

M00263.AR.000399
MCRD PARRIS ISLAND
5090.3a

EMAIL REGARDING U S EPA REGION IV COMMENTS ON REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION
ADDENDUM FOR SITE 45 DRY CLEANING FACILITY SPILL AREA MCRD PARRIS ISLAND
SC
3/2/2006
U S EPA REGION IV

From: Koroma-Llamas.Lila@epamail.epa.gov
To: Sladic, Mark
Cc: Sanford, Art F CIV EFDSOUTH; timothy.j.harrington@usmc.mil; mmcrae@TechLawInc.com; hargrodc@dhec.sc.gov; stampsjm@dhec.sc.gov
Subject: Re: MCRD PI 45
Date: Thursday, March 02, 2006 10:31:56 AM
Attachments: [RI Addendum Site 45 - EPA Comments Rev 2.doc](#)

Hi Mark,

I am o.k. with that. I was just trying to offer an easier way so that you would not have to struggle in drafting your response to my comments, since there were so many possible ways to address VI. If we discussed them as a team up front and agreed, then all you would have to write in the RTC is something like this "The vapor intrusion comments (comment #s ___, ___, ___, ...) were discussed by the team and all parties agreed to the following path forward for VI:", without necessarily a detailed response to each and every comment's specifics. You could then provide the corresponding change pages as the "details". But if you feel you can more easily address the comments in an RTC, and resolve them in a follow-up discussion, then that is fine as well. Please be aware that, depending on the responses, I may need to include my HQ contact in the review and follow-up discussions, so please anticipate that potential need in your schedule of RTCs and resolution. And if the conference call idea turns out to be insufficient, we can always discuss this at our next face-to-face. It will be here before we know it.

Or maybe,the responses will be so crystal clear and easily agreed to that we will not need any discussion at all ! Here's hoping.

See attached.

(See attached file: RI Addendum Site 45 - EPA Comments Rev 2.doc)

Lila

"Sladic, Mark"
<Mark.Sladic@ttn
us.com> To
Lila
03/01/2006 01:09 PM Koroma-Llamas/R4/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
"Sanford, Art F CIV EFDSOUTH"
<art.sanford@navy.mil>,
timothy.j.harrington@usmc.mil
Subject
MCRD PI 45

Hi Lila - if possible, please send me an electronic file of the Agency's comments on the MCRD PI Site 45 RI Addendum, for use in our RTC.

I do recall that there are some EPA comments that request that we discuss those versus creating multiple iterations of comments and RTC. I don't have any problem with that, but I'd propose that having received EPA's comments, we'll put down our initial answers to EPA's concerns in our draft RTC as a starting point for the discussion. Then perhaps we discuss all the draft RTC on a call prior to formalizing the RTC. This helps us because I'll have to consolidate material from a couple people here doing different parts of the RTC, then I have to get the entire RTC to Tim and Art for their review before we'd be able to participate in a conference call. Hope this sounds like a workable plan. thanks.

Mark Sladic, P.E.
Project Manager
TETRA TECH NUS, Inc.
Foster Plaza 7
661 Andersen Drive
Pittsburgh, PA 15220
Telephone: (412) 921-8216
FAX: (412) 921-4040
sladicm@ttnus.com
<http://www.ttnus.com>
<http://www.tetrattech.com>

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This e-mail message and its attachments (if any) are intended solely for the use of the addressees hereof. In addition, this message and the attachments (if any) may contain information that is confidential, privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are prohibited from reading, disclosing, reproducing, distributing, disseminating or otherwise using this transmission. Delivery of this message to any person other than the intended recipient is not intended to waive any right or privilege. If you have received this message in error, please promptly notify the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete this message from your system.