

N62604.AR.001910
NCBC GULFPORT
5090.3a

TIER I PARTNERING TEAM MEETING MINUTES 17 AND 18 APRIL 2013 NCBC GULFPORT
MS
4/18/2013
TETRA TECH

**NCBC GULFPORT TIER I PARTNERING TEAM MEETING MINUTES
 GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI
 APRIL 17-18, 2013**

MEETING ATTENDEES

Team Members:

Charles Cook	Navy RPM
Gordon Crane	NCBC Gulfport, IRP Manager
Bob Merrill	MDEQ
Greg Roof	Tetra Tech, Project Manager

Adjunct Members/Guests:

Libby Claggett	Tetra Tech, Scribe
Robert Fisher	NAVFAC SE, Tier II Link
Curtis Mills	Aerostar (Day 2 via phone)
Lisa Noble	NCBC Gulfport
Bill Olson	Tetra Tech, Project Scientist
Nancy Rouse	The Management Edge, Facilitator

Absent Members:

Jon Overholtzer	CH2M Hill, Project Manager
-----------------	----------------------------

1. Meeting Opening

The meeting began at 9:30 a.m. CT. The Team checked in and shared events since the last meeting. The ground rules were read. The meeting leader will be Charles Cook, and the timekeeper will be Gordon Crane.

2. Approval of Minutes

Team members reviewed and approved the January 2013 minutes.

Consensus Item: The January 2013 meeting minutes were approved as final.

A-0113-11	Charles Cook	OBE	By the agenda call	Charles is to determine if Navy legal can be brought to the next RAB meeting or not.
A-0113-17	Gordon Crane	Ongoing	1/31/2013	Gordon is to send a Scope of Work for surveying to Greg. Update 04-2013: Greg is to check to see if he received it.

3. Previous Plus/Delta and Parking Lot

Team members reviewed and updated the parking lot and reviewed the January 2013 plus/deltas.

Plus/Delta	
+	Δ
Nice meeting room – Gordon did a good job	Meeting room chilly
Off-base discussion	
Commander Nottingham and Lisa Noble at the meeting	
Site 7 discussion informative	

These minutes are a summary based on informal notes taken at the meeting. They are not intended as a verbatim transcript and may not have captured everything that was discussed.

Plus/Delta	
+	Δ
Site tour	
Discussion on the sediment in the ditch	
Bob F. at the meeting for dioxin discussion/history	
Flexible with agenda and allotted times	
Greg as meeting leader	
Regulator clarification regarding off-base areas and CERCLA	

4. Agenda Review

Team members reviewed the agenda and modifications were made accordingly.

5. RPM Update

Navy employees will be sequestered and will be on 4-day work weeks in the future. Charles does not see this impeding the work at NCBC Gulfport. Monies that have been previously awarded will be moved to sites needing work in to be finished. Funding already awarded will not be pulled back.

6. Tier II Update

There has not been a Tier II meeting since the last Gulfport meeting. It has been decided that Mississippi Tier II meetings will continue in the future, but the next meeting has not been scheduled.

7. Base Update

All sediments removed in the ditch cleaning project are located at Site 8B. The sediments will not be moved, but will be stabilized.

The CBs started putting the exclusion fence around 8B and 8C.

Site 5 has a few small trees that started to grow, and the trees were cut. The site will need to be better monitored to keep large trees from growing.

8. RAB Meeting Review

The RAB meeting was held April 16, 2013. Per Gordon, the poster session worked well, in-depth questions were asked, and Gordon will provide comments that could not be answered on the spot back to the asker. Mail in forms were given to people who arrived after the RAB meeting concluded along with a packet from Gordon with the materials that were handed out during the meeting. A few people requested the meeting be moved to another location because they did not feel safe in the area. Only one RAB member attended the meeting; the rest of the people were walk-ins.

Nancy stated she was pleased with how the community members were engaged and added the displays (posters) should be brought to each RAB meeting. Nancy asked for feedback about going to an all poster RAB meeting instead of presentations on the screen (town hall-type meeting). Those who were at the RAB meeting agreed the poster-type meeting was good and should continue instead of town hall-type meetings.

Action Item: Bob F. will check with Sue to see if pictures of attendees with the faces blurred can be put on the public website.

Action Item: Nancy is to send a summary of the Agent Orange presentation to Team members for review for Community Outreach page.

