

N61165.AR.005844
CNC CHARLESTON
5090.3a

MINUTES FROM THE 20 MAY 1996 ENVIRONMENTAL TEAM MEETING CNC
CHARLESTON SC
5/20/1996
SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL

File
CUB-Generac

E-MAIL/FAX COVER SHEET

Charleston Naval Shipyard Team, Environmental (one each)

From: Paul M. Bergstrand SCDHEC
Phone: 803-896-4016
FAX : 803-896-4002
E-mail: "bergstpm@columb34.dhec.state.sc.us"

To:	Dave Backus	E/A&H	901-383-1743
	Doyle Brittain	EPA	404-347-1735
	Bobby Dearheart	DET	803-743-9413
	Daryle Fontenot	S Div	
	Pat Franklin	S Div	803-820-5563
	Todd Haverkost	E/A&H	803-856-0107
	Tony Hunt	S Div	
	Cecile Lacey	Galileo	603-883-2330
	Gabriel Magwood	S Div	
	Joe McCauley	S Div	
	Tim Mettlen	SCDHEC	E-Mail
	Hayes Patterson	S Div	
	Christine Sanford-Coker	SCDHEC	E-Mail
	Brian Stockmaster	S Div	E-Mail
	Johnny Tapia	SCDHEC	E-Mail
	Paul Tomiczek	Bechtel	615-220-2748
	Kevin Tunstall	DET	

Date: 20 May 1996

Re: Draft Team minutes, action items, parking lot items and next agenda

Total number of pages including the cover sheet:

I've done my best although I probably have a my spin on the issues. Even though I will run spell check there will be spelling problems. Please let me know what needs to be improved.

Brian, would you please distribute the draft minutes at South Div? Bobby, would you please distribute the draft minutes at the Detachment?

The Geo-Cleanse presentation will be Thursday after 10:30 and should last about an hour.

- 1) The action item summary was reviewed. There was some discussion about the Chicora Tank Farm and the CAMP.
- 2) The outstanding Project List was presented by Tony Hunt. The question for the Team was on the FORMAT and CONTENT of the Project List. Some of the Comments and suggestions were made to include Bechtel, add a RAD Category, modify the UST category, add a miscellaneous category. In general the response was to keep and expand upon the format. A small Team was suggested to develop a draft form but the Team decided not necessary.
- 3) The environmental workload/priority ranking was discussed by Tony Hunt. Some of the following workload points were made:
 - A) There is a perception within DoD and Nav that there is no progress at CNS.
 - B) Data analysis, interpretation and reporting is/has been a major hurdle. There should be better progress once the form becomes understood.
 - C) Mapping some sketchy environmental results is very difficult.
 - D) The Team has been learning on the Zone H Report.

The following priority ranking points (reasons) were made:

- *) Better Tracking.
- *) Promote Progress.
- *) Work Together (on high priority plans, reports, etc.)
- *) Resolve conflicting time demands.
- *) Complete design ready for action. (Team agrees to work scope.)
- *) Reduce stress.
- *) Project resource needs. Use resources more efficiently.
- *) Prevent schedule change.
- *) Handle emergencies (better and as a team).
- *) Avoid Political heat (by addressing problems as a team).

(From my notes I am adding:

- A) The Team should recognize the main categories of work, workplans, reports and

FOSLs.

- B) The Team should keep a General Priority Ranking, keeping it as adjustable as needed. Could we generally state that FOSLs > Workplans > Reports?
- C) The question was asked, do we need a priority ranking system?

TEAM CONSENSUS: The Team needs a priority system.

TEAM ACTION: The Team will work on this the next meeting.

