

32212-000
22.02.00.0020

NAS JACKSONVILLE PARTNERING MEETING

MAY 31 & JUNE 1, 1995
JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA
HOLIDAY INN ORANGE PARK
0900 MAY 31 THROUGH 1600 JUNE 1

MINUTES

Chairperson: Mark Turnbull

Members: Dana Gaskins, Mark Turnbull, Diane Lancaster,
Kevin Gartland, Fred Milton, Martha Berry, Peter
Redfern, Herman Bauer

Absent: Jorge Caspary

Tier II Link: Jerry Wallmeyer

Facilitator: Jerry Arcaro

Support: Valerie McClain Bechtel, Jesse Tremaine ABB, Hal
Davis USGS

Location: Jacksonville, Florida

-
1. The meeting began at nine with the team member greeting and check-in and assignment of roles to team members.
 2. It was agreed to up front that no consensus could be reached because of the absence of FDEP representative.

No official team minutes were available because the scribe from the April meeting, Tom Trainer, was no longer with ABB. Copies of his handwritten notes from the April meeting were provided to the team on Thursday. It was agreed that ABB would type the notes up and provide to team members.

3. Topic: Member Rules

Issue/Goal: To resolve whether each member had a vote on consensus votes or did each entity (i.e. FDEP, ABB, the facility, etc.) have one vote?

Resolution: A copy of the minutes from a meeting last year was produced. In those minutes, it was documented that each member of the partnering team had his/her one vote, not each entity.

4. Topic: New team members

Issue/Goal: The partnering has two people who have been/would be proposed as team members: Jesse Tremaine from ABB and Valerie McClain from Bechtel. The team has recently developed new team member procedures, but nobody had a copy immediately available.

Resolution: The team decided to table this subject until the June partnering meeting because, without FDEP, consensus on admitting new members could not be reached.

5. Topic: Agenda

Issue/Goal: Additional items were proposed for this meeting agenda.

Resolution: These items were accepted for inclusion on the agenda.

6. OUI

6A. Topic: Cover at PSC 26.

Issue/Goal: Initial EBASCO engineering drawings showed stockpile as being covered and tied down with heavy duty tiedowns, with the lining tucked back underneath. At issue was whether this was needed.

Resolution: It was decided to leave as is.

ACTION ITEM: ABB provides Bechtel a copy of ABB's grading plan for OUI

6B. Topic: LNAPL update

Issue/Goal: SOUTHDIIV updated the team on progress with the installation of the LNAPL trenches. The trenches are in and the northernmost and the middle wells in each trench are functional. The southernmost well in each of the two trenches was screened too low to be functional in a passive drainage system. Thought had been given to the possibility of rehabilitating those wells, but the method that was proposed to do so had too great a likelihood of destroying the wells for any use. However, the larger well across Child Street, which had also been installed incorrectly, would be rehabilitated because the proposed method would probably work with this well. This part of the project was being initiated.

Also, the person from the base that was going to run the system has taken another job. SOUTHDIIV will probably sole source the contractor that provided the equipment to operate it for a few months until another person can be trained.

Resolution: N/A

6C. Topic: RAD hotspots at PSC 26A

Issue/Goal: There were approximately six RAD hotspots remaining at PSC 26A. Issue was what to do with them.

Resolution: Material from PSC 26A will be dealt with at the same time material from PSC 13 is being addressed. Bechtel and SOUTHDIV will coordinate. Funding is thought to be sufficient at current levels.

ACTION ITEM: Bechtel to provide radiation report to Team.

6D. Topic: PSC 13 material.

Issue/Goal: Discussion about placement of material from PSC 13 on OUI.

Resolution: SOUTHDIV and Bechtel will coordinate placement of material on landfill. No bottom liner will be necessary. Field work will begin next week.

ACTION ITEM: ABB to send letter to SOUTHDIV, copying rest of Team, explaining rationale for approving movement of PSC 13 material to PSC 26.

6E. Topic: OUI RI

Issue/Goal: Clarify when draft document will be available for Team members to review.

Resolution: Team members expressed desire to have it prior to next partnering meeting and to have a presentation concerning the RI contents at the next partnering meeting (June 21 & 22).

ACTION ITEM: ABB will provide a preliminary copy of the RI to Team prior to next meeting for review during the meeting. *done*
ABB will also provide a copy of the preliminary FS to Team for the same purpose prior to the August 2 partnering meeting. *ngoing*

7. OU2

7A. Topic: OU2 FSP and HASP

Issue/Goal: Provided copies to the partnering team and request for review of the FSP.

Resolution: Done.

ACTION ITEM: Team to read prior to next meeting.

7B. Topic: OU2 Update

Issue/Goal: ABB explained about proposed coordination between ABB and USGS in regard to information sharing for the OU2 RIFS. USGS displayed a map on which were located their desired well locations. It was observed by other members of the Team that there were already some wells at some of these locations.

Resolution: Copies of the map were given (or will be given) to SOUTHDIV and facility Team members that have knowledge of other well locations.

ACTION ITEM: SOUTHDIV and facility will provide ABB and USGS with information concerning current well locations in areas that may fulfill the USGS's needs. *done*

ACTION ITEM: ABB will take monthly water level measurements on station for USGS until at least September, 1995. *done/on going*

7C. Topic: Pipe removals

Issue/Goal: Pipes that being removed as part of IRAs for PSCs 41 and 43 are intact. Was any sampling required?