Bob F. created four links to the Community Outreach page for the last four RAB meeting minutes.

9. Site 2 Update

A-0113-03	Gordon Crane	Ongoing	2/15/2013	Gordon is to identify the training needs of the Seabees and the specific use of Sites 1, 2, and 7. Update 04-2013: At this point, it is all still surface work.
-----------	--------------	---------	-----------	--

The draft Remedial Investigation Report was sent to the Navy, comments were received, and the draft-final was sent to MDEQ for review on January 11, 2013. Tetra Tech is currently waiting on the MDEQ review of the draft-final RI Report.

The Remedial Alternatives Analysis (RAA) is currently being conducted. Once the RAA is complete, a Feasibility Study Report will be written.

Per Bill, Site 2 is mostly PAHs (BaP Equivalent) for soil and obvious waste disposal less than 2 feet from the surface. Depending on where golf course features were built, in the low spots buried waste material can be found at 2 inches. There are no groundwater issues at Site 2; resampling showed previous concentrations were less than the TRG. The waste extends to 3 to 4 feet below land surface.

The current anticipated path forward for Site 2 is a 2-foot soil cover with LUCs and groundwater monitoring. The 2-foot cover is needed to prevent exposure. Discussion ensued as to whether or not the 2-foot cover would provide any further human protection since the landfill has been a golf course for so many years. Bob M. stated the site would not pass a PA/SI since there is waste disposal less than 2 feet from the surface and the 2-foot soil cover is to prevent Base personnel from being exposed to the waste. Greg added the only new issues with providing a soil cover could be possible flooding issues.

Charles stated he would like to look at the cost of the Feasibility Study and each of the alternatives. It is his opinion that if it is already known that a 2-foot cover is needed, the Feasibility Study is not necessary.

Per Lisa, the Seabees are driving vehicles on the site. The LUC will need to state that no vehicles are to be driving on the site or if heavy equipment is driven on the site, the 2-foot cover will need to be monitored and maintained. Further discussion ensued regarding the need for the 2-foot cover.

Charles will need to discuss the funding with his superiors. Bob M. stated he would be willing to pass the matter to his superiors as well. Bob M. stated the only immediacy is the exposure to Base personnel.

10. Site 3 Update

A-0412-18	Greg Roof	Done	04/27/2012	Greg is to provide a cost estimate for reducing the scope (+/- 20% order of magnitude) for Site 3 to Charles. Update 9/10/12 – Pricing will be revised based on data from SEP soil sampling, which will allow a more precise pricing. Update 01-2013: New samples have been collected. Update 04-2013: Greg will send the pricing estimate to Charles on 4/18/2013.
A-0113-13	Greg Roof	Done	1/31/2013	Greg is to provide the Team with the timeline for the remedial design at Site 3. Update 04-2013: The RD is over 95% complete and should be out to the Navy next week.
A-0113-14	Charles Cook	Done	1/24/2013	Charles is to check with Steve Beverly regarding the status of the Site 3 Decision Document. Update 04-2013: The Decision Document should be out within the next two weeks.

Site 3 is approximately 200 feet by 600 feet. Greg introduced the idea of possibly taking fill from another area of the Base and put it on top of Site 3 in lieu of using fill from off-base. Lisa stated this may cost just as much as bringing in extra fill from off base due to permits, re-sodding, current mission use, etc. The cost projection for 3,320 cubic yards of common fill at \$16.07 is \$53,352, not including equipment. Greg stated the equipment would be needed whether using on site or off site fill.

The initial effort will be to excavate two known areas of contamination and put the soil in the replacement area (interim grade – low spot). Clean fill will be placed over the interim grade. The ditch along the road will be repaired. All of the information will be included in the design package.

Charles asked if the cost of making the soccer field has increase any over the past year. Per Greg, the costs is about the same, and the only deviation from covering the site and walking away versus making the site a soccer field is the cost of fill and sod instead of hydroseed.

The Remedial Design is anticipated to go out to the Navy next week.

Action Item: Greg is to contact Jon to establish a schedule for Site 3.

