

N60200.AR.003828
NAS CECIL FIELD, FL
5090.3a

LETTER REGARDING FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
REVIEW OF SECOND SEMI-ANNUAL THIRD YEAR GROUNDWATER MONITORING
REPORT FOR BUILDING 815 WASH RACK AREA NAS CECIL FIELD FL
2/5/2004
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



JED BUSH
Governor

Department of Environmental Protection

Twin Towers Building
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

David B. Struhs
Secretary

February 5, 2004

Commanding Officer
Attn: Mr. Gabe Magwood
Code ES24 (UST RPM)
Southern Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
P.O. Box 190010
North Charleston, South Carolina 29419-9010

RE: Groundwater Monitoring Report, 2nd Semi-Annual, 3rd Year
(July 2003), Building 815 Wash Rack Area, Naval Air Station
Cecil Field, Jacksonville, Florida

Dear Mr. Magwood:

I have completed my review of the Groundwater Monitoring Report, 2nd Semi-Annual, 3rd Year (July 2003), Building 815 Wash Rack Area, Naval Air Station Cecil Field, dated January 12, 2004 (received January 13, 2004), prepared and submitted by Tetra Tech NUS, Inc. As naphthalene concentrations in source well CEF-815-1S have exceeded action levels specified in the August 31, 2000 Natural Attenuation Monitoring Plan Approval Order in five of the last nine monitoring events and that none of the annual milestone cleanup objectives for naphthalene have been met for this well, I concur that the monitoring program be discontinued. However, prior to a Remedial Action Plan being prepared for this site, I recommend that additional assessment be conducted in the vicinity of source well CEF-815-1S to determine whether there may be a continuing source that potentially could be addressed with a source removal rather than an engineered remediation system. I recommend this based on the complete lack of soil analytical data in the August 2000 Site Assessment Report. Apparently, the groundwater contamination detected at that time was attributed to the wash rack and it was further concluded that there was no continuing source for the groundwater contamination that was detected even though soil assessment was apparently not conducted. If this investigation does not identify a source that can be easily addressed with a source removal, I would then concur that a Remedial Action Plan should be prepared.

If I can be of any further assistance with this matter, please contact me at (850) 245-8997.

"Protect, Conserve and Manage Florida's Environment and Natural Resources"

Mr. Gabe Magwood
February 6, 2004
Page two

Sincerely,

David P. Grabka, P.G.
Remedial Project Manager

date

cc: Mike Fitzsimmons, FDEP Northeast District
Debbie Vaughn-Wright, USEPA Region 4
Paul Calligan, Tetra Tech NUS, Tampa

JJC _____ ESN _____