LUNCH

- 4) The Bioremediation Pilot Project Report was presented by Gabriel Magwood. It was announced that startup of the project did not require a permit since the petroleum contaminated soils would not be leaving the site (CNS). Accepting non-hazardous soils from the IR program was discussed. It was clearly stated that only petroleum contaminated soils associated with the SC UST program could be accepted in the pilot project. The concerns resulting in excluding IR program soils is that the soils are managed by a different Bureau and could be contaminated with a wide variety of non-petroleum materials. It was stated that the facility has the ability to segregate soils. The building is currently being prepared by having lead based paint removed. The pilot project has three treatment variables: a)no tilling b)tilling c)tilling with a bio-enhancement. Doyle Brittain requested a brief paper describing the projects logic, parameters, end point, and goals. Bobby Dearheart will provide the paper and the topic will be discussed in the 28 May teleconference.
- 5) The Moving RFI UST sites to the State UST Program was discussed by Tony Hunt. The issue is centered around removing investigations of UST's from the RCRA RFA which considers the USTs as SWMUS to the State UST program. Another central question is how does the RFI USTs interact/interface with the State UST program? The EPA Region IV position paper on USTs must also be included in the discussion. Several petroleum contaminated sites which are candidates for transfer were presented. Please see the handout for specific details. It was also suggested that petroleum contaminated sites which are not associated with UST be eligible for transfer to the State UST program. This topic

will be discussed in the 28 May teleconference.

- 6) The Interim Measures at eleven sites was presented by Bobby Dearheart. I didn't get a good record of the discussion. The Team will decide something about interim measures during the 28 May 1996 teleconference.
- 7) RAB meeting presentations were discussed. Wayne Cotton reviewed items about the Chicora Tank Farm. Interim Measure items were presented by Brian Stockmaster. Groundwater Modeling was presented by Tony Hunt. RFI workplans and reports were discussed by the Team.
- 8) The meeting adjourned until the RAB meeting at the Dorchester Public Library. Team members are to be available for discussion with RAB members and the general public from 5:30 to 6:30 pm. Please see the RAB agenda and minutes for additional detail.
- 9) The Team resumed the meeting and revisited the remaining agenda items.
- 10) Parking Lot and Team Issues were discussed.
 - a) Just who should be voting Team members?
 - b) How does the Team get the right people to meeting? Do those people have the ability to vote on issues?
 - c) Teams originally started with the IR program (not BRAC). Must the team strictly adhere to the BRAC definition of a Team?
 - D) Other parties such as the caretakers office, permitting offices have the ability to become "show stoppers" with or without consensus.

TEAM CONSENSUS: Other parties, such as Gabriel Magwood, Tim Mettlen, Archie Browder etc., should be considered resources and must be invited as need arises.

TEAM ACTION: Review and revise Team consensus statement.

TEAM CONSENSUS: The BTC is not a full voting Team member.

TEAM CONSENSUS: The Caretakers office may have a representative.

- 11) Building 79 Investigation Results were presented by Todd Haverkost. A report of findings was presented to the Team. Please see handout for details. Discussion ensued regarding the next phase of the investigation.

TEAM CONSENSUS: EnSafe will collect four additional samples within the eastern section of the interior of Building 79 at location drawn on the map. Ten or more soil borings will be collected outside of Building 79. EnSafe will report findings at the next Team meeting. All samples will be analyzed for Mercury only.

- 12) The Team learned about different behaviors from our Facilitator Cecil Lacey. The video was considered good (***) but some liked the book better.

LUNCH

- 13) The April BCT meeting minutes were presented by Daryle Fontenot. See the BCT minutes for details.
- 14) The Reuse of the Warehouses 64, 66, & 67 was presented by Daryle Fontenot. In order for the property to be reused by the interested party, site preparation, including soil excavation inside the warehouses must begin within 60 days. Some excavations may be up to 120 inches deep. The Team discussed the site which is in the Zone C report. Other discussion topics involved contamination and levels of contamination, the potential to excavate contamination from the former burning waste site, the value of test borings and samples, the disposal of excavated soils and water (from dewatering the excavations), the proposed site plans, worker environmental safety, liability and future land use, who would pay for environmental assessment and proper soil and water disposal. The Team decided site development could occur under the following conditions.
 - A) The plans and specifications of the pits was submitted to South Div for review.
 - B) The developer was responsible for characterization and disposal of soils and water.
 - C) The final remedial action has not been decided upon and may impact the facility.
- 15) The Progress and Streamlining lessons learned at Building 505 on the Myrtle Beach Air Force Base were presented by Paul Bergstrand. See the handout for details. A presentation of the Geo-Cleanse system will be made at the next MBAFB BCT meeting. The CNS Team was invited to attend the presentation.
- 16) The Results from mapping data at SWMU 19 was presented by Todd Haverkost. The data is from the Submarine Warfare School contamination. The difficulty of presenting

