

32212-000
22.02.00.0002

11 March 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

From: Philip Georgariou

Subject: **Minutes of the NAS Jacksonville Installation Restoration Program Management Team Meeting**

Attendees: Mr. Joel Murphy, SOUTHDIVNAVFAC (Code 1853)
Mr. Kevin Gartland, NAS Jacksonville (FED)
Mr. Philip Georgariou, ABB-ES
Mr. Fred Bragdon, ABB-ES
Ms. Peggy Layne, ABB-ES
Mr. Greg Brown, ABB-ES
Mr. Frank Lesesne, ABB-ES

The meeting convened at 0900 on 2 March 1993. For ease of identification, action items have been **highlighted**.

Focused RI/FS Efforts

A discussion was held regarding the difference between a "focused" RI or FS as opposed to the CERCLA definitions. Joel gave his interpretation. A *focused FS* has been fairly well defined in the literature. A focused RI, in a similar vein, attempts to investigate certain portions of an operable unit, aiding in the finalization of action (closure or NFRAP) on individual sites. In terms of NAS Jacksonville, it means focusing in on what we are trying to achieve - short-term remedial actions. It mandates a wiser use of resources and requires more up-front planning. In this application, "focused" applies not only to geographic units, but specific media as well.

Joel is using this method in response to a dwindling level of funding which precludes initiation of the full OU2 RI/FS. Media-specific IRODs/PPs that can then be molded into an overall ROD for the OU. (Though Joel recognizes that this focused approach could conflict with his desire for clean closure on three of the PSCs - soil can't be left in place without further information.) Given the Navy/DOD's new focus on remedial actions (rather than studies), Joel sees this "focused" approach becoming more and more common.

Installation Restoration Team Integration

The next topic of discussion dealt with the need for a closer integration of all members of the IR team, feasibility study engineers, risk assessment scientists, remedial design engineers (if applicable), etc. into the planning stage of projects. This integration opens up discussions of data requirements and implementation options early in the projects life cycle. This will make for better, more effective work plans, as well as speed up (hopefully) the study/review/remediation process. This integration effort will be utilized at OU3.

Remedial Action Objectives/Prioritization

The subject of setting up base-wide remedial action objectives (RAO) was broached again. (A copy of the

original proposed RAO Study effort is attached to these minutes.) While Joel recognizes, and agrees with, the need for such an over-all site-management plan, he cautioned that there needs to be some clear-cut direction prior to proceeding. The purpose of the plan is to ensure that the Installation Restoration (IR) efforts are addressing the most urgent problems on a priority basis. EPA differentiates sense of urgency based upon risk - short-term, acute problem versus longer term, potential problems. To this end, there will be a short meeting of the NAS Jax IR Management Team to set forth objectives and format for this overall plan. ABB-ES will then convene a meeting of the various disciplines to put together a listing (matrix) of the various sites aboard the base, their potential problems, and some sort of qualitative assessment of the risk to human health and the environment. This recommended listing will be provided to Joel Murphy and Kevin Gartland for a prioritization, which will then be approved and published as part of the NAS Jacksonville IR Management Plan.

OU1 Feasibility Study

On 1 March, Peggy Layne forwarded a memo to Joel, outlining the tasks that ABB-ES thought to be required for OU1's FS. These tasks were geared to a focused approach. This memo was reviewed and it was agreed that risk assessment personnel need to be included the effort. Joel indicated two problems that may complicate the OU1 FS - a) the reporting of 100+ drums that may be buried on site, and b) the radiological problem that has been identified by a recent Radiological Affairs Support Office (RASO) review. This radiological problem is a potential show-stopper. Paul Campbell is attempting to arrange for a more extensive radiological assessment from RASO, hopefully to begin by 1 April of this year.

OU3 Database Development

In response to a query by Joel as to when the OU3 database development would start, he was informed that John Ossie (ABB-ES - Portland) needs to spend 3-4 hours with him further defining the exact parameters around which this database is to be developed. Joel was not sure when his schedule would allow that, but acknowledged that it was needed and that he would make the time.

CRP Requirements on OU2

There are CRP requirements for OU2, but there are none on order. These include IROD/PP requirements as well as a kick-off meeting for the start of field work. Joel will send a SOW (the base's requirements) to contracts and see if money can be made available.

NADEP Pensacola Visit

Philip Georgariou reiterated a desire to meet with the NAS Pensacola/ENSAFE team to discuss problems and technical approaches/scoping for their NADEP investigation. Joel Murphy will make arrangements with his counterpart, Linda Martin, at SouthDiv and get the ENSAFE Task Order Manager's phone number. The ENSAFE FOL has been there for a long period of time and is extremely knowledgeable about the project. The Task Order Manager also has quite a bit of history of the project, but will not be available until the first two weeks of April. A trip report will be provided, by Philip Georgariou, discussing the lessons learned.

Mulberry Cove Sampling Effort

A discussion was held concerning Dr. Delumyea's (Jacksonville University) planned sampling at Mulberry Cove. Philip Georgariou had provided comments on the tentative sampling plan and agreed to provide review of the final sampling plan when it is available. ABB-ES also agreed to provide a short class in proper sampling techniques to Dr. Delumyea's students.

Naval Base, Lakehurst, NJ Trip

Lakehurst has managed their own IR program and has conducted/completed a number of IRODs/PPs. It has been agreed upon that there are probably some lessons learned that could be valuable to our "focused" or observational approach to the IR program at NAS Jacksonville. Kevin Gartland has taken the action to set up a 1-2 day meeting with them, tentatively during the period of 27-29 April 93.

OU3 Scoping Meeting

Set for 16-18 March, this meeting will convene at NADEP Jacksonville and will start with a background review of the NADEP and a walking tour of the site. The remainder of the meeting will be for the development of those scoping activities that will be required to ensure that a comprehensive, efficient (in terms of time and resources), and effective RI/FS Work Plan is developed. Additionally, this scoping meeting will explore the opportunities for focused efforts that can result in early remedial actions. A debrief of this meeting will be provided to the NADEP Commanding Officer On Friday, 19 March. Kevin Gartland will coordinate setting up the meeting with NADEP Jacksonville personnel. The debrief will be conducted by Joel Murphy, Kevin Gartland, and Philip Georgariou only; NADEP representation will be at the Commanding Officer's discretion.

The next meeting of the NAS Jacksonville IR Management Team is scheduled 25 March 1993, in Charleston, SC. Philip Georgariou will make the arrangements for that meeting. The preliminary agenda is as follows:

- a) Previous meeting's action items
- b) Statements of Work and Plans of Action - early development and coordination
- c) Setting IR Program and remedial action objectives
- d) Management Meetings - who, where, when, rules of order

The meeting was adjourned at 1700, 11 February 1993.

cc: Joel Murphy
Kevin Gartland
Greg Brown
Willard Murray
Tom Trainor
Marland Dulaney
Bob Handy
Peter Redfern