11. Site 7 Update

A-0113-06	Bill Olson	Done	1/17/2013	Bill is to collect one sediment sample from the ditch in an area that has not been cleaned.
-----------	------------	------	-----------	---

The Conceptual Site Model is being revised based on the analytical results. Bill provided historical aerial photos of Site 7 dating back to 1958 and a history of site activities. Vertical waste profiles indicate areas with fill at less than 2 feet bls and four areas with waste from 2 to 3 feet bls. Dioxin analysis in soil showed exceedances of TEQs in several samples. The ongoing dioxin investigation is being driven by trying to establish the southern and eastern sides of Site 7. It is believed the dioxin is not from the Site 7 landfill; examples of what it could be from include the fill added to Site 7, material removed from the ditches, HO used to clear the site, or flooding. It is believed the fill used to build the golf course did not have any dioxin contamination since there is a definite demarcation line. The release mechanism for Site 7 does not appear to have an impact on Site 2.

The path forward for Site 7 includes conducting vertical characterization in the waste disposal area, completing horizontal delineation in the southeastern portion of the investigation area, and evaluating the potential downstream migration past the fence line.

Bob F. requested tagging the proposed vertical characterization samples as Site 8 LTM samples.

There are no major groundwater concerns at Site 7; there are water quality issues (e.g., elevated turbidity).

The question arose whether to define the southeastern boundary to unrestricted or to industrial. Per Lisa, it needs to be known what is across the southeastern boundary so that the Navy is not put at risk when workers are digging. Per Bob F., the remedial investigation needs to be finished, the line needs to be drawn, and a risk assessment needs to be completed. If contamination is found to the southeast of Site 7, Bob F. stated it would be a Site 8 issue. Vertical profile data needs to be collected first to determine if the dioxin contamination is Site 7 or Site 8.

Action Item: Tetra Tech will collect the six samples for vertical delineation and the four samples for sediments and issue the results in separate reports with the samples tagged as Site 8.

12. Site 4 Update

The latest long-term monitoring sampling event (11 wells) was conducted in December 2012. Well GTP-04-28 is the main concern with vinyl chloride exceeding criteria in both the March and December 2012 sampling events. The microbes applied during the Treatability Study are still present.

The path forward includes continuing groundwater sampling. The Decision Document specifies after four rounds of sampling, samples from wells with no detections of COCs may be removed from the sampling. Wells downgradient of the landfill will be retained.

13. Team Development Training

Nancy provided training on Risk Communication. The training consisted of a video and group participation.

14. Review Day 1

Team members reviewed action items generated on Day 1 and began a plus/delta list.

DAY 2

The Day 2 meeting began at 9:00 a.m. CT.

15. Check-In/Opening Remarks

Team members shared events of the previous evening.

16. Public Web Page / Community Outreach / CIP Update

A-0712-13	Greg Roof	Done	07/20/2012	Greg is to verify if funding is available to produce the public web page content. Update 9/10/12 – Funding available for initial web page set up. Initial work has begun. Provide content to Mike Kuhn. Gordon will have final CIP available.
A-0113-01	Bob Fisher	Done	1/23/2013	Bob Fisher is to research the use of the IR Program versus ER Program and which should be used for the public web page. Update 04-2013: ER Program should be used on the public web page.

A-0113-02	Bob Fisher	Ongoing	1/23/2013	Bob Fisher is to send information to Team members on the government software to shorten URL link information. Update 04-2013: The short link has not been created yet, but the web page is live. Update: 05-2013: The link is : https://go.usa.gov/
-----------	------------	---------	-----------	---

The use of ER is a NAVFAC term and will be used on the public web page. For the purposes of this Team, the term IR will continue to be used.

The CIP Update is at NAVFAC (Sue Brent) to be reviewed.

Site-specific content for the web page needs to be developed. Per Bob F., information from the RAB meeting should be on the Community Outreach tab and not within the site-specific information. Approved RAB minutes, posters, and presentations will be included on the Community Outreach tab after the information is received by the RDM (Mike Kuhn) at Tetra Tech in Pittsburgh. Per Bob F., landscape posters (versus portrait) would be better viewed on a computer.

Regarding the site-specific content, Bob F. suggested starting with a simple format for each site since more content can be added later. Charles suggested starting with active sites (with the most up-to-date information and leave closed sites for last.

