

N00109.AR.003046
NWS YORKTOWN
5090.3a

EMAIL AND THE U S EPA REGION III APPROVAL OF THE RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON
THE DRAFT ACTION MEMORANDUM FOR SITE 24 AVIATION FIELD NWS YORKTOWN VA
02/08/2016
U S EPA REGION III PHILADELPHIA PA

Price, Nathaniel/VBO

From: Mathur, Rashmi <Mathur.Rashmi@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, February 08, 2016 8:25 AM
To: Peed, Bryan K CIV NAVFAC MIDLANT, EV; Wade.Smith@deq.virginia.gov
Cc: Friedmann, William/VBO; Price, Nathaniel/VBO
Subject: RE: Draft EPA Comments on Site 24 Action Memorandum

Dear Mr. Peed:

The EPA approves the Navy Response to Comments on Site 24 Action Memorandum and just wants the Navy to ensure the latest EE/CA with EPA comments are incorporated are attached. Thanks

Sincerely,

Rashmi Mathur
Remedial Project Manager
NPL/BRAC Federal Facilities Branch (3HS11)
Environmental Protection Agency III
Phone: (215) 814-5234
email: mathur.rashmi@epa.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Peed, Bryan K CIV NAVFAC MIDLANT, EV [mailto:bryan.peed@navy.mil]
Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2016 1:31 PM
To: Mathur, Rashmi <Mathur.Rashmi@epa.gov>; Wade.Smith@deq.virginia.gov
Cc: Bill Friedman (william.friedmann@ch2m.com) <william.friedmann@ch2m.com>; Nathaniel.Price@CH2M.com
Subject: RE: Draft EPA Comments on Site 24 Action Memorandum

Rashmi,

Responses to EPA comments are attached as well as the "Final" version of the EE/CA. All of these comments were directed to the EE/CA with no specific references to the Action Memorandum (AM). The Navy is assuming that EPA has no comments on the AM itself. With that being said, the Navy is moving forward with the incorporation of all VDEQ comments into the AM, the document will then be reviewed by the facility and then finalized and signed by the facility Commanding Officer.

Also, as a result of our phone conversation with respect to the initial review of your comments, you indicated that it was not the intent for the Navy to potentially reopen the current "Final" version of the EE/CA, but to point out that the wrong version of the document was attached to the action memorandum that was originally sent out for review. The Navy acknowledges that fact in the responses with no changes to the existing documents (AM or EE/CA) as a result of these comments.

With that being said, the Navy does not expect any further comments, but if there are questions regarding any of the responses, please contact me, so that we can discuss rather than going through another round of official

written comments.

Thanks

Bryan

-----Original Message-----

From: Mathur, Rashmi [mailto:Mathur.Rashmi@epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2016 9:27 AM

To: Peed, Bryan K CIV NAVFAC MIDLANT, EV; Wade.Smith@deq.virginia.gov

Cc: Bill Friedman (william.friedmann@ch2m.com); Oduwole, Moshood

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Draft EPA Comments on Site 24 Action Memorandum

Bryan and Wade:

I have attached Draft EPA comments on Site 24 Action memorandum, please provide responses or if you need to have a call , please let me know thank you.

Rashmi Mathur

Remedial Project Manager

NPL/BRAC Federal Facilities Branch (3HS11)

Environmental Protection Agency III

Phone: (215) 814-5234

email: mathur.rashmi@epa.gov