

M00263.AR.000541
MCRD PARRIS ISLAND
5090.3a

U S NAVY RESPONSES TO SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL COMMENTS ON SITE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR FISCAL
YEAR 2009 MCRD PARRIS ISLAND SC
12/12/2007
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND SOUTHEAST

DEHC Comment

1. The Department reiterates the following comments from their June 2009 comment letter to the 2009 SMP:

a. The Department believes that any comments issued to documents related to Site 35 have been clarified; however, the team has not agreed on a path forward for Site 35.

RESPONSE

Agree : The following was added to the text “ The team needs to determine a path forward for this site”

DEHC Comment

b. It is the Department's understanding (from a 12/5/07 email Pittman to Amick) that SWMU 36 is no longer a hazardous waste storage area and therefore is no longer receiving waste from SWMU 21. Please clarify.

RESPONSE

The text was added in last SMP “Material is no longer received from MCAS” This statement will be changed to the following: ‘ Potential Hazardous Wastes are no longer received from either MCAS or SWMU 21’.

DEHC Comment

c. The Department believes that the to be demolished building discussed in the Site 45 Section has already been demolished. Please clarify.

RESPONSE

The demolition of the building has occurred to 90% completion . The slab is all that remains. The text will be changed to include the following : “ The former BOQ Quarters adjacent to the site has been demolished down to the slab and is no longer a human health pathway.”

DEHC Comment

2. Please ensure that the Sites 27,55,9 and 16 discussions are accurate and provide the same information. (i.e. The Site 27 SAP is separate from the Site 55/9/16 SAP , etc)

RESPONSE

The Status Section of each site provides the same information. The following sentence will be added to each section: “Site 27 SAP and Sites 55/9/16 SAP were prepared separately and submitted for regulatory review. Field work at sites 27, 55, 9,16 was conducted before formal review by the regulators. On location regulatory oversight was conducted by both DHEC and EPA. “

DEHC Comment

3. In Table 3 please explain why the status of Sites 27, 55, 9 and 16 do not correlate.

Response: Site 8 was changed to Site 9 , The sites have identical status statements.

DEHC Comment

4. In the text for Site 45 it is stated that the RI Addendum and FS Report will be submitted together before FYI O. However, the due dates for these documents are listed as different dates in FYIO. Please explain.

Response : A statement will be added explaining as follows : “Although the RI addendum will be submitted with the FS. the submittal of the two will be tracked separately. It is necessary to have a completed/approvable RI addendum by December 30, 2010 ”

DEHC Comment

5. In Table 3 several sites are listed as being investigated with Site 14; however, recent Partnering Team Meeting discussions seem to indicate that Site 14 will be investigated separately. Please correct the discrepancy.

RESPONSE

Based on results from the sediment /storm water sampling each identified process area will be investigated. These identified process areas are in the water shed basin of the storm water system. This is consistent with the data quality objectives developed.