17. Site 8 Update

A-0412-02	Charles Cook	Done	04/20/2012	Charles is to write a Letter of Intent to close the Site 8 off-base Areas of Concern under CERCLA and not Brownfields. Update: Needs to be worked out with the Legal Department. Update 9/10/12 – Charles has been tasked by management to prepare letter. Update 01-2013: A draft letter has been written and is in MDEQ review. Future closure letters need a definitive statement that the intent is to close under CERCLA and not Brownfields.
A-0113-07	Charles Cook / Bob Fisher	Ongoing	Before the next meeting	Charles and Bob F. are to reengage their supervisors and Navy legal regarding the Arndt and Bennett properties.
A-0113-08	Charles Cook / Bob Fisher	Done	Before the next meeting	Charles and Bob F. are to discuss options for removal of the broken up road on the Arndt and Bennett properties.
A-0113-09	Charles Cook	Done	1/25/2013	Charles is to ensure the letter report to close the Arndt and Bennett properties states they will be closed under the CERCLA program.
A-0113-10	Greg Roof / Bill Olson	Done	Before the next RAB meeting	Greg and Bill are to prepare an information sheet for the public with the complete chronology of the off-base remedial actions.
A-0113-12	Charles Cook	Done	1/28/2013	Charles is to talk to Steve Beverly regarding the process to change the Site 8 Off-base AOC Update 04-2013: A revised Decision Document will be written. Decision Document from Brownfields language to CERCLA language.

From Day 1

Sediment from the ditches being placed at Site 8B will be stabilized like the sediments at Site 8A.

The Navy would like to have a long-term remedy (that could include Site 8B) to manage future ditch sediments.

Bob M. questioned if Site 8A, 8B, and 8C were open or closed. Per Bob F., the remedial action for all of Site 8 is complete. Now, moved past remedial action phase and are in the long-term monitoring phase (Phase 7) for Site 8. For the Navy, Site 8 is one site and is not separated into Sites 8A, 8B, and 8C.

Per Bob F., the phases for Site 8 include the following:

Navy	CERCLA
Phase 1	PA/SI
Phase 2	RI/FS/DD
Phase 3	RD
Phase 4	Remedial Action (2004-2007)
Phase 5	Interim Action (if required)
Phase 6	O&M (if required) also called RAO
Phase 7	PA/SI

Charles stated most of the sediments in the ditch were drainage from Site 8. Bill stated the confirmation sampling for 95% UCL were in the 15 range. Charles said if it is 4.26 or above, need to worry about contamination in the ditches going off site. Bob F. said that the biggest trigger is taking the sediment out of the ditches. Bill said the design of the flow and sloping of the draining system during excavation includes a pond at Site 7 to catch material from NCBC Gulfport, but there are areas that can accumulate sediments that move.

From Day 2

For the off-base areas of concern, Bob M. stated MDEQ wants annual samples for five-years and not just a sampling right before the Five-Year Review. Charles stated that dioxin levels in groundwater beneath Site 8 do not exceed MCLs. A long-term plan was not submitted for the off-site portions. Per Bob M., a long-term monitoring plan needs to be written and approved for the off-base areas of concern.

The Navy agreed to do one more sample; however, MDEQ stated one sample is not sufficient to determine if the remedy worked in the long-term or not. The MDEQ would like to see annual sampling for five years. The MDEQ does not agree with the technical information presented in the letter. The MDEQ wants to know if the dioxin is getting into the groundwater. If sampling is conducted annually, if there is a detection, it can be taken care of immediately instead of five years down the road.

Charles said he will take the information back to his supervisors that the MDEQ will require five more sampling rounds instead on one.

Gordon added that if the property stays static and there is no control on what happens on the property, conditions will change.

Action Item: Charles is to go to his management regarding annual sampling for the off-base areas of concern in lieu of one sampling event before the Five-Year Review, and then assess the monitoring program at that time.

Discussion ensued as to how to change the Site 8 Off-Base AOC Decision Document to CERCLA language. Charles stated the Proposed Plan would need to be reviewed to determine the best path forward for rewriting the document. Another public meeting for the Site 8 Off-Base AOC will be required. Per Bob F., both documents (the Proposed Plan and Decision Document) need to be rewritten (not just revised) to reflect the CERCLA language.

Site 8 – Ditch Sediment Long-term Monitoring Update

Sediment sampling was conducted in January 2013. Eight sediment samples were collected from on base ditches that had not been cleaned. One sample at the northeastern end of Site 8B had a detection of 446 ng/kg. Nine sediment samples were collected from two sediment stockpiles at Site 8B. Results from the main stockpile showed TEQs were from 2.97 to 15.8 ng/kg, and TCDD were from 10 to 50 percent of TEQs. Results from the second stockpile showed TEQs from 2.15 to 6.26 ng/kg and TCDD less than 1 ng/kg.

