

Staszak, Janna/VBO

From: Stroud.Robert@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2010 11:05 AM
To: Staszak, Janna/VBO
Cc: Jones, Adrienne/VBO; Karen.Doran@deq.virginia.gov; walt.j.bell@navy.mil
Subject: EPA comments Site 2 PP

Team I've read the document and thought about some questions that a citizen could ask.

1. Section 2.2 make sure that the website link is valid and correct i tried the link and it didn't work.
2. Section 3.1 indicates that several sources of contamination have been identified at the site and Section 3.3 says that Site 2 consists of mixed municipal waste. Please clarify the difference.
3. Page 7, Paragraph 4 please include the breakdown of sites i.e. 59 potentially contaminated ERP sites, how many MRP sites, how many SWMUs and how many AOCs
4. Section 5.1 Page 7, Please include the section of the ERI where the VOCs that were detected in deep groundwater were found to be the result of monitoring well installation.
5. Page 9 Shallow Groundwater fourth bullet 2,6 dinitrotoluene. indicates that based on high mobility it would not migrate across the site. If something is highly mobile why would it not migrate.

Also, on page 17 please change my contact information to the following:

Mr. Robert W. Stroud
EPA/ESC
701 Mapes Road
Fort Meade, MD 20755
Phone : (410) 305-2748
Fax: (410) 305-3096
Email - stroud.robert@epa.gov