

M00263.AR.000810
MCRD PARRIS ISLAND
5090.3a

EMAIL REGARDING U S EPA REGION IV COMMENTS ON CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL
FOR SITE 27 EQUIPMENT PARADE DECK MCRD PARRIS ISLAND SC
3/29/2010
U S EPA REGION IV

From: Llmas.Lila@epamail.epa.gov
To: Sladic, Mark; charles.cook2@navy.mil; timothy.j.harrington@usmc.mil
Cc: mmcrae@TechLawInc.com; Pivetz.Bruce@epamail.epa.gov; huling.scott@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Deep Well Investigation at Site 55 FOV.
Date: Monday, March 29, 2010 7:28:59 PM

Hello Charles/Tim/Mark,

I went back and looked at comments for the Site 27 CSM, and there is mention of LNAPL in PI-055-MW13D (33-36' bgs), but that is followed by a suspicion of drag down. I have asked my folks if we can remember why we said this, since it does not appear on the GW Exceedances map. I am awaiting responses. Maybe it was a sheen? An odor? I did not see results on the GW Exceedances map that reflected this "presence of LNAPL", but the Exceedances tag map did not include sheens and odors, I believe. Can we get an update of that map that includes sheens and odors? and highlight them somehow so they can be seen easily? We can debate later if a sheen/odor is indicative of an "exceedance" or not : -)

This may change our minds about what we need in order to clear the deep zone, but probably not. I am checking with my folks, but would like that revised tag map before hand, if possible, and if it is not too difficult. Electronically for now will be o.k., but put the revised hard copy one in the revised DQO packages, please. Add this to my list of comments if need be...

And I think I might have misunderstood your request pertaining to the RI Data Gap/9/16 issue. We want to see where within these two documents you will be addressing these, not a completely new set of DQO packages. I don't think that is necessary, given where we are on this. RI data gaps should be in one or the other, or listed with a 27 or 55 notation as to where they are addressed in either. And as for 9/16, you can certainly include them with 55 instead of 27, in order to expedite 27 if you are so inclined, by simply placing a box around them and addressing their needs in the 55 DQOs. That should suffice to indicate the investigation is not expected to cover the area between them and the FOV EU.

Thanks,
Lila