The dioxin concentrations in ditches adjacent to 08SD05 should be delineated. USEPA Method 4025 has adequate resolution for sediment screening. Sediment with dioxin concentrations exceeding 38.2 ng/kg will require removal.

Dioxin concentrations in the sediment stockpiles are less than the restricted TRG. Controls should be in place to prevent the stockpile material from migrating off Site 8.

Action Item: Greg and Charles are to discuss the sediment sample near the hot spot at Site 8B and the ditch near Site 8C.

It was previously agreed to by the Team that the ditches would not be included in the Site 8 LUC boundaries; however, Bob F. stated it would be in the Navy's best interest to include the ditches in order to maintain control of what can be done, effectively management the ditches, and have the information in NIRIS for planning purposes.

Discussion ensued regarding the LUC Tracker and how it works. Dig permits with the new NIRIS mapping will show the LUC boundaries.

The Team reached consensus that the Site 8 LUC boundaries should be extended to include the on-site impacted ditches.

18. Dredge Spoils in Ditches

Curtis Mills joined via phone. Because there will be dioxin sampling later this year, the monitoring plan will need to be amended.

Gordon asked who has the Site 6 monitoring. Sites 5, 8, and 10 are monitored by Aerostar. Tetra Tech is finishing Site 4 and is done at Site 6.

Action Item: Charles is to modify the BOA contract for Sites 4 and 6.

Gordon asked if the 450 ppt sample taken by Aerostar was flagged; Curtis said it had not been flagged.

19. LTM Update

Aerostar is contracted to perform the LTM at Sites 5 and 10. The Site 8 LTM has been done.

Action Item: Charles is to send Greg an email if the offsite wells need to be added to the Site 8 LTM Plan.

20. Review Exit Strategy/Long- and Short-term Goals, Document Review Status

Team members reviewed the Exit Strategy; no date changes were made.

What to Accomplish by the Next Meeting:

- Site 1 Draft Proposed Plan
- Site 1 Proposed Plan Public Meeting
- Site 2 FS
- Site 3 Design completed; Jon and Greg to discuss the Site 3 design
- Site 3 Draft-Final Decision Document
- Site 4 Monitoring Report
- Site 7 sampling complete
- Site 8 Draft Proposed Plan
- Charles to address LTM contracting

21. Round Table Discussion

Team members proceeded to discuss topics that were not on the agenda.

Site 11 was a drum disposal area that had a voluntary cleanup. NFA was not obtained at Site 11; thus, it was added to the CERCLA program. Monitoring will be required; shallow contamination was left in soil and groundwater.

Action Item: The Navy is to prepare a Site Investigation Report for Site 11 using the existing report.

Action Item: Nancy is to send the RAB meeting materials to Team members for review before posting on the public web site.

22. Review Action Items and Consensus Items

Team members reviewed the action items and consensus items.

23. Next Tier I and RAB Meeting Dates and Agenda

Next Meeting: July 9-10, 2013 (Tuesday and Wednesday), beginning at 9:00 a.m. CT on Day 1

Location: Building 303, Room 12A, NCBC Gulfport, Mississippi

Meeting Leader: Gordon Crane

Timekeeper: Bob Merrill

Next RAB Meeting Date: Tuesday, July 9, 2013

Action Item: Nancy is to confirm the Meridian July 2013 meeting dates.

July 2013 Proposed Meeting Agenda			
Description	Presenter	Time	Category/Expectation
Meeting Opening: Check in, opening remarks, resource sharing, announcements, head count, and proxy assignments	Leader	15 min	Standard
Review Ground Rules	All	5 min	Standard
Minutes Approval	Leader	5 min	Consensus
Action Item / Parking Lot / Previous +/- Review	Leader	15 min	Standard
Agenda Review	All	5 min	Standard
Site 1 Update	Greg Roof	30 min	Information
Site 2 Update	Greg Roof	30 min	Information
Site 3 Update	Greg Roof	30 min	Information
Site 4 Update	Greg Roof	30 min	Information
Site 7 Update	Greg Roof	30 min	Information
Site 8 – DD, LTM, Closure			
LTM Update	Aerostar	30 min	Information

These minutes are a summary based on informal notes taken at the meeting. They are not intended as a verbatim transcript and may not have captured everything that was discussed.

