

N61165.AR.005509
CNC CHARLESTON
5090.3a

LETTER REGARDING SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL REVIEW OF UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK (UST)
ASSESSMENT REPORT DATED 9 SEPTEMBER 1998 FOR BUILDING 686 UST NS-686 CNC
CHARLESTON SC
10/06/1998
SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL



6 October 1998

2600 Bull Street
Columbia, SC 29201-1708

COMMISSIONER:
Douglas E. Bryant

BOARD:
John H. Burriss
Chairman

William M. Hull, Jr., MD
Vice Chairman

Roger Leaks, Jr.
Secretary

Mark B. Kent

Cyndi C. Mosteller

Brian K. Smith

Rodney L. Grandy

Department of the Navy
Southern Division NFEC
P.O. Box 190010
North Charleston, SC 29419-9010
Attn.: Mr. Gabriel Magwood

Re: Underground Storage Tank Assessment Report dated 9 September 1998
Building 686 (UST NS 686) (Site Identification # 15405-General File)
Charleston Naval Complex/Charleston Naval Base
Charleston, SC
Charleston County

01164


Dear Mr. Magwood:

The author has completed technical review of the referenced document. As submitted, the report provides a narrative describing closure activities and analytical results of environmental sampling to determine if releases have occurred as a result of operation of the referenced vessel and/or associated piping system. Although all soil sample results obtained during closure are reported as BDL (below detection limits), the detection limits for several samples were elevated due to matrix interference. As noted in previous correspondence (Bristol to Amey, 2 September 1997), when contaminant concentrations are reported as zero (0) or BDL it will be assumed that the chemical constituent is equal to the elevated detection limit. With this consideration, the reported concentrations approach or exceed levels proposed in the SCAP (Soil Corrective Action Plan amended July 1997) for the Charleston Naval Complex and appear to indicate that additional endeavors for remedial actions and contaminant characterization are warranted at the referenced site. In this regard, it appears appropriate to resample soil and groundwater in the proximity of sample SPORT0330-6 to verify results generated during closure activities. The employed activities should be technically sufficient and reasonable to determine the extent and severity of suspected contamination. Please be reminded that groundwater sampling will require construction of sampling points and will need to be submitted for prior review and approval, as appropriate.

Charleston Naval Complex/Charleston Naval Base
6 October 1998
page 2

Should you have any questions please contact me at (803) 734-5328.

Sincerely,


Paul L. Bristol, Hydrogeologist
Groundwater Quality Section
Bureau of Water

cc: Trident District EQC