

M00263.AR.000920
MCRD PARRIS ISLAND
5090.3a

EMAIL REGARDING U S EPA REGION IV CONDITIONAL APPROVAL OF PROPOSED PLAN
FOR SITE 3 CAUSEWAY LANDFILL MCRD PARRIS ISLAND SC
12/15/2010
U S EPA REGION IV

From: Llmas.Lila@epamail.epa.gov
To: [Meredith Amick](mailto:Meredith.Amick); [Joe Bowers](mailto:Joe.Bowers); charles.cook2@navy.mil; franklin.rawlings@usmc.mil; [Stacey French](mailto:Stacey.French); [Annie Gerry](mailto:Annie.Gerry); [Kent Krieg](mailto:Kent.Krieg); lisa.donohoe@usmc.mil; [Sladic, Mark](mailto:Sladic.Mark); mmcrae@techlawinc.com; [Churchill, Peggy](mailto:Churchill.Peggy); [Smith, Preston](mailto:Smith.Preston); sarah.reed@navy.mil; [tim harrington](mailto:tim.harrington); llamas.lila@epa.gov
Subject: RE: MCRD No Fishing Sign
Date: Wednesday, December 15, 2010 4:04:53 PM
Importance: High

Hi Team,

From EPA's perspective:

I have already written and mailed my letter stating the PP meets EPA's Conditions for Approval (see next forthcoming email) . That said, EPA does not have a problem with the language as written in Lisa's email either. EPA simply wants to avoid another round of review on the Proposed Plan at all cost.

This change would be considered a minor change to the Proposed Plan and could be made without further EPA review and approval. So if Meredith is willing to address it in her current letter as well, I think you are good to go with the change.

Alternatively, if you decide to go out to the public with the wording as is in the formal submittal, because you are not sure, or whatever, but decide to change the sign language to reflect Lisa's proposal later, it would simply have to be addressed in the ROD Section pertaining to Explanation of Significant Changes as a "minor" change, in accordance with EPA guidance, which would of course, be subject to review and approval as all RODs are.

I have gotten concurrence on this from EPA attorney. So proceed one way or the other, just let us know so Meredith can write her letter!

Call me with questions.

Thanks,
Lila
404-562-9969

From: "Meredith Amick" <amickms@dhec.sc.gov>

To: "Joe Bowers" <BOWERSJB@dhec.sc.gov>, "Stacey French" <FRENCHSL@dhec.sc.gov>, "Annie Gerry" <GerryAM@dhec.sc.gov>, "Kent Krieg" <KRIEGKM@dhec.sc.gov>, Lila Llamas/R4/USEPA/US@EPA, <charles.cook2@navy.mil>, <sarah.reed@navy.mil>, <mmcrae@techlawinc.com>, <Mark.Sladic@tetrattech.com>, <Peggy.Churchill@tetrattech.com>, <Preston.Smith@tetrattech.com>, <franklin.rawlings@usmc.mil>, <lisa.donohoe@usmc.mil>, <timothy.j.harrington@usmc.mil>

Date: 12/15/2010 02:42 PM

Subject: RE: MCRD No Fishing Sign

Hi Tim and team,

It is not a problem to change the language as proposed. My plan was to write my conditional concurrence referencing Lisa's email with the new sign language and saying we accept the PP with the language as amended by the email Dec 14, 2010. Just let us know what you want the sign to read (within these options of course) and I'll modify my letter accordingly.

Thanks!
Meredith

>>> "Harrington CIV Timothy J" <timothy.j.harrington@usmc.mil> 12/15/10
2:33 PM >>>
Charles, et al.,

As Lisa is out today, I'll take the liberty of responding. We will proceed with the original language for the signs.

V/R, Tim

-----Original Message-----

From: Cook, Charles CIV NAVFAC SE [<mailto:charles.cook2@navy.mil>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 2010 14:05
To: Meredith Amick; Joe Bowers; Stacey French; Annie Gerry; Kent Krieg; Llamas.Lila@epamail.epa.gov; mmcrae@techlawinc.com; Mark.Sladic@tetrattech.com; Peggy.Churchill@tetrattech.com; Preston.Smith@tetrattech.com; Rawlings LCpl Franklin M; Donohoe Civ Lisa C; Reed HM1 Sarah Jane
Cc: Harrington CIV Timothy J
Subject: RE: MCRD No Fishing Sign

Team,

In the interest of time, the proposed plan will not change. This minor change can be discussed/ in the LUC RD , or as EPA has pointed out in the ROD.

The version EPA has approved and DHECs in process of approving is the final version.

No changes on this proposed plan, i.e.minor changes in the precise language can be discussed and made in a later stage.

V/R
Charles Cook

-----Original Message-----

From: Meredith Amick [<mailto:amickms@dhec.sc.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 2010 11:00
To: Joe Bowers; Stacey French; Annie Gerry; Kent Krieg; Llamas.Lila@epamail.epa.gov; Cook, Charles CIV NAVFAC SE; mmcrae@techlawinc.com; Mark.Sladic@tetrattech.com;

Peggy.Churchill@tetrattech.com; Preston.Smith@tetrattech.com;
franklin.rawlings@usmc.mil; lisa.donohoe@usmc.mil; sarah.j.reed@usmc.mil

Cc: timothy.j.harrington@usmc.mil
Subject: Re: MCRD No Fishing Sign

Hi team,

I was in the process of reviewing the Site 3 Proposed Plan and getting ready to send out our review letter. Will there be any other changes made to the plan besides this one before I finalize my letter?

Thanks!
Meredith

>>> "Donohoe Civ Lisa C" <lisa.donohoe@usmc.mil> 12/14/10 8:14 PM >>>
Team,

One of the "No Fishing" signs was created and shown to interested parties at MCRD to review before the rest of the signs were created. It was suggested to include "By order of the Commanding General" rather than "MCRD Parris Island". The Depot is asking whether the Team will agree to the following sign language:

NOTICE
No Fishing
By order of the Commanding General

V/R,
Lisa