July 2013 Proposed Meeting Agenda			
Description	Presenter	Time	Category/Expectation
Exit Strategy Review	Greg Roof	15 min	Standard
Community Involvement Plan / RAB Meeting Review	Gordon Crane	45 min	Information
NIRIS Public Web Page	Bob Fisher	45 min	Discussion
Tier II Update	Bob Fisher	15 min	Information
CNO Award Submittal	Charles Cook	30 min	Discussion
Success Stories	Charles Cook		
Training	Nancy Rouse	60 min	Learning
Round Table Discussion	All	15 min	Standard
Facilitator Feedback	Nancy Rouse	15 min	Standard
Meeting Closeout: Review dates of next meeting, prepare next meeting agenda, review action item list, and create +/- list	Team	30 min	Standard

Future Meeting Dates

Dates

October 16-17, 2013

Location

NCBC Gulfport, Mississippi

24. Plus/Delta, Meeting Accomplishments, Facilitator Feedback

Plus/Delta	
+	Δ
Plenty of space in meeting room	Did not start or end meeting on time
Equipment in meeting room	
Team accomplished their goals	
Good clarification on paths forward	
Establishing goals for next meeting	
Team building and socializing	
Successful RAB meeting format	

Meeting Accomplishments:

Identified key issues that need to be resolved
Working out Site 7 and Site 8 paths forward
LTM sites addressed

Facilitator Feedback:

Nancy felt the Team was able to regroup on Day 2 and have a more constructive approach to resolving differences by listening more carefully and allowing people to fully express their point of view.

Action Items				
Action Item #	Responsible Party	Status	Due Date	Action Item
A-0412-02	Charles Cook	Done	04/20/2012	Charles is to write a Letter of Intent to close the Site 8 off-base Areas of Concern under CERCLA and not Brownfields. Update: Needs to be worked out with the Legal Department. Update 9/10/12 – Charles has been tasked by management to prepare letter. Update 01-2013: A draft letter has been written and is in MDEQ review. Future closure letters need a definitive statement that the intent is to close under CERCLA and not Brownfields.
A-0412-18	Greg Roof	Done	04/27/2012	Greg is to provide a cost estimate for reducing the scope (+/- 20% order of magnitude) for Site 3 to Charles. Update 9/10/12 – Pricing will be revised based on data from SEP soil sampling, which will allow a more precise pricing. Update 01-2013: New samples have been collected. Update 04-2013: Greg will send the pricing estimate to Charles on 4/18/2013.
A-0712-13	Greg Roof	Done	07/20/2012	Greg is to verify if funding is available to produce the public web page content. Update 9/10/12 – Funding available for initial web page set up. Initial work has begun. Provide content to Mike Kuhn. Gordon will have final CIP available.
A-0113-01	Bob Fisher	Done	1/23/2013	Bob Fisher is to research the use of the IR Program versus ER Program and which should be used for the public web page. Update 04-2013: ER Program should be used on the public web page.
A-0113-02	Bob Fisher	Ongoing	1/23/2013	Bob Fisher is to send information to Team members on the government software to shorten URL link information. Update 04-2013: The short link has not been created yet, but the web page is live.
A-0113-03	Gordon Crane	Ongoing	2/15/2013	Gordon is to identify the training needs of the Seabees and the specific use of Sites 1, 2, and 7. Update 04-2013: At this point, it is all still surface work.
A-0113-06	Bill Olson	Done	1/17/2013	Bill is to collect one sediment sample from the ditch in an area that has not been cleaned.
A-0113-07	Charles Cook / Bob Fisher	Ongoing	Before the next meeting	Charles and Bob F. are to reengage their supervisors and Navy legal regarding the Arndt and Bennett properties.
A-0113-08	Charles Cook / Bob Fisher	Done	Before the next meeting	Charles and Bob F. are to discuss options for removal of the broken up road on the Arndt and Bennett properties.
A-0113-09	Charles Cook	Done	1/25/2013	Charles is to ensure the letter report to close the Arndt and Bennett properties states they will be closed under the CERCLA program.
A-0113-10	Greg Roof / Bill Olson	Done	Before the next RAB meeting	Greg and Bill are to prepare an information sheet for the public with the complete chronology of the off-base remedial actions.

These minutes are a summary based on informal notes taken at the meeting. They are not intended as a verbatim transcript and may not have captured everything that was discussed.

