 10:00 conference call. Is that doable?

ALSO, to make sure no one misunderstood my other message regarding sediments at SWMU 3 I am not questioning the team decision, just asking for the background to that decision so I can feel comfortable with how the team got there, before we move forward. Mac has since sent me some stuff on results from the EPA sampling event. I will try to look it over in the mean time. Thanks Mac!

I will also try to get you all additional feedback on the draft minutes.

Thanks,
Lila

Please see attached the summary of deliverables table we regularly review at our meetings. As always, it may need touched up for some deliverables where I'm out of the loop. Let me know where I messed up.

Mark Sladic, P.E.
(See attached file: deliverables.doc)