discontinuous data points in light of the background contamination was discussed. Todd H. and Tony H. will try to refine the maps.

- 17) The review of Team partnering and interaction was presented by Cecil Lacey. Some suggestions included:

Using meeting time more efficiently.

Scheduling difficult decisions early in the day.

Develop better tracking techniques (for meeting topics and discussion).

Topic presenter should stand to face the Team.

- 18) The Team adjourned the meeting at 4:20. Kevin Tunstall, Bobby Dearheart, Johnny Tapia and Paul Bergstrand drove to view the interim measures at the Coal Staging area.

ACTION ITEMS

- A) Tony Hunt by 17 May; Will research requirements on a Chicora Tank Farm Closure plan and will submit to Harold Seabrook (SCDHEC).
- B) Team Members by 21 May; Will review Tony's Project form and will submit comments and additions with the intent of submitting/presenting the form to the RAB.
- C) Paul Bergstrand by 28 May; Will speak with Tim Mettlen regarding transferring USTs in the RFI program and petroleum contaminated sites not associated with USTs into the State UST program.
- D) Bobby Dearheart by 20 May; Will provide the paper on the Bioremediation Pilot Project and the topic will be discussed in the 28 May teleconference.
- E) Paul Bergstrand by 28 May; Will talk with Tim Mettlen about moving petroleum contaminated sites into the SC UST program for action.
- F) Bobby Dearheart; Will provide the team members by Fax interim measures sheets for discussion during the 28 May 1996 teleconference.
- G) Paul Bergstrand by 28 May; will talk with Tim Mettlen about attending CNS Team meetings when UST issues are being discussed.
- H) Tony Hunt or Daryle Fontenot by 28 May; Will speak with Cdr. Darby for the name of the caretakers Team representative.
- I) Tony Hunt by 22 May; Will provide the Zone K info package to the Team.
- J) Daryle Fontenot will review the Zone C FOSL for any restrictions on property re-use and development.
- K) Team Members by 11 June; Will review Team ground rules for a vote.

NEXT AGENDA (Parking Lot)

Teleconference

Date 28 May 1996

Time: 10:00 am until 12:00

- *) Bioremediation at Building 1601. Bobby Dearheart.**
- *) Follow-up; Moving petroleum contaminated RFI sites into the SC UST program. Paul Bergstrand.**
- *) Follow-up; Sites for Interim Measures. Bobby Dearheart.**
- *) Follow-up; Tim Mettlen ability to attend CNS Team meetings.**
- *)**

Next Team Meeting

Date: 11 & 12 June 1996

Start time 8:00 am, Lunch 12:00 to 1:00 pm, two 15 minute breaks.

Location: Charleston, site Unknown

Subjects

- *) Meet and Greet; Check in.**
- *) Review Agenda, add/modify items as needed.**
- *) Environmental Condition Property Map.**
- *) Discuss Zone H RFI Report and comments.**
- *) 1997 Budget Information.**
- *) Work load and Priority Ranking (Matrix)**
- *) Applicability of groundwater results.**
- *) Tony Hunt. Follow-up; Who is Cdr Darby's representative for Caretaker?**
- *) Todd Haverkost. Follow-up; Building 79 investigation report.**
- *) Voting; Team ground rules. Agenda building.**
- *) Review of RAB agenda. Preview presentations, critique.**
- *) Review of RAB meeting. Follow-up on action items, critique.**

cns-maa.605 (Minutes-Action Items-Agenda)