

M00263.AR.000545  
MCRD PARRIS ISLAND  
5090.3a

EMAIL REGARDING U S EPA REGION IV COMMENTS ON PROPOSED INTERIM REMEDIAL  
ACTION AT SITE 45 DRY CLEANING FACILITY SPILL AREA MCRD PARRIS ISLAND SC  
12/17/2007  
U S EPA REGION IV

**From:** [Koroma-Llamas.Lila@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Koroma-Llamas.Lila@epamail.epa.gov)  
**To:** [Cook, Charles CIV NAVFAC SE](#)  
**Cc:** [meredith amick](#); [Sanford, Art F CIV NAVFAC SE](#); [sommer barker](#); [heber pittman](#); [Stewart, Kathryn A CIV NAVFAC SE](#); [kelly taylor](#); [Sladic, Mark](#); [Singletary, Michael A CIV NAVFAC SE](#); [mac mcrae](#)  
**Subject:** RE: 10 December MCRD PI conference call  
**Date:** Monday, December 17, 2007 12:37:05 PM  
**Attachments:** [newsView B THERMAL.pdf](#)  
**Importance:** High

---

Hi folks,

Did some research back here, and asked around. Here's what I found:

Although I was not feeling well that Monday, as I recall, on the conference call MCRD/NAVY proposed an interim action at Site 45 for the primary source zone contamination. The following possible actions were thrown on the table for consideration: In-Situ Thermal Treatment, Dig & Haul (Backhoe and Caisson Drill), as well as Air Sparging. To proceed with an Interim REMOVAL action we would be o.k. to look at Thermal, as well as Dig & Haul (both ways above w/Treatment) as proposed, but not Air Sparging. Air Sparging is a much slower process and could not really be justified as a quick removal. It would have to be looked at as a remedial action through the remedial process. For the sake of evaluating Air Sparging, if Navy/MCRD desire, they may include Air Sparging as an alternative in preparation of an EE/CA, however, if indicated as preferred, the process will need to revert to a remedial action and follow our current remedial pathforward.

AGAIN, as a qualifier, and as discussed at our last meeting, approval for a Removal action pathforward is contingent upon following the Removal process document trail, and doing this in a timely manner WITH FULL REGULATORY involvement and approval, and PRIOR TO activities commencing in the field. EPA understands the main justification for the request to utilize a removal action process as opposed to a remedial action process is due to availability of funds.

I am still waiting for information from Kings Bay. Any news yet?

If you have questions, let me know.

Thanks,  
Lila

"Cook, Charles  
CIV NAVFAC SE"  
<charles.cook2@navy.mil>  
12/10/2007 02:26 PM  
To  
"Sladic, Mark"  
<Mark.Sladic@tetrattech.com>,  
"heber pittman"  
<darrel.pittman@usmc.mil>, "kelly  
taylor" <Kelly.Taylor2@ch2m.com>,  
Lila  
Koroma-Llamas/R4/USEPA/US@EPA,  
"mac mcrae"

<mmcrae@TechLawInc.com>,  
"meredith amick"  
<amickMS@dhec.sc.gov>, "sommer  
barker" <barkerjs@dhec.sc.gov>

CC

"Sanford, Art F CIV NAVFAC SE"  
<art.sanford@navy.mil>,  
"Singletary, Michael A CIV NAVFAC  
SE"  
<michael.a.singletary@navy.mil>,  
"Stewart, Kathryn A CIV NAVFAC  
SE" <kathryn.stewart@navy.mil>

Subject

RE: 10 December MCRD PI  
conference call

Fyi ,  
Good information on Tetra Tech Project, similar to site 45 .  
V/R  
Charles Cook

-----Original Message-----

From: Sladic, Mark [<mailto:Mark.Sladic@tetratech.com>]  
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2007 8:22  
To: Sladic, Mark; Cook, Charles CIV NAVFAC SE; heber pittman; kelly  
taylor; Lila Llamas; mac mcrae; meredith amick; sommer barker  
Subject: RE: 10 December MCRD PI conference call

Good Morning Everyone - I have not yet heard from Heber, but Tim will participate. Kelly has a conflict, but all others on this list are available. I have a favor to ask - Can we start at 10:30 instead - I've had something come up for 10:00 that I'd like to attend to. Thanks. MS

---

From: Sladic, Mark  
Sent: Friday, December 07, 2007 1:10 PM  
To: 'charles.cook2@navy.mil'; 'heber pittman'; 'kelly taylor'; 'Lila Llamas'; 'mac mcrae'; 'meredith amick'; 'sommer barker'  
Subject: 10 December MCRD PI conference call

Hi everyone. At our last MCRD Parris Island Team meeting, we talked briefly about a conference call on the dates we previously had reserved for our December meeting. I had conflicts with 12 December, and I think SCDHEC had conflicts with 11 December. Therefore, I believe we considered 10 December. I don't believe we have since confirmed this tentative date or discussed an agenda. Should the Team agree, we can discuss the following at 10 AM, 10 December. Please advise if you will be participating and we will confirm by email sometime before 10:00 whether we have critical mass to proceed. thanks.

- 1) site 45 path forward/alternatives evaluation status update
- 2) USGS Workplan in progress - discuss scope
- 3) site 27, vapor intrusion update
- 4) anything else

517 308 3572; code 6215594# is what we'll use if we proceed. thanks.

Mark Sladic, P.E. | Project Manager

Direct: 412.921.8216 | Main: 412.921.7090 | Fax: 412.921.4040

mark.sladic@ttnus.com

Tetra Tech NUS, Inc

661 Andersen Drive Foster Plaza 7 | Pittsburgh, PA 15220 | [www.ttnus.com](http://www.ttnus.com)

PLEASE NOTE: This message, including any attachments, may include privileged, confidential and/or inside information. Any distribution or use of this communication by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system.

(See attached file: newsView B THERMAL.pdf)