

M00263.AR.000820
MCRD PARRIS ISLAND
5090.3a

EMAIL REGARDING U S EPA REGION IV COMMENTS ON DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES
FOR WORKSHEETS FROM REVISED SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN FOR SITE 27
EQUIPMENT PARADE DECK AREA MCRD PARRIS ISLAND SC
5/14/2010
U S EPA REGION IV

From: Llamas.Lila@epamail.epa.gov
To: [Meredith Amick](mailto:Meredith.Amick)
Cc: [Joe Bowers](mailto:Joe.Bowers); [Charles CIV NAVFAC SE Cook](mailto:Charles.CIV.NAVFAC.SE.Cook); [heber pittman](mailto:heber.pittman); [Stacey French](mailto:Stacey.French); [Annie Gerry](mailto:Annie.Gerry); [Kent Krieg](mailto:Kent.Krieg); [Sladic Mark](mailto:Sladic.Mark); [mac mcrae](mailto:mac.mcrae); [Pat Franklin](mailto:Pat.Franklin); [Churchill, Peggy](mailto:Churchill.Peggy); [Smith, Preston](mailto:Smith.Preston); [timharrington \(email\) \(timothy.j.harrington@usmc.mil\)](mailto:timharrington(email)(timothy.j.harrington@usmc.mil)); [PriscillaWendt \(E-mail\)](mailto:PriscillaWendt(E-mail)); Llamas.Lila@epa.gov
Subject: Re: Parris Island Site 27 - interim WS"s for EPA request
Date: Friday, May 14, 2010 4:20:36 PM

Meredith,

I understand you have concerns and we need to address those concerns as a team.

EPA also needs to be responsive to the Navy's request for information. But in order for EPA to be responsive, we need to know what they are now proposing. If Tetra Tech's need for additional information had been only for technical information specific to the variety of things on the list that would have been a simpler matter. However, apparently what Tetra Tech needed to know went beyond simply technical information on the specific technologies, but more specifically about how they would be used in the sampling effort. EPA cannot answer that question without knowing what is now proposed to be done in the field, since they have gotten both EPA and SCDHEC comments on the draft Worksheets. You have received the same revised WS's that EPA did and nothing has been decided.

As for proceeding "at risk", EPA's understanding of "at risk" is anything short of an approved sampling plan. Again, perhaps we all left the meeting with a different understanding based on different unspoken definitions of "at risk". However, I do believe we are only following up on the Navy/Tetra Tech's request as stated at the meeting and for which SCDHEC was aware. Maybe we could talk about this at the conference to see what needs to happen in light of this apparent differences in understanding of what would happen.

Lila

From: "Meredith Amick" <AmickMS@dhec.sc.gov>
To: Lila Llamas/R4/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: "Joe Bowers" <BOWERSJB@dhec.sc.gov>, "Stacey French" <FRENCHSL@dhec.sc.gov>, "Annie Gerry" <GerryAM@dhec.sc.gov>, "Kent Krieg" <KRIEGKM@dhec.sc.gov>, "PriscillaWendt (E-mail)" <wendtp@dnr.sc.gov>, Lila Llamas/R4/USEPA/US@EPA, "Pat Franklin" <pat.franklin@mail.com>, "Charles CIV NAVFAC SE Cook" <charles.cook2@navy.mil>, "mac mcrae" <mmcrae@TechLawInc.com>, "Mark Sladic" <Mark.Sladic@tetrattech.com>, "Peggy Churchill" <Peggy.Churchill@tetrattech.com>, "Preston Smith" <Preston.Smith@tetrattech.com>, "heber pittman" <darrel.pittman@usmc.mil>, "timharrington (email) (timothy.j.harrington@usmc.mil)" <timothy.j.harrington@usmc.mil>
Date: 05/14/2010 03:05 PM

Subject: Re: Parris Island Site 27 - interim WS's for EPA request

Lila,

We disagree with your statement that Mark's email was misleading. Instead, we believe Mark's email was clear in showing that discussions were had between navy and EPA without DHEC involvement, which we believe affects the consensus made at the April 2010 team meeting. It appears that by responding to EPA's comments, the Navy is trying to finalize the SAP prior to moving forward. If Navy has decided to address EPA's comments prior to moving forward then DHEC's comments should be address as well, rather than moving forward with our consensus decision. If not then the consensus decision remains and the Navy can proceed "at risk." The Department believes that this work should be done "at risk" (per previous consensus) or under an approved work plan; there is no such thing as "less risk."

Meredith