Resolution: Additional sampling was needed for clean closure. Three samples along the 70' length of the removed pipe should be sufficient.

7D. Topic: Confirmatory Sampling DQOs

Issue/Goal: There was a question about appropriate DQO level for confirmatory sampling at PSCs 41 and 43.

Resolution: One sample from each PSC should be a Level 3 sample. Sample from PSC 43 should be taken now; sample from PSC 41 can await resolution of clean closure issue.

ACTION ITEM: Facility must meet with FDEP to obtain clean closure at PSC 41 as part of RCRA closure permit. *(on going)*

7E. Topic: Update on RD PSC 42

Issue/Goal: Team wanted to review PSC 42 RD when available.

Resolution: The Team members had not seen the RD in its current form. ABB had not sent it out yet because it had not been sealed. Short discussion on whether FDEP rules required sealing of the draft documents.

ACTION ITEM: ABB will clarify with FDEP whether draft must be sealed; if not, then ABB will send PSC 42 RD to Team members for review. *clarified - FDEP will review but not approve unless sealed*

ACTION ITEM: ABB to provide final draft IROD to Team for review. *on going done.*

7F. Topic: Removal of material at PSC 13

Issue/Goal: Bechtel had several issues concerning the removal of material at PSC 13 that needed clarification.

Resolution: SOUTHDIV to negotiate sampling new warehouse footings with Bechtel and to coordinate with RASO for site inspection during PSC 13 remediation (if RASO could do it).

8. OU3 *on going*

8A. Topic: OU3 Update

Issue/Goal: USGS passed out model information for OU3 while Jesse explained approach that is being taken.

Resolution: N/A

8B. Topic: Update on BLDGS 106 and 780

Issue/Goal: The RD on Bldg 780 was on hold while the issue of where to send the discharge is worked out. Bldg 106 was proceeding well - no problem concerning staging area.

Resolution: N/A

8C. Topic: Hush House Update

Issue/Goal: This will be located partially on PSC 15. Workers who are building this facility must follow OSHA rules.

Resolution: N/A

8D. Topic: Plating Shop Update

Issue/Goal: Soil has been removed down to 1E-4 risk range. Some piping has been removed. Other piping will be left in place, triple rinsed and grouted in place. This will require permit modification, which has already been submitted to State. Any groundwater problems at this PSC to be dealt with under Superfund.

Resolution: Awaiting official work ^{by} from State on permit modification.

9. Topic: SMP

Issue/Goal: ABB provided a proposed schedule for the OU3 EE/CA. Discussion ensued about the need to update the SMP.

Resolution: ABB will provide draft SMP to Team for review prior to next partnering meeting.

10. Topic: Site Tour

Issue/Goal: The morning of the second day of meeting was taken up by a site tour of the PSCs being remediated. Team viewed activities at PSCs 41, 43 and 2 from outside exclusion zone, drove by PSC 42, visited LNAPL site and, finally, ended tour at Bechtel's trailer at OUI.

Resolution: N/A

11. Topic: DERA Execution Plan

Issue/Goal: SOUTHDIV brought the DERA execution plan for discussion by the team. It was explained that only sites that were ranked as #1s by the Navy's relative risk screening process stood any chance of being funded. Based on this knowledge, the Team decided to do its own priority to make sure that the projects that the Team thought most important would get funded.

Resolution: The Team priority list is as follows:

For ABB's investigation work, the priorities were: (1) OU2 RI/FS (PSCs 41, 3, 42, 43, 4) 2); (2) OUI RD (PSCs 26, 27-cap for landfill); (3) OU3 RI/FS (PSCs 13, 11, 15, 14, 12, 48); (4) Site Screening Sites (PSCs 29, 33, 44, 16, 5, 23, 40, 9, 8, 39, 46, 47, 17, 31, 38, 32, 22, and 20); (5) RAC cleanup support for OU2; and (6) OUs A & B RI/FSs.

For RAC's work, the priorities were: 1) Site 11 (GWE/VE/AS); 2) Site 48 (EE/CA); 3) Site 42 (Phase III); and (4) Sites 26 and 27 (Phase III).

For ABBs other work, the priorities were, in no order: (1) Site 42 RAC support; (2) Site 48 RAC support; and (3) Site 11 RAC support.

There was also a discussion concerning trying to get the funding for the remedy at OUI moved into FY 96. This lead to a discussion about how the current problems with the LNAPL system may impact the selection of remedy for OUI.

ACTION ITEM: SOUTHDIV to redo execution plan per Team meeting discussion.

12: Topic: P3 Hanger Project Stockpile of Soil

Issue/Topic: Bechtel wanted to know if this material was available for use as fill material for the IR work

Resolution: N/A

ACTION ITEM: Station will check on availability of this material for Bechtel's use. *done*

13. Topic: CRP

Issue/Goal: Question was raised about whether the CRP needs to be updated.

Resolution: The NCP requires periodic updates of the CRP but does not dictate a specific period of time for doing so. ABB will include a funding request in either OUI or OUI3 for updating the CRP. Station will review CRP scopes to make sure they include CRP updates.