

M00263.AR.000434  
MCRD PARRIS ISLAND  
5090.3a

EMAIL REGARDING WORK PLAN FOR PROPOSED SOIL SAMPLING AT SITE 9, SITE 16,  
SITE 27 AND SIT 55 MCRD PARRIS ISLAND SC

9/8/2006

TETRA TECH NUS

Thanks,  
Lila

"Sladic, Mark --  
NUS"  
<Mark.Sladic@ttn  
us.com> To  
Jerry Stamps  
<STAMPSJM@dhec.sc.gov>, Don  
09/08/2006 12:33 Hargrove <HARGRODC@dhec.sc.gov>,  
PM Lila  
Koroma-Llamas/R4/USEPA/US@EPA,  
wendtp@mrd.dnr.state.sc.us,  
art.sanford@navy.mil,  
Tom.Dillon@noaa.gov,  
timothy.j.harrington@usmc.mil  
cc

Subject  
RE: Proposed Sampling for  
9,16,27, and 55

Hi Jerry. Sorry - I think I must have mislead you.

We absolutely agree with the necessity of preparing a formal workplan for this activity. The only question I was posing was whether the Team agreed with the basic concept presented in my 8/22 email attachments, so that we wouldn't go through all the effort of preparing a workplan with that approach, when maybe the team was looking for something different. So what I was actually trying to ask was did the team support the general approach provided in my 8/22 email. IF everyone is on board, we'll proceed with the formal WP development based on the information I provided in the 8/22 email. Please let me know if anyone wants to discuss it further first, otherwise we'll proceed with a WP based on that material.

To that end, here's a reply for your first question below (the second is already covered by the above discussion):

Why not delineate the DDT at Site 9? Answer: Per the SI/CS Report that we're getting closer to finishing, Site 9 is already envisioned to be remediated by excavation. Confirmatory sampling at the time of the

excavation will be used to make sure the necessary soil is removed.

From: Jerry Stamps [<mailto:STAMPSJM@dhec.sc.gov>]  
Sent: Tuesday, September 05, 2006 1:44 PM  
To: Don Hargrove; Koroma-Llamas.Lila@epamail.epa.gov;  
wendtp@mrd.dnr.state.sc.us; art.sanford@navy.mil; Tom.Dillon@noaa.gov;  
SladicM@ttnus.com; timothy.j.harrington@usmc.mil  
Subject: Proposed Sampling for 9,16,27, and 55

Hi Mark,

You asked us to look at the sampling proposed in the figures you provided and determine if we need a formal work plan. I know Don is currently looking at this, but from my perspective, I think we need a work plan for two reasons:

1. To provide a brief rationale for the proposed sampling. For example, I see DDT at 4.2 ppm (PRG = 1.7 ppm) in the soil at sampling location PI-009-03(34) [Figure 6-2], but I do not see the rationale for not delineating this contamination.
2. For the administrative record. I think it would be good for all involved if we have a document we can formally comment/approve.

I think a formal WP may answer some questions now and avoid questions in the future.

Thanks,  
Jerry