

N60138.AR.003019
FISC WILLIAMSBURG
5090.3a

LETTER AND U S NAVY RESPONSE TO THE U S EPA REGION III AND VIRGINIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT STEP 2 SITE
INSPECTION SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN PENNIMAN LAKE WILLIAMSBURG FISC VA
9/10/2012
CH2M HILL

From: [Sawyer, Stephanie/VBO](mailto:Sawyer.Stephanie/VBO)
To: Haug.Susanne@epamail.epa.gov; [Smith, Wade \(DEQ\)](mailto:Smith.Wade (DEQ))
Cc: [Park, Scott R CIV NAVFAC MIDLANT, EV](mailto:Park.Scott.R.CIV.NAVFAC.MIDLANT.EV); [Ivester, Marlene/VBO](mailto:Ivester.Marlene/VBO); [Dodson, Anita/VBO](mailto:Dodson.Anita/VBO); [Sawyer, Stephanie/VBO](mailto:Sawyer.Stephanie/VBO)
Subject: Response to EPA and VDEQ comments on the Draft Penniman Lake Step 2 SI UFP-SAP
Date: Monday, September 10, 2012 11:41:16 AM
Attachments: [Draft Penniman Lake Step 2 SI SAP EPA RTCs 9 10 12.pdf](#)
[Draft Penniman Lake Step 2 SI SAP DEQ RTCs 9 10 12.pdf](#)
[Draft Final UFP-SAP Addendum CAX Penniman Lake Partnering Team RTC 9 10 12.docx](#)
[Figure 3 - Proposed Locations and Detections 34 x 44.pdf](#)
Importance: High

Sue and Wade,

Attached are the Navy's responses to both the EPA's and DEQ's comments on the Draft Penniman Lake Step 2 SI UFP-SAP. The USEPA comments were received via email on July 20 and 24, 2012 and the DEQ's comments were received via email on July 16, 2012. We will be discussing these RTCs at our partnering meeting this week so we can get consensus on the responses and mobilize to the field at the beginning of October.

In addition, in order to expedite finalizing this document, I've attached the draft final redline word version of the UFP-SAP and the revised Figure 3. If you have any questions prior to the meeting please let us know.

Thanks,
Stephanie



Stephanie Sawyer
Associate Scientist
CH2M HILL
5701 Cleveland Street, Suite 200
Virginia Beach, VA 23462
Phone: 757-671-6273
Fax: 757-497-6885
stephanie.sawyer@ch2m.com

Response to VADEQ Comments
Penniman Lake Step 2 Site Inspection
Sampling and Analysis Plan
Naval Weapons Station Yorktown Cheatham Annex
Williamsburg, VA
September 10, 2012

VADEQ Comment 1: *Page 5, Executive Summary: Define ug/kg*

Response: The definition for µg/kg (microgram per kilogram) has been added to the text in the executive summary immediately following µg/kg.

VADEQ Comment 2: *Worksheet # 3: Replace with Susanne Haug, EPA RPM, throughout document as appropriate.*

Response: The SAP has been updated to reflect Susanne Haug as the EPA RPM on all applicable worksheets.

VADEQ Comment 3: *Worksheet #9A: Define WCSD*

Response: The definition for WCSD (Watershed Contaminated Source Document) has been added to the text in Worksheet #9a.

VADEQ Comment 4: *Worksheet #9a, Project Scoping Session Participant Sheet, Consensus Decision: This document adequately captures a number of decisions that were made during scoping sessions via meetings/ conference calls. It is important to note these consensus decisions and adhere to them or have additional meetings/conference calls if clarification/deviation is needed/proposed.*

Response: Agreed.

VADEQ Comment 5: *Worksheet #9B, Project Scoping Session Participant Sheet: Correct.*

Response: The email for Krista Parra has been corrected.

VADEQ Comment 6: *Worksheet #9B, Project Scoping Session Participant Sheet: Correct*

Response: The email for John Burchette has been corrected.

VADEQ Comment 7: *Worksheet #9b, Project Scoping Session Participant Sheet, Consensus Decision: See previous comment.*

Response: Comment #7 is referring to Comment #4. Agreed that it is important to note the consensus decisions and adhere to them or have additional meetings/conference calls if clarifications/deviations are needed/proposed.

VADEQ Comment8: *Worksheet #9c, Project Scoping Session Participant Sheet, Consensus Decision: See previous comment.*

Response: Comment #8 is referring to Comments #4 and #7. Agreed.