Action Items				
Action Item #	Responsible Party	Status	Due Date	Action Item
A-0113-11	Charles Cook	OBE	By the agenda call	Charles is to determine if Navy legal can be brought to the next RAB meeting or not.
A-0113-12	Charles Cook	Done	1/28/2013	Charles is to talk to Steve Beverly regarding the process to change the Site 8 Off-base AOC Decision Document from Brownfields language to CERCLA language. Update 04-2013: A revised Decision Document will be written.
A-0113-13	Greg Roof	Done	1/31/2013	Greg is to provide the Team with the timeline for the remedial design at Site 3. Update 04-2013: The RD is 95% complete and should be out to the Navy next week.
A-0113-14	Charles Cook	Done	1/24/2013	Charles is to check with Steve Beverly regarding the status of the Site 3 Decision Document. Update 04-2013: The Decision Document should be out within the next two weeks.
A-0113-17	Gordon Crane	Ongoing	1/31/2013	Gordon is to send a Scope of Work for surveying to Greg. Update 04-2013: Greg is to check to see if he received it.
A-0413-01	Bob Fisher		4/22/2013	Bob F. will check with Sue to see if pictures of attendees with the faces blurred can be put on the public website.
A-0413-02	Nancy Rouse		4/22/2013	Nancy is to send a summary of the Agent Orange presentation to Team members for review for Community Outreach page.
A-0413-03	Greg Roof		5/1/2013	Greg is to contact Jon to establish a schedule for Site 3.
A-0413-04	Bill Olson / Greg Roof		5/15/2013	Tetra Tech will collect the six samples for vertical delineation and the four samples for sediments and issue the results in separate reports with the samples tagged as Site 8.
A-0413-05	Charles Cook		4/26/2013	Charles is to go to his management regarding annual sampling for the off-base areas of concern in lieu of one sampling event before the Five-Year Review, and then assess the monitoring program at that time.
A-0413-06	Greg Roof / Charles Cook		4/31/2013	Greg and Charles are to discuss the sediment sample near the hot spot at Site 8B and the ditch near Site 8C.
A-0413-07	Charles Cook		8/30/2013	Charles is to modify the BOA contract for Sites 4 and 6.
A-0413-08	Charles Cook		5/7/2013	Charles is to send Greg an email if the offsite wells need to be added to the Site 8 LTM Plan.
A-0413-09	Charles Cook		10/11/2013	The Navy is to prepare a Site Investigation Report for Site 11 using the existing report.
A-0413-10	Nancy Rouse		4/22/2013	Nancy is to send the RAB meeting materials to Team members for review before posting on the public web site.
A-0413-11	Nancy Rouse		By the next meeting	Nancy is to confirm the Meridian July 2013 meeting dates.

These minutes are a summary based on informal notes taken at the meeting. They are not intended as a verbatim transcript and may not have captured everything that was discussed.

Consensus Number	Consensus Items
C-0712-01	The April 2012 meeting minutes were approved as final.
C-0712-02	Team members reached consensus to have a monthly call on the first Monday of the month at 2:00 p.m. EST. If the first Monday is a holiday, the conference call be held the following Monday.
C-0712-03	The Team reached consensus that the RAC member's status on the Team will be changed from core to adjunct due to reduced activity.
C-0113-01	The July 2012 meeting minutes were approved as final.
C-0113-02	Team members reached consensus that the web site will be developed using the rapid website approach.
C-0113-03	The Team reached consensus to have a full day meeting on Wednesday, April 17, 2013, and a ½ day meeting on Thursday, April 18, 2013, with the RAB meeting on Thursday, April 18, 2013, in the evening.
C-0413-01	The January 2013 meeting minutes were approved as final.
C-0413-02	The Team reached consensus that the Site 8 LUC boundaries should be extended to include the on-site impacted ditches.

Parking Lot		
Item Number	Status	Parking Lot Issue
P-1211-03	Ongoing	Site 6 DD Update 07/2012: During the 5 year review, Steve Beverly stated that Site 6 should have a DD. Waiting on funding.
P-1211-04	Ongoing	Site 8 off-site AOCs – LUCs and LTM Off-base area LUCs – Gordon suggested getting all parties involved to discuss. Charles stated there would have to be a call every other week with Navy legal and others.
P-0712-02	Ongoing	Success Stories – CNO Award (off-site properties, HO investigation) – submittal date November 2013