

N62604.AR.000344  
NCBC GULFPORT  
5090.3a

LETTER REGARDING GROUNDWATER MONITORING CONSTITUENT LIST AND DECISION  
DOCUMENT REVISION STATUS NCBC GULFPORT MS  
1/25/1990  
IDAHO NATIONAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY



*File*  
*5090/5A*

January 25, 1990

Mr. J. J. Short  
HQ AF/LEEVO  
Bolling Air Force Base  
Washington, D. C. 20332-5000

NCBC GROUNDWATER MONITORING CONSTITUENT LIST AND DECISION DOCUMENT  
REVISION STATUS - DJH-03-90

Dear Mr. Short:

Per your request following the meeting between the representatives from the Air Force, NCBC, and the State of Mississippi Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) on December 18, 1989, EG&G Idaho has begun to examine the Appendix VIII constituents to determine which compounds should be included into a groundwater monitoring plan. To accomplish that task, I have asked the EG&G Chemical Sciences department to review the early files from the delisting petition efforts. They will examine the logic that was used to construct the list of constituents for the delisting petition. Specifically, they will re-examine the list to ensure that all compounds that could result from herbicide orange storage, degradation, or incineration are included. This new list will ultimately be incorporated into a groundwater monitoring plan that will most likely be required by MDNR or EPA Region IV, or both. The groundwater monitoring plan is not part of our current work scope.

Also per your request, I am making arrangements to incorporate the EPA Region IV comments into the decision document. This will require some revisions to the groundwater model in addition to rewriting certain sections of the document. Unfortunately, we have not received the formal comments from EPA Region IV. They have telefaxed us an unsigned copy of some comments; however, we are uncertain if additional comments are forthcoming. Additionally, we have not received any comments from MDNR. Therefore, because of limited funding, I will await the commencement of the decision document revision until (a) I have received all comments from EPA Region IV and MDNR and (b) all concerned parties (Air Force, NCBC, EPA Region IV, and MDNR) concur on a closure strategy. Any substantial work on the decision document revision prior to those events would be ineffectual.

The complexity of the Appendix VIII constituent list review will require approximately 175 man-hours, which will cost approximately \$12,000.



EG&G Idaho, Inc.

P.O. Box 1625

Idaho Falls, ID 83415



Mr. J. J. Short  
January 25, 1990  
DJH-03-90  
Page 2 of 2

Funding is available in the current budget to accomplish this task, but completion of the decision document revision may be impacted. I will send you a preliminary budget estimate and status report by February 9, 1990.

Please continue to keep me informed of the closure plan strategy as it evolves. If you have any questions, feel free to call me at (208) 526-9959.

Very truly yours,

Daniel J. Haley  
Sr. Programs Specialist

d1

cc: T. R. Sarros, NCBC  
J. O. Zane, EG&G Idaho